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#### Abstract

Given a locally complete intersection $X \hookrightarrow Y$ we define a version of a derived chiral De Rham complex, thereby "chiralizing" a result by Illusie and Bhatt. A similar construction attaches to a graded ring a dg vertex algebra, which we prove to be Morita equivalent to a dg algebra of differential operators. For example, the $d g$ vertex algebra associated to a fat point, which also arises in the Landau-Ginzburg model, is shown to be derived rational.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Grothendieck has attached an algebra of differential operators, $\mathcal{D}_{A}$, to any commutative ring $A$. However, if $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is not a smooth variety then this algebra may be pathological, and the usual approach is to abandon the algebra and define the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules by embedding $\operatorname{Spec} A$ into a smooth algebraic variety $Y$ and letting $\mathcal{D}-\bmod$ be the category of $\mathcal{D}_{Y}$-modules supported on $\operatorname{Spec} A$. (All algebras will be supposed to be of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.)

The definition of an algebra of chiral differential operators [MSV, GMS] does not even hint at a method to construct it on a singular variety. In this paper we suggest a homotopical version of such construction which uses the ideas of derived algebraic geometry.

Let $P$ be a finite polynomial differential graded (dg) algebra and $P \rightarrow A$ a dga resolution. (All our dg algebras are nonpositively graded with differential of degree $+1 ; A$ is placed in degree 0 and has zero differential. As is well known, the existence of a finite dga resolution is equivalent to $A$ being a complete intersectiion.) We verify that $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ is a dg algebra determined uniquely up to homotopy, and so we denote by $L \mathcal{D}_{A}$ its homotopy class. D.Gaitsgory has explained to us that the derived category of $\operatorname{dg} \mathcal{D}_{P}$-modules is equivalent to the derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules over $\operatorname{Spec} A$ defined as above.

It is perfectly clear what the dg algebra of chiral differential operators, $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$, is, and our proposal is to consider the assignment $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$, or its De Rham version $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{P}}^{c h}$. The merit of this proposal depends on how natural such assignments are, and we admit that we do not know much about this. Let us now describe the results.

The fundamental problem is that there is no such thing as a free vertex algebra, and we have to rely on stopgap measures. If we fix a locally complete intersection $X \hookrightarrow Y$, where $Y$ is a smooth algebraic variety, then the De Rham version of our proposal gives $L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}$, a sheaf on $X$ with values in an appropriate homotopy category of vertex algebras.

The construction manifestly depends on the embedding, but part of it does not. The sheaf $L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}$ is conformally graded, and its 0th component, $L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}[0]$, is essentially the derived De Rham complex, $L \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}$, of Illusie [Ill2]. There arises a natural projection $L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h} \rightarrow L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}[0]$, which gives an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(X, L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H} \bullet\left(X, L \Omega_{X \hookrightarrow Y}^{c h}[0]\right)$.

On the other hand, Grothendieck's classic result on the algebraic description of singular cohomology has been generalized by Illusie in the case of locally complete intersections and Bhatt $\left[\mathrm{Bh}\right.$ in general as follows: $\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(X, L \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\bullet}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Combined the last two isomorphisms give

$$
\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(X, L \Omega_{X}^{c h}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\bullet}(X, \mathbb{C}) .
$$

This can be thought of as a chiralization of the Bhatt-Illusie theorem. Of course, this isomorphism is also a generalization of an analogous result for the chiral De Rham complex $\Omega_{X}^{c h}$ over a smooth $X$ proved in MSV.

The homotopy content of the assignment $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ is mirkier, although we do prove that given two polynomial dga resolutions $P \rightarrow A \leftarrow Q$ the dg vertex algebras $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Q}^{c h}$ are quasiisomorphic provided $A, P, Q$ all carry an "inner" grading. More interesting insight into the situation is provided by a representation-theoretic point of view. We show that the categories of dg modules over $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ are equivalent, which via the Gaitsgory's result mentioned above implies that the derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules over $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is equivalent to the derived category of dg modules over $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$. This result is of course independent of the choice of $P$.

A nice illustration is provided by $A=\mathbb{C}[x] /\left(x^{n}\right)$. In this case $P$ is naturally chosen to be the Koszul resolution $K\left(\mathbb{C}[x], x^{n}\right)$, and the dg algebra $\mathcal{D}_{K\left(\mathbb{C}[x], x^{n}\right)}$ is quasiisomorphic to the matrix algebra $g l_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that in this case the derived category of dg modules over $\mathcal{D}_{K\left(\mathbb{C}[x], x^{n}\right)}^{c h}$ is equivalent to the derived category of finite dimensional vector spaces. One may want to think of this result as either a version of Morita equivalence or an "odd Stone-von Neumann theorem."

The Milnor algebra $\mathbb{C}[x] /\left(x^{n}\right)$ was for us a starting point. The dg vertex algebra $\mathcal{D}_{K\left(\mathbb{C}[x], x^{n}\right)}^{c h}$ is intimately related to the Landau-Ginzburg model Witt, and its cohomology was computed in a beautiful paper by B.Feigin and A.Semikhatov [FS]. A little thought will convince the reader that the above description of the derived category of dg modules can be given the following form: the Feigin-Semikhatov vertex algebra is derived rational. In fact, whenever $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is a union of finitely many fat points, the corresponding vertex algebra is derived rational.

Another motivational example was provided by the Berkovits model, see e.g. BN. It was explained by N.Nekrasov [ N ] that the Berkovits model requires an algebra of chiral differential operators on the space of pure spinors, which is a cone singular at its vertex. This space, however, is not a complete intersection, and dealing with infinite resolutions appears to be a major challenge in the present context.
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## 2. DERIVED CHIRAL DE RHAM COMPLEX

2.1. Vertex algebras. We shall merely set up the notation referring the reader to sources such as [FBZ, K, BD] for the introduction to the subject.
2.1.1. A vertex algebra is a vector super-space $V$ carrying a countable family of multiplication

$$
(n): V \times V \rightarrow V, a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(n)} b, n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and a derivation

$$
T: V \rightarrow V \text { s.t. } T\left(a_{(n)} b\right)=(T a)_{(n)} b+a_{(n)}(T b) .
$$

One way to state the axioms that these multiplications satisfy is to form fields

$$
V \ni a \mapsto a(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}
$$

and explain in what sense

$$
a(z) b(w)=b(w) a(z)=\sum_{n \gg-\infty} \frac{\left(a_{(n)} b\right)(w)}{(z-w)^{-n-1}} .
$$

A conformal grading on $V$ is a direct sum decomposition

$$
V=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V[n] \text { s.t. } V[n]_{(i)} V[m] \subset V[n+m-i-1],
$$

and $a \in V[n]$ is said to have conformal weight $n$.
An element of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is called a derivation if it is a derivation of each of the products. For example, for each $a \in V, a_{(0)}$ (regarded as a map $v \mapsto a_{(0)} v$ is a derivation. The space of all derivations, $\operatorname{Der}(V)$, is a Lie (super)algebra.

An element $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}(V)$ is called a differential if its square is 0 . If, in additiion, $V$ is a graded vector space (typically this grading is different from the conformal one) s.t. $\delta$ has degree 1, then the pair $(V, \delta)$ is called a differential graded vertex algebra.
2.1.2. Let $X$ be a smooth affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and denote by $A=\mathbb{C}[X]$ its coordinate ring. A coordinate system, $\vec{x}$, is is a collection of elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in A, \partial_{x_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{N}} \in \operatorname{Der}(A)$ s.t. $\partial_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ and the set $\left\{\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis (over $A$ ) of $\operatorname{Der}(A)$. One has: $\left\{d x_{1}, \ldots, d x_{N}\right\}$ is a basis of $\Omega_{A}$ and $\left[\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right]=0$.

