

AUTOMORPHISMS OF  $\mathbb{C}^3$  COMMUTING WITH A  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -ACTION

IMMANUEL STAMPFLI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\rho$  be an algebraic action of the additive group  $\mathbb{C}^+$  on the three-dimensional affine space  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . We describe the group  $\text{Cent}(\rho)$  of polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  that commute with  $\rho$ . A particular emphasis lies in the description of the automorphisms in  $\text{Cent}(\rho)$  coming from algebraic  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -actions. As an application we prove that the automorphisms in  $\text{Cent}(\rho)$  that are the identity on the algebraic quotient of  $\rho$  form a characteristic subgroup of  $\text{Cent}(\rho)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic variety. A classification of the algebraic  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -actions on  $X$  up to conjugacy in the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is only known for a few varieties  $X$ . For example, when  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$  we have a classification: every  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action is a modified translation up to conjugacy, i.e. an action of the form  $t \cdot (x, y) = (x + td(y), y)$  for a suitable polynomial  $d$  (see [Ren68]). In contrast to the two-dimensional case, there is no classification known for the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . As a first step towards a classification, we study the centralizer of a  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ , i.e. the group of automorphisms that commute with the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action.

An automorphism  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is called *unipotent* if there exists on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  an algebraic  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action  $\rho: \mathbb{C}^+ \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\mathbf{u}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \rho(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

In fact, the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action  $\rho$  is uniquely determined by the unipotent automorphism  $\rho_1 = ((x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \rho(1, x_1, \dots, x_n))$ . Thus  $\rho \mapsto \rho_1$  is a bijection between algebraic  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and unipotent automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, the centralizer of  $\rho$  is the same as the centralizer of  $\rho_1$  and thus we are reduced to the study of the centralizer of a unipotent automorphism.

For us it will be crucial that there is a different characterization of unipotent automorphisms. Namely, there is a bijective correspondence of unipotent automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and locally nilpotent derivations of the polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , given by the exponential  $\text{Exp}$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{locally nilpotent derivations of } \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)\} &\xrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{unipotent automorphisms of } \mathbb{C}^n\} \\ D &\longmapsto \text{Exp}(D) \end{aligned}$$

compare [Fre06, sec. 1.5].

In dimension  $n = 2$ , Shmuel Friedland and John Milnor proved that every automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is conjugate to a composition of so-called generalized Hénon

---

The author was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Schweizerischer Nationalfonds), grants “Automorphisms of Affine  $n$ -Space” 137679 and “Automorphisms of affine algebraic varieties” 148627.

maps or to a triangular automorphism, i.e. an automorphism of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (ax + b(y), cy + e)$  where  $a, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}[y]$  and  $e \in \mathbb{C}$  (cf. [FM89, Theorem 2.6]). In the first case, Stéphane Lamy showed that the centralizer of such an automorphism is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  (cf. [Lam01, Proposition 4.8]). In the second case, assuming in addition that the automorphism is unipotent, it has the form  $\mathbf{u}(x, y) = (x + d(y), y)$  for suitable coordinates  $(x, y)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . One can see that the centralizer  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  consists of triangular automorphisms and that it fits into the following split short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \{ (x, y) \mapsto (x + b(y), y) \mid b \in \mathbb{C}[y] \} \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}, \text{div}(d)) \rightarrow 1 \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}, \text{div}(d))$  denotes the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$  that preserve the principal divisor  $\text{div}(d) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $p$  sends  $((x, y) \mapsto (ax + b(y), cy + e))$  to  $(y \mapsto cy + e)$ .

In dimension  $n = 3$ , Cinzia Bisi proved the following for a regular automorphism  $\mathbf{f}$  (i.e. an automorphism such that the indeterminacy sets of the map and its inverse seen as birational maps of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  do not intersect): If  $\mathbf{g}$  is any automorphism that commutes with  $\mathbf{f}$ , then  $\mathbf{g}^m = \mathbf{f}^k$  for certain integers  $k, m$  (cf. [Bis08, Main Theorem 1.1]). As a counterpart to the regular automorphisms, one can regard the unipotent automorphisms (a regular automorphism satisfies  $\deg(\mathbf{f}^k) = \deg(\mathbf{f})^k$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$  and thus can not be unipotent). The work of David Finston and Sebastian Walcher [FW97] can be seen as a first step in the study of the centralizer of a unipotent automorphism. They explore the centralizer of a triangulable (locally nilpotent) derivation inside the algebra of all derivations of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ .

