POINTWISE ESTIMATES TO THE MODIFIED RIESZ POTENTIAL

PETTERI HARJULEHTO AND RITVA HURRI-SYRJÄNEN

ABSTRACT. We prove pointwise estimates to the modified Riesz potential. We show the boundedness of its Luxemburg norm. As an application we obtain Orlicz embedding results for L_p^1 -functions, $1 \le p < n$. We study the sharpness of the results.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study pointwise estimates and integral estimates for the modified Riesz potential

(1.1)
$$\int_G \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy$$

where φ is a continuous, strictly increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that for some constant C_{φ}

(1.2)
$$\frac{\varphi(t_1)}{t_1} \le C_{\varphi} \frac{\varphi(t_2)}{t_2} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 < t_1 \le t_2 \,,$$

and f is a locally integrable function defined on an open set G in the Euclidean *n*-space \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. We give sufficient conditions to an Orlicz function H such that the inequality

$$H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) \le C(Mf(x))^{p}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, whenever $||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, and the constant C is independent of f. Here, Mf is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function for balls,

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \, .$$

This inequality implies the boundedness of the corresponding Luxemburg norm. As an application we obtain Orlicz embedding results for L_p^1 -functions which are defined on domains with fractal boundaries, whenever $1 \le p < n$.

Date: March 1, 2022.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 31C15, 42B20, 42B25, 26D10, 46E30. Key words and phrases. Riesz potential, Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, pointwise estimate, Orlicz space, Sobolev–Poincaré inequality, non-smooth domain.

Our main theorems are Theorem 1.3, the pointwise estimate with the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, and Theorem 1.7, the boundedness of the Luxemburg norm of the modified Riesz potential.

1.3. **Theorem.** Let $1 \leq p < n$ be given. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function which satisfies condition (1.2). Suppose that there exists a continuous function $h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ so that

(1.4)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{-k}t)^n}{\varphi(t2^{-k})^{n-1}} \le h(t) \quad for \ all \quad t > 0.$$

Let $\delta : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function and let $H : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be an Orlicz function satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. Suppose that there exists a finite constant C_H such that the inequality

(1.5)
$$H\left(h(\delta(t))t + \varphi(\delta(t))^{1-n}(\delta(t))^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}\right) \le C_H t^p$$

holds for all t > 0. Let G in \mathbb{R}^n be an open set. If $||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, then there exists a constant C such that the inequality

(1.6)
$$H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) \le C(Mf(x))^{p}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here the constant C depends on n, p, C_{φ} , C_H , and the Δ_2 -constant of H only.

Theorem 1.3 implies the boundedness of the modified Riesz potential.

1.7. **Theorem.** Let H be an Orlicz function and φ be an increasing function as in Theorem 1.3. Let G be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a constant C such that the inequality

$$\int_{G} H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) dx \le C$$

holds for every f when $||f||_{L\log L(G)} \leq 1$ if p = 1 in (1.5), and for every f when $||f||_{L^{p}(G)} \leq 1$ if 1 in (1.5). Here the constant <math>C depends on n, p, C_{φ}, C_{H} , and the Δ_{2} -constant of H only.

The integral estimates imply the corresponding norm estimates and then the boundedness of the Luxemburg norm of the Orlicz function follows, see Corollary 3.4.

1.8. *Remark.* (1) Theorem 1.3 reduces to the classical pointwise estimate for the Riesz potential $I_{\alpha}f$,

$$I_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dy \,,$$

that is, there exists a constant C such that

$$|I_{\alpha}f(x)|^{np/(n-\alpha p)} \leq CMf(x)^{p} ||f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{\alpha pnp/n(n-\alpha p)} ,$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

when $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and 1 , [13, (3) in the proof of Theorem $1]. Indeed, if <math>f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given and we choose $\varphi(t) = t^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n-1}}$, $h(t) = t^{\alpha}$, $\delta(t) = \frac{t^{-\frac{p}{n}}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}$, and $H(t) = \frac{n-\alpha p}{np}t^{np/(n-\alpha p)}$, then the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 are valid. If $\alpha \in (1, n)$, then inequality (1.2) fails and we can not use the method of our proof for Theorem 1.3.

(2) The classical $(np/(n - \alpha p), p)$ -inequality for the Riesz potential $I_{\alpha}f$, that is, for $\alpha > 0$, $1 , and <math>\alpha p < n$ there is a constant $C(n, p, \alpha)$ such that

 $\|I_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{np/(n-\alpha p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C(n, p, \alpha) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

whenever $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, [13, Theorem 1], is a special case of Theorem 1.7 with $\varphi(t) = t^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n-1}}$ and $H(t) = \frac{n-\alpha p}{np} t^{np/(n-\alpha p)}$ whenever $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and 1 .

(3) Trudinger's inequality [27, p. 479], [18, Theorem 1], [25, Theorem], and [13, Theorem 2] for functions with compact support follows from Theorem 1.7 when $\varphi(t) = t$ as in [13, p. 507].

More generally boundedness results to the Riesz operator I_{α} from an Orlicz space to another Orlicz space are found in [20], [26], [15], and [2]. Andrea Cianchi characterized the Orlicz functions which give the corresponding norm inequalities, [3, Theorem 2 (ii)]. Cianchi and Bianca Stroffolini gave simplified proofs, [4, Theorem 1, Corollary 1]. For recent developments we refer to [19].

We are interested in the modified Ries potential (1.1) which is the classical Riesz potential whenever $\varphi(t) = t^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n-1}}$. We prove a norm estimate for the Luxemburg norm of the modified Riesz potential under certain assumptions on φ whenever functions are L_p^1 -functions, Corollary 3.4.

As an application we obtain Orlicz embedding results for L_p^1 -functions, which are defined on bounded domains with a cone condition but also if the functions are defined on more irregular domains, see Section 5 and Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 there.

The definitions which we need are recalled in Section 2. After we have collected some auxiliary results in Section 3 we prove the pointwise result Theorem 1.3 and the boundedness results Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 3.4. The properties of domains with fractal boundaries are studied in Section 4. We state and prove embedding results in Section 5. Examples of functions to which our results apply are given in Section 6. We give sharpness results in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we assume that the function $H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ has the properties

- (1) H is continuous,
- (2) H is strictly increasing,
- (3) H is convex,

(4)
$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{H(t)}{t} = 0$$
 and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{H(t)}{t} = \infty$,
(5) $\frac{H(t)}{t} < \frac{H(s)}{s}$ for $0 < t < s$.

In other words, we suppose that H is an *N*-function, [1, 8.2]. We also assume that H satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, that is, there exists a constant $C_H^{\Delta_2}$ such that

(2.1)
$$H(2t) \le C_H^{\Delta_2} H(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0.$$

If the function satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, we say that the function is a Δ_2 -function.

