The First and Second Most Symmetric Nonsingular Cubic Surfaces

H. Kaneta, S. Marcugini and F.Pambianco

February 29, 2024

Abstract

The first and second most symmetric nonsingular cubic surfaces are $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + t^3 = 0$ and $x^2y + y^2z + z^2t + t^2x = 0$, respectively.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper k stands for an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let C_d be a nonsingular curve of degree $d \geq 4$ in the two-dimensional projective space. As is well known, its automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(C_d)$ is a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}_3(k)$ such that $|\operatorname{Aut}(C_d)| \leq 42d(d-3)$. In fact the maximum value β_d of $|\operatorname{Aut}(C_d)|$ is equal to 168, 360 or $6d^2$, and attained by the Klein quartic curve, the Wiman sextic curve or the Fermat curve according as d=4, d=6 or $d \notin \{4,6\}[5]$. Moreover the Klein quartic and the Wiman sextic give rise to highly symmetric MDS codes [2].

Let S_d be a nonsingular surface of degree $d \geq 3$ in the three-dimensional projective space. Its automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(S_d)$ is a finite subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ if $d \neq 4$, can be an infinite group if d = 4 [4]. Highly symmetric surfaces may be both of theoretical and of practical interest. Hosoh has completed the classification of the automorphism groups of nonsingular cubic surfaces [1]. According to his classification the maximum and the second maximum of $|\operatorname{Aut}(S_3)|$ is attained by the semidirect product $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3 \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$ and \mathbf{S}_5 , respectively. It may happen that $|\operatorname{Paut}(S_d)|$, the order of the projective automorphism group of S_d , has the finite upper bound for every $d \geq 3$. In this paper we will specify S_3 such that $\operatorname{Aut}(S_3)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3 \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$ or \mathbf{S}_5 .

 $M_{m,n}(k)$ stands for the set of all $m \times n$ matrices of entries in k. By definition $M_n(k) = M_{n,n}(k)$, $GL_n(k) = \{A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(k) : \det A \neq 0\}$, and $PGL_n(k) = GL_n(k)/(E_n)$, where (E_n) is the subgroup $\{\lambda E_n : \lambda \in k^*\}$ $(E_n$ is the unit matrix in $GL_n(k)$. The coset $A(E_n)$ containing an $A \in GL_n(k)$ will be denoted (A). We denote by k[x] the k-algebra of polynomials in $x = [x_1, ..., x_n]$ over k. For an $A \in GL_n(k)$ and $f \in k[x]$ we define a polynomial $f_A \in k[x]$ to be $f_A(x) = f(\sum \alpha_{1j}x_j, ..., \sum \alpha_{nj}x_j)$, where $A^{-1} = [\alpha_{ij}]$. As is well known, the map $T_A : k[x] \to k[x]$ assigning f_A to f is a k-algebra isomorphism of k[x] such that $T_AT_B = T_{AB}$, that is, $(f_B)_A = f_{AB}$. \mathbf{S}_n denotes the symmetric group, the group of all permutations of n elements.

A homogeneous polynomial f of degree $d \ge 1$ defines a projective algebraic set $V(f) = \{(a) \in P^{n-1} : f(a) = 0\}$ of an (n-1)-dimensional projective space P^{n-1} over k. V(f) is called a hypersurface of degree d. If n = 4, d = 3, and V(f) is nonsingular, the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(V(f))$ of the hypersurface V(f) is a finite subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ consisting of $A \in PGL_4(k)$ such that $A \in F[4]$. Let $A = [a_1, ..., a_n] \in k^n$, $A \in F[4]$ and $A \in GL_n(k)$. Then $A \in F[4]$ is a singular point of $A \in F[4]$ is a nonsingular point of $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$ is the tangent plane to $A \in F[4]$ at $A \in F[4]$

