arXiv:1406.3522v1 [math.FA] 13 Jun 2014

SUMS OF COMPOSITIONS OF PAIRS OF PROJECTIONS

ANDRZEJ KOMISARSKI AND ADAM PASZKIEWICZ

Abstract. We give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the possibility to represent a Hermitian operator on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space (real or complex) in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_iP_i$, where $P_1,\dots,P_n$, $Q_1,\dots,Q_n$ are orthogonal projections. We show that the smallest number $n=n(c)$ admitting the representation $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} Q_iP_i$ for every $x=x^*$ with $\|x\|\le c$ satisfies $8c+\frac{8}{3}\le n(c)\le 8c+10$. This is a partial answer to the question asked by L. W. Marcoux in 2010.

1. Introduction

The research on representing an operator on the Hilbert space as a sum or a linear combination of orthogonal projections (or idempotents, square-zero operators, commutators of projections and so on) has a long history. We mention here important papers by Stampfli [8] (who showed that every operator on infinite dimensional H is a sum of 8 idempotents), Fillmore [5] (who showed that every operator on infinite dimensional H is a sum of 64 square-zero operators and a linear combination of 257 orthogonal projections) and Pearcy and Topping [7] (who improved these results showing that every operator on infinite dimensional H is a sum of 5 idempotents, a sum of 5 square-zero operators and a linear combination of 16 orthogonal projections). For a deep survey on this subject see an expository paper by Marcoux [6].

Note that the sum of orthogonal projections is always a positive operator. For this reason if we want to represent any operator (or at least any self-adjoint operator) as a sum of operators belonging to some class $\mathcal{K}\subset B(H)$ then we cannot restrict ourselves to the class of orthogonal projections and we need to consider some other classes. In 2003 Bikchentaev [1] showed that every operator x on the infinite dimensional Hilbert space H is a sum of compositions of pairs of projections, i.e. $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_iP_i$ for some n and orthogonal projections $P_1,\dots,P_n$, $Q_1,\dots,Q_n$. Note that the assumption $\dim H=\infty$ is necessary because every operator on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space has finite trace and the equality $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_iP_i$ implies $\operatorname{trace}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{trace}(Q_iP_i)\ge 0$. To obtain his result Bikchentaev uses the representation of an operator as a sum of 5 idempotents (Pearcy–Topping [7]) but he does not estimate the number of summands in his representation. This problem is explicitly posed by Marcoux [6]: for any $c>0$ find possibly small $n(c)$ such that if $\|x\|\le c$ then $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} Q_iP_i$ for some orthogonal projections $P_1,\dots,P_{n(c)}$, $Q_1,\dots,Q_{n(c)}$. The first attempt to answer this question for self-adjoint operators x was presented in [4] where Bikchentaev and Paszkiewicz show that if $\|x\|\le\frac{1}{20}$ then the considered representation needs at most 6 summands, hence $n(c)\le 6\lceil 20c\rceil\sim 120c$ (for the self-adjoint operators). Now we extend the ideas

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 47C15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, Hermitian operator, orthogonal projection, composition of orthogonal projections, representation.

This paper is partially supported by NCN Grant no. N N201 605840.

presented in [4] and we show that for the self-adjoint operators x we have $8c+\frac{8}{3} \leq n(c) \leq 8c+10$ (hence $n(c) \sim 8c$ for large c), see Corollary 1.

Moreover, we have the following phenomenon. Let $c(n)$ and $C(n)$ be the largest positive numbers such that the representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ is possible for any x satisfying $0 \leq x \leq C(n)\cdot\mathbf{1}$ or $-c(n)\cdot\mathbf{1} \leq x \leq 0$. Then $C(n) \approx 8c(n)$ for large n. Thus it is natural to characterize the operators $x = x^*$ admitting the representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ using operator inequalities. We give some simple and precise, necessary and sufficient conditions of that type valid for both real and complex Hilbert spaces. An important tool in our investigation is a description of the matrix representation of all possible compositions of pairs of projections in 2-dimensional Hilbert space (Lemma 1). We will also use the spectral theorem for the self-adjoint, bounded operators.

