

LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION FOR REPRESENTABLE FUNCTIONALS ON *-ALGEBRAS

ZSIGMOND TARCSAY

ABSTRACT. We present a Lebesgue-type decomposition for a representable functional on a *-algebra into absolutely continuous and singular parts with respect to another. This generalizes the corresponding results of S. P. Gudder for unital Banach *-algebras. We also prove that the corresponding absolutely continuous parts are absolutely continuous with respect to each other.

1. INTRODUCTION

S. P. Gudder in [3] presented a Lebesgue-type decomposition theorem for positive functionals on a unital Banach *-algebra \mathcal{A} . In fact, he proved that for given two positive functionals f, g there exist two positive functionals g_a, g_s such that $g = g_a + g_s$ where g_a is absolutely continuous with respect to f and g_s is f -semi-singular. Here, the concepts of absolute continuity and semi-singularity read as follows: g is called f -absolutely continuous ($g \ll f$) if the properties $f(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $g((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \rightarrow 0$ imply $g(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, g is called f -semi-singular ($g \perp f$) if there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0$, $g((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \rightarrow 0$ and $g(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(a_n^* a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Zs. Szűcs [11] proved that the concept of semi-singularity is symmetric in the sense that $g \perp f$ if and only if $f \perp g$. Moreover, $f \perp g$ holds if and only if $h = 0$ is the unique positive functional which satisfies $h \leq f$ and $h \leq g$. This latter property is expressed by saying that f and g are mutually singular.

The main goal of this paper is to establish a Lebesgue decomposition theorem in a more general setting, namely, for representable positive functionals on an arbitrary (not necessarily unital) *-algebra. Being each positive functional of a unital Banach *-algebra representable, this will yield a proper generalization of Gudder's result. A similar generalization was considered by Szűcs [13] in developing the Lebesgue decomposition theory of so called representable forms over a complex (*-)algebra. We will also show that the absolute continuous parts f_a and g_a , arising by decomposing f with respect to g , and g with respect to f , respectively, are absolutely continuous with respect to each other. An analogous statement was proved by T. Titkos [15] in the context of nonnegative Hermitian forms.

2. PRELIMINARIES

To begin with we recall briefly the classical Gelfand–Neumark–Segal (GNS) construction which we shall use as a basic tool in our paper. The procedure presented below is slightly different from what can be find in the literature, see e.g. [2, 7],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L45, 47A67, Secondary 46K10.

Key words and phrases. Representation, GNS construction, positive operators, positive functionals, representable functionals, Lebesgue decomposition, absolute continuity, singularity.

or [8]. Why we use this modified version is because we want to point out the close analogy with the Lebesgue decomposition theory of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, see [14]. To this aim we introduce first the concept of a positive operator from a vector space into its antidual, cf. [9]. Let \mathcal{A} be a (not necessarily unital) $*$ -algebra, and denote by \mathcal{A}^* and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^*$ the algebraic dual and antidual of \mathcal{A} , respectively. Here, the latter one is understood as the vector space of all mappings $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b), \quad \varphi(\lambda a) = \bar{\lambda}\varphi(a), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The elements of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^*$ are referred to as antilinear functionals of \mathcal{A} . For $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}^*$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we shall use the notation

$$\langle \varphi, a \rangle := \varphi(a).$$

In the center of our attention there are those antilinear functionals which derive from a given positive functional f ($f(a^*a) \geq 0, a \in \mathcal{A}$), defined by the correspondence