 $\gamma_i = f_{x_i}(a)$. Clearly $(A): V(f) \to V(f_A)$ is a bijection, and if b = Aa with $(a) \in V(f)$, then $(f_A)_{x_j}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_{x_i}(a)\alpha_{ij}$, where $A^{-1} = [\alpha_{ij}]$. Consequently (b) = (A)(a) is a nonsingular point of $V(f_A)$ if and only if (a) is a nonsingular point of V(f), and the tangent plane of $V(f_A)$ at (b) coincides with $(A)V(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i x_i)$. In particular if (a) is a nonsingular point of V(f), $f_A \sim f$ and (A)(a) = (a), then $[f_{x_1}(a), ..., f_{x_n}(a)]A \sim [f_{x_1}(a), ..., f_{x_n}(a)]$. In §1 it will be shown that the Fermat surface $S = V(x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + t^3)$ is the unique

In §1 it will be shown that the Fermat surface $S = V(x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + t^3)$ is the unique cubic nonsingular surface, up to projective equivalence, such that $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3 \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$. In §2 it will be shown that a surface $S' = V(x^2t + y^2z + z^2t + t^2x)$ is the unique cubic nonsingular surface such that $\operatorname{Aut}(S')$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{S}_5 .

2 $\mathbb{Z}_3^3 \times_s \mathbb{S}_4$ -invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces

Let $\omega \in k^*$ be of order three. Any subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3 is conjugate to $\langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]) \rangle$ or $\langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]) \rangle$. It can be verified easily that $X = [x_{ij}] \in GL_4(k)$ satisfies $\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]X \sim X\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]$ (resp. $\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]X \sim X\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]$) if and only if $x_{1i} = x_{i1} = 0$ for all $i \in [2, 4]$ (resp. $x_{1i} = x_{i1} = x_{2j} = x_{j2} = 0$ for all $i \in [2, 4]$ and all $j \in [3, 4]$). Consequently a subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$ is conjugate to $\langle (A), (B) \rangle$, where $\operatorname{ord}(A) = \operatorname{ord}(B) = 3$ and $(B^j) \in \langle (A) \rangle$ if and only if $j \in 3\mathbb{Z}$. We may assume that $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]$ or $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]$ and that $B = \operatorname{diag}[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]$. Assume first that $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]$. If $|\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}| = 3$, then we may assume that B is equal to one of $S - \{A\}$, where

$$S = \{ \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, \omega^2], \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, \omega^2], \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2, 1], \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, \omega^2], \\ \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, \omega^2, 1], \operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1] \},$$

Unless $B = \text{diag}[1, 1, \omega, \omega^2]$, there exist integers i and j such that $(A^i B^j)$ is equal to $(\text{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1])$ or $(\text{diag}[1, 1, \omega, \omega])$. So (A, B) is conjugate to

$$\langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, \omega^2]) \rangle$$
 or $\langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1]) \rangle$,

provided $|\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}| = 3$. Clearly $\langle (A), (B) \rangle$ is conjugate to

$$\langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1) \rangle \text{ or } \langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1]) \rangle,$$

provided $|\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}| = 2$. Assume secondly that $A = \text{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]$. According as $|\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}|$ is two or three, we may assume B is equal to one of $T - \{A\}$ or S, where

$$T = \{ \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1], \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, 1], \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1], \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, 1, \omega] \}.$$

Thus a subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^2$ is conjugate to one of

$$\begin{split} &\langle (\mathrm{diag}[\omega,\omega^2,1,1]), (\mathrm{diag}[1,1,\omega,\omega^2)] \rangle, \\ &\langle (\mathrm{diag}[\omega,\omega^2,1,1]), (\mathrm{diag}[1,1,\omega,1]) \rangle, \\ &\langle (\mathrm{diag}[\omega,1,1,]), (\mathrm{diag}[1,\omega,1,1]) \rangle. \end{split}$$

