

ON WELSCHINGER INVARIANTS OF SYMPLECTIC 4-MANIFOLDS

ERWAN BRUGALLÉ AND NICOLAS PUIGNAU

ABSTRACT. We prove the vanishing of many Welschinger invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds. In some particular instances, we also determine their sign and show that they are divisible by a large power of 2. Those results are a consequence of several relations among Welschinger invariants obtained by a real version of symplectic sum formula.

In particular, this note contains proofs of results announced in [BP13].

A *real symplectic manifold* (X, ω, τ) is a symplectic manifold (X, ω) equipped an antisymplectic involution τ . The *real part* of (X, ω, τ) , denoted by $\mathbb{R}X$, is by definition the fixed point set of τ . We say that an almost complex structure J tamed by ω is τ -compatible if τ is J -antiholomorphic, i.e. $J \circ d\tau = -d\tau \circ J$.

Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau)$ be a real symplectic manifold of dimension 4. Fix a connected component L of $\mathbb{R}X$, and a τ -invariant class F in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Let C be an immersed real rational J -holomorphic curve in X for some τ -compatible almost complex structure J . Any half of $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$ defines a class $\underline{C}$ in $H_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ whose intersection number modulo 2 with F , denoted by $\underline{C} \cdot F$, is well defined and does not depend on the chosen half. We further denote by $m(C)$ the number of nodes of C in L with two τ -conjugated branches, and we define the (L, F) -mass of C as

$$m_{L,F}(C) = m(C) + \underline{C} \cdot F.$$

Let $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$c_1(X) \cdot d - 1 = r + 2s.$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x}$ made of r points in L and s pairs of τ -conjugated points in $X \setminus \mathbb{R}X$. Given a τ -compatible almost complex structure J , we denote by $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ the set of real rational J -holomorphic curves in X realizing the class d , passing through $\underline{x}$, and such that L contains the 1-dimensional part of $\mathbb{R}C$. For a generic choice of J , the set $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is finite, and the integer

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)} (-1)^{m_{L,F}(C)}$$

depends neither on $\underline{x}$, J , nor on the deformation class of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [Wel05, IKS13])¹. We call these numbers the *Welschinger invariants* of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. When $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$, we simply denote $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L}(d, s)$ instead of $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]}(d, s)$. Note that Welschinger invariants are non-trivial to compute only in the case of rational manifolds.

A *real Lagrangian sphere* of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Lagrangian sphere globally invariant under τ . Two disjoint surfaces S and S' in X are said to be *connected by a chain of real Lagrangian spheres* if there exists

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14P05, 14N10; Secondary 14N35, 14P25.

Key words and phrases. Real enumerative geometry, Welschinger invariants, Gromov-Witten invariants, symplectic sum formula, symplectic field theory.

¹Welschinger originally considered in [Wel05] only the case when $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$. In this case $m_{L,F}(C)$ is the number of solitary nodes of $\mathbb{R}C$. Later, Itenberg, Kharlamov, and Shustin observed in [IKS13] that Welschinger's proof extends literally to arbitrary τ -invariant classes in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. See also [Geo] for a related discussion.

Note that our convention differ slightly from [IKS13], where the sign of a curve in $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ depends on the parity of $m(C) + \underline{C} \cdot (F + [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L])$ instead of $m(C) + \underline{C} \cdot (F)$.

real Lagrangian spheres $S_1, \dots, S_k$ in X such that $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ is $|i - j| \geq 2$, and S_i and S_{i+1} intersect transversely in a single point, as well as S and S_0 , and S' and S_k .

This note is devoted to prove the next theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real 4-symplectic manifold, and suppose that F has a representative which is connected to L by a chain of real Lagrangian spheres.*

(1) *If $r \geq 2$, then*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = 0.$$

(2) *If $r = 1$ and $c_1(X) \cdot d \geq 2$, then*

$$2^{\frac{c_1(X) \cdot d - 4}{2}} \mid W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s).$$

If in addition $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$, then

$$(-1)^{\frac{d^2 - c_1(X) \cdot d + 2}{2}} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L}(d, s) \geq 0.$$

The invariant $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, 0}(d, s)$ does not seem to satisfy a vanishing statement analogous to Theorem 1(1) (see [IKS13, IKS, Brua]), implying that the set $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is usually non-empty. Theorem 1(2) partially generalizes [Wel, Theorems 1.1, 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3] and [Brua, Proposition 8.2].

Theorem 1 can be specialized to real algebraic rational surfaces, whose classification is well known (see [Sil89, Kol] for example). A real algebraic rational surface is always implicitly assumed to be equipped with some Kähler form.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of the τ -invariant classes in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the kernel of the natural map $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and by the classes realized by real symplectic curves. We show in Propositions 8 and 9 that $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}$ and $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F'}$ are equal in absolute value if $F - F' \in \mathcal{G}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L)$ the group of τ -invariant classes in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ quotiented by $\mathcal{G}$. All groups $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L)$ are computed in the case of real algebraic rational surfaces in Section 4. In particular, we prove in Proposition 10 that they only depend on a minimal model of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and on the choice of L .

Theorem 2. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real 4-symplectic manifold equal, up to deformation and equivariant symplectomorphism, to a real algebraic rational surface, and suppose that F is non-zero in $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L)$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 1 hold in the following cases:*

- $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained from a minimal model by blowing up pairs of complex conjugated points and real points on at most two connected components of $\mathbb{R}X$, one of them being L ;
- $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Del Pezzo surface;
- $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$.

Remark 1. In a burst of enthusiasm, we forgot in [BP13, Proposition 3.3] the assumption that $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ has to be symplectomorphic/deformation equivalent to a real algebraic rational surface.

Theorem 2 follows from the classification of real algebraic rational surfaces and Theorem 1, which in its turn is a direct consequence of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 below. Our strategy to prove these latter is to degenerate $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ into a reducible real symplectic manifold $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, and to relate enumeration of curves in $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. This degeneration can be thought as a degeneration of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ to a real nodal symplectic manifold, and can be described into two equivalent ways:

- the contraction of a τ -invariant Lagrangian sphere S_V by stretching the neck of a τ -compatible almost complex structure in a neighborhood of S_V , see [EGH00, Wel];
- the symplectic sum of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the normal bundle of an embedded real symplectic (-2) -sphere E in X , see [IP04].

In particular, Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 4, which can be seen as a real version of the Abramovich-Bertram-Vakil formula [AB01, Theorem 3.1.1], [Vak00, Theorem 4.5]. Another but related treatment of contraction of Lagrangian spheres contained in $\mathbb{R}X$ has previously been proposed by Welschinger in [Wel]. In this note, we adopt the symplectic sum description of the degeneration of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The paper is organized as follows. We state Theorems 3 and 4 in Section 1. In particular the proof of Theorem 1 is reduced to the proof of these latter in Section 1.4. Theorems 3 and 4 rely on a real version of the symplectic sum formula given in Section 2, and are proved in Section 3. We end this paper by explicit computations in the case of real algebraic rational surfaces in Section 4.

Acknowledgment: We are grateful to Simone Diverio, Penka Georgieva, Umberto Hryniewicz, Ilia Itenberg, Viatcheslav Kharlamov, Leonardo Macarini, Frédéric Mangolte, Brett Parker, Christian Peskine, Patrick Popescu, Jean-Yves Welschinger, and Aleksey Zinger for many useful conversations.

Both authors were supported by the Brazilian-French Network in Mathematics. E.B. was also partially supported by the ANR-09-BLAN-0039-01.

1. AUXILIARY RESULTS

1.1. Preliminaries. In the whole text, we denote by $X_0 = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, by ω_{FS} the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{C}P^1$, and by l_1 and l_2 respectively the homology classes $[\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \{0\}]$ and $[\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}P^1]$ in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$ is the free abelian group generated by l_1 and l_2 . Up to conjugation by an automorphism, there exist four different real structures on $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS})$, and the class $l_1 + l_2$ is invariant for exactly three of them, see for example [Sil89, Kol]. These latter are given in coordinate by:

- $\tau_{hy}(z, w) = (\bar{z}, \bar{w})$, $\mathbb{R}X_{hy} = S^1 \times S^1$;
- $\tau_{el}(z, w) = (\bar{w}, \bar{z})$, $\mathbb{R}X_{el} = S^2$;
- $\tau_{em}(z, w) = (-\frac{1}{\bar{z}}, -\frac{1}{\bar{w}})$, $\mathbb{R}X_{em} = \emptyset$.

