

ON THE GROWTH EXPONENT OF C-HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH ALGEBRAIC GRAPHS

ADAM BIAŁOŻYT, MACIEJ P. DENKOWSKI, PIOTR TWORZEWSKI, TOMASZ
WAWAK

ABSTRACT. This paper is the first of a series dealing with c-holomorphic functions defined on algebraic sets and having algebraic graphs. These functions may be seen as the complex counterpart of the recently introduced *regulous* functions. Herein we study their growth exponent at infinity. A general result on injectivity on fibres of an analytic set together with a theorem of Tworzewski and Winiarski gives a bound for the growth exponent of a c-holomorphic function with algebraic graph in terms of the projective degrees of the sets involved. We prove also that algebraicity of the graph is equivalent to the function being the restriction of a rational function (a Serre-type theorem). Then we turn to considering generically finite c-holomorphic mappings with algebraic graphs and we prove a Bézout-type theorem. We also study a particular case of the Łojasiewicz inequality at infinity in this setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main object we will be dealing with in this article are continuous functions with algebraic graphs, defined on a given algebraic subset of a complex finite-dimensional vector space M . Let us stress from the beginning that this is a much larger class than the usual class of regular functions (see Example 3.13 and Theorem 4.2). It seems that this particular class of functions has not been yet studied even though it is part of Remmert's larger class of c-holomorphic functions.

To make the notation clearer, we will consider $M = \mathbb{C}^m$ (anyway, everything here is invariant under linear isomorphisms). Our general aim is to present several effective results concerning this class of functions and thus we study their growth exponent and — in a forthcoming paper — also their Łojasiewicz's exponents at infinity, the Nullstellensatz in this class. Actually, the class of functions we are interested in coincides with the class of c-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs. C-holomorphic functions were introduced by R. Remmert in his work on proper projections of analytic sets as continuous functions on defined analytic sets and holomorphic at regular points. When dealing with such functions we are bound to use

Date: February 8th 2014, *Extended:* December 22nd 2019.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32B15, 32A17, 32A22.

Key words and phrases. Complex analytic and algebraic sets, c-holomorphic functions, Liouville type theorem, Bézout inequality, rational functions, regular functions.

purely geometric methods, as they do not enjoy good enough differential or algebraic properties (compare e.g. [D1]). The class of *c*-holomorphic functions lies in between the class of strongly holomorphic functions (local restrictions of holomorphic functions in the ambient space) and Cartan's weakly holomorphic functions (functions defined and holomorphic in regular points, locally bounded near the singularities).

It may be interesting to note a kind of analogy between *c*-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs and the recently introduced *regulous* functions [FHMM] as well as in [Kol] (see also [KolN]), in connection with the important results of [K]. In essence, *regulous* functions are real rational functions that admit continuous extensions. Similarly, as shown in Theorem 4.2, *c*-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs on an algebraic set are exactly continuous restrictions of rational functions.

Our main results presented here include a general theorem concerning the generic injectivity of non-constant *c*-holomorphic functions on fibred analytic sets (Theorem 2.1), an estimate of the growth exponent at infinity of *c*-holomorphic functions with algebraic graph (Theorem 3.12 and Proposition 3.14), an algebraic graph theorem (Theorem 4.2), a general Bézout-type inequality (Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6 for the case of curves) and a new sharp upper bound for the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity of a proper *c*-holomorphic mapping with algebraic graph (Theorem 5.9).

For the convenience of the reader we recall the definition of a *c*-holomorphic mapping. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be an analytic subset of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$.

Definition 1.1 (R. Remmert, see [L], [Wh]). A mapping $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *c-holomorphic* if it is continuous and the restriction of f to the subset of regular points $\text{Reg} A$ is holomorphic. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$ the ring of *c*-holomorphic mappings (with pointwise multiplication), and by $\mathcal{O}_c(A)$ the ring of *c*-holomorphic functions.

It is a way of generalizing the notion of holomorphic mapping onto sets having singularities and a more convenient one than the usual notion of *weakly holomorphic functions* (i.e. functions defined and holomorphic on $\text{Reg} A$ and locally bounded on A). The following theorem is fundamental for all what we shall do (cf. [Wh] 4.5Q):

Theorem 1.2. *A mapping $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is c-holomorphic iff it is continuous and its graph $\Gamma_f := \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in A\}$ is an analytic subset of $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$.*

For a more detailed list of basic properties of *c*-holomorphic mappings see [Wh] and [D1]. Let us just note that composing two *c*-holomorphic functions yields again a *c*-holomorphic function:

Proposition 1.3. *Assume that X and Y are analytic subsets of open sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ respectively, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are c-holomorphic. Then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_c(X)$.*

Proof. Since $g \circ f$ is continuous, it is enough to check that its graph is locally analytic (cf. Theorem 1.2). Consider the natural projection $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, z)$ where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\Gamma_{g \circ f} = \pi((\Gamma_f \times \mathbb{C}) \cap (X \times \Gamma_g)).$$

The projected set $Z := (\Gamma_f \times \mathbb{C}) \cap (X \times \Gamma_g)$ is analytic. Given a compact set $K \subset X \times \mathbb{C}$ we put $L := p(K)$ for $p(x, z) = x$. Now, L is compact and

$$\pi^{-1}(K) \cap Z \subset L \times f(L) \times g(f(L)),$$

hence $\pi|_Z$ is proper. By the Remmert Proper Mapping Theorem, $\Gamma_{g \circ f}$ is analytic as required. \square

Finally, we recall some notions we will be using. For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ let P^+ denote its homogeneous part of maximal degree, i.e. $\deg P^+ = \deg P$ and $\deg(P - P^+) < \deg P$. We denote by $\tilde{P}(t, z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha z^\alpha t^{d-|\alpha|}$ the homogenization of $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ where $d = \deg P$. Then, $\tilde{P}(0, z) = P^+(z)$.

Recall also (see [L] VII.§7 and [Ch]) that if $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic of pure dimension k , then its projective degree $\deg \Gamma = \#(L \cap \Gamma)$ for any $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ affine subspace of dimension $n-k$ transversal to Γ and such that $L_\infty \cap \overline{\Gamma} = \emptyset$, where $\overline{\Gamma} = \overline{\mathbb{P}(\{1\} \times \Gamma)}$, for $\mathbb{P}: \mathbb{C}_*^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$ the canonical projection, is the projective closure and L_∞ denotes the points of L at infinity (i.e. the intersection of \overline{L} with the hyperplane at infinity in \mathbb{P}_n). The point is that the condition $L_\infty \cap \overline{\Gamma} = \emptyset$ (equivalently: $L_\infty \cap \Gamma_\infty = \emptyset$) is equivalent to the inclusion

$$\Gamma \subset \{u + v \in L' + L \mid |v| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |u|)\}$$

where L' is any k -dimensional affine subspace such that $L' + L = \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, for any $(n-k)$ -dimensional affine subspace L cutting A in a zero-dimensional set (with no additional hypotheses) there is $\#(L \cap \Gamma) \leq \deg \Gamma$. Writing $G'_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for the set of affine hyperplanes of dimension $n-k$, we have

$$\deg \Gamma = \max\{\#(L \cap \Gamma) \mid L \in G'_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n): \dim(L \cap \Gamma) = 0\}.$$

The projective degree of Γ is in fact equal to the local degree (Lelong number) at zero of the cone defined by Γ . Namely, if C_Γ denotes the closure in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ of the pointed cone $S_\Gamma := \{\mathbb{C}_* \cdot (1, x) \mid x \in \Gamma\}$, then $\deg \Gamma = \deg_0 C_\Gamma$. Of course, $C_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma}) \cup \{0\}$.

Let $\Gamma^* := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid (0, x) \in C_\Gamma\}$ which corresponds to the points at infinity but seen in the affine space. In particular, $\{P^+ = 0\} = \{P = 0\}^*$. Moreover, $\Gamma^* = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \exists \Gamma \ni v_\nu \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda_\nu v_\nu \rightarrow v\}$ and this is a complex cone. Finally, observe that now $V_\infty \cap W_\infty = \emptyset$, where $V, W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are algebraic, is equivalent to $V^* \cap W^* = \{0\}$. Note by the way that with this approach it is easy to check the following:

Proposition 1.4. *Let $F: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant polynomial. Then $\deg F = \deg \Gamma_F$.*

Proof. Observe that Γ_F is the zero set of the polynomial $G(x, t) = t - F(x)$ of degree $d := \deg F$ (we may assume that $d > 1$, otherwise there is nothing to prove). Clearly, $G^+ = -F^+$ and so $\Gamma_F^* = \{x : F^+(x) = 0\} \times \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, an affine line $L \in G'_1(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ realizing $\deg \Gamma_F$ can be chosen of the form $\ell \times \{t_0\}$ with $\ell \in G'_1(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that $\ell^* \cap \{F^+ = 0\} = \emptyset$. But then $L \cap \Gamma_F$ corresponds to the degree of $F|_\ell$ which is d . \square

Remark 1.5. It is worth noting that such an equality is no longer true for polynomial mappings (where the degree is defined to be the greatest degree of the components, which is accounted for in the next section). Indeed, it suffices to consider a proper polynomial mapping $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m) : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\deg F_j > 1$ and $\bigcap \{F_j^+ = 0\} = \{0\}$. Then by the Bézout Theorem, for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ⁽¹⁾ there is $\#F^{-1}(w) = \prod \deg F_j > \deg F$ and since $F^{-1}(w) = \Gamma_F \cap (\mathbb{C}^m \times \{w\}^m)$, it follows that $\deg \Gamma_F \geq \#F^{-1}(w)$. For instance, $m = 2$, $F(x, y) = (x^2, y^3)$ is a good example.