If exists, a coordinate system defines a vertex algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T X\right]$, the coordinate ring of the space of jets in the cotangent bundle $T^{*} X$. Namely, $\vec{x}$ gives an identification $\mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*} X\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} A\left[x_{i}^{(n)}, \partial_{x_{j}}^{(m)} ; 1 \leq i, j \leq \operatorname{dim} A, m, n \geq 0\right]$. The latter space is an algebra with derivation $\nabla$ s.t. $\quad \nabla\left(a^{(n)}\right)=a^{(n+1)}$ for $a$ either $x_{i}$ or $\partial_{x_{j}}$, and it carries a vertex algebra structure determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\right)_{(0)} f & =\partial_{x_{i}}(f),\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\right)_{(m)} f=0 \text { if } m>0, \forall f \in A,  \tag{2.1.1}\\
\left(x_{i}\right)_{(-n-1)} P & =x_{i}^{(n)} \cdot P,\left(\partial_{x_{j}}\right)_{(-n-1)} P=\partial_{x_{j}}^{(n)} \cdot P \text { if } n \geq 0, \forall P \in \mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T X\right],  \tag{2.1.2}\\
T & =\nabla . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here and elsewhere $a$ is identified with $a^{(0)}$ thus encoding the canonical embeddings $\mathbb{C}[X] \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}\left[T^{*} X\right] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T * X\right]$.

This vertex algebra is denoted $\mathcal{D}_{A, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_{X, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ depending on the context) and called an algebra of chiral differential operators over $A$ (or $X$ ) for the reason that (2.1.1) resemble (and extend) the familiar $\left[\partial_{x_{i}}, f\right]=\partial_{x_{i}}(f)$.

This construction has superalgebra extensions ( $\mathcal{D}_{A}^{c h}$ is of course assumed purely even), the most important of which occurs when $A$ is replaced with the De Rham algebra $\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}$; or, equivalently, $X$ is replaced with the (total space of the) supervector bundle $\Pi T X$, where $\Pi T X$ is $T X$ with fibers of the projection $T X \rightarrow X$ declared odd. In the presence of coordinates the
passage from $X$ to $\Pi T X$ consists in adjoining Grassman variables $\phi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} A$, and the jet-algebra becomes

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*}(\Pi T X)\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T X\right] \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[\phi_{i}^{(n)}, \partial_{\phi_{i}}^{(n)} ; 1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} A, n \geq 0\right]
$$

The vertex algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*}(\Pi T X)\right]$ is defined by an obvious extension of (2.1.12.1.2 2.1.3), where we allow (1) $f$ to be an element of $\mathbb{C}[\Pi T X]$, and (2) to replace $x_{i}$ ( $\partial_{x_{i}}$ resp.) with $\phi_{i}$ ( $\partial_{\phi_{i}}$ resp.) The result is denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}}^{c h}, \vec{x}$ and is an example of a superalgebra of chiral differential operators.

Both $\mathcal{D}_{A, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ are conformally graded s.t. $D_{A, \vec{x}}^{c h}[0]=A$ and $D_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h}[0]=\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}$, and this condition uniquely determines the grading.
2.1.3. The inquisitive reader must have noticed that not only $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ depends on a choice of a coordinate system, it is not related to the tangent bundle (in $\Pi T X$ ) in any serious way, since a trivialization of any trivial vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ will produce in the same way the same vertex algebra. However, further pursuing the De Rham interpretation is fruitful.

To begin with, just as $\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}$ is a differential graded algebra with differential $d_{D R}=\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \partial_{x_{i}}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}}^{c h}$ is a differential graded vertex algebra with differential $d_{D R}^{c h}=\sum_{i}\left(\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{(-1)} \partial_{x_{i}}\right)_{(0)}$. (The grading on the former is defined by assigning $\phi \mapsto 1$, one on the latter by assigning $\phi \mapsto$ $1, \partial_{\phi} \mapsto-1$, which gives $d_{D R}$ and $d_{D R}^{c h}$ degree 1.)

The crux of the matter is that the assignment $A \mapsto\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h}, d_{D R}^{c h}\right)$ is functorial w.r.t. isomorphisms; let us explain this. Any isomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ defines an isomorphism $d f: \Omega_{A}^{\bullet} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{B}^{\bullet}$, hence an isomorphism of the corresponding jet-spaces $J_{\infty} T^{*}\left(\Pi T X_{B}\right) \rightarrow J_{\infty} T^{*}\left(\Pi T X_{A}\right)$, hence an isomorphism $d f_{\infty}: \mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*}\left(\Pi T X_{A}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*}\left(\Pi T X_{B}\right)\right]$. In the presence of coordinates, this tautological isomorphism is defined by some explicit and classical formulas; e.g., the induced map of vector fields $d f_{\infty}: \operatorname{Der}\left(\Omega_{A}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}\left(\Omega_{B}\right)$ is defined by $d f_{\infty}(\xi)(b)=d f\left(\xi d f^{-1}(b)\right)$ and in terms of $\vec{x} \subset A, \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}} \subset B$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f_{\infty}\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\right)=f\left(\partial_{x_{i}} f^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}_{\alpha}\right)\right) \partial_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}+f\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}}\left(f^{-1} \tilde{x}_{\alpha}\right)\right) \partial_{\tilde{x}_{\beta}}\left(f x_{j}\right) \tilde{\phi}_{\beta} \partial_{\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h}$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}\left[J_{\infty} T^{*}\left(\Pi T X_{A}\right)\right]$, the induced map $d f_{\infty}: \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A, \vec{x}}^{\bullet}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{B, \vec{x}}^{\bullet}}^{c h}$ is not a vertex algebra morphism. It can, however, be corrected to become one. One has MSV]
2.1.4. Lemma. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an algebra isomorphism. There is a unique differential graded vertex algebra isomorphism $d f_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}}^{c h}: \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A, \vec{x}}^{\bullet}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{B, \vec{x}}^{\bullet}}^{c h}$ s.t. (cf. (2.1.4) )

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \ni a & \mapsto f(a) \\
\phi_{i} & \mapsto \partial_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}\left(f x_{i}\right) \phi_{\alpha} \\
\partial_{\phi_{i}} & \mapsto f\left(\partial_{x_{\alpha}}\left(f^{-1} \tilde{x}_{\alpha}\right)\right) \partial_{\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}} \\
\partial_{x_{i}} & \mapsto f\left(\partial_{x_{i}} f^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}_{\alpha}\right)\right) \partial_{\tilde{x}_{\alpha}}+f\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}}\left(f^{-1} \tilde{x}_{\alpha}\right)\right) \partial_{\tilde{x}_{\beta}}\left(f x_{j}\right) \tilde{\phi}_{\beta} \partial_{\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

These isomorphisms satisfy the associativity condition: for any isomorphisms $f: A \rightarrow B$, $g: B \rightarrow C$ and bases $\vec{x} \subset A, \vec{y} \subset B, \vec{z} \subset C$

$$
d(g \circ f)_{\vec{x}, \vec{z}}^{c h}=d g_{\vec{y}, \vec{z}}^{c h} \circ d f_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}^{c h} .
$$

Furthermore, each $d f_{\vec{x}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{x}}}^{c h}$ preserves the conformal grading mentioned at the end of sect. 2.1.2.

Attached to $A$ is a collection of vertex algebras $\left\{\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{A}, \vec{x}}^{c h}, \vec{x} \subset A\right\}$, each pair among which is connected by an isomorphism $d(I d)_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}^{c h}: \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{A}, \vec{y}}^{c h}$. These isomorphisms satisfy $d(I d)_{\vec{x}, \vec{z}}^{c h}=d(I d)_{\vec{y}, \vec{z}}^{c h} \circ d(I d)_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}^{c h}$. This means that there is a well-defined vertex algebra, $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{\dot{A}}}^{c h}$, attached to $A$. Furthermore, the same associativity property implies that a collection of isomorphisms $d f_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}^{c h}: \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}, \vec{x}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{B}^{\prime}, \vec{y}}^{c h}$ gives a well-defined isomorphism $d f^{c h}: \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\circ}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{B}^{*}}^{c h}$. This proves
2.1.5. Corollary. The assignments $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{\dot{A}}}^{c h}, f \mapsto d f^{c h}$ define a functor from the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras that admit a coordinate system and isomorphisms to the category of dg vertex algebras.

