

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF MOMENT SEQUENCES BY EXTENSIONS

KONRAD SCHMÜDGEN

ABSTRACT. The fibre theorem [7] for the moment problem on closed semi-algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is generalized to finitely generated real unital algebras. A characterization of moment functionals on the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  in terms of extensions is given. These results are applied to reprove basic results on the complex moment problem due to Stochel and Szafraniec [8] and Bisgaard [1].

**AMS Subject Classification (2000).** 44A60, 14P10.

**Key words:** moment problem, real algebraic geometry

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper deals with the classical moment problem on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A useful result on the existence of solutions is the fibre theorem [7] for closed semi-algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The proof given in [7] was based on the decomposition theory of states on  $*$ -algebras. An elementary proof has been found by T. Netzer [3], see also M. Marshall [2, Chapter 4].

In this paper we first generalize the fibre theorem from the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  to arbitrary finitely generated unital real algebras (Theorem 3). This general version is the starting point for a number of applications. The main result of the paper is an extension theorem (Theorem 7) that provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  being a moment functional. In the case  $d = 2$  this result leads to a basic theorem of J. Stochel and F. H. Szafraniec [8] on the complex moment problem (Theorem 8). Another application of the generalized fibre theorem is a short proof of a theorem of T.M. Bisgaard on the two-sided complex moment problem (Theorem 9).

Let us fix some definitions and notations which are used throughout this paper. A *complex  $*$ -algebra*  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complex algebra equipped with an involution, that is, an antilinear mapping  $\mathcal{B} \ni b \rightarrow b^* \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $(bc)^* = c^*b^*$  and  $(b^*)^* = b$  for  $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\sum \mathcal{B}^2$  denote the set of finite sums  $\sum_j b_j^*b_j$  of hermitean squares  $b_j^*b_j$ , where  $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ . A linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is called *positive* if  $L$  is nonnegative on  $\sum \mathcal{B}^2$ , that is, if  $L(b^*b) \geq 0$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ .

A  *$*$ -semigroup* is a semigroup  $S$  with a mapping  $s^* \rightarrow s$  of  $S$  into itself, called involution such that  $(st)^* = t^*s^*$  and  $(s^*)^* = s$  for  $s, t \in S$ . The *semigroup  $*$ -algebra*  $\mathbb{C}S$  of  $S$  is the complex  $*$ -algebra is the vector space of all finite sums  $\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s s$ , where  $\alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}$ , with product and involution

$$\left(\sum_s \alpha_s s\right)\left(\sum_t \beta_t t\right) := \sum_{s,t} \alpha_s \beta_t st, \quad \left(\sum_s \alpha_s s\right)^* := \sum_s \bar{\alpha}_s s^*.$$

The polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is abbreviated by  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ .

## 2. A GENERALIZATION OF THE FIBRE THEOREM

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *finitely generated commutative real unital algebra*. By a character of  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean an algebra homomorphism  $\chi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\chi(1) = 1$ . We equip the set  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  of characters of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the weak topology.

Let us fix a set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  of generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there is a algebra homomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\pi(x_j) = f_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . If  $\mathcal{J}$  denotes the kernel of  $\pi$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the quotient algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$ . Further, each character  $\chi$  is completely determined by the point  $x_\chi := (\chi(f_1), \dots, \chi(f_d))$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For simplicity we will identify  $\chi$  with  $x_\chi$  and write  $f(x_\chi) := \chi(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  becomes a real algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In the special case  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  we can take  $f_1 = x_1, \dots, f_d = x_d$  and obtain  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 1.** A preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \quad 1 \in \mathcal{T}, \quad a^2 \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T} \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  denote the set of finite sums  $\sum_i a_i^2$  of squares of elements  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative,  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  is invariant under multiplication and hence the smallest preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For a preorder  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) = \{x \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} : f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

The main concepts are introduced in the following definition, see [7] or [2].

**Definition 2.** A preorder  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  has the

- moment property (MP) if each  $\mathcal{T}$ -positive linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a moment functional, that is, there exists a positive Borel measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$  such that

$$(1) \quad L(f) = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} f(x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A},$$

- strong moment property (SMP) if each  $\mathcal{T}$ -positive linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ -moment functional, that is, there is a positive Borel measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$  such that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$  and (1) holds.

