arXiv:1406.3022v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 25 Jul 2014

Spectral function of a localized fermion coupled to the Wilson-Fisher conformal field theory

Andrea Allais[1] and Subir Sachdev[1,2]

[1] *Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

[2] *Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada*

(Dated: February 29, 2024)

We describe the dynamics of a single fermion in a dispersionless band coupled to the 2+1 dimensional conformal field theory (CFT) describing the quantum phase transition of a bosonic order parameter with N components. The fermionic spectral functions are expected to apply to the vicinity of quantum critical points in two-dimensional metals over an intermediate temperature regime where the Landau damping of the order parameter can be neglected. Some of our results are obtained by a mapping to an auxiliary problem of a CFT containing a defect line with an external field which locally breaks the global O(N) symmetry.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the Wilson-Fisher conformal field theory (CFT) describes the quantum phase transition of a number of boson and insulating spin models.[1–5] In the presence of the Fermi surface of metals, the order parameter quantum fluctuations undergo Landau-damping, and there is a crossover to a low energy regime controlled by the physics of the Fermi surface.[6–15] However, it is possible that the magnitude of the Landau damping is parametrically small,[16–20] and then there is a significant intermediate energy regime over which the fermions are coupled to the 'relativistic' (*i.e.* with dynamic critical exponent $z = 1$) order parameter dynamics of the Wilson-Fisher CFT. It is this intermediate energy regime[16,17] which is the focus of attention of the present paper.

A key feature of the dynamics of fermions coupled to Wilson-Fisher bosons is the renormalization group flow of the fermion dispersion. When the fermions have a quadratic dispersion, it is clear that there is a flow to a flat, dispersionless fermion band.[21] For the case of fermions with a non-zero Fermi velocity, v_F, Fitzpatrick *et al.*[19] have recently argued that the flow to a flat band with $v_F \to 0$ persists. So for a discussion of the intermediate energy regime noted above, we are therefore led to consider the problem of a dispersionless band of fermions interacting with bosonic degrees of freedom in two spatial dimensions described by the Wilson-Fisher fixed point.

We can now make further simplifications for the field-theoretic critical analysis of this limiting fermion-boson problem. As we will be ignoring the Landau damping arising from particle-hole loop diagrams of fermions, we may as well take only a single fermion in the dispersionless band.[21,22] Furthermore, because this fermion is dispersionless, we are free to localize it[22] at a single spatial point $x = 0$. We are therefore led to consider the following partition function of a single fermion $\psi(\tau)$ coupled to the N-component order parameter $\phi_\alpha(x,\tau)$ ($\alpha = 1 \ldots N$) of the Wilson-Fisher theory in d spatial dimensions (x) and one imaginary time (τ) dimension (see also Fig. 1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi(\tau)\mathcal{D}\phi_\alpha(x,\tau)\exp\left(-\mathcal{S}_\psi - \mathcal{S}_\phi\right) \\
\mathcal{S}_\psi &= \int d\tau\, \psi^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \lambda - \gamma_0\phi_1(x=0,\tau)\right)\psi \\
\mathcal{S}_\phi &= \int d^dx \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\tau\phi_\alpha)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi_\alpha)^2 + \frac{s}{2}\phi_\alpha^2 + \frac{g_0}{4!}(\phi_\alpha^2)^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$




FIG. 1. Spacetime representation of the theory $\mathcal{Z}$. The Wilson-Fisher CFT degrees of freedom extend over all spacetime. The fermion is created at time 0 and annihilated at time τ. While the fermion is present, a local field γ_0 acts on the CFT degrees of freedom at $x = 0$ along the direction $\alpha = 1$.

Note that the fermion ψ does not carry an O(N) index, and we have chosen it to couple only to the $\alpha = 1$ component of ϕ_α: thus the fermion breaks the O(N) symmetry of the Wilson-Fisher theory in its vicinity. Here λ is the energy of the dispersionless band (which will be implicitly renormalized), s is the coupling used to tune the bosonic sector across the Wilson-Fisher fixed point, g_0 is the relevant self-interaction of the bosons, and γ_0 is the relevant 'Yukawa' coupling between the fermion and bosons. Our basic result will be that when the bosonic sector is at the quantum critical point in $d < 3$, the fermion Green's function obeys at real frequencies ω

$$G(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{(\lambda - \omega)^{1-\eta_\psi}}. \tag{2}$$

So there is no fermion quasiparticle pole, and we instead have "non-Fermi liquid" behavior characterized by the universal anomalous dimension η_ψ.

When we move away from the strict flat band limit and include a small dispersion for the fermions, then we expect[18,19,23,24] that Eq. (2) is only modified by a momentum dependence in the value of the threshold λ. Thus for a Fermi surface with the incipient quasiparticle/hole dispersion $\varepsilon(k)$ which vanishes on the Fermi surface, we will have for the quasiparticle-

remnant Green's function

$$G_{\rm qp}(k,\omega) \sim \frac{1}{(\varepsilon(k)-\omega)^{1-\eta_\psi}} \quad , \quad \varepsilon(k) > 0, \tag{3}$$

and for the quasihole-remnant Green's function

$$G_{\rm qh}(k,\omega) \sim -\frac{1}{(-\varepsilon(k)+\omega)^{1-\eta_\psi}} \quad , \quad \varepsilon(k) < 0. \tag{4}$$

The Green's function in Eq. (3) has a non-zero imaginary part for $\omega > \varepsilon(k)$, while that in Eq. (4) has a non-zero imaginary part for $\omega < \varepsilon(k)$.

We will compute η_ψ in an expansion in $\epsilon = 3-d$. An unusual feature of our ϵ-expansion is that the Yukawa coupling γ_0 is of order unity at the fixed point which yields Eq. (2) (this is a significant difference from Ref. 19 where a different model is considered in which the fixed point is at small γ_0). This implies that our analysis must be carried out to *all orders* in γ_0, and we will show how this can be accomplished. The coupling g_0 is of order ϵ at the fixed point (as usual), and so an expansion in powers of g_0 is permitted. Because of this novel structure in the ϵ-expansion, we find that we have to evaluate Feynman diagrams which include up to 4 loop momenta to obtain results even to first order in ϵ; such a computation yields

$$\eta_\psi = \frac{(N+8)}{4\pi^2}\left[1 + \frac{(1.68269N^2 + 17.4231N + 64.6922)}{(N+8)^2}\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right] \tag{5}$$

The exact value of the co-efficient of the ϵ term is given in Eq. (42), where the values of the numbers $\mathcal{C}_{1,2,3}$ are specified in Eqs. (18,40) in terms of digamma and zeta functions; for the case $N = 1$ relevant to the Ising-nematic critical point, the co-efficient of the ϵ term is 1.0354.