Let G in \mathbb{R}^n be an open set. The Orlicz class is a set of all measurable functions u defined on G such that

$$\int_G H\bigl(|u(x)|\bigr)\,dx < \infty\,.$$

We study the Orlicz space $L^{H}(G)$ which means a space of all measurable functions u defined on G such that

$$\int_G H(\lambda|u(x)|) dx < \infty$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

When the function H satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, then the space $L^H(G)$ is a vector space and it is equivalent to the corresponding Orlicz class. We study these Orlicz spaces and call their functions Orlicz functions. The Orlicz space $L^H(G)$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{\Phi}(G)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{G} \Phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \le 1\right\}$$

is a Banach space.

Let X and Y be normed spaces. Then, X is continuously embedded in Y, that is, there exists a continuous embedding from X into Y, written as $X \hookrightarrow Y$, if there exists a constant C such that

$$||u||_Y \le C||u||_X$$

for all $u \in X$.

We note that if the Lebesque measure of G is finite, then there is a continuous embedding $L^{H}(G) \hookrightarrow L^{1}(G)$. Examples of Orlicz spaces are $L^{p}(G) := L^{H(G)}$ when $H(t) = t^{p}$, $1 , and <math>L\log L(G) := L^{H}(G)$ when $H(t) = t\log(e + t)$. For more information about Orlicz spaces we refer to [1, Section 8] and [6, Section 6.3].

The space of locally integrable functions defined on an open set G is written as $L^1_{loc}(G)$. We recall that the space $L^1_p(G)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, is a space of distributions on G with the first order derivatives in the space $L^p(G)$.

An open ball with a center x and radius r > 0 is written as B(x, r). The corresponding closed ball is denoted by $\overline{B}(x, r)$. Given any proper subset A of \mathbb{R}^n and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the distance between x and the boundary

 ∂A is written as dist $(x, \partial A)$, and diam(A) stands for the diameter of A. The characteristic function of a set A is denoted by χ_A . When A in \mathbb{R}^n is a Lebesgue measurable set with positive *n*-Lebesgue measure |A| we write the integral average of an integrable function u in A as

$$u_A = \int_A u(x) \, dx = |A|^{-1} \int_A u(x) \, dx$$

We let $C(*, \dots, *)$ denote a constant which depends on the quantities appearing in the parentheses only. In the calculations from one line to the next line we usually write C for constants when it is not important to specify constants' dependence on the quantities appearing in the calculations. From line to line C might stand for a different constant.

3. POINTWISE ESTIMATES FOR A MODIFIED RIESZ POTENTIAL

The classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is written as

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy$$

where f is a locally integrable function defined on \mathbb{R}^n , [24, Section 1]. We give two pointwise estimates by using the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Lars Inge Hedberg stated and proved the corresponding results when $\varphi(t) = t$, [13, Lemma (a), (b)]. Cianchi and Stroffolini used the Hedberg method for the classical Riesz potential when functions are Orlicz functions, [4, Theorem 1, Corollary 1].

3.1. Lemma. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function. Let $h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function with the condition (1.4). Let $\delta > 0$ be given. If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a constant C(n) such that the inequality

$$\int_{B(x,\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \le C(n)h(\delta)Mf(x)$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be fixed and let δ be given. Let us divide the ball $B(x, \delta)$ into annuli. By bringing in the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and by using inequality (1.4) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(x,\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(\delta 2^{-k})^{1-n} \int_{\{z:2^{-k}\delta \leq |x-z| < 2^{-k+1}\delta\}} |f(y)| \, dy \\ &\leq C(n) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{-k}\delta)^n}{\varphi(\delta 2^{-k})^{n-1}} \int_{\{z:|x-z| < 2^{-k+1}\delta\}} |f(y)| \, dy \\ &\leq C(n) Mf(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{-k}\delta)^n}{\varphi(\delta 2^{-k})^{n-1}} \leq C(n) Mf(x) h(\delta). \Box \\ \end{split}$$

We consider the integral over the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, \delta)$, too.

3.2. Lemma. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous strictly increasing function such that inequality (1.2) holds. Let $1 \leq p < n$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. If $||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, then there is a constant C, depending on n, p, and C_{φ} only such that the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \le C\varphi(\delta)^{1-n} \delta^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The inequality in Lemma 3.2 has been proved for the function $\varphi(t) = t^{\alpha}/\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})$ when $1 \leq \alpha < 1 + 1/(n-1)$ and $\beta \geq 0$ in [10, Lemma 3.2]. The proof here is a generalization of this earlier result. We give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Suppose that 1 and let us write <math>p' = p/(p-1). Let the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be fixed and let $\delta > 0$ be given. By Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy &\leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big\| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} \varphi(|x-\cdot|)^{1-n} \Big\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \Big\| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} \varphi(|x-\cdot|)^{-n} \Big\|_{L^{(n-1)p'/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{(n-1)/n}. \end{split}$$

For every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, \delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(|x-y|)^{-n} &= C(n)|B(y,\varphi(|x-y|))|^{-1} \\ &= C(n) \int_{B(y,2|x-y|)} \chi_{B(x,\delta)}(z)|B(x,\delta)|^{-1} \frac{|B(y,2|x-y|)|}{|B(y,\varphi(|x-y|))|} \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (1.2) we obtain that

$$\frac{|B(y,2t)|}{|B(y,\varphi(t))|} \le C(n,C_{\varphi}) \left(\frac{\delta}{\varphi(\delta)}\right)^n$$

for every $t \geq \delta$. Hence,

$$\varphi(|x-y|)^{-n} \le C(n, C_{\varphi}) \left(\frac{\delta}{\varphi(\delta)}\right)^n M(\chi_{B(x,\delta)}|B(x,\delta)|^{-1})(y)$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, \delta)$.

Since $1 , we have <math>1 < \frac{n-1}{n}p' < \infty$. Thus, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded in $L^{(n-1)p'/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, [24, Section 1, Theorem 1(c)], and we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\setminus B(x,\delta)}\varphi(|x-\cdot|)^{-n}\right\|_{L^{(n-1)/n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq C(n,C_{\varphi})\left(\frac{\delta}{\varphi(\delta)}\right)^{n-1}\left\|M(\chi_{B(x,\delta)}|B(x,\delta)|^{-1})\right\|_{L^{(n-1)p'/n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq C(n,C_{\varphi},p)\left(\frac{\delta}{\varphi(\delta)}\right)^{n-1}\left\|\chi_{B(x,\delta)}|B(x,\delta)|^{-1}\right\|_{L^{(n-1)p'/n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq C(n,C_{\varphi},p)\varphi(\delta)^{1-n}\left\|\chi_{B(x,\delta)}\right\|_{L^{(n-1)p'/n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{(n-1)/n} \\ &\leq C(n,C_{\varphi},p)\varphi(\delta)^{1-n}\delta^{\frac{n}{p'}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, the claim is proved whenever 1 .

