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#### Abstract

A. Grothendieck proved at the end of his thesis that the space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ of slowly increasing functions and the space $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}$ of rapidly decreasing distributions are bornological. Grothendieck's proof relies on the isomorphy of these spaces to a sequence space and we present the first proof that does not utilize this fact by using homological methods and, in particular, the derived projective limit functor.


## 1. Introduction and notation

In [Sch66, p. 243] L. Schwartz introduced the space of multipliers of temperate distributions, i.e., the space of slowly increasing functions

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) ; \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d} \exists N \in \mathbb{N}:\langle x\rangle^{-N} \partial^{\alpha} f \in L^{\infty}\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is the space of complex valued, infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d},\langle x\rangle=1+|x|^{2}, \partial^{\alpha}$ is the partial derivative, and $L^{\infty}$ is the Lebesgue space of bounded functions. The dual $\mathcal{O}_{M}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the space of very rapidly decreasing distributions.

Schwartz also introduced the space of convolutors of temperate distributions, i.e., the space $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}$ of rapidly decreasing distributions, which is the dual of the space

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) ; \exists N \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}:\langle x\rangle^{-N} \partial^{\alpha} f \in L^{\infty}\right\}
$$

of very slowly increasing functions. These spaces are related as in the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}} & \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} \\
2 \| & 2 \| \\
\mathcal{O}_{M}^{\prime} & \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in both cases the Fourier transform can be taken as the isomorphism.
It is comparatively easy to see that the four spaces are nuclear and semi-reflexive, that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}$ are complete and that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{M}^{\prime}$ are (LF)-spaces and hence bornological. But the completeness of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{M}^{\prime}$ and the bornologicity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}$ are not trivial (which was even asserted by Grothendieck, [Gro55, Chap. II, p. 130]). Since the dual of a bornological space is complete and the dual of a complete nuclear space is bornological, these two problems are equivalent (for the definitions of these topological properties and relations between them see [Itō87, Section 424]).

Grothendieck proved that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is bornological by showing that it is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the sequence space $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ [Gro55, Chap. II, Lemme 18, p. 132] and verified "directly" that the space $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ is bornological [Gro55, Chap.II, Prop. 15, p. 125, Cor. 2, p. 128]. We will find out more about this isomorphy in

[^0]Section 2 and also give a homological proof of the bornologicity of $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$.
In [Kuc85], J. Kučera claimed to have presented a new (and simple) proof for the main properties of the space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$. That Kučera's proof contains severe mistakes and that it is based on incorrect propositions is clarified in [Lar12], where also the lack of a proof of the bornologicity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$, that does not use the isomorphy $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} \cong s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$, is pointed out. In Section 3 we will give such a proof.

## 2. Projective limits and the space $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$

Since quotients (and, in particular, complemented subspaces) of bornological spaces are bornological, it was sufficient for Grothendieck to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$, where $s$ is the space of rapidly decreasing sequences

$$
s=\left\{\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} ; \forall k: \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{k}\left|x_{j}\right|<\infty\right\}
$$

and $s^{\prime}$ is its dual, the space of slowly increasing sequences

$$
s^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} ; \exists k: \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-k}\left|x_{j}\right|<\infty\right\}
$$

By $s \otimes_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ we denote the completed projective tensor product of these spaces. E.g., by [Bar12, Remark 1, p. 321], this space $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$
s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime} \cong\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} ; \forall n \exists N: \sup _{i, j} i^{n} j^{-N}\left|x_{i, j}\right|<\infty\right\}
$$

In [Val81], M. Valdivia proved that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is even isomorphic to $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ itself which answered a question posed in [Gro55, Chap. II, p. 134]. C. Bargetz used this fact, the bornologicity of $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$, and methods of the theory of topological tensor products to obtain the isomorphy $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}} \cong s \hat{\otimes}_{\iota} s^{\prime}$ [Bar12, Prop. 1, p. 318].