Nonetheless, if $F : \mathbb{C}_x^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_y^n$ is a proper polynomial mapping (hence $n \geq m$), then in view of the main result of [TW1] applied to the natural projection $\pi(x, y) = x$ restricted to Γ_F (it is obviously one-sheeted), we obtain

$$\Gamma_F \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid |y| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\deg \Gamma_F}\}$$

with some $C > 0$ (here $|\cdot|$ denotes any norm). This means that $|F(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\deg \Gamma_F}$ and so $\deg F \leq \deg \Gamma_F$ (cf. section 3).

2. GENERIC INJECTIVITY OF C-HOLOMORPHIC NON-CONSTANT MAPPINGS ON FIBRED ANALYTIC SETS

We consider the following general situation. Let $A \subset D \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pure k -dimensional analytic set with proper projection $\pi(x, y) = x$ onto the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$. Let d denote the multiplicity of $\pi|_A$ as a branched covering and $\sigma_\pi \subsetneq D$ its critical (or discriminant) set.

We assume throughout this section that for projections π' close to π we still have $\pi'|_A$ a d -sheeted branched covering. Close, in this case, means close in the space of all epimorphisms (projections, as it were) $\mathbb{C}^{k+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$. Equivalently, that means that $\text{Ker } \pi$ and $\text{Ker } \pi'$ are close in the n -th Grassmannian $G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, i.e. in the sense of the Kuratowski convergence, cf. [DP] where this is explained in details. We shortly recall that the family of closed subset \mathcal{F}_X of a locally compact metric space X can be endowed with a metrizable and compact topology in which the convergence of closed sets $F_\nu \rightarrow F$ is equivalent to

- (a) Any $x \in F$ is the limit of a sequence $F_\nu \ni x_\nu \rightarrow x$;
- (b) Given a compact set $K \subset X \setminus F$, there is $F_\nu \cap K = \emptyset$ for all ν large enough.

¹by ‘generic’ we always mean ‘apart from a nowhere dense algebraic set’.

This is a natural generalization of the convergence of compact sets in the Hausdorff metric. More importantly, the natural topology of the Grassmannian and of $G'_k(\mathbb{C})$ gives exactly this convergence (cf. [L] and [DP]). It is then easy to check that the mapping

$$\mathbb{C}^m \times G_k(\mathbb{C}^m) \ni (x, L) \mapsto x + L \in G'_k(\mathbb{C}^m)$$

is continuous.

As a matter of fact, we are particularly interested in the following two situations:

- (1) Suppose that $D = \mathbb{C}^k$ and A is algebraic. If π is a projection realizing the projective degree $\deg A$, then $A \subset \{(x, y) \mid |y| \leq \text{const.}(1+|x|)\}$. Thence it is easy to see that for n -dimensional linear subspaces $L \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$ close enough to $L_0 := \{0\}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ the projection π^L along L is still proper on A and has multiplicity $\deg A$ ⁽²⁾.
- (2) Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is locally analytic, $0 \in A$ and d is the local degree (Lelong number) $\deg_0 A$. If $\pi|_A$ realizes $\deg_0 A$ (as its multiplicity), then for the tangent cone $C_0(A)$, keeping the notations introduced so far, we have $L_0 \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ and this property is open in the Grassmannian (cf. [Ch] or [L] ⁽³⁾). Since there is a bounded neighbourhood $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of zero such that $(\{0\}^k \times \overline{W}) \cap A = \{0\}$, by taking $A \cap (G \times W)$, for some bounded neighbourhood $G \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ of the origin, instead of A , we may assume that $L_0 \cap A = \{0\}$. Note that $L_0 \cap A = \{0\}$ implies $A \cap (U \times \mathbb{C}^n) = A \cap (U \times V)$ for some bounded neighbourhoods of the origin $U \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. It is easy to see that we may choose the same U for any vector complement L of $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}^n$ sufficiently close to L_0 ⁽⁴⁾, i.e. in such a way that $\pi^L(A \cap (U + L)) = U$. Since U may be chosen connected, we put $D := U$.

Accordingly with the notations above, we will identify the projections π^L with their kernels $L = \text{Ker } \pi^L$. Write $L_0 = \text{Ker } \pi$ and $L' = \text{Ker } \pi'$, if necessary.

Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a c -holomorphic function which is *non-constant on any irreducible component of A* .

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$ denote the neighbourhood of π for which the multiplicity of the projections onto D is d .

²Indeed, for L close to L_0 we will still have $A \subset \{x+u \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^k, u \in L, |u| \leq \text{const.}(1+|x|)\}$; this follows from the fact that this inclusion is equivalent to the intersection at infinity being void: $A_\infty \cap \overline{L_0} = \emptyset$.

³By [L] B.6.8, for any $L_0 \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, the sets $\{L \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n}) \mid L \subset \{u+v \in L_0 + L_0^\perp \mid |v| \leq c|u|\}\}$ for varying $c > 0$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of L_0 .

⁴This is a simple consequence of the observation that $L_0 \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to saying that $A \cap (U \times V) \subset \{(x, y) \mid |y| \leq \text{const.}|x|\}$ for some neighbourhoods of zero. In our situation we can take $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, as already noted. The form of neighbourhoods of L_0 (see the previous footnote) account for the rest.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the assumptions made above, there is a projection $\pi' \in \mathcal{P}$ arbitrarily close to π and such that f is injective on the generic fibre of $\pi'|_A$.*

Proof. We will show that in any neighbourhood of L_0 in $G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, there is an L such that

$$(\#) \quad \forall x \in D \setminus \sigma_L, \#f(A \cap (x + L)) = d,$$

for some analytic set $\sigma_L \subsetneq D$ ⁽⁵⁾. If we denote $\Gamma_L = (\pi^L \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$ and $p(x, t) = x$, then condition $(\#)$ means precisely that $p|_{\Gamma_L}$ has multiplicity d (it could only be less) as a branched covering. From this we infer that in $(\#)$ it is enough to find one appropriate point x .

Let σ_{π^L} denote the critical set of the branched covering $\pi^L|_A$. For a given L near L_0 put

$$Z_L = \{x \in D \mid \#f(A \cap (x + L)) < d\}.$$

Of course, there is always $\sigma_{\pi^L} \subset Z_L$.

If for L_0 we have $Z_{L_0} = D$, then given a point $x_0 \in D \setminus \sigma_{\pi^{L_0}}$ and its (simply) connected neighbourhood $V \subset D \setminus \sigma_{\pi^{L_0}}$ for which $(\pi^{L_0})^{-1}(V) \cap A = \bigcup_1^d \Gamma_j$ is a disjoint union of graphs Γ_j of holomorphic functions γ_j , there are indices $i \neq j$ such that

$$\forall x \in V, f(x, \gamma_i(x)) = f(x, \gamma_j(x)).$$

This follows easily from the identity principle. Indeed, write $g_i(x) := f(x, \gamma_i(x))$, $x \in V$. These are holomorphic functions and so $V_{ij} := \{x \in V \mid g_i(x) = g_j(x)\}$ are analytic. By assumptions $\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} V_{ij} = V$ and so at least one of the sets V_{ij} must coincide with V .

Let us suppose hereafter that for all L in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$ of π we have $Z_L = D$.

Of course, V and Γ_j depend *a priori* on L_0 . But observe that the convergence $L \rightarrow L_0$ implies the convergence ⁽⁶⁾ $A \cap (x_0 + L) \rightarrow A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$ (use for instance [TW2]). In particular, $\#A \cap (x_0 + L) = d$ when L is close enough to L_0 . The idea is that it should be possible to find a neighbourhood V_1 of x_0 (necessarily contained in $D \setminus \sigma_{\pi^L}$ since A is a union of d disjoint graphs over it), over which the sets Γ_j are still graphs in the direction L .

To be more precise, suppose that we have separated the d points of $A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$ by pairwise disjoint balls B_j of radius ε , centred at $(x_0, \gamma_j(x_0))$, so that $B_j \cap A = B_j \cap \Gamma_j$. Using the continuity of the map $(x, L) \mapsto A \cap (x + L)$ at the point (x_0, L_0) which we have from [TW2] ⁽⁷⁾, we can find neighbourhoods $x_0 \in V_1 \subset V$ and $L_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}'$ such that for any $(x, L) \in V_1 \times \mathcal{P}_1$, the Hausdorff distance between $A \cap (x + L)$ and $A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$

⁵Note that in both situations (1) and (2) described before the Theorem it is possible to take a common D for all L close enough to L_0 .

⁶The sets being finite, this convergence coincides with the one in the Hausdorff measure.