Another notable feature of Eq. (5) is that η_ψ does not vanish as $\epsilon \to 0$. However, it is not the case that the problem in $\epsilon = 0$ (*i.e.* in $d = 3$) is characterized by the universal η in Eq. (5). The $\epsilon = 0$ case will be briefly mentioned in the body of the paper, and it has a non-universal η_ψ dependent upon bare couplings. Thus the $\epsilon = 0$ physics is different from the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit. This subtlety is related to the requirement noted above of having to compute results to all orders in γ_0. In a similar vein, note that the value of η_ψ appears to diverge as $N \to \infty$ at fixed ϵ in Eq. (5). This is not expected to be correct, and the divergence is expected to be absent because the $\epsilon \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$ limits to not commute:

Eq. (5) is only valid as $\epsilon \to 0$ at fixed N. We will consider other aspects of the $N \to \infty$ limit at fixed non-zero ϵ in Section IV, and find there that a large N solution exists only for $\epsilon > 1/2$.

Our analysis of $\mathcal{Z}$ will be aided by its connection to an auxiliary problem in which the fermion ψ is eternally present at $x = 0$; this connection is similar to that between the traditional X-ray edge and Kondo problems, and was pointed out in Ref. 22 for a closely related problem. With the fermion present, the Yukawa coupling in Eq. (1) becomes equivalent to a *local field* acting on the $\alpha = 1$ component of the order parameter along a defect line at $x = 0$ and all τ. So we are led to consider

$$\mathcal{Z}_d = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_\alpha(x,\tau) \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}_\phi - \gamma_0 \int d\tau \phi_1(x=0,\tau)\right) \tag{6}$$

This partition function $\mathcal{Z}_d$ is characterized by the same couplings γ_0 and g_0 as $\mathcal{Z}$, and they will have identical beta-functions in the two problems.[22] Indeed, the beta-functions are easier to compute in the $\mathcal{Z}_d$ formulation, and we will exploit this feature. However, the exponent η_ψ can only be computed in the $\mathcal{Z}$ formulation, which we have to use to compute the overlap between quantum states in which the fermion is present and absent.

The physics of the $\mathcal{Z}_d$ formulation is similar to that of numerous other analyses of defect lines in CFTs.[23–30] In the $\mathcal{Z}_d$ formulation we can examine the behavior of the boson correlations as they approach the defect line at $x = 0$; in $\mathcal{Z}_h$, these would correspond to ϕ_α correlations near the fermion long after it has been created. The coupling γ_0 flows to a fixed-point value, and so there is a strong local field that acts on ϕ_α at $x = 0$: this suggest that in the operator product expansions the bulk ϕ_α operator can be replaced by the constant unit operator near the defect line.[27] In this situation we expect that[27]

$$\langle \phi_\alpha(x,\tau) \rangle \sim \frac{\delta_{\alpha,1}}{x^{(d-1+\eta)/2}}, \tag{7}$$

where η is bulk anomalous dimension of the Wilson-Fisher theory. We will find results consistent with Eq. (7) in an ϵ expansion computation in Section II, and a large N computation in Section IV.

The outline of the remainder of the paper is as follows. Section II will present a computation of the beta functions in the line defect model $\mathcal{Z}_d$. The ϵ expansion for the fermion

anomalous dimension η_ψ associated with $\mathcal{Z}$ appears in Section III. Finally, in Section IV we return to $\mathcal{Z}_d$ and analyze it in the large N expansion in general d.

II. LINE DEFECT IN THE WILSON-FISHER THEORY

A number of earlier works have considered line defects in the 2+1 dimensional CFT described by the Wilson-Fisher fixed point.[21–25,29,30] However, none of these works considered the case of interest to us here as described by $\mathcal{Z}_d$ in Eq. (6): a local field acting at $x = 0$ which locally breaks the O(N) symmetry of the bulk theory.

Our analysis of $\mathcal{Z}_d$ begins by recalling the well-known[31] renormalization of the bulk theory, which remains unmodified by the presence of the impurity. We define renormalized fields and couplings by

$$\phi_\alpha = \sqrt{Z}\phi_{R\alpha} \quad ; \quad g_0 = \frac{\mu^\epsilon Z_4}{Z^2 S_{d+1}} g. \tag{8}$$

Here μ is a renormalization momentum scale, and

$$S_d = \frac{2}{\Gamma(d/2)(4\pi)^{d/2}} \tag{9}$$

is a phase space factor. The renormalization constants Z, Z_4 were computed long ago;[31] their values in the minimal subtraction scheme to order g^2 are

$$Z = 1 - \frac{(N+2)g^2}{144\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(g^3) \quad ; \quad Z_4 = 1 + \frac{(N+8)g}{6\epsilon} + \left(\frac{(N+8)^2}{36\epsilon^2} - \frac{(5N+22)}{36\epsilon} \right) g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^3). \tag{10}$$

We now compute the 'boundary' renormalizations associated with the defect line. First we define the renormalization

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\mu^{\epsilon/2} Z_\gamma}{\sqrt{Z \widetilde{S}_{d+1}}} \gamma. \tag{11}$$

where Z_γ is the new impurity renormalization, and the phase space factor $\widetilde{S}_{d+1}$ is defined below in Eq. (14). To evaluate Z_γ, we first compute the expectation value of ϕ_1 to second order in bare perturbation theory in g_0, but to all orders in the boundary coupling γ_0. All Feynman diagrams to this order are shown in Fig. 2. These diagrams are most conveniently evaluated by going back-and-forth between propagators in real and momentum space. The




FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams for $\langle\phi\rangle$ to order g_0^2. The full line is the bulk ϕ propagator under $\mathcal{S}_\phi$, the filled square is the bulk coupling g_0, and the filled circle is the boundary coupling γ_0.

