

Induced Cycles in Graphs

¹Michael A. Henning, ²Felix Joos,
²Christian Löwenstein, and ²Thomas Sasse

¹Department of Mathematics
 University of Johannesburg
 Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa
 E-mail: mahenning@uj.ac.za

²Institute of Optimization and Operations Research,
 Ulm University,
 Ulm 89081, Germany,
 E-mail: felix.joos@uni-ulm.de,
 E-mail: christian.loewenstein@uni-ulm.de,
 E-mail: thomas.sasse@uni-ulm.de

Abstract

The maximum cardinality of an induced 2-regular subgraph of a graph G is denoted by $c_{\text{ind}}(G)$. We prove that if G is an r -regular graph of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq \frac{n}{2(r-1)} + \frac{1}{(r-1)(r-2)}$ and we prove that if G is a cubic claw-free graph on order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) > 13n/20$ and this bound is asymptotically best possible.

Keywords: Induced regular subgraph; induced cycle; claw-free; matching; 1-extendability

AMS subject classification: 05C38, 05C69

1 Introduction

The problem of finding a largest induced r -regular subgraph of a given graph for any value of $r \geq 0$ has attracted much interest and dates back to Erdős, Fajtlowicz, and Staton [2]. Cardoso et al. [1] showed that it is NP-hard to find a maximum induced r -regular subgraph of a given graph. Lozin et al. [7] established efficient algorithms for special graph classes including $2P_3$ -free graphs, while Moser and Thilikos [8] studied FPT-algorithms for finding regular induced subgraphs.

The special case of finding a largest induced r -regular subgraph when $r = 0$ is the well-studied problem of finding a maximum independent set in a graph. When $r = 1$, the problem is to find a maximum induced matching in a graph which has also received considerable attention in the literature. In this paper, we focus our attention on finding a largest induced 2-regular subgraph of a given graph. The situation turned out to be much more complex than the independent set problem and the induced matching problem due to the fact that

the components of a 2-regular subgraph are no longer bounded. Local arguments and techniques which earlier proved successful do not suffice and global arguments need to be found that take into account structural properties of the graph.

The *induced cycle number* $c_{\text{ind}}(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of an induced 2-regular subgraph of G . Our aim in this paper is threefold.

Our first aim is to establish NP-hardness of $c_{\text{ind}}(G)$ for graphs G of maximum degree 4. Our second aim is to provide a lower bound on the induced cycle number of a general graph in terms of its order, size and maximum degree. As a consequence of this result, we obtain a lower bound on the induced cycle number of a regular graph. Our third aim is to establish an asymptotically best possible lower bound on the induced cycle number of a cubic claw-free graph. Our proof techniques rely heavily on matching results and intricate counting arguments.

1.1 Notation

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$, and of order $n(G) = |V(G)|$ and size $m(G) = |E(G)|$. Let v be a vertex in $V(G)$. The *open neighborhood* of v is $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ and the *closed neighborhood of v* is $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v)$. The degree of v is $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. If $d_G(v) = k$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, we say that G is a k -regular graph. A 3-regular graph is also called a *cubic graph*. Let $\Delta(G)$ be the maximum degree of G .

A *path* on n vertices is denoted by P_n . A complete graph K_3 we call a *triangle*. For a subset $S \subseteq V$, the subgraph induced by S is denoted by $G[S]$. If X and Y are two vertex disjoint subsets of V , then we denote the set of all edges of G that join a vertex of X and a vertex of Y by $E(X, Y)$. The complete graph on four vertices minus one edge is called a *diamond*. We say that a graph is *F-free* if it does not contain F as an induced subgraph. In particular, if $F = K_{1,3}$, then we say that the graph is *claw-free*. An excellent survey of claw-free graphs has been written by Flandrin, Faudree, and Ryjáček [3].

Two edges in a graph G are *independent* if they are vertex disjoint in G . A set of pairwise independent edges of G is called a *matching* in G . If every vertex of G is incident with an edge of a matching M , then M is a *perfect matching* in G . A graph G is *1-extendable* if given an arbitrary edge e in G there exists a perfect matching in G that contains e . Matchings in graphs are extensively studied in the literature (see, for example, the book by Lovász and Plummer [6] and the survey articles by Plummer [9] and Pulleyblank [10]).

A *block* of a graph G is a maximal 2-connected subgraph of G . A block B is a *trivial block* if $B = K_2$ and B is a *cycle block* if B is a cycle. A *cactus* is a graph containing trivial blocks and cycle blocks only.

2 Complexity Results

The basic complexity question concerning the decision problem for 2-regular subgraphs takes the following form:

INDUCED CYCLE:**Instance:** A given graph G and a positive integer k .**Question:** Is $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq k$?

The *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set in G . The problem of finding a maximum independent set is called the maximum independent set problem and is a classic NP-hard optimization problem.

INDEPENDENT SET PROBLEM:**Instance:** A given graph G and a positive integer k .**Question:** Is $\alpha(G) \geq k$?

The INDEPENDENT SET PROBLEM is NP-complete for the class of cubic planar graphs [4].

Theorem 1 *INDUCED CYCLE is NP-complete for planar graphs of maximum degree at most 4.*

Proof. It is easy to see that INDUCED CYCLE is in NP. In order to show that INDUCED CYCLE is NP-complete, we reduce the INDEPENDENT SET PROBLEM to INDUCED CYCLE. Assume we want to decide whether the independence number of a cubic planar graph G is at least k . For every vertex v of G , let e_v be an arbitrary edge incident with v . Let G' arise from G by subdividing every edge e_v once and if $e_v = e_u$ for two distinct vertices u, v , then subdividing e_v twice. For $v \in V(G)$, let v' be the vertex of degree 2 that is adjacent to v and arises from subdividing the edge e_v . Let H arise from G' and $n(G)$ many distinct paths $\{P_v\}_{v \in V(G)}$ of order $3n(G) - 2$ by joining for every $v \in V(G)$ one endvertex of P_v with v and the other endvertex with v' . Let C_v be the induced cycle containing P_v in H . Note that H is planar, has maximum degree 4, and $n(H) = 3(n(G))^2$.