⁷The intersection $A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$ being proper.

does not exceed ε . But then we can write $(\pi^L)^{-1}(x) = \{z_1^L, \dots, z_d^L\}$ which is a set of d pairwise different points numbered consistently according to which ball B_j the point z_j^L belongs to. This implies in particular that $z_j^L \in \Gamma_j$ so that we can define holomorphic inverses $V_1 \ni x \mapsto \gamma_j^L(x)$ to $\pi^L|_{\Gamma_j}$ with graphs $\Gamma_j^L \subset \Gamma_j$, for $j = 1 \dots, d$, $L \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

The same argument as before gives us for each $L \in \mathcal{P}_1$ two indices $1 \leq i_L < j_L \leq d$ such that for any $x \in V_1$, $f(x, \gamma_{i_L}^L(x)) = f(x, \gamma_{j_L}^L(x))$. Next, we show that the indices can be chosen independent of L sufficiently close to L_0 . Note that the property of ‘gluing up’ two sheets in this way is closed with respect to L , i.e. if $\mathcal{P}_1 \ni L_\nu \rightarrow L_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, then for any $x_1 \in V_1$, $A \cap (x_1 + L_\nu) \rightarrow A \cap (x_1 + L_1)$ by a similar argument as earlier based on [TW2], and so again separating the points in the fibre $A \cap (x_1 + L_1)$ leads to the conclusion that $(x_1, \gamma_{j_\nu}^{L_\nu}(x_1)) \rightarrow (x_1, \gamma_{j_1}^{L_1}(x_1))$ for any $j = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore, if $(i_{L_\nu}, j_{L_\nu}) = (i, j)$, for all ν , then also $(i_{L_1}, j_{L_1}) = (i, j)$. Hence, the sets

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij} := \{L \in \mathcal{P}_1 \mid \forall x \in V, f(x, \gamma_i^L(x)) = f(x, \gamma_j^L(x))\}$$

are closed and, obviously, $\mathcal{P}_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \mathcal{P}_{ij}$. The Baire Category Theorem ensures that $\text{int } \mathcal{P}_{ij} \neq \emptyset$, for some $i < j$. Then we find an open subset $\mathcal{P}'_1 \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ and a new $L'_0 \in \mathcal{P}'_1$.

According to [L] B.6.8, we may assume that \mathcal{P}'_1 is of the form

$$\mathcal{P}'_1 = \{L \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n}) \mid L \subset \{u + v \in L'_0 + (L'_0)^\perp : |v| \leq C|u|\}\},$$

for some $C > 0$. Let a and c denote the unique intersection points of $x_0 + L'_0$ with Γ_i and Γ_j , respectively, where i, j are the indices chosen above. For positive integers ν we write C_ν for the intersection of Γ_j with the ball $\mathbb{B}(c, 1/\nu)$.

All we need to show to end the proof is that for some ν we have $f|_{C_\nu} = f(a)$, for this means that f is constant on a nonempty open subset of some irreducible component of A and thus, by the identity principle from [D2], it is constant on that component, contrary to the assumptions.

Suppose that for any ν there is a point $c_\nu \in C_\nu$ such that $f(c_\nu) \neq f(a)$ which means that for any $L \in \mathcal{P}'_1$, $a - c_\nu \notin L$. Write $a - c_\nu = u_\nu + v_\nu \in L'_0 + (L'_0)^\perp$. By construction we have the convergence $a - c_\nu \rightarrow a - c \in L'_0$ so that $u_\nu \rightarrow a - c$, whereas $v_\nu \rightarrow 0$. As $a - c \neq 0$, we get, for all ν large enough, $|u_\nu| \geq |a - c|/2$ and $C|a - c|/2 \geq |v_\nu|$, whence $C|u_\nu| \geq |v_\nu|$ and we may assume this holds for all indices (shifting the sequence, if necessary; note that C_ν is a nested sequence).

For a given index ν let ℓ_ν denote the orthogonal complement of the line $\mathbb{C}u_\nu$ in L'_0 . Then the vector $a - c_\nu = u_\nu + v_\nu$ is orthogonal to ℓ_ν . Let $L_\nu := \mathbb{C}(a - c_\nu) \oplus \ell_\nu$; we will show that $L_\nu \in \mathcal{P}'_1$. Fix $w \in L_\nu \setminus \{0\}$ and write $w = u + v \in L'_0 + (L'_0)^\perp$. Then we have a unique representation $u = \alpha u_\nu + u'$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u' \in \ell_\nu$. On the other hand, we can decompose $w = \beta(a - c_\nu) + u''$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u'' \in \ell_\nu$. Therefore,

$$\alpha u_\nu + u' + v = \beta(a - c_\nu) + u''$$

together with $a - c_\nu = u_\nu + v_\nu$ leads to

$$(v - \beta v_\nu) + (\alpha - \beta)u_\nu + (u' - u'') = 0$$

where the three summands are pairwise orthogonal, as they belong to $(L'_0)^\perp$, $\mathbb{C}u_\nu$ and ℓ_ν , respectively. Hence

$$v = \beta v_\nu, \quad \alpha = \beta, \quad u' = u''$$

and so

$$C|u| = C\sqrt{|\alpha u_\nu|^2 + |u'|^2} \geq C|\alpha u_\nu| \geq |\alpha v_\nu| = |v|$$

as required for L_ν to belong to \mathcal{P}'_1 . But then $a - c_\nu \in L_\nu \in \mathcal{P}'_1$ which is contrary to our assumptions. This ends the proof. \square

Let us state clearly what we will need later on:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an algebraic irreducible set of dimension k and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$. If f is non-constant, then for the generic choice of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_z^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ the projection $\pi(x, y) = x$ restricted to A realizes $\deg A$ and $f|_{\pi^{-1}(x) \cap A}$ is injective for the generic x .*

In the purely local case we also have the following result that gives a kind of complement to the results of [D1]:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f: (A, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_w^k, 0)$ be a non-constant c -holomorphic germ on a pure k -dimensional analytic germ $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Then we can choose coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_z^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ in such a way that for the projections $\pi(x, y) = x$, $\eta := (\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}_w^k})$, $p(x, y, w) = w$, $\varrho(x, w) = w$, $\zeta(x, w) = x$ and the set $\Gamma := \eta(\Gamma_f)$, we have*

- (i) $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$, i.e. $\mu_0(\pi|_A) = \deg_0 A$;
- (ii) $\mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f}) = \mu_0(\varrho|_\Gamma)$, i.e. $m_0(f) = \mu_0(\varrho|_\Gamma)$ and so $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$;
- (iii) $\mu_0(\zeta|_\Gamma) = \deg_0 A$,

and this holds true for the generic choice of coordinates.

Here $\mu_0(\pi|_A)$ denotes the *covering number* of the branched covering $\pi|_A$ with 0 as the unique point in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(0)$ (see [Ch]) while $m_0(f) := \mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f})$ is the geometric multiplicity of f at zero.

So as to prove this proposition we begin with a most easy lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *If $E \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is such that $\#E = \mu > 0$ and $k \leq m$, then for the generic epimorphism $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^k)$ one has $\#L(E) = \mu$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion for $k = m - 1$. The set $\{\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m) \mid \exists x, y \in E: x \neq y, x \in \ell + y\}$ is finite. Thus for the generic $\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m)$ the set $\bigcup_{x \in E} x + \ell$ consists of μ distinct lines. The orthogonal projection π^ℓ along ℓ is hence the sought after epimorphism. \square

Proof of proposition 2.3. We know that for the generic projection π we have $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ (cf. [Ch]). Let us take such a projection which in addition ‘separates’ the points in the maximal fibre of f , i.e. for w such that

$f^{-1}(w)$ consists of $m_0(f)$ points, $\pi(f^{-1}(w))$ consists also of $m_0(f)$ points (cf. the previous lemma). That means $\pi|_{f^{-1}(w)}$ is an injection.

Observe that $p = \varrho \circ \eta$ and $p|_{\Gamma_f}$, $\eta|_{\Gamma_f}$, $\varrho|_{\Gamma}$ are proper. Moreover $m_0(f) = \mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f})$. Now it remains to observe that

$$\varrho^{-1}(w) \cap \Gamma = \pi(f^{-1}(w)) \times \{w\}$$

and so by the choice of π we have $\mu_0(\varrho|_{\Gamma}) = m_0(f)$. Thence $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$, which means that $\eta: \Gamma_f \rightarrow \Gamma$ is one-to-one. Indeed, if $(x_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ is fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} \#\eta^{-1}(x_0, w_0) \cap \Gamma_f &= \#\{y \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \mid (x_0, y) \in A, f(x_0, y) = w_0\} = \\ &= \#\{z \in f^{-1}(w_0) \mid \pi(z) = x_0\} = \\ &= \#f^{-1}(w_0) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is equal to one iff $f|_{\pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap A}$ is injective which is equivalent to $\pi|_{f^{-1}(w_0)}$ being an injection. That we know to be true. Thus, in particular, for any $x, w \in \mathbb{C}^k$ there exists exactly one $y \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ such that $f(x, y) = w$.

Therefore $\mu_0(\zeta|_{\Gamma}) = \deg_0 A =: d$. Indeed, if we take $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ near zero such that $\#\pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap A = d$, then obviously $\#f(\pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap A) \leq d$. On the other hand if there were $y \neq y'$ such that $f(x_0, y) = f(x_0, y') =: w_0$, then the set $\eta^{-1}(x_0, w_0) \cap \Gamma_f$ would include the two points $(x_0, y, w_0) \neq (x_0, y', w_0)$. If this held true for x_0 arbitrarily close to zero, then this would contradict $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$. \square

Note. It may be useful, in reference to [D1], to observe that in the situation from the proposition above it is easy to check that the *Łojasiewicz exponent* $\mathcal{L}(f; 0) = 1/q_0(\Gamma, \varrho)$ (with the notations from theorem (2.6) in [D1]).

