

A regular homotopy version of the Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra, the Enomoto-Satoh traces and the divergence cocycle in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem

Nariya Kawazumi

May 31, 2014

Abstract

By introducing a refinement of the Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra, we interpret the divergence cocycle in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem and the Enomoto-Satoh obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphisms as some part of a regular homotopy version of the Turaev cobracket.

Introduction

This is an announcement on my research in progress, which introduces a refinement of the Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra. Its goal is to interpret the divergence cocycle in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem [1] and the Enomoto-Satoh obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphisms (= the Enomoto-Satoh traces) [3] as some part of a regular homotopy version of the Turaev cobracket.

In my previous work joint with Yusuke Kuno [8] we proved that the Morita traces are included in the Turaev cobracket. The Enomoto-Satoh traces [3] are refinements of the Morita traces, and closely related to the divergence cocycle in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem. Enomoto [2] proved that the graded quotient of the Turaev cobracket does *not* include the Enomoto-Satoh traces. This fact seems to come from the fact that the Turaev cobracket is defined to be invariant under the birth-death move of a monogon in free loops. This is the reason why we consider the *regular* homotopy set of *immersed* free loops on a surface. On the other hand, the first term of the Enomoto-Satoh traces is just the Earle class k on the mapping class group. Furuta gave an explicit cocycle for the Earle class in terms of a framing of the tangent bundle of the surface. For details, see [10] §4. Our construction is inspired by Furuta's construction.

Proofs and details of these results will appear elsewhere. The author thanks Naoya Enomoto, Takao Satoh and Yusuke Kuno for valuable discussions, and he is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No.24224002) and (B) (No.24340010) from the Japan Society for Promotion of Sciences.

A regular homotopy version of the Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra

Let S be a compact connected oriented C^∞ surface with $\partial S \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $\hat{\pi}^+ = \hat{\pi}^+(S)$ the *regular* homotopy set of free *immersed* loops on S . The infinite cyclic group $\langle r \rangle$ acts on the set $\hat{\pi}^+$ by inserting a (positive) monogon into a loop. The

action is free, and the orbit space $\hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}(S) := \hat{\pi}^+(S)/\langle r \rangle$ equals the free homotopy set of free loops on S . We denote by $\Phi : \hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \hat{\pi}$ the quotient map, which can be regarded as the map forgetting smooth structures on immersed loops. The rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle$ is naturally identified with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[r, r^{-1}]$. The \mathbb{Q} -free vector space over the set $\hat{\pi}^+$, $\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+$, is a free $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle$ -module. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle 1$ the linear span of the regular homotopy classes of null-homotopic immersed free loops on S .

Since S is connected and its boundary is non-empty, the tangent bundle TS is trivial. We call the homotopy class f of a global trivialization $TS \cong S \times \mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbb{R}^2$ a *framing* of S . If we fix a framing f , then we can define the (global) rotation number $\text{rot}_f : \hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The map $\tilde{\Phi}_f := (\Phi, \text{rot}_f) : \hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \hat{\pi} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a bijection. We define $s_f : \hat{\pi} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}^+$ by $s_f(\alpha) := \tilde{\Phi}_f^{-1}(\alpha, 0)$ for $\alpha \in \hat{\pi}$, and $\varepsilon_f : \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle$ by $\varepsilon_f(\beta) := r^{\text{rot}_f(\beta)}$ for $\beta \in \hat{\pi}^+$.

The *regular* Goldman bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^+ : \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle} \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+$ is defined in the same way as the original one [4]. The *regular* Turaev cobracket $\delta^+ : \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle} \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+$ is also defined in a similar way to the original one [12]. The triple $(\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+, [\cdot, \cdot]^+, \delta^+)$ is a Lie bialgebra. For any embedded loop $\alpha \in \hat{\pi}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\delta^+(\alpha^n) = 0$. In particular, the cobracket δ^+ vanishes on $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle 1$. Hence we obtain the induced operation

$$\delta^+ : \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ / \mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle} \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+.$$

In the original case [12] the target of δ is $(\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}/\mathbb{Q}1)^{\otimes 2}$, since the cobracket has to be invariant under the birth-death move of a monogon, which we can ignore in the context of regular homotopy.