To state our main result (Theorem 3) we need some preparations.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  a finitely generated preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\mathbf{f} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  be a sequence of generators of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We consider a fixed  $m$ -tuple  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$  of elements  $h_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))}$  denote the closure of the subset  $\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})) = \{(h_1(x), \dots, h_m(x)); x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})\}.$$

For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we denote by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda$  the semi-algebraic set

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) : h_1(x) = \lambda_1, \dots, h_m(x) = \lambda_m\}$$

and by  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  the preorder generated by the sequence

$$\mathbf{f}(\lambda) := \{f_1, \dots, f_k, h_1 - \lambda_1, \lambda_1 - h_1, \dots, h_m - \lambda_m, \lambda_m - h_m\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$  is the disjoint union of fibre set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $h_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, h_m - \lambda_m$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda := \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}_\lambda$  and the preorder  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  of the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  is generated by

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{f}) := \{\pi_\lambda(f_1), \dots, \pi_\lambda(f_k)\},$$

where  $\pi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  denotes the canonical map.

Further, let  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda := \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda))$  denote the ideal of all elements  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which vanish on the zero set  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda)$ . Set  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda := \mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ . Then  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$  is a preorder of the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{A}/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ .

Note that in general we have  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \neq \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$  and equality holds if and only if the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  is *real*. The latter means that  $\sum_j a_j^2 \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$  for finitely many elements  $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$  always implies that  $a_j \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$  for all  $j$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finitely generated commutative real unital algebra and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a finitely generated preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  are elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  that are bounded on the set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}$  has property (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ .
- (ii)'  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$  satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ .
- (iii)'  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$  has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ .

Proposition 4(i) gives the implication (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii), Proposition 4(ii) yields the equivalences (ii) $\leftrightarrow$ (iii) and (ii)'  $\leftrightarrow$ (iii)', and Proposition 4(iii) implies equivalence (ii) $\leftrightarrow$ (ii)'.

The main assertion of Theorem 3 is the implication (ii) $\rightarrow$ (i). Its proof is lengthy and technically involved. In the proof given below we reduce the general case to the case  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal and  $\mathcal{T}$  a finitely generated preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal of all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which vanish on the zero set  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$ , so does  $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$ .*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$  satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})/\mathcal{I}$  does in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ .*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$  obeys (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}$  does.*

*Proof.* (i): See e.g. [6], Proposition 4.6.

(ii) See e.g. [6], Lemma 4.5.

(iii): It suffices to show that both preorders  $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}$  have the same positive characters and the same positive linear functionals on  $\mathcal{A}$ . For the sets of characters, using the equality  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}})$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ , a  $(\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}})$ -positive functional is trivially  $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})$ -positive.

Conversely, let  $L$  be a  $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})$ -positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $a \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ . Set  $a' := \pi^{-1}(a)$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$  as noted above. Clearly,  $\mathcal{I}' := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{I})$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  and we have  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}') = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})$ . Hence, since  $\pi(a) = a'$ , the polynomial  $a' \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}')$ . Therefore,

by the real Nullstellensatz [2, Theorem 2.2.1, (3)], there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \sum \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]^2$  such that  $c' := (a')^{2m} + b \in \mathcal{I}'$ . Upon multiplying  $c'$  by some even power of  $a'$  we can assume that  $2m = 2^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$(2) \quad c := \pi(c') = a^{2^k} + \pi(b) \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{where} \quad \pi(b) \in \sum \mathcal{A}^2.$$

Being  $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})$ -positive,  $L$  annihilates  $\mathcal{I}$  and is nonnegative on  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ . Therefore, by (2),

$$0 = L(c) = L(a^{2^k}) + L(\pi(b)), \quad L(\pi(b)) \geq 0, \quad L(a^{2^k}) \geq 0.$$