In particular, there is a natural group homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{-}}^{c h}\right), f \mapsto d f^{c h}
$$

This result has a simpler infinitesimal analogue (recorded e.g. in [MS):
2.1.6. Lemma. The assignment

$$
f_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} \mapsto\left(\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\right)_{(-1)} f_{i}-\left(\partial_{\phi_{i}}\right)_{(-1)} \phi_{\alpha} \partial_{x_{\alpha}}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)_{(0)}
$$

defines a natural dg Lie algebra morphism

$$
\operatorname{Der}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\prime}}^{c h}\right) .
$$

2.1.7. Let $A$ be an algebra that admits a coordinate system and $S \subset A$ be multiplicative. Since all the maps involved have been defined using various differential operators, one obtains a vertex dg algebra structure on the localization $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}}^{c h}\right)_{S}$, which we prefer to denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A_{S}}}^{c h}$, along with a vertex dg algebra morphism $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A}^{-}}^{c h} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_{A_{S}}}^{c h}$. Corollary 2.1.5 now implies, by glueing, that over each smooth algebraic variety $X$ there is a sheaf of vertex differential graded algebras $\mathcal{D}_{X}^{c h}$, and this sheaf is natural in that for any isomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{X}^{c h} \rightarrow f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{Y}^{c h}$. This particular algebra of chiral differential operators will be denoted by $\Omega_{X}^{c h}$ or $\Omega_{A}^{c h}$ if $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

### 2.2. A derived version.

2.2.1. Let $R$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. A dg $R$-algebra will mean one with grading bounded from the right and differential $\partial$ of degree +1 .

Given a dg algebra morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$, define $\operatorname{Der}_{f}(A, B)$ to be the space of $R$-linear maps $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ that satisfy the Leibniz condition: $\phi(x y)=\phi(x) f(y)+(-1)^{\tilde{\phi} \tilde{x}} f(x) \phi(y)$.

Two dg algebra homomorphisms $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ are homotopic if there is a polynomial family of dg algebra morphisms

$$
h_{t}: A \rightarrow B \text { s.t. } h_{t=0}=f, h_{t=1}=g,
$$

and a polynomial family of degree -1 $R$-morphisms

$$
\alpha_{t}: A \rightarrow B \text { s.t. } \alpha_{t=t_{0}} \in \operatorname{Der}_{h_{t=t_{0}}}(A, B),\left[\partial, \alpha_{t=t_{0}}\right]=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{0}} h_{t} \forall t_{0} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

This concept is what is known in the closed model category theory as the right homotopy with $B \otimes_{R} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ chosen as a path object. As defined, homotopy is not necessarily an equivalence relation, but it is if the algebras $A$ and $B$ are semi-free ${ }^{11}$ (or, more generally, cofibrant.)
2.2.2. Let $R$ be a coordinate ring of a smooth affine variety over $\mathbb{C}, J \subset R$ a regular ideal, and $A=R / J$. A choice of a regular generating system $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\} \subset J$ defines a Koszul resolution, i.e., a dg algebra $\left(R\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right], \partial\right)$, where $R$ is declared even of degree $0, \xi$ 's odd of degree -1 , $\partial=f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}}$ and a quasiisomorphism $R\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right] \rightarrow A$ defined to be the quotienting out by the ideal generated by $J$ and $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right\}$. Denote this dg algebra by $K(R, \vec{f})$.

Another choice of generators, $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right\}$, defines another Koszul resolution, $K(R, \vec{g})$. There are $r \times r$ matrices $F$ and $G$ with coefficients in $R$ s.t. $f_{i}=G_{i \alpha} g_{\alpha}, g_{i}=F_{i \alpha} f_{\alpha}$. This gives 2 dg $R$-algebra isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}: K(R, \vec{f}) \rightarrow K(R, \vec{g}), \xi_{i} \mapsto G_{i \alpha} \eta_{\alpha} ; \tilde{F}: K(R, \vec{g}) \rightarrow K(R, \vec{f}), \eta_{i} \mapsto F_{i \alpha} \xi_{\alpha} . \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices $F$ and $G$ are not unique (but they are modulo $J$, since $J / J^{2}$ is a free $A$-module), and so the compositions $\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{G}, \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{F}$ do not have to be an identity, but they are up to homotopy. This follows from the closed model category theory on the grounds that both $K(R, \vec{f}) \rightarrow A$ and $K(R, \vec{g}) \rightarrow A$ are cofibrant resolutions in the category of $\mathrm{dg} R$-algebras. Therefore, the assignment $A \mapsto K(R, \vec{f})$ is a functor from the category of $R$-algebras and isomorphisms to the homotopy category of $\mathrm{dg} R$-algebras.
2.2.3. We would like to "chiralize" the considerations of sect. 2.2 .2 in order to attach a dg vertex algebra to the algebra $A$. Consider a Koszul resolution $K(R, \vec{f}) \rightarrow A$. All the considerations that led in sect.[2.1.7] to the chiral De Rham complex $\Omega_{R}^{c h}$ go through unchanged in the case of a regular supercommutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, such as $R\left[\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right]$. This amounts to adjoining to $\Omega_{R}^{c h} 4$ groups of variables: $\xi_{i}, \partial_{\xi_{i}}$, all odd, and $\xi_{i}^{*}, \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}$, all even, $\xi_{i}^{*}$ having the meaning of $d_{D R} \xi_{i}$, and stipulating the obvious versions of the relations from sect. 2.1.2, For the reasons that will become apparent later, it is convenient to complete this vertex algebra by allowing power series in $\xi_{i}^{*}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ : it was one of observations made in [MSV] that the vertex algebra multiplications extend to such completions by continuity.

The result is a not simply a dg but a bi-differential bi-graded vertex algebra $\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}$, since in addition to $d_{D R}^{c h}$ we now have $\partial^{c h}$ defined to be

$$
\partial^{c h}=\left(f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}}-\left(d_{D R} f_{i}\right) \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}\right)_{(0)}, \text { where } d_{D R} f=\phi_{i} \partial_{x_{i}}(f),
$$

which is a natural extension of the Koszul differential $\partial=f \partial_{\xi_{i}}$, and one readily verifies that $\left[d_{D R}^{c h}, \partial^{c h}\right]=0$. The corresponding bi-grading where the degrees of $\partial^{c h}$ and $d_{D R}^{c h}$ are $(-1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ resp. is defined by assigning degree $(-1,0)$ to $\xi_{i}$ 's, $(0,1)$ to $\phi_{i}$ 's, $(-1,1)$ to $\xi_{i}^{*}$ 's, $(0,0)$ to elements of $R$, and finally, minus that to the corresponding vector fields $\partial_{\xi_{i}}$, etc.

Lemma 2.1.4 carries over to the present situation and implies that isomorphisms (2.2.1) lift to isomorphisms if bi-differential bi-graded vertex algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{c h}: \Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h} \rightleftarrows \Omega_{K(R, \vec{g})}^{c h}: F^{c h} . \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neither $F^{c h} \circ G^{c h}$ nor $G^{c h} \circ F^{c h}$ have to be the identity, but they are homotopic to identity. Let us explain this.

[^0]2.2.4. The discussion in sect. 2.2.1 is easily adjusted to the vertex algebra case. Given a dg vertex algebra morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$, define $\operatorname{Der}_{f}(A, B)$ to be the space of $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ that satisfy the Leibniz condition for each of the multiplications: $\phi\left(x_{(n)} y\right)=$ $\phi(x)_{(n)} f(y)+(-1)^{\tilde{\phi} \tilde{x}} f(x)_{(n)} \phi(y), n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Two dg vertex algebra homomorphisms $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ are homotopic if there is a polynomial family of dg vertex algebra morphisms

$$
h_{t}: A \rightarrow B \text { s.t. } h_{t=0}=f, h_{t=1}=g,
$$

and a polynomial family of degree -1 morphisms

$$
\alpha_{t}: A \rightarrow B \text { s.t. } \alpha_{t=t_{0}} \in \operatorname{Der}_{h_{t=t_{0}}}(A, B),\left[\partial, \alpha_{t=t_{0}}\right]=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{0}} h_{t} \forall t_{0} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Creating a version of closed model category theory for vertex algebras is problematic because of the lack of free vertex algebras, and the proposed definition may be of limited use, but in the specific situation of sect. 2.2 .3 there is a canonical lift of a homotopy for polynomial rings to that for the corresponding vertex algebra as follows.

