

Binary relations between magnitudes of different dimensions used in material science optimization problems. Pseudo-state equation of Soft Magnetic Composites

Krzysztof Z. Sokalski

*Institute of Computer Science, Częstochowa University of Technology,
Al. Armii Krajowej 17, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland*

Bartosz Jankowski and Barbara Ślusarek

Tele and Radio Research Institute, Ratuszowa 11 Street, 03-450 Warszawa, Poland

New algorithm for optimizing technological parameters of soft magnetic composites has been derived on the base of topological structure of the power loss characteristics. In optimization processes of magnitudes obeying scaling it happens binary relations of magnitudes having different dimensions. From mathematical point of view in general case such a procedure is not permissible. However, in a case of the system obeying the scaling law it is so. It has been shown that in such systems binary relations of magnitudes of different dimensions is correct and has mathematical meaning which is important for practical use of scaling in optimization processes. Derived here structure of the set of all power loss characteristics in soft magnetic composite enables us to derive a formal pseudo-state equation of SMC. This equation constitutes a relation of the hardening temperature, the compaction pressure and a parameter characterizing the power loss characteristic. Finally, the pseudo-state equation improves the algorithm for designing the best values of technological parameters.

PACS numbers: 75.50.-y, 75.50.Bb, 89.75.Da, 81.40.Rs

Keywords: soft magnetic composites, scaling, binary relations, pseudo-state equation

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently novel concept of technological parameters' optimization has been applied in Soft Magnetic Composites (SMC) by Ślusarek et al., [1]. This concept bases on assumption that SMC is a self-similar system where function of loss of power obeys the scaling law [2]-[4]. The scaling is very useful tool due of the three reasons:

- it reduces number of independent variables f and B_m to the effective one f/B_m^α ,
- and determines general form of loss of power characteristic in a form of homogeneous function in general sense (h.f.g.s.),
- as well as enables us to use binary relations between magnitudes of different dimensions.

Reduction of independent variables bases on definition of h.f.g.s. $F(f, B_m)$ is h.f.g.s. if:

$$\exists \{a, b, c\} \in \mathbf{R}^3 : \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+ \quad F(\lambda^a f, \lambda^b B_m) = \lambda^c F(f, B_m). \quad (1)$$

According to the assumption concerning λ we are free to substitute any positive real number, for instance $\lambda = B_m^{-\frac{1}{b}}$, then we get:

$$\frac{F(f, B_m)}{B_m^\beta} = F\left(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha}, 1\right) \quad (2)$$

where f and B_m are frequency and peak of magnetic inductance, respectively. $F(\cdot, 1)$ is an arbitrary function, $\alpha = \frac{a}{b}$ and $\beta = \frac{c}{b}$ are scaling exponents.

Choice for the $F(\cdot, 1)$ depends on the power loss characteristics of investigated materials. In [1] we have modified the Bertotti decomposition rule [5]-[6] which led to the following form for $P_{tot}(\cdot) = F(\cdot, 1)$:

$$\frac{P_{tot}(f, B_m)}{B_m^\beta} = \Gamma_1 \frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} + \Gamma_2 \left(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} \right)^2 + \Gamma_3 \left(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} \right)^3 + \Gamma_4 \left(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} \right)^4, \quad (3)$$

where Γ_n have been estimated for different values of the technological parameters [1] (pressure and temperature). For purpose of this paper we take into account only one family of power loss characteristics which is presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The corresponding estimated values of the model parameters are presented in TABLE I. For all other details concerning SMC material and measurement data we refer to [1]. Now we are ready to formulate the goals of this paper.

Main goal is to minimize the power loss in SMC by optimal using model density of power loss (3) and corresponding From the first row of TABLE I we can see that dimensions of the Γ_i coefficients depend on the values of the α and β exponents. Therefore, the power loss characteristics presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 are different dimensions. So, we have to answer to the following question: are we able to relate them in the optimisation process which has been described in [1]? In this paper we will prove that if the considered characteristics obey the scaling, then the binary relation between them is invariant with respect to this transformation and comparison of two magnitudes of different dimensions has mathematical meaning.

