Probing Long-Range Intensity Correlations inside Disordered Photonic Nanostructures
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We report direct observation of the development of long-range spatial intensity correlations and the growth of intensity fluctuations inside the random media. We fabricated quasi-two-dimensional disordered photonic structures and probed the light transport from a third dimension. Good agreements between experiment and theory are obtained. We were able to manipulate the long-range intensity correlations and intensity fluctuations inside the disordered waveguides by simply varying the waveguide geometry.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Light propagation in disordered media has been a topic of intense studies for nearly three decades. The analogy to electronic transport in disordered metals, fundamental issues related to diffusion and localization have been addressed. One interesting example is the long-range intensity correlation which characterize the mesoscopic transport of both classical and quantum waves, and reflect the closeness to the Anderson localization threshold. Experimentally correlations in time, space, frequency, angle, and polarization have been investigated, but most measurements are performed on transmitted or reflected light outside the random media. It would be interesting to probe correlations inside the random media and monitor how long-range correlations build up as light propagates through the random media. However, it is very difficult to probe transport inside three-dimensional (3D) random media. Only in the microwave experiment, a detector (antenna) has been inserted into the random media to measure the intensity inside. Alternatively we design and fabricate quasi-2D disordered waveguides to probe light transport inside from the third dimension. This approach will allow us to directly measure the intensity correlations and fluctuations inside the random structures. Furthermore, we vary the degree of long-range intensity correlations by changing the waveguide geometry.

The intensity-intensity correlation function \( C \) consists of three terms, a short-range \( C_1 \), a long-range \( C_2 \) and an infinite-range \( C_3 \). Intuitively interferences between waves scattered along independent paths give rise to \( C_1 \), one crossing of paths generates \( C_2 \), and two crossings cause \( C_3 \). The spatial correlation \( C_1 \) decays exponentially with increasing distance and vanishes beyond the transport mean free path \( \ell \). \( C_2 \) also decays but much more slowly, while \( C_3 \) has a constant contribution. The long-range correlation leads to a fluctuation of total transmission \( T_n = \sum_b T_{ab} \), where \( T_{ab} \) is the transmission from an incoming wave mode \( a \) to an outgoing mode \( b \). The magnitude of \( C_2 \) is on the order of \( 1/g \), and \( C_3 \) of \( 1/g^2 \), where \( g \equiv \sum_a T_a \) is the conductance. When \( g \gg 1 \), \( C \) is dominated by \( C_1 \). To measure \( C_2 \), the spatial distance must exceed the transport mean free path so that \( C_1 \) dies out. Alternatively, \( C_2 \) can be measured by collecting all transmitted light using an integrating sphere. This method, however, cannot be adopted for the measurement of \( C_2 \) inside the sample. Instead, we integrate light intensity over the waveguide cross-section to average out the short-range fluctuation, and directly measure the long-range correlation inside the disordered planar waveguide. The conductance of the waveguide is \( g = (\pi/2) N \ell / L \), where \( N = 2W/(\lambda/n_e) \) is the number of propagating modes in the waveguide. \( L \) is the waveguide length, \( W \) is the waveguide width, \( \lambda \) is the light wavelength in vacuum, and \( n_e \) is the effective index of refraction of the random medium. Hence, by decreasing \( W \), we are able to reduce \( g \) and enhance the magnitude of \( C_2 \) without modifying the structural disorder.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we describe the design and fabrication of 2D disordered waveguides as well as the optical measurement of intensity correlations inside the waveguide. Section III contains the calculation of long-range correlations inside the disordered waveguides and the formula for the physical quantities that are measured experimentally. Section IV presents the experimental data and comparison to the theory. Finally we conclude in section V.

II. 2D DISORDERED PHOTONIC STRUCTURES

The 2D disordered waveguides were fabricated in a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafer with a 220 nm silicon layer on top of a 3µm buried oxide [Fig. 1]. The patterns were written by electron beam lithography and etched in an inductively-coupled-plasma (ICP) reactive-ion-etcher (RIE). Each waveguide contained a 2D random array of air holes that scattered light. The air hole diameters were 100 nm and the average (center-to-center) distance of...
adjacent holes was 390 nm. The waveguide walls were made of photonic crystals (triangle lattice of air holes, the lattice constant = 440 nm, the hole radius = 154 nm) that had complete 2D bandgap for in-plane confinement of light. However, light was scattered out of plane, and this leakage allowed us to observe light transport inside the disordered waveguide from the vertical direction.