The set-up is apparently this: a dg algebra $K(R, \vec{f})$, a dg vertex algebra $\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}$ with differential $\partial^{c h}$, an isomorphism $g: K(R, \vec{f}) \rightarrow K(R, \vec{f})$ and a homotopy $\left(h_{t}, \alpha_{t}\right)$ of $g$ and $I d$. We have a lift of $g$ to $g^{c h} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}\right)$, and we want to find a homotopy of $g^{c h}$ and $I d$.

Since, by definition, $\alpha_{t=t_{0}} \in \operatorname{Der}_{h_{t=t_{0}}}(K(R, \vec{f}))$, the composition $h_{t=t_{0}}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{t=t_{0}} \in \operatorname{Der}(K(R, \vec{f}))$. Lemma 2.1.6 has an obvious extension in the case where $A$ is a supercommutative ring (some signs must be changed) and implies that there are lifts of $h_{t=t_{0}}$ to $h_{t=t_{0}}^{c h} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}\right)$ and of $h_{t=t_{0}}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{t=t_{0}} \in \operatorname{Der}(K(R, \vec{f}))$ to $\left(h_{t=t_{0}}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{t=t_{0}}\right)^{c h} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}\right)$. Lemma 2.1.6 applied one more time gives: the pair $\left(h_{t}^{c h}, \alpha_{t}^{c h}\right)$ with $\alpha_{t=t_{0}}^{c h}$ defined to be $h_{t=t_{0}}^{c c h} \circ\left(h_{t=t_{0}}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{t=t_{0}}\right)^{c h}$ defines a homotopy of $g^{c h}, \operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}\right)$.

Notice that (1) by construction these homotopies of "classical origin" indeed define an equivalence relation, and (2) the constructed isomorphisms preserve the 2 differentials ( $\partial^{c h}$ and $d_{D R}^{c h}$ ) and the 2 gradings.

To summarize:
2.2.5. Lemma. Under the assumptions of sect. 2.2.2.2, the bi-differential bi-graded vertex algebra $\Omega_{K(R, \vec{f})}^{c h}$ is determined uniquely up to homotopy.

We shall denote this vertex algebra by $L \Omega_{R \rightarrow A}^{c h}$ and call it the derived chiral De Rham complex over $A$ relative to the projection $R \rightarrow A$.
2.2.6. Lemma 2.2.5 implies, by gluing, that if $X$ is a smooth algebraic variety and $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a locally complete intersection, then $Y$ carries a sheaf with values in the homotopy category of bi-differential bi-graded vertex algebras to be denoted $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}$ and called the derived chiral De Rham complex of $Y$ relative to $Y \hookrightarrow X$. Indeed, each point in $Y$ has an affine neighborhood $U$ that is a complete intersection. For each affine $V \subset X$ s.t. $V \cap Y=U$ consider $L \Omega_{\hat{O}_{X}(V) \rightarrow 0_{Y}(U)}^{c h}$. It follows from sect. [2.1.7 that the family $\left\{L \Omega_{0_{X}(V) \rightarrow 0_{Y}(U)}^{c h} ; V\right.$ s.t. $\left.V \cap Y=U\right\}$ is an inductive system. The sheaf $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}$ is a unique sheaf s.t. for each such $U$

$$
L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}(U)=\lim _{V: \overrightarrow{\cap Y Y}=U} L \Omega_{O_{X}(V) \rightarrow O_{Y}(U)}^{c h}
$$

In addition to being bi-graded (as in "bi-graded bi-differential"), $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}$ is conformally graded:

$$
L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[n],
$$

as all the manipulations have preserved the conformal grading, cf. Lemma[2.1.4] Notice that each differential has conformal weight 0 , and so each component $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[n]$ is a bicomplex.

Now consider $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}$ as a total complex. There is an obvious projection

$$
L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h} \rightarrow L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[0],
$$

and one observes that $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[0]$ is essentially $L \Omega_{Y}^{\bullet}$, the derived De Rham complex of Illusie $[I l 12]^{2}$. At least there is a morphism

$$
L \Omega_{Y}^{\bullet} \rightarrow L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[0],
$$

which is easily seen to be a quasiisomorphism. A passage to hypercohomology gives a morphism

$$
\mathbb{H}\left(Y, L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\left(Y, L \Omega_{Y}^{\bullet}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, Illusie [Ill2 in the case of a locally complete intersection and Bhatt Bh in general has proved an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{H}\left(Y, L \Omega_{Y}^{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(Y, \mathbb{C}),
$$

thus generalzing Grothendieck's algebraic description of singular cohomology.

### 2.2.7. Theorem. The composite map

$$
\mathbb{H}\left(Y, L \Omega_{Y \rightarrow X}^{c h}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\left(Y, L \Omega_{Y}^{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(Y, \mathbb{C})
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. It suffices to show that $L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h} \rightarrow L \Omega_{Y \hookrightarrow X}^{c h}[0]$ is a quasiisomorphism. The question is local, and we will use local coordinates to show that the restriction of $d=d_{D R}^{c h}+\partial^{c h}$ to each nonzero conformal weight component is homotopic to 0 . We introduce, as in MSV], the $N=2$ superconformal conformal algebra generators

$$
L=T x_{i} \partial_{x_{i}}+T \phi_{i} \partial_{\phi_{i}}+T \xi_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}}+T \xi_{i}^{*} \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}
$$

and

$$
G=T x_{i} \partial_{\phi_{i}}+T \xi_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}
$$

and compute (using the Wick theorem) to the effect that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[G_{(1)}, d_{D R}^{c h}\right]=L_{(1)}} \\
{\left[G_{(1)}, \partial^{c h}\right]=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so

$$
\left[G_{(1)}, d\right]=L_{(1)} .
$$

The first of these is familiar and was used in MSV] (without $\xi_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}^{*}$ ) to prove a similar statement in the smooth case (i.e. without $\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}^{*}$, and $\partial^{c h}$ ). As to the 2 nd, one has

$$
\left[G_{(1)}, \partial^{c h}\right]=\left(\left(f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}}-\left(d_{D R} f_{i}\right) \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}\right)_{(0)}\left(T x_{i} \partial_{\phi_{i}}+T \xi_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}\right)\right)_{(1)}=\left(T f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}-T f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}^{*}}\right)_{(1)}=0
$$

[^1]Finally, observing that $L_{(1)}$ is the operator that defines conformal grading, we deduce from the last equation that $G_{(1)} / n$ is a homotopy of $d$ and 0 when restricted to $L \Omega_{Y \rightarrow X}^{c h}[n], n \neq 0$.

## 3. DERIVED ALGEBRAS OF CHIRAL AND ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS: MORITA EQUIVALENCE

3.1. Derived algebras of differential operators. Let $A$ be a finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, which we will regard as a differential graded algebra with differential 0 and placed in (cohomological) degree 0. Assume further that there exists a dga resolution $P \rightarrow A$ s.t. $P$ is a finitely generated polynomial dga with generators placed in nonpositive degrees and differential $\partial \subset \operatorname{Der}(P)$. Now form the dg algebra of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ with differential $[\partial,$.$] and grading defined by \operatorname{deg} \partial_{x}=-\operatorname{deg} x$ for each generator $x$.
3.1.1. Lemma. The cohomology of $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ satisfy:

$$
H_{\partial}^{i}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if } & i<0 \\
\mathcal{D}_{A} & \text { if } & i=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ be generators of degree 0 and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots$ generators of negative degree s.t. $P=$ $\mathbb{C}[x] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi]$. It is easy to see that $\left(\mathcal{D}_{P},[\partial,].\right)$ has a structure of a bicomplex s.t. $\xi_{i} \mapsto(0,-1)$, $\partial_{\xi_{i}} \mapsto(1,0)$. The vertical differential creates a bunch of Koszul complexes, one for each monomial $\partial_{\xi_{i_{1}}} \partial_{\xi_{i_{2}}} \cdots$. Therefore the spectral sequence degenerates at the term that has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x]}\left[\partial_{\xi_{1}}, \partial_{\xi_{2}}, \ldots\right]^{(1)} \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x]}\left[\partial_{\xi_{1}}, \partial_{\xi_{2}}, \ldots\right]^{(2)} \rightarrow \cdots \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with differential $[\partial,$.$] ; here A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x]}\left[\partial_{\xi_{1}}, \partial_{\xi_{2}}, \ldots\right]^{(n)}$ means the space of polynomials in $\partial_{\xi}$ 's of (cohomological) degree $n$ with coefficients in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x]]}$. We leave it for the reader to convince himself that the 0 th cohomology of this complex is $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ essentially by definition.