If Z is a 2-regular subgraph of H , then a vertex in P_v is contained in Z if and only if all vertices of P_v are contained in Z if and only if C_v is a component of Z . Let Z be a 2-regular subgraph of H such that the order of Z is maximum. Assume for contradiction that Z contains a component $C \neq C_v$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Thus C contains at most two vertices of C_v for $v \in V(G)$. Let v be such that $C_v \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Replacing C by C_v results a 2-regular subgraph of H of larger order, which is a contradiction to our assumption that the order of Z is maximum.

Therefore, we may assume that $Z = \bigcup_{v \in S} C_v$. On the one hand, if $uv \in E(G)$, then by construction C_v and C_u cannot be both in Z . Thus S is an independent set. On the other hand, for every independent set I , the graph $\bigcup_{v \in I} C_v$ is a 2-regular subgraph of G . Hence, there is an independent set I of order k in G if and only if there is a 2-regular subgraph of order $3kn(G)$ in H . \square

3 General Bounds

In this section, we provide a lower bound on the induced cycle number of a general graph in terms of its order, size and maximum degree.

Theorem 2 *If G is a graph, then*

$$c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq \frac{m(G) - n(G) + 1}{(\Delta(G) - 2)(\Delta(G) - 1)}.$$

Proof. Consider the following Greedy-Algorithm. Remove an induced cycle together with all its neighbors from G until the resulting graph has no cycles any more. Let F denote the graph that arises by applying the Greedy-Algorithm on G and let C_1, \dots, C_t denote the cycles of G that are chosen by the Greedy-Algorithm. Then, $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V(C_i)$ is an induced 2-regular subgraph in G . We set $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^t |V(C_i)|$, which implies that $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq \ell$. Let N be the number of vertices that are removed from G by the Greedy-Algorithm but do not belong to any of the cycles C_1, \dots, C_t . Then the following equation and inequalities holds.

$$n(F) = n(G) - \ell - N \tag{1}$$

$$m(F) \geq m(G) - \ell - N \cdot \Delta(G) \tag{2}$$

$$N \leq \ell \cdot (\Delta(G) - 2). \tag{3}$$

Since F is a forest, we conclude that

$$m(F) \leq n(F) - 1. \tag{4}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\Delta(G) - 2)(\Delta(G) - 1) &\stackrel{(3)}{\geq} N \cdot \Delta(G) - N \\ &\stackrel{(2),(1)}{\geq} (m(G) - m(F) - \ell) + (n(F) - n(G) + \ell) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{\geq} m(G) - n(G) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result now follows from our earlier observation that $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq \ell$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain the following lower bound on the induced cycle number of a regular graph.

Corollary 3 *For $k \geq 3$, if G is a k -regular graph, then*

$$c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{2(k-1)} + \frac{1}{(k-2)(k-1)}.$$

Corollary 4 *If G is a cubic graph of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) > n/4$.*

Note that for $k \geq 4$, we have $c_{\text{ind}}(K_{k,k}) = \frac{2}{k}$ and the cubic graph G depicted in Figure 6 has induced cycle number $\frac{n(G)}{2}$. For every k , we do not find any graph where $\frac{c_{\text{ind}}(G)}{n(G)}$ is smaller.

4 Claw-Free Cubic Graphs

In this section we establish an asymptotic tight lower bound on the induced cycle number of a connected claw-free cubic graph. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 5 *If G is a cubic claw-free graph of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) > 13n/20$.*

4.1 Preliminary Results and Known Results

A component of a graph is *odd* or *even* depending on whether its order is odd or even, respectively. For a graph G , let $\text{oc}(G)$ denote the number of odd components of G . We shall need the following well-known matching result due to Tutte [11].

Theorem 6 (Tutte's Theorem) *A graph G has a perfect matching if and only if $\text{oc}(G-S) \leq |S|$ for every proper subset $S \subseteq V(G)$.*

Moreover, we use the following result.

Lemma 7 ([5]) *The vertex set of a connected cubic claw-free graph $G \neq K_4$ can be uniquely partitioned into sets each of which induce a triangle or a diamond in G .*

For $k \geq 2$ an integer, let N_k be the connected cubic graph constructed as follows. Take k disjoint copies D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k of a diamond, where $V(D_i) = \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ and where $a_i b_i$ is the missing edge in D_i . Let N_k be obtained from the disjoint union of these k diamonds by adding the edges $\{a_i b_{i+1} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ and adding the edge $a_k b_1$. Following the notation in [5], we call N_k a *diamond-necklace with k diamonds*. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\text{cubic}} = \{N_k \mid k \geq 2\}$. A diamond-necklace, N_8 , with eight diamonds is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: A diamond-necklace N_8

Observation 8 *If $G \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{cubic}}$ is a diamond-necklace of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 3n/4$.*

We shall need the following result.

Theorem 9 *Every connected cubic bridgeless graph is 1-extendable.*

Proof. Let G be a connected cubic bridgeless graph and let $e = uv \in E(G)$ be an arbitrary edge of G . We will show that $G' = G - \{u, v\}$ has a perfect matching. Since G does not contain parallel edges, we note that $\delta(G') \geq 1$. Among all proper subset of vertices of G' , let $X \subset V(G')$ be chosen so that

- (1) $\text{oc}(G' - X) - |X|$ is maximized,
- (2) Subject to (1), $|X|$ is maximized.