Reach measurement data of power losses in Somaloy 500 have been transformed into parameters of (3) v.s. hardening temperature and compaction pressure Table I in [1]. Informations contained in this Table enable us to infer about topological structure of set of the power loss characteristics and finally to construct pseudo-state equation for SMC, and derive supplementary algorithm for the best values of technological parameters to that one published in [1].

II. SCALING OF BINARY RELATIONS

Let the power loss characteristic has the form determined by the scaling (2). It is important to remain that α and β are defined by initial exponents a, b and c (see after formula (2)):

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{b}, \quad \beta = \frac{c}{b}. \quad (4)$$

Let us concentrate our attention at the point on the $\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha}$ axis of Fig. 1 or Fig. 2:

$$\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} = \frac{f_1}{B_{m1}^{\alpha_1}} = \frac{f_2}{B_{m2}^{\alpha_2}} = \dots = \frac{f_4}{B_{m4}^{\alpha_4}} \quad (5)$$

Let us take into account the two characteristics and let us assume that

$$\frac{P_{tot1}}{B_{m1}^{\beta_1}} > \frac{P_{tot2}}{B_{m2}^{\beta_2}}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the considered binary relation is the strong inequality and corresponds to natural order presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The most important question of this research is whether (6) is invariant with respect to scaling:

$$\frac{P'_{tot1}}{B_{m1}'^{\beta_1}} > \frac{P'_{tot2}}{B_{m2}'^{\beta_2}}. \quad (7)$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ be an arbitrary positive real number. Then, the scaling of (7) goes according to the following algorithm:

- Let us perform the scaling with respect to λ of all independent magnitudes and the dependent one :

$$\begin{aligned} f'_i &= \lambda^{a_i} f_i, \\ B'_{m\ i} &= \lambda^{b_i} B_{m\ i}, \\ P'_{tot\ i} &= \lambda^{c_i} P_{tot\ i}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $i = 1, 2 \dots 4$ labels the considered characteristics.

- Substituting appropriate relations of (8) to (7) we derive:

$$\frac{P_{tot\ 1}}{B_{m\ 1}^{\beta_1}} \lambda^{c_1 - b_1 \beta_1} > \frac{P_{tot\ 2}}{B_{m\ 2}^{\beta_2}} \lambda^{c_2 - b_2 \beta_2}. \quad (9)$$

- Collecting all powers of λ on the left-hand side of (9) and taking into account (4) we derive the resulting power to be zero and:

$$\lambda^{c_1 - c_2 - b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2} = 1. \quad (10)$$

Therefore (6) is invariant with respect to scaling. This binary relation has mathematical meaning and constitutes the total order in the set of characteristics.

III. BINARY EQUIVALENCE RELATION

The result derived in Section II can be supplemented with the following binary equivalence relation. Let

$$X_{i,j} = \left(\frac{f_{i,j}}{B_{m\ i,j}^{\alpha_j}}, \frac{P_{tot\ i,j}}{B_{m\ i,j}^{\beta_j}} \right) \quad (11)$$

be i -th point of the j -th characteristic. Two points are related if they belong to the same characteristic:

$$X_{i,j} \mathcal{R} X_{k,j}. \quad (12)$$

Theorem: \mathcal{R} is equivalence relation. (The proof is trivial and can be done by checking out that the considered relation is: reflexive, symmetric and transitive.) Therefore, \mathcal{R} constitutes division of the positive-positive quarter of plane spanned by (11). The characteristics do not intersect each other except in the origin point which is excluded from the space. The result of this section implies that the power loss characteristics (2) and (3) are invariant with respect to scaling.

Structure of derived here the set of all characteristics of which some examples are presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 enables us to derive a formal pseudo-state equation of SMC. This equation constitutes a relation of the hardening temperature, the compaction pressure and a parameter characterizing the power loss characteristic corresponding to the values of these technological parameters. Finally, the pseudo-state equation will improve the algorithm for designing the best values of technological parameters.