3. C-HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH ALGEBRAIC GRAPHS

Let $|\cdot|$ denote any of the usual norms on \mathbb{C}^m (we shall not distinguish in notation the norms for different m as long as there is no real need for such a distinction). We begin with the following Liouville-type lemma concerning c -holomorphic mappings whose graphs are algebraic sets (it is a consequence of the Rudin-Sadullaev criterion):

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an analytic set and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then Γ_f is algebraic if and only if A is algebraic and there are constants $M, s > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^s), \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

Proof. A simple yet important observation is that given $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $S \subset \Gamma_f$ is an irreducible component of the graph iff $S = \Gamma_{f|_T}$ and $T \subset A$ is an irreducible component of A (see [Wh]; essentially, it is enough to remark that over a regular point of A we have necessarily a regular point of the graph).

For the ‘only if’ part remark that by Chevalley’s Theorem, A is algebraic as the proper projection of the graph and so it has finitely many irreducible

components. This allows us to assume that A has pure dimension $k \geq 1$. Then, by [L] VII.7.2 we get immediately

$$\Gamma_f \subset \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid |w| \leq M(1 + |z|^s)\}$$

for some $M, s > 0$.

To prove the ‘if’ part we observe that Γ_f has only finitely many irreducible components and again we may assume that A is pure k -dimensional (with $k \geq 1$). Then we apply [L] VII.7.4 to A (we may assume now that the considered norms are the ℓ_1 norms i.e. sum of moduli of the coordinates):

$$A \subset \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \mid |v| \leq M'(1 + |u|)\}$$

(in well-chosen coordinates) for some $M' > 0$. Take now $(u, v, w) \in \Gamma_f$, we have then $|f(u, v)| \leq M(1 + |(u, v)|^s) = M[1 + (|u| + |v|)^s]$ and by an easy computation:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u, v)| + |v| &\leq M[1 + (|u| + |v|)^s] + M'(1 + |u|) \leq \\ &\leq 3C(1 + |u|)^{s'}, \end{aligned}$$

for $s' := \max\{1, s\}$ and $C := \max\{M, M(M' + 1)^s, M'\}$. Now Rudin-Sadullaev criterion yields Γ_f algebraic. \square

Remark 3.2. The condition ‘ A is algebraic’ in the equivalence is not redundant since any polynomial restricted to e.g. $A = \{y = e^x\}$ satisfies the inequality but has a non algebraic graph (otherwise A would be algebraic too, by the Chevalley-Remmert Theorem).

Note also that $|f(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^s)$ on A iff $|f(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|)^s$ on A .

More generally, it is a mere exercise to check that if $X \subset \mathbb{C}_x^m \times \mathbb{C}_y^n$ is a closed set with proper projection $\pi(x, y) = x$, then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\exists R \geq 1, s \geq 0, C > 0$: $|y| \leq C|x|^s$, when $(x, y) \in X$ with $|x| \geq R$;
- (2) $\exists s \geq 0, C > 0$: $|y| \leq C(1 + |x|^s)$, $(x, y) \in X$;
- (3) $\exists s \geq 0$: $|y| \leq C(1 + |x|)^s$, $(x, y) \in X$.

Hereafter we are interested in particular in *c-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs* which we will call *c-algebraic* for short ⁽⁸⁾. We will denote their ring by $\mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ when $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a fixed algebraic set. As a matter of fact, we will assume most of the time that $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a pure k -dimensional algebraic set of degree $d := \deg A$ (meaning the degree of the projective completion of A). Obviously, we shall assume also $k \geq 1$ unless something else is stated.

For a mapping $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$, having an algebraic graph is clearly equivalent to each component f_j of f having an algebraic graph. We shall write then $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

⁸Since ‘c’ in ‘c-holomorphic’ stands for ‘continuous’ we were tempted to propose, in a rather subversive manner, to call such functions *al-co-holomorphic functions* (short for ‘algebraic continuous holomorphic functions’). But one should always resist to temptations.

On the growth exponent of c -holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs

Observe that $P \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$, if $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ and P is a polynomial. More generally, due to the Chevalley-Remmert Theorem, we have an c -algebraic counterpart of Proposition 1.3:

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that X and Y are algebraic subsets of \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n respectively, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are c -algebraic mappings. Then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(X)$.*

Proof. The graph of $g \circ f$ is indeed algebraic by the Chevalley-Remmert Theorem as the the proper projection by $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, z)$, where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, of the algebraic set $(\Gamma_f \times \mathbb{C}) \cap (X \times \Gamma_g)$. \square

In view of Lemma 3.1 and in connection with Strzeboński's paper [S], for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ with any algebraic set A , we introduce its *growth exponent* $\mathcal{B}(f) := \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid |f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^s, \text{ on } A \text{ with some constant } C > 0\}$ and the set of all possible growth exponents on A :

$$\mathcal{B}_A := \{\mathcal{B}(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A): \Gamma_f \text{ is algebraic}\}.$$

Observe that there is in fact

$$\mathcal{B}(f) = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid |f(x)| \leq \text{const.} |x|^s, x \in A: |x| \geq M \text{ with some } M \geq 1\}.$$

Recall the following important lemma from [S]:

Lemma 3.4 ([S] Lemma 2.3). *Let $P(x, t) = t^d + a_1(x)t^{d-1} + \dots + a_d(x)$ be a polynomial with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$. Then $\delta(P) := \max_{j=1}^d (\deg a_j / j)$ is the minimal exponent $s > 0$ for which the inclusion*

$$P^{-1}(0) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |t| \leq C(1 + |x|)^s\}$$

holds with some $C > 0$.

Note that in view of Remark 3.2 it is merely an avatar of the following Płoski's crucial lemma:

Lemma 3.5 ([P] lemma (2.1)). *If $P(x, t)$ is as in the preceding lemma, then $\delta(P)$ is the minimal exponent $q > 0$ such that*

$$\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid P(x, t) = 0, |x| \geq R\} \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |t| \leq C|x|^q\}$$

for some $R, C > 0$.

Proof. For the convenience of the reader we just recall that the proof boils down to observing that any root t of $P(x, t) = 0$ with x fixed satisfies $|t| \leq 2 \max |a_j(x)|^{1/j}$, and the estimates $|a_j(x)| \leq C_j |x|^{\deg a_j}$ for $|x| \gg 1$. \square

From this we easily obtain:

Proposition 3.6. *Given $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$, the least upper bound in the definition of $\mathcal{B}(f)$ is attained and a rational number $p/q \geq 0$ with $1 \leq q \leq d$ where d is the maximum of the degrees of the components of A .*

Proof. First observe that for the decomposition $A = \bigcup A_j$ into irreducible components, we get $\mathcal{B}(f) = \max \mathcal{B}(f|_{A_j})$. Indeed, since a growth inequality satisfied by f is satisfied by each $f|_{A_j}$ which gives ‘ \geq ’. On the other hand, if each $f|_{A_j}$ satisfies an inequality at infinity with some $s_j \geq 0$, then for $x \in A$ large enough, $|f(x)| \leq \text{const.}|x|^{\max s_j}$ from which we infer ‘ \leq ’.

We may thus assume that A is irreducible. Taking the image ⁽⁹⁾ $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}$ of the graph Γ_f by the projection $\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\pi: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is a projection realizing $\deg A$, it suffices to observe that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \delta(P)$, where P is the minimal polynomial describing the algebraic hypersurface Γ and $\delta(P)$ the number from Lemma 3.4.

Indeed, by the choice of π , up to a change of coordinates we may assume that it is the projection onto the first k coordinates, $A \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \mid |y| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x|)\}$. Therefore, given $(x, t) \in \Gamma$ we find $y \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ such that $t = f(x, y)$ and thus, for any growth exponent q of f we get (cf. Remark 3.2)

$$|f(x, y)| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x| + |y|)^q \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x| + (1 + |x|))^q.$$

Hence, $|t| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x|)^q$. On the other hand, $\Gamma \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |t| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x|)^s\}$ readily implies, that for any $(x, y) \in A$, there is $|f(x, y)| \leq \text{const.}(1 + |x| + |y|)^s$, i.e. s is a growth exponent of f . Eventually, $\mathcal{B}(f) = \delta(P)$, by Lemma 3.4 ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Since Γ has proper projection onto \mathbb{C}^k , $P(x, t)$ written as a polynomial in t with polynomial coefficients has to be unitary (cf. [TW1]). Its degree in t cannot exceed the cardinality of $f(\pi^{-1}(x))$ for the generic x . The result follows. \square

The growth exponent replaces in the c-holomorphic setting the notion of the *degree* of a polynomial (if $A = \mathbb{C}^m$, then obviously $\mathcal{O}_c^a(A) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and so $\mathcal{B} = \deg$ is the usual degree).

For a mapping $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ with algebraic graph, defined on $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $\mathcal{B}(f) := \max \mathcal{B}(f_j)$ coincides with the least upper bound of exponents $s > 0$ for which $|f(x)| \leq \text{const.}|x|^s$, for all $x \in A$ large enough. Similarly, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \max \mathcal{B}(f|_{A_i})$ where $A = \bigcup_i A_i$ is the decomposition of A into irreducible components.

From Lemma 3.4 via Proposition 3.6 we easily obtain also the c-holomorphic counterpart of Strzeboński’s result from [S]:

Proposition 3.7. *We have*

$$\mathbb{Z}_+ \subset \mathcal{B}_A \subset \{p/q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq q \leq d, p, q \text{ relatively prime}\},$$

where d is the maximum of degrees of all the irreducible components of A .