Hence  $L(a^{2^k}) = 0$ . Since  $L$  is nonnegative on  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds. By a repeated application of this inequality we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |L(a)|^{2^k} &\leq L(a^2)^{2^{k-1}} L(1)^{2^{k-1}} \leq L(a^4)^{2^{k-2}} L(1)^{2^{k-2} + 2^{k-1}} \leq \dots \\ &\leq L(a^{2^k}) L(1)^{1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $L(a) = 0$ . That is,  $L$  annihilates  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ . Hence  $L$  is  $(\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}})$ -positive which completes the proof of (iii):  $\square$

*Proof of the implication (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 3:*

As noted at the beginning of this section there is an algebra homomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\pi(x_j) = f_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the quotient algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the kernel of  $\pi$ . We choose polynomials  $\tilde{h}_j \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{h}_j) = h_j$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$  is a preorder of  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ . Hence each polynomial  $\tilde{h}_j$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ . Further,  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda := \tilde{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathcal{T}_\lambda + \mathcal{J}$  is also a preorder of  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ . By Proposition 4(ii),  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$  resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  resp.  $\mathcal{T}$  does in  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$ . Therefore, the assertion (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 3 follows from the corresponding result for the preorders  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$  of the algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  proved in [7].  $\square$

Theorem 3 is formulated for a commutative unital *real* algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . In Sections 4 and 5 we are concerned with commutative *complex* semigroup  $*$ -algebras. This case can be easily reduced to Theorem 3 as we discuss in what follows.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a commutative complex  $*$ -algebra, its hermitean part

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}_h := \{b \in \mathcal{B} : b = b^*\}$$

is a commutative real algebra.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative real algebra. Then its complexification  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative complex  $*$ -algebra with involution  $(a_1 + ia_2)^* := a_1 - ia_2$  and scalar multiplication

$$(\alpha + i\beta)(a_1 + ia_2) := \alpha a_1 - \beta a_2 + i(\alpha a_2 + \beta a_1), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A},$$

and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the hermitean part  $\mathcal{B}_h$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then we have  $b = a_1 + ia_2$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and since  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, we get

$$(3) \quad b^*b = (a_1 - ia_2)(a_1 + ia_2) = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + i(a_1a_2 - a_2a_1) = a_1^2 + a_2^2.$$

Hence, if  $\mathcal{T}$  is a preorder of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $b^*b\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $\sum \mathcal{B}^2 = \sum \mathcal{A}^2$ .

Further, each  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  has a unique extension  $\tilde{L}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functional on  $\mathcal{B}$ . By (3),  $L$  is nonnegative on  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  if and only if  $\tilde{L}$  is nonnegative on  $\sum \mathcal{B}^2$ , that is,  $\tilde{L}$  is a positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### 3. AN EXTENSION THEOREM

In this section we derive a theorem which characterizes moment functional on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in terms of extensions.

Throughout let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the real algebra of functions on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^\times := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  generated by the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  and the functions

$$(4) \quad f_{kl}(x) := x_k x_l (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2)^{-1}, \quad \text{where } k, l = 1, \dots, d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Clearly, these functions satisfy the identity

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k,l=1}^d f_{kl}(x)^2 = 1.$$

That is, the functions  $f_{kl}$ ,  $k, l = 1, \dots, d$ , generate the coordinate algebra  $C(S^d)$  of the unit sphere  $S^d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The next lemma describes the character set  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *The set  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is parametrized by the disjoint union of  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and  $S^d$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  the character  $\chi_x$  is the evaluation of functions at  $x$  and for  $t \in S^d$  the character  $\chi^t$  acts by  $\chi^t(x_j) = 0$  and  $\chi^t(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(t)$ , where  $j, k, l = 1, \dots, d$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  the point evaluation  $\chi_x$  at  $x$  is a character on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $(\chi(x_1), \dots, \chi(x_d)) \neq 0$ .

Conversely, let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $x := (\chi(x_1), \dots, \chi(x_d)) \neq 0$ . Then the identity  $(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2)f_{kl} = x_k x_l$  implies that

$$(\chi(x_1)^2 + \cdots + \chi(x_d)^2)\chi(f_{kl}) = \chi(x_k)\chi(x_l)$$

and therefore

$$\chi(f_{kl}) = (\chi(x_1)^2 + \cdots + \chi(x_d)^2)^{-1} \chi(x_k)\chi(x_l) = f_{kl}(x).$$

Thus  $\chi$  acts on the generators  $x_j$  and  $f_{kl}$ , hence on the whole algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , by point evaluation at  $x$ , that is, we have  $\chi = \chi_x$ .