TABLE I. Somaloy 500. Values of scaling exponents and coefficients of (3) v.s. compaction pressure and hardening temperatures, a selection from [1]

Temperature	Pressure	α	β	Γ_1	Γ_2	Γ_3	Γ_4
[$^{\circ}C$]	[MPa]	[-]	[-]	$[\frac{m^2}{s^2} T^{\alpha-\beta}]$	$[\frac{m^2}{s} T^{2\alpha-\beta}]$	$[m^2 T^{3\alpha-\beta}]$	$[m^2 s T^{4\alpha-\beta}]$
500	500	-1.312	-0.011	0.171	$3.606 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.953 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$-2.255 \cdot 10^{-12}$
500	600	-1.383	-0.125	0.153	$3.328 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$9.254 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$-1.177 \cdot 10^{-12}$
500	700	-1.735	-0.517	0.156	$2.393 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.309 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$-8.075 \cdot 10^{-14}$
500	900	-1.395	-0.082	0.101	$6.065 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-8.031 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$7.877 \cdot 10^{-13}$
400	800	-1.473	-0.28	0.183	$1.347 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.689 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.185 \cdot 10^{-13}$
450	800	-1.596	-0.123	0.145	$2.482 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-1.218 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$6.120 \cdot 10^{-14}$
550	800	-2.034	-1.326	0.106	$1.407 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-1.066 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.541 \cdot 10^{-13}$
600	800	-1.608	-0.232	1.220	$8.941 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$-5.302 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.664 \cdot 10^{-11}$




FIG. 1. Selection of the power loss characteristics P_{tot}/B_m^β vs. f/B_m^α calculated according to (3) and Table I for Somaloy 500 [1]. Corresponding hardening temperature was $500^{\circ}C$.

IV. PSEUDO-STATE EQUATION OF SMC

Let \tilde{C} be set of all possible power loss characteristics in considered SMC. Each characteristic is smooth curve in $[f/B_m^\alpha, P_{tot}/B_m^\beta]$ plane which corresponds to a point in $[T, p]$ plane. In order to derive the pseudo-state equation we transform each power loss characteristic into a number V corresponding to (T, p) point. By this way we obtain a function of two variables:

$$(T, p) \longrightarrow V. \quad (13)$$

This function must satisfy the following condition. Let us concentrate our attention at the two following points:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_1}{B_{m1}^{\alpha_1}} &= \frac{f}{B_m^\alpha} \\ \frac{f_2}{B_{m2}^{\alpha_2}} &= \frac{f}{B_m^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$




FIG. 2. A selection of the power loss characteristics P_{tot}/B_m^β vs. f/B_m^α calculated according to (3) and Table I for Somaloy 500 [1].




FIG. 3. Pseudo-Isotherm $T = 500^\circ C$ of the Low-losses phase, according to data of Table II

Let us consider the two characteristics $P_{tot1}/B_{m1}^{\beta_1}$ and $P_{tot2}/B_{m2}^{\beta_2}$ of the two samples composed under T_1, p_1 and T_2, p_2 values of temperature and pressure, respectively. While, the other technological parameters powder compositions and volume fraction are constant. Let us assume that for (14) the following relation holds:

$$\frac{P_{tot1}}{B_{m1}^{\beta_1}} > \frac{P_{tot2}}{B_{m2}^{\beta_2}}. \quad (15)$$

TABLE II. V measure v.s. hardening temperature and compaction pressure.

Temperature	Pressure	V
[K]	[MPa]	$\frac{W}{k_B T^\beta}$
723,15	800	40,6
773,15	900	43,75
773,15	700	47,25
673,15	800	50,3
773,15	600	57,12
823,15	800	81,5
773,15	500	89,28
742,15	764	492,3
753,15	780	509,2
804,15	764	528,5
711,15	764	547,0
873,15	800	720,0