bulk real space propagator for the ϕ field is

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(x,\tau) &= \int \frac{d^d k d\omega}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{e^{-i(kx+\omega\tau)}}{\omega^2+k^2} \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{S}_{d+1}}{(x^2+\tau^2)^{(d-1)/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We also repeatedly use the Fourier transform (and its inverse)

$$\int d^d x \frac{e^{-ikx}}{x^a} = \frac{S_{d,a}}{k^{d-a}} \tag{13}$$

where

$$S_{d,a} \equiv \frac{2^{d-a}\pi^{d/2}\Gamma((d-a)/2)}{\Gamma(a/2)} \quad , \quad \widetilde{S}_d = \frac{S_{d,2}}{(2\pi)^d} \tag{14}$$

The diagrams in Fig. 2 yield for the expectation value of the renormalized field

$$\langle\phi_{R1}(k)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}}\left[(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})+(\mathrm{d})+(\mathrm{e})\right] \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(a)} &= \frac{\gamma_0}{k^2} \\
\text{(b)} &= -\frac{g_0\gamma_0^3}{6}\,\frac{\widetilde{S}_d^3 S_{d,3d-6}}{k^{8-2d}} \\
\text{(c)} &= \frac{(N+2)g_0^2\gamma_0}{18}\,\frac{\widetilde{S}_{d+1}^3 S_{d+1,3d-3}}{k^{8-2d}} \\
\text{(d)} &= \frac{(N+8)g_0^2\gamma_0^3}{36}\,\frac{\widetilde{S}_{d+1}^2\widetilde{S}_d^3 S_{d+1,2d-2}S_{d,2d-4}S_{d,7-2d}S_{d,4d-9}}{(2\pi)^d k^{11-3d}} \\
\text{(e)} &= \frac{g_0^2\gamma_0^5}{12}\,\frac{\widetilde{S}_d^5 S_{d,3d-6}S_{d,8-2d}S_{d,5d-12}}{(2\pi)^d k^{14-4d}}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now we express Eq. (15) in terms of the renormalized couplings in Eqs. (8,11), expand the resulting expression in powers of g (but not γ), and demand that all poles in ϵ cancel at each order in g. This yields the following value of Z_γ in the minimal subtraction scheme

$$Z_\gamma = 1 + \frac{\pi^2 g\gamma^2}{6\epsilon} + g^2\left(\frac{\pi^2\gamma^2(2N+16+9\pi^2\gamma^2)}{216\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_1\gamma^2+\mathcal{C}_2\gamma^4}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^3) \tag{17}$$

where the numerical constants $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1 &= \frac{\pi^2}{216}\left(-3-2\gamma_E+\ln(4)-\psi(1/2)+\psi(3/2)\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_2 &= \frac{\pi^4}{24}\left(\psi(1/2)-\psi(3/2)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

with γ_E the Euler-Mascheroni constant and ψ the digamma function.

With all renormalization constants determined, we can now compute the beta-functions for the couplings g and γ

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(g) &= -\epsilon g + \frac{(N+8)}{6}g^2 - \frac{(3N+14)}{12}g^3 + \mathcal{O}(g^4) \\
\beta(\gamma) &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\gamma + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\gamma^3 g + \left(\frac{(N+2)}{144}\gamma + 3(N+8)\mathcal{C}_1\gamma^3 + 4\mathcal{C}_2\gamma^5\right)g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^3)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

All poles in ϵ cancel in this computation, verifying the renormalizability of the theory. Note that at $g = 0$, the flow of γ is just given by its naive scaling dimension: in the context of the fermion theory in Eq. (1), this is a consequence of an exact cancellation between fermion self-energy and vertex corrections which is described in Appendix A (Refs. 18 and 19 examined models which did not have this cancellation). However, once the bulk interactions associated

with the scalar field are included, there is a non-trivial flow of γ.

These beta-functions have the infrared attractive fixed point

$$g^* = \frac{6}{(N+8)}\epsilon + \frac{18(3N+14)}{(N+8)^3}\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$
$$\gamma^{*2} = \frac{(N+8)}{4\pi^2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{19N+86}{2(N+8)^2} + \frac{54\mathcal{C}_1}{\pi^2} + \frac{18\mathcal{C}_2}{\pi^4}\right)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right] \tag{20}$$

Note that γ^* remains finite as $\epsilon \to 0$, as we emphasized in Section I. However, precisely in $\epsilon = 0$, analysis of Eq. (19) shows that g approaches the fixed point $g^* = 0$, while γ does *not* approach a fixed point. Consequently, the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit is distinct from the $\epsilon = 0$ case.

We can now reinsert these fixed point values into our expansion in Eq. (15) for $\langle\phi\rangle$; at the critical point we find that the results at order ϵ^2 are compatible with Eq. (7), which implies the following expression for the expectation value in momentum space

$$\langle\phi_{R1}(k)\rangle = \mathcal{N}\frac{2\pi\gamma^*}{k^{2-\epsilon/2}}\left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{\eta/2}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{(N+2)}{2(N+8)^2}\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \tag{22}$$

is the bulk scaling dimension of ϕ. Eq. (15) yields that

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 - 1.0844693\epsilon + \left(0.579032 - 0.235508\frac{(N+2)}{(N+8)^2}\right)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{23}$$

III. FERMION ANOMALOUS DIMENSION

We now examine the theory $\mathcal{Z}$ in Eq. (1).

In the conventional renormalization scheme, the renormalizations of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ remain the same as those of the bulk theory as described in Section II, while for the fermion sector we introduce the wavefunction renormalization

$$\psi = \sqrt{Z_\psi}\psi_R \tag{24}$$

and the renormalization of the "Yukawa" coupling

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\mu^{\epsilon/2}\widetilde{Z}_\gamma}{Z_\psi\sqrt{Z\widetilde{S}_{d+1}}}\gamma. \tag{25}$$

Note that this renormalization scheme differs from that in Eq. (11). However, we expect the RG flow of the underlying coupling to be the same in the two theories, and so we conclude that[22]

$$\widetilde{Z}_\gamma = Z_\psi Z_\gamma. \tag{26}$$

We explicitly verify this identity at low orders in Appendix A.

