

GAUSSIAN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN THE POLYDISK

XAVIER MASSANEDA AND BHARTI PRIDHNANI

ABSTRACT. We study hyperbolic Gaussian analytic functions in the unit polydisk of \mathbb{C}^n . Following the scheme previously used in the unit ball we first study the asymptotics of fluctuations of linear statistics as the directional intensities L_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ tend to ∞ . Then we estimate the probability of large deviations of such linear statistics and use the estimate to prove a hole theorem. Our proofs are inspired by the methods of M. Sodin and B. Tsirelson for the one-dimensional case, and B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch for the study of the analogous problem for compact Kähler manifolds.

INTRODUCTION

This paper studies some properties of the zero sets of Gaussian analytic functions in the polydisk. The plan of the paper and the techniques of the proofs are the same as in [BMP14], where the analogous problems in the unit ball were dealt with, so we will often just outline the proofs and refer to [BMP14] for the details.

Consider the unit polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n

$$\mathbb{D}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j| < 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and the normalised invariant measure

$$d\nu_n(z) = \frac{dm(z)}{\pi^n [1 - |z|^2]^2},$$

where dm stands for the Lebesgue measure. We simply write $d\nu$ when no confusion about the dimension can arise.

Here and throughout the paper we use the standard notations

$$[1 - |z|^2] = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2)$$

and, for $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$,

$$[1 - |z|^2]^L = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2)^{L_j}.$$

Date: March 1, 2022.

Authors supported by the Generalitat de Catalunya (grant 2014 SGR 289) and the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (project MTM2011-27932-C02-01).

Given a vector L with $L_j > 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, consider the weighted Bergman space

$$B_L(\mathbb{D}^n) = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}^n) : \|f\|_{n,L}^2 := c_{n,L} \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)|^2 [1 - |z|^2]^L d\nu_n(z) < +\infty\},$$

where $c_{n,L} = \prod_{j=1}^n (L_j - 1)$ is chosen so that $\|1\|_{n,L} = 1$.

Consider also the normalisation of the monomials z^α in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{n,L}$:

$$e_\alpha(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z^\alpha.$$

As usual here we denote $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and use the multi-index notation $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$, $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_n|$ and $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$.

The *hyperbolic Gaussian analytic function* (GAF) of *intensity* $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$ is defined as

$$f_L(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z^{\alpha} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^n,$$

where a_{α} are i.i.d. complex Gaussians of mean 0 and variance 1 (denoted $a_{\alpha} \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$).

The sum defining f_L can be analytically continued to $L_j > 0$, which we assume henceforth.

The probabilistic properties of the hyperbolic GAF are determined by its covariance kernel, which is given by (see [ST04, Section 1], [Sto94, p.17-18]):

$$\begin{aligned} K_L(z, w) &= \mathbb{E}[f_L(z) \overline{f_L(w)}] = \sum_{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} z^{\alpha} \bar{w}^{\alpha} = \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} (z_j \bar{w}_j)^{\alpha_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)^{L_j}} = \frac{1}{[1 - z \bar{w}]^L}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we follow the scheme of [BMP14] and study some statistical properties of the zero variety

$$Z_{f_L} = \{z \in \mathbb{D}^n; f_L(z) = 0\}.$$

A main feature of the hyperbolic GAF is that the distribution of Z_{f_L} is invariant under a large subgroup of the holomorphic automorphisms group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Consider the group \mathcal{A} consisting of the automorphisms of the form

$$\phi_w^{\theta}(z) = \left(e^{i\theta_1} \frac{z_1 - w_1}{1 - \bar{w}_1 z_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} \frac{z_n - w_n}{1 - \bar{w}_n z_n} \right), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}^n; \theta_j \in [0, 2\pi).$$

We use the notation $\phi_w(z)$ in case $\theta_j = 0$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Any automorphism in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is the composition of an element of \mathcal{A} with a permutation of the coordinates (see for instance [Sha92, Theorem 2, pag. 48]).

The transformations

$$T_w(f)(z) = \frac{[1 - |w|^2]^{L/2}}{[1 - \bar{w}z]^L} f(\phi_w^\theta(z))$$

are isometries of $B_L(\mathbb{D}^n)$, hence the random zero sets Z_{f_L} and $Z_{f_L \circ \phi_w^\theta}$ have the same distribution. More specifically, the distribution of the (random) integration current

$$[Z_{f_L}] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f_L|^2 ,$$

is invariant under the subgroup \mathcal{A} . In case $L_1 = \dots = L_n$ then $[Z_{f_L}]$ is invariant under the whole group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n)$.

The typical distribution of Z_{f_L} is given by the Edelman-Kostlan formula (see [HKPV09, Section 2.4] and [Sod00, Theorem 1]): the so-called *first intensity* of the GAF is

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{f_L}] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log K_L(z, z) = \omega_L(z) ,$$

where ω_L is the form

$$\omega_L(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{L_j}{(1 - |z_j|^2)^2} \frac{i}{2\pi} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j .$$

When $L_j = 1, j = 1, \dots, n$, we simply denote ω . Notice that

$$\omega^n(z) = n! \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - |z_j|^2)^2} \frac{i}{2\pi} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j = \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{dm(z)}{[1 - |z|^2]^2} = n! d\nu_n(z) ,$$

which is invariant by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ [Sto94, p.19].