2. Main results

Now we present the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1. *Let H be a real or complex Hilbert space and let n be positive integer. If $x = x^* \in B(H)$ satisfies $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ for some orthogonal projections $P_1,\ldots,P_n$, $Q_1,\ldots,Q_n$ then*

$$-\frac{n}{8}\cdot\mathbf{1} \leq x \leq n\cdot\mathbf{1}.$$

It proves that the constants $-\frac{n}{8}$ and n in this theorem cannot be improved.

Proposition 1. *The constant n in Theorem 1 cannot be decreased. If $\dim H \geq 2$ and n is even then the constant $-\frac{n}{8}$ in Theorem 1 cannot be increased.*

If n is odd then $-\frac{n}{8}$ can be replaced by some greater constant. However, we have not found its optimal value.

Theorem 1 gives some conditions necessary for the representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$. The following Theorem shows that these conditions are not sufficient.

Theorem 2. *Let H be a real or complex Hilbert space and let n be positive integer. Suppose that $x = x^* \in B(H)$ satisfies $x \leq a\cdot\mathbf{1}$ for some $a < -\frac{(n-2)^2}{8n}$. Then $x \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ for every orthogonal projections $P_1,\ldots,P_n$, $Q_1,\ldots,Q_n$.*

Sufficient conditions are given in the next Theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let H be a real or complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let $n \geq 4$ be even. If $x = x^* \in B(H)$ is an operator satisfying*

$$-\frac{(n-4)^2}{8n}\cdot\mathbf{1} \leq x \leq (n-2)\cdot\mathbf{1}$$

then there exist orthogonal projections $P_1,\ldots,P_n$, $Q_1,\ldots,Q_n$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$.

As a consequence of Theorems 2 and 3 we obtain the following estimates for the constants $n(c)$ in the Morcoux's problem.

Corollary 1. *For every $c > 0$ let $n(c)$ be the smallest number such that for every $x = x^* \in B(H)$, $\dim H = \infty$, satisfying $\|x\| \leq c$ the representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ is possible. Then we have*

$$2 + 4c + 4\sqrt{c^2 + c} \leq n(c) \leq 2\left\lceil 2 + 2c + 2\sqrt{c^2 + 2c} \right\rceil.$$

In particular $8c + \frac{8}{3} \leq n(c) \leq 8c + 10$, hence $\frac{n(c)}{c} \to 8$ for $c \to \infty$.

3. Proofs

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, let $e_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $e_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^2$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set of all pairs $(Re(QPe_1, e_1), Re(QPe_2, e_2))$, where P and Q are one-dimensional projections in $\mathbb{K}^2$. Then $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x - y)^2 \leq x + y \leq 1\}$. Moreover, there exist Borel functions $P^{\cdot}$ and $Q^{\cdot} : A \to B(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that for every $(x, y) \in A$ the operators $P^{x,y}$ and $Q^{x,y}$ are one-dimensional projections, $(Q^{x,y}P^{x,y}e_1, e_1) = x$ and $(Q^{x,y}P^{x,y}e_2, e_2) = y$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in A$, hence $x = \mathrm{Re}(QPe_1, e_1)$ and $y = \mathrm{Re}(QPe_2, e_2)$ for some one-dimensional projections $P = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}p_1\\p_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_2})$ and $Q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}q_1\\q_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{q_1}, \overline{q_2})$ with p_1, p_2, q_1, $q_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $\|(p_1, p_2)\| = \|(q_1, q_2)\| = 1$. Then

$$x = \mathrm{Re}\left((1,0)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}q_1\\q_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{q_1}, \overline{q_2})\left(\begin{smallmatrix}p_1\\p_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_2})\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Re}(q_1\overline{p_1}(\overline{q_1}p_1 + \overline{q_2}p_2)),$$

$$y = \mathrm{Re}\left((0,1)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}q_1\\q_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{q_1}, \overline{q_2})\left(\begin{smallmatrix}p_1\\p_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)(\overline{p_1}, \overline{p_2})\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Re}(q_2\overline{p_2}(\overline{q_1}p_1 + \overline{q_2}p_2)).$$