It is natural therefore to think of $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ as a resolution and thus a reasonable replacement of a potentially pathological $\mathcal{D}_{A}$. Of course, $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ is determined not so much by $A$ as by $P$, but one has:
3.1.2. Lemma. The assignment $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{P}$ defines an endofunctor on the homotopy category of commutative algebras and isomorphisms.

Proof. V.Hinich (Hin 8.5.3) proves that $A \mapsto \operatorname{Der}(P)$ is a functor from the homotopy category of commutative algebras and isomorphisms to one of Lie algebras and isomorphisms; hence for any other resolution $Q \rightarrow A$ there is a natural isomorphism (in the homotopy category) $\operatorname{Der}(P) \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}(Q)$. The algebras of differential operators carry a canonical filtration s.t. the graded object is a symmetric algebra generated by derivations. It suffices to prove that the arising morphism

$$
S_{P}^{\bullet} \operatorname{Der}(P) \rightarrow S_{Q}^{\bullet} \operatorname{Der}(Q)
$$

is an isomorphism. Since $\operatorname{Der}(P)$ and $\operatorname{Der}(Q)$ are semi-free, their respective tensor powers (over $P$ and $Q$ resp.) are isomorphic, Hin 3.3.2. In characteristic 0 this implies the desired isomorphism of symmetric powers.
3.2. A chiral version. Unlike $\mathcal{D}_{A}$, which is defined for any commutative ring $A$ (Grothendieck), its vertex algebra counterpart, $\mathcal{D}_{A, \vec{x}}^{c h}$, introduced in sect. 2.1.2 in the presence of a coordinate system, has no obvious definition unless $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is smooth. An obvious suggestion then is to "chiralize" the cohomological approach of sect. 3.1. Given $P \rightarrow A$ as above, we consider $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$,
which is allowed as $P$ is a polynomial ring, and we drop the superscript $\vec{x}$ from the notation, as the coordinate system will be fixed once and for all.

The differential $\partial=\sum_{i} f_{i} \partial_{x_{i}}$ has an obvious analogue: $\partial^{c h}=\sum_{i}\left(f_{i(-1)} \partial_{x_{i}}\right)_{(0)}$. Note that although the product ${ }_{(-1)}$ is not commutative, the order does not matter. Indeed,

$$
f_{(i(-1)} \partial_{x_{i}}=\partial_{x_{i}(-1)} f_{i}-T\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} f_{i}=1, \operatorname{deg} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{i}\right)$ is also 1 . But by assumption $P$ is nonpositively graded, hence $\operatorname{deg} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{i}\right) \leq 0$. Therefore the correction term $T\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)$ must be 0 .

The element $\partial^{c h} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$, see sect. 2.1.1. It is also a differential, as the following standard computation shows:

$$
2\left(\partial^{c h}\right)^{2}=\left[\partial^{c h}, \partial^{c h}\right]=[\partial, \partial]_{(0)}+\sum_{i j} \pm T\left(\partial_{x_{j}}\left(f_{i}\right)\right) \partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{j}\right) .
$$

Here $[\partial, \partial]$ vanishes by assumption and the correction terms $T\left(\partial_{x_{j}}\left(f_{i}\right)\right) \partial_{x_{i}}\left(f_{j}\right)$ vanish because, again, its degree must be 2 on the one hand and $\leq 0$ on the other. Hence $\left(\partial^{c h}\right)^{2}=0$.

We would like to think of the graded vertex algebra $\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}, \partial^{c h}\right)$ as attached to $A$. Unfortunately, a satisfactory analogue of Lemma 3.1 .2 is unknown to us; what we do know will be explained later. Now we will change our point of view and talk about representation theory.

### 3.3. Two categories of modules.

3.3.1. Let $V$ be a vertex algebra. A $V$-module is a vector space $\mathcal{M}$ that carries a family of maps

$$
{ }_{(j)}: V \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, v \otimes m \mapsto v_{(j)} m ; j \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

s.t. a number of axioms are satisfied; see e.g. FBZ] for details.

In the case where $V$ is conformally graded, $V=\oplus_{n \geq 0} V[n]$, a $V$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called conformally graded if there is a direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{M}=\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}[m]$ s.t. $V[n]_{(j)} \mathcal{M}[m] \subset$ $\mathcal{M}[n+m-j-1]$, cf. sect. 2.1.1.

Finally, if $V$ is a differential graded vertex algebra with differential $\partial^{c h}$, then a differential graded $V$-module is a graded $V$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with degree 1 differential $\partial_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ s.t. $\partial_{\mathcal{M}}\left(v_{(j)} m\right)=\partial^{c h}(v)_{(j)} m+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} v} v_{(j)} \partial_{\mathcal{M}}(m)$ for all $j$. The grading thus built into the definition is sometimes referred to as cohomological grading. If, in addition, $V$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are conformally graded, then $\partial_{\mathcal{M}}$ is required to have conformal weight 0 .
3.3.2. Representation theory is where $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{\text {ch }}$ actually meet. One easily verifies the relations (among elements of $\operatorname{End} \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{x_{i}(m)}, x_{j(n-1)}\right]=\delta_{i, j} \delta_{m,-n} I d \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that for any $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, the elements $\partial_{x_{i}(m)}, x_{j(n-1)}$, regarded as operators acting on $\mathcal{M}$, satisfy the same relations. Since $\left[\partial_{x_{i}(0)}, x_{j(-1)}\right]=\delta_{i, j} I d$, which is a familiar bracket of coordinate vector fields and functions, every $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{\text {ch }}$-module becomes a $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-module. Since $x_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}[0], \partial_{x_{i}} \in \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}[1]$, cf. the last sentence of sect.2.1.2, the corresponding operators $x_{j(-1)}$ and $\partial_{x_{i}(0)}$ have conformal weight 0 ; therefore each homogeneous component $\mathcal{M}[m]$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}$.

Consider the space of singular vectors

$$
\text { Sing } \mathcal{M}=\left\{m \in \mathcal{M} \text { s.t. } \partial_{x_{i}(n)} m=x_{j(n)} m=0 \forall n>0 .\right\} .
$$

Since the linear span of $\left\{x_{i(n)}, \partial_{x_{j}(n)}, n>0\right\}$ is preserved under the bracket with $\left\{x_{i(-1)}, \partial_{x_{j}(0)},\right\}$, Sing $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-submodule. Furthermore, if in addition $\mathcal{M}$ is a dg-module, the restriction of $\partial_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text {ch }}$ to Sing $\mathcal{M}$ endows the latter with a structure of a dg-module over $\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}, \partial\right)$. To summarize, the rule $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}$ defines a functor from the category of dg-modules over $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{\text {ch }}$ to the category of dg-modules over $\mathcal{D}_{P}$.

There is no reason to believe that Sing $\mathcal{M}$ is in general nonzero. To ensure that it is, let us make the following definition: call $\mathcal{M}$ bounded if for each $m \in \mathcal{M}$ there is $N>0$ s.t. $x_{i(n)}^{N} m=\partial_{x_{i}(n)}^{N} m=0$ for all $i$ and $n>0$.

Note that for each $m, x_{i(n)} m=\partial_{x_{i}(n)} m=0$ for all sufficiently large $n$ - this is part of the definition of a vertex algebra module.. Therefore boundedness simply means that the elements $x_{i(n)}, \partial_{x_{i}(n)}, n>0$, act locally nilpotently.