We number the connected components of the boundary $\partial S = \coprod_{a=0}^n \partial_a S$, where $n = \sharp \pi_0(\partial S) - 1$. For each a we choose a point $*_a \in \partial_a S$ and an inward vector $v_a \in T_{*_a} S$. We define a \mathbb{Q} -linear small category $\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E$ whose object set is $E := \{*_a\}_{a=0}^n$, and whose morphism vector space from $*_a$ to $*_b$ is the \mathbb{Q} -free vector space over the set $\Pi^+ S(v_a, -v_b) := \{\ell : [0, 1] \rightarrow S; \text{ an immersed path in } S \text{ from } *_a \text{ to } *_b \text{ with } \dot{\ell}(0) = v_a \text{ and } \dot{\ell}(1) = -v_b\}$ modulo regular homotopy. The infinite cyclic group $\langle r \rangle$ acts on the set $\Pi^+ S(v_a, -v_b)$ by inserting monogons into paths. If we fix a framing f of S , we have a group isomorphism $\Pi^+ S(v_a, -v_a) \cong \pi_1(S, *_a) \times \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\text{Der}_{\partial}(\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E)$ the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle$ -linear derivations of the category $\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E$ annihilating all loops parallel to some boundary component. In the same way as in [6] we can define a $\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle$ -Lie algebra homomorphism $\sigma^+ : \mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+ / \mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle 1 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\partial}(\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E)$.

Now we take completions of $\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+$ and $\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E$ with respect to the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S(v_a, -v_a)$, and denote them by $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+ S|_E}$, respectively. Recall the completed group ring $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle}$ is naturally identified with the ring of formal power series in $\rho := \log r$. In other words, we have $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle} = \mathbb{Q}[[\log r]] = \mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]$. The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^+$ and the cobracket δ^+ induce a natural Lie bialgebra structure on the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}$.

The Enomoto-Satoh traces

Recall that the completed Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$ introduced in [7] has a decreasing filtration $\{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}(m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, and that the \mathbb{Q} -linear category $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\Pi S|_E}$ admits a coproduct Δ [7]. Then we introduce a Lie subalgebra $L^+(S) := \{u \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}(3); (\sigma(u) \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} \sigma(u)) \Delta = \Delta \sigma(u)\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$. We can prove that the restriction of the map $s_f : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+} / \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle} 1$ to the subalgebra $L^+(S)$ does not depend on the

choice of a framing f . So we denote it by $s_{\text{can}} : L^+(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1$, and call it the *canonical section*. Then we define the maps $\text{ES}_f^+ : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1$ and $\text{ES}_f : L^+(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$ by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 & \xrightarrow{\delta^+} & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+} \\
\uparrow s_{\text{can}} & \searrow \text{ES}_f^+ & \downarrow \varepsilon_f \hat{\otimes} 1 \\
L^+(S) & & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \\
& \searrow \text{ES}_f & \downarrow \Phi \\
& & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}.
\end{array}$$

In the case the boundary ∂S is connected, the graded quotient of the map ES_f

$$\text{gr}(\text{ES}_f) : \text{gr}(L^+(S)) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}})$$

is exactly the Enomoto-Satoh traces. On the other hand, if S is of genus 0, the Lie algebra $L^+(S)$ is isomorphic to an extension of the positive part of the special derivation algebra $\mathfrak{sd}\mathfrak{er}_n$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$ to the space \mathfrak{t}_n in [1]. Then the graded quotient $\text{gr}(\text{ES}_f)$ equals the restriction of the divergence cocycle div in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem [1]. The proof of these facts is based on a tensorial description of the homotopy intersection form by Massuyeau and Turaev [9]. Hence the Enomoto-Satoh traces and the divergence cocycle are interpreted as some part of the regular Turaev cobracket.

The mapping class group

The homomorphism $\sigma^+ : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}\langle r \rangle 1 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\partial}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+S|_E})$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]$ -Lie algebra homomorphism $\sigma^+ : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\partial}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+S|_E})$. Then it is a Lie algebra isomomorphism

$$\sigma^+ : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Der}_{\partial}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\Pi^+S|_E}). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, for any framing f of S , the map $\tilde{\Phi}_f$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_f : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}. \quad (2)$$

Let $\mathcal{I}^L(S)$ be the largest Torelli group in the sense of Putman [11]. By the isomorphism (1) we can define the *geometric* Johnson homomorphism

$$\tau^+ : \mathcal{I}^L(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1$$

in the same way as in [7]. Applying a regular homotopy version of the logarithm formula for Dehn twists [6][7][9] to Putman's generators of $\mathcal{I}^L(S)$ [11], we can prove

$$\delta^+ \circ \tau^+ = 0 : \mathcal{I}^L(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}. \quad (3)$$

In fact, the cobracket δ^+ vanishes at any power of any embedded loop. Let C be a simple closed curve in the interior of S with $\pm[C] = 0 \in H_1(S; \mathbb{Z})$. In other words, C is a bounding simple closed curve. Then the Dehn twist t_C along C satisfies $\tau(t_C) \in L^+(S)$. Choose a framing f of S . Let h be the genus of the subsurface

bounded by C . Then, under the isomorphism (2), the regular homotopy version of the logarithm formula says

$$\tilde{\Phi}_f(\tau^+(t_C)) = \frac{1}{2} |(\log(C) + (1-2h)\rho)^2| = \frac{1}{2} |(1-2h)\rho \log(C) + (\log(C))^2| = \frac{1}{2} |(\log(C))^2|,$$

since C is null-homologous. We define the subgroup $\mathcal{K}(S) \subset \mathcal{I}^L(S)$ by those generated by such Dehn twists. In view of a theorem of Johnson [5], $\mathcal{K}(S)$ is the Johnson kernel if the boundary of S is connected.