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto f(x^*a).$$

The mapping $(a, b) \mapsto f(b^*a)$ defines obviously a semi inner product on \mathcal{A} , hence the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies

$$(2.2) \quad |f(b^*a)|^2 \leq f(a^*a)f(b^*b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We associate now a *positive operator* A with the positive functional f : For fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let Aa denote the functional (2.1). Then A is a linear operator of \mathcal{A} into $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^*$ which is nonnegative definite in the sense that

$$\langle Aa, a \rangle = f(a^*a) \geq 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Observe immediately that A is symmetric:

$$\langle Aa, b \rangle = \overline{\langle Ab, a \rangle}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hereinafter we make two additional assumptions on f : suppose that

$$(2.3) \quad |f(a)|^2 \leq C \cdot f(a^*a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A},$$

holds for a nonnegative constant C and furthermore that

$$(2.4) \quad f(b^*a^*ab) \leq \lambda_a \cdot f(b^*b), \quad b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

holds for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with some $\lambda_a \geq 0$. We notice here that (2.4) holds automatically in Banach $*$ -algebras, namely by $\lambda_a = r(a^*a)$, where r stands for the spectral radius. As it is well known, assumptions (2.3) and (2.4) express the representability of the positive functional f . That means that there exist a Hilbert space H , a cyclic vector $\zeta \in H$, and a $*$ -representation π of \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$f(a) = (\pi(a)\zeta | \zeta), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Such a triple (H, π, ζ) is obtained due to the well known Gelfand–Neumark–Segal (GNS) construction (see [9]): Consider the range space $\text{ran } A$ of the linear operator A in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^*$. This becomes a pre-Hilbert space endowed by the inner product

$$(2.5) \quad (Aa | Ab)_A := f(b^*a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(Note that the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality (2.2) shows that $(Aa | Aa)_A = 0$ implies $Aa = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and hence that $(\cdot | \cdot)_A$ defines an inner product on $\text{ran } A$, indeed.)

The completion H_A is then a Hilbert space in which we introduce a densely defined continuous operator $\pi_A(x)$ for any fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$ by letting

$$(2.6) \quad \pi_A(x)(Aa) := A(xa), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The continuity of $\pi_A(x)$ is due to (2.4):

$$(A(xa) | A(xa))_A = f(a^*x^*xa) \leq \lambda_x \cdot f(a^*a) = \lambda_x \cdot (Aa | Aa)_A.$$

If we continue to write $\pi_A(x)$ for its unique norm preserving extension then it is easy to verify that π_A is a *-representation of \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{B}(H_A)$. The cyclic vector of π_A is obtained by considering the linear functional $Aa \mapsto f(a)$ from H_A into \mathbb{C} whose continuity is guaranteed by (2.3). The Riesz representation theorem yields then a unique vector $\zeta_A \in H_A$ satisfying

$$(2.7) \quad f(a) = (Aa | \zeta_A)_A, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is again easy to verify the identity

$$(2.8) \quad \pi_A(a)\zeta_A = Aa, \quad a \in \mathcal{A},$$

whence we infer that

$$(2.9) \quad f(a) = (\pi_A(a)\zeta_A | \zeta_A)_A, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

That ζ_A is a cyclic vector of π_A follows from identity (2.8).

3. LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION FOR REPRESENTABLE FUNCTIONALS

Throughout this section we fix two representable positive functionals f and g on the *-algebra \mathcal{A} . Let A and B stand for the positive operators associated with f and g , respectively. The GNS-triplets (H_A, π_A, ζ_A) and (H_B, π_B, ζ_B) , induced by f and g , respectively, are defined along the construction of the previous section. Let us recall the notions of absolute continuity and singularity regarding positive functionals (see [3] and [12]): g is called *absolutely continuous* with respect to f (shortly, g is f -absolutely continuous) if

$$f(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{imply} \quad g(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow 0$$

for any sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{A} . On the other hand, f and g are mutually *singular* if the properties $h \leq f$ and $h \leq g$ imply $h = 0$ for any representable positive functional h .

Our aim in the rest of this section is to establish a Lebesgue decomposition theorem for representable positive functionals. More precisely, we shall show that g splits into a sum $g = g_a + g_s$ where both g_a and g_s are representable positive functionals with g_a f -absolutely continuous and g_s f -singular. Such a result was proved by Gudder [3] for positive functionals on a unital Banach *-algebra and by Szűcs [13] in a more general setting, namely for representable forms over a complex algebra.