The descriptions of the spaces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ already indicate how they can be written as projective limits of LB-spaces (countable inductive limits of Banach spaces)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{n}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} X_{n, N},  \tag{1}\\
& s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n, N}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{n, N}$ and $Y_{n, N}$ are the Banach spaces

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{n, N}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{C}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) ;\|f\|_{n, N}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|\alpha| \leq n}\langle x\rangle^{-N}\left|\partial^{\alpha} f(x)\right|<\infty\right\}, \\
Y_{n, N}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} ;\|x\|_{n, N}=\sup _{i, j} i^{n} j^{-N}\left|x_{i, j}\right|<\infty\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

These representations as projective limits of LB-spaces are not only natural but also extremely useful since there are very good criteria for checking bornologicity. They are related to the derived projective limit functor $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}$ (which can be defined as the cokernel of the map $\prod X_{n} \rightarrow \prod X_{n},\left(x_{n}\right)_{n} \mapsto\left(x_{n}-\varrho_{n+1}^{n}\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right)_{n}$ where $\varrho_{m}^{n}$ are the connecting maps of the projective spectrum $\mathscr{X}$, in our cases, $\varrho_{m}^{n}$ are just inclusions). Indeed, an unbublished theorem of D. Vogt (his proof reproduced in [Wen03, Th. 3.3.4]) says that $\operatorname{Proj} \mathscr{X}$ is bornological whenever $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$. Moreover, there is a variety of evaluable conditions ensuring $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$. We are going to apply the following results of Palamodov-Retakh [Pal71] and the second named author, respectively:

A specturm $\mathscr{X}$ of LB-spaces satisfies $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$ if and only if there are Banach discs $D_{n}$ in $X_{n}$ with $\varrho_{m}^{n}\left(D_{m}\right) \subseteq D_{n}$ and

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists m \geq n \forall k \geq m: \varrho_{m}^{n}\left(X_{m}\right) \subseteq \varrho_{k}^{n}\left(X_{k}\right)+D_{n}
$$

The requirement $\varrho_{m}^{n}\left(D_{m}\right) \subseteq D_{n}$ is sometimes very easy to fulfil but in many cases it is very inconvenient. It can be omitted if either all steps $X_{n}$ are LS-spaces (i.e., the inclusions $X_{n, N} \hookrightarrow X_{n, N+1}$ are compact) or if a slightly stronger condition of Palamodov-Retakh type is required. Denoting by $\varrho_{\infty}^{n}: \operatorname{Proj} \mathscr{X} \rightarrow X_{n}$ the obvious map we have:

A specturm $\mathscr{X}$ of LB-spaces satisfies $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$ if and only if, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are a Banach discs $D_{n}$ in $X_{n}$ and $m \geq n$ with

$$
\varrho_{m}^{n}\left(X_{m}\right) \subseteq \varrho_{\infty}^{n}(\operatorname{Proj} \mathscr{X})+D_{n}
$$

We refer to [Wen03] for the proofs of these characterization and much more information about derived functors. Typically, the decompositions required in conditions of Retakh-Palamodov type are quite easy to produce in the case of spaces of sequences (or matrices) since one can write $x=\chi x+(1-\chi) x$ where $\chi$ is the indicator function of a suitably chosen set. We want to exemplify this by giving a very short proof for the bornologicity of $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ (which is similar to Vogt's proof of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(s, s)=0$ [Vog84, Lemma 2.1, p. 359]).
Proposition 1. The space $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime}$ is bornological.
Proof. We keep the notation $s \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} s^{\prime} \cong \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} Y_{n, N}$ from above and we will verify the Palamodov-Retakh condition for the unit balls $D_{n}$ of $Y_{n, 0}$ which trivially satisfy $D_{n+1} \subseteq D_{n}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we take $m=n+1$ and fix $x \in Y_{n}$ as well as $k \geq n+1$. Since $x \in Y_{m, M}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\|x\|_{m, M}=\sup _{i, j} i^{m} j^{-N}\left|x_{i, j}\right|=c<\infty
$$

We set $y_{i, j}=x_{i, j}$ if $i<c j^{M}$ and $y_{i, j}=0$ else, as well as $z=x-y$. For $i<c j^{m}$ we have $z_{i, j}=0$ and for $i \geq c j^{M}$ we estimate

$$
i^{n} j^{-0}\left|z_{i, j}\right|=i^{m} j^{-M}\left|z_{i, j}\right| j^{M} / i \leq\|x\|_{m, M} / c=1
$$

which proves $z \in D_{n}$. It remains to show $y \in Y_{k, K}$ for $K$ sufficienly large. Indeed, for $K=M(k-m+1)$ we have $y_{i, j}=0$ if $i \geq c j^{M}$ and if $i<c j^{M}$ we estimate

$$
i^{k} j^{-K}\left|y_{i, j}\right|=i^{m} j^{-M}\left|y_{i, j}\right| i^{k-m} j^{M-K} \leq\|x\|_{m, M} c^{k-m} j^{(k-m) M+M-K}=c^{k-m+1}
$$

This proves $\|y\|_{k, K}<\infty$, as required.