Next let us note that the quotient of  $\mathcal{A}$  by the ideal generated by  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  is (isomorphic to) the algebra  $C(S^d)$ . Therefore, if  $\chi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\chi(x_1), \dots, \chi(x_d)) = 0$ , then it gives a character on the algebra  $C(S^d)$ . Clearly, each character of  $C(S^d)$  comes from a point of  $S^d$ . Conversely, each point  $t \in S^d$  defines a unique character of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\chi^t(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(t)$  and  $\chi^t(x_j) = 0$  for all  $k, l, j$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *The preorder  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (MP), that is, for each positive linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  there exist positive Borel measures  $\nu_0$  on  $S^d$  and  $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  such that for all polynomials  $g$  we have*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(g(x, f_{11}(x), \dots, f_{dd}(x))) \\ &= \int_{S^d} g(0, f_{11}(t), \dots, f_{dd}(t)) d\nu_0(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} g(x, f_{11}(x), \dots, f_{dd}(x)) d\nu_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  has (MP). The assertions follow then from the definition of the property (MP) and the explicit form of the character set given in Lemma 5.

From the description of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  it is obvious that the functions  $f_{kl}$ ,  $k, l = 1, \dots, d$ , are bounded on  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ , so we can take them as polynomials  $h_j$  in Theorem 3. Consider a non-empty fibers for  $\lambda = (\lambda_{kl})$ , where  $\lambda_{kl} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  be such that  $\chi(f_{kl}) = \lambda_{kl}$  for all  $k, l$ . If  $\chi = \chi^t$ , then  $\chi(x_j) = 0$  for all  $j$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$  has trivially (MP). Now suppose that  $\chi = \chi_x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\chi_x(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(x) = \lambda_{kl}$ . Since  $1 = \sum_k f_{kk}(x) = \sum_k \lambda_{kk}$ , there is a  $k$  such that  $\lambda_{kk} \neq 0$ . From the equality  $\lambda_{kk} = f_{kk}(x) = x_k^2(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^{-1}$  it follows that  $x_k \neq 0$ . Hence  $\frac{\lambda_{kl}}{\lambda_{kk}} = \frac{f_{kl}(x)}{f_{kk}(x)} = \frac{x_l}{x_k}$ , so that  $x_l = \frac{\lambda_{kl}}{\lambda_{kk}} x_k$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, d$ . This implies that the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  by the fiber ideal  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$  is an algebra of polynomials in the single variable  $x_k$ . Therefore, the preorder  $\sum(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda)^2$  satisfies (MP). Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  itself obeys (MP) by Theorem 3.  $\square$

The main result of this section is the following extension theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *A linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  is a moment functional if and only if it has an extension to a positive linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on the larger algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $L$  has an extension to a positive linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Theorem 6, the functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form described by equation (6). We define a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\mu(\{0\}) = \nu_0(S^d), \quad \mu(M \setminus \{0\}) = \nu_1(M \setminus \{0\}).$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ . Setting  $g(x, 0, \dots, 0) = p(x)$  in (6), we get

$$L(p) = \mathcal{L}(p) = \nu_0(\{0\})p(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} g(x, 0, \dots, 0) d\nu_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(x) d\mu(x).$$

Thus  $L$  is moment functional on  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  with representing measure  $\mu$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $L$  is a moment functional on  $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  and let  $\mu$  be a representing measure. Since  $f_{kl}(t, 0, \dots, 0) = \delta_{k1}\delta_{l1}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$ , we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f_{kl}(t, 0, \dots, 0) = \delta_{k1}\delta_{l1}$ . Hence there is a well-defined character on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$\chi(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t, 0, \dots, 0), \quad f \in \mathcal{A},$$

and  $\chi(p) = p(0)$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$ . Then, for  $f \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ , we have

$$(7) \quad L(f) = \mu(\{0\})\chi(f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  we define  $\mathcal{L}(f)$  by the right-hand side of (7). Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  which extends  $L$ .  $\square$

Remarks.