Even though the following lemma may be known, we shall give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. A subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3$ is conjugate to

$$G_{27} = \langle (\operatorname{diag}[\omega,1,1,1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1,\omega,1,1]), (\operatorname{diag}[1,1,\omega,1]) \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $G = \langle (A), (B), (C) \rangle$ be a subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3$. Since $\langle (A), (B) \rangle$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^2$, we may assume one of the following three cases: 1) $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]$, $B = \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, \omega^2]$. 2) $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]$, $B = \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1]$. 3) $A = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1]$, $B = \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, 1]$. In any case we may assume $C = \operatorname{diag}[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] \neq E_4$ with $c_i^3 = 1$ but $(C) \notin \langle (A), (B) \rangle$. Let $m = |\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}|$. Assume the case 1). If m = 2, then $G = G_{27}$. If m = 3, then we may assume C is equal to one of $S - \{A, B\}$ so that G contains one of T, hence $G = G_{27}$. Assume the case 2). If m = 2, then we may assume C is one of $S - \{\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, \omega^2], \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, \omega^2], A\}$, hence $G = G_{27}$. Note that $(\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, \omega^2])$, $(\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, \omega^2]) \in \langle (A), (B) \rangle$. Assume the case 3). If m = 2, then we may assume C is one of $T - \{A, B\}$, hence $G = G_{27}$. If m = 3, then we may assume C is one of $S - \{\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]\}$, hence $G = G_{27}$. If m = 3, then we may assume C is one of $S - \{\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]\}$, hence $G = G_{27}$. If m = 3, then we may assume C is one of $S - \{\operatorname{diag}[\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1]\}$, hence $G = G_{27}$.

The canonical group representation $\hat{}: \mathbf{S}_4 \to GL_4(k)$ of \mathbf{S}_4 is the one such that $\hat{\sigma}x = y$ with $y_i = x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$ for any column vector $x \in k^4$. Clearly this representation is an isomorphism. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, $\delta \in k^*$ be of order r,

$$D(r) = \{ \operatorname{diag}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4] \in GL_4(k) : \lambda_1^r = \lambda_2^r = \lambda_3^r = \lambda_4^r \}.$$

The factor group $(D(r)) = D(r)/k^*E_4$ is isomorphic to $\{\operatorname{diag}[\delta^i, \delta^j, \delta^\ell, 1] : i, j, \ell \in [0, r-1]\}$ which is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_r)^3$. A map $\varphi_{\sigma} : (D(r)) \to (D(r))$ defined by $\varphi_{\sigma}((A)) = (\hat{\sigma}A\hat{\sigma}^{-1})$ is a group automorphism such that $\varphi_{\sigma\tau} = \varphi_{\sigma} \circ \varphi_{\tau}$. The factor group $D(r)\hat{\mathbf{S}}_4/k^*E_4$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $(D(r)) \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$ such that $((A), \sigma)((A'), \sigma') = ((A)\varphi_{\sigma}(A'), \sigma\sigma')$. Clearly $(D(r)) \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}_r)^3 \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$. We note that $\hat{\sigma}\operatorname{diag}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]\hat{\sigma}^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]$, where $b_i = a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. We may skip the proof of the following lemma [6].

Lemma 2.2. If $r \geq 3$, then the projective automorphism group of the surface $V(x^r + y^r + z^r + t^r)$ is $D(r)\hat{\mathbf{S}}_4/k^*E_4$, which can be identified with $(D(r)) \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$.