If p = 1, and $\delta > 0$ is given, and $||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \le \varphi(\delta)^{1-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)} |f(y)| \, dy \le \varphi(\delta)^{1-n}. \quad \Box$$

3.3. Remark. Let us assume that $\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})}$, $\alpha \in \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$ and $\beta \geq 0$. We use this φ in Corollary 6.1. In this case the restriction p < n in Lemma 3.2 can be replaced by the inequality $p < n/(n - \alpha(n - 1))$. This yields that in Theorems 1.3, 1.7 and 5.1 the restriction p < n can be replaced by $p < n/(n - \alpha(n - 1))$. In the proof of Lemma 3.2 we may estimate the term $\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)}\varphi(|x-\cdot|)^{1-n}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ by using the following calculation:

$$\begin{split} \left\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\setminus B(x,\delta)}\varphi(|x-y|)^{1-n}\right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\setminus B(x,\delta)}\varphi(|x-\cdot|)^{p'(1-n)}\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= \left(C(n)\int_{\delta}^{\infty}\varphi(t)^{p'(1-n)}t^{n-1}\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= C(n,p)\left(\int_{\delta}^{\infty}t^{\alpha p'(1-n)+n-1}\log^{\beta p'(n-1)}(e+t^{-1})\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C(n,p)\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+\delta^{-1})\left(\int_{\delta}^{\infty}t^{\alpha p'(1-n)+n-1}\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{split}$$

The last integral is finite if $\alpha p'(1-n) + n < 0$. In this case we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\delta)}\varphi(|x-y|)^{1-n}\right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C(n,p)\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+\delta^{-1})\delta^{\alpha(1-n)+\frac{n}{p'}} \\ &= \varphi(\delta)^{1-n}\delta^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}. \end{split}$$

Now we are ready for the proofs of the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We may assume that Mf(x) > 0, since otherwise f(x) = 0 almost everywhere. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 there exists a constant C such that we obtain

$$\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} dy$$
$$\leq Ch(\delta(Mf(x)))Mf(x) + C\varphi(\delta(Mf(x)))^{1-n}(Mf(x))^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}$$

for every x in \mathbb{R}^n . Condition (1.5) implies for all x in \mathbb{R}^n

$$H\left(\int_D \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) \le C(Mf(x))^p.$$

This pointwise estimate gives the boundedness of the Luxemburg norm of the modified Riesz potential.

Proof for Theorem 1.7. Suppose that $1 . Let us assume that <math>||f||_{L^p(G)} \leq 1$. Then by Theorem 1.3 the inequality

$$H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) \le C \, (Mf(x))^{p}$$

holds for every $x \in G$. Since the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M: L^p \to L^p$ is bounded whenever 1 , we obtain by integrating both sides of this inequality over <math>G

$$\int_{G} H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) dx \le C \int_{G} (Mf(x))^{p} \, dx$$
$$\le C \int_{G} |f(x)|^{p} \, dx \le C.$$

The proof in the case p = 1 follows in the same way; but the fact that the maximal operator $M : L \log L \to L^1$ is bounded had to be used instead of the boundedness of the maximal operator $M : L^p \to L^p$ whenever 1 .

Note that if the inequality

$$\int_{G} H\left(\int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy\right) dx \le C$$

holds for every f whenever $||f||_{L^p(G)} \leq 1$, where 1 , then

$$\left\| \int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \right\|_{L^{H}(G)} \le C$$

for every f whenever $||f||_{L^p(G)} \leq 1$ and $1 . The boundedness of the Luxemburg norm follows by applying this inequality to <math>f/||f||_{L^p(G)}$ whenever 1 . Arguments in the case <math>p = 1 are similar. We state the boundedness of the Luxemburg norm in the following corollary.

3.4. Corollary. Let H be an Orlicz function and φ be an increasing function as in Theorem 1.3. Let G be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n .

If 1 , then there exists a constant C such that the inequality

$$\left\| \int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|\cdot - y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \right\|_{L^{H}(G)} \le C \|f\|_{L^{p}(G)}$$

holds for every $f \in L^{p}(G)$. Here the constant C depends on n, p, C_{φ} , C_{H} , and the Δ_{2} -constant of H only.

If p = 1, then there exists a constant C_1 such that the inequality

$$\left\| \int_{G} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|\cdot - y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \right\|_{L^{H}(G)} \le C_{1} \|f\|_{L\log L(G)}$$

holds for every $f \in L\log L(G)$. Here the constant C_1 depends on n, C_{φ} , C_H , and the Δ_2 -constant of H only.

4. Pointwise estimates for functions defined on irregular domains

We are going to give new embedding results for L_p^1 -functions, which are defined on domains with fractal boundaries. We recall the definition of very irregular John domains and give an integral representation to functions defined on these domains.

4.1. **Definition.** Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing function. A bounded domain D in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, is a φ -John domain if there exist a constant $c_J > 0$ and a point $x_0 \in D$ such that each point $x \in D$ can be joined to x_0 by a rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, l] \to D$, parametrized by its arc length, such that $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(l) = x_0, l \le c_J$, and

$$\varphi(t) \le c_J \operatorname{dist}(\gamma(t), \partial D) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, c_J].$$

The point x_0 is called a John center of D and the constant c_J is called a John constant of D.

If a domain is a φ -John domain with a John center x_0 , then it is a φ -John domain with any other $x \in D$, but the John constant might be different.

Lipschitz domains, classical John domains, and the so called s-John domains are examples of these domains. But there are more irregular domains such as the mushrooms domain studied in [10, 6. Example] and in [11, 6. Example].

The following lemma is needed to prove a pointwise integral representation to L_1^1 -functions defined on a φ -John domain. Lemma 4.3 is a generalization of [9, Theorem 9.3] where the classical John domain, corresponding to the case $\varphi(t) = t$, is considered. For the function $\varphi(t) = t/\log(e + t^{-1})$ the corresponding result has been proved in [11, Lemma 3.5]. The definition of φ affects to the property (2) in Lemma 4.3. The following inequality (4.2) is needed: There exists a constant C'_{φ} depending on φ and c_J only such that

(4.2)
$$\varphi(t) \le C'_{\varphi}t \text{ for all } t \in [0, c_J].$$

Namely, for a given John domain with a John constant c_J by inequality (1.2) there exists a constant C_{φ} such that

$$\varphi(t) \leq C_{\varphi} \frac{\varphi(c_J)}{c_J} t =: C'_{\varphi} t \text{ for all } t \in [0, c_J].$$

4.3. Lemma. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 -function satisfying inequality (4.2). Let D in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, be a φ -John domain with a John constant c_J and a John center $x_0 \in D$. Then for every $x \in D \setminus B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$ there exists a sequence of balls $(B(x_i, r_i))$ such that $B(x_i, 2r_i)$ is in D, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, and for some constants $K = K(c_J, C'_{\varphi})$, N = N(n), and M = M(n)

- (1) $B_0 = B(x_0, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D));$
- (2) $\varphi(\operatorname{dist}(x, B_i)) \leq Kr_i, \text{ and } r_i \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty;$
- (3) no point of the domain D belongs to more than N balls $B(x_i, r_i)$; and
- (4) $|B(x_i, r_i) \cup B(x_{i+1}, r_{i+1})| \le M|B(x_i, r_i) \cap B(x_{i+1}, r_{i+1})|.$

Proof. Let $x \in D \setminus B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$. Let γ be a John curve joining x to x_0 , its arc length written as l. We write

$$B'_0 = B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D)\right)$$

and consider the balls B'_0 and

$$B\left(\gamma(t), \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{dist}\left(\gamma(t), \partial D \cup \{x\}\right)\right),$$

where $t \in (0, l)$. By the Besicovitch covering theorem, there is a sequence of closed balls

$$\overline{B'_1}, \overline{B'_2}, \dots$$
 and $\overline{B'_0}$

that cover the set $\{\gamma(t) : t \in [0, l]\} \setminus \{x\}$ and have a uniformly bounded overlap depending on *n* only. We write $B(x_i, r_i) = 2B'_i$ for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where $x_i = \gamma(t_i), t_i \in (0, l)$, and $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \partial D \cup \{x\})$.

