arXiv:1406.0777v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 3 Jun 2014

A Lorentz invariant velocity distribution for a relativistic gas

Evaldo M. F. Curado[a,b] and Ivano Damião Soares[a]
[a] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas*
[b] *Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia - Sistemas Complexos*
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

January 16, 2022

Abstract

We derive a Lorentz invariant distribution of velocities for a relativistic gas. Our derivation is based on three pillars: the special theory of relativity, the central limit theorem and the Lobachevskyian structure of the velocity space of the theory. The rapidity variable plays a crucial role in our results. For $v^2/c^2 \ll 1$ and $1/\beta = kT/2m_0c^2 \ll 1$ the distribution tends to the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The mean $\langle v^2 \rangle$ evaluated with the Lorentz invariant distribution is always smaller than the Maxwell-Boltzmann mean and is bounded by $\langle v^2 \rangle / c^2 = 1$. This implies that for a given $\langle v^2 \rangle$ the temperature is larger than the temperature estimated using the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. For temperatures of the order of $T \sim 10^{12}\ K$ and $T \sim 10^8\ K$ the difference is of the order of 10%, respectively for particles with the hydrogen and the electron rest masses.
PACS numbers: 05.20.Dd, 05.20.Jj

In physics, it is difficult to overestimate adequately the importance of the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distribution of velocities for gases, introduced by Maxwell in 1860 [1]. It was the first time a probability concept was introduced in a physical theory, as the existing theories at that time, like Newtonian mechanics and wave theory, were purely deterministic theories. Actually, the work of Maxwell was the starting point for Boltzmann to elaborate his research program, on the evolution of a time dependent distribution

of velocities for gases, culminating in the articles of 1872 [2] and 1877[3], among other important papers, which are among the first fundamental cornerstones of the modern kinetic theory of gases and of statistical mechanics. With the implicit use of the atomic theory of matter (at that time a controversial theory), the new concept of entropy was established having also as its starting point the introduction, by Maxwell, of the concept of probability. Since then the MB distribution played a fundamental role in the statistical description of gaseous systems with a large number of constituents. Actually, in many cases it is considerably simpler, and even as accurate as, to use the MB distribution instead of Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distributions[4]. However a clear limitation of the MB distribution is its nonrelativistic character, encompassing velocities larger than the velocity of light in contradiction with the special theory of relativity.

Our purpose in this paper is to derive a Lorentz invariant distribution of velocities for a relativistic gas which has the MB distribution as a limit for velocities which are much smaller than the velocity of light (relatively small temperatures). In our derivation we will make use of an important property connected to the Riemannian structure of the velocity spaces of Galilean relativity and of Einstein special relativity, namely, both spaces are maximally symmetric three dimensional (3D) Riemannian spaces, differing only by the fact that in the Galilean relativity the space is flat (an Euclidean space) while in the Einstein special relativity the space has a negative constant curvature ($R = -1/c^2$). This will lead us (i) to use the additivity of velocities in the first case and of rapidities in the latter case, and (ii) to use Galilean transformations and Lorentz transformations as rigid translations which map, respectively, each space into itself.

We will start by presenting a derivation of the MB distribution which differs from the derivation used by Maxwell but which is more appropriate for our derivation. Let us first consider the Galilean addition of velocities in an Euclidean space. We know that the velocities add according the rule $\mathbf{v} = \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i$. Assuming that the velocities $\mathbf{v}_i$ are random variables, with zero mean, and considering that the sum is over a very large number of particles, we have - by the central limit theorem[5] - that the probability distribution of velocities for the random variable $\vec{v}$ is given by $P(\mathbf{v}) \propto \exp(-b\mathbf{v}^2)$ recovering thus the famous MB distribution.