Lemma 2.3. Any $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3$ -invariant nonsingular cubic surface is projectively equivalent to $V(x^3+y^3+z^3+t^3)$. In particular any $(\mathbf{Z}_3)^3 \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$ -invariant nonsingular cubic surface is projectively equivalent to $V(x^3+y^3+z^3+t^3)$, and its automorphism group is conjugate to $(D(3)) \times_s \mathbf{S}_4$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 it suffices to show that any G_{27} -invariant nonsingular cubic surface is $V(ax^3+by^3+cz^3+dt^3)$, where a, b, c and d are nonzero constants. Let V(f) be a G_{27} -invariant nonsingular cubic surface, where the homogeneous polynomial f(x, y, z, t) of degree three has the form

$$a_1x^3 + a_2y^3 + a_3z^3 + a_4t^3 +x^2(b_{12}y + b_{13}z + b_{14}t) + y^2(b_{21}x + b_{23}z + b_{24}t) + z^2(b_{31}x + b_{32}y + b_{34}t) +t^2(b_{41}x + b_{42}y + b_{43}z) + c_1yzt + c_2xzt + c_3xyt + c_4xyz.$$

Let

$$A_1 = \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1, 1, 1], \ A_2 = \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1, 1], \ A_3 = \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \omega, 1].$$

It is evident that $\operatorname{ord}(A_i) = 3$. Since G_{27} contains (A_i) $(i \in [1,4])$, $f_{A_i^{-1}}$ is equal to one of $\{f, \omega f, \omega^2 f\}$. If $f_{A_1^{-1}}$ is equal to ωf or $\omega^2 f$, then f is divisible by x, hence V(f) is singular. Assume $f_{A_i^{-1}} = f$. Then

$$f(x, y, z, t) = a_1 x^3 + a_2 y^3 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 t^3 + y^2 (b_{23} z + b_{24} t) + z^2 (b_{32} y + b_{34} t) + t^2 (b_{42} y + b_{43} z) + c_1 y z t.$$

Unless $f_{A_2^{-1}} = f$, V(f) is singular. Therefore $f(x,y,z,t) = a_1 x^3 + a_2 y^3 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 t^3 + b_{34} z^2 t + b_{43} t^2 z$. Unless $f_{A_3^{-1}} = f$, V(f) is singular. Thus $f(x,y,z,t) = a_1 x^3 + a_2 y^3 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 t^3$. Now V(f) is nonsingular if and only if $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \neq 0$.

3 S₅-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces

A subgroup of $PGL_4(k)$ isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathbf{S}_5 is one of three groups $C_5!I$, $C_5!II$, $C_5!II$, $C_5!II$ up to conjugacy [3]. We denote these groups by G(1), G(2) and G(3), respectively. There exist group isomorphisms $\varphi_i: \mathbf{S}_5 \to G(i)$ such that $(E_1) = \varphi_i((123))$, $(E_2) = \varphi_i((12)(34))$, $(E_3) = \varphi_i((12)(45))$ and $(F) = \varphi_i((12))$ generate G(i) $(i \in [1,3])$ (cf [7, (2.14) in chap.3]). Note that (123) = (12)(23). Let $\omega = \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. Then $G(1) = \langle (E_1), (E_2), (E_3), (F) \rangle$, where

$$E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2} \end{bmatrix}, E_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}, E_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1. The G(1)-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is V(f), where

$$f(x, y, z, t) = 3\sqrt{15}x^3 + 10(y^3 + z^3 + t^3) - 3\sqrt{15}xy^2 - 6(\sqrt{15}x + 5y)zt.$$

Moreover, Aut(V(f)) = G(1).