We are primarily interested here in the wavefunction renormalization of the fermion, Z_ψ. We proceed by introducing a 'gauge-transformed' fermion field $\overline{\psi}$

$$\psi(\tau) = \overline{\psi}(\tau)\exp\left(\gamma_0\int_0^\tau d\tau_1\phi_1(x=0,\tau_1)\right) \tag{27}$$

Now $\overline{\psi}$ is a free fermion, and so correlators of ψ can be evaluated using the exact expression

$$G(\tau) = G_0(\tau)\left\langle\exp\left(\gamma_0\int_0^\tau d\tau_1\phi_1(x=0,\tau_1)\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_\phi} \tag{28}$$

where G_0 is the free fermion correlator

$$G_0(\tau) = e^{-\lambda\tau}\theta(\tau). \tag{29}$$

The two-point correlators of $\phi_1(x=0,\tau)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(x,\tau) &= \int\frac{d^dk d\omega}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\frac{e^{-i(kx+\omega\tau)}}{\omega^2+k^2} \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{S}_{d+1}}{(x^2+\tau^2)^{(d-1)/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

So at order g^0 we have for the fermion Green's function

$$G(\tau) = G_0(\tau)\exp\left(\frac{\gamma_0^2}{2}\int_0^\tau d\tau_1\int_0^\tau d\tau_2\, D_0(0,\tau_1-\tau_2)\right) \tag{31}$$

It is physically more transparent to momentarily impose a short-distance cutoff, a, in D_0

$$D_0(x,\tau) \to \widetilde{S}_{d+1} \frac{(1-e^{-(x^2+\tau^2)/a^2})}{(x^2+\tau^2)^{(d-1)/2}}, \tag{32}$$

and evaluate Eq. (31) in $d=3$ for large $\tau > 0$

$$G(\tau) = G_0(\tau) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_0^2 \widetilde{S}_4}{2} \left[\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\tau}{a} - 2\ln\left(\frac{\tau}{a}\right) + \ldots \right] \right). \tag{33}$$

The leading term in the exponential is absorbed into a renormalization of the fermion energy λ, while the second term yields the anomalous dimension of the fermion

$$\eta_\psi = \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(g). \tag{34}$$

As γ does not approach a fixed point value for $\epsilon = 0$, there is no universal anomalous dimension in $d=3$. However, for $\epsilon > 0$, there is a fixed point as we noted below Eq. (19), and η_ψ is therefore universal.

Determination of the $\mathcal{O}(g)$ term will be carried out using the dimensional regularization method. In this method, Eq. (31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(\tau)}{G_0(\tau)} &= \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_0^2 \widetilde{S}_{d+1}}{2} \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \int_0^\tau d\tau_2 \frac{1}{|\tau_1-\tau_2|^{2-\epsilon}} \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2}{Z} \frac{(\tau\mu)^\epsilon}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Now demanding that poles cancel in the Green's function of the renormalized field ψ_R we obtain

$$Z_\psi = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g), \tag{36}$$

and this leads to an anomalous dimension in agreement with Eq. (34)

$$\eta_\psi = \beta(\gamma) \frac{d\ln Z_\psi}{d\gamma} = \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(g). \tag{37}$$

At next order in g, evaluation of Eq. (28) shows that

$$G(\tau) = G_0(\tau) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_0^2}{2}\int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \int_0^\tau d\tau_2\, D_0(0,\tau_1-\tau_2)\right) \times \left\{1 - \frac{g_0\gamma_0^4}{24}\int d^dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \left[\int_0^\tau d\tau_3\, D_0(x,\tau_3-\tau_0)\right]^4\right\} + \mathcal{O}(g^2), \tag{38}$$

where we have dropped 'tadpole' contributions which vanish in dimensional regularization. The order g term above is computed in Appendix B, and from this we obtain the order g correction to Eq. (36): this requires a 3-loop computation and leads to

$$Z_\psi = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\right)\left[1 - g\gamma^4\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_3}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right] \tag{39}$$

where from Eq. (B13) we have (ψ is the digamma function)

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = \frac{1}{18}\left[6\zeta(3) + \pi^2\left(1 + \ln(64) + 3\psi\left(1/2\right) - \psi\left(3/2\right)\right)\right] \tag{40}$$

We also computed Z_ψ in a more conventional Dyson formulation in frequency space: the computations of the frequency dependent self energy of the fermion is described in Appendix C, and yields a result for Z_ψ in perfect agreement with Eq. (39).

Now the fermion anomalous dimension is

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\psi &= \beta(\gamma)\frac{d\ln Z_\psi}{d\gamma} + \beta(g)\frac{d\ln Z_\psi}{dg} \\ &= \gamma^2 + 3\mathcal{C}_3\, g\gamma^4 + \mathcal{O}(g^2).\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Note that the poles in ϵ have all cancelled in Eq. (41): this is a highly non-trivial check of our computation. We now insert the fixed-point values of the couplings in Eq. (20) and obtain our main result

$$\eta_\psi = \frac{(N+8)}{4\pi^2}\left(1 - \left(\frac{19N+86}{2(N+8)^2} + \frac{9(12\pi^2\mathcal{C}_1 + 4\mathcal{C}_2 - \pi^2\mathcal{C}_3)}{2\pi^4}\right)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right); \tag{42}$$

the values of the numbers $\mathcal{C}_{1,2,3}$ above are specified in Eqs. (18,40) in terms of digamma and zeta functions.

IV. LARGE N ANALYSIS OF DEFECT LINE

This section returns to the defect line model in Eq. (6) and analyzes it in the limit of large N for general d.

We formulate the large N limit using a theory with a fixed-length constraint $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\phi_\alpha^2 =$ constant. This constraint is implemented by a Lagrange multiplier λ. After suitable rescalings of fields and couplings for a useful large N limit, the action for $\mathcal{Z}_d$ in Eq. (6) is modified to

$$\mathcal{S}_d = \int d^dx \int d\tau \frac{1}{2g}\left[(\partial_\tau\phi_\alpha)^2 + (\partial_x\phi_\alpha)^2 + i\lambda(\phi_\alpha^2 - N)\right] - \gamma_0\sqrt{N}\int d\tau\phi_1(x=0,\tau). \quad (43)$$

We are now using the coupling constant g to tune the bulk theory across its quantum critical point.

We now parameterize

$$\phi_\alpha = (\sqrt{N}\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{N-1}) \quad (44)$$

and integrate out the π fields. Then action becomes

$$\mathcal{S}_d = \int d^dx \int d\tau \frac{N}{2g}\left[(\partial_\tau\sigma)^2 + (\partial_x\sigma)^2 + i\lambda(\sigma^2 - 1)\right] - \gamma_0 N\int d\tau\sigma(x=0,\tau) + \frac{N-1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ln\left[-\partial_\tau^2 - \partial_x^2 + i\lambda\right] \quad (45)$$

So in the large N limit involves determination of the saddle point of Eq. (45) with respect to the space-dependent fields $\sigma(x)$ and $\lambda(x)$.