Note that τ_{hy} and τ_{em} acts trivially on $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, while τ_{el} exchange the classes l_1 and l_2 . Note also, with the convention that $\chi(\emptyset) = 0$, that

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}X_{hy}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X_{em}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\mathbb{R}X_{el}) = 2.$$

Let E be a representative of the class $l_1 + l_2$ in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$. Next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 1. *The group $H_2(X_0 \setminus E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and is generated by any representative disjoint from E of the class $l_1 + l_2$ in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

Lemma 2. *Suppose that E is a real symplectic curve in $(X_0, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau_{el})$. Then the group $H_2(X_0, E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and is generated by any τ_{el} -invariant disk $\mathcal{D}$ with $\partial\mathcal{D} \subset E$.*

Proof. The fact that $H_2(X_0, E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ follows immediately from the long exact sequence of pairs. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a τ_{el} -invariant disk with $\partial\mathcal{D} \subset E$. Denote by D_1 and D_2 the two halves of $E \setminus \partial\mathcal{D}$. Since E is a real symplectic curve, the involution τ_{el} exchanges D_1 and D_2 . The closure of $D_i \cup \mathcal{D}$ realizes a class in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and we have

$$l_1 + l_2 = [D_1 \cup D_2] = [D_1 \cup \mathcal{D}] + [D_2 \cup \mathcal{D}] \quad \text{in } H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since τ_{el} exchanges the classes $[D_1 \cup \mathcal{D}]$ and $[D_2 \cup \mathcal{D}]$, both of them are non-null, i.e. $[D_1 \cup \mathcal{D}] = l_i$ and $[D_2 \cup \mathcal{D}] = l_{3-i}$. Hence by the long exact sequence of pairs, the class realized by $D_1 \cup \mathcal{D}$ in $H_2(X_0, E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, which equals the class realized by $\mathcal{D}$, generates the group. $\square$

Example 1. In the case when $X_0 \setminus E$ is the affine quadric with equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$, the sphere $X_0 \cap \mathbb{R}^3$ is an example of generator of $H_2(X_0 \setminus E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and the disk $X_0 \cap (i\mathbb{R} \times i\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ is an example of generator of $H_2(X_0, E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

1.2. Vanishing Lagrangian spheres. Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau)$ be a real symplectic manifold of dimension 4. A class V in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is called a *real vanishing cycle* if it can be represented by a real Lagrangian sphere S_V . By stretching the neck of a τ -compatible almost complex structure in a neighborhood of S_V , one decomposes X into the union of $X \setminus S_V$ and T^*S_V . This operation can be thought as a degeneration of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ to a real nodal symplectic manifold for which V is precisely the vanishing cycle. Equivalently, the class V is a real vanishing cycle if and only if, up to deformation, $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be represented as the real symplectic sum of two real symplectic manifolds (X_1, ω_1, τ_1) and $(X_0, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau_0)$ along an embedded symplectic sphere E of self-intersection -2 in X_1 (hence of self-intersection 2 in X_0) where:

- E is real and realizes the class $l_1 + l_2$ in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$;
- V is represented by the deformation in X of a representative of the non-trivial class in $H_2(X_0 \setminus E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

By abuse, we still denote by V the non-trivial class in $H_2(X_0 \setminus E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We refer to Section 2.2 for more details about the symplectic sum operation. We denote by $X_{\sharp}$ the union of (X_1, ω_1, τ_1) and $(X_0, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau_0)$ along E , by $L_{\sharp}$ the degeneration of L as $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ degenerates to $X_{\sharp}$, and by $L_i = L_{\sharp} \cap X_i$. The summand $(X_0, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau_0)$ of $X_{\sharp}$ corresponds to the compactified normal bundle of E in X , i.e. to $\mathbb{P}(N_{E/X} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ where $N_{E/X}$ is the normal bundle of E in X . In particular, the homology groups $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(X_1; \mathbb{Z})$ are canonically identified, and we implicitly use this identification throughout the text.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a τ -invariant representative of a τ -invariant class $F \in H_2(X_{\sharp} \setminus L_{\sharp}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and define $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F} \cap X_0$. Note that by construction we have $\partial \mathcal{F}_0 \subset E$. Throughout the text, we always assume that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- either $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{R}E = \emptyset$, or there exists a neighborhood U of $\mathbb{R}E$ in $X_{\sharp}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cap U \subset \mathbb{R}X_{\sharp}$;
- one of the two following assumptions hold:
 - (H_1) $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a cycle representing the class γV in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$;
 - (H_2) $\tau_0 = \tau_{el}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{D} \cup \Gamma$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a τ -invariant disk with $\partial \mathcal{D} \subset E$, and Γ is a cycle representing the class γV in $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

1.3. Vanishing Welschinger invariants. Next theorem is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1, and will be proved in Section 3.1.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that L_0 is a disk and that $\mathbb{R}X_0 \subset L_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_0$.*

(1) *If $r \geq 2$, then*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = 0.$$

(2) *If $r = 1$ and $c_1(X) \cdot d - 1 \geq 2$, then*

$$2^{\frac{c_1(X) \cdot d - 4}{2}} \mid W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{\frac{d^2 - c_1(X) \cdot d + 2}{2}} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L}(d, s) \geq 0.$$

Note that the assumptions of Theorem 3 imply that $\tau_0 = \tau_{el}$ and that $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies (H_2). In the Lagrangian sphere contraction presentation, the condition that L_0 is a disk translates to the condition that $L \cap S_V$ is reduced to a single point, at which the order of intersection is odd.

1.4. From X_1 to X . Here we reduce the computation of Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ to enumeration of real J -holomorphic curves in $X_{1, \mathbb{R}}$ for a τ_1 -compatible almost complex structure J for which E is J -holomorphic.

Definition 1. *Let J be a τ_1 -compatible almost complex structure on (X_1, ω_1, τ_1) for which the curve E is J -holomorphic, and let C_1 be an immersed real rational J -holomorphic curve intersecting E transversely. We denote by a the number of points in $\mathbb{R}C_1 \cap \mathbb{R}E$, and by b the number of pairs of τ_1 -conjugated points in $C_1 \cap E$. Finally, let $k \geq 0$ be an integer.*

- (1) If $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies assumption (H_1) , then $\mathcal{F} \cap X_1$ is also a cycle representing a class $F_1 \in H_2(X_1 \setminus L_1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We define

$$\mu_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}_0, k}^0(C_1) = (-1)^{m_{L_1, F_1}(C_1) + \gamma(a+b)} \sum_{k=a_k+2b_k} \binom{a}{a_k} \binom{b}{b_k}$$

and

$$\mu_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}_0, k}^2(C_1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_{L_1, F_1}(C_1) + \gamma b} 2^b & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } k = b; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (2) If $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies assumption (H_2) , then we define

$$\mu_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}_0, k}(C_1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_{L_1, F}(C_1)} & \text{if } k = a = b = 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As above let $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$c_1(X) \cdot d - 1 = r + 2s.$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x}$ made of r points in L_1 and s pairs of τ -conjugated points in $X_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}X_1$. Let J be a τ_1 -compatible almost complex structure for which E is J -holomorphic.

For each integer $k \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ the set of all irreducible rational real J -holomorphic curves in $(X_1, \omega_1, \tau_1, J)$ passing through all points in $\underline{x}$, realizing the class $d - k[E]$, and such that L_1 contains the 1-dimensional part of $\mathbb{R}C_1$. For a generic choice of J satisfying the above conditions, the set $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is finite, and any curve in $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is nodal and intersects E transversely. Moreover $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is non-empty only for finitely many values of k .

