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#### Abstract

The first and second most symmetric nonsingular cubic surfaces are $x^{3}+y^{3}+$ $z^{3}+t^{3}=0$ and $x^{2} y+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x=0$, respectively.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper $k$ stands for an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $C_{d}$ be a nonsingular curve of degree $d \geq 4$ in the two-dimensional projective space. As is well known, its automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{d}\right)$ is a finite subgroup of $P G L_{3}(k)$ such that $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{d}\right)\right| \leq 42 d(d-3)$. In fact the maximum value $\beta_{d}$ of $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{d}\right)\right|$ is equal to 168,360 or $6 d^{2}$, and attained by the Klein quartic curve, the Wiman sextic curve or the Fermat curve according as $d=4, d=6$ or $d \notin\{4,6\}[5]$. Moreover the Klein quartic and the Wiman sextic give rise to highly symmetric MDS codes [2].

Let $S_{d}$ be a nonsingular surface of degree $d \geq 3$ in the three-dimensional projective space. Its automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{d}\right)$ is a finite subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ if $d \neq 4$, can be an infinite group if $d=4$ [4]. Highly symmetric surfaces may be both of theoretical and of practical interest. Hosoh has completed the classification of the automorphism groups of nonsingular cubic surfaces [1]. According to his classification the maximum and the second maximum of $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{3}\right)\right|$ is attained by the semidirect product $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3} \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{5}$, respectively. It may happen that $\left|\operatorname{Paut}\left(S_{d}\right)\right|$, the order of the projective automorphism group of $S_{d}$, has the finite upper bound for every $d \geq 3$. In this paper we will specify $S_{3}$ such that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{3}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3} \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{5}$.
$M_{m, n}(k)$ stands for the set of all $m \times n$ matrices of entries in $k$. By definition $M_{n}(k)=$ $M_{n, n}(k), G L_{n}(k)=\left\{A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in M_{n}(k): \operatorname{det} A \neq 0\right\}$, and $P G L_{n}(k)=G L_{n}(k) /\left(E_{n}\right)$, where $\left(E_{n}\right)$ is the subgroup $\left\{\lambda E_{n}: \lambda \in k^{*}\right\}\left(E_{n}\right.$ is the unit matrix in $\left.G L_{n}(k)\right)$. The coset $A\left(E_{n}\right)$ containing an $A \in G L_{n}(k)$ will be denoted $(A)$. We denote by $k[x]$ the $k$-algebra of polynomials in $x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ over $k$. For an $A \in G L_{n}(k)$ and $f \in k[x]$ we define a polynomial $f_{A} \in k[x]$ to be $f_{A}(x)=f\left(\sum \alpha_{1 j} x_{j}, \ldots, \sum \alpha_{n j} x_{j}\right)$, where $A^{-1}=\left[\alpha_{i j}\right]$. As is well known, the map $T_{A}: k[x] \rightarrow k[x]$ assigning $f_{A}$ to $f$ is a $k$-algebra isomorphism of $k[x]$ such that $T_{A} T_{B}=T_{A B}$, that is, $\left(f_{B}\right)_{A}=f_{A B} . \mathbf{S}_{n}$ denotes the symmetric group, the group of all permutations of $n$ elements.