We proceed further with a series of claims.

Claim 1 *Every component in $G' - X$ is an odd component.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G has an even component. Let x be a vertex of such an even component and let $X' = X \cup \{x\}$. Then, $\text{oc}(G' - X') \geq \text{oc}(G' - X) + 1$ and $|X'| = |X| + 1$, implying that $\text{oc}(G' - X') - |X'| \geq \text{oc}(G' - X) - |X|$, contradicting our choice of the set X . \square

Claim 2 $|E(X, \overline{X})| \geq 3\text{oc}(G' - X) - 4$.

Proof. Let $s = \text{oc}(G' - X)$ and let G_1, \dots, G_s denote the components of $G' - X$. Let $\overline{X} = V(G') \setminus X$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $V_i = V(G_i)$. By Claim 1, every component G_i is odd, and so $\overline{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s V_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, we define $t_i = 3|V_i| - \sum_{v \in V_i} d_{G'}(v)$. Since four edges join uv and the vertices of G' , we conclude $\sum_{i=1}^s t_i \leq 4$. By using the fact that there are no edges joining V_i and V_j for $i \neq j$, we obtain for $1 \leq i \leq s$

$$|E(X, V_i)| = \sum_{v \in V_i} d_{G'}(v) - \sum_{v \in V_i} d_{G_i}(v) = 3|V_i| - t_i - 2|E(G_i)|.$$

Since $|V_i|$ is odd, we note that $|E(X, V_i)|$ and t_i have different parity.

Subclaim 2.1 $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 3 - t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Suppose $t_i = 0$ and $|E(X, V_i)| = 1$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the unique edge in $E(X, V_i)$ is a bridge in both G' and G , contradicting the assumption that G is bridgeless. Hence, if $t_i = 0$, then $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 3 = 3 - t_i$. Suppose $t_i = 1$ and $|E(X, V_i)| = 0$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the unique edge in $E(G) \setminus E(G')$ that joins a vertex in V_i to a vertex in $\{u, v\}$ in G is a bridge in G , contradicting the assumption that G is bridgeless. Hence, if $t_i = 1$, then $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 2 = 3 - t_i$. If $t_i = 2$, then since $|E(X, V_i)|$ and t_i have different parity, we have that $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 1 = 3 - t_i$. If $t_i \geq 3$, then $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 0 \geq 3 - t_i$. \square

By Subclaim 2.1, $|E(X, V_i)| \geq 3 - t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Recall that $\sum_{i=1}^s t_i \leq 4$ and hence

$$|E(X, \overline{X})| = \sum_{i=1}^s |E(X, V_i)| \geq \sum_{i=1}^s (3 - t_i) = 3s - \sum_{i=1}^s t_i \geq 3\text{oc}(G' - X) - 4.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 2. \square

Claim 3 $\text{oc}(G' - X) \leq |X|$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\text{oc}(G' - X) > |X|$. Since G is cubic, the graph G' , and thus the graph $G' - X$, has even order. Therefore, $\text{oc}(G' - X) - |X|$ is even, implying that $\text{oc}(G' - X) \geq |X| + 2$. This in turn implies that

$$|E(X, \overline{X})| \leq 3|X| \leq 3\text{oc}(G' - X) - 6,$$

which contradicts Claim 2. \square

By Claim 3, $\text{oc}(G' - X) \leq |X|$. By our choice of the set X , this implies that $\text{oc}(G' - S) \leq |S|$ for every proper subset $S \subset V(G')$. Hence by Tutte's Theorem, G' has a perfect matching, M' say. But then the set $M' \cup \{e\}$ is a perfect matching in G containing the edge e . This completes the proof of Theorem 9. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 9, we have the following result.

Corollary 10 *Every 2-connected cubic multigraph without loops is 1-extendable.*

Proof. Let G be a 2-connected cubic multigraph with no loops. We prove the result by induction on the number of parallel edges in G . If G does not contain parallel edges, then the result follows by Theorem 9. This establishes the base case. Suppose that G contains parallel edges. Then there are two vertices u and v in G that are joined by two distinct edges, say e and e' .

Let D be a diamond with $V(D) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and where ab is the missing edge in D and where $V(D) \cap V(G) = \emptyset$. Let G' arise from the disjoint union, $D \cup G$, of D and G by removing the edge e and adding the two edges $e_1 = au$ and $e_2 = bv$. Let $e_3 = cd$. By construction, the graph G' is 2-connected, cubic, without loops, and with less parallel edges than G . Applying the induction hypothesis to G' , the multigraph G' is 1-extendable. Let f be an arbitrary edge in G .

If the edges e and f are distinct, then let M' be a perfect matching in G' containing f . We note that $e_1 \in M'$ if and only if $e_2 \in M'$ if and only if $e_3 \in M'$. If $e_1 \in M'$, then let $M = (M' \cap E(G)) \cup \{e\}$. Otherwise if $e_1 \notin M'$, then let $M = (M' \cap E(G))$. In both cases M is a perfect matching in G containing f .

If $e = f$, then let M' be a perfect matching in G' containing e_1 . We note that $e_2, e_3 \in M'$. Hence $(M' \cap E(G)) \cup \{e\}$ is a perfect matching in G containing $e = f$. Altogether, G has a perfect matching containing f . \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 5

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 5. Recall its statement.