We prove next theorem in Section 3.2.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $L_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then for a generic choice of J , the two following claims hold.*

- (1) If $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies assumption (H_1) , then, with the convention that $\chi(\emptyset) = 0$, one has

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J)} \mu_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}_0, k}^{\chi(\mathbb{R}X_0)}(C_1).$$

- (2) If $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies assumption (H_2) , then one has

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = \sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{1,0}(d, \underline{x}, J)} \mu_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}_0, 0}(C_1).$$

Applying Theorem 4(1) with $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$, one obtains [BP13, Theorem 2.2]. Some instances of Theorem 4(1) when $\mathbb{R}X_0 = S^1 \times S^1$ have been known for sometimes, e.g. [Brub, Bru11, Kha, RS]. Since the publication of [BP13], an algebro-geometric proof of Theorem 4(1) appeared in [Brua] and in [IKS] in the particular cases when X is a Del Pezzo surface of degree two or more. Theorem 4(2) immediately implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $V \in H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and that $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies assumption (H_2) . Then*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F+V}(d, s).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 □

1.5. Applications of Theorem 4(1). We do not explicitly use Theorem 4(1) in the proof of Theorem 1, nevertheless its proof is almost contained in the proof of Theorem 4(2). Theorem 4(1) has many interesting applications, in particular in explicit computations of Welschinger invariants, see [Brua, IKS]. We present two other consequences.

We first relate some tropical Welschinger invariants to genuine Welschinger invariants of the quadric ellipsoid. We refer to [IKS09] for the definition of tropical Welschinger invariants. The only homology classes of $(X_0, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau_{el})$ realized by real curves are of the form $dl_1 + dl_2$ with $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We say that a tropical curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is of class $aB + bF$ in $\mathbb{TF}_2$ if its Newton polygon has vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, a)$, (b, a) , and $(2a + b, 0)$. We denote by $W_{\mathbb{TF}_2}(dB)$ the irreducible tropical Welschinger invariant of $\mathbb{TF}_2$ for curves of class dB .

Proposition 1. *For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have*

$$W_{X_0, el, \mathbb{R}X_0, el}(dl_1 + dl_2) = W_{\mathbb{TF}_2}(dB).$$

Proof. We consider the second Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$ equipped with its real structure induced by the blow up at the origin of the real quadratic cone with equation $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0$. We denote respectively by h and f the class in $H_2(\mathbb{F}_2; \mathbb{Z})$ of a hyperplane section and of a fiber. According to [Mik05], if $\underline{x}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a tropically generic configuration of $4d - 1$ points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, then any rational tropical rational curve in $\mathbb{TF}_2$ of class $(d - k)B + 2kF$ and containing $\underline{x}^{\mathbb{T}}$ has $4d$ unbounded edges of weight 1. Still by [Mik05], this implies the existence of a generic configuration $\underline{x}$ of $4d - 1$ points in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{F}_2$ such that any real algebraic rational curve in $\mathbb{F}_2$ of class $(d - k)h + 2kf$ and containing $\underline{x}$ intersect the (-2) -curve only in real points. Now the corollary follows from Theorem 4(1) applied with $\mathbb{R}X_0 = S^2$. $\square$

It is proved in [IKS04] that given a real toric Del Pezzo surface X equipped with its tautological real toric structure and a class $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}X}(d, 0) \geq W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}X}(d, 1).$$

The same idea we used in the proof of Proposition 1 combined with Theorem 4 and [Brua, Theorem 3.12] provide a natural generalization of this formula in the particular cases when X is a Del Pezzo surface of degree at least three.

Proposition 2. *Let (X, ω) be a symplectic 4-manifold symplectomorphic/deformation equivalent to a Del Pezzo surface of degree at least three. If $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau_1)$ and $X'_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau_2)$ are two real structures on (X, ω) , then for any $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ one has*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L_1}(d, 0) \geq W_{X'_{\mathbb{R}}, L_2}(d, 0) \geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \chi(\mathbb{R}X) \leq \chi(\mathbb{R}X').$$

Proof. We first prove the proposition in the case when (X, ω) is deformation equivalent to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up at six points. We consider $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and its blown up equipped with the standard complex structure J_{st} . Let us blow up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in $6 - 2\kappa$ real points and κ pairs of conjugated points. If these points are chosen generically, we denote the obtained surface by $\mathbb{C}P_6^2(\kappa)$, and by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_6^2(\kappa)$ if these six points lie on a smooth real conic with a non-empty real part. We also denote by $\mathbb{C}P_6^2(4)$ the real structure on the blow up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in 6 points with a disconnected real part (see [Sil89, Kol]). We denote by E the strict transform of this conic in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_6^2(\kappa)$. Theorem 4(1) applied to E in $X_1 = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_6^2(\kappa)$ allows one to compute $W_{\mathbb{C}P_6^2(\kappa), L_1}(d, s)$ (when $\mathbb{R}X_0 = S^1 \times S^1$) and $W_{\mathbb{C}P_6^2(\kappa+1), L_2}(d, s)$ (when $\mathbb{R}X_0 = S^2$) out of the sets $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J_{st})$. When $s = 0$, it follows from [Brua, Theorem 3.12] that there exists a configuration of real points $\underline{x}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}P}_6^2(\kappa)$ such that for any $k \geq 0$, any curve in $\mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J_{st})$ intersects E only in real points (i.e. $b = 0$), and

$$\sum_{C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{1,k}(d, \underline{x}, J_{st})} (-1)^{\mu_{L, [\mathbb{R}X_1 \setminus L_1]}(C_1)} \geq 0.$$

Now the result follows immediately from Theorem 4(1).

The proof in the case of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ is analogous using floor diagrams from [BM08]. $\square$

Note that Proposition 2 does not generalize immediately to any symplectic 4-manifold. Indeed, according to [ABLdM11, Section 7.3] one has $W_{\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^2}(9, 12) < W_{\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^2}(9, 13)$, i.e. Proposition 2 does not hold in the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in 26 points.

2. PREPARATION

An isomorphism between two J -holomorphic maps $f_1 : C_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2 : C_2 \rightarrow X$ is a biholomorphism $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$. Maps are always considered up to isomorphisms.

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$, we use the following notation:

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_i, \quad \text{and} \quad I\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} i\alpha_i.$$

The vector in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ whose all coordinates are equal to 0, except the i th one which is equal to 1, is denoted by e_i .

2.1. Curves with tangency conditions. Let (X, ω) be a compact and connected 4-dimensional symplectic manifold, and let $E \subset X$ be an embedded symplectic curve in X . Let $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ such that

$$I\alpha + I\beta = d \cdot [E].$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x} = \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E$ of points in X , with $\underline{x}^\circ$ a configuration of $c_1(X) \cdot d - 1 - d \cdot [E] + |\beta|$ points in $X \setminus E$, and $\underline{x}_E = \{p_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq j \leq \alpha_i, i \geq 1}$ a configuration of $|\alpha|$ points in E . Given J an almost complex structure on X tamed by ω and for which E is J -holomorphic, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ the set of rational J -holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

- $f_*[\mathbb{C}P^1] = d$;
- $\underline{x} \subset f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$;
- E does not contain $f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$;
- $f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ has order of contact i with E at each points $p_{i,j}$;
- $f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ has order of contact i with E at exactly β_i distinct points on $E \setminus \underline{x}_E$.

For a generic choice of J , the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ contains finitely simple maps. However it might contains infinitely many non-simple maps. Next proposition shows nevertheless that the set

$$\mathcal{C}_*^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J) = \left\{ f(\mathbb{C}P^1) \mid (f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J) \right\}$$

is finite.