A homogeneous polynomial $f$ of degree $d \geq 1$ defines a projective algebraic set $V(f)=$ $\left\{(a) \in P^{n-1}: f(a)=0\right\}$ of an $(n-1)$-dimensional projective space $P^{n-1}$ over $k$. $V(f)$ is called a hypersurface of degree $d$. If $n=4, d=3$, and $V(f)$ is nonsingular, the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(V(f))$ of the hypersurface $V(f)$ is a finite subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ consisting of $(A) \in P G L_{4}(k)$ such that $f_{A} \sim f[4]$. Let $a=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] \in k^{n},(a) \in V(f)$, and $A \in G L_{n}(k)$. Then $(a)$ is a singular point of $V(f)$ if $f_{x_{i}}(a)=0$ for all $i$. If $(a)$ is a nonsingular point of $V(f), V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} x_{i}\right)$ is the tangent plane to $V(f)$ at $(a)$, where
$\gamma_{i}=f_{x_{i}}(a)$. Clearly $(A): V(f) \rightarrow V\left(f_{A}\right)$ is a bijection, and if $b=A a$ with $(a) \in V(f)$, then $\left(f_{A}\right)_{x_{j}}(b)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{x_{i}}(a) \alpha_{i j}$, where $A^{-1}=\left[\alpha_{i j}\right]$. Consequently $(b)=(A)(a)$ is a nonsingular point of $V\left(f_{A}\right)$ if and only if $(a)$ is a nonsingular point of $V(f)$, and the tangent plane of $V\left(f_{A}\right)$ at $(b)$ coincides with $(A) V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} x_{i}\right)$. In particular if $(a)$ is a nonsingular point of $V(f), f_{A} \sim f$ and $(A)(a)=(a)$, then $\left[f_{x_{1}}(a), \ldots, f_{x_{n}}(a)\right] A \sim\left[f_{x_{1}}(a), \ldots, f_{x_{n}}(a)\right]$.

In $\S 1$ it will be shown that the Fermat surface $S=V\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+t^{3}\right)$ is the unique cubic nonsingular surface, up to projective equivalence, such that $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3} \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$. In $\S 2$ it will be shown that a surface $S^{\prime}=V\left(x^{2} t+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x\right)$ is the unique cubic nonsingular surface such that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}_{5}$.

## $2 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{3}{ }^{3} \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces

Let $\omega \in k^{*}$ be of order three. Any subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ is conjugate to $\langle(\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1])\rangle$ or $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right)\right\rangle$. It can be verified easily that $X=\left[x_{i j}\right] \in G L_{4}(k)$ satisfies $\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1] X \sim X \operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1]$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right] X \sim X \operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right)$ if and only if $x_{1 i}=x_{i 1}=0$ for all $i \in[2,4]$ (resp. $x_{1 i}=x_{i 1}=x_{2 j}=x_{j 2}=0$ for all $i \in[2,4]$ and all $j \in[3,4]$ ). Consequently a subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ is conjugate to $\langle(A),(B)\rangle$, where $\operatorname{ord}(A)=\operatorname{ord}(B)=3$ and $\left(B^{j}\right) \in\langle(A)\rangle$ if and only if $j \in 3 \mathbf{Z}$. We may assume that $A=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]$ or $A=\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1]$ and that $B=\operatorname{diag}\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right]$. Assume first that $A=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]$. If $\left|\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}\right|=3$, then we may assume that $B$ is equal to one of $S-\{A\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S= & \left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[1,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, 1, \omega^{2}\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}, 1\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, 1,1, \omega^{2}\right],\right. \\
& \left.\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, 1, \omega^{2}, 1\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Unless $B=\operatorname{diag}\left[1,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]$, there exist integers $i$ and $j$ such that $\left(A^{i} B^{j}\right)$ is equal to $(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1])$ or $(\operatorname{diag}[1,1,1, \omega])$. So $\langle(A),(B)\rangle$ is conjugate to

$$
\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right),\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]\right)\right\rangle \text { or }\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right),(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1])\right\rangle,
$$

provided $\left|\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}\right|=3$. Clearly $\langle(A),(B)\rangle$ is conjugate to

$$
\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right),(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1)\rangle \text { or }\langle(\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1]),(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1])\rangle\right.
$$

provided $\left|\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}\right|=2$. Assume secondly that $A=\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1]$. According as $\left|\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right\}\right|$ is two or three, we may assume $B$ is equal to one of $T-\{A\}$ or $S$, where

$$
T=\{\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1], \operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1,1], \operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1], \operatorname{diag}[1,1,1, \omega]\}
$$

Thus a subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ is conjugate to one of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right),\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right),(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1])\right\rangle \\
& \quad\langle(\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,]),(\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1,1])\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Even though the following lemma may be known, we shall give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. A subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3}$ is conjugate to

$$
G_{27}=\langle(\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1]),(\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1,1]),(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1])\rangle .
$$