In Section 1 we study the fluctuations of linear statistics as the L_j tend to ∞ . Let $\mathcal{D}_{(n-1, n-1)}$ denote the space of real-valued, compactly supported, \mathcal{C}^2 forms of bidegree $(n-1, n-1)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(n-1, n-1)}$, consider the integral of φ over Z_{f_L}

$$I_L(\varphi) = \int_{Z_{f_L}} \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \varphi \wedge [Z_{f_L}]$$

and note that the Edelman-Kostlan formula yields

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{E}[I_L(\varphi)] = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \varphi \wedge \omega_L .$$

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(n-1, n-1)}$ and let $D\varphi$ be the function defined by $\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi = D\varphi d\nu$. Then*

$$\text{Var}[I_L(\varphi)] = \frac{\zeta(n+2)}{\prod_{j=1}^n L_j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} (D\varphi)^2 d\nu \right) \left[1 + o\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\log L_j}{L_j} \right) \right].$$

Since $|\varphi \wedge \omega_L| \leq C(\varphi) \sum_{j=0}^n L_j$ (see (6)) this shows a strong self-averaging of the volume $I_L(\varphi)$ (which increases with the dimension), in the sense that the variance is much smaller than the square of the typical values.

The same computations involved in the proof of this theorem show the asymptotic normality of $I_L(\varphi)$, i.e., that the distribution of

$$\frac{I_L(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}[I_L(\varphi)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[I_L(\varphi)]}}$$

converges weakly to the (real) standard gaussian (Corollary 5), for each φ .

In Section 2, we estimate the probability of large deviations for $I_L(\varphi)$.

Theorem 2. *For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(n-1, n-1)}$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $c > 0$ and $L_j^0(\varphi, \delta, n)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that for all $L_j \geq L_j^0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[|I_L(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}(I_L(\varphi))| > \delta \mathbb{E}(I_L(\varphi))] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

Remarks. 1. In case $n = 1$ the result coincides with [Buc13a, Theorem 5.7] (see also [BMP14, Theorem 2]). Also, fixing L_j , $j \neq i$, and letting $L_i \rightarrow \infty$ we see that the exponent is of order L_i^2 , which corresponds again to the one-dimensional case (for the coordinate z_i).

2. When $L_j = L$ for all j , the exponent $(\sum_{j=0}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=0}^n L_j)$ is of order L^{n+1} , as in the ball (see [BMP14, Theorem 2]).

Following the scheme of [SZZ08, pag.1994] we deduce a corollary that implies the upper bound in the hole theorem (Theorem 4 below).

For a smooth compactly supported function ψ in \mathbb{D}^n consider the $(n-1, n-1)$ -form

$$\varphi = \psi \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

In this case

$$\varphi(z) \wedge \omega_L(z) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) \psi(z) d\nu(z).$$

Define

$$I_L(\psi) = \int_{Z_{f_L}} \psi \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \psi \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge [Z_{f_L}]$$

and note that (1) gives here

$$\mathbb{E}[I_L(\psi)] = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \psi d\nu.$$

In particular, and for an open set $U \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ let χ_U denote its characteristic function and let $I_L(U) = I_L(\chi_U)$. Then $\mathbb{E}[I_L(U)] = (\sum_{j=0}^n L_j) \nu(U)$.

Corollary 3. *Suppose that U is an open set contained in a compact subset of \mathbb{D}^n . For all $\delta > 0$ there exist $c > 0$ and L_j^0 such that for all $L_j \geq L_j^0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^n L_j} I_L(U) - \nu(U)\right| > \delta\right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

The proof of this is as in the ball (see [BMP14, Corollary 5]), so we skip it.

In the last Section we study the probability that Z_{f_L} has a pseudo-hyperbolic hole of polyradius r . Given $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $r_j \in (0, 1)$ consider the pseudo-hyperbolic polydisk

$$E(w, r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^n : \left| \frac{z_j - w_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j w_j} \right| < r_j, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

By the invariance of the distribution of the zero variety under the automorphisms \mathcal{A} , the probability that Z_{f_L} does not intersect $E(w, r)$ is the same as the probability that $Z_{f_L} \cap E(0, r) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4. *Let $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $r_j \in (0, 1)$, be fixed. There exist $C_1 = C_1(n, r) > 0$, $C_2 = C_2(n, r) > 0$ and L_j^0 such that for all $L_j \geq L_j^0$,*

$$e^{-C_1(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)} \leq \mathbb{P}[Z_{f_L} \cap E(0, r) = \emptyset] \leq e^{-C_2(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

A final word about notation. By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that there exists $C > 0$ independent of the relevant variables of A and B for which $A \leq CB$. Then $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

1. LINEAR STATISTICS. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof is as in [BMP14, Section 1] so we keep it short. The starting point is the following bi-potential expression of the variance:

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \text{Var}[I_L(\varphi)] &= \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \rho_L(z, w) \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(z) \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \rho_L(z, w) D\varphi(z) D\varphi(w) d\nu(z) d\nu(w), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_L(z, w) = 4 \text{Cov}(\log |\hat{f}(z)|, \log |\hat{f}(w)|)$. By [HKPV09, Lemma 3.5.2]

$$\rho_L(z, w) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\theta_L(z, w)|^{2m}}{m^2},$$

where

$$(3) \quad \theta_L(z, w) := \frac{K_L(z, w)}{\sqrt{K_L(z, z)} \sqrt{K_L(w, w)}} = \frac{[1 - |z|^2]^{L/2} [1 - |w|^2]^{L/2}}{[1 - \bar{z}w]^L}$$

is the normalised covariance kernel of f_L .

For $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{D}$ let

$$\rho(\zeta, \xi) = \left| \frac{\zeta - \xi}{1 - \bar{\zeta}\xi} \right|.$$

Notice that

$$|\theta_L(z, w)|^2 = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \left| \frac{z_j - w_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j w_j} \right|^2 \right)^{L_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \rho^2(z_j, w_j) \right)^{L_j},$$

hence

$$|\theta_L(z, w)|^2 = |\theta_L(\phi_w(z), 0)|^2$$

We see next that only the near diagonal part of the double integral (2) is relevant. Let $\varepsilon_j = 1/L_j^2$ and define

$$R_L^\varepsilon = \left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n : 1 - \rho^2(z_j, w_j) \geq \varepsilon_j^{1/L_j}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Split the integral into three parts

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I1)} \quad \text{Var}[I_L(\varphi)] &= \int_{(\mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n) \setminus R_L^\varepsilon} \rho_L(z, w) D\varphi(z) D\varphi(w) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) \\ \text{(I2)} \quad &+ \int_{R_L^\varepsilon} \rho_L(z, w) (D\varphi(z) - D\varphi(w)) D\varphi(w) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) \\ \text{(I3)} \quad &+ \int_{R_L^\varepsilon} \rho_L(z, w) (D\varphi(w))^2 d\nu(z) d\nu(w). \end{aligned}$$

The bound for the first integral is a consequence of the estimate

$$(4) \quad |\theta_L(z, w)|^2 \leq \rho_L(z, w) \leq 2|\theta_L(z, w)|^2,$$

which follows immediately from $x \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x^m/m^2 \leq 2x$, $x \in [0, 1]$.

Then, by the definition of R_L^ε ,

$$|\text{I1}| \leq 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \right) \int_{(\mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n) \setminus R_L^\varepsilon} |D\varphi(z) D\varphi(w)| d\nu(z) d\nu(w) \leq \frac{2}{\prod_{j=1}^n L_j^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |D\varphi(z)| d\nu(z) \right)^2.$$

By the uniform continuity of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ there exists a regular function $\eta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $\eta(1, \dots, 1) = 0$ and such that for all $z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n$,

$$|D\varphi(z) - D\varphi(w)| \leq \eta(1 - \rho^2(z_1, w_1), \dots, 1 - \rho^2(z_n, w_n)).$$

Since $\eta(x) \lesssim \|1 - x\|$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, x_j near 1, we see that for $(z, w) \in R_L^\varepsilon$

$$|D\varphi(z) - D\varphi(w)| \lesssim \|(\rho^2(z_1, w_1), \dots, \rho^2(z_n, w_n))\| \lesssim \max_j |1 - \varepsilon_j^{1/L_j}| \simeq \max_j \frac{\log L_j}{L_j},$$

By the invariance by automorphisms of the measure $d\nu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\lesssim \left(\max_j \frac{\log L_j}{L_j} \right) \int_{R_L^\epsilon \cap (\text{supp } \varphi \times \text{supp } \varphi)} [1 - |\phi_z(w)|^2]^L d\nu(z) d\nu(w) \\
&\lesssim \left(\max_j \frac{\log L_j}{L_j} \right) \int_{\text{supp } \varphi} \left(\int_{\{z: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j} \forall j\}} [1 - |z|^2]^L d\nu(z) \right) d\nu(w) \\
&\lesssim \left(\max_j \frac{\log L_j}{L_j} \right) \int_{\{z: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j} \forall j\}} [1 - |z|^2]^L d\nu(z).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using again the invariance of $d\nu$, we see that

$$I_3 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} (D\varphi(w))^2 d\nu(w) \right) \int_{\{z: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j} \forall j\}} \rho_L(z, 0) d\nu(z).$$

By (4) we have thus $I_2 = o(I_3)$ and therefore

$$(5) \quad \text{Var}[I_L(\varphi)] = I_3 \left(1 + O\left(\max_j \frac{\log L_j}{L_j} \right) \right).$$

It remains to compute the second factor in I_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
J &:= \int_{\{z: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j} \forall j\}} \rho_L(z, 0) d\nu(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \int_{\{z: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j} \forall j\}} [1 - |z|^2]^{mL} d\nu(z) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\{z_j: 1-|z_j|^2 \geq \epsilon_j^{1/L_j}\}} (1 - |z_j|^2)^{mL_j-2} \frac{dm(z_j)}{\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the computations in [BMP14, Section 1] for $n = 1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{z_j: (1-|z_j|^2)^{L_j} \geq \epsilon_j\}} (1 - |z_j|^2)^{mL_j-2} \frac{dm(z)}{\pi} &= \int_0^{(1-\epsilon_j^{1/L_j})^{1/2}} (1 - r^2)^{mL_j-2} 2r dr \\
&= \int_{\epsilon_j^{1/L_j}}^1 s^{mL_j-2} ds = \frac{1}{mL_j} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{mL_j} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$J = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{mL_j} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{mL_j} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n L_j} \zeta(n+2) \left[1 + O\left(\max_j \frac{1}{L_j} \right) \right]$$

This and (5) give the stated result.

As an immediate consequence of the results of M. Sodin and B. Tsirelson and the previous computations we obtain the asymptotic normality of $I_L(\varphi)$. The proof is as in [BMP14, Corollary 5], so we skip it.

Corollary 5. *As $L \rightarrow \infty$ the distribution of the normalised random variable*

$$\frac{I_L(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}[I_L(\varphi)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(I_L(\varphi))}}$$

tends weakly to the standard (real) gaussian, for each φ .

2. LARGE DEVIATIONS. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Applying Stokes' theorem, we have

$$I_L(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}[I_L(\varphi)] = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \varphi \wedge \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{|f_L|^2}{K_L(z, z)} = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \log \frac{|f_L|^2}{K_L(z, z)} \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi.$$

Thus,

$$|I_L(\varphi) - \mathbb{E}[I_L(\varphi)]| \leq \|D\varphi\|_\infty \int_{\text{supp } \varphi} \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 \right| d\nu(z).$$

Writing the form as

$$\varphi = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \varphi_{jk} \left(\frac{dz_1}{1-|z_1|^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{1-|z_n|^2} \wedge \frac{d\bar{z}_1}{1-|z_1|^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\bar{z}_n}{1-|z_n|^2} \right)$$

we see that

$$\varphi \wedge \omega_L = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_{jj} L_j \bigwedge_{k=1}^n \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k}{(1-|z_k|^2)^2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \varphi_{jj} \right) d\nu(z),$$

and therefore

$$(6) \quad |\varphi \wedge \omega_L| \lesssim c(\varphi) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right).$$

This shows that the proof of Theorem 2 will be completed as soon as we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 6. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(n-1, n-1)}$ and any $\delta > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\int_{\text{supp } \varphi} \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 \right| d\nu(z) > \delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

The key ingredient in the proof of this lemma is given by the following control on the average of $|\log |\hat{f}_L|^2|$ over pseudo-hyperbolic polydisks.

Lemma 7. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for a pseudo-hyperbolic polydisk $E = E(z_0, s)$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}^n$, $s \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 \right| d\nu(\xi) > 5n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) \nu(E)^{1/n} \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

Let us see first how this allows to complete the proof of Lemma 6, and therefore of Theorem 2.

Proof of Lemma 6. Cover $K := \text{supp } \varphi$ with pseudo-hyperbolic polydisks $E_k = E(\lambda_k, \epsilon)$, $k = 1, \dots, N$ of fixed invariant volume $\nu(E_k) = \eta$ (to be determined later on). A direct estimate shows that $N \simeq \nu(K)/\eta$.

By Lemma 7, outside an exceptional event of probability $N e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)} \leq e^{-c'(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 \right| d\nu(\xi) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{E_k} \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 \right| d\nu(\xi) \lesssim \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) N \eta^{1+1/n} \\ &\simeq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) \nu(K) \eta^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing η such that $\nu(K) \eta^{1/n} = \delta$ we are done. ■

Now we proceed to prove Lemma 7. A first step is the following lemma.

Lemma 8. Fix $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (0, 1)^n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists $c > 0$ and $L_j^0 = L_j^0(r, \delta)$ such that for all $L_j \geq L_j^0$

- (a) $P \left[\max_{E(z_0, r)} \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 < -\delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)},$
- (b) $P \left[\max_{E(z_0, r)} \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 > \delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$

Combining both estimates $\mathbb{P} \left[\max_{E(z_0, r)} \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 \right| > \delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$

Proof. By the invariance of the distribution of \hat{f} under \mathcal{A} , it is enough to consider the case $z_0 = 0$.

(a) Consider the event

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \left\{ \max_{E(0, r)} \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 < -\delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 = \log \frac{|f_L(z)|^2}{K_L(z, z)} = \log |f_L(z)|^2 - \log \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{L_j}},$$

hence, by plurisubharmonicity,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \left\{ \max_{E(0, r)} \log |f_L(z)|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - r_j^2} - \delta \right) \right\}.$$

Therefore, for a suitable $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}(r)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \left\{ \max_{E(0,r)} \log |f_L(z)|^2 \leq (1 - 2\tilde{\delta}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \log \frac{1}{1 - r_j^2} \right) \right\}$$

and the estimate of $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_1]$ will be done as soon as we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *For $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and a polyradius $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ there exist $c = c(\delta, r)$ and $L_j^0 = L_j^0(\delta, r)$ such that for all $L_j \geq L_j^0$*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\max_{E(0,r)} \log |f_L(z)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta \right) \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \log \frac{1}{1 - r_j^2} \right] \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}$$

Proof of Lemma 9. Under the event we want to estimate we have

$$\max_{|z|=r} |f_L(z)| \leq [1 - r^2]^{-L(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)}.$$

We shall see that this implies that some coefficients of the series of f_L are necessarily “small”, something that only happens with a probability less than $\exp(-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j))$.

Writing f_L in Taylor series we see that

$$a_\alpha = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)}{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial^\alpha f_L(0)}{\alpha!}.$$

With this and Cauchy’s estimates

$$\left| \frac{\partial^\alpha f_L(0)}{\alpha!} \right| \leq \frac{\max_{E(0,r)} |f_L|}{r^\alpha}$$

we have

$$|a_\alpha| \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)}{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{r^\alpha [1 - r^2]^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)L}}.$$

Stirling’s formula

$$\Gamma(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{z}} \left(\frac{z}{e} \right)^z [1 + O(\frac{1}{z})]$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)}{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)} &\simeq \sqrt{2\pi} \alpha_j \sqrt{\frac{L_j + \alpha_j}{L_j \alpha_j}} \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \left(\frac{L_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{L_j} \\ &\leq \alpha_j \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \left(\frac{L_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{L_j} \end{aligned}$$

Claim: For ϵ_j small and for the indices in

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \alpha : L_j \left(\frac{1}{(1-r_j^2)^{1-2\delta}} - 1 \right) \leq \alpha_j \leq \frac{L_j}{\frac{(1+\epsilon_j)^2}{r_j^2} - 1}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

the following estimate holds

$$|a_\alpha|^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \epsilon_j)^{-\alpha_j}.$$

Proof: The lower bound on α_j implies that

$$\left(\frac{L_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{L_j/2} (1 - r_j^2)^{-L_j(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)} \leq 1,$$

and therefore

$$|a_\alpha| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{1/2} \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j/2} \frac{1}{r_j^{\alpha_j}}.$$

The upper bound in \mathcal{I} yields

$$\alpha_j^{1/2} \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{L_j + \alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j/2} \frac{1}{r_j^{\alpha_j}} \leq (1 + \epsilon_j)^{-\alpha_j/2},$$

which gives the claim. \square

Since for $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $cL_j \leq \alpha_j \leq CL_j$, we deduce that the number of indices in \mathcal{I} is of order $\prod_{j=1}^n L_j$. Therefore, letting $\xi \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$, and using the Claim, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_1] \leq \mathbb{P} \left[|a_\alpha|^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \epsilon_j)^{-\alpha_j}, \alpha \in \mathcal{I} \right] \leq \left(P \left[|\xi|^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \epsilon_j)^{-\alpha_j} \right] \right)^{c \prod_{j=1}^n L_j}.$$

We finish by noticing that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$

$$P \left[|\xi|^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \epsilon_j)^{-\alpha_j} \right] = 1 - \exp \left(-e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \log(1+\epsilon_j)} \right) \simeq e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \log(1+\epsilon_j)} \simeq e^{-c \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}.$$

This finishes the proof of (a) in Lemma 8. ■

(b) Let now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2 &:= \left\{ \max_{E(0,r)} \log |\hat{f}_L(z)|^2 > \delta \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \max_{E(0,r)} \left[\log |f_L(z)| - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{L_j}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{1 - |z_j|^2} \right) \right] > \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the probability of this event by controlling the coefficients of the series of f_L . Let $C_j > 0$ be constants to be determined later on. Split the series defining $|f_L|$ into two families of indices:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{\alpha : \alpha_j \leq C_j \delta L_j, j = 1, \dots, n\} \\ I_2 &= \mathbb{N}^n \setminus I_1 = \{\alpha : \exists j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \alpha_j > C_j \delta L_j\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Cauchy-Schwarz for the indices I_1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_L(z)| &\leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} |z_j|^{2\alpha_j} \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} |a_\alpha| \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} r^\alpha \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha_j=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} |z_j|^{2\alpha_j} \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} |a_\alpha| \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} r^\alpha \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{K_L(z, z)} + \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} |a_\alpha| \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} r^\alpha \\ &=: (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate each part separately. First we shall see that, except for an event of small probability, (II) is bounded (if C_j are chosen appropriately).

Fix γ (to be determined later) and consider the event

$$A = \{|a_\alpha| \leq e^{\gamma|\alpha|_\infty}, \forall \alpha \in I_2\}.$$

Here $|\alpha|_\infty = \max_j \alpha_j$. We also use the notation

$$C_* = \min_j C_j, \quad L_* = \min_j L_j, \quad L^* = \max_j L_j.$$

Notice that $L^* \simeq \sum_{j=1}^n L_j$ and that for $\alpha \in I_2$

$$|\alpha|_\infty \geq \delta \min_j C_j L_j \geq \delta L_* C_*.$$

We split the indices I_2 in level sets

$$I_2^m = \{\alpha \in I_2 : |\alpha|_\infty = m\}.$$

Observe that

$$(7) \quad m^{n-1} \leq \#I_2^m \leq nm^{n-1}$$

and that for $\alpha \in I_2^m$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \leq \left(\frac{\Gamma(L^* + m)}{m! \Gamma(L^*)} \right)^n,$$

since $\frac{\Gamma(L+n)}{n! \Gamma(L)}$ is increasing both in n and L .

Under the event A , and denoting $r_0 = \max_j r_j$, we have for $z \in E(0, r)$,

$$(II) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} |a_\alpha| \left(\frac{\Gamma(L^* + |\alpha|_\infty)}{|\alpha|_\infty! \Gamma(L^*)} \right)^{n/2} r_0^{|\alpha|_\infty} \leq \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} n m^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(L^* + m)}{m! \Gamma(L^*)} \right)^{n/2} (r_0 e^\gamma)^m.$$

The asymptotics of the Γ -function

$$(8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+n)}{\Gamma(m)m^n} = 1$$

yields

$$(II) \leq \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} \left[\frac{m^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{L^*}{2}}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(L^*)}} \right]^n (r_0 e^\gamma)^m.$$

By [BMP14, Lemma 10], given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for $m \geq C_* \delta L_*$

$$\frac{m^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{L^*}{2}}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(L^*)}} \leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{m} n}$$

and therefore

$$(II) \lesssim \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} (e^{\epsilon + \gamma} r_0)^m = \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} e^{-[\log \frac{1}{r_0} - (\epsilon + \gamma)] m}.$$

Choose $\epsilon = \gamma = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{r_0}$, so that

$$(II) \lesssim \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} (r_0)^{m/2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0^{1/2}} =: C(r).$$

With this we obtain the estimate

$$|f_L(z)| \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{K_L(z, z)} + C(r).$$

Under the event \mathcal{E}_2 we have then

$$e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j} < \frac{|f_L(z)|}{\sqrt{K_L(z, z)}} \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{C(r)}{\sqrt{K_L(z, z)}},$$

and therefore, for L_j big enough

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 > e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}.$$

It remains to estimate the probability of this estimate, and to show that the event A has “big” probability. The variables $|a_\alpha|^2$ are independent exponentials, hence

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \prod_{\alpha \in I_2} 1 - \mathbb{P}[|a_\alpha| \geq e^{\gamma|\alpha|_\infty}] = \prod_{\alpha \in I_2} [1 - e^{-e^{2\gamma|\alpha|_\infty}}].$$

Since $x = e^{-e^{2\gamma|\alpha|^\infty}}$ is close to 0, we can use the estimate $\log(1 - x) \simeq -x$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{P}[A] &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} \log \left[1 - e^{-e^{2\gamma|\alpha|^\infty}} \right] \simeq - \sum_{\alpha \in I_2} e^{-e^{2\gamma|\alpha|^\infty}} \gtrsim - \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} n m^{n-1} e^{-e^{2\gamma m}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{m \geq C_* \delta L_*} e^{-e^{\gamma m}} \simeq -e^{-e^{\gamma C_* \delta L_*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing C_j big enough so that, in addition to the previous conditions, $\gamma C_* > \max_j \log \frac{1}{1-r_j^2}$ we have

$$-e^{\gamma C_* \delta L_*} < -\frac{1}{(1-r_0^2)^{\delta L_*}}$$

and therefore

$$\log \mathbb{P}[A] \gtrsim -e^{-[1-r_0^2]^{-\delta L_*}}.$$

On the other hand

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap \mathcal{E}_2) \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 > e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j} \right].$$

Since the number of indices in I_1 is at most $M_L := \prod_{j=1}^n C_j \delta L_j \simeq \prod_{j=1}^n L_j$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cap \mathcal{E}_2] \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \geq \frac{1}{M_L} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j} \right] = M_L e^{-\frac{1}{M_L} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}} = e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \log(C_j \delta L_j) - \frac{1}{M_L} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}}.$$

For L_j big enough

$$\frac{1}{M_L} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j} \geq e^{\frac{\delta}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cap \mathcal{E}_2] \leq e^{-e^{\frac{\delta}{8} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}}.$$

Also

$$\mathbb{P}[A^c \cap \mathcal{E}_2] \leq \mathbb{P}(A^c) \leq 1 - e^{-e^{-\min_j (1-r_j^2)^{-\delta L_j}}} \simeq e^{-\min_j (1-r_j^2)^{-\delta L_j}}.$$

All combined

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_2] = \mathbb{P}[A \cap \mathcal{E}_2] + \mathbb{P}[A^c \cap \mathcal{E}_2] \leq e^{-e^{\frac{\delta}{8} \sum_{j=1}^n L_j}} + e^{-\min_j (1-r_j^2)^{-\delta L_j}} \leq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

■

It remains to prove Lemma 7. Before we proceed we need the following mean-value estimate of $\log |\hat{f}_L(\lambda)|^2$, which is obtained as [BMP14, Lemma 11].

Lemma 10. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and $s \in (0, 1)^n$ a polyradius. Then*

$$\log |\hat{f}_L(\lambda)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu(E(\lambda, s))} \int_{E(\lambda, s)} \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \epsilon(s_j),$$

where

$$\epsilon(t) = \frac{1-t^2}{t^2} \int_0^{\frac{t^2}{1-t^2}} \log(1+x) dx \leq \frac{t^2}{1-t^2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 7. According to Lemma 8(a), except for an exceptional event of probability $e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}$, there is $\lambda \in E := E(z_0, s)$ such that

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2} < \log |\hat{f}_L(\lambda)|^2.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 10,

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2} < \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2}.$$

Hence

$$0 < \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2},$$

and separating the positive and negative parts of the logarithm we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \log^- |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \log^+ |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2}.$$

Finally, again by Lemma 8, outside another exceptional event of probability $e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu(E)} \int_E \left| \log |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 \right| d\nu(\xi) &\leq \frac{2}{\nu(E)} \int_E \log^+ |\hat{f}_L(\xi)|^2 d\nu(\xi) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2} \\ &\leq 2 \max_E \log^+ |\hat{f}_L|^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2} \leq 5 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) \max_j \frac{s_j^2}{1-s_j^2} \\ &\leq 5 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right) n \nu(E)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

■

3. THE HOLE THEOREM. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

The upper bound is a direct consequence of the results in the previous section. Letting $U = E(0, r)$ and applying Corollary 3 with $\delta\mu(U)$ instead of δ we get

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_{f_L} \cap E(0, r) = \emptyset] \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\left| I_L(U) - \nu(U) \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right| > \delta \nu(U) \sum_{j=1}^n L_j \right] \leq e^{-C_2(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}.$$

The method to prove the lower bound is standard (see [HKPV09, Theorem 7.2.3] and [ST04]): we shall choose three events forcing f_L to have a hole $E(0, r)$ and then we shall see that the probability of such events is at least $e^{-C_1(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}$.

Our starting point is the estimate

$$|f_L(z)| \geq |a_0| - \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J_1} a_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z_j^{\alpha_j} \right| - \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J_2} a_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z_j^{\alpha_j} \right|,$$

where, for constants C_j to be chosen later,

$$J_1 = \{ \alpha \neq 0 : \alpha_j \leq C_j L_j, j = 1, \dots, n \},$$

$$J_2 = \{ \alpha : \exists j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \alpha_j > C_j L_j \}.$$

The first event is

$$E_1 := \{ |a_0| \geq 1 \},$$

which has probability

$$\mathbb{P}[E_1] = \mathbb{P}[|a_0|^2 \geq 1] = e^{-1}.$$

The second event corresponds to the tail of the power series of f_L . Here we use the notations of the previous sections. Let, as in the previous section,

$$J_2^m = \{ \alpha \in J_2; |\alpha|_\infty = m \}.$$

Then, for $z \in E(0, r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &:= \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J_2} a_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z_j^{\alpha_j} \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in J_2} |a_\alpha| \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} r_j^{\alpha_j} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_* + m)}{m! \Gamma(L_*)} \right)^{n/2} r_0^m \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_2^m} |a_\alpha| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as in [BMP14, Section 3], for C_j big enough and for $m \geq C_* L_*$

$$\frac{\Gamma(L_* + m)}{m! \Gamma(L_*)} \leq \left[\frac{m^{L_*/m}}{\Gamma(L)^{1/m}} \right]^m.$$

By Stirling, for $m \geq C_* L_*$,

$$\frac{m^{L_*/m}}{\Gamma(L)^{1/m}} \leq (e C_*)^{1/C_*} K^{\frac{1}{2C_*}},$$

where $K = \max_{x>0} x^{1/x} = e^{-1/e}$.

Let $h(C) := (eC)^{1/C} K^{\frac{1}{2C}}$ and take C_* big enough so that

$$(h(C_*))^{n/2} r_0 \leq (1 - \delta)^2.$$

Then

$$S_3 \leq \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} (h(C_*))^{\frac{mn}{2}} r_0^m \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_2^m} |a_\alpha| \right) \leq \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} (1 - \delta)^m \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_2^m} |a_\alpha| \right).$$

Now impose the event

$$E_2 = \left\{ |a_\alpha| \leq \frac{m}{n} \forall \alpha \in J_2^m, \forall m \geq C_* L_* \right\}.$$

Under the event E_2 , essentially by (7),

$$S_3 \leq \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} (1 - \delta)^m m^n$$

and there exists C_* big enough so that

$$S_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

We shall see next that $\mathbb{P}[E_2]$ is big. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{P}(E_2) &= \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} \sum_{\alpha \in J_2^m} \log(1 - e^{-\frac{2}{n}m}) \simeq - \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} \sum_{\alpha \in J_2^m} e^{-\frac{2}{n}m} \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{m \geq C_* L_*} nm^{n-1} e^{-\frac{2}{n}m}, \end{aligned}$$

thus for C_* big enough $\mathbb{P}(E_2) \geq 1/2$.