Proposition 3. *For a generic choice of J , the set $\mathcal{C}_*^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is finite.*

More precisely, suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ contains a non-simple map f which factors through a non-trivial ramified covering of a simple map $f_0 : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow X$. Denote by d_0 the homology class $(f_0)_[\mathbb{C}P^1]$, and let $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ such that $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha_0, \beta_0}(d_0, \underline{x}, J)$. Then necessarily*

$$c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - 1 - d_0 \cdot [E] + |\beta_0| = |\underline{x}_0| = k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_0| = k_2$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (1, 0), (0, 1)$, or $(0, 0)$. Moreover in the first two cases, the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is finite and $|\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $\delta \geq 2$ be the degree of the covering map through which f factors. In particular we have $d = \delta d_0$. By Riemann-Hurwitz Formula, we have

$$\delta(|\alpha_0| + |\beta_0|) - |\alpha| - |\beta| \leq 2\delta - 2.$$

Combining the latter identity with $|\alpha_0| = |\alpha|$, we get

$$(1) \quad |\beta| - |\beta_0| \geq (\delta - 1)(|\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| - 2).$$

Since $f_0(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ contains all points in $\underline{x}_0$ we have

$$c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - 1 - d_0 \cdot [E] + |\beta_0| \geq |\underline{x}_0| = \delta c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - 1 - \delta d_0 \cdot [E] + |\beta|,$$

and so

$$(\delta - 1)(d_0 \cdot [E] - c_1(X) \cdot d_0) \geq |\beta| - |\beta_0|.$$

Combining this identity with (1), we obtain

$$0 \geq (\delta - 1)(c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - d_0 \cdot [E] + |\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| - 2).$$

Since we have

$$\delta \geq 2, \quad c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - d_0 \cdot [E] + |\beta_0| - 1 \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_0| \geq 0,$$

we deduce that

$$c_1(X) \cdot d_0 - 1 - d_0 \cdot [E] + |\beta_0| = k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_0| = k_2$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (1, 0), (0, 1)$, or $(0, 0)$. Moreover in the first two cases, all inequalities above are in fact equalities. In particular there exists finitely many coverings $\pi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree δ such that $f_0 \circ \pi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$. Since $|\beta| - |\beta_0| \geq 0$, we also deduce from (1) that $|\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| \geq 2$. $\square$

Remark 2. The three cases from Proposition 3 show up, even in simple situations. Let us consider for example X to be $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up at a point q . Denote by l the homology class of a line, by e_1 the class of the exceptional divisor, and by E the pull back of a conic not passing through q . Then for any choice of J , the sets $\mathcal{C}^{0, 2e_1}(\delta(l - e_1), \{p\}, J)$, $\mathcal{C}^{e_1, e_1}(\delta(l - e_1), \emptyset, J)$, and $\mathcal{C}^{0, e_1}(\delta(l - e_1), \emptyset, J)$ with $\delta \geq 2$ contain a non-ramified covering of a line, the third set being of dimension $\delta - 1$.

In this case when $X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $[E] = l_1 + l_2$, the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is always finite and made of simple maps.

Proposition 4. *Suppose that $X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $[E] = l_1 + l_2$. Then the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ with $|\underline{x}_0| \leq 1$ is empty except in the following situations where it contains a unique element:*

- $\mathcal{C}^{e_1, 0}(l_i, \emptyset, J)$, $i = 1, 2$;
- $\mathcal{C}^{0, e_1}(l_i, \{p\}, J)$, $i = 1, 2$;
- $\mathcal{C}^{2e_1, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p\}, J)$;
- $\mathcal{C}^{e_2, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p\}, J)$.

Moreover, this unique element is an embedding.

In particular, for any $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ such that

$$I\alpha + I\beta = d \cdot [E],$$

any configuration $\underline{x} = \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E$, and any generic choice of J , the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(d, \underline{x}, J)$ is finite and contains only simple maps.

Proof. The last assertion follows from the first one and Proposition 3.

The first Chern class of X is dual to $2(l_1 + l_2)$, so

$$c_1(X) \cdot (al_1 + bl_2) - 1 - (al_1 + bl_2) \cdot [E] + |\beta| = a + b - 1 + |\beta|.$$

Suppose that $a + b - 1 + |\beta| = 0$ and that $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \beta}(al_1 + bl_2, \emptyset, J) \neq \emptyset$. Since $(al_1 + bl_2) \cdot [E] = a + b$, and since two J -holomorphic curves intersect positively, we obtain $a + b \geq 0$. Hence either $a + b = 0$ and $|\beta| = 1$, or $a + b = 1$ and $|\beta| = 0$.

By genericity of J , we have that $(al_1 + bl_2)^2 \geq -1$, i.e. $2ab \geq -1$. If $a + b = 0$, this implies that $a = b = 0$ which contradicts $|\beta| = 1$. If $a + b = 1$, then $2a^2 - a - 1 \leq 0$ which implies that $a = 0$ or 1 .

In the case $a + b - 1 + |\beta| = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(al_1 + bl_2, \{p\}, J) \neq \emptyset$, we prove analogously that we are in one of the following situations:

- $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, and $|\beta| = 1$;
- $(a, b) = (1, 1)$, $(2, 0)$, or $(0, 2)$, and $|\beta| = 0$.

If X is equipped with the symplectic form $\omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}$ and its standard complex structure J_{st} , it is easy to check that the sets $\mathcal{C}^{e_1,0}(l_i, \emptyset, J_{st})$, $\mathcal{C}^{0,e_1}(l_i, \{p\}, J_{st})$, $\mathcal{C}^{2e_1,0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p\}, J_{st})$, and $\mathcal{C}^{e_2,0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p\}, J_{st})$ consists of a unique element. This implies that when we vary both ω and J , the corresponding sets still contain at least one element. Moreover they cannot contain more than one element, since the imposed constraints imply that two distinct curves would have an intersection number strictly bigger than the one imposed by their homology class. Finally, all J -holomorphic maps under consideration are embeddings thanks to the adjunction formula.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,0}(2l_i, \{p\}, J)$ contains an element $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow X$. We proved in the previous paragraph that there exists a map $f' : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0,e_1}(l_i, \{p\}, J)$. Since we have $f_*[\mathbb{CP}^1] \cdot f'_*[\mathbb{CP}^1] = 2l_i^2 = 0$, we deduce that f factors through f' and a degree 2 ramified covering of $\mathbb{CP}^1$. This contradicts Proposition 3. $\square$

2.2. Symplectic sum formula. Here we describe a very particular case of the symplectic sum formula from [IP04]. In this section and in Section 2.3, otherwise stated we do not assume that $X_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$.

Let (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) be two symplectic connected compact 4-manifolds, and let $\phi_i : E \rightarrow X_i$, $i = 0, 1$, be two symplectic embeddings of a symplectic sphere such that the self-intersection of the $\phi_i(E)$'s in X_i are opposite to each other. By abuse, we still denote by E the image of $\phi_i(E)$ in X_i . The condition on the self-intersections is equivalent to the existence of a symplectic bundle isomorphism ψ between the normal bundle of E in X_0 and the dual of the normal bundle of E in X_1 .

Out of these data, one produces a family of symplectic 4-manifolds (Y_t, ω_t) parametrized by a small complex number t in $\mathbb{C}^*$. All those 4-manifolds are deformation equivalent, and are called symplectic sums of (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) along E . Next theorem says that this family can be seen as a symplectic deformation of the singular symplectic manifold $X_{\sharp} = X_0 \cup_E X_1$ obtained by gluing (X_0, ω_0) and (X_1, ω_1) along E .

Proposition 5 ([IP04, Theorem 2.1]). *There exists a symplectic 6-manifold (Y, ω_Y) and a symplectic fibration $\pi : Y \rightarrow D$ over a disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the central fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is the singular symplectic manifold $X_{\sharp}$, and $\pi^{-1}(t) = (Y_t, \omega_t)$ for $t \neq 0$.*

Note that the map π provides an identification of all homology groups $H_i(\pi^{-1}(t); \mathbb{Z})$ with $t \in D$.

Let $d \in H_2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$, and choose $\underline{x}(t)$ a set of $c_1(X) \cdot d - 1$ symplectic sections $D \rightarrow Y$ such that $\underline{x}(0) \cap E = \emptyset$. Choose an almost complex structure J on Y tamed by ω_Y , which restrict to an almost complex structure J_t on Y_t tamed by ω_t on each fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$, and generic with respect to all choices we made.