Proof. Let $G=\langle(A),(B),(C)\rangle$ be a subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3}$. Since $\langle(A),(B)\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$, we may assume one of the following three cases: 1) $A=$ $\left.\left.\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right], B=\operatorname{diag}\left[1,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right] .2\right) A=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right], B=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1] .3\right) A=$ $\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1], B=\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1,1]$. In any case we may assume $C=\operatorname{diag}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right] \neq E_{4}$ with $c_{i}^{3}=1$ but $(C) \notin\langle(A),(B)\rangle$. Let $m=\left|\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right\}\right|$. Assume the case 1). If $m=2$, then $G=G_{27}$. If $m=3$, then we may assume $C$ is equal to one of $S-\{A, B\}$ so that $G$ contains one of $T$, hence $G=G_{27}$. Assume the case 2). If $m=2$, then we may assume $C$ is one of $T-\{B\}$, hence $G=G_{27}$. If $m=3$, then we may assume $C$ is one of $S-\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, 1, \omega^{2}\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, 1,1, \omega^{2}\right], A\right\}$, hence $G=G_{27}$. Note that $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, 1, \omega^{2}\right]\right),\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, 1,1, \omega^{2}\right]\right) \in\langle(A),(B)\rangle$. Assume the case 3$)$. If $m=2$, then we may assume $C$ is one of $T-\{A, B\}$, hence $G=G_{27}$. If $m=3$, then we may assume $C$ is one of $S-\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega, \omega^{2}, 1,1\right]\right\}$, hence $G=G_{27}$.

The canonical group representation ${ }^{\wedge}: \mathbf{S}_{4} \rightarrow G L_{4}(k)$ of $\mathbf{S}_{4}$ is the one such that $\hat{\sigma} x=$ $y$ with $y_{i}=x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$ for any column vector $x \in k^{4}$. Clearly this representation is an isomorphism. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, $\delta \in k^{*}$ be of order $r$,

$$
D(r)=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right] \in G L_{4}(k): \lambda_{1}^{r}=\lambda_{2}^{r}=\lambda_{3}^{r}=\lambda_{4}^{r}\right\} .
$$

The factor group $(D(r))=D(r) / k^{*} E_{4}$ is isomorphic to $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left[\delta^{i}, \delta^{j}, \delta^{\ell}, 1\right]: i, j, \ell \in\right.$ $[0, r-1]\}$ which is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{r}\right)^{3}$. A map $\varphi_{\sigma}:(D(r)) \rightarrow(D(r))$ defined by $\varphi_{\sigma}((A))=\left(\hat{\sigma} A \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\right)$ is a group automorphism such that $\varphi_{\sigma \tau}=\varphi_{\sigma} \circ \varphi_{\tau}$. The factor group $D(r) \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{4} / k^{*} E_{4}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $(D(r)) \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$ such that $((A), \sigma)\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right), \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left((A) \varphi_{\sigma}\left(A^{\prime}\right), \sigma \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $(D(r)) \times_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{r}\right)^{3} \times_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$. We note that $\hat{\sigma} \operatorname{diag}\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right] \hat{\sigma}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right]$, where $b_{i}=a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. We may skip the proof of the following lemma [6].

Lemma 2.2. If $r \geq 3$, then the projective automorphism group of the surface $V\left(x^{r}+y^{r}+\right.$ $\left.z^{r}+t^{r}\right)$ is $D(r) \mathbf{S}_{4} / k^{*} E_{4}$, which can be identified with $(D(r)) \times_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$.
Lemma 2.3. Any $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is projectively equivalent to $V\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+t^{3}\right)$. In particular any $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)^{3} \times_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is projectively equivalent to $V\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+t^{3}\right)$, and its automorphism group is conjugate to $(D(3)) \times{ }_{s} \mathbf{S}_{4}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 it suffices to show that any $G_{27}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is $V\left(a x^{3}+b y^{3}+c z^{3}+d t^{3}\right)$, where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are nonzero constants. Let $V(f)$ be a $G_{27}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface, where the homogeneous polynomial $f(x, y, z, t)$ of degree three has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} t^{3} \\
& +x^{2}\left(b_{12} y+b_{13} z+b_{14} t\right)+y^{2}\left(b_{21} x+b_{23} z+b_{24} t\right)+z^{2}\left(b_{31} x+b_{32} y+b_{34} t\right) \\
& +t^{2}\left(b_{41} x+b_{42} y+b_{43} z\right)+c_{1} y z t+c_{2} x z t+c_{3} x y t+c_{4} x y z
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
A_{1}=\operatorname{diag}[\omega, 1,1,1], A_{2}=\operatorname{diag}[1, \omega, 1,1], A_{3}=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega, 1] .
$$