By the fact that φ is an increasing function and by the definition of φ -John domain we obtain

$$\varphi(\operatorname{dist}(x, B_0)) \leq \varphi(l) \leq c_J \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D) = 2c_J r_0.$$

Let us suppose then that $i \ge 1$. If $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, x)$, then by inequality (4.2) we obtain

$$\varphi(\operatorname{dist}(x, B(x_i, r_i))) \le C'_{\varphi} \operatorname{dist}(x, B(x_i, r_i)) \le 2C'_{\varphi} r_i.$$

If $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \partial D)$, then the fact that φ is increasing and the definition of a φ -John domain give

 $\varphi(\operatorname{dist}(x, B(x_i, r_i))) \le \varphi(\operatorname{dist}(x, x_i)) \le \varphi(t_i) \le c_J \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \partial D) = 2c_J r_i.$

Thus, property (2) holds.

We renumerate the balls $B(x_i, r_i) = 2B'_i$ and leave out the extra balls. Let B_0 be as before. Assume that we have chosen balls B_i , $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$. Then we choose the ball B_{m+1} as follows. We trace along γ starting from $\gamma(t_m)$, which is the centre point of B_m , towards $x = \gamma(0)$ and choose the smallest t_j for which $\gamma(t_j) = x_j \in B_m$. Note that the smallest t_j exists. Let $\gamma(t')$ be the point where γ leaves B_m for the last time when we are going towards $\gamma(0) = x$. Then $\{\gamma(t) : t \in [t', l]\}$ is covered finitely many balls $\overline{B'_i}$, since the balls have bounded overlapping and the radii have a uniform lower bound. Because of this changing of the picking order of the balls, we obtain that $r_i \to 0$ and $x_i \to x$, whenever $i \to \infty$.

The point x does not belong to any ball. Let x' be any other point in the domain D. The point x' cannot belong to the balls B_i with $3r_i < \operatorname{dist}(x', x)$. If $x' \in B_i$, then

$$2r_i \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, x_i) \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, x') + r_i.$$

Thus, we obtain that $x' \in B_i$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{dist}(x', x) \le r_i \le \operatorname{dist}(x, x').$$

The Besicovitch covering theorem implies that the balls with radius of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the original balls are disjoint. Hence x' belongs to less than or equal to

$$N\frac{|B(x',2r)|}{|B(0,\frac{1}{12}r)|} = 24^n N$$

balls B_i , where the constant N is from the Besicovitch covering theorem and depends on the dimension n only. Hence, property (3) holds.

If $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \partial D)$ and $r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_{i+1}, \partial D)$, then $r_{i+1} \ge \frac{1}{2}r_i$ (since $x_{i+1} \in B_i$) and thus we obtain

$$\frac{|B_i|}{|B_{i+1}|} \le \left(\frac{r_i}{\frac{1}{2}r_i}\right)^n = 2^n$$

If $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, x)$ and $r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_{i+1}, x)$, then $r_{i+1} \ge \frac{1}{2}r_i$ and thus we obtain $|B_i|/|B_{i+1}| \le 2^n$. If $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \partial D)$ and $r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_{i+1}, x)$, then $r_i \le \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, x)$ and we obtain the same ratio as before. Similarly in the case when $r_i = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, x)$ and $r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(x_{i+1}, \partial D)$. We have shown $|B_i| \le 2^n |B_{i+1}|$. In the same manner we obtain $2r_{i+1} \le 3r_i$ and hence $2^n |B_i| \ge |B_{i+1}|$. These yield property (4).

The following pointwise integral representation for L_1^1 -functions defined on the classical John domain is well known, [23], [7]. The corresponding integral representation when $\varphi(t) = t/\log(e + t^{-1})$ is proved in [11, Theorem 3.4]. For the sake of completeness we give the proof for the general function φ here. Lemma 4.3 is essential to this proof.

4.4. Theorem. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 -function satisfying (4.2). Let D in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, be a φ -John domain with a John constant c_J and a John center x_0 . Then there exists a finite constant C such that for every $u \in L^1_1(D)$ and for almost every $x \in D$ the inequality

$$\left|u(x) - u_{B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))}\right| \le C \int_D \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{\varphi(|x - y|)^{n-1}} \, dy$$

holds.

Proof. If $x \in B(x_0, \text{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$, then

$$\left|u(x)-u_{B(x_0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))}\right| \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam}(B(x_0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D)))^n}{n|B(x_0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))|} \int_{B(x_0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \, dy$$

by [5, Lemma 7.16]. Since by inequality (4.2) there is a constant C'_{φ} such that $\varphi(|x - y|)^{n-1} \leq (C'_{\varphi}|x - y|)^{n-1}$, the claim follows for points $x \in B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$.

Let us then assume that $x \in D \setminus B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$. Let $(B_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of balls constructed in Lemma 4.3. Property (2) in Lemma 4.3 gives that $\operatorname{dist}(x, B_i) \to 0$ whenever $i \to \infty$, since $\lim_{t\to 0+} \varphi(t) = 0$ and φ is continuously strictly increasing. Note that $\lim_{t\to 0+} \varphi(t) = 0$ follows from the definition of φ -John domain by considering points near the boundary. Thus, property (2) and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem [24, Section 1, Corollary 1] imply that

$$u_{B_i} \to u(x)$$
 when $i \to \infty$

for almost every x. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u_{B_0}| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |u_{B_i} - u_{B_{i+1}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(|u_{B_i} - u_{B_i \cap B_{i+1}}| + |u_{B_{i+1}} - u_{B_i \cap B_{i+1}}| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B_i \cap B_{i+1}} |u(y) - u_{B_i}| \, dy + \int_{B_i \cap B_{i+1}} |u(y) - u_{B_{i+1}}| \, dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

By property (4) in Lemma 4.3

$$|u(x) - u_{B_0}| \le 2C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \oint_{B_i} |u(y) - u_{B_i}| \, dy.$$

By using the (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality in a ball B_i , [5, Section 7.8], we obtain

$$|u(x) - u_{B_0}| \le C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i \int_{B_i} |\nabla u(y)| \, dy.$$