In the special theory of relativity let us consider a fixed inertial reference frame, say the laboratory frame. To simplify our discussion we initially assume one dimensional (1D) motion only. Let the velocity of two particles be

v_1 and v_2, with opposite signs, as measured in this inertial frame. According to the special theory of relativity the relative velocity of the two particles must be

$$v = \frac{v_1 + v_2}{1 + v_1 v_2/c^2} \tag{1}$$

which is invariant under Lorentz transformations. We note that the addition law (1) does not satisfy the usual arithmetic addition, as in Galilean relativity, which constitutes a basic ingredient in the central limit theorem, as is shown above, in our derivation of the MB distribution. However (1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1 - v/c}{1 + v/c} = \Big(\frac{1 - v_1/c}{1 + v_1/c}\Big)\Big(\frac{1 - v_2/c}{1 + v_2/c}\Big), \tag{2}$$

and can be extended to any number of particles,

$$\frac{1 - v/c}{1 + v/c} = \prod_i \Big(\frac{1 - v_i/c}{1 + v_i/c}\Big). \tag{3}$$

Therefore, if we take the logarithm on both sides (3) and define

$$\sigma_i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1 + v_i/c}{1 - v_i/c}\right) = \tanh^{-1}(v_i/c)\ , \quad \sigma_i \in (-\infty, \infty) \tag{4}$$

we can express Eq.(3) as

$$\sigma = \sum_i \sigma_i\,. \tag{5}$$

Let us consider, then, a relativistic gas with a large number of particles. If we assume that the velocities v_i are independent and random variables, with zero mean, the variables σ_i's are also independent and random with zero mean, and we have – in accordance with the central limit theorem – that the probability distribution of σ in an interval σ and $\sigma + d\sigma$ is given by,

$$P(\sigma)d\sigma = C_1 e^{-\beta\sigma^2} d\sigma\,, \tag{6}$$

Using (4) and that $d\sigma = \gamma^2 dv$, where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$, we can write the probability distribution for the velocities of a one-dimensional relativistic gas as

$$P(v)dv = C_1 e^{-\beta\Big(\tanh^{-1}(v/c)\Big)^2} \gamma^2 dv, \tag{7}$$

with the normalization constant $C_1 = \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{\beta/\pi}$. The factor $\gamma^2 dv$ in (7) is the invariant line element as shown later. As we will see the variable σ is in fact a particular case of the invariant distance measure in a 3D Lobachevsky space, which is the space of velocities in the special theory of relativity.

For the general case of 3D velocities we have that the relative velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of two particles with arbitrary velocities $\mathbf{v_1}$ and $\mathbf{v_2}$, with respect to a fixed inertial frame, is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{v_1} - \mathbf{v_2} + (\gamma(v_2) - 1)(\mathbf{v_2}/v_2^2)[\mathbf{v_1} \cdot \mathbf{v_2} - v_2^2]}{\gamma(v_2)(1 - \mathbf{v_1} \cdot \mathbf{v_2}/c^2)}. \tag{8}$$

The above expression also holds with the interchange $\mathbf{v_1} \leftrightarrows \mathbf{v_2}$. The square of the modulus of the relative velocity is given by

$$v^2 = \frac{(\mathbf{v_1} - \mathbf{v_2})^2 - (1/c^2)[\mathbf{v_1} \wedge \mathbf{v_2}]^2}{(1 - \mathbf{v_1} \cdot \mathbf{v_2}/c^2)^2}, \tag{9}$$

which is symmetric with respect to $\mathbf{v_1}$ and $\mathbf{v_2}$. The square of the relative velocity (9) is invariant under Lorentz transformations. Now following Fock[6] let us consider the 3D space of velocities in the special theory of relativity and take two velocities infinitesimally close, namely, $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{v}$. Let ds be the magnitude of the associated relative velocity divided by c. According to (9) we have

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{c^2}\Big(\frac{d\mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{v}}{1 - v^2/c^2} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{v}}{(1 - v^2/c^2)^2}\Big). \tag{10}$$

Defining $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ and introducing the spherical coordinate system in the velocity space,

$$v^1 = v\sin\theta\cos\phi, \quad v^2 = v\sin\theta\sin\phi, \quad v^3 = v\cos\theta, \tag{11}$$

we may express (10) as

$$ds^2 = \frac{\gamma^4}{c^2}dv^2 + \frac{v^2\gamma^2}{c^2}d\Omega^2 \tag{12}$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. The determinant of the metric in (12) is $\sqrt{g} = \gamma^4 v^2 \sin\theta/c^3$ so that the invariant element of volume of the velocity space in this coordinate system is given by

$$dV = c^3\ \sqrt{g}\ dvd\theta d\phi = \gamma^4 v^2 \sin\theta\ dvd\theta d\phi. \tag{13}$$