It is evident that $\operatorname{ord}\left(A_{i}\right)=3$. Since $G_{27}$ contains $\left(A_{i}\right)(i \in[1,4]), f_{A_{i}^{-1}}$ is equal to one of $\left\{f, \omega f, \omega^{2} f\right\}$. If $f_{A_{1}^{-1}}$ is equal to $\omega f$ or $\omega^{2} f$, then $f$ is divisible by $x$, hence $V(f)$ is singular. Assume $f_{A_{1}^{-1}}=f$. Then
$f(x, y, z, t)=a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} t^{3}+y^{2}\left(b_{23} z+b_{24} t\right)+z^{2}\left(b_{32} y+b_{34} t\right)+t^{2}\left(b_{42} y+b_{43} z\right)+c_{1} y z t$.
Unless $f_{A_{2}^{-1}}=f, V(f)$ is singular. Therefore $f(x, y, z, t)=a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} t^{3}+$ $b_{34} z^{2} t+b_{43} t^{2} z$. Unless $f_{A_{3}^{-1}}=f, V(f)$ is singular. Thus $f(x, y, z, t)=a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+$ $a_{4} t^{3}$. Now $V(f)$ is nonsingular if and only if $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4} \neq 0$.

## $3 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{5}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces

A subgroup of $P G L_{4}(k)$ isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_{5}$ is one of three groups $C_{5!}$ I, $C_{5!} \mathrm{II}, C_{5!}$ III up to conjugacy [3]. We denote these groups by $G(1), G(2)$ and $G(3)$, respectively. There exist group isomorphisms $\varphi_{i}: \mathbf{S}_{5} \rightarrow G(i)$ such that $\left(E_{1}\right)=\varphi_{i}((123))$, $\left(E_{2}\right)=\varphi_{i}((12)(34)),\left(E_{3}\right)=\varphi_{i}((12)(45))$ and $(F)=\varphi_{i}((12))$ generate $G(i)(i \in[1,3])$ $\left(\mathrm{cf}\left[7,(2.14)\right.\right.$ in chap.3]). Note that $(123)=(12)(23)$. Let $\omega=\frac{-1+i \sqrt{3}}{2}$. Then $G(1)=$ $\left\langle\left(E_{1}\right),\left(E_{2}\right),\left(E_{3}\right),(F)\right\rangle$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right], E_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right], E_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
F & =\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.1. The $G(1)$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is $V(f)$, where

$$
f(x, y, z, t)=3 \sqrt{15} x^{3}+10\left(y^{3}+z^{3}+t^{3}\right)-3 \sqrt{15} x y^{2}-6(\sqrt{15} x+5 y) z t
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Aut}(V(f))=G(1)$.
Proof. Let $F^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+i} F$. Assume that $V(f)$ is a $G(1)$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface, where $f(x, y, z, t)$ is a cubic homogeneous polynomial of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3. Since $E_{1}^{3}=E_{4}, f_{E_{1}^{-1}}=\omega^{i} f$ for some $i \in[0,2]$. If $i=1$, then $f(x, y, z, t)=$ $b_{13} x^{2} z+b_{23} y^{2} z+b_{34} z^{2} t+t^{2}\left(b_{41} x+b_{42} y\right)+c_{4} x y z$. Since $f_{F^{\prime-1}} \sim f$, we see $b_{13}=b_{23}=0$, hence $V(f)$ is singular at $(1,0,0,0)$. Similarly $i \neq 2$, namely $i=0$, so that $f(x, y, z, t)=$ $a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} t^{3}+b_{1} x^{2} y+b_{2} y^{2} x+c_{1} y z t+c_{2} x z t$. We have $a_{3} a_{4} \neq 0$, for $V(f)$ is nonsingular. If $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, the condition $f_{E_{3}^{-1}} \sim f$ yields $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$, hence $V(f)$ is singular. Thus $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \neq[0,0]$. Therefore $f_{F^{\prime-1}}=f$, hence $a_{3}=a_{4}$. Now $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}=f$, and $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}=-f$ does not hold. The condition $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}=f$ is equivalent to $c_{2}=\sqrt{15} c_{1} / 5$ and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-65 & 15 \sqrt{15} & \sqrt{15} & -15 \\
15 \sqrt{15} & -63 & 15 & \sqrt{15} \\
3 \sqrt{15} & 45 & -93 & 13 \sqrt{15} \\
-45 & 3 \sqrt{15} & 13 \sqrt{15} & -35
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
b_{1} \\
b_{2}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

