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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a proof of the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Jensen functional equation


$$
f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))=2 f(x), \quad x, y \in G,
$$

where $G$ is an amenable semigroup and $\sigma$ is an involution of $G$.

## 1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [17] gave a wide ranging talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Given a group $G_{1}$, a metric group $\left(G_{2}, d\right)$, a number $\epsilon>0$ and a mapping $f: G_{1} \longrightarrow G_{2}$ which satisfies $d(f(x y), f(x) f(y))<\epsilon$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, does there exist an homomorphism $g: G_{1} \longrightarrow G_{2}$ and a constant $k>0$, depending only on $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ such that $d(f(x), g(x))<k \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ?
In 1941, Hyers [10] considered the case of approximately additive mappings under the assumption that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are Banach spaces.
Rassias [16] provided a generalization of the Hyers' Theorem for linear mappings, by allowing the Cauchy difference to be unbounded.
Beginning around the year 1980, several results for the Hyers-UlamRassias stability of very many functional equations have been proved by several researchers. For more detailed, we can refer for example to [5], [7], [8], 11], [12], [15], [19].
Let $G$ be a semigroup with neutral element $e$. Let $\sigma$ be an involution of $G$, which means that $\sigma(x y)=\sigma(y) \sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(\sigma(x))=x$ for all $x, y \in G$.
We say that $f: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the Jensen functional equation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))=2 f(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.
The Jensen functional equation (1.1) takes the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
1
\end{array}\right)=2 f(x)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, when $\sigma(x)=x^{-1}$ and $G$ is a group.
The stability in the sens of Hyers-Ulam of the Jensen equations (1.1) and (1.2) has been studied by various authors when $G$ is an abelian group or a vector space. The interested reader can be referred to Jung [13], Kim [14] and Bouikhalene et al. [1, 2].
Recently, Faiziev and Sahoo [4] have proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (1.2) on some noncommutative groups such as metabelian groups and $T(2, K)$, where $K$ is an arbitrary commutative field with characteristic different from two.
In this paper, motivated by the ideas of Forti, Sikorska 6] and Yang [18], we give a proof of the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Jensen functional equation (1.1), under the condition that $G$ is an amenable semigroup.

## 2. Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (1.1) on amenable SEMIGROUPS

In this section we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (1.1) on an amenable semigroup $G$.

We recall that a semigroupe $G$ is said to be amenable if there exists an invariant mean on the space of the bounded complex functions defined on $G$. We refer to [9] for the definition and properties of invariant means.
The main result of the present paper is the following.
Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be an amenable semigroup with neutral element $e$. Let $f: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function satisfying the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))-2 f(x)| \leq \delta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and for some nonnegative $\delta$. Then there exists a unique solution $g$ of the Jensen equation (1.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-g(x)-f(e)| \leq 3 \delta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
First, we recall the following lemma which is a generalization of the useful lemma obtained by Forti and Sikorska in [6]. The proof was given by the authors in [3].

Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a semigroup and $B$ be a Banach space. Let $f: G \rightarrow B$ be a function for which there exists a solution $g$ of the Drygas functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y x)+g(\sigma(y) x)=2 g(x)+g(y)+g(\sigma(y)), \quad x, y \in G \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\|f(x)-g(x)\| \leq M$, for all $x \in G$ and for some $M \geq 0$.
Then
$g(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} 2^{-2 n}\left\{f^{e}\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{k-1}\left[f^{e}\left(\left(x^{2^{n-k}} \sigma(x)^{2^{n-k}}\right)^{2^{k-1}}\right)+f^{e}\left(\left(\sigma(x)^{2^{n-k}} x^{2^{n-k}}\right)^{2^{k-1}}\right)\right]\right\}$
$+2^{-n}\left\{f^{o}\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[f^{e}\left(\left(x^{2^{k-1}} \sigma(x)^{2^{k-1}}\right)^{2^{n-k}}\right)-f^{e}\left(\left(\sigma(x)^{2^{k-1}} x^{2^{k-1}}\right)^{2^{n-k}}\right)\right]\right\}$,
where $f^{e}(x)=\frac{f(x)+f(\sigma(x))}{2}, f^{o}(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(\sigma(x))}{2}$ are the even and odd part of $f$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be semigroup with neutral element e and $B$ a complex Banach space. Assume that $f: G \longrightarrow B$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))-2 f(x)| \leq \delta \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and for some $\delta \geq 0$. Then the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f\left(x^{2^{n}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x \in G$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-g(x)-f(e)| \leq \frac{3 \delta}{2} \text { and } g\left(x^{2}\right)=2 g(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
The function $g$ with the condition (2.7) is unique.
Proof. We define a function $h: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $h(x)=f(x)-f(e)$. First, by using the inequality (2.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x y)+h(x \sigma(y))-2 h(x)| \leq \delta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Letting $x=e$ in (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h^{e}(y)\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in G$. Similarly, we can put $y=x$ in (2.8) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(x^{2}\right)+h(x \sigma(x))-2 h(x)\right| \leq \delta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Since $h(x \sigma(x))=h^{e}(x \sigma(x))$, so from (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(x^{2}\right)-2 h(x)\right| \leq \frac{3 \delta}{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Now, by applying some approach used in [4], we get the rest of the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In the proof we use some ideas from Yang [18] and Forti, Sikorska [6].
Setting $x=e$ in (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{e}(y)-f(e)\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in G$.
The inequalities (2.1), (2.12) and the triangle inequality gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 3) } \quad|f(x y)+f(y x)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)+2 f(e)|  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq|f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))-2 f(x)|+|f(y x)+f(y \sigma(x))-2 f(y)| \\
& +|2 f(e)-f(x \sigma(y))-f(y \sigma(x))| \\
& \leq 3 \delta
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (2.1) (2.12) and (2.13) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(y x)+f(\sigma(y) x)-2 f(x)| \leq|f(y x)+f(x y)-2 f(y)-2 f(x)+2 f(e)|  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad+|f(\sigma(y) x)+f(x \sigma(y))-2 f(\sigma(y))-2 f(x)+2 f(e)| \\
& +|-f(x y)-f(x \sigma(y))+2 f(x)|+|2 f(y)+2 f(\sigma(y))-4 f(e)| \leq 9 \delta
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (2.1) and (2.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
|f(y x)-f(\sigma(x) \sigma(y))+f(y \sigma(x))-f(x \sigma(y))-2(f(y)-f(\sigma(y)))|  \tag{2.15}\\
\leq|f(y x)+f(y \sigma(x))-2 f(y)|+|f(x \sigma(y))+f(\sigma(x) \sigma(y))-2 f(\sigma(y))| \\
\leq 10 \delta
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{o}(y x)+f^{o}(y \sigma(x))-2 f^{o}(y)\right| \leq 5 \delta \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. So, for fixed $y \in G$, the functions $x \longmapsto f^{o}(y x)-$ $f^{o}(x \sigma(y))$ and $x \longmapsto f^{o}(x y)+f^{o}(x \sigma(y))-2 f^{o}(x)$ are bounded on $G$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left\{f_{\sigma(y) \sigma(z)}^{o}+\right. & \left.f_{\sigma(y) z}^{o}-2 f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\}=m\left\{\left(f_{\sigma(z)}^{o}+f_{z}^{o}-2 f^{o}\right)_{\sigma(y)}\right\}  \tag{2.17}\\
& =m\left\{f_{\sigma(z)}^{o}+f_{z}^{o}-2 f^{o}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is an invariant mean on $G$.
By using some computation as the one of (2.14) we get, for every fixed $y \in G$ the function $x \longmapsto f^{o}(y x)+f^{o}(\sigma(y) x)-2 f^{o}(x)$ is bounded and