Theorem 5 *If G is a cubic claw-free graph of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) > 13n/20$.*

Proof of Theorem 5. Clearly, we may assume that G is connected. We proceed by induction on the order n of a connected cubic claw-free graph. If $n = 4$, then $G = K_4$

and $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 3n/4 > 13n/20$. This establishes the base case. Let $n \geq 6$ and assume that for every connected cubic claw-free graph G' of order n' where $n' < n$ we have $c_{\text{ind}}(G') > 13n'/20$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected cubic claw-free graph of order n .

By Lemma 7, the vertex set V can be uniquely partitioned into sets each of which induce a triangle or a diamond in G . For notational convenience, we refer to such a partition as a *triangle-diamond partition* of G , abbreviated Δ -D-partition. Every triangle and diamond induced by a set in our Δ -D-partition we call a *unit* of the partition. A unit that is a triangle we call a *triangle-unit* and a unit that is a diamond we call a *diamond-unit*. We say that two units in the Δ -D-partition are *adjacent* if there is an edge joining a vertex in one unit to a vertex in the other unit.

If every unit in the Δ -D-partition is a diamond-unit, then G is a diamond-necklace N_k with $k \geq 2$ diamonds (recall that here $n \geq 8$), and so, by Observation 8, $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 3n/4 > 13n/20$ and $G \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{cubic}}$. Hence we may assume that $G \notin \mathcal{N}_{\text{cubic}}$. Therefore, at least one unit in the Δ -D-partition is a triangle-unit. Since every triangle-unit is joined by three edges to vertices from other units, while every diamond-unit is joined by two edges to vertices from other units, there are therefore at least two triangle-units in our Δ -D-partition.

We proceed by a series of structural properties that we may assume are satisfied in the graph G for otherwise the desired lower bound on $c_{\text{ind}}(G)$ follows.

Claim A *A diamond unit is adjacent to only one unit and this unit is a triangle-unit.*

Proof. Suppose first that there is a diamond unit D adjacent to two distinct units U_1 and U_2 . Let $V(D) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ where ab is the missing edge in the diamond. Let v_1 and v_2 be the neighbors of a and b , respectively, not in D . Renaming U_1 and U_2 if necessary, we may assume that $v_1 \in V(U_1)$ and $v_2 \in V(U_2)$. We note that $v_1v_2 \notin E$. Let G' be obtained from G by deleting $V(D)$ and adding the edge $e = v_1v_2$. Then, G' is a connected cubic claw-free graph of order $n' = n - 4$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to G' , yields $c_{\text{ind}}(G') > 13n'/20$. Let S' be a maximum induced 2-regular subgraph in G' . If e belongs to a cycle in $G'[S']$, then we let $S = S' \cup \{a, b, c\}$. If e does not belong to a cycle in $G'[S']$, then we may assume, renaming vertices if necessary, that $v_1 \notin S'$. In this case, we let $S = S' \cup \{a, c, d\}$. In both cases, S is an induced 2-regular subgraph in G and $|S| = |S'| + 3$, implying that $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq |S| = |S'| + 3 = c_{\text{ind}}(G') + 3 > 13n'/20 + 3 = 13(n - 4)/20 + 3 > 13n/20$. Hence, D is adjacent to exactly one other unit. If D is adjacent to another diamond unit, then $G = N_2$, a contradiction to our earlier assumption that $G \notin \mathcal{N}_{\text{cubic}}$. \square

Claim B *Two triangle-units are joined by at most two edges.*

Proof. If two triangle-units are joined by three edges, then G is a prism $C_3 \square K_2$ of order $n = 6$ and $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 4 > 13n/20$. \square

Suppose there is a diamond-unit D . By Claim A, the diamond-unit D is adjacent to a triangle-unit. This triangle-unit is in turn adjacent to another triangle-unit T . The subgraph of G induced by these three units we call a *tower*. We call T the *base triangle* of the tower. Two towers are *adjacent* if there is an edge joining the two base triangles. A tower is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: A tower.

Claim C *If two triangle-units are joined by two edges, then there is a third triangle-unit which is adjacent to both triangle-units.*

Proof. Suppose that there are two triangle-units U_1 and U_2 joined by two edges and there is no common adjacent (triangle) unit. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $u_i \in V(U_i)$ be the vertex that is not adjacent to U_{3-i} and let v_i be the neighbor of u_i not belonging to U_i . Note that $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $V(U_i) = \{u_i, w_i, x_i\}$ where $w_1w_2 \in E(G)$ and $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Let G' be obtained from G by deleting $V(U_1) \cup V(U_2)$ and adding the edge $e = v_1v_2$. Then, G' is a connected cubic claw-free graph of order $n' = n - 6$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to G' , yields $c_{\text{ind}}(G') > 13n'/20$. Let S' be a maximum induced 2-regular subgraph in G' . If e belongs to a cycle C in $G'[S']$, then let $S = S' \cup \{u_1, u_2, w_1, w_2\}$. If e does not belong to a cycle in $G'[S']$, then let $S = S' \cup \{w_1, w_2, x_1, x_2\}$. In both cases, S is an induced 2-regular subgraph in G and $|S| = |S'| + 4$, implying that $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq |S| = |S'| + 4 = c_{\text{ind}}(G') + 4 > 13n'/20 + 4 = 13(n - 6)/20 + 4 > 13n/20$. \square

Claim D *Every two distinct towers are vertex-disjoint.*

Proof. We show firstly that if two distinct towers are not vertex-disjoint, then they share a common base triangle. Suppose, to the contrary, that T_1 and T_2 are two distinct towers that are not vertex-disjoint but do not share a common base triangle. Then by Claim A, the two base triangles of T_1 and T_2 cannot have exactly two vertices in common. Since G is cubic, the base triangles cannot have exactly one vertex in common. Therefore the base triangles have no vertex in common and are both adjacent to a diamond-unit. The graph G is determined and is shown in Figure 3. In this case, $n = 14$ and $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 10 > 13n/20$. Hence we may assume that two distinct non-vertex-disjoint towers share a common base triangle, for otherwise the desired result follows.