In this article we study the structure of the centralizer of a unipotent automorphism  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  with the aid of an exact sequence similar to (1) and give some applications of this study.

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be a unipotent automorphism  $\neq \text{id}$  and let  $D$  be the locally nilpotent derivation of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$  the functions that are invariant under the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action induced by  $\mathbf{u}$ . They satisfy  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} = \ker D \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  the algebraic quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action induced by  $\mathbf{u}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}})$ . By Miyanishi's Theorem (cf. [Fre06, Theorem 5.1]), this algebraic quotient is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

We call the ideal  $\text{im } D \cap \ker D$  of  $\ker D$  the *plinth ideal* of  $D$ . By [DK09, Theorem 1] this ideal is principal. We fix some generator  $a$  and call the principal divisor

$$\Gamma = \text{div}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u} = \mathbb{C}^2$$

the *plinth divisor* of  $\mathbf{u}$  (respectively of  $D$ ). We get a homomorphism of the centralizer  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  into the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$  of automorphisms of the algebraic quotient  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  that preserve the plinth divisor  $\Gamma$

$$p: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$$

(see sec. 3 for an exact definition of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$ ). In contrast to the two-dimensional case (see (1)), the homomorphism  $p$  in the three-dimensional case is in general not surjective (see [Sta13, Proposition 1]).

If  $f \in \ker D = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$ , then  $fD$  is a locally nilpotent derivation of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ . We call  $\text{Exp}(fD)$  a *modification* of  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$  and write

$$f \cdot \mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(fD).$$

Note that the composition of two modifications is given by  $(f \cdot \mathbf{u}) \circ (f' \cdot \mathbf{u}) = (f + f') \cdot \mathbf{u}$ . Thus the modifications of  $\mathbf{u}$  form a group. We denote this group by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we formulate in this section several results only for irreducible  $\mathbf{u}$  (see subsec. 4.2 for the definition of “irreducible”). However, in the course of this article we state and prove the results for general unipotent  $\mathbf{u}$ .

**Theorem 2.0.1** (cf. Theorem 5.1.1). *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is irreducible, then the kernel of  $p$  is the subgroup of modifications of  $\mathbf{u}$ :*

$$\ker p = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

The description of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is special in the case when  $\mathbf{u}$  is a *translation*, i.e.  $\mathbf{u}(x, y, z) = (x+1, y, z)$  for suitable coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ . Note that  $\mathbf{u}$  is a translation if and only if its plinth divisor  $\Gamma$  is empty.

**Proposition 2.0.2** (cf. Proposition 5.2.1). *The unipotent automorphism  $\mathbf{u}$  is a translation, if and only if there is a split short exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow 1.$$

In order to formulate the next results, we recall briefly some notion and facts of the theory of ind-groups (see [Kum02, ch. IV] for an introduction). A group  $G$  is called an *ind-group* if it is endowed with a filtration by affine varieties  $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ , each one closed in the next, such that  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$  and such that the map  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y^{-1}$  is a morphism of ind-varieties. We endow  $G$  with the following topology:  $X \subseteq G$  is closed if and only if  $X \cap G_i$  is closed in  $G_i$  for each  $i$ . We call a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  *algebraic*, if it is a closed subset of some  $G_i$ . An element  $g \in G$  is called *algebraic*, if it is contained in some algebraic subgroup of  $G$ .

For example,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is an ind-group with the filtration  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)_1 \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)_2 \subseteq \dots$  where  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)_i$  is the set of all automorphisms of degree  $\leq i$  (see [BCW82]). Then,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  (cf. Proposition 3.0.3). Examples of closed subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  are  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\ker p$  (cf. Remark 5.1.1). As a last example, the group of modifications  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  is closed in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ . Moreover, the homomorphism  $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}, +) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ ,  $f \mapsto f \cdot \mathbf{u}$  is an isomorphism of ind-groups. In particular, the closure of  $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  is the group  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  and thus  $\mathbf{u}$  is an algebraic element of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ .

**Theorem 2.0.3** (cf. Theorem 5.6.1, 5.7.1 and Corollary 5.7.2). *Assume that  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation. Then*

- i) *All elements in  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  are algebraic.*
- ii) *The set of unipotent elements  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is a closed normal subgroup.*
- iii) *There exists an algebraic subgroup  $R \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  consisting only of semi-simple elements such that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \simeq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u \rtimes R$  (as ind-groups). In particular, the connected component of the identity in  $R$  is a torus.*

The next result describes the group of unipotent elements  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$ . For this, we need the following terminology. We call the divisor  $\Gamma = \sum_i n_i \Gamma_i$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  a *fence*, if  $\Gamma_i \simeq \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i$  and the  $\Gamma_i$  are pairwise disjoint.

**Theorem 2.0.4** (cf. Proposition 5.5.1 and Theorem 5.7.1). *Assume  $\mathbf{u}$  is irreducible and not a translation. If the plinth divisor  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbf{u}$*

- i) *is not a fence, then  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u = \ker p$ .*

ii) is a fence, then  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u \simeq \ker p \rtimes \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)_u$  (as ind-groups) where  $\text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)_u$  is the subgroup of unipotent automorphisms in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$  that are the identity on  $\Gamma$  (cf. sec. 3 for an exact definition). Moreover, there exists an irreducible  $\mathbf{e} \in \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$  such that  $p$  induces an isomorphism of ind-groups  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e} \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e} \simeq \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)_u$  where  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e} \rangle}$  is the subring of  $\mathbf{e}$ -invariant polynomials inside  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$ .

Let us give some explanation of the last result. If  $\Gamma$  is not a fence, then the underlying variety cannot be a union of orbits of a non-trivial  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Hence, all unipotent automorphisms of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  induce the identity on the algebraic quotient and thus the first part of the result follows. So let us assume that  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty fence. There exists a proper non-empty open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that the algebraic quotient  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^3 & \supseteq & \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Gamma) & \simeq & (U \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ \mathbb{C}^2 & \supseteq & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Gamma & \simeq & U \times \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where  $\text{pr}$  denotes the projection onto the first two factors (see Proposition 3.0.5 and [Fre06, Principle 11]). There exist coordinates  $(u, v, w)$  of  $(U \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$  restricted to  $(U \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u, v, w + 1)$ . The automorphism  $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u, v + 1, w)$  of  $(U \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}$  extends to an irreducible unipotent automorphism  $\mathbf{e}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  that commutes with  $\mathbf{u}$  (see subsec. 5.3). Thus,  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$  contains  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e} \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e}$  beside  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ . It is not hard to prove that  $p$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e} \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e} \simeq \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)_u$ . The difficulty lies in proving that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$  is a group which is generated by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e} \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ .

**2.1. Applications.** We present two applications of the structure theorems above. The first one concerns abstract group automorphisms  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .

**Proposition 2.1.1** (cf. Proposition 6.0.4). *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation, then the subgroup  $\ker p \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is characteristic, i.e. it is invariant under all abstract group automorphisms of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .*

The second application concerns the plinth divisor  $\Gamma$ . The underlying variety  $\Gamma_{\text{red}}$  has the following geometric description: The complement of  $\Gamma_{\text{red}}$  is the maximal open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  such that the algebraic quotient  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  is a locally trivial principal  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -bundle over it (see [DK14, Proposition 5.4]). So far - to the author's knowledge - there is no geometric description of the *scheme*  $\Gamma$ . But in the case when  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty fence and  $\mathbf{u}$  is irreducible we can give one.

**Proposition 2.1.2** (cf. Proposition 6.0.3). *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is irreducible and  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty fence, then  $\Gamma$  is the largest closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  fixed by  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$ .*

### 3. AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbb{C}^2$ THAT PRESERVE A DIVISOR

Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  be an effective divisor. Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  such that  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  ( $a$  is uniquely determined up to elements of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ). We denote by  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  the subgroup of all  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  such that the comorphism  $\mathbf{g}^*: \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  sends the principal ideal  $(a)$  onto itself. Moreover, we denote by  $\text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  the

subgroup of all  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  such that  $\mathbf{g}$  is the identity on the divisor  $\Gamma$ , i.e. we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}^*} & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)/(a) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)/(a). \end{array}$$

Clearly, we get an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$$

The next result uses heavily the main result in [BS13].