Proof. Let $F'=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+i}F$. Assume that V(f) is a G(1)-invariant nonsingular cubic surface, where f(x,y,z,t) is a cubic homogeneous polynomial of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3. Since $E_1^3=E_4$, $f_{E_1^{-1}}=\omega^i f$ for some $i\in[0,2]$. If i=1, then $f(x,y,z,t)=b_{13}x^2z+b_{23}y^2z+b_{34}z^2t+t^2(b_{41}x+b_{42}y)+c_4xyz$. Since $f_{F'^{-1}}\sim f$, we see $b_{13}=b_{23}=0$, hence V(f) is singular at (1,0,0,0). Similarly $i\neq 2$, namely i=0, so that $f(x,y,z,t)=a_1x^3+a_2y^3+a_3z^3+a_4t^3+b_1x^2y+b_2y^2x+c_1yzt+c_2xzt$. We have $a_3a_4\neq 0$, for V(f) is nonsingular. If $a_1=a_2=0$, the condition $f_{E_3^{-1}}\sim f$ yields $b_1=b_2=0$, hence V(f) is singular. Thus $[a_1,a_2]\neq [0,0]$. Therefore $f_{F'^{-1}}=f$, hence $a_3=a_4$. Now $f_{E_3^{-1}}=f$, and $f_{E_3^{-1}}=-f$ does not hold. The condition $f_{E_3^{-1}}=f$ is equivalent to $c_2=\sqrt{15}c_1/5$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} -65 & 15\sqrt{15} & \sqrt{15} & -15\\ 15\sqrt{15} & -63 & 15 & \sqrt{15}\\ 3\sqrt{15} & 45 & -93 & 13\sqrt{15}\\ -45 & 3\sqrt{15} & 13\sqrt{15} & -35 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1\\ a_2\\ b_1\\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The general $[a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2]$ satisfying the second condition above is $\lambda[3\sqrt{15},10,0,-3\sqrt{15}]$, for the rank of the above matrix is equal to three. Consequently we may assume $f(x,y,z,t)=3\sqrt{15}x^3+10y^3+a_3(z^3+t^3)-3\sqrt{15}xy^2+c_1(yzt+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{5}xzt)$. Now the condition $f_{E_3^{-1}}\sim f$ implies $f_{E_3^{-1}}=f$. Using the formula $(a+b+c)^3=a^3+b^3+c^3+3a^2(b+c)+3b^2(a+c)+a$

 $3c^2(a+b)+6abc,$ we can write $f_{E_3^{-1}}(x,y,z,t)$ as

$$\begin{split} &3\sqrt{15}x^3 + (-\frac{10}{27} + \frac{16}{27}a_3 - \frac{4}{27}c_1)y^3 + (\frac{80}{27} + \frac{7}{27}a_3 - \frac{4}{27}c_1)z^3 + (\frac{80}{27} + \frac{7}{27}a_3 - \frac{4}{27}c_1)t^3 \\ &+ y^2\{(-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{15}}{45}c_1)x + (\frac{60}{27} + \frac{12}{27}a_3 + \frac{6}{27}c_1)(z+t)\} \\ &+ z^2\{(-\frac{4\sqrt{15}}{3} - \frac{2\sqrt{15}}{45}c_1)x + (-\frac{120}{27} + \frac{30}{27}a_3 + \frac{6}{27}c_1)y + (\frac{240}{27} - \frac{6}{27}a_3 + \frac{6}{27}c_1)t\} \\ &+ t^2\{(-\frac{4\sqrt{15}}{3} - \frac{2\sqrt{15}}{45}c_1)x + (-\frac{120}{27} + \frac{30}{27}a_3 + \frac{6}{27}c_1)y + (\frac{240}{27} - \frac{6}{27}a_3 + \frac{6}{27}c_1)z\} \\ &+ (-\frac{240}{27} - \frac{48}{27}a_3 + \frac{3}{27}c_1)yzt + (-\frac{8\sqrt{15}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{9}c_1)xzt \\ &+ (\frac{4\sqrt{15}}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{15}}{45}c_1)xyt + (\frac{4\sqrt{15}}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{15}}{45}c_1)xyz. \end{split}$$

Now $a_3 = 10$, c = -30 and $f_{E_3^{-1}} = f$ holds, as desired. We can show that V(f) is nonsingular.

Let
$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4} + i\frac{\sqrt{10+2\sqrt{5}}}{4}$$
, hence $\operatorname{ord}(\varepsilon) = 5$, $\alpha = \frac{-\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, $\beta = \alpha^2$, $\gamma = -\alpha$, and let

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^3 \end{bmatrix}, \ I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma & 1 \\ \beta & \gamma & 1 & \alpha \\ \gamma & 1 & \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.2. (H) and (I) generate in $PGL_4(k)$ a group G(1)' conjugate to G(1). The G(1)'-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is $V(x^2y+y^2z+z^2t+t^2x)$. Moreover $Aut(V(x^2y+y^2z+z^2t+t^2x))=G(1)'$.