The general $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right]$ satisfying the second condition above is $\lambda[3 \sqrt{15}, 10,0,-3 \sqrt{15}]$, for the rank of the above matrix is equal to three. Consequently we may assume $f(x, y, z, t)=$ $3 \sqrt{15} x^{3}+10 y^{3}+a_{3}\left(z^{3}+t^{3}\right)-3 \sqrt{15} x y^{2}+c_{1}\left(y z t+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{5} x z t\right)$. Now the condition $f_{E_{3}^{-1}} \sim f$ implies $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}=f$. Using the formula $(a+b+c)^{3}=a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}+3 a^{2}(b+c)+3 b^{2}(a+c)+$
$3 c^{2}(a+b)+6 a b c$, we can write $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}(x, y, z, t)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \sqrt{15} x^{3}+\left(-\frac{10}{27}+\frac{16}{27} a_{3}-\frac{4}{27} c_{1}\right) y^{3}+\left(\frac{80}{27}+\frac{7}{27} a_{3}-\frac{4}{27} c_{1}\right) z^{3}+\left(\frac{80}{27}+\frac{7}{27} a_{3}-\frac{4}{27} c_{1}\right) t^{3} \\
& +y^{2}\left\{\left(-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{3}+\frac{4 \sqrt{15}}{45} c_{1}\right) x+\left(\frac{60}{27}+\frac{12}{27} a_{3}+\frac{6}{27} c_{1}\right)(z+t)\right\} \\
& +z^{2}\left\{\left(-\frac{4 \sqrt{15}}{3}-\frac{2 \sqrt{15}}{45} c_{1}\right) x+\left(-\frac{120}{27}+\frac{30}{27} a_{3}+\frac{6}{27} c_{1}\right) y+\left(\frac{240}{27}-\frac{6}{27} a_{3}+\frac{6}{27} c_{1}\right) t\right\} \\
& +t^{2}\left\{\left(-\frac{4 \sqrt{15}}{3}-\frac{2 \sqrt{15}}{45} c_{1}\right) x+\left(-\frac{120}{27}+\frac{30}{27} a_{3}+\frac{6}{27} c_{1}\right) y+\left(\frac{240}{27}-\frac{6}{27} a_{3}+\frac{6}{27} c_{1}\right) z\right\} \\
& +\left(-\frac{240}{27}-\frac{48}{27} a_{3}+\frac{3}{27} c_{1}\right) y z t+\left(-\frac{8 \sqrt{15}}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{9} c_{1}\right) x z t \\
& +\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{15}}{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{15}}{45} c_{1}\right) x y t+\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{15}}{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{15}}{45} c_{1}\right) x y z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $a_{3}=10, c=-30$ and $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}=f$ holds, as desired. We can show that $V(f)$ is nonsingular.

Let $\varepsilon=-\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4}+i \frac{\sqrt{10+2 \sqrt{5}}}{4}$, hence $\operatorname{ord}(\varepsilon)=5, \alpha=\frac{-\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \beta=\alpha^{2}, \gamma=-\alpha$, and let

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\varepsilon^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^{3}
\end{array}\right], I=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\
\alpha & \beta & \gamma & 1 \\
\beta & \gamma & 1 & \alpha \\
\gamma & 1 & \alpha & \beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Lemma 3.2. ( $H$ ) and $(I)$ generate in $P G L_{4}(k)$ a group $G(1)^{\prime}$ conjugate to $G(1)$. The $G(1)^{\prime}$-invariant nonsingular cubic surface is $V\left(x^{2} y+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x\right)$. Moreover Aut $\left(V\left(x^{2} y+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x\right)\right)=G(1)^{\prime}$.