By (4.2) we have $\varphi(2r_i) \leq 2C'_{\varphi}r_i$. Since φ is strictly increasing, there exists the strictly increasing inverse function φ^{-1} such that the inequality $\varphi^{-1}(2C'_{\varphi}r_i) \geq 2r_i$ holds. Thus, for each $z \in B_i$ we obtain by property (2) in Lemma 4.3 that

$$|x - z| \le \operatorname{dist}(x, B_i) + 2r_i \le \varphi^{-1}(Kr_i) + 2r_i \le 2\varphi^{-1}(Cr_i),$$

 $C = \max\{K, 2C'_{\varphi}\}$. Hence, we have $\varphi(\frac{1}{2}|x-z|) \leq Cr_i$. By using this estimate and property (3) in Lemma 4.3 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u_{B_0}| &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i \oint_{B_i} |\nabla u(y)| \, dy \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{r_i^{n-1}} \, dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{\varphi(\frac{1}{2}|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \leq C \int_D \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{\varphi(\frac{1}{2}|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

Since the function φ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, the claim follows.

5. Orlicz embbeding theorems

Continuous embeddings into Orlicz spaces of exponential type for domains with a cone condition are well known, [27, Theorem 1, Theorem 2]; we also refer to [28], [22], [21]. We recall that Cianchi has proved sharp results for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces whenever relative isoperimetric inequalities are valid in the underlying domain, [2, Theorem 2 and Example 1]. His work covers Orlicz spaces of exponential type and more. In particular, classical John domains, that is, $\varphi(t) = t$, satisfy the Trudinger inequality, [2, Example1].

We formulate the new embedding results for L_p^1 -functions defined on φ -John domains.

5.1. Theorem. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 -function which satisfies condition (1.2). Let H be an Orlicz function defined as in Theorem 1.3. Let 1 . If <math>D in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 2$, is a φ -John domain with a John center x_0 , then there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that the inequality

$$\int_D H(|u(x) - u_{B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D)}|) \, dx \le C$$

holds whenever $u \in L^1_p(D)$ and $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D)} \leq 1$; the constant C does not depend on the function u.

If p = 1, we need an extra assumption on the Orlicz function H.

5.2. Theorem. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 -function which satisfies condition (1.2). Let H be an Orlicz function defined as in Theorem 1.3. Let D in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, be a φ -John domain. If

(5.3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H(2^{-j}) < \infty$$

then there exists a finite constant C such that the inequality

$$\int_D H(|u(x) - u_{B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))}|) \, dx \le C$$

holds for every $u \in L_1^1(D)$ when $\|\nabla u\|_{L^1(D)} \leq 1$; the constant C does not depend on the function u.

We state the corresponding norm inequalities next.

5.4. Corollary. If $1 , let <math>\varphi$, H, and D be defined as in Theorem 5.1. If p = 1, let φ , H, and D be defined as in Theorem 5.2. Then there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that the inequality

$$||u - u_D||_{L^H(D)} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(D)}$$

holds for every $u \in L^1_p(D)$; the constant C does not depend on the function u.

The pointwise estimate in Theorem 4.4 is crucial for the proofs.

Proof of the embedding result Theorem 5.1. Let $u \in L_p^1(D)$. Then, by [16, 1.1.2, Theorem] $u \in L_{loc}^p(D)$. Let $x_0 \in D$ be a John center of D. Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 1.7 imply the claim.

The proof of the embedding result is more tedious when p = 1.

Proof of the embedding result Theorem 5.2. Let us consider functions $u \in L_1^1(D)$ such that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^1(D)} \leq 1$. The center ball $B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$ is written as B. We show that there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that the inequality

(5.5)
$$\int_D H(|u(x) - u_B|) \, dx \le C$$

holds whenever $\|\nabla u\|_{L^1(D)} \leq 1$. First we estimate

$$\int_D H(|u(x) - u_B|) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: 2^j < |u(x) - u_B| \le 2^{j+1}\}} H(2^{j+1}) \, dx.$$

Let us define

$$v_j(x) = \max\left\{0, \min\left\{|u(x) - u_B| - 2^j, 2^j\right\}\right\}$$

for all $x\in D.$ If $x\in\{x\in D:2^j<|u(x)-u_B|\leq 2^{j+1}\},$ then $v_{j-1}(x)\geq 2^{j-1}.$ We obtain

(5.6)
$$\int_{D} H(|u(x) - u_{B}|) \, dx \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: \nu_{j}(x) \geq 2^{j}\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx.$$

By the triangle inequality and Theorem 4.4 we have

$$v_j(x) = |v_j(x) - (v_j)_B + (v_j)_B| \le |v_j(x) - (v_j)_B| + |(v_j)_B|$$
$$\le C \int_D \frac{|\nabla v_j(y)|}{\varphi(|x - y|)^{n-1}} \, dy + |(v_j)_B|$$

for almost every $x \in D$. By the (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality in a ball B, [5, Section 7.8], there exists a constant C such that

$$|(v_j)_B| = (v_j)_B = \iint_B v_j(x) \, dx \le \iint_B |u(x) - u_B| \, dx \le C \iint_B |\nabla u(x)| \, dx \le C |B|^{-1}.$$

Thus, by the definition of B the number $|(v_j)_B|$ is bounded by a constant depending on n and the distance between the John center and the boundary of D only. We write

$$I_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x) = \int_D \frac{|\nabla v_j(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy.$$

We continue to estimate the right hand side of inequality (5.6)

(5.7)
$$\int_{D} H(|u(x) - u_{B}|) dx \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_{j})(x) + C \geq 2^{j}\}} H(2^{j+2}) dx$$
$$\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_{j})(x) \geq 2^{j-1}\}} H(2^{j+2}) dx + \sum_{j = -\infty}^{j_{0}} \int_{D} H(2^{j+2}) dx.$$

By (5.3) we obtain

(5.8)
$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} \int_D H(2^{j+2}) \, dx = |D| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} H(2^{j+2}) \le C|D|.$$

Then, we will find an upper bound for the sum

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\int_{\{x\in D: CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x)\geq 2^{j-1}\}}H(2^{j+2})\,dx\,.$$

Since $\|\nabla v_j\|_{L^1(D)} \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(D)} \le 1$, Theorem 1.3 implies that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x) \ge 2^{j-1}\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: H(CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x)) \ge H(2^{j-1})\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: CM | \nabla v_j|(x) \ge H(2^{j-1})\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx. \end{split}$$

We choose for every $x \in \{x \in D : CM | \nabla v_j | (x) \ge H(2^{j-2})\}$ a ball $B(x, r_x)$, centered at x and with radius r_x depending on x, such that

$$C \oint_{B(x,r_x)} |\nabla v_j(y)| \, dy \ge \frac{1}{2} H(2^{j-1})$$

with the understanding that $|\nabla v_j|$ is zero outside D. By the Besicovitch covering theorem (or the 5-covering theorem) we obtain a subcovering $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ so that we may estimate by the Δ_2 -condition of H

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: Cl_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x) \ge 2^{j-1}\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_k} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb$$

Let $E_j = \{x \in D : 2^j < |u(x) - u_B| \le 2^{j+1}\}$. Since $|\nabla v_j|$ is zero almost everywhere in $D \setminus E_j$ and $|\nabla u(x)| = \sum_j |\nabla v_j(x)| \chi_{E_j}(x)$ for almost every $x \in D$, we obtain

(5.9)
$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{x \in D: CI_{\varphi}(\nabla v_j)(x) \ge 2^{j-1}\}} H(2^{j+2}) \, dx \le C \int_D |\nabla u(y)| \, dy \le C.$$

Estimates (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9) imply inequality (5.5).