In particular, the invariant line element along an arbitrary direction $\theta = \phi =$ const. is $dl = \gamma^2\, dv$. The 3D Lobatchevsky velocity space, with the metrics (10) or (12), is a maximally symmetric Riemannian space[7] and therefore homogeneous, isotropic, with constant Gaussian curvature $R = -1/c^2$ at any point. Since the space is homogeneous and isotropic any particular velocity may be chosen as the origin. Also, under Lorentz transformations, the space is mapped rigidly into itself. As a matter of fact the velocity spaces of the Galilean relativity and of the Einstein special relativity are both 3D maximally symmetric spaces, differing by the fact that the first has constant curvature $R = 0$ and the latter has constant curvature $R = -1/c^2$. This difference has some bold implications for the relativistic velocity distribution to be derived. We mention that the remaining possible case of 3D maximally symmetric space is the spherical space ($R = 1/c^2$), which apparently has no physical realization[8].

In general we can assert that, since the velocity space is a Lobatchevsky space, the relativistic addition theorem for velocity coincides with the vector addition theorem in the Lobatchevsky geometry. Since the space is homogeneous and isotropic , we can consider that our additive variable is the magnitude of the relative velocity $\mathbf{v}$, at an arbitrary point taken as the origin. This length is evaluated with the Lobachevsky metric (11) yielding

$$s = \pm \tanh^{-1}(|\mathbf{v}|/c), \tag{14}$$

which turns out to be the 3D extension of the additive random variable $\sigma = (1/2) \ln\left(\frac{1+v/c}{1-v/c}\right)$ used in the derivation of (7), and which also has zero mean. This Lorentz invariant quantity denotes the rapidity of $\mathbf{v}$ with respect to the origin. The plus or minus sign in (14) defines the direction of the rapidity.

With the above ingredients, basically, the homogeneity, isotropy and the invariant volume of the velocity space, and using the rapidity (14) as the additive variable, the 1D law (7) is generalized to

$$P(\mathbf{v})dV = C_3 e^{-\beta\left(\tanh^{-1}(|\mathbf{v}|/c)\right)^2} \gamma^4 dv^1 dv^2 dv^3, \tag{15}$$

which is the 3D Lorentz invariant distribution law for velocities. In the coordinate system of (12), with dV given by (13), we obtain the expression

$$P(v)dv = 4\pi C_3 e^{-\beta\left(\tanh^{-1}(v/c)\right)^2} \gamma^4 v^2 dv, \tag{16}$$




Figure 1: Plot of the 1D Lorentz invariant distribution (7) for a fixed mass and increasing temperatures (successively dashed, dashdotted and continuous curves, cf. text). The distribution is zero for $v^2/c^2 \geq 1$, as expected.




Figure 2: Plot of the 3D Lorentz invariant distribution (16) for increasing temperatures (successively dashed, dotted, dashdotted and continuous curves, cf. text). As the temperature increases the probability piles up towards $v \sim c$ (due to the constraint $v/c \leq 1$), presenting a delta-type divergence at $v = c$ as $T \to \infty$.

where the factor 4π comes from the integration in angles. The normalization constant C_3 is given by

$$C_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{c^3 \pi^{3/2} (e^{1/\beta} - 1)}. \tag{17}$$

Physically, for one particle, the parameter β, which must be Lorentz invariant, is taken as the ratio

$$\beta = \frac{m_0 c^2}{2kT}, \tag{18}$$

where m_0 is the rest mass of the particles of the ensemble considered, T the temperature and k the Boltzmann constant. The nonrelativistic limit corresponds to $v^2/c^2 \ll 1$ and $k\ T/m_0 c^2 \ll 1$, resulting in

$$(\tanh^{-1}(v/c)\ )^2 \simeq v^2/c^2, \quad C_3 \simeq \frac{1}{c^3}(\beta/\pi)^{3/2}, \tag{19}$$

which reproduces the MB distribution.