Proof. The transpositions $(i i+1)(i \in[1,4])$ generate $\mathbf{S}_{5}$, while $(12345)(j j+$ 1) $(12345)^{-1}=(j+1 j+2)(j \in[1,3])$. Therefore (12345) and (12) generate $\mathbf{S}_{5}$. Clearly $(123)(34)(45)=(12345)$. In view of the group isomorphism $\varphi_{1}: S_{5} \rightarrow G(1)$, $\varphi_{1}((12345))=\left(E_{1} F E_{2} F E_{3}\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}((12))=(F)$. Let

$$
K=E_{1} F E_{2} F E_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{1}{4} & \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{\sqrt{15 \omega}}{6} & \frac{\omega}{6} & \frac{2 \omega}{3} & -\frac{\omega}{3} \\
\frac{\sqrt{15} \omega^{2}}{6} & \frac{\omega^{2}}{6} & -\frac{\omega^{2}}{3} & \frac{2 \omega^{2}}{3}
\end{array}\right], T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{-1} F T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right], T^{-1} K T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{1}{4} & \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
-i \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} & -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} & -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \operatorname{det}\left(T^{-1} K T-\lambda E_{4}\right)=\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda+1=\Pi_{i=1}^{4}\left(\lambda-\varepsilon^{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $T^{-1} K T$ and $x \in k^{4}$ satisfies $\left(T^{-1} K T-\lambda E_{4}\right) x=0$, then

$$
\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=x_{1}\left[1,(1+4 \lambda) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15},\left(1+\lambda+3 \lambda^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15}, i\left(-\lambda^{4}+\lambda^{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}\right]
$$

So $S^{-1} T^{-1} K T S=\operatorname{diag}\left[\varepsilon^{4}, \varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right]$, where

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{1} \\
\left(1+4 \varepsilon^{4}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \left(1+4 \varepsilon^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & (1+4 \varepsilon) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \left(1+4 \varepsilon^{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} \\
\left(1+\varepsilon^{4}+3 \varepsilon^{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \left(1+\varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon^{4}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \left(1+\varepsilon+3 \varepsilon^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \left(1+\varepsilon^{3}+3 \varepsilon\right) \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} \\
i\left(-\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i\left(-\varepsilon^{3}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i\left(-\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} & i\left(-\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{4}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that $\operatorname{det} S=-i \frac{8 \sqrt{3}}{25} \varepsilon^{3}(\varepsilon-1)^{3}\left(3 \varepsilon^{3}+6 \varepsilon^{2}+4 \varepsilon+2\right)$. We denote the $(i, j)$-cofactor of $S=\left[s_{i j}\right]$ by $\tilde{s}_{i j}$. Note that $S^{-1} \operatorname{diag}[1,1,1,-1] S=E_{4}+S^{-1} \operatorname{diag}[0,0,0,-2] S$ and that the $i$-th row of $S^{-1} \operatorname{diag}[0,0,0,-2] S$ is equal to $-2 \tilde{s}_{4 i} / \operatorname{det} S \times(4$-th row of $S)$. Denote $T S \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon^{3}, \varepsilon\right]$ by $S^{\prime}$. By computation we get the components of $\left(3 \varepsilon^{3}+6 \varepsilon^{2}+4 \varepsilon+2\right) S^{\prime-1} F T S^{\prime}$ as follows.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1 & 2 \varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+2 & -\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{2} & \varepsilon^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{2}+2 \varepsilon \\
2 \varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+2 & -\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{2} & \varepsilon^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{2}+2 \varepsilon & 2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1 \\
-\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{2} & \varepsilon^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{2}+2 \varepsilon & 2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1 & 2 \varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+2 \\
\varepsilon^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{2}+2 \varepsilon & 2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1 & 2 \varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+2 & -\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover, since $\left(2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1\right)^{-1}=\left(7 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon+8\right) / 5$, we can verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1\right)^{-1}\left(2 \varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{3}+2\right)=\varepsilon^{3}+\varepsilon^{2}+1=\alpha \\
& \left(2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1\right)^{-1}\left(-\varepsilon^{4}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)=\varepsilon^{3}+\varepsilon^{2}+2=\alpha^{2} \\
& \left(2 \varepsilon^{3}+4 \varepsilon^{2}+3 \varepsilon+1\right)^{-1}\left(\varepsilon^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{2}+2 \varepsilon\right)=-\varepsilon^{3}-\varepsilon^{2}-1=-\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