The monochromatic light from a tunable CW laser source (HP 8168F) was coupled by an objective lens of numerical aperture (NA) = 0.4 into the empty waveguide. To ensure efficient confinement inside the waveguide, the light was transverse-electric (TE) polarized (electric field in the plane of the waveguide). It was subsequently incident onto the random array of air holes inside the waveguide and underwent multiple scattering [Fig. 2(a)]. The near-field optical image of the spatial distribution of light intensity across the structure was taken by collecting light scattered out of plane using a 50× objective lens (NA = 0.42) and recorded by an InGaAs camera (Xeva 1.7-320). The spatial resolution was limited by the NA of the objective lens, and estimated to be ∼ 2 µm. Figure 2(b) is a typical near-field image, which exhibits the short-range intensity fluctuations.

From the near-field image [Fig. 2(b)], the 2D intensity distribution inside the waveguide \( I(y, z) \) was extracted. Then \( I(y, z) \) was integrated along the cross-section of the waveguide (y direction) to give the variation along the waveguide axis (z direction) \( I(z) \). The spatial intensity correlations were then computed from \( I(z) \) as:

\[
\tilde{C}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\langle I(z_1)I(z_2) \rangle}{\langle I(z_1) \rangle \langle I(z_2) \rangle} - 1 \tag{1}
\]

where \( \langle . \rangle \) represents an ensemble average. \( \tilde{C}(z_1, z_2) \) was measured for various combinations of \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) inside the disordered waveguides. The ensemble averaging was done over ten random configurations of air holes and 25 input beam positions of two cross-sections inside the disordered waveguide.

FIG. 1: Top-view scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a quasi-2D disordered photonic waveguide. Light is injected from the left end of the empty waveguide and incident onto the random array of air holes. The waveguide wall is made of a triangle lattice of air holes which forms a 2D photonic bandgap to confine light inside the waveguide.

FIG. 2: (Color online)(a) A schematic of the optical measurement setup. One objective lens (NA = 0.4) couples the light from a tunable laser source to the waveguide, and another objective lens (50×, NA = 0.42) collects the light scattered by the air holes out of the waveguide plane and images onto a camera. (b) A near-field optical image of the intensity of scattered light from the disordered waveguide. The wavelength of the probe light is 1510 nm. The intensity distribution exhibits short-range fluctuations. \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) represent the axial positions of two cross-sections inside the disordered waveguide.

The relevant parameters for light propagation in the disordered waveguide are the transport mean free path \( \ell \) and the diffusive dissipation length \( \xi_a \). The transport mean free path \( \ell \) depends on the size and density of the air holes. The dissipation mostly comes from out-of-plane scattering as the silicon absorption at the probe wavelength is negligible. As shown in our previous work, this vertical leakage of light can be treated similarly as absorption and described by the diffusive dissipation length \( \xi_a = \sqrt{D/\tau_a} \), where \( \tau_a \) is the ballistic absorption time and \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient. For the disordered waveguides in Fig. 1, we found \( \xi_a = 30 \mu m \) and \( \ell = 2.2 \mu m \) by fitting the measured \( I(z) \) with the diffusion equation. A detailed description of this procedure is given in Ref. [22]. The waveguide length is 80 µm, and the width varies from 10 µm to 60 µm. Thus the conductance \( g \) is between 1.6
III. THEORY OF LONG-RANGE INTENSITY CORRELATIONS

Spatial intensity correlations defined by Eq. (1) involve intensities integrated over the cross-section of the waveguide. Such integration suppresses the contribution from the short-range correlation $C_1$ so that only $C_2$ and $C_3$ remain. At the output end of the disordered waveguide ($z_1 = z_2 = L$), these two contributions reduce to the normalized variance of total transmission and the normalized variance of conductance respectively. In lossy systems, such as those in our experiment, have been investigated before. Although the expressions for $C_2$ and $C_3$ in Refs. [24,27] have been derived for diffusive samples ($g > 1$), it has been shown to also apply to the localized samples ($g \leq 1$). For the disordered waveguides in our experiment, $C_2$ is much larger than $C_3$. Thus we ignore $C_3$ and assume $\tilde{C} \simeq C_2$.

Next we obtain an expression for $C_2(z_1, z_2)$ which can be used to compare directly with the spatial correlation function defined in Eq. (1). Such expression has been derived using the Langevin approach in Refs. [10,24–26]. For a waveguide geometry we get,

$$C_2(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2}{gL} \int_0^L \frac{\partial K(z_1, z')}{\partial z'} \frac{\partial K(z_2, z')}{\partial z'} \langle I(z') \rangle^2 dz'$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$C_2(z_1, L) = -8\zeta_1 + 4\zeta_1 \cosh 2L + 3(\sinh 2L - \sinh 2\zeta_1) - 3\sinh 2(L - \zeta_1) + 4(L - \zeta_1) \cosh L \csch(L - \zeta_1) \sinh \zeta_1$$

$$16 g^{-1} L^{-1} \sinh^2 L$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where, $\zeta_1 = z_1/\xi_a$. In lossless random medium the above expression reduces to $C_2(z_1, L) = 2(2L - z_1)z_1/(gL^2)$, in agreement with the expression in Refs. [24,27].