Define $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ to be the set $\{\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_{\sharp}\}$ of limits, as stable maps, of maps in $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(t), J_t)$ as t goes to 0, and $\mathcal{C}_*(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ as in Section 2.1. Recall (see [IP04, Section 3]) that $\bar{C}$ is a connected nodal rational curve such that:

- $\underline{x}(0) \subset \bar{f}(\bar{C})$;
- any point $p \in \bar{f}^{-1}(E)$ is a node of $\bar{C}$ which is the intersection of two irreducible components $\bar{C}'$ and $\bar{C}''$ of $\bar{C}$, with $\bar{f}(\bar{C}') \subset X_0$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{C}'') \subset X_1$;

- if in addition neither $\bar{f}(\bar{C}')$ nor $\bar{f}(\bar{C}'')$ is entirely mapped to E , then the multiplicity of intersection μ_p of both $\bar{f}(\bar{C}')$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{C}'')$ with E are equal.

If $\bar{C}_1, \dots, \bar{C}_k$ denote the irreducible components of $\bar{C}$ and if none of them is entirely mapped to E , we define

$$\mu(\bar{f}) = \prod_{p \in \bar{f}^{-1}(E)} \mu_p.$$

Proposition 6. *For a generic J_0 , the set $\mathcal{C}_*(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ is finite, and only depends on $\underline{x}(0)$ and J_0 . Moreover if $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_\#$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$, then no irreducible component of $\bar{C}$ is entirely mapped to E . If in addition we assume that $\bar{f}$ restricted to any irreducible component of $\bar{C}$ is simple, then $\bar{f}$ is the limit of exactly $\mu(\bar{f})$ elements of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(t), J_t)$ as t goes to 0.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of [Brua, Proposition 5.1]. Thanks to Proposition 3, the proof reduces to standard dimension estimations. The fact that no component of $\bar{C}$ is entirely mapped to E follows from [IP04, Example 11.4 and Lemma 14.16].

Denote by d_i the homology class realized by $\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i)$ in either X_0 or X_1 , and by a_i the number of its intersection points with E . By Proposition 3, if $\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_j$ contains $\aleph_i$ points of $\underline{x}(0)$, we have $c_1(X_j) \cdot d_i - 1 - d_i \cdot [E] + a_i \geq \aleph_i$. Moreover, the curves in X_0 and X_1 have to match along E , which provide $a := |\bar{f}^{-1}(E)|$ additional independent conditions. Altogether we obtain

$$\sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_0} (c_1(X_0) \cdot d_i - 1) + \sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_1} (c_1(X_1) \cdot d_i - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \cdot [E] + 2a \geq c_1(X_t) \cdot d - 1 + a.$$

By [IP04, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$\sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_0} c_1(X_0) \cdot d_i + \sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_1} c_1(X_1) \cdot d_i - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \cdot [E] = c_1(X_t) \cdot d,$$

and an Euler characteristic computation gives $a = k - 1$. Hence we obtain


$$\sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_0} (c_1(X_0) \cdot d_i - 1) + \sum_{\bar{f}(\bar{C}_i) \subset X_1} (c_1(X_1) \cdot d_i - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \cdot [E] + 2a = c_1(X_t) \cdot d - 1 + a.$$

In particular all the above inequalities are in fact equalities. Together with Proposition 3, this implies that the set $\mathcal{C}_*(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ is finite. The rest of the proposition follows now from [IP04, Theorem 10.1]. $\square$

2.3. Real symplectic sums. We equip the disc D from Proposition 5 with the standard complex conjugation. Let us assume now that (Y, ω_Y) is endowed with a real structure τ_Y such that the map $\pi : Y \rightarrow D$ is real, and let us choose the set of sections $\underline{x} : D \rightarrow Y$ to be real. Note that each fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ comes naturally equipped with a real structure τ_t .

Let us fix a real element $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_\#$ of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$. Given a pair $\{q, \tau_0(q)\}$ of elements in $\bar{f}^{-1}(E)$, we define $\mu_{\{q, \tau(q)\}} = \mu_q$ (note that $\mu_q = \mu_{\tau(q)}$ so $\mu_{q, \tau(q)}$ is well defined). We denote by ξ_0 the product of the $\mu_{\{q, \tau(q)\}}$ where $\{q, \tau(q)\}$ ranges over all pairs of conjugated elements in $\bar{f}^{-1}(E)$.

If $\mathbb{R}E \neq \emptyset$ and given $p \in \mathbb{R}E$, choose a neighborhood U_p of p in $\mathbb{R}X_\#$ homeomorphic to the union of two disks. The set $U_p \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ has four connected components $U_{p,1}, U_{p,2} \subset \mathbb{R}X_0$ and $U_{p,3}, U_{p,4} \subset \mathbb{R}X_1$, labeled so that when smoothing $\mathbb{R}X_\#$ to $\mathbb{R}Y_t$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the components $U_{p,1}$ and $U_{p,3}$ one hand, and $U_{p,2}$ and $U_{p,4}$ on the other hand, glue together, see Figure 1a. Denote respectively by $U_{p,1,3}$ and $U_{p,2,4}$ a deformation of $U_{p,1} \cup U_{p,3}$ and $U_{p,2} \cup U_{p,4}$ in $\mathbb{R}Y_t$. Given $q \in \mathbb{R}(\bar{f}^{-1}(E))$, denote

FIGURE 1. Real deformations of a real map $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$


by $\bar{U}_q$ a small neighborhood of q in $\mathbb{R}\bar{C}$. If μ_q is even, define the integer ξ_q as follows:

- if $\bar{f}(\bar{U}_q) \subset U_{\bar{f}(q),1} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),4}$ or $\bar{f}(\bar{U}_q) \subset U_{\bar{f}(q),2} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),3}$, then $\xi_q = 0$;
- if $\bar{f}(\bar{U}_q) \subset U_{\bar{f}(q),1} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),3}$ or $\bar{f}(\bar{U}_q) \subset U_{\bar{f}(q),2} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),4}$, then $\xi_q = 2$.

We define $\xi(\bar{f})$ as the product of ξ_0 with all the ξ_q 's where q ranges over all points in $\mathbb{R}(\bar{f}^{-1}(E))$ with μ_q even.

Proposition 7. *Suppose that the restriction of $\bar{f}$ to any component of $\bar{C}$ is simple. Then the real map $\bar{f}$ is the limit of exactly $\xi(\bar{f})$ real maps in $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(t), J_t)$. Moreover for each $q \in \mathbb{R}(\bar{f}^{-1}(E))$, one has*

- if μ_q is odd, then any real deformation of $\bar{f}$ has exactly $\mu_q - 1$ solitary nodes in $U_{\bar{f}(q),1,3} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),2,4}$ (see Figure 1b);
- if μ_q is even, then half of the real deformations of $\bar{f}$ have exactly $\frac{\mu_q-2}{2}$ solitary nodes in $U_{\bar{f}(q),1,3}$ and $\frac{\mu_q}{2}$ solitary nodes in $U_{\bar{f}(q),2,4}$, while the other half of real deformations of $\bar{f}$ have no solitary nodes in $U_{\bar{f}(q),1,3} \cup U_{\bar{f}(q),2,4}$ (see Figure 2).