Proof of Corollary 5.4. Let us write $B = B(x_0, \text{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$. Theorem 5.1 for 1 and Theorem 5.2 for <math>p = 1 yield

$$\|u - u_B\|_{L^H(D)} \le C$$

for every $u \in L^1_p(D)$ with $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D)} \le 1$. By using this inequality for $u/\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D)}$ we obtain

$$||u - u_B||_{L^H(D)} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(D)}.$$

By the triangle inequality

$$||u - u_D||_{L^H(D)} \le ||u - u_B||_{L^H(D)} + ||u_B - u_D||_{L^H(D)}.$$

Here,

$$\begin{split} \|u_B - u_D\|_{L^H(D)} &= |u_B - u_D| \, \|1\|_{L^H(D)} \le \|1\|_{L^H(D)} \|u - u_B\|_{L^1(D)} \\ &\le C \|1\|_{L^H(D)} \|u - u_B\|_{L^H(D)} \end{split}$$

for some constant C. The claim follows.

6. Examples

As an application of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 we obtain the following corollary. By Remark 3.3 we may replace the assumption p < n by the assumption $p < n/(n - \alpha(n - 1))$.

6.1. Corollary. Let $1 \le p < n/(n - \alpha(n - 1))$ be given. Let $\alpha \in [1, 1 + 1/(n - 1))$ and $\beta \ge 0$. Let $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the functions

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})}$$

and

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(m+t)}\right)^{\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}}$$

where $m = m(n, p) \ge e$. If D in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, is a φ -John domain, then there is a constant C such that the inequality

$$\int_{D} H(|u(x) - u_{B(x_0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))}|) \, dx \le C$$

holds for every $u \in L^1_p(D)$ when $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D)} \leq 1$. The constant C does not depend on the function u.

Corollary 6.1 recovers the well known case when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. But, Corollary 6.1 with $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta = 0$ is not sharp. Namely, the exponent in the Orlicz function H should be $\frac{np}{\alpha(n-1)-p+1}$, and not $\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}$, according to [8, p. 437] and [14, Theorem 2.3]. We propose a conjecture that $\frac{np}{\alpha(n-1)-p+1}$ is the right exponent in the case $\beta > 0$ also. We note that our method based on the modified Riesz potential does not give a better exponent than $\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}$, see Theorem 7.5.

Before the proof we point out that some earlier Orlicz embedding results are recovered.

6.2. Example. If we choose

$$\begin{split} \varphi(t) &= \frac{t}{\log(e+t^{-1})}, \\ \delta(t) &= t^{-p/n}, \\ h(t) &= t \log^{n-1}(e+\delta^{-1}), \\ H(t) &= \frac{t^{np/(n-p)}}{(\log^{n-1}(m+t))^{np/(n-p)}}, \text{ where } m \geq e \end{split}$$

in Theorem 5.1, then Theorem 5.1 recovers [11, Theorem 1.1].

6.3. Example. If we choose

$$\begin{split} \varphi(t) &= \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})}, 1 \leq \alpha < 1 + 1/(n-1)), \\ \delta(t) &= t^{-p/n}, \\ h(t) &= t^{n+(1-n)\alpha} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+\delta^{-1}), \\ H(t) &= \left(\frac{t}{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(m+t)}\right)^{np/(\alpha p(n-1)+n-np)}, \end{split}$$

in Theorem 5.1, then [10, Theorem 4.1] follows.

We give a detailed proof Corollary 6.1, since the proof shows why the values of α should have the upper bound n/(n-1). In Remark 6.4 we will point out that the upper bound n/(n-1) is the best possible with this Hedberg-type method for the modified Riesz potentials.

Proof of Corollary 6.1. Let $\alpha \in [1, 1 + 1/(n-1))$ and $\beta \ge 0$. When

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})},$$

calculations show that the Δ_2 -condition of φ and inequality (1.2) hold.

In order to have condition (1.4) we substitute φ to the left hand side of (1.4) and estimate, for $\alpha < \frac{n}{n-1}$,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(2^{-k}t\right)^{n}}{\varphi(2^{-k}t)^{n-1}} &= t^{n-\alpha(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n-\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(e + \frac{2^{k}}{t}\right) \\ &\leq t^{n-\alpha(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n-\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(2^{k}\left(e + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \\ &= t^{n-\alpha(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n-\alpha(n-1)} \left(\log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(2^{k}\right) + \log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(e + \frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \\ &= C_{1}t^{n-\alpha(n-1)} + C_{2}t^{n-\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(e + \frac{1}{t}\right) \\ &\leq Ct^{n-\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(e + \frac{1}{t}\right). \end{split}$$

Thus, we may choose

$$h(t) = Ct^{n+(1-n)\alpha} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{-1}).$$

Let

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(m+t)}\right)^{np/(\alpha p(n-1)+n-np)}.$$

Conditions (2.1) and (5.3) for the function H hold clearly.

We choose $\delta : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, $\delta(t) = t^{-\frac{p}{n}}$, and show that condition (1.5) holds with δ , h, and H. By substituting h and δ to the left hand side of (1.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(h(\delta(t))t + \varphi(\delta(t))^{1-n}\delta(t)^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \\ &= H\left(Ct^{-p+p\alpha-\frac{\alpha p}{n}+1}\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}}) + t^{\alpha\frac{p}{n}(n-1)-p+1}\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})\right) \\ &= H\left(2Ct^{-p+p\alpha-\frac{\alpha p}{n}+1}\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})\right) \\ &= H\left(2Ct^{\frac{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}{n}}\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})\right). \end{aligned}$$

The definition of H and straightforward estimates imply

$$\begin{split} H\left(h(\delta(t))t + \varphi(\delta(t))^{1-n}\delta(t)^{n(1-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{Ct^{p}\left(\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})\right)^{\frac{pn}{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}}}{\left(\log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(m+2Ct^{\frac{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}{n}}\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})\right)\right)^{\frac{pn}{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}}} \\ &\leq Ct^{p}\left(\frac{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+t^{\frac{p}{n}})}{\log^{\beta(n-1)}\left(m+2Ct^{\frac{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}{n}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{pn}{\alpha p(n-1)+n-np}} \\ &\leq Ct^{p}. \end{split}$$

Thus the claim follows by Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

6.4. Remark. We emphasize that the assumption $\alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}$ is natural when we consider the function

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})} \,.$$

Namely, if we assume that $\alpha \ge 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}$ and $\beta \ge 0$ and choose $f \equiv 1$ in D, then we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} \frac{|f(y)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dy &\geq \int_{B(x,\min\{1,\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial D)\})} \frac{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+|x-y|^{-1})}{|x-y|^{\alpha(n-1)}} \, dy \\ &\geq \int_{B(x,\min\{1,\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial D)\})} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha(n-1)}} \, dy \\ &\geq \int_{B(x,\min\{1,\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial D)\})} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \, dy = \infty \end{split}$$

for every $x \in D$.