⁹It is algebraic since $\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is proper on Γ_f due to the continuity of f and the choice of π .

¹⁰Note that $\delta(P)$ does not depend on the choice of π , any projection realizing $\deg A$ does the trick.

Remark 3.8. The second inclusion may be strict already when A is an irreducible curve — see Example 5.8.

In the second part of this paper we shall need some more information about $\mathcal{B}(f)$. It is easy to see from the definition that for any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ there is $\mathcal{B}(h_1 h_2) \leq \mathcal{B}(h_1) + \mathcal{B}(h_2)$ and $\mathcal{B}(h_1 + h_2) \leq \max\{\mathcal{B}(h_1), \mathcal{B}(h_2)\}$. But what will turn out to be most important is that for any positive integer n there is $\mathcal{B}(f^n) = n\mathcal{B}(f)$.

Example 3.9. Even on an irreducible set A there can be $\mathcal{B}(h_1 h_2) < \mathcal{B}(h_1) + \mathcal{B}(h_2)$. Consider the hyperbola $xy = 1$ in \mathbb{C}^2 and $h_1(x, y) = x$, $h_2(x, y) = y$ on it. Then $\mathcal{B}(h_1 h_2) = 0$, while $\mathcal{B}(h_i) = 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 3.10. *If $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ is such that $\mathcal{B}(f) = 0$, then $f|_S \equiv \text{const.}$ for any irreducible component $S \subset A$.*

Proof. Fix an irreducible component $S \subset A$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}(f|_S) = 0$. Then for a generic proper projection π realizing $\deg S$ as its covering number, we have in accordance with Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.6, $\mathcal{B}(f|_S) = \delta(P)$ for the minimal polynomial P describing the algebraic hypersurface $(\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_{f|_S})$. But then $P(x, t)$ has constant coefficients and so we may write $P(x, t) = P(t) = \prod_{j=1}^d (t - t_j)$ and obviously $\{t_1, \dots, t_d\} = f(S)$. By the connectedness of S together with the continuity of f , we conclude that $f|_S \equiv \text{const.}$ \square

It seems interesting to note that no such general Liouville-type result is known for c -holomorphic functions except for the following result based on Cynk's result from [C].

Proposition 3.11. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be an irreducible analytic set of dimension k with proper projection onto \mathbb{C}^k . Then any bounded c -holomorphic function on A is constant.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A)$ be bounded. If f is non-constant, then by [C], $f(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$ where Z is finite, which, of course, is impossible when f is bounded. \square

Using the results of [TW1] we are able to give an estimate of $\mathcal{B}(f)$. Indeed, by applying [TW1] Theorem 3 we get in Lemma 3.4 above the estimate $\delta(P) \leq \deg P^{-1}(0) - d + 1$. It remains to specify what actually $\deg P^{-1}(0)$ and d are.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ with $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ of dimension $k \geq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \deg \Gamma_f - \deg A + 1.$$

Proof. If f is constant or $k = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}(f) = 0$ and the estimate holds. We may assume thus f non-constant and $k > 0$.

Suppose first that A is irreducible.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be a projection realizing the degree $\deg A$ and let $\Gamma := (\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$. The latter is clearly an algebraic set due to Remmert-Chevalley Theorem. A straightforward application of the main result of

[TW1] gives now $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \deg \Gamma - d + 1$, where d is the covering number of the branched covering $\zeta: \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ on Γ .

It is easy to see that $\deg \Gamma \leq \deg \Gamma_f$. Indeed, if $\ell \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is an affine complex line such that $\deg \Gamma = \#(\ell \cap \Gamma)$, then the set $L := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid (\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(z) \in \ell\}$ is an affine space of dimension $m + 1 - k$ intersecting Γ_f in a zero-dimensional set. This follows from the properness of $\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on Γ_f . Therefore, we have $\#(L \cap \Gamma_f) \leq \deg \Gamma_f$ (cf. [L] VII.11). Clearly $\#(\ell \cap \Gamma) \leq \#(L \cap \Gamma_f)$.

The point is how to choose π so as to have $d = \deg A$. We are able to do this thanks to Corollary 2.2 asserting that for the generic π we have $\#f(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap A) = \deg A$ for the generic $x \in \mathbb{C}^k$.

Now, if A is reducible, then we apply the preceding argument to each irreducible component $S \subset A$ and $f|_S$ getting

$$\mathcal{B}(f|_S) \leq \deg \Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S + 1.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \max\{\mathcal{B}(f|_S) \mid S \subset A \text{ an irreducible component}\}$ (cf. [S]) and $\deg \Gamma_f = \sum_S \deg \Gamma_{f|_S}$, since $\Gamma_{f|_S}$ is irreducible iff $S \subset A$ is irreducible (thus $\Gamma_{f|_S}$ are the irreducible components of the graph). Therefore

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \max_{S \subset A} (\deg \Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S) + 1,$$

but since for each irreducible component S' there is

$$\deg \Gamma_{f|_{S'}} - \deg S' \leq \sum_{S \subset A} (\deg \Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S),$$

we finally obtain the required inequality. \square

The following example shows that the estimate is far from being the best one. Nevertheless, it is of some interest in view of the proposition following the example.

Example 3.13. Let A be the algebraic curve $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x^3 = y^2\}$ and consider the c-holomorphic function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{x}, & \text{for } (x, y) \in A \setminus (0, 0) \\ 0, & \text{for } x = y = 0 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see (cf. Lemma 3.1) that Γ_f is algebraic and $\mathcal{B}(f) = 1/3$. Actually the algebricity of Γ_f is not really surprising because f is the restriction to $\text{Reg} A$ of a rational function. We will show (next section) that in fact the algebricity of the graph is equivalent in this case to the fact that the function has a rational ‘extension’.

Let us observe that the restriction of a polynomial to A may have a growth exponent < 1 . Consider on the same set A the function $g(x, y) = x$. A straightforward computation yields $\mathcal{B}(g) = 2/3$ (see also Theorem 5.6).

Finally, let us note that a c-algebraic function is to some, but rather limited, extent ‘represented’ by the projection onto the target space when restricted to its graph. Such a restriction $\pi|_{\Gamma_f}$ is a regular function but

unfortunately it does not encode all the information about f . We can see the reason in the simplest case of a polynomial: if we restrict the projection $\pi(x, y) = y$ to the graph of the function $p(x) = x^2$, we get $\mathcal{B}(\pi|_{\Gamma_p}) = 1 < \mathcal{B}(p) = \deg p = 2$ and there is no simple relation between the two exponents in general.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ with A pure k -dimensional. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq 1$;
- (2) $\deg A = \deg \Gamma_f$;
- (3) $\Gamma_f^* \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$;
- (4) $\{P = 0\}^* \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$,

where P is the minimal polynomial describing $(\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$ with $\pi: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ a projection realizing $\deg A$.

Proof. The implication from (2) to (1) follows from the preceding theorem. To prove the converse, suppose that coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ are chosen in such a way that the projection onto the first k coordinates realizes $\deg A$. Then $A \subset \{|y| \leq M(1 + |x|)\}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq 1$, there is $|f(x, y)| \leq C(1 + |x| + |y|)$ for $(x, y) \in A$. Combining the two facts, we obtain

$$\Gamma \subset \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |y| + |t| \leq [M + C(1 + M)](1 + |x|)\}$$

which implies that the projection $(x, y, t) \mapsto x$ realizes $\deg \Gamma_f$. Clearly, by the univalence of the graph, the multiplicity of this projection is equal to $\deg A$.

Now, we turn to proving the equivalence of the remaining conditions. Choose affine coordinates so as to have $\mathbb{C}_z^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ and $\pi(z) = x$. Write $P(x, t) = t^d + a_1(x)t^{d-1} + \dots + a_d(x)$ and observe that $\deg P = \max\{d, \deg a_1 + d - 1, \dots, \deg a_d\}$. Therefore, in view of the fact that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \delta(P)$, (1) is equivalent to $\deg P = d$. This in turn is equivalent to (4), since $\{P = 0\}^* = \{P^+ = 0\}$.

Now, clearly $\Gamma_f^* \supset \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ implies $\{P = 0\}^* \supset \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ and thus (4) implies (3). On the other hand, by the choice of π , $A \subset \{|y| \leq c(1 + |x|)\}$ and so for all $(x, y) \in A$ large enough, $|y| \leq c'|x|$. Take a point $(0, t) \in \{P = 0\}^*$. There are sequences $\{P = 0\} \ni (x_\nu, t_\nu) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_\nu(x_\nu, t_\nu) \rightarrow (0, t)$. Of course, there is a sequence $y_\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ such that $(x_\nu, y_\nu) \in A$ and $t_\nu = f(x_\nu, y_\nu)$. For all ν large enough, $|\lambda_\nu y_\nu| \leq c'|\lambda_\nu x_\nu| \rightarrow 0$, whence $(0, t) \in \Gamma_f^*$. Therefore, (3) implies (4). \square

4. ALGEBRAIC GRAPH THEOREM

Using Oka's theorem about universal denominators (cf. [TsY] and [Wh]) one can show that any c -holomorphic function admits locally a universal denominator. We will detail this a little more in the proof of the following theorem. For the convenience of the reader let us start with one useful construction of a universal denominator.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A \subset U \times \mathbb{C}_t \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ be a pure k -dimensional analytic set, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}_x^k$ is open and connected, such that $0 \in A$ and the natural projection $\pi(x, t, y) = x$ is proper on A with covering number d . Then after a change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ there exists a monic polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}(U)[t]$ of degree d such that $Q(x, t, y) := \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(x, t)$ is a universal denominator at each point $a \in A$.*

Proof. Let $\rho(x, t, y) = (x, t)$ and $\xi(x, t) = x$ be the natural projections. For any point $x \in U$ not critical for $\pi|_A$ we have exactly d distinct points $(t_1, y^1), \dots, (t_d, y^d)$ over it in A . If we fix x , then taking if necessary a rotation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$, we may assume that all the points t_1, \dots, t_d are distinct. Thus ξ on $\rho(A)$ has multiplicity d as a branched covering. Note that by the Remmert theorem $\rho(A) \subset U \times \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic hypersurface. Thus there exist a reduced Weierstrass polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}(U)[t]$ such that $P^{-1}(0) = \rho(A)$. Its degree is obviously d .