In the absence of the external field, $\gamma_0 = 0$, the critical point is at[3] $g = g_c$, where

$$\frac{1}{g_c} = \int \frac{d\omega d^dk}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{\omega^2 + k^2} \quad (46)$$

At the critical point, the saddle point value $i\lambda = 0$.

In the presence of a field, we expect a saddle point with $i\lambda = \Delta^2(x)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(x)$, with $\Delta(x), \sigma(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. The saddle-point equations determining these functions are

$$\left[-\nabla_x^2 + \Delta^2(x)\right]\sigma(x) = \gamma_0 g_c \delta^d(x) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\sigma^2(x) + g_c G(x,\tau;x,\tau) = 1 \tag{48}$$

where G is the Green's function obeying

$$\left[-\nabla_x^2 - \partial_\tau^2 + \Delta^2(x)\right] G(x,\tau;x',\tau') = \delta^d(x-x')\delta(\tau-\tau')\,. \tag{49}$$

It is useful to write Eq. (48) as

$$\sigma^2(x) + g_c\left[G(x,\tau;x,\tau) - G_0(x,\tau;x,\tau)\right] = 0\,, \tag{50}$$

where $G_0 = G|_{\Delta\equiv 0} = 1/g_c$. For $d < 3$ the difference $G - G_0$ is ultraviolet (u.v.) finite. There is however a u.v. divergence associated with the Dirac delta in the first equation, which will become manifest later.

Now we introduce the orthonormal and complete set of eigenfunctions

$$\left[-\nabla_x^2 + \Delta^2(x)\right]\psi_n(x) = q_n^2\psi_n(x)\,, \tag{51}$$

and we express σ and G in terms of these

$$G(x,\tau;x',\tau') = \int\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\sum_n \frac{1}{q_n^2+\omega^2}\psi_n(x)\psi_n^\star(x')e^{\mathrm{i}\omega(\tau-\tau')}\,, \tag{52}$$

$$\sigma(x) = \gamma_0 g_c\sum_n \frac{1}{q_n^2}\psi_n(0)\psi_n^\star(x')\,. \tag{53}$$

Guided by rotational and scale invariance, we assume that

$$\Delta^2(x) = \frac{v}{x^2}\,. \tag{54}$$

It is advantageous to expand the eigenfunctions ψ_n over the orthonormal spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}$ of the $d-1$ sphere:

$$\psi_n(r,\Omega) = \psi_{q\ell}(r)Y_{\ell m}(\Omega)\,, \tag{55}$$

where the radial wavefunction $\psi_{q\ell}$ satisfies the eigenvalue equation

$$\left[-\partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{r}\partial_r + \frac{\ell(\ell+d-2)+v}{r^2}\right]\psi_{q\ell}(r) = q^2\psi_{q\ell}(r)\,. \tag{56}$$

The regular solution can be written in terms of Bessel functions:

$$\psi_{q\ell} = r^{\frac{1-d}{2}}\sqrt{qr}J_{\nu_\ell}(qr) \qquad\qquad \nu_\ell = \sqrt{\ell(\ell+d-2)+v+(d/2-1)^2}\,, \tag{57}$$

and it is normalized

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}r\; r^{d-1}\psi_{q\ell}(r)\psi_{q'\ell}(r) = \delta(q-q')\,. \tag{58}$$

Substituting in (52) we have

$$G(r,\Omega,\tau;r,\Omega,0) = \frac{1}{A_{d-1}}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}q\sum_\ell \deg_{d\ell}\;\frac{1}{q^2+\omega^2}[\psi_{q\ell}(r)]^2 e^{\mathrm{i}\omega\tau}\,, \tag{59}$$

$$\sigma(r) = \frac{\gamma_0 g_c}{A_{d-1}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}q\sum_\ell \deg_{d\ell}\;\frac{1}{q^2}\psi_{q\ell}(\epsilon)\psi_{q\ell}(r)\,, \tag{60}$$

where τ and ϵ are u.v. regulators, A_d is the area of the d-sphere, and $\deg_{d\ell}$ is the degeneracy of the eigenspace of L^2 with eigenvalue $\ell(\ell+d-2)$. In obtaining this result we used the identity

$$\sum_m |Y_{\ell m}(\Omega)|^2 = \frac{\deg_{d\ell}}{A_{d-1}}\,, \tag{61}$$

d	A_{d-1}	$\deg_{d\ell}$
2	2π	1 if $\ell=0$, 2 otherwise
3	4π	$2\ell+1$
d	$\dfrac{d\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}$	$\binom{d+\ell-1}{d-1}-\binom{d+\ell-3}{d-1}$

The integrals over ω and q can be done analytically

$$G(r,\Omega,\tau;r,\Omega,0) = \frac{1}{A_{d-1}r^{d-1}}\sum_\ell \deg_{d\ell}\;Q_\ell\left(\frac{\tau^2}{4r^2}\right)\,, \tag{62}$$

$$\sigma(r) = \frac{\gamma_0 g_c}{2A_{d-1}r^{d-2}}\sum_\ell \deg_{d\ell}\;\frac{1}{\nu_\ell}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^{\nu_\ell}\,, \tag{63}$$

where

$$Q_\ell(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu+1\right)} (4z)^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} F\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}, \nu+\frac{1}{2}, 2\nu+1;\ -\frac{1}{z}\right) \tag{64}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi}\ln z - \frac{1}{2\pi} H_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(z)\,, \tag{65}$$

where H_n is the harmonic number. The u.v. and infrared (i.r.) divergent term $\log z$ cancels when taking the difference between G and G_0. Then it is safe to take the limit $\tau \to 0$, and we have

$$G(r,\Omega,0;r,\Omega,0) - G_0(r,\Omega,0;r,\Omega,0) = -\frac{W_d}{2\pi A_{d-1} r^{d-1}}\,, \quad W_d = \sum_\ell \deg_{d\ell} \left[H_{\nu_\ell-\frac{1}{2}} - H_{\bar\nu_\ell-\frac{1}{2}}\right]\,, \tag{66}$$

where $\bar\nu_\ell$ is given by (57) with $v = 0$. The sum over ℓ is convergent for $d < 3$ and it is positive if $v > 0$.