## 3. The new proof

Now we want to prove $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$ for the spectrum $\mathscr{X}=\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (1) in order to obtain that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is bornological. Splitting up a given function $f \in X_{m}$ as $f=\chi f+(1-\chi) f$ with a cut-off function $\chi$ (as in the proof of Proposition 1) does not work in this case. But we will see how $f$ can be "split up" in the following proof of Grothendieck's result.

Proposition 2. The space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is bornological.
Proof. To obtain $\operatorname{Proj}^{1} \mathscr{X}=0$ we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \exists m, N: X_{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}+B_{n, N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n, N}$ is the unit ball of $X_{n, N}$. This condition means that we have to approximate every $f \in X_{m}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{n, N}$ by elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$. To achieve such an approximation we use a kernel $K \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K(t, x) d t=1 \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{supp} K(\cdot, x) \subseteq \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left[x_{j}, x_{j}+\varepsilon\langle x\rangle^{-\mu}\right]=: A_{x} \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we will see later how $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ have to be chosen in dependence on $f \in X_{m}$. We can obtain such a kernel by defining

$$
K(t, x)=\varepsilon^{-d}\langle x\rangle^{\mu d} \varphi\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{\mu}(t-x)\right)
$$

for a positive test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with support in $[0,1]^{d}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi(t) d t=1$ (the conditions above can be checked easily and $K \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ since every derivative of $K$ can be estimated by a polynomial).

We start with the one-dimensional case $d=1$ where we can take $m=n+1$ and $N=0$. So let $f \in X_{n+1, M}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to find $g \in \mathcal{O}_{M}$ such that $f-g \in B_{n, 0}$. At first we set

$$
g_{n}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n)}(t) K(t, x) d t
$$

and show that this is a good approximation to $f^{(n)}$. Since for $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\left|g_{n}^{(l)}(x)\right|=\left|\int_{A_{x}} f^{(n)}(t) \partial_{x}^{l} K(t, x) d t\right| \leq \int_{A_{x}}|P(t)||Q(t, x)| d t \leq|R(x)|
$$

for some polynomials $P, Q, R$, the function $g_{n}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$. Furthermore we can estimate in virtue of Taylor's formula

$$
\left|f^{(n)}(t)-f^{(n)}(x)\right| \leq|t-x|\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle^{M}\|f\|_{n+1, M}
$$

with a point $\xi(t, x)$ between $t$ and $x$. For $\varepsilon$ small enough the inequality $\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle \leq$ $2\langle x\rangle$ holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in A_{x}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g_{n}(x)-f^{(n)}(x)\right| & =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f^{(n)}(t)-f^{(n)}(x)\right) K(t, x) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{A_{x}}\left|f^{(n)}(t)-f^{(n)}(x)\right| K(t, x) d t \\
& \leq \int_{A_{x}}|t-x|\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle^{M}\|f\|_{n+1, M} K(t, x) d t  \tag{4}\\
& \leq \varepsilon 2^{M}\langle x\rangle^{M-\mu}\|f\|_{n+1, M} \int_{A_{x}} K(t, x) d t \\
& =\varepsilon 2^{M}\langle x\rangle^{M-\mu}\|f\|_{n+1, M} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now if

$$
T: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbb{R}), h \mapsto\left(x \mapsto \int_{0}^{x} h(t) d t\right)
$$

we can set

$$
g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j}+\left(T^{n} g_{n}\right)(x)
$$

Then $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and since

$$
\left(T^{n} f^{(n)}\right)(x)=f(x)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j}
$$

integrating (4) (the integral starting at 0) yields

$$
\left|g^{(l)}(x)-f^{(l)}(x)\right| \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, l \leq n
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough and $\mu$ large enough. Hence $g-f \in B_{n, 0}$ and the proof is complete for the one-dimensional case.