Now for fixed x in a simply connected neighbourhood V not intersecting the critical set of P we have $(t_1(x), y^1(x)), \dots, (t_d(x), y^d(x))$, exactly d distinct points. Given a weakly holomorphic function ⁽¹¹⁾ $f: \text{Reg}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ put

$$h(x, t) := \sum_{j=1}^d f(x, t_j(x), y^j(x)) \prod_{i \neq j} (t - t_i(x)), \quad (x, t) \in V \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Observe that $h(x, t_j(x)) = f(x, t_j(x), y^j(x))Q(x, t_j(x))$. Clearly the function $h(x, t)$ is locally holomorphic apart from the critical set of f (because the functions $t_j(x)$ and $y^j(x)$ are locally holomorphic) and locally bounded near the critical points of P , and so by the Riemann theorem we obtain a holomorphic function $h \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k})$ being an extension of Qf . \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a purely k -dimensional algebraic set and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A)$. Then Γ_f is algebraic if and only if there exists a rational function $R \in \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ equal to f on A (in particular $R|_A$ is continuous). More precisely, there exists a polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ with $\deg Q < \deg A$ such that $f = P/Q$ on A for some polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.*

Proof. If $m = 1$, then either A is the whole \mathbb{C} , and then by the identity principle Γ_f is algebraic if and only if f is a polynomial (cf. Serre's theorem on the algebraic graph), or A is a finite set and we apply a Lagrange interpolation. In both cases $Q \equiv 1$. Hence we may confine us to the case $m \geq 2$.

If $k = 0$, then $\#A < \infty$. We follow Lemma 2.4. The set

$$\{\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m) \mid \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y: y \in x + \ell\}$$

is finite (even algebraic). Take thus a line $\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that for all $x \in A$, $(x + \ell) \cap A = \{x\}$. If we denote by π^ℓ the natural projection

¹¹I.e. a function defined on the regular part $\text{Reg}A$, holomorphic there and locally bounded near the singularities $\text{Sng}A$. Then normality is just the property that each such a function is locally the restriction of a holomorphic function in the ambient space.

along ℓ onto its orthogonal complement ℓ^\perp , then $\#\pi^\ell(A) = \#A$. Continuing this procedure we find a one-dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\#\pi(A) = \#A$, where π is the orthogonal projection onto L . Now the Lagrange interpolation for $\pi(A)$ and the values $f(a), \pi(a) \in \pi(A)$ yields a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then $\tilde{P}(x) := P(\pi(x))$ is the polynomial interpolating f on A . The ‘only if’ part is clear.

Assume now that $k \geq 1$. Since A is algebraic of pure dimension k , there are coordinates in \mathbb{C}^m such that the projection π onto the first k coordinates is proper on A (so it is a branched covering) and it realizes $\deg A$. Now if we take $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$, then we are able to apply proposition 4.1 getting a polynomial (since by Chevalley’s theorem $\rho(A)$ is an algebraic hypersurface) Q being a local universal denominator for A . Since \mathbb{C}^m is a domain of holomorphy, Q is in fact a global universal denominator for A (actually this follows directly from the proof of 4.1).

That means that there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that

$$f = \frac{h}{Q} \quad \text{on } \text{Reg}A.$$

Note that for points $a \in \text{Sng}A$, if we take any sequence $\text{Reg}A \ni a_\nu \rightarrow a$, then by continuity we obtain $f(a)Q(a) = h(a)$. Therefore either a is a point in which h/Q is well defined, or it is a point of indeterminacy of h/Q . In the latter case, the function h/Q has a finite and well defined limit along A , namely $f(a)$. Thus h/Q is continuous on A .

As a matter of fact h is not uniquely determined. The proof of our theorem consists now in showing

- (a) If h is a polynomial, then Γ_f is algebraic;
- (b) If Γ_f is algebraic, then we may choose $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

Ad (a): Let $X := (A \times \mathbb{C}) \cap \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C} \mid h(x) = Q(x)t\}$. It is an algebraic set of dimension at least k . Over points $x \in A \setminus Q^{-1}(0)$ this is exactly the graph of f . Thus for each such point x and the only one t for which $(x, t) \in X$, we have $\dim_{(x,t)} X = k$. On the other hand, since Q does not vanish on any irreducible component of A , the set $A \cap Q^{-1}(0)$ has pure dimension $k-1$ (see [D2]). For each point $x \in A \cap Q^{-1}(0)$ we have a whole line $\{x\} \times \mathbb{C} \subset X$. Thus the set X has pure dimension k .

Set $\Gamma := \Gamma_f \setminus (Q^{-1}(0) \times \mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_f \setminus [(A \cap Q^{-1}(0)) \times \mathbb{C}]$. Then we have $\Gamma \subset X$ and so for closures $\overline{\Gamma} \subset \overline{X} = X$. But by continuity $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma_f$, and since Γ_f has pure dimension k it must be the union of some irreducible components of X . Since X is algebraic, so is Γ_f .

Ad (b): This follows from Serre’s algebraic graph theorem (for regular functions, see [L]). Indeed, fQ is a holomorphic function in \mathbb{C}^m with algebraic graph over the algebraic set A (to see this apply lemma 3.1; one can remark by the way that $\mathcal{B}(fQ) \leq \mathcal{B}(f) + \mathcal{B}(Q|_A)$). Thus it is on A a regular function which means that it is in fact the restriction to A of a polynomial P . \square

Remark 4.3. It is easy to check that in the theorem above we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \geq \mathcal{B}(P|_A) - \mathcal{B}(Q|_A).$$

5. GENERICALLY FINITE C-HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS WITH ALGEBRAIC GRAPHS

C-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs are a promising generalization of polynomials onto algebraic sets. Most of the theorems known for instance for polynomial dominating mappings should have their analogues at least for c-holomorphic proper mappings with algebraic graphs. Note, however, that in this setting we are naturally obliged to make do more with the geometric structure than the algebraic one (that is a hindrance when trying to extend the results of [D2] to the c-holomorphic algebraic case).

We consider now the following situation:

Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be algebraic of pure dimension $k > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$. Suppose first f is a proper mapping.

Since Γ_f is algebraic with proper projection onto \mathbb{C}^k , then $\#f^{-1}(w)$ is constant for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}^k$. We call this number, denoted by $d(f)$, the *geometric degree* of f just as in the polynomial case. We call *critical* for f any point $w \in \mathbb{C}^k$ for which $\#f^{-1}(w) \neq d(f)$. In that case one has actually $\#f^{-1}(w) < d(f)$ (cf. e.g. [Ch], the projection onto \mathbb{C}^k restricted to Γ_f is a $d(f)$ -sheeted branched covering). Obviously $d(f) \leq \deg \Gamma_f$ (cf. [L]).

Of course, we could define this degree in a more general setting. Observe that the properness of a continuous mapping $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is equivalent to $\lim_{x \in A: |x| \rightarrow +\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty$. Of course, if the graph of f is algebraic, then the properness of f implies $n \geq k$. Now, following Z. Jelonek, we say that f is *proper over* $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, if y admits a compact neighbourhood K such that $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact. Assume f is c-algebraic. If we denote by J_f the set of points over which f is not proper (the *Jelonek set*, see e.g. [Jel1] or [Jel2]), then it coincides with $\rho(\overline{\Gamma_f} \setminus \Gamma_f)$ where the closure is taken in $\mathbb{P}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (here $\mathbb{P}_m = \overline{\mathbb{C}^m}$) and $\rho: \mathbb{P}_m \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is the natural projection. Therefore, by the Chevalley-Remmert Theorem it is a constructible set, hence an algebraic one due to its closedness. Moreover, $\dim J_f < k = \dim \Gamma_f$. Now, if $n = k$ and f is dominant, i.e. $\overline{f(A)} = \mathbb{C}^k$, its fibres must be generically finite (see the next Lemma), since otherwise we would get $\dim A > k$. Clearly, f is a branched covering over the connected manifold $\mathbb{C}^k \setminus J_f$ and so the generic cardinality of the fibre $d(f)$ is well-defined. Actually, it can also be easily defined that way for the case $n > k$, provided A is irreducible, since f is then a branched covering over the k -dimensional connected manifold $\text{Reg} \overline{f(A)} \setminus J_f$.