FIGURE 2. Real deformations of a real map $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$, continued

Note that the second part of Proposition 7 is empty if $\mathbb{R}E = \emptyset$ or $\xi(\bar{f}) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\bar{f}$ is an isolated element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$, the proof reduces to local computations, which are performed in [IKS13, Section 3.3] and [IKS, Lemma 17] (see also [Brua, Section 1.1] for the relation between degeneration of algebraic/symplectic varieties and [IKS13, Section 3.3], [IKS, Lemma 17]). $\square$

3. APPLICATION

Here we apply results from Section 2 to the case exposed in Section 1.2, i.e. when $(X_0, \omega_0, \tau_0) = (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega_{FS} \times \omega_{FS}, \tau)$ and $[E] = l_1 + l_2$. As explained there, the summand (X_0, ω_0, τ_0) of $X_\#$ corresponds to $\mathbb{P}(N_{E/X} \oplus \mathbb{C})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that l_2 is the class realized by the compactification of a fiber of $N_{E/X}$. In particular if $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_\#$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$, and if C_i is the union of the irreducible components of $\bar{C}$ mapped to X_i , then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \bar{f}_*[C_1] = d - k[E] \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}_*[C_0] = kl_1 + (d \cdot [E] + k)l_2.$$

If $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{R}E = \emptyset$, then by perturbing $\mathcal{F}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\bar{f}(\bar{C}) \cap \mathcal{F} \cap E = \emptyset$ for all $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 3. Here we suppose that $\underline{x}(0) \cap X_0$ is reduced a single point p_0 , and that $\underline{x}(0) \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3. *Let $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_\#$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$. The image of the irreducible component $\bar{C}'$ of C_0 whose image contains p_0 realizes either a class l_i or the class $l_1 + l_2$.*

- *If this class is l_i , then the curve C_1 is irreducible and $\bar{f}|_{C_1}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}^{e_1, (d+2k-1)e_1}(d - k[E], \underline{x}(0) \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$, where $\underline{x}_E = \bar{f}(\bar{C}') \cap E$.*
- *If this class is $l_1 + l_2$, then $\bar{f}|_{\bar{C}'}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p_0\} \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$, where $\underline{x}_E = \bar{f}(C_1) \cap E$, and $\alpha = 2e_1$ or $\alpha = e_2$. The curve C_1 has two irreducible components in the former case, and is irreducible in the latter case.*

All the other irreducible components of C_0 realize a class l_i , and $\bar{f}$ restricts to a simple map on each irreducible component of $\bar{C}$.

Proof. Except the statement about the restriction of f , everything follows immediately from Propositions 6 and 4, and the fact $\bar{C}$ is rational.

In particular if $\bar{f}$ restricts to a non-simple map on an irreducible component of $\bar{C}$, then this component has to be C_1 , and $\bar{f}|_{\bar{C}'} \in \mathcal{C}^{e_2, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p_0\} \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$. Let $\rho : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the ramified covering through which $\bar{f}|_{C_1}$ factors. Since $\underline{x}(0) \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$, Proposition 3 implies that at least two ramification points of ρ should be mapped to E . Hence there should exist an irreducible component $\bar{C}''$ of $\bar{C}$ distinct from $\bar{C}'$ and intersecting E non-transversely. This contradicts the fact that $\bar{f}_*[\bar{C}''] = l_i$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3(1). Let $\bar{f} : \bar{C} \rightarrow X_\#$ be a real element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$. Suppose first that $\bar{f}_*[\bar{C}'] = l_i$. Since τ_{el} exchanges l_1 and l_2 , there exists an irreducible component $\bar{C}''$ of C_0 such that $\tau_{el} \circ \bar{f}(\bar{C}'') = \bar{f}(\bar{C}')$. However $\bar{f}(\bar{C}'') \cap \bar{f}(\bar{C}') = \{p_0\}$, which contradicts that J_0 is generic.

Hence $\bar{f}_*[\bar{C}'] = l_1 + l_2$ for any choice of $\bar{f}$. Suppose that $\bar{f}|_{\bar{C}'} \in \mathcal{C}^{2e_1, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p_0\} \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$. Then $\bar{f}(\bar{C}') \cap E \subset E \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ since otherwise there would be no real deformation of $\bar{f}$. Since $\bar{C}$ is rational, this implies that $\bar{C}'$ is the only real irreducible component of $\bar{C}$. However this is impossible since the assumption $r \geq 2$ forces C_1 to have a real component.

Hence $\bar{f}|_{\bar{C}'} \in \mathcal{C}^{e_2, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p_0\} \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$ for any choice of $\bar{f}$, and Theorem 3(1) is now a consequence of Lemma 3 and Proposition 7. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3(2). According to the proof of Theorem 3(1), the only maps $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ with a non-trivial contribution to $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s)$ satisfy $\bar{f}|_{\bar{C}'} \in \mathcal{C}^{2e_1, 0}(l_1 + l_2, \{p_0\} \cup \underline{x}_E, J_0)$. In particular, the curve C_1 has two irreducible components, which are exchanged by the real structure

on $\overline{C}$. There are $2^{\frac{c_1(X) \cdot d - 4}{2}}$ ways of distributing the points in $\underline{x}(0) \cap X_1$ among these two components, which proves the result about divisibility of $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s)$.

Moreover $\overline{C}'$ is the only real irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ and the map $\overline{f}|_{\overline{C}'}$ is an embedding. The adjunction formula implies that the number of real solitary double points of $\overline{f}(\overline{C})$ has the same parity than $\frac{d^2 - c_1(X) \cdot d + 2}{2}$. $\square$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 4. Here we suppose that $\underline{x}(0) \cap X_0 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$. Then the curve C_1 is irreducible, and the image of any irreducible components of C_0 realizes a class l_i . In particular, $\overline{f}$ is the limit of a unique element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(t), J_t)$ as t goes to 0.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequences of Propositions 6 and 4. $\square$

We define $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$ to be the set of elements $\overline{f}' : \overline{C}' \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$ such that $\overline{f}|_{\overline{C}_1} = \overline{f}'|_{\overline{C}'_1}$. It follows immediately from Lemma 4 and Equation (2) that $\overline{f}$ is an immersion, and that $\overline{f}_*[\overline{C}_1] = d - k[E]$ if and only if the image of exactly k irreducible components of C_0 realize the class l_1 . Since $(d - k[E])[E] = d \cdot [E] + 2k$, Lemma 4 and Proposition 4 immediately imply next lemma.

Lemma 5. *If $\overline{f}_*[\overline{C}_1] = d - k[E]$, then $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$ has exactly $\binom{d \cdot [E] + 2k}{k}$ elements.*

Proof of Theorem 4(1). Let us choose a real element $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$ of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}(0), J_0)$, and let us denote by a (resp. b) the number of real (resp. pairs of τ_0 -conjugated) points of intersections of E and $\overline{f}(\overline{C})$.

The map $\overline{f} : C_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is real, so the set $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$ has exactly

- $\sum_{k=a_i+2b_i} \binom{a}{a_i} \binom{b}{b_i}$ real elements if τ_0 acts trivially on $H_2(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$;
- 2^k if $a = 0$ and $b = k$, and 0 otherwise, real elements if τ_0 exchanges l_1 and l_2 .

In both cases we have $m_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}}(\overline{f}) = m_{L_1, \mathcal{F}_1}(\overline{f}|_{\overline{C}_1}) + \gamma(a + b)$, and Theorem 4(1) now follows from Proposition 7. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4(2). Recall that by assumption we have $\tau_0 = \tau_{el}$, which implies in particular that $a = 0$. Suppose that $b \neq 0$, and choose a pair $\{p, \tau_0(p)\}$ of τ_0 -conjugated intersection points of E with $\overline{f}(\overline{C})$. Let $\overline{f}' : \overline{C}' \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$, and denote by $\overline{C}_p$ (resp. $\overline{C}_{\tau_0(p)}$) the irreducible component of C_0 whose image contains p (resp. $\tau_0(p)$). Define the map $\overline{f}'' : \overline{C}' \rightarrow X_{\sharp}$ as follows: $\overline{f}''(x) = \overline{f}(x)$ if $x \notin \overline{C}_p \cup \overline{C}_{\tau_0(p)}$, and $\overline{f}''(x) = \tau_0 \circ \overline{f}(x)$ if $x \in \overline{C}_p \cup \overline{C}_{\tau_0(p)}$. The map $\overline{f}''$ is also an element of $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$, and it follows from Lemma 6 below that $m_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}}(\overline{f}') = -m_{L_{\sharp}, \mathcal{F}}(\overline{f}'')$. Hence

$$\sum_{\overline{f}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}} m_{L, \mathcal{F}}(\overline{f}') = 0,$$

and Theorem 4(2) is proved. $\square$

Given a point $p \in E$, let us denote by C_p the (unique) $J_0|_{X_0}$ -holomorphic curve in the class l_1 passing through p .