It results from the derived structure of \tilde{C} that (15) holds for each value of (14). Therefore we have to assume the following condition of sought $V(T, p)$: If the relation (15) holds for T_1, p_1, T_2, p_2 then the following relation has to be satisfied for $V(T, p)$:

$$V(T_1, p_1) > V(T_2, p_2). \quad (16)$$

Moreover, $V(T, p)$ has to indicate place of corresponding characteristic in the ordered \tilde{C} . The simplest choice satisfying these requirements is the following average:

$$V(T, p) = \frac{1}{\phi_{max} - \phi_{min}} \int_{\phi_{min}}^{\phi_{max}} \frac{P_{tot}(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha})}{B_m^\beta} d(\frac{f}{B_m^\alpha}), \quad (17)$$

where the integration domain is common for the all characteristics. We have selected the common domain of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2: $\phi_{min} = 0$, $\phi_{max} = 4000[\frac{1}{s T^\alpha}]$. Using (3) we transform (17) to the working formula for the measure V :

$$V(T, p) = \frac{1}{\phi_{min} - \phi_{max}} \int_{\phi_{min}}^{\phi_{max}} x (\Gamma_1 + x (\Gamma_2 + x (\Gamma_3 + x \Gamma_4))) dx, \quad (18)$$

where, $x = \frac{f}{B_m^\alpha}$. α, Γ_i are coefficients dependent on T and p , see TABLE I. The values of $V(T, p)$ are tabulated in TABLE II. TABLE II enables us to draw pseudo-isotherm. It is presented in Fig. 3. However, in order to derive the complete pseudo-state equation we must create a mathematical model. On basis of Fig. 3 we start from the classical gas state-equation as an initial approximation:

$$\frac{pV}{k_B T} = 1, \quad (19)$$

where k_B is pseudo-Boltzmann constant. In order to extent (19) to a realistic equation we apply again the scaling hypothesis (2) [2]-[4]:

$$V\left(\frac{T}{T_c}, \frac{p}{p_c}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{p_c}\right)^\gamma \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{\frac{T}{T_c}}{\left(\frac{p}{p_c}\right)^\delta}\right), \quad (20)$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is an arbitrary function to be determined. γ, δ and T_c, p_c are scaling exponents and scaling parameters respectively, to be determined. For our conveniences

TABLE III. Somaloy 500, low-losses phase. Values of pseudo-state equation's parameters and the Padé approximant's coefficients of (22).

γ	δ	T_c	p_c	G_0	G_1	G_2
0,1715	1,2812	21,622	37,729	370315315	-47752251	1734952
G_3	G_4	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	-
-1,3764	-678,26	170,80	6243,8	386,96	-28,699	-

TABLE IV. Somaloy 500, high-losses phase. Values of pseudo-state equation's parameters and the Padé approximant's coefficients of (22).

γ	δ	T_c	p_c	G_0	G_1	G_2
0,1810	1,5550	22,949	30,197	365210688	-47714207	1762773
G_3	G_4	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	-
-1,3763	-683,38	170,77	5739,9	387,81	-22,514	-

we introduce the following variables:

$$\tau = \frac{T}{T_c}, \quad \pi = \frac{p}{p_c}, \quad X = \frac{\frac{T}{T_c}}{\left(\frac{p}{p_c}\right)^\delta} = \frac{\tau}{\pi^\delta}. \quad (21)$$

In order to extent (19) to a full state-equation we apply Padé approximante by analogy to virial expansion derived by Ree and Hoover [7]:

$$V(\tau, \pi) = \pi^\gamma \frac{G_0 + G_1 X + G_2 X^2 + G_3 X^3 + G_4 X^4}{1 + D_1 X + D_2 X^2 + D_3 X^3 + D_4 X^4}, \quad (22)$$

where $G_0, \dots, G_4, D_1, \dots, D_4$ are parameters of the Padé approximante. All parameters have to be determined from the data presented in TABLE II.

V. ESTIMATION OF THE PSUDO-STATE EQUATION'S PARAMETERS

At the beginning we have to notice that the data collected in TABLE II reveal sudden change of V between two points: [773, 15; 500, 0] and [742, 15; 764, 0]. This suggests existence of a crossover between two phases: low-losses phase and high-losses phase. We take this effect into account and we divide the data of Table II into two subsets corresponding to these two phases, respectively. Since the crossover consists in changing of characteristic exponents for the given universality class it is necessary to perform estimations of the model parameters for each phase separately. Minimizations of χ^2 for both phases have been performed by using MICROSOFT EXCEL 2010, where

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(V(\tau_i, \pi_i) - \pi_i^\gamma \frac{G_0 + G_1 X_i + G_2 X_i^2 + G_3 X_i^3 + G_4 X_i^4}{1 + D_1 X_i + D_2 X_i^2 + D_3 X_i^3 + D_4 X_i^4} \right)^2, \quad (23)$$

where $N = 7$ and $N = 5$ for the low-losses and high-losses phases, respectively. Table III and Table IV present estimated values of the model parameters for the low-losses and for high-losses phases, respectively.