It follows that $x + y = |q_1\overline{p_1} + q_2\overline{p_2}|^2 \leq \|(q_1, q_2)\|^2\|(p_1, p_2)\|^2 = 1$ and

$$(x - y)^2 \leq |(\overline{q_1}p_1 + \overline{q_2}p_2)(q_1\overline{p_1} - q_2\overline{p_2})|^2 \leq |\overline{q_1}p_1 + \overline{q_2}p_2|^2\|(q_1, q_2)\|^2\|(p_1, -p_2)\|^2 = x + y.$$

Now, let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be such that $(x-y)^2 \leq x+y \leq 1$. If $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ then we consider one-dimensional projections $P^{0,0} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $Q^{0,0} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and we have $(Q^{0,0}P^{0,0}e_1, e_1) = (Q^{0,0}P^{0,0}e_2, e_2) = 0$. Hence $(0, 0) \in A$. If $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ then for $s := x + y$ and $d := x - y$ we have $s > 0$, $s - d^2 \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{s} - 1 \geq 0$ and we can define

$$P^{x,y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+d+\sqrt{(s-d^2)(\frac{1}{s}-1)}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{s-d^2}-d\sqrt{\frac{1}{s}-1}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{s-d^2}-d\sqrt{\frac{1}{s}-1}}{2} & \frac{1-d-\sqrt{(s-d^2)(\frac{1}{s}-1)}}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q^{x,y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+d-\sqrt{(s-d^2)(\frac{1}{s}-1)}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{s-d^2}+d\sqrt{\frac{1}{s}-1}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{s-d^2}+d\sqrt{\frac{1}{s}-1}}{2} & \frac{1-d+\sqrt{(s-d^2)(\frac{1}{s}-1)}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $P^{x,y} = (P^{x,y})^*$, $Q^{x,y} = (Q^{x,y})^*$, $\det(P^{x,y}) = \det(Q^{x,y}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{trace}(P^{x,y}) = \mathrm{trace}(Q^{x,y}) = 1$, hence $P^{x,y}$ and $Q^{x,y}$ are one-dimensional projections. Moreover $(Q^{x,y}P^{x,y}e_1, e_1) = x$ and $(Q^{x,y}P^{x,y}e_2, e_2) = y$, hence $(x, y) \in A$.

The maps $A \ni (x, y) \mapsto P^{x,y}$ and $A \ni (x, y) \mapsto Q^{x,y}$ are continuous everywhere besides $(0, 0)$, hence they are Borel maps, as required. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. If $e \in \mathbb{K}^2$ satisfies $\|e\| = 1$ and if P, Q are one-dimensional projections in $\mathbb{K}^2$ then $-\frac{1}{8} \leq Re(QPe, e) \leq 1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality (we can choose an appropriate coordinate system) it is enough to consider the case $e = e_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then the set of possible values of $\mathrm{Re}(QPe, e)$ is $\{x : (x, y) \in A \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{R}\} = (-\frac{1}{8}, 1)$. □

Proof of Proposition 1. For any (real or complex) Hilbert space H and $P_1 = \cdots = P_n = Q_1 = \cdots = Q_n = \mathbf{1}$ we have $x = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i = n \cdot \mathbf{1}$, hence the constant n cannot be decreased.

Let $H = \mathbb{R}^2$ or $H = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let n be even. We put

$$Q_1 = Q_3 = \cdots = Q_{n-1} = Q^{-1/8,3/8},$$

$$P_1 = P_3 = \cdots = P_{n-1} = P^{-1/8,3/8},$$

$$Q_2 = Q_4 = \cdots = Q_n = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right) Q^{-1/8,3/8} \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right),$$

$$P_2 = P_4 = \cdots = P_n = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right) P^{-1/8,3/8} \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Since $Q^{-1/8,3/8} P^{-1/8,3/8} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}-\frac{1}{8} & b\\ c & \frac{3}{8}\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}-\frac{n}{8} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{3n}{8}\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is self-adjoint and the constant $-\frac{n}{8}$ in Theorem 1 cannot be increased. For any H with $\dim H \geq 2$ the result easily follows from the two-dimensional case. □