Of course, if $\mathcal{M}$ is graded and $\mathcal{M}[n]=\{0\}$ if $n \gg 0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded; in particular, $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ is bounded if considered as a module over itself. However, the definition does not require any grading.
3.3.3. Lemma. The assignment $\mathcal{M} \mapsto$ Sing $\mathcal{M}$ defines an equivalence of the category of bounded $d g-\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-modules and the category of $d g-\mathcal{D}_{P}$-modules.

Proof. To begin with, let us forget about the dg-structure; in this case we have: $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}$ defines an equivalence of the category of bounded $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-modules and the category of $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-modules.

This result was proved by D.Chebotarov Ch, but since he worked in a much more general and complicated situation, we will give an independent proof. Denote by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right)$ the categories in question and let $\Phi: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)$ be the functor we have defined. We need a functor in the opposite direction: $\Psi: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right)$, and we will show that $\Psi$ can be identified with the induction functor for a certain infinite dimensional Lie algebra.

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the Lie algebra with basis $\left\{a_{n}^{i}, b_{n}^{i}, C ; n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} P\right\}$ and relations

$$
\left[a_{n}^{i}, b_{m}^{j}\right]=\delta_{i, j} \delta_{n,-m} C,\left[C, a_{n}^{i}\right]=\left[C, a_{m}^{j}\right]=0 .
$$

A glance at 3.3.1 will show that the assignment $a_{n}^{i} \mapsto \partial_{x_{i}(n)}, b_{n}^{i} \mapsto x_{i(n-1)}, C \mapsto I d$ makes any $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-module into an $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-module. Furthermore, one defines what a bounded $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-module is in an obvious manner (and requires that $C$ act as $I d$ ) and thus obtains a functor on the categories of bounded modules: $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}})$. It is a standard result that this functor is an equivalence of categories; see e.g. [FBZ], 5.1.8. From now on we will not distinguish between these 2 categories.

Notice that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ is graded: $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}=\oplus_{n} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}[n]$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}[n], n \neq 0$, is spanned by $a_{-n}^{i}$ and $b_{-n}^{j}$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}[0]$ is spanned by $a_{0}^{i}, b_{0}^{j}$, and $C$. It is obvious that $U(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}[0]) /\langle C-1\rangle=\mathcal{D}_{P}$. Denote by $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{>}=\oplus_{n>0} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}[n], \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\geq}=\oplus_{n \geq 0} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}[n]$. There arises a Lie algebra homomorphism $U\left(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\geq}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{P}$ s.t. $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{>} \mapsto 0$. Therefore any $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-module, by pull-back, becomes a $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\geq}$-module. Now define

$$
\Psi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}}: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right) .
$$

By definition, $\Phi \circ \Psi: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}\right)$ is the identity. To complete the proof we need show that $\Psi \circ \Phi$ is isomorphic to the identity functor. At least there is a functor morphism $\Psi \circ \Phi \rightarrow I d$ as for each $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right)$ there is a canonical morphism

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{a}} \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}
$$

which is determined (thanks to the universal property of the induction) by the tautological inclusion Sing $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We need to show that this map is injective and surjective. Both these assertions follow easily from the properties of the grading operator

$$
L_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}-n\left(b_{n}^{i} a_{-n}^{i}-a_{n}^{i} b_{-n}^{i}\right) .
$$

Note that the eigenvalues of $\left[L_{0},.\right]$ define the above grading of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}:\left[L_{0}, a_{n}^{i}\right]=-n a_{n}^{i},\left[L_{0}, b_{n}^{i}\right]=$ $-n b_{n}^{i}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Ind} d_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{\hat{1}}} \operatorname{Sing\mathcal {M}}$ is also graded by the eigenvalues of $L_{0}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{\hat{a}}} \operatorname{Sing\mathcal {M}}=\oplus_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{Ind} d_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{\hat{1}} \geq} \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}[n] .
$$

Furthermore $\operatorname{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}} \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M C}[0]=\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}$, and the rest of the eigenvalues are strictly positive.
Injectivity. The kernel of the morphism $\operatorname{Ind} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\substack{\hat{a}}}^{\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-submodule. Therefore the kernel must contain a singular vector, i.e., a vector $v$ that satisfies $a_{n}^{i} v=b_{n}^{i} v=0$ for all $n>0$. This forces $L_{0} v=0$, hence $v \in \operatorname{SingM}$, therefore $v=0$.

Surjectivity. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ the $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by Sing $\mathcal{M}$. By definition, the image of the map $\operatorname{Ind} d_{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \geq}^{\mathfrak{i}} \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is precisely $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$. We need to show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}=\{0\}$.

It is clear from the definition of a bounded module that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is bounded and, therefore, contains a singular vector $\bar{v}$. As above, $L_{0} \bar{v}=0$. Let $v \in \mathcal{M}$ be a preimage of $\bar{v}$. It is clear that $v=v_{1}+v_{2}$, where $v_{1} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ and $v_{2}$ is a generalized eigenvector of eigenvalue 0 , i.e., $L_{0}^{N} v_{2}=0$ for some $N$. Hence $a_{n}^{i} v_{2}, b_{n}^{i} v_{2}$ are generalized eigenvectors of eigenvalue $-n$. Since $\bar{v}$ is singular these vectors belong to $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ for $n>0$ where, as we have seen, all eigenvalues of $L_{0}$ are nonnegative. This means that $a_{n}^{i} v_{2}=b_{n}^{i} v_{2}=0$ if $n>0$. Therefore $v_{2} \in \operatorname{Sing\mathcal {M}}$ and $\bar{v}=0$, as desired.

Finally, we have to decide what $\Psi$ does to the differential graded structure. As we have seen, any $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is induced from its space of singular vectors Sing $\mathcal{M}$. This implies at once that a dg- $\mathcal{D}_{P}$-module structure on the latter has at most one extension to a dg- $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-module structure on $\mathcal{M}$. It suffice to prove the existence.

If, as in our situation, the differential on the algebra is defined by an algebra element ( $[\partial,$.$] ,$ $\partial \in \mathcal{D}_{P}$ in the case of $\mathcal{D}_{P}$, and $\partial_{(0)}^{c h}, \partial^{c h} \in \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ in the case of $\left.\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}\right)$, the differential on a dg-module is uniquely written in the form: the corresponding element of the algebra plus a square 0 endomorphism of the module of cohomological degree 1 . For example, the differential on $\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M}$ equals $\partial+f$, where $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{P}}(\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{M})$. The corresponding differential on $\mathcal{M}$ is then $\partial_{(0)}^{c h}+\Psi(f)$.

This construction extends $\Psi$ to a functor between categories of dg-modules, $\Phi$ was previously defined on dg-modules, and it is quite clear that the established above isomorphisms of functors $\Phi \circ \Psi=I d$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi \xrightarrow{\sim} I d$ respect the dg-module structure.
3.3.4. Corollary. For any two finite polynomial resolutions $P \rightarrow A \leftarrow Q$ the categories of $d g$ modules over $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{Q}^{c h}$ are equivalent.
3.3.5. Corollary. Any bounded $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ can be conformally graded so that $\mathcal{M}[0]=$ Sing $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}[n]=0$ if $n<0$.
3.3.6. Example. Let $A=\mathbb{C}[x] /\left(x^{n}\right)$. As $P$ take the Koszul resolution w.r.t. the regular sequence consisting of one element $x^{n}$; this is what in sect. 2.2.2 was denoted by $K\left(\mathbb{C}[x], x^{n}\right)$.

This is a polynomial ring on 2 variables, $x$, which is even, $\xi$ odd (of degree -1), best thought of as the struture ring of the superspace $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}$. The corresponding dg algebra of differential operators is $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}},\left[x^{n} \partial_{\xi}, \cdot\right]\right)$.
3.3.7. Lemma. The dg algebra $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}},\left[x^{n} \partial_{\xi},.\right]\right)$ is quasiisomorphic to $g l_{n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. In this case the complex (3.1.1) becomes

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} / x^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\left[x^{n} \partial_{\xi},\right]} \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} / x^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow 0
$$

We will show that the differential is surjective, and its kernel has dimension $n^{2}$. Since by virtue of Lemma 3.1.1 $H_{\partial}^{0}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}[x] /\left(x^{n}\right)} \hookrightarrow g l\left(\mathbb{C}[x] /\left(x^{n}\right)\right)$, which is $n^{2}$-dimensional, the lemma will follow.