Our treatment is based on the following observation: If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence from \mathcal{A} such that

$$(3.1) \quad (Aa_n | Aa_n)_A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (B(a_n - a_m) | B(a_n - a_m))_B \rightarrow 0,$$

then $Ba_n \rightarrow \zeta$ for some $\zeta \in H_B$. If g is f -absolutely continuous then ζ must be 0. We introduce therefore the following closed linear subspace of H_B (cf. also [6, 10, 14]):

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{M} := \{\zeta \in H_B \mid \exists (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, (Aa_n | Aa_n)_A \rightarrow 0, Ba_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } H_B\}.$$

In fact, \mathfrak{M} is nothing but the so called *multivalued part* of the closure of the following linear relation

$$(3.3) \quad T := \{(Aa, Ba) \in H_A \times H_B \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

cf. [4] and [14]. That is to say,

$$\mathfrak{M} = \text{mul } \overline{T} := \{\zeta \in H_B \mid (0, \zeta) \in \overline{T}\}.$$

Furthermore, g is f -absolutely continuous precisely if $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}$, i.e., when T is (the graph of) a closable operator. We will see below that $\mathfrak{M} = H_B$ holds if and only if g and f are mutually singular. In any other cases, \mathfrak{M} is a proper closed π_B -invariant subspace of H_B (see Lemma 3.1 below).

Let P stand for the orthogonal projection of H_B onto \mathfrak{M} , and introduce the functionals g_a and g_s as follows

$$(3.4) \quad g_a(a) := (\pi_B(a)(I - P)\zeta_B \mid (I - P)\zeta_B)_B, \quad g_s(a) := (\pi_B(a)P\zeta_B \mid P\zeta_B)_B,$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Our main purpose is to prove that both g_a and g_s are representable positive functionals such that $g = g_a + g_s$ where g_a is f -absolutely continuous and g_s is singular with respect to f . Moreover, g_a is maximal in the sense that $h \leq g_a$ holds for each f -absolutely continuous representable functional h satisfying $h \leq g$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be $*$ -algebra and let f, g be representable functionals of \mathcal{A} . Then \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}^\perp are both π_B -invariant subspaces of H_B , and the following identities hold:*

- (a) $\pi_B(a)P\zeta_B = P\pi_B(a)\zeta_B = P(Ba)$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (b) $\pi_B(a)(I - P)\zeta_B = (I - P)\pi_B(a)\zeta_B = (I - P)(Ba)$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. In order to prove the π_B -invariancy of \mathfrak{M} fix $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}$, and consider a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$(Aa_n \mid Aa_n)_A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Ba_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } H_B.$$

Then we have

$$A(aa_n) = \pi_A(a)(Aa_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B(aa_n) = \pi_B(a)(Ba_n) \rightarrow \pi_B(a)\zeta \text{ in } H_B,$$

so that $\pi(a)\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}$, indeed. Consequently, $\pi_B(a)\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, as claimed. That \mathfrak{M}^\perp is also π_B -invariant follows immediately from the fact that π_B is a $*$ -representation. We are going to prove now (a): for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\pi_B(a)\zeta_B = Ba$ by (2.8) so it suffices to show the first equality of (a). So fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}$; by the π_B -invariancy of \mathfrak{M} we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (P\pi_B(a)\zeta_B - \pi_B(a)P\zeta_B \mid \zeta)_B &= (\pi_B(a)\zeta_B - \pi_B(a)P\zeta_B \mid \zeta)_B \\ &= (\pi_B(a)(I - P)\zeta_B \mid \zeta)_B = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\pi_B(a)(I - P)\zeta_B \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$ which yields (a). Assertion (b) is obtained easily from (a). \square

As an immediate consequence we have the following

Corollary 3.2. *Both of the positive functionals g_a and g_s are representable and their sum satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad g = g_a + g_s.$$