Proposition 2. *Let K be a real or complex Hilbert space, $z_1, z_2 \in B(K)$ be two self-adjoint commuting operators and let $z_1 = \int x(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$ and $z_2 = \int y(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$ be their spectral representations with a common spectral measure E. Assume that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $(x(\lambda), y(\lambda)) \in A$, where A is the set defined in Lemma 1. Then $z = z_1 \oplus z_2 \in B(K \oplus K)$ satisfies $2z = QP + Q'P'$ for some projections P, Q, P' and Q' in $K \oplus K$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 1, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain $P^{x(\lambda),y(\lambda)} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}p_{11}(\lambda) & p_{12}(\lambda)\\ p_{21}(\lambda) & p_{22}(\lambda)\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $Q^{x(\lambda),y(\lambda)} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}q_{11}(\lambda) & q_{12}(\lambda)\\ q_{21}(\lambda) & q_{22}(\lambda)\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $p_{ij}, q_{ij} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are Borel functions. We define

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \int p_{11}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) & \int p_{12}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \\ \int p_{21}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) & \int p_{22}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \int q_{11}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) & \int q_{12}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \\ \int q_{21}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) & \int q_{22}(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}\end{smallmatrix}\right) P \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}\end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad Q' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}\end{smallmatrix}\right) Q \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Using Lemma 1 and the von Neumann operator calculus we easily obtain that P, Q, P' and Q' are projections in $K \oplus K$ and

$$QP + Q'P' = \begin{pmatrix} 2\int x(\lambda) E(d\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\int y(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = 2z.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let H be a Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{K}$ with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

If $\dim H = 1$ then the only projections in H are $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. It follows that if $x = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i$ then $x = m \cdot \mathbf{1}$ for some $m = 0, \ldots, n$. In the sequel we assume that $\dim H \geq 2$.

Now, we fix $e \in H$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let p, q be one-dimensional projections such that $pe = P_i e$ and $qe = Q_i e$. Moreover, let r be two-dimensional projection satisfying $p \leq r$ and $q \leq r$ and let $U : \mathbb{K}^2 \to H$ be an isometry satisfying $UU^* = r$. Then

$$(Q_i P_i e, e) = (P_i e, Q_i e) = (pe, qe) = (rpre, qre) = (UU^* pUU^* e, qUU^* e) = (Pe', Qe') = (QPe', e'),$$

where $P = U^*pU$ and $Q = U^*qU$ are one-dimensional projections in $\mathbb{K}^2$ and $e' = U^*e \in \mathbb{K}^2$. If $e' \neq 0$, then

$$(Q_iP_ie, e) = (QPe', e') = \left(QP\frac{e'}{\|e'\|}, \frac{e'}{\|e'\|}\right) \cdot \|e'\|^2.$$

Since $\|e'\| \leq \|e\|$ and (by Corollary 2) $-\frac{1}{8} \leq \mathrm{Re}\left(QP\frac{e'}{\|e'\|}, \frac{e'}{\|e'\|}\right) \leq 1$ we obtain $-\frac{1}{8} \cdot \|e\|^2 \leq \mathrm{Re}(Q_iP_ie, e) \leq \|e\|^2$. If $e' = 0$, then $(Q_iP_ie, e) = (QPe', e') = 0$ and the last inequality is also satisfied.

Summing the obtained inequalities with $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and using $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Re}(Q_iP_ie, e) = \mathrm{Re}(xe, e) = (xe, e)$ we get $-\frac{n}{8} \cdot \|e\|^2 \leq (xe, e) \leq n \cdot \|e\|^2$, which implies that the self-adjoint operator x satisfies $-\frac{n}{8} \cdot \mathbf{1} \leq x \leq n \cdot \mathbf{1}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Aiming at a contradiction we assume that $a < -\frac{(n-2)^2}{8n} \cdot \mathbf{1}$, $x = x^* \leq a \cdot \mathbf{1}$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_iP_i$ for some orthogonal projections $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$.