Proving these 2 assertions requires doing a bit of linear algebra. The space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is graded by $x \mapsto 1, \partial_{x} \mapsto-1$. If we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j}$ the homogeneous component of degree $j$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j}=\{0\}$ if $j \geq n, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j}=n-j$ if $0 \leq j \leq n-1$; thus $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}=n$ at which point the dimension stabilizes s.t. $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j}=n$ if $j<0$.

The differential is apparently of degree $n$. Therefore the components $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j}$ with $j=n-$ $1, n-2, \ldots, 0$ belong to the kernel in their entirety by dimensional argument. This contributes $1+2+3+\cdots n$ to the dimension of the kernel.

For the next $n-1$ components, the differential gives us maps

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, n+j}, j=-1,-2, \ldots,-n+1
$$

We will show that all these maps are surjections. Since the $j$-th such map has $n$-dimensional domain and $(-j)$-dimensional codomain, its kernel is $(n+j)$-dimensional. This contributes $(n-1)+(n-2)+\cdots+1$ to the dimension.

The remaining maps

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, n+j}, j=-n,-n-1, \ldots
$$

are also surjective, but have domain and codomain of the same dimension $n$, hence are isomorphisms.

Overall the dimension of the kernel is $1+2+\cdots+(n-1)+n+(n-1)+\cdots+2+1=n^{2}$ as desired.

To see that the maps $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, j} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}, n+j}, j<-1$ are surjective, one needs to write down their matrices. One has

$$
\left[x^{n}, \partial_{x}^{j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{\min \{n, j\}} \alpha_{i} x^{n-i} \partial_{x}^{j-i},
$$

where none of the $\alpha$ 's vanishes. From this the untiring reader will deduce that when written in the basis of the form $\left\{\partial_{x}^{k}, x \partial_{x}^{k+1}, \ldots x^{n-1} \partial_{x}^{k+n-1}\right\}$ the images of the last $k$ (or all $n$ if $k>n$ ) vectors form a triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal entries. This completes the proof.
3.3.8. Corollary. The derived category of dg-modules over the dg vertex algebra $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}}^{c h}\right)$ is equivalent to the derived category of finite dimensional vector spaces.

Proof. Quasiisomorphic dg algebras have equivalent derived dg module categories; this is Hin Theorem 3.3.1. Now Corollary 3.3 .8 follows at once from Lemma 3.3.3 and the classic result that the module category of a matrix algebra is semi-simple on one generator (one can consider this particular case of the Morita equivalence as an "odd Stone - von Neumann theorem").

This corollary has a pleasing interpretation.
3.3.9. The Landau-Ginzburg model starts with a function (potential) defined on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and is ultimately related to the $N=$ superconformal algebra Witt. If the potential $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, one can consider the above defined $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ with $P=K\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right],\left\{\Phi_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Phi_{x_{n}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$, the Koszul resolution of $A=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(\Phi_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Phi_{x_{n}}^{\prime}\right)$. The cohomology of this algebra was computed by Feigin and Semikhatov [FS] in the case where $n=1, \Phi=x^{N+1}$, and their answer is a thing of beauty: the cohomology is a direct sum of $N$ vector spaces, one vector space for each of the classical Koszul classes represented by $1, x, \ldots, x^{N-1}$; the space attached to 1 is the unitary vacuum representation of the $N=2$ superconformal algebra, and the rest of the spaces are irreducible unitary representations of this algebra.

Of course this result immediately carries over to the case of a "diagonal" potential, such as $\Phi=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{n}$, by using the Künneth formula. For this reason, we will denote by $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{\Phi}$ the dg vertex algebra $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ with $P=K\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right],\left\{\Phi_{x_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Phi_{x_{n}}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$.

A vertex algebra is called conformal if it contains a conformal vector $L$, i.e., one that "generates" the Virasoro algebra with some central charge, see FBZ 2.5.8. The vertex algebra $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ is conformal with conformal vector $L=T x_{i(-1)} \partial_{x_{i}}$, cf. [MSV].

We will call a dg vertex algebra dg conformal if it is conformal and the conformal vector is annihilated by the differential. It is easy to verify that $\partial^{c h}\left(T x_{i(-1)} \partial_{x_{i}}\right)=0$, and so $\left(\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}, \partial^{c h}\right)$ is dg conformal.

A vertex algebra is called rational, see FBZ 5.5.1 and references therein, if it is conformal and its module category is semi-simple. It is then natural to call a dg vertex algebra derived rational if the derived category of its dg module category is equivalent to the derived category of a semi-simple category.

Corollary 3.3 .8 gives:
3.3.10. Theorem. If $\Phi$ is diagonal, then the Feigin-Semikhatov vertex algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ is derived rational.
3.3.11. As was explained to us by D.Gaitsgory, it follows from [GR] that the derived category of $\operatorname{dg} \mathcal{D}_{P}$-modules is equivalent to the derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$. In conjunction with Lemma 3.3 .3 this implies that the derived category of $\mathrm{dg}-\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$-modules is equivalent to the latter category. This is a generalization of Corollary 3.3.8, because the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on a fat point, which is by definition the category of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$-modules supported on the corresponding closed point, is isomorphic to the category of finite dimensional vector spaces. More generally, the Gaitsgory's result implies that $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$ is derived rational provided $P$ is a resolution of the structure ring of a finite collection of fat points; this, of course, includes the case of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}_{\Phi}$ if $\Phi$ is a polynomial with isolated singularities, not necessarily diagonal.
3.4. The graded ring case. We will now explain what we know about the naturality of the assignment $A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{P}^{c h}$.
3.4.1. We wish to add a 3rd type of grading to the two, conformal and cohomological, that have prominently figured so far. By an inner grading on an algebra $A$ we will mean a vector space decomposition $A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \oplus \cdots$ s.t. $A_{i} A_{j} \subset A_{i+j}$ and $A_{0}=\mathbb{C} 1 . M$ is a graded $A$-module if similarly $M=M_{0} \oplus M_{1} \oplus \cdots$ with $A_{i} M_{j} \subset M_{i+j}$.

In this section the phrase " $A$ is a graded algebra" will mean an algebra $A$ carrying an inner grading.

A grading of a polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is the same as an assignment of a positive integer deg $x_{i}$ to each $x_{i}$.

Call a graded algebra $A$ a complete intersection if it is a quotient of a graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ by a homogeneous ideal $J$ that is generated by a homogeneous regular sequence. Having fixed one such sequence $\vec{f}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ we obtain a Koszul complex $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})$ and a dga quasiisomorphism $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}) \rightarrow A$. As algebra, $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \xi_{1}, \ldots \xi_{m}\right]$, $\xi_{i}$ having cohomological degree -1 and inner degree $\operatorname{deg} f_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$; the differential is $\partial=$ $\sum f_{i} \partial_{\xi_{i}}$.

This gives us a differential graded vertex algebra $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$, sect. 3.2.
Call two differential graded vertex algebras $V$ and $W$ quasiisomorphic if there is a sequence of differential graded vertex algebra quasiisomorphisms

$$
V \leftarrow V_{0} \rightarrow V_{1} \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow W
$$

3.4.2. Theorem. For any two quasiisomorphisms $K\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], \vec{f}\right) \rightarrow A \leftarrow K\left(\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right], \vec{g}\right)$ the chiral Koszul complexes $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$ and $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})$ are quasiisomorphic.

The proof will occupy a few subsections to follow.
3.4.3. Let $M$ be a finitely graded module over a graded algebra $A$. Let $A_{>}=\oplus_{n \geq 1} A_{n}$. A minimal generating space of $M$ is the image of a homogeneous splitting of the projection

$$
M \longrightarrow M / A_{>} M
$$

A minimal generating set of $M$ is a choice of a homogeneous basis of a minimal generating space.