Although the components of the two velocities $\mathbf{v_1}$ and $\mathbf{v_2}$ appear nonlinearly in Einstein addition law, the relative velocity $\mathbf{v}$ appearing in (14) is an invariant and independent variable in the sense that the Lobachevsky space of velocities is mapped on itself by a Lorentz transformation, this map being a rigid translation without fixed points, so that no correlation is introduced by a Lorentz transformation. Of course the additivity in the sense of the Lobachevsky space leads to a distribution which is not separable with respect to the components of $\mathbf{v}$.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we illustrate the behavior of the 1D and 3D Lorentz invariant distributions. Contrary to the case of the nonrelativistic distributions, which extends to infinite velocities, the Lorentz invariant distributions are bounded to $v^2/c^2 \leq 1$. As a consequence we see that in the relativistic case, as the temperature increases (or β decreases) we have a piling up of the probability towards $v^2/c^2 \sim 1$, diverging at $v^2/c^2 = 1$ as $\beta \to 0$. In both figures we adopted m_0 as the hydrogen mass. The temperatures used in Fig. 1 were $T = 3 \times 10^{11},\ 5 \times 10^{12}$ and $1.2 \times 10^{13}\ K$ while in Fig. 2, $T = 5 \times 10^{11},\ 1 \times 10^{12},\ 3.5 \times 10^{12}$ and $5 \times 10^{12}\ K$.

Using the Lorentz invariant distribution (16) we now evaluate the mean of v^2/c^2,

$$\langle v^2 \rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2 = 4\pi c^3 C_3 \int_0^\infty \exp(-\beta x^2) \tanh^2 x\ \sinh^2 x\ dx. \tag{20}$$




Figure 3: The means of v^2/c^2 using the MB (dashed curve) and the Lorentz invariant (continuous curve) 3D distributions. The mean $\langle v^2/c^2\rangle_{\rm rel}$ is asymptotically limited by 1. The dashdotted curve is the mean $\langle v^2_{\rm eff}/c^2\rangle_{\rm rel}$ of the effective relativistic velocity (21) using the Lorentz invariant distribution.

Table 1: Comparing the velocity means evaluated with the Lorentz invariant and the MB distributions. The difference between the mean relativistic kinetic energy and the MB kinetic energy, Δ, corresponds also to the discrepancy of the masses of virialized gravitational systems estimated with both distributions, for a fixed gravitational radius.

kT/m_0c^2	$\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2$	$\langle v^2\rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2$	$\langle v^2\rangle_{MB}/c^2$	$\Delta = (\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle_{\rm rel} - \langle v^2\rangle_{MB})/\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle_{\rm rel}$
0.01	0.03032	0.02922	0.03	1.08 %
0.10	0.33529	0.23927	0.30	10.52 %
0.25	1.00139	0.46505	0.75	25.10 %
0.50	2.77437	0.68145	1.50	45.93 %
1.00	11.83122	0.87657	3.00	74.64 %

In Fig. 3 we compare $\langle v^2\rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2$ with the MB $\langle v^2\rangle_{MB} = 3kT/m_0$. We see that $\langle v^2\rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2$ is asymptotically limited by 1, in contrast with the straight line corresponding to the MB mean. As a consequence, when the Lorentz invariant distribution is considered, a given $\langle v^2\rangle_{\rm rel}$ corresponds to a temperature which is higher than the temperature obtained with the MB mean. We note that a discrepancy of the order of 10% between the two velocity means corresponds to a temperature $T \sim 10^{12}\ K$ for particles with the hydrogen mass.