Another way of defining $d(f)$ would be just to take directly, just as in [Jel2], the generic multiplicity of the regular mapping $\pi|_{\Gamma_f}$ where π is the projection onto the target space. It is a classical fact that J_f is characterized by the alternative: $\dim \pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma_f > 0$ or the fibre is finite but the sum of

the local multiplicities is $< d(f)$. It may be interesting to note the following easy Lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}_x^n \times \mathbb{C}_y^k$ be algebraic of pure dimension k and $p(x, y) = y$ denotes the natural projection. Put*

$$S(p|_X) := \{y \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid \dim p^{-1}(0) \cap X = 0\}.$$

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $S(p|_X) \neq \emptyset$;
- (2) $\text{int } S(p|_X) \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $\overline{S(p|_X)} = \mathbb{C}^k$.

Proof. (1) implies (2) since for any $y \in S(p|_X)$, there are two neighbourhoods $V \ni y$ and $U \supset p^{-1}(y)$ such that $(\partial U \times V) \cap X = \emptyset$ and so $p|_{(U \times V) \cap X}$ is proper which means by the Remmert Theorem that $V \subset S(p|_X)$.

(2) implies (3), for $S(p|_X)$ is a constructible set of dimension k . Finally, (3) implies (1), obviously. \square

Clearly, for a dominant $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$, $\mathbb{C}^k \setminus S(p|_{\Gamma_f}) \subset J_f$.

Finally, observe that if $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^r A_j$ is the decomposition into irreducible components, then for each A_j , by the preceding Lemma, either $f|_{A_j}$ is dominant (which is true already when $f|_{A_j}$ has a finite fibre) and then $d(f|_{A_j})$ is well-defined, or $\dim \overline{f(A_j)} < k$ in which case no fibre of $f|_{A_j}$ is finite (compare [L] V.3.2 Theorem 2). Therefore, in the second case $d(f|_{A_j})$ is not defined and we may consider $f(A_j)$ as *irrelevant*. Now, if $f|_{A_j}$ is dominant for $j = 1, \dots, s$ and not dominant for $j = s+1, \dots, r$, then we may define $d(f) := \sum_{j=1}^s d(f|_{A_j})$ and we obviously have $d(f) = d(f|_{A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_s})$.

Before proving a Bézout inequality, let us note here also that a c -holomorphic proper mapping satisfies *the Lojasiewicz inequality at infinity*:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$ be proper with $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ pure k -dimensional, $k \geq 1$. Then there are constants $R, C, \ell > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x)| \geq C|x|^\ell, \quad |x| \geq R.$$

Proof. By assumptions, the natural projection $p(x, y) = y$ from $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n$ to \mathbb{C}^n is proper on Γ_f . Necessarily, $n \geq k$ and $X := f(A)$ is algebraic pure k -dimensional by the Chevalley Theorem. For the generic choice of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_y^n = \mathbb{C}_u^k \times \mathbb{C}_v^{n-k}$, the natural projection $\pi(u, v) = u$ is proper on X . Write $\eta(u, v) = v$ and assume that the norm on \mathbb{C}^n is chosen in such a way that $|y| = |u| + |v|$.

Now, $\pi \circ p$ is proper on Γ_f and thus by [TW1], there are constants $c, q > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma_f \subset \{(x, u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \mid |x| + |v| \leq c(1 + |u|)^q\}.$$

From this we get for $x \in A$, and in view of the fact that $q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &\leq |x| + |\eta(f(x))| \leq c(1 + |\pi(f(x))|)^q \leq \\ &\leq c(1 + |\pi(f(x))| + |\eta(f(x))|)^q = c(1 + |f(x)|)^q \end{aligned}$$

which for some $R \geq 1$ and $c' > 0$ is equivalent to

$$|x| \leq c'|f(x)|^q, \quad x \in A, |x| \geq R,$$

by Remark 3.2. □

Since the inequality from the Theorem above is satisfied with any exponent $\ell' \leq \ell$, it is natural to introduce the *Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity* defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) := \sup\{\ell > 0 \mid |f(x)| \geq \text{const.}|x|^\ell, x \in A, |x| \gg 1\}.$$

A more detailed study of this exponent will be presented in [BDT]. Here we give only two results, Theorems 5.6 and 5.9 below.

Similarly to the polynomial case, we have the following Bézout-type theorem (compare also Proposition 4.6 in [D1]):

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be a c -holomorphic dominant mapping with algebraic graph. Then*

$$d(f) \leq \deg A \prod_{j=1}^k \mathcal{B}(f_j).$$

Moreover, $\deg A$ can be replaced above by the degree of

$$A' = \bigcup \{S \subset A \mid S \text{ an irreducible component: } \overline{f(S)} = \mathbb{C}^k\}.$$

Proof. Let q_j be any positive integers such that $q_j \mathcal{B}(f_j) \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then set $F := (f_1^{q_1}, \dots, f_k^{q_k})$. We still have $F \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$ and F is dominant with $d(F) = d(f) \prod_j q_j$. Besides, $\mathcal{B}(F_j) = q_j \mathcal{B}(f_j)$.

The idea now is to follow the idea used in the proof of proposition (4.6) from [D1] inspired by the methods of Płoski and Tworzewski. To that aim consider the algebraic set

$$\Gamma := \{(z, w) \in A \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid w_j^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} = F_j(z), j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Clearly, for any $a \in \Gamma$, there is $\dim_a \Gamma \geq k$ and since Γ has proper projection $p(z, w) = z$ onto A , the converse inequality holds too and so Γ is pure k -dimensional.

Assume for the moment that A is irreducible.

Take now any affine subspace $\ell \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ of dimension k such that $\#(\ell \cap A) = \deg A$ and

$$A \subset \{x + y \in \ell^\perp + \ell \mid |y| \leq C(1 + |x|)\},$$

where ℓ^\perp is an orthogonal complementary to ℓ , $x + y = z$ and $C > 0$ a constant. Then by construction $L := \ell + \mathbb{C}^k$ (seen in \mathbb{C}^{m+k}) is transversal to Γ and we have $\#(L \cap \Gamma) = \deg A \prod_j \mathcal{B}(F_j)$. Indeed, since F is dominant, none of the F_j 's can be constant and thus, by the identity principle (see [D2]) $F_j^{-1}(0)$ has pure dimension $k - 1$. This means that for the generic $x \in \ell^\perp$ and any $z = x + y \in A$ the equation $w_j^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} = F_j(z)$ has $\mathcal{B}(F_j)$ distinct solutions w_j .

We may assume that the norm in consideration is the sum of moduli. Now observe that for $(z, w) \in \Gamma$,

$$|w_j|^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} = |F_j(z)| \leq c_j |z|^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} \text{ when } |z| \geq R_j,$$

for some $c_j, R_j > 0$. Then $|w| \leq (\max_j c_j) |z|$ when $|z| \geq \max_j R_j$. Therefore, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma \subset \{(x, y, w) \in \ell^\perp + \ell + \mathbb{C}^k \mid |y| + |w| \leq K(1 + |x|)\}$$

and so $\deg \Gamma = \deg A \prod_j \mathcal{B}(F_j)$.

Finally, it suffices to remark that one has $d(F) \leq \deg \Gamma$ since there is $d(F) = \#((\mathbb{C}^m \times \{w\}^k) \cap \Gamma)$ for a well chosen w .

Once we have the theorem for an irreducible A , in the general case we sum the obtained inequalities for each irreducible component $A_\subset A$ on which F is dominant (these are exactly the components on which f is dominant):

$$\begin{aligned} d(f|_{A_j}) \prod_i q_i &= d(F|_{A_j}) \leq \deg A_j \prod_i \mathcal{B}(F_i|_{A_j}) \leq \\ &\leq \deg A_j \prod_i \mathcal{B}(F_i) = \deg A_j \prod_i \mathcal{B}(f_i) q_i, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{B}(F_i) = \max_j \mathcal{B}(F_i|_{A_j})$ (these are natural numbers). Summing up,

$$\sum_j d(f|_{A_j}) = d(f) \leq \deg A' \prod_i \mathcal{B}(f_i) \leq \deg A \prod_i \mathcal{B}(f_i),$$

as required. \square

Example 5.4. Let A and f be as in Example 3.13. Since f is injective, $d(f) = 1$. Clearly $\deg A = 3$ and $\mathcal{B}(f) = 1/3$. Thus $d(f) = \deg A \cdot \mathcal{B}(f)$.

This example hints at a more general observation concerning images of polynomial generic injections. Let us recall the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\gamma: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial generic injection i.e. a polynomial mapping for which there is a finite set $Z \subset \gamma(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\gamma|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma^{-1}(Z)}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow \gamma(\mathbb{C}) \setminus Z$ is injective. Then γ is proper and $\gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible curve of degree $\deg \gamma := \max \deg \gamma_j$.*

Proof. The properness follows from the non-constancy and then the Remmert-Chevalley Theorem ensures that $\Gamma := \gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is an algebraic curve which obviously has to be irreducible. As for the degree, take an affine hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $H \cap \Gamma = H \cap \Gamma \setminus Z$ and $\#(H \cap \Gamma) = \deg \Gamma$. Then $H = L^{-1}(c)$ for some linear form L and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $L \circ \gamma$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \deg \gamma$ and $\deg \Gamma = \#(L \circ \gamma)^{-1}(c) \leq \deg \gamma$. It remains to show that this inequality is not strict.