We have shown that $S^{\prime-1} K S^{\prime}=H$ and $\left(S^{\prime-1} F S^{\prime}\right)=(I)$.
Finally we shall show that a cubic homogeneous polynomial $f(x, y, z, t)$ of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3 such that $f_{H^{-1}} \sim f, f_{I^{-1}} \sim f$ and that $V(f)$ is nonsingular is proportional to $x^{2} y+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x$. Since $H^{5}=E_{4}, f_{H}^{-1}=\varepsilon^{i} f$ for some $i \in[0,4]$. If $i=1$, then $f(x, y, z, t)=a_{2} y^{3}+b_{14} x^{2} t+b_{3} 1 z^{3} x+c_{1} y z t$, hence $V(f)$ is singular at $(0,0,0,1)$. Similarly, unless $i=0, V(f)$ has a singular point. If $i=0$, then $f(x, y, z, t)=$ $b_{1} x^{2} y+b_{2} y^{2} z+b_{3} z^{2} t+b_{4} t^{2} x$. Denoting the coefficients of $x^{3}, y^{3}, z^{3}$ and $t^{3}$ in $f_{I^{-1}}$ by
$b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, b_{3}^{\prime}$ and $b_{4}^{\prime}$, respectively, and noting $\alpha^{2}=\alpha+1$, we obtain

$$
b^{\prime}=\alpha\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \alpha^{3} & -\alpha^{4} & \alpha \\
\alpha^{3} & -\alpha^{4} & \alpha & 1 \\
-\alpha^{4} & \alpha & 1 & \alpha^{3} \\
\alpha & 1 & \alpha^{3} & -\alpha^{4}
\end{array}\right] b .
$$

Since $b^{\prime}=0$ and the rank of the matrix involved is equal to three, it follows that $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=b_{4} \neq 0$. Now let $f=x^{2} y+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x$. In order to see $f_{I^{-1}}=5(4 \alpha+3) f$, we note that denoting $(x+\alpha y+\beta z+\gamma t)^{2}(x+\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z+t)$ by $g(x, y, z, t)$, we have $f_{I^{-1}}(x, y, z, t)=g(x, y, z, t)+g(t, x, y, z)+g(z, t, x, y)+g(y, z, t, x)$.

The group $G(2)$ is generated by $\left(E_{1}\right),\left(E_{2}\right),\left(E_{3}\right)$ and $(F)$, where

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
E_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right], E_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
0 & -1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\
\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right], E_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\hline \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right], \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right] ., ~ l
$$

Lemma 3.3. There exist no $G(2)$-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces.
Proof. Let $f(x, y, z, t)$ be a $G(2)$-invariant cubic homogeneous polynomial of the form as in the proof of Lemma 1.3. Since $F^{4}=E_{4}, f_{F^{-1}}=i^{j} f$ for some $j \in[0,3]$. If $j=0$ or $j=2$, then $f=0$. If $j=1$, then $f(x, y, z, t)$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(x^{3}-i y^{3}\right)+a_{3}\left(z^{3}-i t^{4}\right) \\
& +x^{2}\left(b_{12} y+b_{13} z+b_{14} t\right)+y^{2}\left(i b_{12} x+i b_{14} z-i b_{13} t\right) \\
& +z^{2}\left(b_{31} x+b_{32} y+b_{34} t\right)+t^{2}\left(i b_{32} x-i b_{31} y+i b_{34} z\right) \\
& +c_{1} y z t-i c_{1} x z t+c_{3} x y t-i c_{3} x y z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E_{3}^{2}=E_{4}, f_{E_{3}^{-1}}= \pm f$. The coefficients of $t^{3}, t^{2} z, t^{2} x$ and $t^{2} y$ of $f_{E_{3}^{-1}}($ resp. $\pm f)$ are