$$
\begin{gather*}
m\left\{{ }_{z y} f^{o}+{ }_{\sigma(z) y} f^{o}-2_{y} f^{o}\right\}=m\left\{y\left(z f^{o}+{ }_{\sigma(z)} f^{o}-2 f^{o}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.18}\\
=m\left\{{ }_{z} f^{o}+{ }_{\sigma(z)} f^{o}-2 f^{o}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(y):=m\left\{{ }_{y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\}, \quad y \in G . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the definition of $\phi$ and $m$ the equalities (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(z y)+\phi(\sigma(z) y)=m\left\{{ }_{z y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y) \sigma(z)}^{o}\right\}+m\left\{\sigma(z) y f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y) z}^{o}\right\}  \tag{2.20}\\
&=m\left\{{ }_{z y} f^{o}+{ }_{\sigma(z) y} f^{o}-2_{y} f^{o}\right\}-m\left\{f_{\sigma(y) \sigma(z)}^{o}+f_{\sigma(y) z}^{o}-2 f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\} \\
&+2 m\left\{{ }_{y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\} \\
&=m\left\{{ }_{z} f^{o}+{ }_{\sigma(z)} f^{o}-2 f^{o}\right\}-m\left\{f_{\sigma(z)}^{o}+f_{z}^{o}-2 f^{o}\right\} \\
&+2 m\left\{{ }_{y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\} \\
&=m\left\{{ }_{z} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(z)}^{o}\right\}+m\left\{{ }_{\sigma(z)} f^{o}-f_{z}^{o}\right\}+2 m\left\{{ }_{y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}\right\} \\
&= 2 \phi(y)+\phi(z)+\phi(\sigma(z)),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\phi$ is a solution of the Drygas functional equation (2.3). Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\phi}{2}(y)-f^{o}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\phi(y)-2 f^{o}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|m\left\{{ }_{y} f^{o}-f_{\sigma(y)}^{o}-2 f^{o}(y)\right\}\right|  \tag{2.21}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}|m| \sup _{x \in G}\left|f^{o}(y x)-f^{o}(x \sigma(y))-2 f^{o}(y)\right|=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{x \in G}\left|f^{o}(y x)+f^{o}(y \sigma(x))-2 f^{o}(y)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2} \delta .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by using Lemma 2.2: the mapping $\frac{\phi}{2}$ is a solution of Drygas functional equation (2.3) and $\frac{\phi}{2}-f^{o}$ is a bounded mapping, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi}{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} 2^{-n} f^{o}\left(x^{2^{n}}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\frac{\phi}{2}$ is odd, so $\frac{\phi}{2}$ is a solution of Jensen functional equation (1.1). Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f(x)-\frac{\phi}{2}-f(e)\right|=\left|f^{e}(x)+f^{o}(x)-\frac{\phi}{2}-f(e)\right|  \tag{2.23}\\
& \leq\left|f^{e}(x)-f(e)\right|+\left|f^{o}(x)-\frac{\phi}{2}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{5 \delta}{2}=\frac{\delta}{2}=3 \delta .
\end{align*}
$$

For proving the uniqueness of the obtained solution, we use the following: if $g$ is a solution of equation (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(e)=g^{e}(x)=g(x \sigma(x)) ; \quad g\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)+\left(2^{n}-1\right) g(e)=2^{n} g(x) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x \in G$.
By using (2.24) and the proof of [Propostion 3, [18]] we get the following result.

Corollary 2.4. Let $G$ be an amenable semigroup with neutral element $e$ and $B$ a Banach space Let $f: G \longrightarrow B$ be a function satisfying the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x y)+f(x \sigma(y))-2 f(x)\| \leq \delta \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and for some nonnegative $\delta$. Then there exists a unique solution $g$ of the Jensen equation (1.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-g(x)-f(e)\| \leq 3 \delta \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Corollary 2.5. Let $G$ be an amenable semigroup with neutral element $e$ and $B$ a Banach space Let $f: G \longrightarrow B$ be a function satisfying the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)\right\| \leq \delta \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and for some nonnegative $\delta$. Then there exists a unique solution $g$ of the Jensen equation (1.2) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-g(x)-f(e)\| \leq 3 \delta \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
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