Proof. The transpositions $(i \ i+1) \ (i \in [1,4])$ generate \mathbf{S}_5 , while $(12345)(j \ j+1)(12345)^{-1} = (j+1 \ j+2) \ (j \in [1,3])$. Therefore (12345) and (12) generate \mathbf{S}_5 . Clearly (123)(34)(45) = (12345). In view of the group isomorphism $\varphi_1 : S_5 \to G(1)$, $\varphi_1((12345)) = (E_1FE_2FE_3)$ and $\varphi_1((12)) = (F)$. Let

$$K = E_1 F E_2 F E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3}\\ \frac{\sqrt{15}\omega}{6} & \frac{\omega}{6} & \frac{2\omega}{3} & -\frac{\omega}{3}\\ \frac{\sqrt{15}\omega^2}{6} & \frac{\omega^2}{6} & -\frac{\omega^2}{3} & \frac{2\omega^2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$T^{-1}FT = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, T^{-1}KT = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & -i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} & -i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\det(T^{-1}KT - \lambda E_4) = \lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = \prod_{i=1}^4 (\lambda - \varepsilon^i).$$

If λ is an eigenvalue of $T^{-1}KT$ and $x \in k^4$ satisfies $(T^{-1}KT - \lambda E_4)x = 0$, then

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] = x_1[1, (1+4\lambda)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15}, (1+\lambda+3\lambda^2)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15}, i(-\lambda^4+\lambda^3)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}].$$

So $S^{-1}T^{-1}KTS = \operatorname{diag}[\varepsilon^4, \varepsilon^2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3]$, where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ (1+4\varepsilon^4)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+4\varepsilon^2)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+4\varepsilon)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+4\varepsilon^3)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} \\ (1+\varepsilon^4+3\varepsilon^3)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+\varepsilon^2+3\varepsilon^4)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+\varepsilon+3\varepsilon^2)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+\varepsilon^3+3\varepsilon)\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} \\ i(-\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i(-\varepsilon^3+\varepsilon)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i(-\varepsilon^4+\varepsilon^3)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i(-\varepsilon^2+\varepsilon^4)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

so that det $S = -i\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{25}\varepsilon^3(\varepsilon-1)^3(3\varepsilon^3+6\varepsilon^2+4\varepsilon+2)$. We denote the (i,j)-cofactor of $S = [s_{ij}]$ by \tilde{s}_{ij} . Note that $S^{-1}\mathrm{diag}[1,1,1,-1]S = E_4+S^{-1}\mathrm{diag}[0,0,0,-2]S$ and that the i-th row of $S^{-1}\mathrm{diag}[0,0,0,-2]S$ is equal to $-2\tilde{s}_{4i}/\det S\times(4$ -th row of S). Denote $TS\mathrm{diag}[1,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^3,\varepsilon]$ by S'. By computation we get the components of $(3\varepsilon^3+6\varepsilon^2+4\varepsilon+2)S'^{-1}FTS'$ as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1 & 2\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^3 + 2 & -\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 & \varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon \\ 2\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^3 + 2 & -\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 & \varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon & 2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1 \\ -\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 & \varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon & 2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1 & 2\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^3 + 2 \\ \varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon & 2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1 & 2\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^3 + 2 & -\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since $(2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1)^{-1} = (7\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon + 8)/5$, we can verify

$$(2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1)^{-1}(2\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^3 + 2) = \varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon^2 + 1 = \alpha,$$

$$(2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1)^{-1}(-\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2) = \varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon^2 + 2 = \alpha^2,$$

$$(2\varepsilon^3 + 4\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1)^{-1}(\varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon^2 - 1 = -\alpha.$$

We have shown that $S'^{-1}KS' = H$ and $(S'^{-1}FS') = (I)$.