$$
a_{3}, b_{34}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} b_{31}+\frac{1}{2} b_{32}, \frac{1}{2} b_{31}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} b_{32}\left(\text { resp. } \mp i a_{3}, \pm i b_{34}, \pm i b_{32}, \mp i b_{31}\right),
$$

hence $a_{3}=b_{34}=b_{31}=b_{32}=0$. Consequently $V(f)$ is singular at $(0,0,0,1)$.
Let $\nu_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}+i \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}$ and $\nu_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}-i \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}$ The group $G(3)$ is generated by $\left(E_{1}\right),\left(E_{2}\right)$, $\left(E_{3}\right)$ and $(F)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right], E_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} & -1 & 0 \\
\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right], E_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \nu_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \nu_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \nu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\nu_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
F & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. There exist no $G(3)$-invariant nonsingular cubic surfaces. More precisely, there exist no $G(3)$-invariant cubic forms except zero.

Proof. Let $E_{1}^{\prime}=\omega^{-1} E_{1}$ and $f(x, y, z, t)$ a $G(3)$-invariant cubic form. If $f_{E_{1}^{\prime-1}}=\omega f$, then $f$ has the form

$$
x^{2}\left(b_{13} z+b_{14} t\right)+y^{2}\left(b_{23} z+b_{24} t\right)+c_{3} x y t+c_{4} x y z
$$

hence the condition $f_{F^{-1}} \sim f$ implies $f=0$. Similarly, if $f_{E_{1}^{\prime-1}}=\omega^{2} f$, then $f=0$. Now assume $f_{E_{1}^{\prime-1}}=f$, so that $f(x, y, z, t)=a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} y^{3}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} t^{3}+b_{1} x^{2} y+b_{2} y^{2} x+b_{3} z^{2} t+$ $b_{4} t^{2} z$. Since $f_{F^{-1}}=i^{j} f$ for some $j \in[0,3]$, we obtain
$\left[\begin{array}{cc}i^{j} & 1 \\ -1 & i^{j}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}a_{1} \\ a_{4}\end{array}\right]=0,\left[\begin{array}{cc}i^{j} & -1 \\ 1 & i^{j}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}a_{2} \\ a_{3}\end{array}\right]=0,\left[\begin{array}{cc}i^{j} & -1 \\ 1 & i^{j}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ b_{4}\end{array}\right]=0,\left[\begin{array}{cc}i^{j} & 1 \\ -1 & i^{j}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}b_{2} \\ b_{3}\end{array}\right]=0$.
Therefore, unless $j=1$ or $j=3, f(x, y, z, t)=0$. Even if $j=1$ or $j=3$, the condition $f_{E_{3}^{-1}} \sim f$ implies $f=0$, because $\{i,-i\} \cap\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}^{3}, \nu_{2}^{3}\right\}=\emptyset$.

Remark 3.5. Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(V\left(x^{2} y+y^{2} z+z^{2} t+t^{2} x\right)\right)$, $\Sigma$ the field automorphism of $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\varepsilon^{\Sigma}=\varepsilon^{2}$.
(1) Denoting by $e_{i}$ be the $i$-th column of the unit matrix $E_{4}$, let $J=\left[e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{1}\right]$. Then $(J) \in G=G(1)^{\prime}$.
(2) Define $I^{\prime} \in G L_{4}(k)$ as $I$, using $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \beta^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime 2}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=-\alpha^{\prime}$ in place of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, respectively. Then $H^{\Sigma}=H^{2}$ and $I^{\Sigma}=I^{\prime}$, for $\sqrt{5}^{\Sigma}=-\sqrt{5}$. On the other hand $J H J^{-1}=H^{2}$ and $J I J^{-1}=\beta I^{\prime}$. Consequently there exists uniquely a group isomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{S}_{5} \rightarrow P G L_{4}(k)$ such that $\psi((12345))=\left(H^{2}\right)$ and $\psi((12))=\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. The projective representations of $\mathbf{S}_{5} \psi$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are equivalent.
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