Now we will prove the two-dimensional case $d=2$. We set $m=2 n+1$ and $N=n-1$ in (3). So let $f \in X_{2 n+1, M}$ for some $M$. With the help of a kernel $K \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ like above, we set

$$
g_{n}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial^{(n, n)} f(t) K(t, x) d t
$$

in order to approximate $\partial^{(n, n)} f$ by $g_{n}$. Similar to the one-dimensional case we have

$$
\left|\partial^{(n, n)} f(t)-\partial^{(n, n)} f(x)\right| \leq c \cdot|t-x|\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle^{M}\|f\|_{2 n+1, M}
$$

and $\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle \leq 2\langle x\rangle$ for $t \in A_{x}$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g_{n}(x)-\partial^{(n, n)} f(x)\right| & \leq c \int_{A_{x}}|t-x|\langle\xi(t, x)\rangle^{M}\|f\|_{2 n+1, M} K(t, x) d t  \tag{5}\\
& \leq \tilde{c} \varepsilon\langle x\rangle^{M-\mu}\|f\|_{2 n+1, M} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us denote $T_{j}$ the integral with respect to the $j$-th component (the integral starting at 0 ). Applying $T_{1} \circ T_{2} n$-times to $\partial^{(n, n)} f(x)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{1}^{n} T_{2}^{n} f\right)(x)= \\
& \quad f(x)+\sum_{\alpha<(n, n)} \partial^{\alpha} f(0,0) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial^{(j, 0)} f\left(0, x_{2}\right) \frac{x_{1}^{j}}{j!}-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \partial^{(0, j)} f\left(x_{1}, 0\right) \frac{x_{2}^{j}}{j!}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the one-dimensional case we can choose $g_{0}^{1}, \ldots g_{n-1}^{1}, g_{0}^{2}, \ldots, g_{n-1}^{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\left\|g_{j}^{1}-\partial^{(0, j)} f(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{n, 0} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left\|g_{j}^{2}-\partial^{(j, 0)} f(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{n, 0} \leq \varepsilon$. Defining

$$
g(x)=\left(T_{1}^{n} T_{2}^{n}\right) g_{n}(x)-\sum_{\alpha<(n, n)} \partial^{\alpha} f(0,0) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \frac{x_{1}^{j}}{j!}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{x_{2}^{j}}{j!}
$$

and applying $T_{1}^{n} T_{2}^{n}$ to (5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |g(x)-f(x)| \leq \\
& \quad \varepsilon+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\left|g_{j}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\partial^{(0, j)} f\left(x_{1}, 0\right)\right| \frac{\left|x_{2}\right|^{j}}{j!}+\left|g_{j}^{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-\partial^{(j, 0)} f\left(0, x_{2}\right)\right| \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{j}}{j!}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mu$ large enough which implies

$$
|g(x)-f(x)| \leq \varepsilon+\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|x_{2}\right|^{j}}{j!}+\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{j}}{j!} \leq \varepsilon c\langle x\rangle^{n-1}
$$

for some $c>1$. Since similar estimates also hold for $\left|\partial^{\alpha} g(x)-\partial^{\alpha} f(x)\right|,|\alpha| \leq n$, we obtain $g-f \in B_{n, n-1}$ and the proof is complete for $d=2$.

The general case $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is very similar. Inductively we want to show

$$
X_{d n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}+B_{n,(d-1)(n-1)}
$$

and start by approximating $\partial^{(n, \ldots, n)} f$ by $g_{n}(x):=\int_{R^{d}} \partial^{(n, \ldots, n)} f(t) K(t, x) d t$. Then we integrate the estimate of $g_{n}-\partial^{(n, \ldots, n)} f n$-times with respect to each component. The integral $T_{1}^{n} \cdots T_{d}^{n} \partial^{(n, \ldots, n)} f$ contains $f$ as a summand and terms that are the product of a derivative of $f$ that only depends on less than $d$ components and a polynomial in less than $d$ components with exponents less than $n$. But we can estimate the functions that only depend on less than $d$ variables by the induction hypothesis and hence we can obtain $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}$ with $g-f \in B_{n,(d-1)(n-1)}$.
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