**Proposition 3.0.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-trivial effective divisor of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then*

- i) *The subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is closed and all elements of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  are algebraic.*
- ii) *The following statements are equivalent*
  - a)  *$\Gamma$  is a fence*
  - b)  *$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  contains unipotent automorphisms  $\neq \text{id}$ .*
  - c)  *$\text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \neq \{\text{id}\}$*
  - d)  *$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is not an algebraic group*

For the proof of this proposition we recall some facts about  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . The next result is a direct consequence of [BS13, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 3.0.4.** *An automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that preserves an algebraic curve is conjugate to a triangular automorphism.*

In the next result we prove a slightly more general version of the Abhyankar-Moh-Suzuki-Theorem which says that all closed embeddings  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  are equivalent up to automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (see [AM75]).

**Proposition 3.0.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a fence in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a closed 0-dimensional subscheme such that  $\Gamma \simeq F \times \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that maps  $\Gamma$  onto  $F \times \mathbb{C}$  (scheme-theoretically).*

*Proof.* Clearly, we can assume that  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, we can easily reduce to the case, where  $\Gamma$  is a reduced scheme. Let  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i \in I$  be the irreducible components of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $i_0 \in I$  be fixed. By the Abhyankar-Moh-Suzuki-Theorem, there exists a trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -bundle  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Gamma_{i_0}$  is a fiber of  $f$ . Now, if the restriction  $f|_{\Gamma_i}: \Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is non-constant, then it is surjective, since  $\Gamma_i \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . But this implies that  $\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus every  $\Gamma_i$  is a fiber of  $f$ . This implies the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.0.3.*

- i) Assume that  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  for some non-zero  $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . For  $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]^2$  we denote by  $a_{ij}(f_1, f_2)$  the coefficient of the monomial  $x^i y^j$  in the polynomial  $a(f_1, f_2)$ . The subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is defined by the equations

$$a_{ij}(f_1, f_2)a_{kl}(x, y) = a_{kl}(f_1, f_2)a_{ij}(x, y) \quad \text{for all pairs } (i, j), (k, l).$$

This proves the first statement.

Let  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$ . By Theorem 3.0.4,  $\mathbf{g}$  is conjugate to a triangular automorphism and hence  $\mathbf{g}$  is algebraic. This proves the second statement.

ii)  $a) \Rightarrow b)$ : This follows immediately from Proposition 3.0.5.

$b) \Rightarrow c)$ : Let  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  be a unipotent automorphism  $\neq \text{id}$ . Choose some  $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  such that  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$ . As  $\mathbf{g}$  preserves  $\Gamma$ , it follows that  $a$  is a semi-invariant for the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  induced by  $\mathbf{g}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}^+$  has no non-trivial character,  $a$  is an invariant. Hence,  $\text{id} \neq a \cdot \mathbf{g} \in \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$ .

$c) \Rightarrow d)$ : Let  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  with  $\mathbf{g} \neq \text{id}$ . By Theorem 3.0.4,  $\mathbf{g}$  preserves a trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -bundle  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\Gamma_i, i \in I$  be the irreducible components of the reduced scheme  $\Gamma_{\text{red}}$ . If every  $\Gamma_i$  lies in a fiber of  $f$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a fence and thus  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is not an algebraic group. Therefore we can assume that  $f(\Gamma_i) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is dense for some  $i$ . As  $\mathbf{g}$  is the identity on  $\Gamma_i$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{g}$  maps each fiber on itself. Hence, there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and a polynomial  $b(y)$  such that for each  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  the restriction of  $\mathbf{g}$  to the fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{g}_y: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \alpha x + b(y).$$

As  $\mathbf{g}$  is the identity on  $\Gamma_i$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{g}_y$  has a fixed point for all  $y \in f(\Gamma_i)$ . If  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{g}_y$  is the identity map for all  $y \in f(\Gamma_i) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $f(\Gamma_i)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$  we get a contradiction to the fact that  $\mathbf{g} \neq \text{id}$ . Thus,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . But this implies that  $\mathbf{g}_y$  has exactly one fixed point for each  $y \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $\Gamma_i = V(ax + b(y) - x) \simeq \mathbb{C}$  and it is the only irreducible component of  $\Gamma_{\text{red}}$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma$  is again a fence and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is not an algebraic group.