More precisely, $\pi_{B,a} := \pi_B(\cdot)(I - P)$ and $\pi_{B,s} := \pi_B(\cdot)P$ are both *-representations of \mathcal{A} in the Hilbert spaces \mathfrak{M}^\perp and \mathfrak{M} , respectively, with cyclic vectors $(I - P)\zeta_B$ and $P\zeta_B$, respectively, which satisfy

$$(3.6) \quad g_a(a) = (\pi_{B,a}(a)(I - P)\zeta_B | (I - P)\zeta_B)_B, \quad g_s(a) = (\pi_{B,s}(a)P\zeta_B | P\zeta_B)_B,$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We are now in position to state and prove the main result of the paper, the Lebesgue decomposition theorem of representable functionals:

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be *-algebra, f, g representable functionals on \mathcal{A} . Then*

$$g = g_a + g_s$$

is according to the Lebesgue decomposition, that is to say, both g_a and g_s are representable functionals such that g_a is absolutely continuous with respect to f and that g_s and f are mutually singular. Furthermore, g_a is maximal in the following sense: $h \leq g$ and $h \ll f$ imply $h \leq g_a$ for any representable positive functional h .

Proof. We start by proving that g_a is f -absolutely continuous. Consider therefore a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g_a((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(Aa_n | Aa_n)_A \rightarrow 0, \quad ((I - P)(B(a_n - a_m)) | (I - P)(B(a_n - a_m)))_B \rightarrow 0.$$

Nevertheless, the operator $H_A \supseteq \text{ran } A \rightarrow H_B$, $Ax \mapsto (I - P)(Bx)$ coincides with the so called regular part T_{reg} (see [4, (4.1)]) of the linear relation T of (3.3) hence it is closable in virtue of [4, Theorem 4.1]. Consequently,

$$g_a(a_n^* a_n) = ((I - P)(Ba_n) | (I - P)(Ba_n))_B \rightarrow 0,$$

which proves the absolute continuity part of the statement.

In the next step we prove the extremal property of g_a . Consider a representable functional h on \mathcal{A} such that $h \leq g$ and that h is f -absolutely continuous. Then we have by representability

$$|h(a)|^2 \leq C \cdot h(a^* a) \leq C \cdot g(a^* a) = C \cdot (Ba | Ba)_B, \quad a \in \mathcal{A},$$

hence the linear functional $Ba \mapsto h(a)$ is continuous on $\text{ran } B$ of H_B . The Riesz representation theorem yields therefore a (unique) representing vector $\zeta_h \in H_B$ that fulfills

$$(3.7) \quad h(a) = (Ba | \zeta_h)_B, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We state that $\zeta_h \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$. Fix therefore $\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}$ and consider a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathcal{A} such that

$$(Aa_n | Aa_n)_A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Ba_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } H_B.$$

In particular, $(Ba_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in H_B , therefore $h((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \rightarrow 0$ holds by $h \leq g$ and thus $h(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0$ as h is f -absolutely continuous. That implies that

$$|(\zeta | \zeta_h)_B|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(Ba_n | \zeta_h)_B|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_n)|^2 \leq C \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields the desired identity. Fix now $a \in \mathcal{A}$; by Lemma 3.1 and according to identity $(I - P)\zeta_h = \zeta_h$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} h(a^*a) &= (B(a^*a) | \zeta_h)_B = ((I - P)(Ba) | \pi_B(a)\zeta_h)_B \\ &\leq \|(I - P)(Ba)\|_B \|\pi_B(a)\zeta_h\|_B = \sqrt{g_a(a^*a)} \|\pi_B(a)\zeta_h\|_B, \end{aligned}$$

thus $h \leq g_a$ will be obtained once we prove that

$$(3.8) \quad (\pi_B(a)\zeta_h | \pi_B(a)\zeta_h)_B \leq h(a^*a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By using the density of $\text{ran } B$ in H_B it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_B(a)\zeta_h | \pi_B(a)\zeta_h)_B &= \sup\{|(Bx | \pi_B(a)\zeta_h)_B|^2 | x \in \mathcal{A}, (Bx | Bx)_B \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|(B(a^*x) | \zeta_h)_B|^2 | x \in \mathcal{A}, g(x^*x) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|h(a^*x)|^2 | x \in \mathcal{A}, g(x^*x) \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{h(a^*a)h(x^*x) | x \in \mathcal{A}, g(x^*x) \leq 1\} \\ &\leq h(a^*a), \end{aligned}$$

as it is claimed.