For what concerns σ, the dominant contribution as $\epsilon \to 0$ is given by $\ell = 0$

$$\sigma(r) = \frac{\gamma_0 g_c}{2\nu_0 A_{d-1} r^{d-2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^{\nu_0}\,. \tag{67}$$

It is now apparent that the u.v. regulator ϵ can be adsorbed in a redefinition of γ_0.

Both σ and $G - G_0$ have a power law dependence on r. A solution to the saddle point equations is possible only if the two power laws match. This fixes the coefficient v:

$$\nu_0 = \frac{3-d}{2} \qquad \text{i.e.} \qquad v = \frac{5-2d}{4}\,. \tag{68}$$

For consistency we need $v > 0$ and hence $d < 5/2$. The fixed point bare coupling γ_0 is given by

$$\gamma_0^2 = \frac{(3-d)^2 A_{d-1} W_d}{2\pi \epsilon^{3-d} g_c}\,, \tag{69}$$

and we have

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{W_d g_c}{2\pi A_{d-1}}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\,, \qquad \Delta^2(r) = \frac{5-2d}{4}\frac{1}{r^2}\,. \tag{70}$$

So our final result for $\sigma(r)$ is consistent with Eq. (7) because the bosonic $\eta = 0$ in the present

large N limit.

It is also interesting to note that the large N limit provides a consistent scaling solution only for $d < 5/2$. So evidently, there is no solution when both $\epsilon = 3 - d$ and $1/N$ are small: this feature is consonant with our earlier observation that the $\epsilon \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$ limits do not commute.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main potential applicability of the present theory is to the Ising-nematic quantum critical point of metals.[18–20] For suitable microscopic parameters, there can be an extended intermediate regime where the Landau damping of the bosonic order parameter can be ignored, and the boson correlations have dynamic critical exponent $z = 1$. In this regime, if the Fermi velocity v_F scales to zero, then the problem of determining the fermion spectrum reduces to that considered in the present paper.

The flow of v_F to small values in this intermediate regime appears in a one-loop renormalization group analysis.[19] An important direction for future research is examine the flow of v_F beyond the one-loop level.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Berg, A. L. Fitzpatrick, E. Fradkin, D. Gaiotto, S. Kachru, J. Kaplan, S. Kivelson, M. Metlitski and S. Raghu for useful discussions. This research was supported by the NSF under Grant DMR-1103860, and the Templeton foundation. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research and Innovation.

Appendix A: Vertex renormalization

This appendix will compute the leading renormalizations of the Yukawa vertex, $\widetilde{Z}_\gamma$, and verify the identity in Eq. (26).

The needed Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 3, and they will be evaluated in real space and time.




FIG. 3. Feynman diagrams for the vertex renormalization. The dashed line is the fermion propagator

From the diagram in Fig. 3(a), the vertex renormalization factor is

$$V_a(\tau) = -\gamma_0^2 g_0 \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^3 \int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+\tau/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-\tau/2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}} \times \left[\int_{-\tau/2}^{\tau/2} d\tau_1 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2]^{(d-1)/2}}\right]$$
$$= -\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{\tau^{1-2\epsilon}} \frac{\gamma^2 g \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^2}{S_{d+1}} \int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+1/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-1/2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}} \times \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2]^{(d-1)/2}}\right] \quad \text{(A1)}$$

From the $\sim 1/\tau$ behavior of $V_a(\tau)$ at small ϵ, we see that we will obtain a pole in ϵ in its Fourier transform $V_a(\omega)$. So, at leading order in ϵ we may evaluate all other terms at $\epsilon = 0$, and obtain

$$V_a(\tau) = -\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{\tau^{1-2\epsilon}} \frac{\gamma^2 g}{2\pi^2} \int d^3 x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0+1/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-1/2)^2]} \times \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2]}\right]$$
$$= -\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{\tau^{1-2\epsilon}} \frac{\pi^2 \gamma^2 g}{3} \quad \text{(A2)}$$

So after a Fourier transform

$$V_a(\omega) = (\mu/\omega)^{2\epsilon}\left[-\frac{\pi^2 g\gamma^2}{6\epsilon} + \ldots\right]. \tag{A3}$$

Similarly, from the diagram in Fig. 3(b), the vertex renormalization is

$$\begin{aligned} V_b(\tau) &= \gamma_0^2 \frac{\widetilde{S}_{d+1}}{\tau^{d-1}} \int_{-\tau/2}^{\tau/2} d\tau_1 \\ &= \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{\tau^{1-\epsilon}}\gamma^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

So the Fourier transform is

$$V_b(\omega) = (\mu/\omega)^{\epsilon}\left[\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon} + \ldots\right]. \tag{A5}$$

Combining Eqs. (A3) and (A5), we obtain the vertex renormalization to order g and γ^2

$$\widetilde{Z}_\gamma = 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\pi^2\gamma^2 g}{6\epsilon} + \ldots. \tag{A6}$$

This is in agreement with Eqs. (17), (26) and (36). Notice that the vertex renormalization V_b exactly cancels with the wavefunction renormalization in Z_ψ at this order. This is linked to our ability to solve the problem via the 'gauge' transformation in Eq. (27).

Appendix B: 3-loop integral

This appendix will examine the following integral obtained from the $\mathcal{O}(g)$ term in Eq. (38)

$$\begin{aligned} 24\,\mathcal{I}(\epsilon) &\equiv \frac{1}{S_{d+1}\widetilde{S}_{d+1}^2}\int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \left[\int_{-\tau/2}^{\tau/2} d\tau_3\, D_0(x,\tau_3-\tau_0)\right]^4 \\ &= \tau^{3\epsilon}\,\frac{2(2\pi)^d S_d \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^2}{S_{d+1}}\int_0^\infty x^{2-\epsilon}dx \int_0^\infty d\tau_0 \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_3\,\frac{1}{(x^2+(\tau_3-\tau_0)^2)^{1-\epsilon/2}}\right]^4 \\ &= \tau^{3\epsilon}\mathcal{A}_\epsilon \int_0^\infty x^{-2+3\epsilon}dx\,\Pi(x), \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon \equiv \frac{2^{1-\epsilon}\,\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon/2)\Gamma(2-\epsilon/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(3/2-\epsilon/2)}, \tag{B2}$$

and

$$\Pi(x) = \int_0^\infty d\tau_0 \left[\Phi(x,\tau_0)\right]^4, \tag{B3}$$

with

$$\Phi(x,\tau_0) = \frac{(1-2\tau_0)}{2x}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{(1-2\tau_0)^2}{4x^2}\right) + \frac{(1+2\tau_0)}{2x}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{(1+2\tau_0)^2}{4x^2}\right) \tag{B4}$$