$d) \Rightarrow a)$ : Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not a fence. By [BS13, Theorem 1],  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_{\text{red}})$  is an algebraic group. Now,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is an algebraic group as well, since it is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_{\text{red}})$ .

□

#### 4. SOME ELEMENTARY FACTS

**4.1. Locally nilpotent derivations.** Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and assume it is a unique factorization domain (UFD). Let  $D$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -derivation of  $A$ . Then,  $D$  is called *locally nilpotent*, if for every  $f \in A$  there exists an integer  $n = n(f)$  such that  $D^n(f) = 0$ . Moreover,  $D$  is called *irreducible*, if  $D \neq 0$  and the following holds: if  $D = fD'$  for some locally nilpotent derivation  $D'$  and some  $f \in \ker D$ , then  $f \in A^*$  where  $A^*$  denotes the subgroup of units of  $A$ .

We list some basic facts about locally nilpotent derivations, that we will use constantly (see [Fre06] for proofs).

**Lemma 4.1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and assume it is a UFD, and let  $D$  be a locally nilpotent derivation of  $A$ . Then*

- i) *The units of  $A$  lie in  $\ker D$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \ker D$ .*
- ii) *The kernel  $\ker D$  is factorially closed in  $A$ , i.e. if  $f, g \in A$  such that  $fg \in \ker D$ , then  $f, g \in \ker D$ .*
- iii) *If  $S \subseteq \ker D$  is a multiplicative system, then  $D$  extends uniquely to a locally nilpotent derivation of the localization  $A_S$ .*
- iv) *If  $D \neq 0$ , then there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $D(f) \in \ker D$  and  $D(f) \neq 0$ .*
- v) *If  $s \in A$  such that  $D(s) = 1$ , then  $A$  is a polynomial ring in  $s$  over  $\ker D$  and  $D = \partial/\partial s$ .*
- vi) *For  $f \in A$ , the derivation  $fD$  is locally nilpotent if and only if  $f \in \ker D$ .*

- vii) If  $D$  is irreducible and  $E$  is another locally nilpotent derivation of  $A$  such that  $E(\ker D) = 0$ , then there exists  $f \in \ker D$  such that  $E = fD$ .
- viii) If  $D \neq 0$ , then there exists a unique irreducible locally nilpotent derivation  $D_0$  (up to multiplication by some element of  $A^*$ ) such that  $\ker D = \ker D_0$ .
- ix) If  $D(f) \in fA$ , then  $D(f) = 0$ .
- x) The exponential  $\exp(D) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^i/i!$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphism of  $A$  and the map  $\exp$  defines an injection from the set of locally nilpotent derivations of  $A$  to the set of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphisms of  $A$ .

**4.2. Uniptent automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .** Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be a unipotent automorphism. We call  $\mathbf{u}$  *irreducible* if the corresponding locally nilpotent derivation is irreducible. If  $\mathbf{u} \neq \text{id}$ , there exists an irreducible  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$  is the modification  $d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  for some  $0 \neq d \in \ker D$ . The automorphism  $\mathbf{u}_0$  is unique up to a modification by some element in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (cf. subsec. 4.1). We call then

$$\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$$

a *standard decomposition*.

Now, let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'$  be unipotent automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  such that there exists  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $\mathbf{u} = f \cdot \mathbf{u}'$ . If  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -actions on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{u}'$  respectively, then the orbits of  $\rho$  are contained in the orbits of  $\rho'$  and the orbits are equal on the principal open set  $(\mathbb{C}^3)_f$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$  and  $\mathbf{u}' = \text{Exp}(D')$ , then  $\text{im } D = f \text{ im } D' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  and the plinth divisors  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'$  satisfy

$$\Gamma = \Gamma' + \text{div}(f).$$

Whenever  $S$  is a subset of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  we denote by  $S_u$  the subset of unipotent automorphisms in  $S$ .