There is nothing left but to prove that g_s and f are singular with respect to each other. Fix therefore a representable functional h of \mathcal{A} such that $h \leq f$ and $h \leq g_s$. Then clearly $h \leq g$ and h is f -absolutely continuous. By the previous step, there exists $\zeta_h \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$ such that (3.7) holds. By density of $\text{ran } B$ we may choose $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathcal{A} such that $Ba_n \rightarrow \zeta_h$ in H_B . Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\zeta_h | \zeta_h)_B|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(Ba_n | \zeta_h)_B|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_n)|^2 \leq C \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(a_n^*a_n) \\ &\leq C \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_s(a_n^*a_n) = C \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P(Ba_n) | P(Ba_n))_B \\ &= C \cdot (P\zeta_h | P\zeta_h)_B = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $h = 0$. The proof is therefore complete. \square

4. MUTUALLY ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF THE ABSOLUTE CONTINUOUS PARTS

Let f, g be representable positive functionals on the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} and consider the Lebesgue decompositions

$$f = f_a + f_s, \quad g = g_a + g_s,$$

where f_a, f_s and g_a, g_s are obtained along the procedure presented in the previous section. In accordance with Theorem 3.3, $f_a \ll g$ and $g_a \ll f$. Our purpose in this section is to show that the absolute continuous parts f_a and g_a are mutually absolute continuous, that is that $f_a \ll g_a$ and $g_a \ll f_a$ hold true. The heart of the matter is in the following lemma which may be of interest on its own right.

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be a linear relation between two Hilbert spaces H and K . Let \overline{T} stand for the closure of T and let P, Q be the orthogonal projections onto $\ker \overline{T}$ and $\text{mul } \overline{T}$, respectively. Then*

$$S_0 := \{((I - P)h, (I - Q)k) | (h, k) \in T\}$$

is (the graph of) a closable linear operator whose closure $\overline{S_0}$ is one-to-one.

Proof. We shall show that

$$S := \{((I - P)h, (I - Q)k) \mid (h, k) \in \overline{T}\}$$

is an invertible closed operator. As $S_0 \subseteq S$, this contains our original assertion. Consider first the so called regular part $(\overline{T})_{\text{reg}}$ of \overline{T} , which is defined by

$$(\overline{T})_{\text{reg}} := \{(h, (I - Q)k) \mid (h, k) \in \overline{T}\},$$

cf. [4]. Let us denote it by R for the sake of brevity. We claim first that R is a closed linear operator such that $R \subseteq \overline{T}$. The proof of this statement can be found in [4], we include here a short proof however, for the sake of the reader. It is seen easily that $\{0\} \times \text{mul } \overline{T} \subseteq \overline{T}$, and that $\overline{T} - (\{0\} \times \text{mul } \overline{T}) = R$. Consequently, $R \subseteq \overline{T}$ and, as $\{0\} \times \text{mul } \overline{T}$ and R are orthogonal to each other, we infer that $R = \overline{T} \ominus (\{0\} \times \text{mul } \overline{T})$, hence R is closed. To see that R is an operator assume that $(0, (I - Q)k) \in R$ where $(0, k) \in \overline{T}$. This implies that $k \in \text{mul } \overline{T}$ whence $(I - Q)k = 0$, that is, R is an operator. Observe furthermore that $\ker R = \ker \overline{T}$: indeed, by the definition of R it is clear that $\ker \overline{T} \subseteq \ker R$, and the converse inclusion is due to $R \subseteq \overline{T}$.