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ let $m_i = \inf\{\mathrm{Re}(Q_iP_ie, e) : \|e\| = 1\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $m_1 = \min\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$. Clearly $nm_1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \leq \inf\{(xe, e) : \|e\| = 1\} \leq a$, hence $m_1 \leq \frac{a}{n} < -\frac{(n-2)^2}{8n^2}$. We put $M = \sup\{\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1e, e) : \|e\| = 1\}$. We fix positive $\varepsilon < \frac{(n-2)^2}{8n^2}$ and we choose $e \in H$ satisfying $\|e\| = 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1e, e) > M - \varepsilon$. We have

$$a \geq (xe, e) = \mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1e, e) + \sum_{i=2}^n \mathrm{Re}(Q_iP_ie, e) > M - \varepsilon + (n-1)m_1.$$

Next, we choose $f_1 \in H$ satisfying $\|f_1\| = 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_1, f_1) < m_1 + \varepsilon$. Then for every $f_2 \in H$ with $\|f_2\| = 1$ one has

$$a > M - \varepsilon + (n-1)m_1 \geq \mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_1, f_1) - n\varepsilon. \tag{1}$$

By $\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_1, f_1) < m_1 + \varepsilon < 0$ we have that $P_1f_1 \neq 0$ and $P_1f_1 \neq f_1$, hence f_1 and P_1f_1 are linearly independent. Let r be the projection onto span (f_1, P_1f_1) and let $p \leq r$ and q be one-dimensional projections such that $pf_1 = P_1f_1$ and $qp = Q_1p$. The subspace rH is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^2$ (or $\mathbb{C}^2$) and we are going to use Lemma 1. We choose $f_2 \in rH$ satisfying $f_2 \perp f_1$ and $\|f_2\| = 1$. Since $pf_1 = P_1f_1$ and $p(P_1f_1) = P_1(P_1f_1)$ it follows that $pf = P_1f$ for every $f \in rH$. In particular $pf_2 = P_1f_2$, hence $qpf_2 = Q_1P_1f_2$.

Note that rqr is one-dimensional self-adjoint operator, hence $rqr = \alpha q'$ for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and one-dimensional projection $q' \leq r$. By (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a + n\varepsilon &> \mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(Q_1P_1f_1, f_1) = \mathrm{Re}(qpf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(qpf_1, f_1) \\ &= \mathrm{Re}(qrpf_2, rf_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(qrpf_1, rf_1) = \mathrm{Re}(rqrpf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(rqrpf_1, f_1) \\ &= \alpha\left[\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_1, f_1)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We have $a + n\varepsilon < 0$, hence $\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_1, f_1) < 0$. Thus

$$a + n\varepsilon > \alpha\left[\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_1, f_1)\right] \geq \mathrm{Re}(q'pf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_1, f_1). \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1 and an elementary computation concerning the set A defined in that lemma we have

$$\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_2, f_2) + (n-1)\mathrm{Re}(q'pf_1, f_1) \geq \inf\{y + (n-1)x : (x, y) \in A\} = -\frac{(n-2)^2}{8n},$$

which contradicts (2) for small enough ε. □

Remark 1. Let K be a Hilbert space, let $x = x^* \in B(K)$. Assume that cardinal numbers d_1, d_2 satisfy $d_1 + d_2 = \dim K$. Then there exists a projection E on K such that $\dim E = d_1$, $\dim(\mathbf{1} - E) = d_2$ and x commute with E.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $n = 2m \geq 4$ be fixed. We will define self-adjoint operators $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ satisfying $x = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$ and such that $y_i = Q_i P_i + Q_i' P_i'$ for some projections P_i, Q_i, P_i' and Q_i' (then the proof will be finished).