Finally we shall show that a cubic homogeneous polynomial f(x,y,z,t) of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3 such that $f_{H^{-1}} \sim f$, $f_{I^{-1}} \sim f$ and that V(f) is nonsingular is proportional to $x^2y + y^2z + z^2t + t^2x$. Since $H^5 = E_4$, $f_H^{-1} = \varepsilon^i f$ for some $i \in [0,4]$. If i=1, then $f(x,y,z,t) = a_2y^3 + b_{14}x^2t + b_31z^3x + c_1yzt$, hence V(f) is singular at (0,0,0,1). Similarly, unless i=0, V(f) has a singular point. If i=0, then $f(x,y,z,t) = b_1x^2y + b_2y^2z + b_3z^2t + b_4t^2x$. Denoting the coefficients of x^3 , y^3 , z^3 and t^3 in $f_{I^{-1}}$ by b_1' , b_2' , b_3' and b_4' , respectively, and noting $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$, we obtain

$$b' = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^3 & -\alpha^4 & \alpha \\ \alpha^3 & -\alpha^4 & \alpha & 1 \\ -\alpha^4 & \alpha & 1 & \alpha^3 \\ \alpha & 1 & \alpha^3 & -\alpha^4 \end{bmatrix} b.$$

Since b'=0 and the rank of the matrix involved is equal to three, it follows that $b_1=b_2=b_3=b_4\neq 0$. Now let $f=x^2y+y^2z+z^2t+t^2x$. In order to see $f_{I^{-1}}=5(4\alpha+3)f$, we note that denoting $(x+\alpha y+\beta z+\gamma t)^2(x+\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z+t)$ by g(x,y,z,t), we have $f_{I^{-1}}(x,y,z,t)=g(x,y,z,t)+g(t,x,y,z)+g(t,x,y)+g(t,x,y)+g(t,x,y)$.

The group G(2) is generated by (E_1) , (E_2) , (E_3) and (F), where

$$E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2} \end{bmatrix}, E_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, E_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.3. There exist no G(2)-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces.

Proof. Let f(x, y, z, t) be a G(2)-invariant cubic homogeneous polynomial of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3. Since $F^4 = E_4$, $f_{F^{-1}} = i^j f$ for some $j \in [0, 3]$. If j = 0 or j = 2, then f = 0. If j = 1, then f(x, y, z, t) has the form

$$a_{1}(x^{3} - iy^{3}) + a_{3}(z^{3} - it^{4})$$

$$+x^{2}(b_{12}y + b_{13}z + b_{14}t) + y^{2}(ib_{12}x + ib_{14}z - ib_{13}t)$$

$$+z^{2}(b_{31}x + b_{32}y + b_{34}t) + t^{2}(ib_{32}x - ib_{31}y + ib_{34}z)$$

$$+c_{1}yzt - ic_{1}xzt + c_{3}xyt - ic_{3}xyz.$$

Since $E_3^2=E_4$, $f_{E_3^{-1}}=\pm f$. The coefficients of t^3 , t^2z , t^2x and t^2y of $f_{E_3^{-1}}$ (resp. $\pm f$) are

$$a_3, b_{34}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b_{31} + \frac{1}{2}b_{32}, \frac{1}{2}b_{31} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b_{32} \text{ (resp. } \mp ia_3, \pm ib_{34}, \pm ib_{32}, \mp ib_{31}),$$

hence $a_3 = b_{34} = b_{31} = b_{32} = 0$. Consequently V(f) is singular at (0, 0, 0, 1).

Let $\nu_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} + i\sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}$ and $\nu_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} - i\sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}$ The group G(3) is generated by (E_1) , (E_2) , (E_3) and (F), where

$$E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2} \end{bmatrix}, E_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & -1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, E_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \nu_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.4. There exist no G(3)-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces. More precisely, there exist no G(3)-invariant cubic forms except zero.