$$C_2(z, z) = \left[ 4\zeta \cosh 2L + 5 \sinh 2L - \sinh 2(L - 2\zeta) + \csch^2(L - \zeta)(-4(L - \zeta) - \cosh 4(L - \zeta) \sinh^2 \zeta - 4(2\zeta + \sinh 2(L - \zeta) + \cosh 2\zeta) \right] / \left[ 16 g^{-1} L^{-1} \sinh^2 L \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

In the limit $z = L$ this quantity reduces to the normalized variance of the total transmission. In lossless medium Eq. (7) reduces to a compact expression $C_2(z, z) = (2z/gL)(1 - 2z/3L)$. We note that this function takes the maximum value $(9/8)C_2(L, L)$ at $z = 3L/4$, for any $L$.

In the following section we will compare the above theoretical predictions to the experimental data.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON TO THEORY

Figure 3 shows the measured $\hat{C}(z_1, z_2)$ for a disordered waveguide of $L = 80 \, \mu m$, $W = 60 \, \mu m$, $\xi_a = 30 \, \mu m$, $\ell = 2.2 \, \mu m$. $z_1$ is varied between 0 and $L$ while $z_2$ is fixed at $L$ or $L/2$. As the distance between $z_1$ and $z_2$ increases, $\hat{C}(z_1, z_2)$ decays gradually. Even when the distance becomes much larger than the transport mean free path, the intensity correlation does not vanish. The correlation builds up further into the sample. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3, for a fixed distance $\Delta z = z_2 - z_1 = 10 \, \mu m$, $\hat{C}$ grows as $z_2$ moves from $L/4$ to $L$. The experimentally observed long-range correlations inside the random system agree well to the theoretical predictions represented by the solid lines in Fig. 3.

Next we varied the width $W$ of the waveguide while keeping the length $L$ and the degree of disorder the same. Figure 4 compares $\hat{C}(z_1, z_2)$ for two disordered waveguides of length $L = 80 \, \mu m$ and $W = 10 \, \mu m$, $60 \, \mu m$. $z_1$ is moved from 0 and $L$ while $z_2$ is set at $L$. The localization length $\xi$, reduces from 788 $\mu m$ for $W = 60 \, \mu m$ to 131 $\mu m$ for $W = 10 \, \mu m$. Hence, the former is in the diffusion regime ($\ell \ll L \ll \xi$), while the latter approaches the localization regime ($L > \xi$). The conductance $g$, which is proportional to $W$, drops by a factor of 6 from 9.85 to 1.64. The probability for two scattering paths crossing, which scales as $1/g$, is thus enhanced by a factor of 6. This leads to a six-fold increase of the long-range intensity correlation, as observed experimentally and confirmed theoretically. We note that the enhancement of long-range correlations, as a result of enhanced localization effect, is caused purely by the change of waveguide geometry with no modification of the scattering strength.

Finally, we measured the variance of the cross-section integrated intensity $I(z)$ inside the disordered waveguides. As mentioned above, the normalized variance, $\text{var}[I(z)]/⟨I(z)⟩^2 = C(z_1 = z, z_2 = z)$, becomes equal to the normalized variance of total transmission when $z = L$. Figure 5 shows the measured variance inside two disordered waveguides of width $W = 10 \, \mu m$, $60 \, \mu m$. The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 4. $z$ is changed from 0 to $L$. The fluctuation of $I(z)$ grows when moving deeper into the random system. In a narrower waveguide, the fluctuation is larger due to stronger localization effect (smaller conductance).

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we directly measured the long-range spatial intensity correlations inside the quasi-two-dimensional disordered waveguides. Light scattered out of the waveguide plane allowed us to probe the internal transport from the third dimension. The long-range intensity correlations gradually build up as light propagates through the random system. The fluctuations of cross-section integrated intensity also grow with the depth into the disordered waveguide. Good agreements between experiment and theory are obtained. By reducing the waveguide width, we are able to enhance the long-range intensity correlations and the intensity fluctuations, without modifying the degree of disorder. This provides a new approach for
FIG. 5: Normalized variance of the cross-section integrated intensity $I(z)$, $\text{var}[I(z)]/\langle I(z) \rangle^2$, for two waveguides with the same length $L = 80 \mu m$ and degree of disorder ($k\ell = 26$) but different widths $W = 60 \mu m$ and $W = 10 \mu m$. $z$ is changed from 0 to $L$. The solid circles are experimental data and solid lines represent the theoretical predictions of Eqs. (7). The dashed line corresponds to the background taken outside the waveguide. The six-times reduction of the waveguide width results in a six-fold increase in the magnitude of intensity fluctuations.
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