We will use the following observation. For $y = y* \in B(H)$ the existence of projections P, Q, P' and Q' satisfying $y = QP + Q'P'$ is a consequence of the following condition: There exist projections $\widehat{G}_1$, $\widehat{G}_2$, $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2 \in B(H)$ satisfying:

(i) $\widehat{G}_1 + \widehat{G}_2 + \widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2 = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim \widehat{G}_1 = \dim \widehat{G}_2$ and $\dim \widetilde{G}_1 = \dim \widetilde{G}_2$,

(ii) $\widehat{G}_1$, $\widehat{G}_2$, $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2$ commute with y,

(iii) $y\widehat{G}_2 = 0$ and $0 \leq y\widehat{G}_1 \leq 2 \cdot \widehat{G}_1$,

(iv) $y\widetilde{G}_2 = 2b \cdot \widetilde{G}_2$ and $2a \cdot \widetilde{G}_1 \leq y\widetilde{G}_1 \leq 2(1-b) \cdot \widetilde{G}_1$,

where $a = -\frac{(m-2)(m+2)}{8m^2}$ and $b = \frac{(m-2)(3m-2)}{8m^2}$.

Indeed, by (i) we have $\dim \widehat{G}_1 = \dim \widehat{G}_2$ and we may identify $\widehat{K} :\approx \widehat{G}_1 H \approx \widehat{G}_2 H$ and then we may treat the operators $z_1 = \frac{y\widehat{G}_2}{2} = 0$ and $z_2 = \frac{y\widehat{G}_1}{2}$ as the self-adjoint operators in $B(\widehat{K})$ (here we also use (ii)). Clearly z_1 and z_2 commute, hence they have the spectral representations $z_1 = \int x(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$ and $z_2 = \int y(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$ with a common spectral measure E. Clearly $x(\lambda) = 0$ and (by (iii)) $0 \leq y(\lambda) \leq 1$ for every λ. It follows that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $(x(\lambda), y(\lambda)) \in A$. By Proposition 2 we obtain $y(\widehat{G}_1 + \widehat{G}_2) = 2(z_1 \oplus z_2) = \widehat{Q}\widehat{P} + \widehat{Q}'\widehat{P}'$ for some projections $\widehat{P}$, $\widehat{Q}$, $\widehat{P}'$, $\widehat{Q}' \leq \widehat{G}_1 + \widehat{G}_2$.

Similarly, using (i), (ii) and (iv), we obtain $y(\widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2) = \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q}'\widetilde{P}'$ for some projections $\widetilde{P}$, $\widetilde{Q}$, $\widetilde{P}'$, $\widetilde{Q}' \leq \widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2$. Indeed, after identification $\widetilde{K} :\approx \widetilde{G}_1 H \approx \widetilde{G}_2 H$ we have $\frac{y(\widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2)}{2} = \int x(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \oplus \int y(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$ with $x(\lambda) = b$ and $a \leq y(\lambda) \leq 1 - b$ (by (iv)). It follows that $(x(\lambda), y(\lambda)) \in A$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (the special choice of the constants a and b plays a role here) and by Proposition 2 we obtain $y(\widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2) = \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{P} + \widetilde{Q}'\widetilde{P}'$.

Finally (by (i)) we have

$$y = y(\widehat{G}_1 + \widehat{G}_2) + y(\widetilde{G}_1 + \widetilde{G}_2) = QP + Q'P'$$

for the projections $P = \widetilde{P} + \widehat{P}$, $Q = \widetilde{Q} + \widehat{Q}$, $P' = \widetilde{P}' + \widehat{P}'$ and $Q' = \widetilde{Q}' + \widehat{Q}'$.

It remains to define self-adjoint operators $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ satisfying $x = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$ and (i)-(iv) for appropriate $\widehat{G}_1$, $\widehat{G}_2$, $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2$ (depending on i). We start by picking projections $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ in H such that $\sum_{i=1}^m E_i = \mathbf{1}$, $\dim E_i = \dim H$ and E_i commutes with x for every i. (Here we use Remark 1 $m-1$ times.) Next, we define $F = \operatorname{supp}(x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1})^+$ and $F^\perp = \mathbf{1} - F^+$ (here $y^+ = (y + |y|)/2$ for $y = y^*$). Clearly F and $F^\perp$ commute with x and with projections E_i.