VI. OPTIMIZATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Function $V(T, p)$ serves a power loss measure versus the hardening temperature and compaction pressure. In order to explain how to optimize the technological parameters




FIG. 4. Phase diagram for Somaloy 500.

with the pseudo-state equation (22) we plot the phase diagram of considered SMC Fig. 4. Note that all losses' characteristics collapsed to a one curve for the each phase. Taking into account the Low-losses phase we determine the lowest losses at $\tau \cdot \pi^{-\delta} = 19, 75$. This gives the following continuous subspace of the optimal points:

$$\frac{\frac{T}{T_c}}{\left(\frac{p}{p_c}\right)^\delta} = 19, 75. \quad (24)$$

(24) represents the minimal iso-power losse curve. All points satisfying (24) are solutions of the optimization problem for technical parameters of SMC.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

By introducing the binary relations we have revealed twofold. The power loss characteristics do not cross each other which makes the topology's set of this curves very useful and effectively that we can perform all calculations in the one-dimension space spanned by the scaled frequency [1]-[4] or here in the case of pseudo-state equation in the scaled temperature. For general knowledge concerning such a topology we refer to the papers by Egenhofer [8] and by Nedas et al. [9]. However, to our knowledge this paper is the first one about the binary relations between magnitudes of different dimensions in the sense of different physical magnitudes.

The efficiency of scaling in solving problems concerning power losses in soft magnetic composites has already been confirmed in recent paper [1]. However, this paper is the first one which presents an application of scaling in designing the technological parameters' values by using the pseudo-state equation of SMC. The obtained result is the continuous set of points satisfying (24). All solutions of this equations are equivalent for the optimization of the power losses. Therefore, the remaining degree of freedom can be used for optimizing magnetic properties of the considered SMC. Ultimately, one must say that the degree of success achieved when applying the scaling depends on the property of the data. The data must obey the scaling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work has been supported by National Center of Science within the framework of research project Grant N N507 249940.

-
- [1] B. Ślusarek, B. Jankowski, K. Sokalski, J. Szczygłowski, Characteristics of power loss in Soft Magnetic Composites a key for designing the best values of technological parameters, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **581** 699-704 (2013), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2013.07.084>, ((For preprint see arXiv:1304.6380v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 20 Apr 2013.)).
 - [2] K. Sokalski, J. Szczygłowski, M. Najgebauer and W. Wilczyński, Losses scaling in soft magnetic materials, *COMPEL: Int. J. Comput. Math. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, **26**, 640-649 (2007).
 - [3] K. Sokalski, J. Szczygłowski, *Acta. Phys. Pol. A*, **115**, 920-924 (2009).
 - [4] K. Sokalski, J. Szczygłowski, and W. Wilczyński, Scaling conception of power loss' separation in soft magnetic materials, *International Journal of Condensed Matter, Advanced Materials, and Superconductivity Research (NOVA)*, **12**. Nr. 4, (2013). (For preprint see arXiv:1111.0939v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 3 Nov 2011.)
 - [5] G. Bertotti, *J. Mag. Mag. Mater.* **41**, 253 (1984).
 - [6] G. Bertotti, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **24**, 621 (1988).
 - [7] F.H. Ree, W.G. Hoover, *J.Chem.Phys.* **40**, 474 (1964).
 - [8] M. Egenhofer, A formal definition of binary topological relationships, in: W. Litwin and H.- J. Schek, eds., *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Foundations of Data Organization and Algorithms (FODO)*, Paris, France, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 367 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989) 457-472.
 - [9] K. A. Nedas, M.J. Egenhofer and D. Wilmsen, Definitions of Line-Line Relations, *Int. J.Geo. Inf. Sc.* Vol. 21, Nos. 1-2 (2007), 21-48. .