Any 2 minimal generating sets, $E$ and $F$, are related by a transformation matrix $a_{E \rightarrow F}$ with coefficients in $A$. $M$ being not necessarily free, $a_{E \rightarrow F}$ is not unique, but it can always be chosen so as to be a block upper triangular, diagonal blocks being constant matrices. This implies that such $a_{E \rightarrow F}$ is automatically invertible.
3.4.4. Fix a Koszul complex $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})$. As a free $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}]$-module, it carries, according to 3.4.3, an action of the group of coordinate transformations. Since we would like to have the cohomological degree preserved, any such coordinate transformation will be a composition of the transformations of the following 2 types:

$$
x_{i} \mapsto f_{i}(x) \text { or } \xi_{i} \mapsto a_{i j}(x) \xi_{j} .
$$

We claim that these coordinate changes can be lifted (non-canonically) to automorphisms of the corresponding chiral Koszul complex $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$. Indeed, the coordinate change of type 1 classically gives rise to

$$
\partial_{x_{i}} \mapsto \sum_{s} \frac{\partial g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{i}} \partial_{x_{s}}, \xi \mapsto \xi_{i}, \partial_{\xi_{i}} \mapsto \partial_{\xi_{i}}, g=f^{-1}
$$

Define a vertex algebra lift to be simply

$$
\partial_{x_{i}} \mapsto \sum_{s}\left(\partial_{x_{s}}\right)_{(-1)}\left(\frac{\partial g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right), \xi \mapsto \xi_{i}, \partial_{\xi_{i}} \mapsto \partial_{\xi_{i}} .
$$

It defines an automorphism, because by the Wick theorem (cf. sect. 2.1.1)

$$
\left(\partial_{x_{s}}\right)_{(-1)}\left(\frac{\partial g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)(z) \cdot\left(\partial_{x_{s}}\right)_{(-1)}\left(\frac{\partial g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}\right)(w)=\frac{-1}{(z-w)^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{t}(x)}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{j}}(z) \frac{\partial^{2} g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{t} \partial x_{i}}(w)+\cdots,
$$

which is 0 , as it should, by virtue of the following obvious dimensional argument: the degree of the expression $\frac{\partial^{2} g_{t}(x)}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{j}}(z) \frac{\partial^{2} g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{i}}(w)$ is $-\operatorname{deg} x_{i}-\operatorname{deg} x_{j}$, hence strictly negative, while the expression itself is a product of fields coming from elements of $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}], \frac{\partial^{2} g_{t}(x)}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{j}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} g_{s}(x)}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{i}}$, hence has nonnegative degree.

Now consider the type 2 transformation $\xi_{i} \mapsto a_{i j}(x) \xi_{j}$. An obvious vertex algebra lift is defined by

$$
\partial_{x_{i}} \mapsto \tilde{\partial}_{x_{i}}=\partial_{x_{i}}+\left(\partial_{x_{i}} b_{s j}\right) a_{j t} \xi_{t} \partial_{\xi_{s}}, \partial_{\xi_{i}} \mapsto b_{j i} \partial_{\xi_{j}}
$$

where $\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is the inverse of $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ - which exists by 3.4.3. Another application of the Wick theorem gives

$$
\tilde{\partial}_{x_{i}}(z) \tilde{\partial}_{x_{j}}(w)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} b\right) a(z)\left(\partial_{x_{j}} b\right) a(w)+\cdots .
$$

We know from 3.4.3 that in an appropriate basis $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is block upper-triangular with constant diagonal blocks. Therefore $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} b\right) a(z)\left(\partial_{x_{j}} b\right) a(w)=0$, as desired.
3.4.5. Consider $A_{>} \subset A$ as a graded $A$-module and pick a minimal generating set $\bar{X}=$ $\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}$. This gives an algebra surjection $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with kernel $J$. Pick a minimal generating set $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\} \subset J$, where $J$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$-module. This defines a Koszul complex $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})$ and its chiral version $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$. We will refer to these as a minimal (chiral) Koszul complex.

We assert that all minimal, chiral or usual, Koszul complexes are isomorphic. Indeed, for any other minimal generating set $\bar{Y}=\left\{\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{y}_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, there is a transformation matrix $a_{X \rightarrow Y}$, which can be lifted to $\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}]$. Any such lift defines an algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\vec{y}]$ that respects both projections $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}] \rightarrow A \leftarrow \mathbb{C}[\vec{y}]$.

Next, consider the Koszul complex $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})$ corresponding to $\bar{Y}$. Under the constructed isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\vec{y}]$, each $f_{i}$ is mapped to $a_{i j} g_{j}$ for some transformation matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$. Composing with $\xi_{i} \mapsto a_{i j} \eta_{j}$ gives us an isomorphism of complexes $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}) \rightarrow$ $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})$.

It follows from 3.4.4 that both these transformations lift to the chiral Koszul complex and their composition defines the desired isomorphism

$$
D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})) \rightarrow D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})) .
$$

3.4.6. We will now show that for any Koszul resolution $K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}) \rightarrow A$ there is a minimal Koszul resolution $K_{\min } \rightarrow A$ and a quasiisomorphism $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})) \rightarrow D^{c h}\left(K_{\min }\right)$.

The elements of the regular sequence $\vec{f}=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ break down into 2 groups: those that do not belong to $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}]_{>}^{2}$ and those that do. The former group is not necessarily linearly independent modulo $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}]_{>}^{2}$, but it is clear that replacing $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ with their appropriate linear combinations we ensure that it is.

If so, upon an appropriate coordinate transformation this part of $\vec{f}$ becomes part of a coordinate system. We can therefore assume that $\vec{f}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}, f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$. Let $J=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}]$ and define $K_{\text {min }}=\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}] / J . J$ is a differential graded ideal, hence $\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}] / J$ is a differential graded algebra; in fact it is a Koszul complex with differential $\partial=\sum_{i>k} \bar{f}_{i} \partial_{\bar{\xi}_{i}}$. Furthermore, $J$ is acyclic $\left(\xi_{i} \mapsto x_{i}, x_{i} \mapsto 0\right)$ and so is $K_{\text {min }}$. This implies that $K_{\text {min }}$ is a minimal Koszul resolution of $A$, and we have a quasiisomorphism

$$
K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}) \rightarrow K_{m i n}
$$

All of this "chiralizes." We start with $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$. Then we lift to $D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}))$, one-by-one, the 2 coordinate transformations that include part of $\vec{f}$ into a coordinate system; this is based on 3.4.4. Finally, we quotient out a vertex ideal ideal, $J_{v e r t}$, generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} ; \partial_{x_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{k}} ; \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k} ; \partial_{\xi_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{\xi_{k}}$. The result is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{\text {vert }} \rightarrow D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})) \rightarrow D^{c h}\left(K_{\text {min }}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$J_{v e r t}$ is acyclic $\left(\xi_{i} \mapsto x_{i}, \partial_{x_{i}} \mapsto-\partial_{\xi_{i}}.\right)$ Therefore, the projection

$$
D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})) \rightarrow D^{c h}\left(K_{\text {min }}\right)
$$

is a quasiisomorphism.
Since all minimal chiral Koszul resolutions are isomorphic, 3.4.5, for any 2 Koszul resolutions

$$
K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f}) \rightarrow A \leftarrow K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})
$$

we obtain a diagram consisting of quasiisomorphisms

$$
D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{x}, \vec{\xi}], \vec{f})) \rightarrow D^{c h}\left(K_{\min }\right) \leftarrow D^{c h}(K(\mathbb{C}[\vec{y}, \vec{\eta}], \vec{g})) .
$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.4.2.
3.4.7. A similar argument gives an analogous result for complete intersections in analytic setting without the grading assumption. This is an evidence for there existing a gerbe of $d g$ vertex algebras over any locally complete intersection at least in analytic setting.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recall that a dga algebra is called semi-free if it is a polynomial ring and its set of variables carries an exhaustive filtration $X_{0}=\emptyset \subset X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \cdots$ s.t. $\partial\left(I_{n+1}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}\left[I_{n}\right]$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is true that Illusie works with simplicial rather than dg commutative algebras, but these categories are related by a Quillen equivalence ( $N, N^{*}$ ), see Q, Remark at the bottom of p. 223 (also [SchSh p.289). In characteristic 0 this gives an equivalence of both the approaches. We leave the details out.