1. Another type of extension theorems has been derived in [5]. The main difference to the above theorem is that in [5], see e.g. Theorem 2.5, a function

$$h(x) := (1 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 + p_1(x)^2 + \dots + p_k(x)^2)^{-1}$$

is added to the algebra, where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$  are fixed. Since  $h(x)$  is bounded on the character set and non-empty fibres consist of single points, the existence assertions of these results follow immediately from Theorem 3. In this case the representing measure of the extended functional is unique (see [5, Theorem 2.5]).

2. The measure  $\nu_1$  in Theorem 6 and hence the representing measure  $\mu$  for the functional  $\mathcal{L}$  in Theorem 7 are not uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{L}$ . (A counter-example is easily constructed by taking some appropriate measure supported by a coordinate axis.) Let  $\mu_{\text{rad}}$  denote the measure on  $[0, +\infty)$  obtained by transporting  $\mu$  by the mapping  $x \rightarrow \|x\|^2$ . Then, as shown in [4, p. 2964, Nr 2.], if  $\mu_{\text{rad}}$  is determinate on  $[0, +\infty)$ , then  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

#### 4. APPLICATION TO THE COMPLEX MOMENT PROBLEM

Given a complex 2-sequence  $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2}$  the complex moment problem asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that the function  $z^m \bar{z}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and

$$(8) \quad s_{mn} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \bar{z}^n d\mu(z) \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2.$$

The semigroup algebra  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}_0^2$  of the  $*$ -semigroup  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$  with involution  $(m, n) := (n, m)$ ,  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , is the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$  with involution given by  $z^* = \bar{z}$ . If  $L$  denotes the linear functional on  $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$  defined by

$$L(z^m \bar{z}^n) = s_{m,n}, \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$$

then (8) means that

$$L_s(p) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(z), \quad p \in \mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}].$$

Clearly,  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$  is a subsemigroup of the larger  $*$ -semigroup

$$\mathcal{N}_+ = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m + n \geq 0\} \quad \text{with involution } (m, n)^* = (n, m).$$

The following fundamental theorem was proved by J. Stochel and F.H. Szafraniec [8].

**Theorem 8.** *A linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$  is a moment functional if and only if  $L$  has an extension to a positive linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ .*

In [8] this theorem was stated in terms of semigroups:

*A complex sequence  $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2}$  is a moment sequence on  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$  if and only if there exists a positive semidefinite sequence  $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{s}_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathcal{N}_+}$  on the  $*$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{N}_+$  such that  $\tilde{s}_{m,n} = s_{m,n}$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 8 we first describe the semigroup  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$  is the complex  $*$ -algebra generated by the functions  $z^m \bar{z}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m + n \geq 0$ . If  $r(z)$  denotes the modulus and  $u(z)$  the phase of  $z$ , then  $z^m \bar{z}^n = r(z)^{m+n} u(z)^{m-n}$ . Setting  $k = m + n$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+ = \text{Lin}\{r(z)^k u(z)^{2m-k}; k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The functions  $r(z)$  and  $u(z)$  itself are not in  $\mathcal{CN}_+$ , but  $r(z)u(z) = z$  and  $v(z) := u(z)^2 = z\bar{z}^{-1}$  are in  $\mathcal{CN}_+$  and they generate the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{CN}_+$ . Writing  $z = x_1 + ix_2$  with  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$1 + v(z) = 1 + \frac{x_1 + ix_2}{x_1 - ix_2} = 2 \frac{x_1^2 + ix_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad 1 - v(z) = 2 \frac{x_2^2 - ix_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

This implies that the complex algebra  $\mathcal{CN}_+$  is generated by the five functions

$$(9) \quad x_1, \quad x_2, \quad \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad \frac{x_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

Obviously, the hermitean part  $(\mathcal{CN}_+)_h$  of the complex  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{CN}_+$  is just the *real* algebra generated by the functions (9). This real algebra is the special case  $d = 2$  of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  treated in Section 3. Therefore, if we identify  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the assertion of Theorem 8 follows at once from Theorem 7.