Proof. Let $E_1' = \omega^{-1}E_1$ and f(x, y, z, t) a G(3)-invariant cubic form. If $f_{E_1'^{-1}} = \omega f$, then f has the form

$$x^{2}(b_{13}z + b_{14}t) + y^{2}(b_{23}z + b_{24}t) + c_{3}xyt + c_{4}xyz,$$

hence the condition $f_{F^{-1}} \sim f$ implies f = 0. Similarly, if $f_{E_1'^{-1}} = \omega^2 f$, then f = 0. Now assume $f_{E_1'^{-1}} = f$, so that $f(x, y, z, t) = a_1 x^3 + a_2 y^3 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 t^3 + b_1 x^2 y + b_2 y^2 x + b_3 z^2 t + b_4 t^2 z$. Since $f_{F^{-1}} = i^j f$ for some $j \in [0, 3]$, we obtain

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} i^j & 1 \\ -1 & i^j \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_4 \end{array}\right] = 0, \ \left[\begin{array}{cc} i^j & -1 \\ 1 & i^j \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} a_2 \\ a_3 \end{array}\right] = 0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} i^j & -1 \\ 1 & i^j \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} b_1 \\ b_4 \end{array}\right] = 0, \ \left[\begin{array}{cc} i^j & 1 \\ -1 & i^j \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} b_2 \\ b_3 \end{array}\right] = 0.$$

Therefore, unless j=1 or j=3, f(x,y,z,t)=0. Even if j=1 or j=3, the condition $f_{E_3^{-1}} \sim f$ implies f=0, because $\{i,-i\} \cap \{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_1^3,\nu_2^3\} = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.5. Let $G = \operatorname{Aut}(V(x^2y + y^2z + z^2t + t^2x))$, Σ the field automorphism of $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\varepsilon^{\Sigma} = \varepsilon^2$.

(1) Denoting by e_i be the i-th column of the unit matrix E_4 , let $J = [e_2, e_3, e_4, e_1]$. Then

 $(J) \in G = G(1)'$.

(2) Define $I' \in GL_4(k)$ as I, using $\alpha' = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, $\beta' = {\alpha'}^2$ and $\gamma' = -\alpha'$ in place of α , β and γ , respectively. Then $H^{\Sigma} = H^2$ and $I^{\Sigma} = I'$, for $\sqrt{5}^{\Sigma} = -\sqrt{5}$. On the other hand $JHJ^{-1} = H^2$ and $JIJ^{-1} = \beta I'$. Consequently there exists uniquely a group isomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{S}_5 \to PGL_4(k)$ such that $\psi((12345)) = (H^2)$ and $\psi((12)) = (I')$. The projective representations of \mathbf{S}_5 ψ and φ_1 are equivalent.

References

- [1] Hosoh T.: Automorphism groups of cubic surfaces, J. Algebra 192, 651–677 (1997).
- [2] Kaneta, H., Marcugini, S. and Pambianco, F.: On arcs and curves with many automorphisms, Mediterr. J. Math. 2, 71–102 (2005).
- [3] Maschke H.: Bestimmung aller ternären und quaternären Collineationsgruppe, welche mit symmetrischen und alternierenden Buchstabenvertauschungsgruppen holoedrisch isomorph sind, Math. Ann. **51**, 253–298 (1899).
- [4] Matsumura H. and Monsky P.: On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces, J. Maht. Kyoto Univ. **3**-3, 347–361 (1964).
- [5] Pambianco F.: Characterization of the Fermat curve as the most symmetric nonsingular plane curve, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 2014, DOI 10.1007/s00209-014-1288-4.
- [6] Shioda, T.: Arithmetic and geometry of the Fermat curve, Algebraic Seminar (Singapore 1987), World Sci. Publications, Singapore (1988).
- [7] Suzuki M.: Group Theory I, Springer 1982.