Figure 3: Two distinct non-vertex-disjoint towers with no common base triangle.

Suppose that three towers share a common base triangle. Then the graph G is determined and is shown in Figure 4. In this case, $n = 24$ and $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq 18 > 13n/20$. Hence we may assume that no three towers share a common base triangle, for otherwise the desired result follows.




Figure 4: Three towers sharing a common base triangle.

Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two distinct towers that share a common base triangle T . Let v be the vertex of T that has a neighbor in $G - V(G_1) - V(G_2)$. Let r_1 be the neighbor of v not in G_1 . By Claim A, r_1 belongs to a triangle-unit, say T^* . Let $V(T^*) = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$. Let s_2 and s_3 be the neighbors of r_2 and r_3 , respectively, not in T^* . By our earlier assumption, no three towers share a common triangle. Hence by Claim C, s_2 and s_3 belong to different units. In particular, we note that $s_2 s_3 \notin E(G)$.

Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) \cup V(T^*)$ and adding the edge $s_2 s_3$. Then, G' is a connected cubic claw-free graph of order $n' = n - 20$. Let C_1 and C_2 be induced 5-cycles in G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Applying the inductive hypothesis to G' , we have $c_{\text{ind}}(G') > 13n'/20$. Let S' be a maximum induced 2-regular subgraph in G' . If $\{s_2, s_3\} \subset S'$, let $S = S' \cup \{r_2, r_3\} \cup V(C_1) \cup V(C_2) \cup V(T)$. If $|S' \cap \{s_2, s_3\}| \leq 1$, let $S = S' \cup V(C_1) \cup V(C_2) \cup V(T)$. In both cases, S is an induced 2-regular subgraph in G , and so $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq |S| \geq |S'| + 13 = c_{\text{ind}}(G') + 13 > 13n'/20 + 13 = 13(n - 20)/20 + 13 = 13n/20$. \square

We now return to the proof of Theorem 5. By our assumptions to date, the connected claw-free graph G satisfies Claims A-D. We now construct an induced 2-regular subgraph in G explicitly. In order to do this, we introduce several auxiliary graphs. By Claim D, every two distinct towers are vertex-disjoint. Let H arise from G as follows: First we replace every tower by a degree-2 vertex. Thereafter we replace every triangle-unit in the resulting reduced graph, by a degree-3 vertex. Let h be the bijective function that maps every degree-2 vertex of H to its corresponding tower in G and every degree-3 vertex of H to its corresponding triangle in G . Note that H has no loops but parallel edges are possible.

We color in H every degree-2 vertex red and every degree-3 vertex which is incident to a bridge yellow. Let H_1, \dots, H_k be the non-trivial and non-cycle blocks of H . Then every uncolored vertex belongs to H_i for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, has degree 3, and all its three incident edges belong to the same non-trivial block of H . Note that in H_1, \dots, H_k exactly the colored vertices have degree 2. If u and v are two distinct uncolored vertices in H_i for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, that are joined by a path P every internal vertex of which is a colored vertex (colored red or yellow), then we refer to P as a *colored u - v -path*.

For every $1 \leq i \leq k$, let B_i be the graph that arises from the subgraph of H induced by all uncolored vertices of H_i by adding, for each colored u - v -path between two uncolored vertices u and v in H_i , an edge between u and v . We say that such an added edge is colored. Note that every $1 \leq i \leq k$, the graphs B_i may have parallel edges. However, B_i does not contain loops because H_i is neither an edge nor a cycle. Moreover, we also use the function h to map every vertex of B_i to its corresponding triangle in G .

In the following, we take a set of cycles in H or B_1, \dots, B_k and construct from this set one part of a 2-regular subgraph of G . In order to do this, we introduce the notion of *lifting* a cycle. Let C be a cycle in B_i . Let C' be the cycle of H_i that arises from C by replacing each colored edge with its corresponding colored path. Let C'' be the cycle of G that uses exactly two vertices of all (triangle-) units in $h(V(C'))$ and respects the naturally given order of C' . We say that C (or C') is *lifted* to C'' and write $\ell(C) = C''$. By abusing the terminology, we also write $\ell(C') = C''$. We remark that C'' is an induced cycle of G because if $e \in E(G) \setminus E(C'')$ and $e = xy$ is incident with a vertex in C'' , then e belongs to a triangle-unit T_e , say, where $V(T_e) = \{x, y, z\}$ but there are only two vertices in T_e that belong to the cycle C'' , namely y and z . Moreover, we extend the idea of lifting a cycle to collections of (pairwise disjoint) cycles by lifting each cycle separately. Note that if we lift a collection of pairwise disjoint cycles \mathcal{D} , then $\ell(\mathcal{D})$ is a 2-regular induced subgraph of G .

One core idea of our proof is to remove from each B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, a perfect matching M_i resulting in a collection $B_i - M_i$ of cycles which we then lift to induced cycles in G . Applying Corollary 10 to the 2-connected cubic multigraph B_i , the multigraph B_i is 1-extendable and therefore we have the flexibility to force any specific edge e of B_i to be in the perfect matching M_i or not. In order to force $e \notin M_i$ we force a adjacent edge of e to be contained in M_i .