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ be an embedded τ_{el} -invariant disk with $\partial \mathcal{D} \subset E$. Then if $p \in E \setminus \partial \mathcal{D}$, the parity of the number of intersection points of $\mathcal{D}$ with C_p and $C_{\tau_{el}(p)}$ are different.*

Proof. Denote by D_1 and D_2 the two halves of $E \setminus \partial \mathcal{D}$. According to the proof of Lemma 2, we have $[D_1 \cup \mathcal{D}] = l_i$ and $[D_2 \cup \mathcal{D}] = l_{3-i}$ in $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Now the results follows from the fact the any $J_0|_{X_0}$ -holomorphic curve in the class l_i intersects E in exactly one point. $\square$

4. REAL ALGEBRAIC RATIONAL SURFACES

Here we deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 and the classification of real rational algebraic surfaces (see for example [Sil89, Kol]). The proof goes by explicit computations of homology groups and direct application of Theorem 1. Recall that any real algebraic minimal rational surface with a non empty real part corresponds to exactly one of the following cases:

- $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ equipped with the real structure τ_{el} ;
- $\mathbb{CP}^2$ equipped with the complex conjugation;
- minimal conic bundles;
- covering of degree 2 of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ ramified along a maximal real quartic;
- covering of degree 2 of the quadratic cone in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ ramified along a maximal real cubic section.

We treat all these cases in Sections 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5, and prove Theorem 2 in Section 4.6.

4.1. Generalities. Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau)$ be a real symplectic 4-manifold, and L a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X$. Recall first that (X, ω) can be deformed to a rational algebraic surface. In particular all homology groups of X are known, and the intersection form on $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is non-degenerate. It follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence applied to the decomposition of X into the union of $X \setminus L$ with a tubular neighborhood of L in X that

$$b_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = b_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) + b_1(L) + b_1(X \setminus L) - 1,$$

that $b_1(X \setminus L) = 0$ if $[L] \neq 0$ in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and $b_1(X \setminus L) = 1$ otherwise. Moreover, the group $H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is naturally isomorphic to the kernel of the natural map $\iota : H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

The consideration of the group $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L)$ is justified by the next two propositions.

Proposition 8. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau)$ be a real symplectic 4-manifold, and δ be a τ -invariants class in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ realized by a real symplectic curve C_{δ} . Then for any $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = (-1)^{\frac{d \cdot \delta}{2}} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F + \delta}(d, s).$$

Proof. Choose C_{δ} to be smooth, and let J be a τ -compatible almost complex structure on X such that C_{δ} is J -holomorphic. Let also $f : C \rightarrow X$ be a real J -holomorphic map with $f_*[C] = d$ and C of arithmetic genus 0, and such that L contains $f(\mathbb{R}C)$. Then $\underline{f(C)} \cdot \delta = \frac{d \cdot \delta}{2}$, and this equality is preserved modulo 2 under deformation of both f and J . $\square$

The real part of a real symplectic curve C defines a class in $H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This class only depends on $[C] \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and is denoted by $l_{[C]}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega, \tau)$ be a real symplectic 4-manifold, and δ an element of $\text{Ker } \iota \simeq H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Then for any $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F}(d, s) = (-1)^{\delta \cdot l_d} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, L, F + \delta}(d, s).$$

Proof. Let C be a real symplectic curve in X . Let $\gamma \subset L$ be a smooth representative of δ viewed as an element of $H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, intersecting $\mathbb{R}C$ transversely and in finitely many points. The boundary of a tubular neighborhood of L in X is a circle fibration over L , and the restriction γ' of this fibration over γ is a representative of δ viewed as an element of $\text{Ker } \iota$. Note that these circles can be chosen as small as needed around γ . In particular, all intersection points of γ' and C are located in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}C \cap \gamma$, and each such point corresponds to a pair of τ -conjugated points of $\gamma' \cap C$. $\square$

4.2. Surfaces with $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L) = 0$. We start by giving the list of real algebraic minimal rational surfaces whose group $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L)$ vanishes. There are exactly four of them.

Lemma 7. *If $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is either $(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \tau_{el})$, or $(\mathbb{CP}^2, conj)$, or a minimal conic bundle with $\mathbb{R}X = L$, then $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L) = 0$.*

Proof. One computes easily that $H_2((\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1) \setminus S^2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$) is generated by the class realized by a real algebraic curve in the class $l_1 + l_2$ (resp. a real conic) with an empty real part. If $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \tau_{hy})$, then $H_2(X \setminus \mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ker } \iota \times H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The case of a minimal conic bundle with $\mathbb{R}X = S^2$ is done in Section 4.3. $\square$

4.3. Minimal conic bundles. Let (X, τ) be a minimal conic bundle whose real part is made of $n \geq 1$ spheres. Up to real deformation, we may assume that X has the following affine equation in $\mathbb{C}^3$:

$$y^2 + z^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (x - a_i)$$

where $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2n}$ are distinct real numbers, and τ is the restriction of the complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}^3$. Forgetting the (y, z) -coordinates provides a real projection $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. Given $i = 1, \dots, n$, we denote by S_{2i-1} (resp. S_{2i}) the Lagrangian sphere $\rho^{-1}([a_{2i-2}; a_{2i-1}]) \cap \mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $\rho^{-1}([a_{2i-1}; a_{2i}]) \cap \mathbb{R} \times (i\mathbb{R})^2$), with the obvious convention that $a_{2n+1} = a_0$, see Figure 3. We also denote by F a generic




FIGURE 3. Real vanishing cycles of Conic bundles

fiber, by E_2 an irreducible component of the singular fiber $\rho^{-1}(a_2)$, and by B a (non-real) section of ρ which does not intersect the curve E_2 . The real Picard group of X is the free abelian group generated by F and $c_1(X)$.

Lemma 8. *A basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_1)$ is given by $([S_3], \dots, [S_{2n-1}])$.*

Proof. We have the following intersection products in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$:

$$[S_i] \cdot [S_j] = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| \neq 1, \quad [S_i] \cdot [S_{i+1}] = 1, \quad [S_i] \cdot [E_2] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 2, 3, \quad [S_i] \cdot [E_2] = 1 \text{ if } i = 2, 3,$$

$$c_1(X) \cdot [E_2] = 1, \quad c_1(X)^2 = [B] \cdot c_1(X) = [F] \cdot c_1(X) = [S_i] \cdot c_1(X) = 0,$$

and

$$[F]^2 = [F] \cdot [S_i] = [F] \cdot [E_2] = [B] \cdot [E_2] = [B] \cdot [S_i] = [B]^2 = 0, \quad [E_2]^2 = 1, \quad [B] \cdot [F] = 1.$$

In particular $(c_1(X), [B], [F], [E_2], [S_2], \dots, [S_{2n-1}])$ is a free family of $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and hence is a basis.

Since $b_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = b_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) - 1$, a basis of $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$(c_1(X), [B], [F], [E_2], [S_3], \dots, [S_{2n-1}]).$$

The classes $c_1(X)$, $[F]$, and $[S_i]$ are τ -invariant, and we have:

$$\tau_*[B] = [B] + n[F] + c_1(X), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_*[E_2] = [E_2] + F.$$

It follows that $(c_1(X), [F], [S_3], \dots, [S_{2n-1}])$ is a basis of the subspace of τ -invariant classes of $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and the lemma is proved. $\square$

4.4. Minimal real Del Pezzo surface of degree 2. Let Q be the real quartic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ whose real part together with its position with respect to a bitangent H is depicted in Figure 4a. We denote by (X, τ) the real double covering $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ ramified along Q , whose real part consists of four spheres. The real Picard group of X is the free abelian group generated by $c_1(X)$.

There exists a (-1) -curve E such that $\rho(E) = H$. Let S_1, S_3, S_5 , and S_7 be the four spheres of $\mathbb{R}X$. By the rigid isotopy classification of real plane quartics, each pair of real spheres is connected by a τ -invariant vanishing Lagrangian sphere. Let S_2 (resp. S_4, S_6) such a sphere connecting S_1 and S_3 (resp. S_3 and S_5, S_5 and S_7) as depicted in Figure 4b.