7. Sharpness of the results

In this section we study sharpness of the norm inequalities

$$\left\|\int_D \frac{|u(z)|}{\varphi(|\cdot - z|)} \, dz\right\|_{L^H(D)} \le C ||u||_{L^p(D)}$$

and $||u - u_D||_{L^H(D)} \leq C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(D)}$. We start from the later inequality.

Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 function which satisfies condition (1.2). We give a sufficient condition to the function H in Theorem 7.2 so that the corresponding inequality in Theorem 5.1 fails. We do it by constructing a mushrooms-type domain. Mushrooms-type domains can be found in [17], [16], [12], [11], [10]. By using Theorem 7.2 we show that the embedding in Theorem 5.2 is sharp.

Next we construct the mushrooms-type domain. Let (r_m) be a decreasing sequence converging to zero. Let Q_m , m = 1, 2, ..., be a closed cube in \mathbb{R}^n with side length $2r_m$. Let P_m , m = 1, 2, ..., be a closed

rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n which has side length r_m for one side and $2\varphi(r_m)$ for the remaining n-1 sides. Let $Q_0 = [0, 1]^n$. We attach Q_m and P_m together creating 'mushrooms' which we then attach, as pairwise disjoint sets, to one side of Q_0 . We have to assume here that $\varphi(r_m) \leq r_m$. We attach the mushrooms to the side that lies in the hyperplane $x_2 = 1$. We wish to define a domain that is symmetric with respect to the hyperplane $x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, let Q_m^* and P_m^* be the images of the sets Q_m and P_m , respectively, under the reflection across the hyperplane $x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. We define

(7.1)
$$G = \operatorname{int}\left(Q_0 \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(Q_m \cup P_m \cup Q_m^* \cup P_m^*\right)\right).$$

We give a sufficient condition to the Orlicz function H so that the corresponding Orlicz embedding result in Theorem 5.1 fails.

7.2. Theorem. Let $p \ge 1$. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, strictly increasing Δ_2 -function which satisfies condition (1.2). Suppose that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\varphi(t) \le t$ for $0 < t < t_0$. Let G in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, be a mushrooms-type domain constructed as in (7.1). If H is an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -property and the condition

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} t^n H\left(\left(\frac{t^{p-1}}{\varphi(t)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/p}\right) = \infty,$$

then there exists a sequence of functions (u_k) in $L^1_p(G)$ such that $\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(G)} = 1$ for every k and

$$\int_D H(|u_k(x) - (u_k)_D|) \, dx \to \infty \quad as \quad k \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let us define a sequence of piecewise linear continuous functions $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by setting

$$u_k(x) := \begin{cases} F(r_k) & \text{in } Q_k, \\ -F(r_k) & \text{in } Q_k^*, \\ 0 & \text{in} Q_0, \end{cases}$$

where the function F will be given in (7.3). Then the integral average of u_k over G is zero for each k.

The gradient of u_k differs from zero in $P_m \cup P_m^*$ only and

$$|\nabla u_k(x)| = \frac{F(r_m)}{r_m}, \text{ when } x \in P_m \cup P_m^*.$$

Note that

$$\int_{G} |\nabla u_k(x)|^p \, dx = 2 \, \int_{P_m} \left(\frac{F(r_m)}{r_m}\right)^p = 2r_m \left(\varphi(r_m)\right)^{n-1} \frac{F(r_m)^p}{r_m^p}$$

We require that

$$\int_G |\nabla u_k(x)|^p \, dx = 1 \, .$$

Hence,

(7.3)
$$F(r_m) = \left(\frac{r_m^{p-1}}{2\varphi(r_m)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that

$$\int_G H(|u_k(x) - (u_k)_G|) dx = \int_G H(|u_k(x)|) dx$$
$$\ge 2 \int_{Q_m} H(F(r_m)) = 2r_m^n H(F(r_m))$$

Hence, by (7.3), the Δ_2 -condition, and the assumption we have

$$r_m^n H(F(r_m)) = r_m^n H\left(\left(\frac{r_m^{p-1}}{2\varphi(r_m)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/p}\right) \ge r_m^n H\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r_m^{p-1}}{\varphi(r_m)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/p}\right)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{C_H^{\Delta_2}} r_m^n H\left(\left(\frac{r_m^{p-1}}{\varphi(r_m)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/p}\right) \to \infty,$$
enever $m \to \infty$.

whenever $m \to \infty$.

Theorem 7.2 implies that condition (1.5) in Theorem 5.2, in the p = 1case, is sharp.

7.4. Remark. Let H be an Orlicz Δ_2 -function which satisfies condition (5.3). Let us assume that (1.5) holds with $\delta(t) = t^{-\frac{p}{n}}$ in the case p = 1i.e.

$$H\left(h\left(t^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right)t + \varphi\left(t^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{1-n}\right) \le C_H t \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then we obtain with every function h that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} t^n H\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)^{n-1}}\right) \leq \lim_{t \to 0^+} t^n H\left(h(t)t^{-n} + \varphi(t)^{1-n}\right)$$
$$\leq \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1} H\left(h\left(t^{-1/n}\right)t + \varphi\left(t^{-\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{1-n}\right) \leq C_H.$$

Next we study the modified Riesz potential in \mathbb{R}^n .

7.5. Theorem. Let $\varepsilon, \delta, \beta \ge 0$ and let $\alpha \in [1, 1 + 1/(n-1))$. Let $1 \le p < \infty$ $n/(n-\alpha(n-1))$ be given. Let $\varphi: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the functions

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})}$$

and

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\log^{\beta(n-1)-\delta}(m+t)}\right)^{\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}+\varepsilon}$$

where $m \ge e$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ or $\delta > 0$, then there exists a sequence of functions (u_k) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $||u_k||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_k(z)|}{\varphi(|x-z|)^{n-1}} \, dz\right) \, dx \to \infty \quad as \quad k \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let A > 0. We will fix $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ later. By changing the variables we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{n}{p}}f(Ax)\|_{L^{p}(G)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} A^{n}|f(Ax)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \,. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by changing the variables, Ax=z and $Ay=\omega,$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|A^{\frac{n}{p}}f(Ax)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dx\right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{A^{\frac{n}{p}}|f(z)|}{A^n \varphi(|\frac{z}{A} - y|)^{n-1}} \, dz\right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A^{-n} H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(|\frac{z}{A} - \frac{\omega}{A}|)^{n-1}} \, dz\right) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A^{-n} H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(A^{-1}|z-\omega|)^{n-1}} \, dz\right) d\omega \end{split}$$