We can now write

$$\Pi(x) = x\int_{-1/(2x)}^\infty d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \left(\frac{1}{x}+\sigma\right)\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{x}+\sigma\right)\right]^4 \tag{B5}$$

where we introduced the variable $\sigma = (2\tau_0 - 1)/(2x)$ and defined

$$\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) \equiv {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\sigma^2\right). \tag{B6}$$

We are interested in the behavior of $\Pi(x)$ as $x \to 0$ at fixed, finite ϵ. For this, we need the large $|\sigma|$ expansion

$$\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) = \mathcal{B}_\epsilon|\sigma|^{-1} - \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)}|\sigma|^{-2+\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^{-4+\epsilon}), \tag{B7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1/2-\epsilon/2)}{2\Gamma(1-\epsilon/2)}. \tag{B8}$$

Now we can write for $\Pi(x)$ as $x \to 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(x) &\approx x \int_{-1/(2x)}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon + \frac{(1/x+\sigma)^{-1+\epsilon}}{(1-\epsilon)}\right]^4 \\
&\approx x \int_{-1/(2x)}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 + \frac{4x}{(1-\epsilon)} \int_{-1/(2x)}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^3 (1/x+\sigma)^{-1+\epsilon} \\
&\approx x \int_{-1/(2x)}^{0} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 + x \int_{0}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 - \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3 x}{(1-\epsilon)} \int_{-1/(2x)}^{0} d\sigma \, (1/x+\sigma)^{-1+\epsilon} \\
&\approx 8\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^4 - \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3 2^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} x^{1-\epsilon} + x \int_{-1/(2x)}^{0} d\sigma \left(\left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 - 16\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^4 + \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3}{(1-\epsilon)}(-\sigma)^{-1+\epsilon}\right) \\
&\qquad + x \int_{0}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 - \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3(1-2^{-\epsilon})}{(1-\epsilon)\epsilon} x^{1-\epsilon} \\
&\approx 8\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^4 - \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} x^{1-\epsilon} + \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \, x
\end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\epsilon &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\sigma \left(\left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 - 16\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^4 + \frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3}{(1-\epsilon)}(-\sigma)^{-1+\epsilon}\right) + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon\right]^4 \\
&= \frac{4\pi^3}{\epsilon} + \left(-6\gamma_E + 4\ln(2) - 6\psi(1/2)\right)\pi^3 + 6\pi\zeta(3) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),
\end{aligned} \tag{B10}$$

where ψ is the digamma function. We have verified numerically that the small x expansion for $G(x)$ in Eq. (B9) holds accurately for small values of ϵ.

We can now insert the expansion (B9) in Eq. (B1) and obtain the singular terms in $\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(\epsilon) &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon}{24}\left(-\frac{32\mathcal{B}_\epsilon^3}{2\epsilon^2(1-\epsilon)} + \frac{\mathcal{D}_\epsilon}{3\epsilon}\right) \\
&= -\frac{\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{B11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} &= \frac{6\zeta(3) + \pi^2\left(-5 + \ln(64) + 3\psi\left(1/2\right) - \psi\left(3/2\right)\right)}{18} \\
&= -3.310360722\ldots
\end{aligned} \tag{B12}$$

Now we use the lower order result for $G(\tau)$ in Eq. (35), insert the above result for $\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)$ in

Eq. (38), and evaluate at $\tau\mu = 1$, and keep only poles in ϵ, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{G(\tau)}{G_0(\tau)} &= \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\right)\left[1 - g\gamma^4 \mathcal{I}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\right)\left[1 - g\gamma^4\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{E} + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon}\right)\left[1 - g\gamma^4\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} - \frac{0.020492588211}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{B13}$$

Demanding cancellation in poles for the renormalized fermion ψ_R, we obtain Eq. (39).

Appendix C: Self energy renormalization

This appendix will carry out a computation equivalent to that in Appendix B, but using a Dyson formulation of the fermion propagator in frequency space. In this formulation, we introduce the self energy, Σ, defined by

$$G(\omega) = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \lambda - \Sigma(\omega)}. \tag{C1}$$

Then, at order γ^2, the self energy is

$$\Sigma_\gamma(\tau) = \gamma_0^2\, \theta(\tau)\, D_0(\tau) e^{-\lambda\tau} \tag{C2}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_\gamma(\omega) &= \mu^\epsilon \gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2-\epsilon}} e^{-(\lambda - i\omega)\tau} \\ &= \mu^\epsilon (\lambda - i\omega)^{1-\epsilon} \gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2\, \Gamma(-1+\epsilon) \\ &= \mu^\epsilon (\lambda - i\omega)^{1-\epsilon} \gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2 \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 + \gamma_E + \ldots\right) \end{aligned} \tag{C3}$$

So in minimal subtraction, we have at order γ^2,

$$Z_h = 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon} \tag{C4}$$

which agrees with Eq. (36).

We now turn to the terms of order g, where we need to compute the 3-loop self-energy




FIG. 4. Feynman diagrams for the fermion self-energy at order g.

term. This is given by the Feynman diagram in Fig. 4 and leads to an expression very similar to that in Eq. (A1)

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_g(\tau) &= -\gamma_0^4 g_0 \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^4 \int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+\tau/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-\tau/2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{-\tau/2}^{\tau/2} d\tau_1 \int_{-\tau_1}^{\tau/2} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}}\right] \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_0^4 g_0 \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^4}{2} \int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+\tau/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-\tau/2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{-\tau/2}^{\tau/2} d\tau_1 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2]^{(d-1)/2}}\right]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{C5}$$

The second expression differs from Eq. (A1) primarily by the square over the integral over τ_1. Rescaling to pull out the τ dependence, we now have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_g(\tau) &= -\frac{\mu^{3\epsilon}}{\tau^{2-3\epsilon}} \frac{\gamma^4 g \widetilde{S}_{d+1}^2}{2 S_{d+1}} \int d^d x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \frac{1}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+1/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-1/2)^2]\}^{(d-1)/2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 \frac{1}{[x^2+(\tau_0-\tau_1)^2]^{(d-1)/2}}\right]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{C6}$$