Consequently, for any S , we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{I}^L(S) & \xrightarrow{\tau^+} & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 & \xrightarrow{\delta^+} & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+} \\ \uparrow \text{incl.} & & \uparrow s_{\text{can}} & \searrow \text{ES}_f^+ & \downarrow \varepsilon_f \otimes 1 \\ \mathcal{K}(S) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & L^+(S) & & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1 \\ & & \searrow \text{ES}_f & & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & & & \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}. \end{array}$$

In particular, we have $\text{ES}_f \circ \tau|_{\mathcal{K}(S)} = 0 : \mathcal{K}(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$. This gives a geometric proof for the fact that the Enomoto-Satoh traces are obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphisms.

The genus 0 case

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Here we study the framed pure braid group FP_n on n strands on the 2-disk. This is nothing but the largest Torelli group of $S := \Sigma_{0,n+1}$. By capping each of the boundary components except one by the surface $\Sigma_{1,1}$ we obtain an embedding of the surface $\iota : S \hookrightarrow \hat{S} := \Sigma_{n,1}$, which induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $\iota : \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}(\hat{S})$. The pull-back of the Enomoto-Satoh trace $\text{gr}(\text{ES}_f)$ by the map ι equals the divergence cocycle div in the Kashiwara-Vergne problem [1] up to some low degree map $H_1(S)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H_1(S)$. Here f is any framing of \hat{S} . In this case we have $\mathcal{K}(S) = \{1\}$. Instead we consider the commutator subgroup $[FP_n, FP_n]$. Choose a framing f of S . For any simple closed curve C_i , $i = 1, 2$, in S , we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_f(\tau^+(t_{C_i})) = \frac{1}{2} \text{rot}_f(C_i) \rho |\log(C_i)| + \frac{1}{2} |(\log(C_i))^2|.$$

Since the genus of S is zero, the homology group $H_1(S; \mathbb{Q})$ is spanned by the boundary loops. In particular, $|\log(C_i)|$ is in the center of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}}$. Hence we have

$$\left[\tilde{\Phi}_f(\tau^+(t_{C_1})), \tilde{\Phi}_f(\tau^+(t_{C_2})) \right] = \left[\frac{1}{2} |(\log(C_1))^2|, \frac{1}{2} |(\log(C_2))^2| \right],$$

which is independent of the choice of the framing f . Thus we have $\tau^+|_{[FP_n, FP_n]} = s_{\text{can}} \circ \tau : [FP_n, FP_n] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\hat{\pi}^+}/\mathbb{Q}[[\rho]]1$, and $(\text{ES}_f \circ \tau)(\varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in [FP_n, FP_n]$.

References

- [1] A. Alekseev and C. Torossian, The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld's associators, *Ann. of Math.* **175**, 415-463 (2012)

- [2] N. Enomoto, private communication.
- [3] N. Enomoto and T. Satoh, New series in the Johnson cokernels of the mapping class groups of surfaces, *Alg. Geom. Topology* **14**, 627-669 (2014)
- [4] W. M. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface groups representations, *Invent. Math.* **85**, 263-302 (1986)
- [5] D. Johnson, The structure of the Torelli group. II. A characterization of the group generated by twists on bounding curves, *Topology* **24** (1985) 113–126.
- [6] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno, The logarithms of Dehn twists, to appear in: *Quantum Topology*
- [7] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno, Groupoid-theoretical methods in the mapping class groups of surfaces, preprint, arXiv: 1109.6479v3.
- [8] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno, Intersections of curves on surfaces and their applications to mapping class groups, preprint, arXiv: 1112.3841v3.
- [9] G. Massuyeau and V. Turaev, Fox pairings and generalized Dehn twists, to appear in *Ann. Inst. Fourier*.
- [10] S. Morita, Casson invariant, signature defect of framed manifolds and the secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **47** (1997) 560–599.
- [11] A. Putman, Cutting and pasting in the Torelli group, *Geometry and Topology*, **11** (2007) 829–865.
- [12] V. G. Turaev, Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces, *Ann. sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **24**, 635-704 (1991)

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo,
153-8914, JAPAN.
kawazumi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp