

# Singular points of non-monotone potential operators

BIAGIO RICCERI

*Dedicated to the memory of Francesco Saverio De Blasi*

**Abstract:** In this paper, we establish some results about the singular points of certain non-monotone potential operators. Here is a sample: If  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional reflexive real Banach space and if  $T : X \rightarrow X^*$  is a non-monotone, closed, continuous potential operator such that the functional  $x \rightarrow \int_0^1 T(sx)(x)ds$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\int_0^1 T(sx)(x)ds + \varphi(x)) = +\infty$  for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ , then the set of all singular points of  $T$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact.

**Key words:** Potential operator, non-monotone operator, Fredholm operator, singular point, minimax theorem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47G40, 47H05, 47A75, 47A53, 58C15.

Here and in what follows,  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a reflexive real Banach space, with topological dual  $X^*$ , and  $T : X \rightarrow X^*$  is a continuous potential operator. This means that the functional

$$x \rightarrow J_T(x) := \int_0^1 T(sx)(x)ds$$

is of class  $C^1$  in  $X$  and its Gâteaux derivative is equal to  $T$ .

Let us recall a few classical definitions.

$T$  is said to be monotone if

$$(T(x) - T(y))(x - y) \geq 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . This is equivalent to the fact that the functional  $J_T$  is convex.

$T$  is said to be closed if for each closed set  $C \subseteq X$ , the set  $T(C)$  is closed in  $X^*$ .

$T$  is said to be compact if for each bounded set  $B \subset X$ , the set  $\overline{T(B)}$  is compact in  $X^*$ .

$T$  is said to be proper if for each compact set  $K \subset X^*$ , the set  $T^{-1}(K)$  is compact in  $X$ .

$T$  is said to be a local homeomorphism at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if there are a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $T(x_0)$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to  $U$  is a homeomorphism between  $U$  and  $V$ . If  $T$  is not a local homeomorphism at  $x_0$ , we say that  $x_0$  is a singular point of  $T$ .

We denote by  $S_T$  the set of all singular points of  $T$ . Clearly, the set  $T$  is closed.

Assume that the restriction of  $T$  to some open set  $A \subseteq X$  is of class  $C^1$ .

We then denote by  $\tilde{S}_{T|_A}$  the set of all  $x_0 \in A$  such that the operator  $T'(x_0)$  is not invertible. Since the set of all invertible operators belonging to  $\mathcal{L}(X, X^*)$  is open in  $\mathcal{L}(X, X^*)$ , by the continuity of  $T'$ , the set  $\tilde{S}_{T|_A}$  is closed too.

Also,  $T$  is said to be a Fredholm operator of index zero in  $A$  if, for each  $x \in A$ , the codimension of  $T'(x)(X)$  and the dimension of  $(T'(x))^{-1}(0)$  are finite and equal.

A set in a topological space is said to be  $\sigma$ -compact if it is the union of an at most countable family of compact sets.

A functional  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to be coercive if

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} I(x) = +\infty .$$

The aim of this note is to establish the following results:

**THEOREM 1.** - *If  $X$  is infinite-dimensional, if  $T$  is closed and non-monotone, if  $J_T$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $J_T + \varphi$  is coercive for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ , then both  $S_T$  and  $T(S_T)$  are not  $\sigma$ -compact.*

**THEOREM 2.** - *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1, suppose that there exists a closed,  $\sigma$ -compact set  $B \subset X$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to  $X \setminus B$  is of class  $C^1$ .*

*Then, both  $\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}}$  and  $T(\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}})$  are not  $\sigma$ -compact.*

**THEOREM 3.** - *Assume that  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a Hilbert space, with  $\dim(X) \geq 3$ , and that  $T$  is compact and of class  $C^1$  with*

$$\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{J_T(x)}{\|x\|^2} \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

*and, for some  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \|x + \lambda T(x)\| = +\infty \quad (2)$$

*for all  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .*

*Set*

$$\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \langle T'(x)(y), y \rangle < 0\}$$

*and, for each  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,*

$$A_\mu = \{x \in X : T'(x)(y) = \mu y \text{ for some } y \in X \setminus \{0\}\} .$$

*When  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ , set also*

$$\tilde{\mu} = \max \left\{ -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}, \inf_{(x,y) \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle T'(x)(y), y \rangle}{\|y\|^2} \right\} .$$

*Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the operator  $T$  is not monotone ;*
- (ii) *there exists  $\mu < 0$  such that  $A_\mu \neq \emptyset$  ;*
- (iii)  *$\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and, for each  $\mu \in ]\tilde{\mu}, 0[$ , the set  $A_\mu$  contains an accumulation point .*

The previous theorems extend and improve the results of [3] in a remarkable way. The reason for this resides in the tools used to prove them. Precisely, in [3], the main tools were Theorems A and B below jointly with the minimax theorem proved in [2]. This latter contains a severe restriction: one of the two variables on which the underlying function depends must run over a real interval. In the current paper, we still continue to use Theorems A and B in an essential way but, this time, jointly with a consequence of another very recent minimax theorem ([4], Theorem 3.2) which is not affected by the above recalled restriction.

So, let us recall Theorems A and B.

**THEOREM A** ([5], Theorem 2.1). - *If  $X$  is infinite-dimensional, if  $T$  is closed and if  $S_T$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then the restriction of  $T$  to  $X \setminus S_T$  is a homeomorphism between  $X \setminus S_T$  and  $X \setminus T(S_T)$ .*

**THEOREM B** ([1], Theorem 5). - *If  $\dim(X) \geq 3$ , if  $T$  is a  $C^1$  proper Fredholm operator of index zero and if  $\tilde{S}_T$  is discrete, then  $T$  is a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $X^*$ .*

As we said above, besides Theorems A and B, the other tool major that we will use is a consequence of the following minimax theorem (here stated in a particular version which is enough for our purposes):

**THEOREM C** ([4], Theorem 3.2). - *Let  $Y$  be a convex set in a real vector space  $E$  and let  $f : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive in  $X$ , and linear in  $E$ . Assume also that*

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f < \inf_X \sup_Y f .$$

Then, there exists  $\tilde{y} \in Y$  such that the functional  $f(\cdot, \tilde{y})$  has at least two global minima.

Let us introduce the following notations. We denote by  $\mathbf{R}^X$  the space of all functionals  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . For each  $I \in \mathbf{R}^X$  and for each of non-empty subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $E_{I,A}$  the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^X$  such that  $I + \varphi$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive, and

$$\inf_A \varphi \leq 0 .$$

Here is the above mentioned consequence of Theorem C:

**THEOREM 4.** - *Let  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a functional and  $A, B$  two non-empty subsets of  $X$  such that*

$$\sup_A I < \inf_B I . \quad (3)$$

*Then, for every convex set  $Y \subseteq E_{I,A}$  such that*

$$\inf_{x \in B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } \inf_{x \in X \setminus B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x) = +\infty , \quad (4)$$

*there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima.*

**PROOF.** Consider the function  $f : X \times \mathbf{R}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(x, \varphi) = I(x) + \varphi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^X$ . Fix  $\varphi \in Y$ . In view of (3), we also can fix  $\epsilon \in ]0, \inf_B I - \sup_A I[$ . Since  $\inf_A \varphi \leq 0$ , there is  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{x}) < \epsilon$ . Hence, we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) \leq I(\bar{x}) + \varphi(\bar{x}) < \sup_A I + \epsilon ,$$

from which it follows that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) \leq \sup_A I + \epsilon < \inf_B I . \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, in view of (4), one has

$$\inf_B I \leq \inf_{x \in B} (I(x) + \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x)) = \inf_{x \in B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) . \quad (6)$$

Finally, from (5) and (6), it follows that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} f(x, \varphi) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} f(x, \varphi) .$$

Therefore, the function  $f$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem C, and the conclusion follows.  $\triangle$

More precisely, we will use the following corollary of Theorem 4:

**COROLLARY 1.** - *Let  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, non-convex functional such that  $I + \varphi$  is coercive for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ .*

*Then, for every convex set  $Y \subseteq X^*$ , dense in  $X^*$ , there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima.*

**PROOF.** Since  $I$  is not convex, there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$\lambda I(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)I(x_2) < I(x_3)$$

where

$$x_3 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 .$$

Fix  $\psi \in X^*$  so that

$$\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2) = I(x_1) - I(x_2)$$

and put

$$\tilde{I}(x) = I(x_3 - x) - \psi(x_3 - x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . It is easy to check that

$$\tilde{I}(\lambda(x_1 - x_2)) = \tilde{I}((1 - \lambda)(x_2 - x_1)) < \tilde{I}(0) . \quad (7)$$

Fix a convex set  $Y \subseteq X^*$  dense in  $X^*$  and put

$$\tilde{Y} = -Y - \psi .$$

Hence,  $\tilde{Y}$  is convex and dense in  $X^*$  too. Now, set

$$A = \{\lambda(x_1 - x_2), (1 - \lambda)(x_2 - x_1)\} .$$

Clearly, we have

$$X^* \subset E_{\tilde{I}, A} . \quad (8)$$

Since  $\tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $X^*$ , we have

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \tilde{Y}} \varphi(x) = +\infty$$

for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, in view of (7) and (8), we can apply Theorem 4 with  $B = \{0\}$ ,  $I = \tilde{I}$ ,  $Y = \tilde{Y}$ . Accordingly, there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $\tilde{I} - \tilde{\varphi} - \psi$  has two global minima in  $X$ , say  $u_1, u_2$ . At this point, it is clear that  $x_3 - u_1, x_3 - u_2$  are two global minima of the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$ , and the proof is complete.  $\triangle$

REMARK 1. - We remark that Corollary 1 was also obtained very recently in [6] by means of a radically different proof.

We now establish the following technical proposition:

PROPOSITION 1. - *If  $V$  is an infinite-dimensional real Banach space and if  $U \subset V$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact set, then there exists a convex cone  $C \subset V$ , dense in  $V$ , such that  $U \cap C = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. This proposition was proved in [3] when  $V$  is a Hilbert space ([3], Proposition 2.4). As in [3], we distinguish two cases. First, we assume that  $V$  is separable. In this case, the proof provided in [3] can be repeated word for word, and so we omit it. So, assume that  $V$  is not separable. Let  $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a Hamel basis of  $V$ . Set

$$\Lambda = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \notin \text{span}(U)\}$$

and

$$L = \text{span}(\{x_\gamma : \gamma \in \Lambda\}) .$$

Clearly,  $\text{span}(U)$  is separable since  $U$  is so. Hence,  $\Lambda$  is infinite. Introduce in  $\Lambda$  a total order  $\leq$  with no greatest element. Next, for each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , let  $\psi_\gamma : L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a linear functional such that

$$\psi_\gamma(x_\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \alpha . \end{cases}$$

Now, set

$$D = \{x \in L : \exists \beta \in \Lambda : \psi_\beta(x) > 0 \text{ and } \psi_\gamma(x) = 0 \ \forall \gamma > \beta\} .$$

Of course,  $D$  is a convex cone. Fix  $x \in L$ . So, there is a finite set  $I \subset \Lambda$  such that  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in I} \psi_\gamma(x) x_\gamma$ . Now, fix  $\beta \in \Lambda$  so that  $\beta > \max I$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , put

$$y_n = x + \frac{1}{n} x_\beta .$$

Clearly,  $\psi_\beta(y_n) = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\psi_\gamma(y_n) = 0$  for all  $\gamma > \beta$ . Hence,  $y_n \in D$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$ , we infer that  $D$  is dense in  $L$ . At this point, it is immediate to check the set  $D + \text{span}(U)$  is a convex cone, dense in  $V$ , which does not meet  $U$ .  $\triangle$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let us prove that  $S_T$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary. Then, by Theorem A, for each  $\varphi \in X^* \setminus T(S_T)$ , the equation

$$T(x) = \varphi$$

has a unique solution in  $X$ . Moreover, since  $T$  is continuous,  $T(S_T)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact too. Therefore, in view of Proposition 1, there is a convex set  $Y \subset X^*$ , dense in  $X^*$ , such that  $T(S_T) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, thanks to Corollary 1, there is  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $J_T - \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima in  $X$  which are therefore solutions of the equation

$$T(x) = \tilde{\varphi} ,$$

a contradiction. Now, let us prove that  $T(S_T)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary. Consequently, since  $T$  is proper ([6], Theorem 1),  $T^{-1}(T(S_T))$  would be  $\sigma$ -compact. But then, since  $S_T$  is closed and  $S_T \subseteq T^{-1}(T(S_T))$ ,  $S_T$  would be  $\sigma$ -compact, a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\triangle$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Theorem 1, the set  $S_T$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Now, observe that if  $x \in X \setminus (\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}} \cup B)$ , then, by the inverse function theorem,  $T$  is a local homeomorphism at  $x$ , and so  $x \notin S_T$ . Hence, we have

$$S_T \subseteq \tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}} \cup B .$$

We then infer that  $\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}}$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact since, otherwise,  $\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}} \cup B$  would be so, and hence also  $S_T$  would be  $\sigma$ -compact being closed. Finally, the fact that  $T(\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}})$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact follows as in the final part of the proof of Theorem 1, taking into account that  $\tilde{S}_{T|_{(X \setminus B)}}$  is closed.  $\triangle$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Clearly, since  $X$  is a Hilbert space, we are identifying  $X^*$  to  $X$ . Let us prove that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). So, assume (i). Since  $J_T$  is not convex, by a classical characterization ([7], Theorem 2.1.11), the set  $\Gamma$  is non-empty. Fix  $\mu \in ]\tilde{\mu}, 0[$ . For each  $x \in X$ , put

$$I_\mu(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} J_T(x) .$$

Clearly, for some  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\left\langle y - \frac{1}{\mu} T'(x)(y), y \right\rangle < 0$$

and so, since

$$I_\mu''(x)(y) = y - \frac{1}{\mu} T'(x)(y) ,$$

the above recalled characterization implies that the functional  $I_\mu$  is not convex. Since  $T$  is compact, on the one hand,  $J_T$  is sequentially weakly continuous ([9], Corollary 41.9) and, on the other hand, in view of (2) the operator  $I_\mu'$  (recall that  $-\frac{1}{\mu} > \lambda_0$ ) is proper ([8], Example 4.43). The compactness of  $T$  also implies that, for each  $x \in X$ , the operator  $T'(x)$  is compact ([8], Proposition 7.33) and so, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , the operator  $y \rightarrow y + \lambda T'(x)(y)$  is Fredholm of index zero ([8], Example 8.16). Therefore, the operator  $I_\mu'$  is non-monotone, proper and Fredholm of index zero. Clearly, by (1), the functional  $x \rightarrow I_\mu(x) + \langle z, x \rangle$  is coercive for all  $z \in X$ . Then, in view of Corollary 1, the operator  $I_\mu'$  is not injective. At this point, we can apply Theorem B to infer that the set  $\tilde{S}_{I_\mu'}$  contains an accumulation point. Finally, notice that

$$\tilde{S}_{I_\mu'} = A_\mu ,$$

and (iii) follows. The implication (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial. Finally, the implication (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) is provided by Theorem 2.1.11 of [7] again.  $\triangle$

**Acknowledgement.** The author has been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

## References

- [1] R. A. PLASTOCK, *Nonlinear Fredholm maps of index zero and their singularities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1978), 317-322.
- [2] B. RICCERI, *A further improvement of a minimax theorem of Borenshtein and Shul'man*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **2** (2001), 279-283.
- [3] B. RICCERI, *On the singular set of certain potential operators in Hilbert spaces*, in Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **75**, 377-391, Birkhäuser, 2007.
- [4] B. RICCERI, *A strict minimax inequality criterion and some of its consequences*, Positivity, **16** (2012), 455-470.
- [5] R. S. SADYRKHANOV, *On infinite dimensional features of proper and closed mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** (1986), 643-658.
- [6] J. SAINT RAYMOND, *Characterizing convex functions by variational properties*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **14** (2013), 253-262.
- [7] C. ZĂLINESCU, *Convex analysis in general vector spaces*, World Scientific, 2002.
- [8] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, vol. I, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [9] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Catania  
Viale A. Doria 6  
95125 Catania  
Italy  
*e-mail address:* ricceri@dmf.unict.it