FIGURE 4. Real vanishing cycles of a minimal real Del Pezzo surface of degree 2

Lemma 9. *A basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_1)$ is given by $([S_3], \dots, [S_7])$.*

Proof. We have the following intersection products in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$:

$$[S_i] \cdot [S_j] = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| \neq 1, \quad [S_i] \cdot [S_{i+1}] = 1, \quad [S_i] \cdot [E] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 2, \quad [S_2] \cdot [E] = 1, \\ c_1(X) \cdot [E] = 1, \quad c_1(X)^2 = [S_i] \cdot c_1(X) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad [E]^2 = 1.$$

In particular $(c_1(X), [E], [S_2], \dots, [S_7])$ is a basis of $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and $(c_1(X), [E], [S_3], \dots, [S_7])$ is a basis of $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The classes $c_1(X)$ and $[S_i]$ are τ -invariant, and $\tau_*[E] = c_1(X) + [E]$. Hence $(c_1(X), [S_3], \dots, [S_{2n-1}])$ is a basis of the subspace of τ -invariant classes of $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and the lemma is proved. $\square$

4.5. Minimal real Del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Let Q be the real cubic section of the quadratic cone Σ in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ whose real part together with its position with respect to a tritangent hyperplane section H is depicted in figure 5a. We denote by (X, τ) the real double covering $\rho : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ ramified along Q whose real part consists of four spheres and a real projective plane. The real Picard group of X is the free abelian group generated by $c_1(X)$.

There exists a (-1) -curve E such that $\rho(E) = H$. Let S_1, S_3, S_5, S_7 and N be respectively the four spheres and the real projective plane of $\mathbb{R}X$. By the rigid isotopy classification of real cubic sections of Σ , there exist τ -invariant vanishing Lagrangian spheres S_2, S_4, S_6, S_8, S_9 as depicted in Figure 5b. Note that τ acts trivially on $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 10. *A basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_1)$ is given by $([S_3], \dots, [S_7], [S_9], [N])$.*

A basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_7)$ is given by $([S_1], \dots, [S_5], [S_8], [N])$.

A basis of $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, N)$ is given by $([S_1], \dots, [S_7])$.




FIGURE 5. Real vanishing cycles of a minimal real Del Pezzo surface of degree 1

Proof. All intersection products of $[S_i]$ with $[S_j]$, $[N]$, and $[E]$, can be read on Figure 5b. The other intersection products in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ are:

$$c_1(X)^2 = [E]^2 = [N]^2 = [E] \cdot c_1(X) = [N] \cdot c_1(X) = 1, \quad [N] \cdot [E] = 0.$$

Hence $(c_1(X), [S_1], \dots, [S_8])$ is a basis of $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and we have

$$[N] = c_1(C) + [S_1] + [S_3] + [S_5] + [S_7], \quad \text{and} \quad [S_9] = [S_8] + [S_2] + [S_4].$$

The result about $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, S_7)$ follows immediately.

The generator of $\text{Ker } \iota$ can be represented by $B = \rho^{-1}(A)$, where A is a hyperplane section of Σ . Hence $([B], [E], [S_1], \dots, [S_7])$ is a basis of $H_2(X \setminus N; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Since $[E] + \tau_*[E] = B$, we get that $([B], [S_1], \dots, [S_7])$ is a basis of the subspace of τ -invariant classes of $H_2(X \setminus N; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and the lemma is proved. $\square$

4.6. Proof of Theorem 2. Lemmas 7, 8, 9, and 10 and Theorem 1 provide a proof of Theorem 2 in the case of minimal real algebraic rational surfaces, and when $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$. To end the proof, we start with the following remark: if $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a blow up of (X, τ) at a real point or at a pair of τ -conjugated points, and if $\tilde{L}$ is the component of $\mathbb{R}\tilde{X}$ corresponding to L , then there is a natural injective group homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{L})$. Theorem 2 now follows immediately from next proposition.

Proposition 10. *The map ϕ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is clearly true when $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a blow up of (X, τ) at a real point in $\mathbb{R}X \setminus L$ or at a pair of τ -conjugated points. This is also clearly true if $(X, \tau) = (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \tau_{hy})$ by Lemma 7. Hence let us now assume that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a blow up of (X, τ) at a point $p \in L$, and that $(X, \tau) \neq (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \tau_{hy})$. In particular $H_1(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $b_2(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = b_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) + 2$. One of the two extra generators of $H_2(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is given by the extra generator of $H_1(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we deduce

$$\dim \mathcal{H}(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{L}) \leq \dim \mathcal{H}(X_{\mathbb{R}}, L) + 1.$$

From the classification of minimal real algebraic surfaces up to deformation, we may assume that there exists an algebraic curve C in X such that $C \cap L = \{p\}$ and that this intersection is transverse. Hence the strict transform of C in $\tilde{X}$ is a second extra generator of $H_2(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, which is either not τ -invariant or mapped to 0 in $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{L})$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AB01] D. Abramovich and A. Bertram. The formula $12 = 10 + 2 \times 1$ and its generalizations: counting rational curves on $\mathbf{F}_2$. *Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000)*, *Contemp. Math.*, 276, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pages 83–88, 2001.
- [ABLdM11] A. Arroyo, E. Brugallé, and L. López de Medrano. Recursive formula for Welschinger invariants. *Int Math Res Notices*, 5:1107–1134, 2011.
- [BM08] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Floor decompositions of tropical curves : the planar case. *Proceedings of 15th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, pages 64–90, 2008.
- [BP13] E. Brugallé and N. Puignau. Behavior of Welschinger invariants under Morse simplifications. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 130:147–153, 2013.
- [Brua] E. Brugallé. Floor diagrams of plane curves relative to a conic and GW-W invariants of del pezzo surfaces. arXiv:1404.5429.
- [Brub] E. Brugallé. Floor diagrams relative to a conic. “Real structures on complex varieties : new results and perspectives” conference, CIRM, June 2010.
- [Bru11] E. Brugallé. Enumeration of tropical curve in tropical surfaces, 2011. Oberwolfach report “Real Enumerative Questions in Complex and Tropical Geometry” workshop.
- [EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part II):560–673, 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).
- [Geo] P. Georgieva. Open Gromov-Witten disk invariants in the presence of an anti-symplectic involution. arXiv:1306.5019.
- [IKS] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of real Del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 2 . arXiv:1312.2921.
- [IKS04] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Logarithmic equivalence of Welschinger and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 59(6):85–110, 2004. (in Russian). English version: Russian Math. Surveys 59 (2004), no. 6, 1093–1116.
- [IKS09] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. A Caporaso-Harris type formula for Welschinger invariants of real toric Del Pezzo surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84:87–126, 2009.
- [IKS13] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 3 . *Math. Ann.*, 355(3):849–878, 2013.
- [IP04] E.-N Ionel and T. H. Parker. The symplectic sum formula for Gromov-Witten invariants. *Ann. of Math.*, 159(2):935–1025, 2004.
- [Kha] V. Kharlamov. Remarks on recursive enumeration of real rational curves on del pezzo surfaces. “Genève-Paris-Strasbourg Tropical geometry” seminar, IRMA, November 2010.
- [Kol] J. Kollár. Real algebraic surfaces. arXiv:alg-geom/9712003.
- [Mik05] G. Mikhalkin. Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in $\mathbb{R}^2$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(2):313–377, 2005.
- [RS] R. Rasdeaconu and J. Solomon. Relative open gromov-witten invariants. In preparation.
- [Sil89] R. Silhol. *Real algebraic surfaces*, volume 1392 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [Vak00] R. Vakil. Counting curves on rational surfaces. *Manuscripta math.*, 102:53–84, 2000.
- [Wel] J. Y. Welschinger. Optimalité, congruences et calculs d’invariants des variétés symplectiques réelles de dimension quatre. arXiv:0707.4317.
- [Wel05] J. Y. Welschinger. Invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 162(1):195–234, 2005.

ERWAN BRUGALLÉ, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CENTRE MATHÉMATIQUES LAURENT SCHWARTZ, 91 128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: erwan.brugalle@math.cnrs.fr

NICOLAS PUIGNAU, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, ILHA DO FUNDÃO, 21941-909 RIO DE JANEIRO, BRASIL

E-mail address: puignau@im.ufrj.br