For the relativistic kinetic energy $E_k = E - m_0c^2$, which includes higher order contributions in the velocity, we define an effective velocity $v_{\rm eff}$ by

$$v_{\rm eff}^2 \equiv \frac{2E_k}{m_0} = 2c^2\Big(\gamma - 1\Big) = v^2\Big(1 + \frac{3}{4}\,\frac{v^2}{c^2} + ...\Big), \tag{21}$$

whose mean in the Lorentz invariant distribution is

$$\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle_{\rm rel}/c^2 = 2\Big(\frac{e^{1/4\beta}\sinh(1/\beta)}{1 - e^{-1/\beta}} - 1\Big). \tag{22}$$

The mean (22) has the MB mean as a lower bound, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Actually (22) yields the relativistic extension for the mean kinetic energy,

$$\langle E_k\rangle_{\rm rel} = \frac{1}{2}m_0\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle_{\rm rel} = m_0c^2\Big(\frac{e^{1/4\beta}\sinh(1/\beta)}{1 - e^{-1/\beta}} - 1\Big). \tag{23}$$

For large β, the right hand side of (22) yields the MB limit $\langle v_{\rm eff}^2\rangle \simeq 3kT/m_0$, as expected. The exact equation (23) corrects the mean relativistic energy expression given in Ref. [9], where the MB distribution was used.

Some comments are in order now. As mentioned before, the mean $\langle v^2 \rangle_{\rm rel}$ is associated with a large temperature as compared to the MB mean, therefore leading to a even higher relativistic kinetic energy than the one obtained with the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. This has an important consequence for virialized gravitational systems since, for a fixed temperature and gravitational radius, the mass of the virialized system results larger for the Lorentz invariant distribution than for the MB distribution, as illustrated in Table I. For a difference Δ of the order of 10%, which might lead to substantial observable effects, we obtain temperatures $T \simeq 1.062 \times 10^{12}\ K$ and $T \simeq 5.93 \times 10^{8}\ K$, respectively for hydrogen and electrons in thermal equilibrium. Such high temperatures can possibly occur in astrophysical scenarios. High temperatures presently observed in astrophysical systems, say $T \sim 10^{8}\ K$, yield discrepancies $\Delta \simeq 0.001\%$ and $\Delta \simeq 1.81\%$, respectively for hydrogen and electrons. A distinct scenario where the relativistic effects could be dominant are quark-gluon plasma formed in ultrarelativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions, under the assumption of local thermal equilibrium. The temperatures involved in these systems are of the order of $10^{15}\ K$[11, 12].

Finally a corollary following from our derivation (16) is the behavior of the temperature under a Lorentz transformation. Since the β parameter (18) must be a invariant under a Lorentz transformation two outcomes are possible, as we are assuming that the Boltzmann constant is a relativistic invariant. Either (i) we consider $m_0c^2 \equiv E^2/c^2 - p^2$ the invariant four-momentum norm, implying that the temperature is also invariant, or (ii) m_0 is the rest energy implying then that $T \to T' = \gamma T$. We favor the option (i) in accord with the considerations of Landsberg [10].

We are grateful to Prof. Constantino Tsallis for the stimulating discussions and suggestions, which were fundamental to the development of this paper. We also acknowledge the Brazilian scientific agencies CNPq, FAPERJ and CAPES for financial support.

References

[1] J. C. Maxwell, Philosophical Magazine XIX (1860) 19-32 and XX (1860) 21-37.

[2] L. Boltzmann, Wiener Berichte 66 (1872) 275-370.

[3] L. Boltzmann, Wiener Berichte 76 (1877) 373-435.

[4] R. Balian, *From Microphysics to Macrophysics*: Methods and Applications of Statistical Mechanics, Vol. I, Springer Verlag (Berlin, 1991).

[5] L. E. Reichl, *A Modern Course in Statistical Mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons (New York, 1998).

[6] V. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*, Pergamon Press (Oxford, 1964), Chapter I, Sec. 17.

[7] L. P. Eisenhart, *Continuous Groups of Transformations*, (Dover, New York, 1961), Chapter V, Sec. 53.

[8] J. M. Lévy-Leblond and J. P. Provost, Amer. J. Phys. 47, 1 (1979).

[9] R. C. Tolman, *The Principles of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1979).

[10] P. T. Landsberg, *Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1990).

[11] L. D. Landau, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 17, 51 (1953); J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 27, 140 (1983).

[12] K. Adcox et al. (Phenix Collaboration) K. Adcox et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 022301 (2001); C. Adler et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 202301 (2002);