The third event takes care of the middle terms in the power series of f_L . Let

$$E_3 := \left\{ |a_\alpha|^2 < \frac{[1 - r^2]^L}{4 \prod_{j=1}^n C_j L_j} \quad \forall \alpha \in J_1 \right\}.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality we get, as in previous computations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &:= \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J_1} a_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} \right)^{1/2} z_j^{\alpha_j} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_1} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} r_j^{2\alpha_j} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(L_j + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j! \Gamma(L_j)} r_j^{2\alpha_j} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} [1 - r^2]^{-L/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the event E_3 ,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in J_1} |a_\alpha|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in J_1} \frac{1}{4} [1 - r^2]^L = \frac{1}{16} [1 - r^2]^L,$$

and therefore

$$S_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $1 - e^{-x} \geq x/2$ for $x \in (0, 1/2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[E_2] &= \prod_{\alpha \in J_1} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{[1-r^2]^L}{4 \prod_{j=1}^n C_j L_j}\right) \right\} \geq \prod_{\alpha \in J_1} \frac{[1-r^2]^L}{8 \prod_{j=1}^n C_j L_j} = \left(\frac{[1-r^2]^L}{8 \prod_{j=1}^n C_j L_j} \right)^{\prod_{j=1}^n C_j L_j} \\ &\geq \exp \left[-c \prod_{j=1}^n L_j \left(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j \log\left(\frac{1}{1-r_j^2}\right) + \log 8 + \sum_{j=1}^n \log(C_j L_j) \right) \right] \geq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\mathbb{P}[E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3] \geq \mathbb{P}[E_1] \mathbb{P}[E_2] \mathbb{P}[E_3] \geq e^{-c(\sum_{j=1}^n L_j)(\prod_{j=1}^n L_j)},$$

and under this event $|f_L(z)| \geq 1 - 1/2 - 1/4 > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [Buc13a] Jeremiah Buckley, *Random zero sets of analytic functions and traces of functions in Fock spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, 2013. $\uparrow 4$
- [Buc13b] ———, *Fluctuations in the zero set of the hyperbolic Gaussian analytic function*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN to appear (2013), 18. \uparrow
- [BMP14] Jeremiah Buckley, Xavier Massaneda, and Bharti Pridhnani, *Gaussian Analytic functions in the unit ball*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.1566> (2014). $\uparrow 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16$
- [HKPV09] John Ben Hough, Manjunath Krishnapur, Yuval Peres, and Bálint Virág, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. MR2552864 (2011f:60090) $\uparrow 3, 5, 16$
- [NS11] Fedor Nazarov and Mikhail Sodin, *Fluctuations in random complex zeroes: asymptotic normality revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **24** (2011), 5720–5759. MR2863379 (2012k:60103) \uparrow
- [Sha92] Boris V. Shabat, *Introduction to complex analysis. Part II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. Functions of several variables; Translated from the third (1985) Russian edition by J. S. Joel. MR1192135 (93g:32001) $\uparrow 2$
- [SZ99] Bernard Shiffman and Steve Zelditch, *Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 661–683, DOI 10.1007/s002200050544. MR1675133 (2001j:32018) \uparrow
- [SZ08] ———, *Number variance of random zeros on complex manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2008), no. 4, 1422–1475, DOI 10.1007/s00039-008-0686-3. MR2465693 (2009k:32019) \uparrow
- [SZ10] ———, *Number variance of random zeros on complex manifolds, II: smooth statistics*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **6** (2010), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Joseph J. Kohn., 1145–1167, DOI 10.4310/PAMQ.2010.v6.n4.a10. MR2742043 (2011m:32030) \uparrow
- [SZZ08] Bernard Shiffman, Steve Zelditch, and Scott Zrebiec, *Overcrowding and hole probabilities for random zeros on complex manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 5, 1977–1997, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2008.57.3700. MR2463959 (2010b:32027) $\uparrow 4$
- [Sod00] Mikhail Sodin, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), no. 4, 371–381. MR1783614 (2002d:32030) $\uparrow 3$
- [ST04] Mikhail Sodin and Boris Tsirelson, *Random complex zeroes. I. Asymptotic normality*, Israel J. Math. **144** (2004), 125–149, DOI 10.1007/BF02984409. MR2121537 (2005k:60079) $\uparrow 2, 16$
- [ST05] ———, *Random complex zeroes. III. Decay of the hole probability*, Israel J. Math. **147** (2005), 371–379, DOI 10.1007/BF02785373. MR2166369 (2007a:60028) \uparrow
- [Sto94] Manfred Stoll, *Invariant potential theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 199, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1297545 (96f:31011) $\uparrow 2, 3$

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585,
08071-BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: xavier.massaneda@ub.edu

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585,
08071-BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: bharti.pridhnani@ub.edu