Let us assume that $d := \deg \gamma = \deg \gamma_1 = \dots = \deg \gamma_n > \deg \gamma_{n+1} \geq \dots \geq \deg \gamma_m$. Write $L(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x_j$ and $\gamma_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_j} c_{ji} t^i$ with $d_j = \deg \gamma_j$. The inequality obtained so far is strict only when $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_{jd} = 0$ i.e. $L(c_{1d}, \dots, c_{nd}, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$. Let ℓ be the line spanned by the vector

$(c_{1d}, \dots, c_{nd}, 0, \dots, 0)$. It is easy to check that $\ell = \Gamma^*$ and since by the choice of H , we have $H^* \cap \Gamma^* = \{0\}$ and $H^* = \text{Ker } L$, then we are done. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\gamma: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a polynomial generic injection and $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a non-constant c -algebraic function on the algebraic curve $\Gamma := \gamma(\mathbb{C})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) = \mathcal{B}(f) = \frac{d(f)}{\deg \Gamma} = \frac{\deg(f \circ \gamma)}{\deg \gamma}.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to that of theorem (3.2) from [D1]. We may assume that $|\cdot|$ is the maximum norm. Let $d := \deg \Gamma$ and observe that since by the preceding Lemma,

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{|t|^d} = \text{const.} > 0,$$

we have $c|t|^d \leq |\gamma(t)| \leq C|t|^d$ for $|t| \gg 1$ and some constants $c, C > 0$. Then the inequalities $c_1|x|^\ell \leq |f(x)| \leq c_2|x|^b$ for $x \in \Gamma$ with $|x| \gg 1$ and exponents $\ell, b \geq 0$ and constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are equivalent to

$$c_1|\gamma(t)|^{d\ell} \leq |f(\gamma(t))| \leq c_2 \cdot |\gamma(t)|^{db}, \quad |t| \gg 1.$$

Note that we clearly have $\ell \leq b$, i. e. $\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) \leq \mathcal{B}(f)$.

Observe now that $f \circ \gamma$ is a polynomial by Serre's Graph Theorem and so there are two positive constants c'_1, c'_2 such that

$$(\circ) \quad c'_1|t|^{\deg(f \circ \gamma)} \leq |f(\gamma(t))| \leq c'_2 \cdot |t|^{\deg(f \circ \gamma)}, \quad |t| \gg 1.$$

But $\deg(f \circ \gamma) = d(f)$ because γ being generically injective, we obviously have $\#(f \circ \gamma)^{-1}(w) = \#f^{-1}(w)$ for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $d(f) \leq db$ and so $d(f) \leq \deg \Gamma \cdot \mathcal{B}(f)$.

We have now on the one hand for $|t| \gg 1$,

$$|f(\gamma(t))| \leq c'_2|t|^{d(f)} \leq c'_2c|\gamma(t)|^{d(f)/d}$$

which implies $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq d(f)/d$. Eventually, $\mathcal{B}(f) \cdot \deg \Gamma = d(f)$.

On the other hand,

$$|f(\gamma(t))| \geq c'_1|t|^{d(f)} \geq c'_1c|\gamma(t)|^{d(f)/d}$$

implies readily $\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) \geq d(f)/d$. But we already know that $d(f)/d = \mathcal{B}(f)$ and thus

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) = \mathcal{B}(f) = \frac{d(f)}{\deg \Gamma}.$$

\square

Remark 5.7. The statement of the Theorem above remains true for $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $n > 1$ as can be easily seen from the proof. In that case $d(f)$ is defined in a slightly different way. Namely,

$$d(f) := \max_{j=1}^n \deg(f_j \circ \gamma),$$

i.e. $d(f) = \deg(f \circ \gamma)$ ($f \circ \gamma$ is a polynomial mapping).

Now we can give the Example announced in Remark 3.8.

Example 5.8. Consider once again $A: y^2 = x^3$ of projective degree 3. According to the last Theorem, for any non-constant $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A)$, we have $\mathcal{B}(f) = d(f)/3$. Since $d(f)$ coincides with the degree of the polynomial $f \circ \gamma$ where $\gamma(t) = (t^2, t^3)$, we see that we it is impossible to obtain e.g. $\mathcal{B}(f) = 1/2$. Therefore, the second inclusion in Proposition 3.7 is in this case strict.

Using the methods from the proof of Theorem 5.3, we obtain an upper bound for the Łojasiewicz exponent at infinity:

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^a(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$ be proper with $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ pure k -dimensional, $k \geq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(f) \leq \sqrt[k]{\frac{d(f)}{\deg A}}.$$

Proof. Take an exponent $\ell > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \geq \text{const.}|x|^\ell$ for $x \in A$, $|x| \gg 1$. Fix an integer $q > 0$ such that $q\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $F_j := f_j^q$. The resulting mapping $F = (F_1, \dots, F_k)$ is c -algebraic, proper and clearly $d(F) = q^k d(f)$. Moreover, taking e.g. the maximum norm we see that $|F(x)| = |f(x)|^q \geq \text{const.}|x|^{q\ell}$ for $x \in A$ sufficiently large. Put $L := q\ell$ and let

$$\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in A \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid y_j^L = F_j(x), j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

It is an algebraic set of pure dimension k (cf. the proof of Theorem 5.3). Choose coordinates in \mathbb{C}^m in such a way that the projection π onto the first k coordinates realizes $\deg A$. Then for $p(x, y) = x$, the projection $(\pi \circ p)|_A$ has multiplicity $\deg A \cdot L^k$. Indeed, none of the functions F_j can vanish identically on any irreducible component of A due to the properness of F . Therefore, $F_j^{-1}(0)$ are pure $(k-1)$ -dimensional (cf. [D2]) and so we can find a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$ that is non-critical for $\pi|_A$ and does not lie in $\bigcup_j \pi(F_j^{-1}(0))$. Then the fibre $(\pi \circ p)^{-1}(z) \cap \Gamma$ has the maximal possible cardinality $\deg A \cdot L^k$.

Take now $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ with $|x| \gg 1$, then

$$\text{const.}|x|^L \leq |F(x)| = \max_j |F_j(x)| = |y|^L$$

implies that for some $R > 0$,

$$\Gamma \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid |x| \geq R\} \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid |x| \leq \text{const.}|y|\}$$

and so the projection $\varrho(x, y) = y$ realizes the degree of Γ . It is thus equal to $d(F)$ and eventually,

$$q^k d(f) = d(F) = \deg \Gamma \geq \deg A \cdot L^k = \deg A \cdot (q\ell)^k$$

which gives the result sought for. \square

Remark 5.10. Theorem 5.6 implies that the inequality in the last Theorem is strict.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During the preparation of the first version of this paper, the second-named author was partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant IP2011 009571. The present article has been much extended in comparison to the first version.

REFERENCES

- [BDT] A. Białożyty, M. P. Denkowski, P. Tworzewski, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent of c -holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs*, in preparation (2019)
- [Ch] E. M. Chirka, *Complex Analytic Sets*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1989;
- [C] S. Cynk, *The Picard Theorem for analytic and algebraic sets*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 38 1-12 (1990), 189-192;
- [D1] M. P. Denkowski, *The Lojasiewicz exponent of c -holomorphic mappings*, Ann. Polon. Math. LXXXVII.1 (2005), 63-81;
- [D2] M. P. Denkowski, *A note on the Nullstellensatz for c -holomorphic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. XC.3 (2007), 219-228;
- [DP] M. P. Denkowski, R. Pierzchała, *On the Kuratowski convergence of analytic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. 93.2 (2008), 101-112;
- [FHMM] G. Fichou, J. Huisman, F. Mangolte, J.-P. Monnier, *Fonctions régulières*, Journ. Reine Angew. Math. 718 (2016), 103-151;
- [Jel1] Z. Jelonek, *Testing set for properness of polynomial mappings*, Math. Ann. 315 (1999), 1-35;
- [Jel2] Z. Jelonek, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent*, Hokkaido Math. J. 35 (2006), 471-485;
- [Kol] J. Kollár, *Continuous rational functions on real and p -adic varieties*, arXiv 1101.3737 [math.AG] (2011);
- [KolN] J. Kollár, K. J. Nowak, *Continuous rational functions on real and p -adic varieties*, Math. Z. 279, 12 (2015), 85-97;
- [K] W. Kucharz, *Rational maps in real algebraic geometry*, Adv. Geom. 9 (4) (2009), 517-539;
- [Ł] S. Łojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1991;
- [P] A. Płoski, *On the growth of proper polynomial mappings*, Ann. Polon. Math. XLV.3 (1985), 297-309;
- [S] A. Strzeboński, *The growth of regular functions on algebraic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. LV (1991), 331-341;
- [TW1] P. Tworzewski, T. Winiarski, *Analytic sets with proper projections*, Journ. Reine Angew. Math. 337 (1982), 68-76;
- [TW2] P. Tworzewski, T. Winiarski, *Continuity of intersection of analytic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. 42 (1983), 387-393;
- [TsY] A. K. Tsikh, A. Yger, *Residue Currents*, Journ. Math. Sci. vol. 120 no 6 (2004), 1916-1971;
- [Wh] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Varieties*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co. 1972.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: adam.bialozyt@doctoral.uj.edu.pl

E-mail address: maciej.denkowski@uj.edu.pl

E-mail address: piotr.tworzewski@uj.edu.pl

E-mail address: tomasz.wawak@student.uj.edu.pl