Now the Fourier transform to $\Sigma_g(\omega)$ will yield a pole in ϵ from the $1/\tau^{2-3\epsilon}$ term, just as in Eq. (C3). However the integrals of x, τ_0, τ_1 yields an additional pole in ϵ, and so we cannot set $\epsilon = 0$ in the integrand yet. Evaluating the Fourier transform and the integral over τ_1,

we obtain

$$\Sigma_g(\omega) = -\mu^{3\epsilon}(\lambda - i\omega)^{1-3\epsilon}\,\gamma^4 g \mathcal{A}_\epsilon \int_0^\infty x^\epsilon dx \int_0^\infty d\tau_0 \frac{[\Phi(x,\tau_0)]^2}{\{[x^2+(\tau_0+1/2)^2][x^2+(\tau_0-1/2)^2]\}^{1-\epsilon/2}}, \tag{C7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_\epsilon &\equiv \mathcal{A}_\epsilon\, 2\Gamma(-1+3\epsilon) \\ &= -\frac{2}{3\pi\epsilon} + \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{C8}$$

has a simple pole at $\epsilon = 0$. Now we write

$$\Sigma_g(\omega) = -\mu^{3\epsilon}(\lambda - i\omega)^{1-3\epsilon}\,\gamma^4 g\, A_\epsilon\, B_\epsilon \tag{C9}$$

where

$$B_\epsilon = \int_0^\infty x^{-3+3\epsilon} dx\, H_\epsilon(x) \tag{C10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_\epsilon(x) = \int_{-1/(2x)}^\infty d\sigma \left[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \left(\frac{1}{x}+\sigma\right)\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{x}+\sigma\right)\right]^2 \\ \times \frac{1}{\{[1+(1/x+\sigma)^2][1+\sigma^2]\}^{1-\epsilon/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{C11}$$

We now need the expansion of $H_\epsilon(x)$ at small x.

$$H_\epsilon(x\to 0) = x^{2-\epsilon}\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\sigma\,[\sigma\phi_\epsilon(\sigma) - \mathcal{B}_\epsilon]^2 \frac{1}{[1+\sigma^2]^{1-\epsilon/2}} \equiv x^{2-\epsilon} D_\epsilon \tag{C12}$$

where

$$D_0 = \frac{\pi^3}{3}. \tag{C13}$$

Then we can construct the behavior of B_ϵ at small ϵ from Eqs. (C10,C11)

$$B_\epsilon = \frac{\pi^3}{6\epsilon} + 1.694 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \tag{C14}$$

The $\mathcal{O}(1)$ term above was obtained by numerical evaluation of the integrals.

So we see from Eqs. (C9,C10) that the self energy evaluates to

$$\Sigma_g(\omega) = -\mu^{3\epsilon}(\lambda - i\omega)^{1-3\epsilon}\gamma^4 g\left[-\frac{\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} - \frac{1.41144}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right] \tag{C15}$$

Combining this with the lower order result Σ_γ in Eq. (C3) at $\mu(\lambda - i\omega) = 1$, while keeping only poles in ϵ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(\omega) &= (\lambda - i\omega)\left[\gamma^2 Z_\gamma^2 \Gamma(-1+\epsilon) + \gamma^4 g\left(\frac{\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{1.411}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right] \\ &= (\lambda - i\omega)\left[-\frac{\gamma^2}{\epsilon} - \gamma^4 g\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{9\epsilon^2} - \frac{0.0205}{\epsilon}\right) + \mathcal{O}(g^2)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C16}$$

Note the excellent agreement of the renormalization factor with the exact results in Eq. (B13).

---

[1] S. Chakravarty, B.I. Halperin, and D.R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1057 (1988).

[2] M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 546 (1989).

[3] A. V. Chubukov, S. Sachdev, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 11919 (1994).

[4] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, E. M. Wheeler, E. Wawrzynska, D. Prabhakaran, M. Telling, K. Habicht, P. Smeibidl, and K. Kiefer, Science **327**, 177 (2010).

[5] S. Sachdev, K. Sengupta, and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 075128 (2002).

[6] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1165 (1976).

[7] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7183 (1993).

[8] H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1015 (2007).

[9] Ar. Abanov and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 255702 (2004).

[10] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 075127 (2010).

[11] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 075128 (2010).

[12] D. F. Mross, J. McGreevy, H. Liu, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 045121 (2010).

[13] Junhyun Lee, P. Strack and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 045104 (2013).

[14] D. Dalidovich and Sung-Sik Lee Phys. Rev. B 88, 245106 (2013).

[15] S. Sur and Sung-Sik Lee, arXiv:1405.7357.

[16] S. Sachdev, A.V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 14874 (1995).

[17] S. Sachdev and A. Georges, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 9520 (1995).

[18] A. L. Fitzpatrick, S. Kachru, J. Kaplan and S. Raghu, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 125116 (2013).

[19] A. L. Fitzpatrick, S. Kachru, J. Kaplan and S. Raghu, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 165114 (2014).

[20] S. A. Hartnoll, R. Mahajan, M. Punk, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 155130 (2014).

[21] S. Sachdev, M. Troyer, and M. Vojta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2617 (2001).

[22] S. Sachdev, Physica C **357**, 78 (2001); arXiv:cond-mat/0011233.

[23] S. Powell and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 031601 (2007).

[24] S. Powell and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 033612 (2007).

[25] S. Sachdev, C. Buragohain, and M. Vojta, Science 286, 2479 (1999).

[26] A. Kolezhuk, S. Sachdev, R. R. Biswas, and P. Chen, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 165114 (2006).

[27] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 054411 (2008).

[28] S. Kachru, A. Karch, and Sho Yaida, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 026007 (2010).

[29] M. Bill, M. Caselle, D. Gaiotto, F. Gliozzi, M. Meineri and R. Pellegrini, JHEP **1307**, 055 (2013).

[30] D. Gaiotto, D. Mazac and M. F. Paulos, JHEP **1403**, 100 (2014).

[31] E. Brézin, J. C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, **6**, C. Domb and M. S. Green eds, Academic Press, London (1976).