Consider now the relation $(R^{-1})_{\text{reg}}$. Then $(R^{-1})_{\text{reg}}$ is a closed linear operator due to the above reasoning, such that $(R^{-1})_{\text{reg}} \subseteq R^{-1}$. At the same time,

$$\text{mul } R^{-1} = \ker R = \ker \overline{T},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} (R^{-1})_{\text{reg}} &= \{(k', (I - P)h') \mid (h', k') \in R\} \\ &= \{((I - Q)k, (I - P)h) \mid (h, k) \in \overline{T}\} = S^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude therefore that S^{-1} is a closed operator, such that $S^{-1} \subseteq R^{-1}$, or equivalently, $S \subseteq R$. Hence S is an operator as well. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let f and g be representable positive functionals on the *-algebra \mathcal{A} . Denote by f_a and g_a the g -absolutely continuous and the f -absolutely continuous parts of f and g , respectively. Then f_a and g_a are absolutely continuous with respect to each other: $f_a \ll g_a$ and $g_a \ll f_a$.*

Proof. Consider the linear relation T of (3.3) and let P, Q be the orthogonal projections onto $\ker \overline{T}$ and $\text{mul } \overline{T}$, respectively. By Theorem 3.3, the corresponding absolute continuous parts satisfy

$$f_a(a^*a) = \|(I - P)Aa\|_A^2, \quad g_a(a^*a) = \|(I - Q)Ba\|_B^2, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

According to Lemma 4.1, the relation

$$S := \{((I - P)Aa, (I - Q)Ba) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is (the graph of) a closable operator. Hence, if

$$f_a(a_n^*a_n) = \|(I - P)Aa_n\|_A^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$g_a((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) = \|(I - Q)B(a_n - a_m)\|_B^2 \rightarrow 0$$

hold for some $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then

$$g_a(a_n^*a_n) = \|(I - Q)Ba_n\|_B^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

whence we deduce that g_a is f_a -absolutely continuous. That f_a is g_a -absolutely continuous follows from the fact that S is one-to-one with closable inverse, according again to Lemma 4.1. \square

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **38** (1976), 253–260.
2. J. Dixmier, *C*-algebras*, Translated from the French by F. Jollett, North-Holland Mathematical Library **15**, North-Holland publishing Co. (Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1977).
3. S. P. Gudder, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for *-algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **80** (1979), 141–149.
4. S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, H. S. V. de Snoo, and F. H. Szafraniec, A canonical decomposition for linear operators and linear relations, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **115** (2007), 281–307.
5. S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, and H. de Snoo, Lebesgue type decompositions for nonnegative forms, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **257** (2009), 3858–3894.
6. H. Kosaki, Remarks on Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *J. Operator Theory*, **11** (1984), 137–143.
7. T. W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of *-Algebras II*, Cambridge University Press (2001).
8. Z. Sebestyén, On representability of linear functionals on *-algebras, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, **15** (1984), 233–239.
9. Z. Sebestyén, Zs. Szűcs, and Zs. Tarcsay, Extensions of positive operators and functionals, *submitted*.
10. Z. Sebestyén, Zs. Tarcsay, and T. Titkos, Lebesgue decomposition theorems, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **79** (2013), 219–233.
11. Zs. Szűcs, The singularity of positive linear functionals, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **136** (2012), 138–155.
12. Zs. Szűcs, On the Lebesgue decomposition of positive linear functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **141** (2013), 619–623.
13. Zs. Szűcs, The Lebesgue decomposition of representable forms over algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **70** (2013), 3–31.
14. Zs. Tarcsay, Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *Positivity*, **17** (2013), 803–817.
15. T. Titkos, Lebesgue decomposition of contents via nonnegative forms, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **140** (2013), 151–161.

ZS. TARCSAY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED ANALYSIS, EÖTVÖS L. UNIVERSITY, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/C., BUDAPEST H-1117, HUNGARY;
E-mail address: tarcsay@cs.elte.hu