Thus, by Fatou's lemma

$$\lim_{A \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|A^{\frac{n}{p}} f(Ax)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} dx\right) dy$$

$$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{A \to \infty} A^{-n} H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(A^{-1}|z-\omega|)^{n-1}} dz\right) d\omega$$

Let f(x)=1 when $x\in B(0,2)$ and let f(x)=0 otherwise. Hence, by substituting

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\log^{\beta}(e+t^{-1})}$$

we obtain that for every $\omega \in B(0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-n}H&\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(A^{-1}|z-\omega|)^{n-1}}\,dz\right)\\ &=A^{-n}H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{|f(z)|\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A/|z-\omega|)}{A^{-\alpha(n-1)}|z-\omega|^{\alpha(n-1)}}\,dz\right)\\ &\geq A^{-n}H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n+\alpha(n-1)}\int_{B(\omega,\frac{1}{2})}\frac{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)}{|z-\omega|^{\alpha(n-1)}}\,dz\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{B(\omega,\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{|z-\omega|^{\alpha(n-1)}} dz \ge C > 0$ for every $\omega \in B(0,1)$ and H is an increasing function, we may estimate

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} A^{-n} H\left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(A^{-1}|z-\omega|)^{n-1}} dz\right)$$

$$\geq \lim_{A\to\infty} A^{-n} H\left(CA^{\frac{n}{p}-n+\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)\right).$$

By substituting H,

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\log^{\beta(n-1)-\delta}(m+t)}\right)^{\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}+\varepsilon}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lim_{A \to \infty} A^{-n} H \left(A^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{\varphi(A^{-1}|z-\omega|)^{n-1}} \, dz \right) \\ &= \lim_{A \to \infty} A^{-n} \left(\frac{CA^{\frac{n}{p}-n+\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)}{\log^{\beta(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)} \right)^{\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}+\varepsilon} \\ &= \lim_{A \to \infty} CA^{\varepsilon(\frac{n}{p}-n+\alpha(n-1))} \left(\frac{\log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)}{\log^{\beta(n-1)-\delta} \left(CA^{\frac{n}{p}-n+\alpha(n-1)} \log^{\beta(n-1)}(e+A)\right)} \right)^{\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}+\varepsilon} \end{split}$$

Note that $1 \leq p < n/(n - \alpha(n - 1))$ implies that $\frac{n}{p} - n + \alpha(n - 1) > 0$. If $\varepsilon > 0$, then $A^{\varepsilon(\frac{n}{p} - n + \alpha(n-1))} \to \infty$ as $A \to \infty$, and thus the last limit is infinite for every $\omega \in B(0, 1)$. If $\delta > 0$ (and $\beta \geq 0$), then the term in the brackets tends to infinity as $A \to \infty$, and thus the last limit is infinite for every $\omega \in B(0, 1)$. Hence, in both cases we obtain

$$\lim_{A \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|A^{\frac{n}{p}} f(Ax)|}{\varphi(|x-y|)^{n-1}} \, dx \right) dy = \infty$$

and the claim follows.

7.6. *Remark.* By Theorem 7.5 the exponents $\frac{np}{\alpha p(n-1)+n(1-p)}$ and $\beta(n-1)$ in Corollary 6.1 are the best possible in the sense that our method based on the use of the modified Riesz potential cannot give a better exponent.

References

- R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces* Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, 140. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] A. Cianchi, A sharp embedding theorem for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 45 (1996), 39–65.
- [3] A. Cianchi, Strong and weak type inequalities for some classical operators in Orlicz spaces, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999), 187–202.
- [4] A. Cianchi and B. Stroffolini, An extension of Hedberg's convolution inequality and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 227 (1998), 166–186.
- [5] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [6] D. J. H. Garling, *Inequalities, A Journey into Linear Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [7] P. Hajłasz, Sobolev inequalities, truncation method, and John domains, Papers on Analysis: A volume dedicated to Olli Martio on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Edited by J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and P. Koskela, Report Univ. Jyväskylä, 83, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2001, pp. 109–126.

- [8] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, Isoperimetric inequalities and imbedding theorems in irregular domains, J. London Math. Soc. (2)58 (1998), no. 2, 425–450.
- [9] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincaré, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2000), no. 688, x+101 pp.
- [10] P. Harjulehto and R. Hurri-Syrjänen, An embedding into an Orlicz space for L_1^1 -functions from irregular domains, preprint.
- P. Harjulehto, R. Hurri-Syrjänen, and J. Kapulainen, An embedding into an Orlicz space for irregular John domains, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, F. W. Gehring Memorial Volume, online March 6, 2014, DOI 10.1007/s40315-014-0053-3.
- [12] P. Harjulehto, R. Hurri-Syrjänen, and A. V. Vähäkangas, On the (1, p)-Poincaré inequality, Illinois J. Math. 56 (2012), 905–930.
- [13] L. I. Hedberg, On certain convolution inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 505–510.
- [14] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Maly, Sobolev inequalities on sets with irregular boundaries, Z. Anal. Angew. 19 (2000), 369–380.
- [15] V. Kokilashvili and M. Krbec, Weighted Inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz Spaces. World Scientific. Singapore, 1991.
- [16] V. Maz'ya, Sobolev Spaces with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, 2nd revised and augmented Edition, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, 342, Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2011.
- [17] V. Maz'ya and S. Poborchi, *Differentiable Functions on Bad Domains*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.
- [18] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality of N. Trudinger's inequality, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 11 (1971), 1077–1092.
- [19] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, Trudinger's inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces, Bull. Sci. Math. 138 (2014), 225–235.
- [20] R. O'Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 300–328.
- [21] J. Peetre, Espaces d'interpolation et théorème de Soboleff, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16 (1966), 279–317.
- [22] S. I. Pohozhaev, On the imbedding Sobolev theorem for pl=n, Doklady Conference, Section Math. Moscow Power Inst. (1965), 158–170 (in Russian).
- [23] Yu. G. Reshetnyak, Integral representations of differentiable functions in domains with nonsmooth boundary (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 21(1980), 108– 116; translation in Sib. Math. J.21 (1981), 833–839.
- [24] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [25] R. S. Strichartz, A note on Trudinger's extension of Sobolev's inequality, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972), 841–842.
- [26] A. Torchinsky, Interpolation of operators and Orlicz classes, Studia Math. 59 (1976), 177–207.
- [27] N. S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 473–483.
- [28] V. I. Yudovich, On some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 138 (1961), 805–808; translation in Sov. Math. Dokl. 2 (1961), 746–749.

(Petteri Harjulehto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FI-20014 UNIVERSITY OF TURKU, FINLAND *E-mail address*: petteri.harjulehto@utu.fi

 (Ritva Hurri-Syrjänen) Department of Mathematics and Statistics, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: ritva.hurri-syrjanen@helsinki.fi