Next, for each i we define $\widehat{G}_{i1} = (\mathbf{1} - E_i)F$ and $\widetilde{G}_{i1} = (\mathbf{1} - E_i)F^\perp$. Then we apply Remark 1 for $K = E_i H$, $d_1 = \dim \widehat{G}_{i1}$ and $d_2 = \dim \widetilde{G}_{i1}$ (clearly $d_1 + d_2 = \dim(\mathbf{1} - E_i) = \dim E_i$). We obtain projections $\widehat{G}_{i2}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{i2} = E_i - \widehat{G}_{i2}$ commuting with x and satisfying $\dim \widehat{G}_{i2} = d_1 = \dim \widehat{G}_{i1}$ and $\dim \widetilde{G}_{i2} = d_2 = \dim \widetilde{G}_{i1}$. Clearly condition (i) is satisfied.

We have that $2m$ projections $\widehat{G}_{i1} = (\mathbf{1} - E_i)F$, $\widetilde{G}_{i1} = (\mathbf{1} - E_i)F^\perp$ (with $i = 1, \ldots, m$) mutually commute, because $F, E_1, \ldots, E_m$ commute. $2m$ projections $\widehat{G}_{i2}$, $\widetilde{G}_{i2}$ are mutually orthogonal, hence they commute. Finally, each of the projections $\widehat{G}_{i2}$, $\widetilde{G}_{i2}$ commute with $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ and x (hence F) thus they commute with each of $2m$ projections $\widehat{G}_{i1}$, $\widetilde{G}_{i1}$. It follows that each pair of $4m$ projections $\widehat{G}_{i1}$, $\widetilde{G}_{i1}$, $\widehat{G}_{i2}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{i2}$ (with $i = 1, \ldots, m$) commute.

We define y_i's as follows:

$$y_i = 2b \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i2} + \frac{1}{m-1} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - E_i)x - \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot \sum_{j \neq i} \widetilde{G}_{j2} \tag{3}$$

It is easy to verify that $x = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$ and y_i commutes with $\widehat{G}_{i1}$, $\widetilde{G}_{i1}$, $\widehat{G}_{i2}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{i2}$ (hence(ii) is satisfied).

By (3) we have

$$y_i = 0 \cdot \widehat{G}_{i2} + \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widehat{G}_{i1} + 2b \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i2} + \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1}, \tag{4}$$

where $D := \sum_{j \neq i} \widetilde{G}_{j2} \leq \sum_{j \neq i} E_j = \widehat{G}_{i1} + \widetilde{G}_{i1}$ is a projection and it commutes with $\widehat{G}_{i1}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{i1}$.

We will verify conditions (iii) and (iv). By (4), $x \leq (n-2) \cdot \mathbf{1} = 2(m-1) \cdot \mathbf{1}$, $b > 0$ and $D\widehat{G}_{i1} \geq 0$ ($D\widehat{G}_{i1}$ is a projection) we obtain

$$y_i \widehat{G}_{i1} = \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widehat{G}_{i1} = \frac{x\widehat{G}_{i1}}{m-1} - \frac{2b \cdot D\widehat{G}_{i1}}{m-1} \leq 2 \cdot \widehat{G}_{i1}.$$

Since $\widehat{G}_{i1}$ is a subprojection of F (which is the support of $(x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1})^+$) we obtain that $(x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1})\widehat{G}_{i1} \geq 0$ thus

$$y_i \widehat{G}_{i1} = \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widehat{G}_{i1} = \frac{x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1}}{m-1} \cdot \widehat{G}_{i1} + \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - D)\widehat{G}_{i1} \geq 0.$$

By (4) we also have $y_i \widehat{G}_{i2} = 0$, hence (iii) is satisfied.