Before we are able to construct a large 2-regular induced subgraph of G , we describe some properties of the components H_1^c, \dots, H_ℓ^c induced by the colored vertices of H . It is easy to see that H_i^c is a cactus; that is, every block in H_i^c is either a trivial block or a cycle block. By the definition of a block, the components H_1^c, \dots, H_ℓ^c and the non-trivial and non-cycle blocks H_1, \dots, H_k can be structured in a tree T with vertex set $\{t_{H_1}, \dots, t_{H_k}, t_{H_1^c}, \dots, t_{H_\ell^c}\}$, where t_{H_i} and $t_{H_j^c}$ are joined by an edge if $V(H_i) \cap V(H_j^c) \neq \emptyset$. Note that for all distinct i, j , we have $V(H_i) \cap V(H_j) = \emptyset$ and $V(H_i^c) \cap V(H_j^c) = \emptyset$. We call B_1, \dots, B_k and H_1^c, \dots, H_ℓ^c the pieces of G . This implies that $V(B_1), \dots, V(B_k), V(H_1^c), \dots, V(H_\ell^c)$ is a partition of $V(H)$.

In order to construct a collection of induced cycles \mathcal{C} of G , in other words a 2-regular subgraph of G , our strategy is as follows: Initially, we let $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. Suppose $k \geq 1$; we treat the case $k = 0$ in the very end of the proof. Let T be rooted at t_{H_1} . For $i \geq 0$, we define the i th level of T to be the set of all vertices in T at distance i from the root, t_{H_1} , of T . In particular, level 0 consists of the root t_{H_1} of T . We start with some perfect matching M_1 of B_1 and add $\ell(B_1 - M_1)$ to \mathcal{C} . Thus $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(B_1)| \geq \frac{2}{2}n(h(B_1))$. We then consider the levels of T in turn, starting with the vertices in the 1st level, proceeding to vertices in the 2nd level, and so on. Hence after $\ell(B_1 - M_1)$ is added to \mathcal{C} , as our next step we consider all pieces H_i^c such that $t_{H_i^c}$ is adjacent to t_{H_1} . Thereafter, we consider all pieces H_j such that t_{H_j} is at distance 2 from t_{H_1} in T and we continue this process until all vertices of T have been examined. Since T is a tree and the influence of our construction points away from t_{H_1} , it is sufficient to explain our construction for some piece H_i and for some piece H_j^c if its parent vertex in T has already been examined, respectively; in other words we proceed by induction on the levels of the vertices in T .

Let $d \geq 1$ and for the purpose of induction, we assume that $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(P)| \geq \frac{13}{20}n(h(P))$ for all pieces P such that t_P is at level less than d in T , with strict inequality for $P = B_1$ where \mathcal{C} is a 2-regular induced subgraph of G . Let t_P be a vertex at level d in T .

Suppose that $P = H_j^c$ for some $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let t_{H_i} be the parent vertex of $t_{H_j^c}$. For all towers in $h(H_j^c)$, add one of their two induced 5-cycles to \mathcal{C} .

Claim E *If H_j^c contains no yellow vertex, then \mathcal{C} can be extended to a 2-regular graph of G by adding to it at least $\frac{13}{20}n(h(H_j^c))$ vertices.*

Proof. Suppose that H_j^c is a path on p red colored vertices. In this case, we note that $t_{H_j^c}$ is a leaf in T . If M_i contains the colored edge in B_i that is associated with H_j^c , then there is no cycle in \mathcal{C} that contains a vertex of $h(H_j^c)$. Further no vertex from a cycle in \mathcal{C} is adjacent to a vertex in $h(H_j^c)$. We choose the first base triangle, and thereafter every second base triangle, of H_j^c to \mathcal{C} . In this way, $\lceil p/2 \rceil$ base triangles of H_j^c are added to \mathcal{C} , implying that \mathcal{C} is a 2-regular graph of G . Further since $n(h(H_j^c)) = 10p$, we have

$$|\mathcal{C} \cap h(H_j^c)| = 5p + 3 \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{13p}{2} = \frac{13}{20}n(h(H_j^c)).$$

If M_i does not contain the colored edge that is associated to H_j^c , then there is a cycle in \mathcal{C} that contains exactly two vertices of every base triangle from each tower of $h(H_j^c)$. In this case, $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(H_j^c)| = 7p > \frac{13}{20}n(h(H_j^c))$. (\square)

In view of Claim E, we may assume that H_j^c contains at least one yellow vertex. For every cycle C in H_j^c , add the lifted cycle $\ell(C)$ to \mathcal{C} . Note that \mathcal{C} is still a 2-regular subgraph of G and $\frac{2}{3}n(\ell(C))$ vertices have been added to \mathcal{C} . Trivially, all vertices of H_j^c that do not belong to a cycle of H_j^c induce a forest F . Since F is bipartite, we can partition F into two independent sets I_1 and I_2 . Both of them represent a collection of induced cycles (in fact, triangles) in G . We choose later one of these collections and add it to \mathcal{C} and show that at least one choice is good enough for our purpose by showing that the average of our two choices is already good enough.

Let $v \in V(H_j^c) \cap I_p$ for some $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and suppose we choose I_p . Then we do the following: if v is a yellow colored vertex, then add $h(v)$ to \mathcal{C} and if v is a red colored vertex, then add the base triangle of $h(v)$ to \mathcal{C} .