## 5. APPLICATION TO THE TWO-SIDED COMPLEX MOMENT PROBLEM

The two-sided complex moment problem is the moment problem for the  $*$ -semigroup  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  with involution  $(m, n) := (n, m)$ . Given a sequence  $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  it asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that the function  $z^m \bar{z}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and

$$s_{mn} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} z^m \bar{z}^n d\mu(z) \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Note that this requires conditions for the measure  $\mu$  at infinity and at zero.

The following basic result was obtained by T.M. Bisgaard [1].

**Theorem 9.** *A linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$  is a moment functional if and only if  $L$  is a positive functional, that is,  $L(f^*f) \geq 0$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ .*

In terms of  $*$ -semigroups the main assertion of this theorem says that *each positive semidefinite sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is a moment sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$* . This result is somewhat surprising, since  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  has dimension 2 and no additional condition (such as strong positivity or some appropriate extension) is required.

First we reformulate the semigroup  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$  is generated by the functions  $z, \bar{z}, z^{-1}, \bar{z}^{-1}$  on the complex plane, that is,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}, z^{-1}, \bar{z}^{-1}]$  of complex Laurent polynomials in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ . A vector space basis of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the set  $\{z^k \bar{z}^l; k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Writing  $z = x_1 + ix_2$  with  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$z^{-1} = \frac{x_1 - ix_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^{-1} = \frac{x_1 + ix_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

Hence  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$  is the complex unital algebra generated by the four functions

$$(10) \quad x_1, \quad x_2, \quad y_1 := \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad y_2 := \frac{x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Note that

$$(11) \quad (y_1 + iy_2)(x_1 - ix_2) = 1.$$



*Proof of Theorem 9:*

As above we identify  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in the obvious way. As discussed at the end of Section 2, the hermitean part of the complex  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}Z^2$  is a real algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . First we determine the character set  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Obviously, the point evaluation at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  defines uniquely a character  $\chi_x$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . From (11) it follows at once that there is no character  $\chi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $\chi(x_1) = \chi(x_2) = 0$ . Thus,

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\chi_x; x \in \mathbb{R}^2, x \neq 0\}.$$

The three functions

$$h_1(x) = x_1y_1 = \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad h_2(x) = x_2y_2 = \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad h_3(x) = 2x_1y_2 = \frac{x_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$

are elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and bounded on  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6 it follows that the preorders  $\sum(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda)^2$  for all fibers satisfy (MP) and so does  $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$  by Theorem 3. Since  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{C}^\times$ , this gives the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark.** The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by the four functions  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is an interesting structure: The generators satisfy the relations

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) = 1$$

and there is a  $*$ -automorphism  $\Phi$  of the real algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (and hence of the complex  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}Z^2$ ) given by  $\Phi(x_j) = y_j$  and  $\Phi(y_j) = x_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Tim Netzer for valuable discussions on the subject of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bisgaard, T.M., The two-sided complex moment problem, *Ark. Mat.* **27**(1989), 23–28.
- [2] Marshall, M., *Positive polynomials and sums of squares*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **146**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [3] Netzer, T., An elementary proof of Schmüdgen’s theorem on the moment problem of closed semi-algebraic sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136**(2008), 529–537.
- [4] Putinar, N. and Schmüdgen, K., Multivariate determinateness, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57**(2008), 2931–2968.
- [5] Putinar, N. and Vasilescu, F.-H., Solving the moment problem by dimension extension, *Ann. Math.* **149**(1999), 1087–1069.
- [6] Scheiderer, C., Non-existence of degree bounds for weighted sums of squares representations, *J. Complexity* **2**(2005), 823–844.
- [7] Schmüdgen, K., On the moment problem of closed semi-algebraic sets, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **558**(2003), 225–234.
- [8] Stochel, J. and Szafraniec, F.H., The complex moment problem and subnormality: a polar decomposition approach. *J. Funct. Anal.* **159**(1998), 432–491.

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10/11, D-04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* [schmuedgen@math.uni-leipzig.de](mailto:schmuedgen@math.uni-leipzig.de)