

# ISOTOPING 2-SPHERES IN 4-MANIFOLDS

SELMAN AKBULUT

ABSTRACT. Here we discuss an example of topologically isotopic but smoothly non-isotopic pair of 2- spheres in a simply connected 4-manifold, which become smoothly isotopic after stabilizing by connected summing with  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

## 0. THE EXAMPLE

in [AKMR] examples of topologically isotopic but smoothly nonisotopic spheres in simply connected 4-manifolds, which become smoothly isotopic after connected summing with  $S^2 \times S^2$ , were discussed. In this note we show that such an example already follows from [A2].

First review [A2]: Let  $f : \partial W \rightarrow \partial W$  be the cork twisting involution of the Mazur cork  $(W, f)$ . Since  $f$  fixes the boundary  $\partial D$  of a properly imbedded disk  $D \subset W$  up to isotopy,  $f(\partial D)$  bounds a disk in  $W$  as well (isotopy in the collar union  $D$ ), hence we can extend  $f$  across the tubular neighborhood of  $N(D)$  of  $D$  by the carving process of [A1]. This results a manifold  $Q = W - N(D)$  of Figure 1, homotopy equivalent to  $B^3 \times S^1$ , and an involution on its boundary  $\tau : \partial Q \rightarrow \partial Q$ .




FIGURE 1

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58D27, 58A05, 57R65.  
Partially supported by NSF grants DMS 0905917.

$\tau$  does not extend to  $Q$  as a diffeomorphism (otherwise  $f$  would extend to a self diffeomorphism of  $W$ ). So  $\tau$  gives an exotic structure to  $Q$  relative to its boundary (just as in the cork case). In [A4] such  $(Q, \tau)$ 's are called anticorks because they live inside of corks  $(W, f)$ , and twisting  $Q$  by the involution  $\tau$  undoes the effect of twisting  $W$  by  $f$ . Notice that the loop  $\gamma = \partial D$  bounds two different disks in  $B^4$  with the same complement  $Q$  (where the identity map between their boundaries can not extend to a diffeomorphism inside), they are described by the two different ribbon moves indicated in the last picture of Figure 1. The two disks are the obvious disks which  $\gamma$  bounds in the third picture of Figure 1, and the same disk after zero and dot exchanges of the figure.

Now let  $M$  be the 4-manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle to  $B^4$  along the ribbon knot  $\gamma$  of Figure 1, with  $+1$  framing. Clearly  $M$  has two imbedded 2-spheres  $S_i, i = 1, 2$  of self intersection  $+1$  representing  $H_2(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , corresponding to the two different 2-disks which  $\gamma$  bounds in  $B^4$ . Blowing down either  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  turns  $M$  into the *positron* cork  $\bar{W}_1$  of Figure 2 ([AM]), and the two different blowings down process turns the identity map  $\partial M \rightarrow \partial M$  to the cork involution  $f : \partial \bar{W}_1 \rightarrow \partial \bar{W}_1$ , i.e. the maps in Figure 2 commute (this can be seen by blowing down  $\gamma$  of Figure 1 by using the two different disks). Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are not smoothly isotopic in  $M$  by any isotopy keeping  $\partial M$  fixed, though they are topologically isotopic (by Freedman's theorem); but they are isotopic In  $M \# S^2 \times S^2$  rel boundary (since surgery corresponds turning the dotted circle to 0-framed circle, in the third picture of Figure 1).




FIGURE 2

Since  $M = \bar{W}_1 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ , this example shows that the blowing up  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  operation undoes the cork twisting operation of  $(\bar{W}_1, f)$ . Also since the Dolgachev surface  $E(1)_{2,3}$  differs from its standard copy  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9\bar{\mathbb{CP}}^2$  by twisting the positron cork  $(\bar{W}_1, f)$  inside (Theorem 1 of [A3]), the manifold  $M$  in this example can be made to be closed (without boundary).

**Remark 1.** *Reader can check that the two ribbon disks of the ribbon knot in Figure 1 are actually the same ribbon disks (isotoping the last picture of Figure 1, by forcing the two stands going through  $b$  stay parallel, results the same picture except the positions of  $a$  and  $b$  are exchanged) but  $f$  induces nontrivial identifications on the boundaries of the ribbon complements.*

## REFERENCES

- [A1] S. Akbulut, *On 2-dimensional homology classes of 4-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 82 (1977), 99-106.
- [A2] S. Akbulut, *A solution to a conjecture of Zeeman*, Topology, vol.30, no.3, (1991), 513-515.
- [A3] S. Akbulut, *The Dolgachev Surface (Disproving Harer-Kas-Kirby Conjecture)*, Comm. Math. Helvetici, vol 87, issue 1 (2012) 187-241.
- [A4] S. Akbulut, *4-Manifolds* Book manuscript, <http://www.math.msu.edu/~akbulut/papers/akbulut.lec.pdf>
- [AM] S. Akbulut and R. Matveyev, *A convex decomposition theorem for 4-manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, no. 7 (1998) 371-381.
- [AY] S. Akbulut and K. Yasui, *Corks, Plugs and exotic structures*, Journal of Gökova Geometry Topology, volume **2** (2008), 40-82
- [AKMR] D. Auckly, H. Kim, P. Melvin and D. Ruberman, *Stable isotopy in four dimensions*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.4937>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, MI, 48824  
*E-mail address:* [akbulut@math.msu.edu](mailto:akbulut@math.msu.edu)