Since $\widetilde{G}_{i1}$ is a subprojection of $F^\perp$, hence $(x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1})\widetilde{G}_{i1} \leq 0$. Consequently (by (4))

$$y_i \widetilde{G}_{i1} = \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} = \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} + \frac{x - 2b \cdot \mathbf{1}}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} - \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot D\widetilde{G}_{i1} \leq \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} \leq 2(1-b) \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1}.$$

Here we used the inequality $\frac{b}{m-1} \leq 1 - b$, which is valid for $b = \frac{(m-2)(3m-2)}{8m^2}$. By $x \geq -\frac{(n-4)^2}{8n} \cdot \mathbf{1} = -\frac{(m-2)^2}{4m} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_i \widetilde{G}_{i1} = \frac{x - 2b \cdot D}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} &= \frac{x}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} - \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} + \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - D)\widetilde{G}_{i1} \\ &\geq -\frac{(m-2)^2}{4m(m-1)} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} - \frac{2b}{m-1} \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1} = a \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4) we have $y_i \widetilde{G}_{i2} = 2b \cdot \widetilde{G}_{i2}$, hence (iv) is satisfied. □

Proof of Corollary 1. By Theorem 2 we have $c \leq \frac{(n(c)-2)^2}{8n(c)}$. Solving this inequality on $n(c)$ we obtain $2 + 4c + 4\sqrt{c^2 + c} \leq n(c)$.

Now, let $n = 2\left\lceil 2 + 2c + 2\sqrt{c^2 + 2c} \right\rceil$. Then $n \geq 4$ is even and it satisfies $c \leq \frac{(n-4)^2}{8n}$. Hence, by Theorem 3, we know that every $x = x^*$ satisfying $\|x\| \leq c$ admits the representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i P_i$. Thus $n(c) \leq 2\left\lceil 2 + 2c + 2\sqrt{c^2 + 2c} \right\rceil$.

The second part of the corollary follows by the inequalities

$$\left\lceil 2 + 4c + 4\sqrt{c^2 + c} \right\rceil \geq 8c + \frac{8}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad 2\left\lceil 2 + 2c + 2\sqrt{c^2 + 2c} \right\rceil \leq 8c + 10 \quad \text{for } c > 0.$$

□

4. Final remarks

We do not know any estimates for the number $n(c)$ for not necessarily Hermitian operators. It seems that finding such estimates might be easier for complex Hilbert spaces. This belief is based on the possibility to represent any operator as $x + iy$ with self-adjoint x and y, which is possible only in the complex case.

Bikchentaev generalized his result about representation $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$ in $B(H)$ to wide classes of C^*-algabras, in particular he considered properly infinite von Neumann algebras ([2], [3]). We believe that all the results proved in our paper can also be generalized from $B(H)$ to any properly infinite von Neumann algebra.

References

[1] A. M. Bikchentaev, *On the representation of linear operators in a Hilbert space as finite sums of products of projections*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 393 (2003), no. 4, 444–447 (Russian); English transl.: Doklady Mathematical Sciences, 68 (2003), 376–379.

[2] A. M. Bikchentaev, *On the representation of elements of a von Neumann algebra in the form of finite sums of products of projections*, Siberian Math. J. 46 (2005), no. 1, 24–34.

[3] A. M. Bikchentaev, *Representation of elements of von Neumann algebras in the form of finite sums of products of projections II*, Operator theory 20, 15–23, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 6, Theta, Bucharest, 2006.

[4] A. M. Bikchentaev, A. Paszkiewicz, *On representation of Hermitian operator as a sum of six products of two projections*, preprint.

[5] P. A. Fillmore, *Sums of operators with square zero*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 28 (1967) 285–288.

[6] L. W. Marcoux, *Projections, commutators and Lie ideals in C^*-algebras*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 110A (2010), no. 1, 31–55.

[7] C. Pearcy, D. Topping, *Sums of small numbers of idempotents*, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 453–465.

[8] J. G. Stampfli, *Sums of projections*, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964) 455–461.

Andrzej Komisarski, Department of Probability Theory and Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Łódź, ul.Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland

E-mail address: andkom@math.uni.lodz.pl

Adam Paszkiewicz, Department of Probability Theory and Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Łódź, ul.Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland

E-mail address: adampasz@math.uni.lodz.pl