Note that, if $v \in V(H_j^c)$ is a vertex that belongs to $H_{i'}$ for some $i' \neq i$, then $t_{H_{i'}}$ is a child vertex of $t_{H_j^c}$ and all vertices of H_j^c which belong to $H_{i'}$ belong to the same colored edge $e_{i'}$ of $B_{i'}$. By Corollary 10, we are able to decide whether $e_{i'}$ is in the perfect matching $M_{i'}$ of $B_{i'}$ or not. That means, if we decide that $e_{i'} \in M_{i'}$, then after later adding $\ell(B_{i'} - M_{i'})$ to \mathcal{C} , there is no cycle in \mathcal{C} completely lying in $H_{i'}$ and using vertices of G which correspond to the colored edge $e_{i'}$. In the latter case, there is such a cycle using two vertices of each triangle and each base triangle of a tower which correspond to the colored edge $e_{i'}$. Thus we have more freedom than only choosing one of the independent sets I_1 or I_2 .

Next, we analyze the average contribution of $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)|$ to $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(H_j^c)|$ for $v \in V(H_j^c)$. For simplification, we consider the average contribution

$$a(v) = |\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)| - \frac{13}{20}n(h(v))$$

and show that

$$\sum_{v \in V(H_j^c)} a(v) \geq 0.$$

Let $v \in V(H_j^c)$. We distinguish several cases:

1. The vertex v belonging to H_i is a yellow-colored vertex. If there is already a cycle $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $h(v) \cap C$ that belongs completely to H_i , then this cycle uses two vertices of $h(v)$ and we remove v from $I_1 \cup I_2$. This implies that $a(v) = 2 - \frac{39}{20} = \frac{1}{20}$. If this is not the case, then v is either in I_1 or I_2 and hence $a(v) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + 3) - \frac{39}{20} = -\frac{9}{20}$. Thus, $a(v) \geq -\frac{9}{20}$.
2. The vertex v belonging to H_i is a red-colored vertex. If there is already a cycle in $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $h(v) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ that belongs completely to H_i , then this cycle uses two vertices of $h(v)$ and we remove v from $I_1 \cup I_2$. This implies that $a(v) = 7 - \frac{130}{20} = \frac{1}{2}$. If this is not the case, then v is either in I_1 or I_2 and hence $a(v) = \frac{1}{2}(5 + 8) - \frac{130}{20} = 0$. Thus, $a(v) \geq 0$.
3. The vertex v is yellow-colored and does not belong to any $H_{i'}$ and no colored cycle. Since v is in exactly one independent set, we obtain $a(v) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + 3) - \frac{39}{20} = -\frac{9}{20}$.
4. The vertex v is red-colored and does not belong to any $H_{i'}$ and no colored cycle. Since v is in exactly one independent set, we obtain $a(v) = \frac{1}{2}(5 + 8) - \frac{130}{20} = 0$.
5. The vertex v belongs to a colored cycle, C , of length r . We calculate $\sum_{v \in V(C)} a(v)$.

- (a) Suppose first that C contains only one yellow vertex. By Claim C, the cycle C contains at least two red vertices. Hence

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} a(v) = (7(r-1) + 2) - (10(r-1) + 3) \cdot \frac{13}{20} \geq \frac{21}{20}.$$

- (b) Suppose now that C contains at least two yellow vertices. Since $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)| \geq \frac{2}{3}n(h(v))$ for every $v \in V(C)$, we conclude $\sum_{v \in V(C)} a(v) \geq 0$.

6. The vertex v belongs to some $H_{i'}$ where $i' \neq i$. Let $e_{i'}$ be a colored edge belonging to $B_{i'}$ that is associated with H_j^c . Let P be the colored path in H that corresponds to the colored edge $e_{i'}$.

- (a) If P contains at least two yellow vertices, then remove all vertices of P from I_1 and I_2 and we decide that $e_{i'} \notin M_{i'}$. This implies that $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)| \geq \frac{2}{3}n(h(v))$ for every $v \in V(C)$, and therefore that $\sum_{v \in V(C)} a(v) \geq 0$.
- (b) If P contains exactly one yellow vertex and at least one red vertex, then remove all vertices of P from I_1 and I_2 and we decide that $e_{i'} \notin M_{i'}$. If v is the yellow vertex of P , then $a(v) = \frac{1}{20}$ while for every red vertex w of P , we have $a(w) = 7 - \frac{13}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus since P has at least one red vertex,

$$\sum_{v \in V(P)} a(v) \geq \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{11}{20}.$$

- (c) Suppose P contains exactly one vertex v . The vertex v is necessarily a yellow vertex. Let p be such that $v \in I_p$. If we later choose I_p , then we decide that $e_{i'} \in M_{i'}$. Otherwise, if I_p is not chosen, we decide that $e_{i'} \notin M_{i'}$ and we remove v from I_p . In the first case we have $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)| = 3$ and in the latter case $|\mathcal{C} \cap h(v)| = 2$. Thus, $a(v) = \frac{1}{2}(3 + 2) - \frac{39}{20} = \frac{11}{20}$.

We remark that if $v \in V(H_j^c)$, then $a(v)$ is only negative in Case 1 and Case 3.

Claim F *The cases 1 and 3 occur at most as often as the cases 5(a), 6(b) and 6(c).*

Proof. In the cactus H_j^c we contract every cycle to a vertex as well as all vertices which belong to some $H_{i'}$ for $i' \neq i$, respectively. Denote this graph by T^j . Note that T^j is a tree. Let e_i be the colored edge in B_i that is associated with H_j^c and let p be the number of yellow vertices in this path. Partition T^j in p subtrees such that each tree contains exactly one of these p yellow vertices. Contract all vertices that correspond to e_i in each subtree to one vertex and denote the resulting trees by T_1, \dots, T_p . Let $1 \leq q \leq p$. Each leaf of T_q , beside the leaf which corresponds to case 1, corresponds to one occurrence of either case 5(a), 6(b) and 6(c). Furthermore, every occurrence of case 3 guarantees one vertex of degree 3 in T_q . Since every tree has at least two more leaves than the number of degree 3 vertices, we conclude the desired result. \square

Since the positive contribution of each of the cases 5(a), 6(b) and 6(c) is as least as large as the absolute value of the negative contribution of each of the cases 1 and 3, we conclude that

$$\sum_{v \in V(H_j^c)} a(v) \geq 0.$$

This implies that I_1 or I_2 is a good choice for us and we accordingly add all associated triangles to our choice to \mathcal{C} . This implies that

$$|\mathcal{C} \cap h(H_j^c)| \geq \frac{13}{20}n(h(H_j^c)).$$

Suppose next that $P = B_i$ for some $2 \leq i \in k$. Let $t_{H_j^c}$ be the parent vertex of t_{H_i} for some $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let M_i be a perfect matching of B_i . By our earlier discussion, there is an colored edge $e_{i'}$ for which we decided whether $e_{i'} \in M_{i'}$ or not. Recall that $B_{i'}$ is a 2-connected multigraph. By Corollary 10, we obtain the existence of a perfect matching $M_{i'}$ of $B_{i'}$ which contains $e_{i'}$ or not accordingly to our previous decision. With this choice of M_i , we extend \mathcal{C} to a larger 2-regular induced subgraph of G by adding $\ell(B_i - M_i)$ to \mathcal{C} . This implies that

$$|\mathcal{C} \cap h(B_i)| = \frac{2}{3}n(h(B_i)) > \frac{13}{20}n(h(B_i)).$$

Since $V(B_1), \dots, V(B_k), V(H_1^c), \dots, V(H_\ell^c)$ is a partition of $V(H)$, it remains for us to consider the case $k = 0$. However, this case follows by the same approach as before except that here only the cases 3, 4 and 5 can occur. This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

That the bound in Theorem 5 is asymptotically tight may be seen as follows.

Proposition 11 *For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a connected cubic claw-free graph of sufficiently large order $n(G)$ such that*

$$\frac{c_{\text{ind}}(G)}{n(G)} < \frac{13}{20} + \epsilon.$$

Proof. For a given (fixed) $\epsilon > 0$, let k be a positive integer satisfying

$$k > \frac{18}{400\epsilon} - \frac{17}{10}.$$

Let G_k be the connected cubic claw-free graph obtained as follows. Let T be two towers that share a common base triangle, and let T_1 and T_2 be two vertex disjoint copies of T . Let v_1 and v_2 be the vertices of degree 2 in T_1 and T_2 , respectively. Let G_k be obtained from $T_1 \cup T_2$ by joining v_1 and v_2 with a path with $2k$ internal vertices and then replacing each of the $2k$ internal vertices (of degree 2) on this path with a tower in such a way that the resulting graph is cubic. The graph G_2 , for example, is illustrated in Figure 5. Then, G_k has order $n(G) = 20k + 34$ and $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = 13k + 23$. Thus, by our choice of k ,

$$\frac{c_{\text{ind}}(G)}{n(G)} = \frac{13k + 23}{20k + 34} < \frac{13}{20} + \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 11. \square




Figure 5: The cubic claw-free graph G_2 .

5 Closing Conjectures

We believe that the lower bound established in Corollary 4 on the induced cycle number of a cubic graph is not optimal and conjecture the following stronger result.

Conjecture 1 *If G is a cubic graph of order n , then $c_{\text{ind}}(G) \geq n/2$.*

If Conjecture 1 is true, then the bound is achieved, for example, by the graph shown in Figure 6.

Although we are only able to prove the NP-completeness for graphs of maximum degree 4, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 2 *INDUCED CYCLE is NP-complete for cubic graphs.*




Figure 6: A cubic graph G with $c_{\text{ind}}(G) = \frac{n(G)}{2}$.

6 Acknowledgement

Research of Michael A. Henning supported in part by the South African National Research Foundation and the University of Johannesburg.

References

- [1] D. M. Cardoso, M. Kamiński, and V. Lozin, Maximum k -regular induced subgraphs. *J. Comb. Optim.* **14** (2007), 455–463.
- [2] P. Erdős, On some of my favourite problems in various branches of combinatorics. *Proc. 4th Czechoslovakian Symposium on Combinatorics, Graphs and Complexity*, Prachatice, 1990, *Ann. Discrete Math.* **51** (1992), 69–79.
- [3] E. Flandrin, R. Faudree, and Z. Ryjáček, Claw-free graphs—a survey. *Discrete Math.* **164** (1997), 87–147.
- [4] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, The rectilinear Steiner tree problem is NP-complete. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **32**(4) (1977), 826–834.
- [5] M. A. Henning and C. Löwenstein, Locating-total domination in claw-free cubic graphs. *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012), 3107–3116.
- [6] L. Lovász and M. D. Plummer, Matching Theory, vol. 29, Annals of Discrete Mathematics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [7] V. Lozin, R. Mosca, and C. Purcell, Sparse regular induced subgraphs in $2P_3$ -free graphs. *Discrete Optimization* **10** (2013), 304–309.
- [8] H. Moser and D. M. Thilikos, Parameterized complexity of finding regular induced subgraphs. *J. Discrete Algorithms* **7** (2009), 181–190.
- [9] M. Plummer, Factors and Factorization. 403–430. *Handbook of Graph Theory* ed. J. L. Gross and J. Yellen. CRC Press, 2003, ISBN: 1-58488-092-2.
- [10] W. R. Pulleyblank, Matchings and Extension. 179–232. *Handbook of Combinatorics* ed. R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász. Elsevier Science B.V. 1995, ISBN 0-444-82346-8.

- [11] W. T. Tutte, A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs. *Canad. J. Math.* **6** (1954), 347–352.