## 5. STRUCTURE THEOREMS FOR $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$

**5.1. The first unipotent subgroup in  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .** Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent and let  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  be a standard decomposition. There exists an obvious subgroup of unipotent automorphisms in  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ : The modifications of  $\mathbf{u}_0$ , i.e. the subgroup  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ . This subgroup has another characterization:

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent with standard decomposition  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ . The subgroup  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  consists of those automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  that commute with  $\mathbf{u}$  and that induce the identity on  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$ , i.e. the sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma_0)$$

*is exact, where  $\Gamma, \Gamma_0$  denote the plinth divisors of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0$  respectively. Moreover, the homomorphisms in the sequence above are homomorphisms of ind-groups.*

This result is an immediate consequence of Remark 5.1.1 and Lemma 5.1.2.

*Remark 5.1.1.* Choose generators  $v_1, v_2$  of the polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$  and choose a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear retraction  $r: \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$ . The map  $p: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$  is a morphism of ind-varieties due to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^3) & \xrightarrow[\text{morph.}]{\mathbf{g} \mapsto (\mathbf{g}^*(v_1), \mathbf{g}^*(v_2))} & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^2 & \xrightarrow[\text{lin.}]{r \times r} & (\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}})^2 \\ \uparrow \text{loc. closed} & & & & \uparrow \text{loc. closed} \\ \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) & \xrightarrow{p} & & & \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma). \end{array}$$



**Lemma 5.1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and assume it is a UFD, let  $B, B'$  be non-zero locally nilpotent derivations of  $A$  such that  $B'$  is irreducible and  $\ker B = \ker B'$ . If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphism, then we have*

$$\varphi|_{\ker B} = \text{id} \text{ and } \varphi \circ B = B \circ \varphi \text{ if and only if } \varphi = \exp(fB'), \ f \in \ker B.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\varphi|_{\ker B}$  is the identity and  $\varphi$  commutes with  $B$ . There exists  $0 \neq d \in \ker B$ , such that  $A_d = \ker(B)_d[s]$  is a polynomial ring in an element  $s \in A_d$  and  $B(s) = 1$ , if we extend  $B$  to  $A_d$ . Since  $\varphi$  commutes with  $B$  there exists  $g \in \ker(B)_d$  such that the extension  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to  $A_d$  of  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\tilde{\varphi}(s) = s + g$ . Now, we have  $\varphi = \exp(gB)|_A$ . A density argument shows that  $\exp(tgB)(A) \subseteq A$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since

$$gB = \left. \frac{\exp(tgB) - \text{id}}{t} \right|_{t=0},$$

we have  $gB(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence  $gB$  is a locally nilpotent derivation of  $A$  that vanishes on  $\ker B = \ker B'$ . Thus,  $gB = fB'$  for some  $f \in \ker B$ . The converse is clear.  $\square$

*Remark 5.1.2.* By Proposition 5.1.1,  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  normalizes  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  and one can easily see, that the action is given by

$$\mathbf{g}^{-1} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \circ \mathbf{g} = \mu(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}^*(f) \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{g} \in \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}), \ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0}$$

where  $\mu: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is the homomorphism given by  $\mu(\mathbf{g})d = \mathbf{g}^*(d)$ .

## 5.2. Centralizer of a modified translation in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.1.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be a modified translation with standard decomposition  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the plinth divisor of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma) \rightarrow 1$$

*is a split short exact sequence of ind-groups. Moreover, there exists a closed subgroup of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  that is mapped via  $p$  isomorphically onto  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$ .*

*Remark 5.2.1.* Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.2.1,  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  consists only of algebraic elements, provided that  $\Gamma$  is non-empty. Indeed, let  $H \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  be a closed subgroup, such that  $p$  induces an isomorphism  $H \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}, \Gamma)$ . Let  $(f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \rtimes H \simeq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ . By Proposition 3.0.3 i),  $R = \langle \mathbf{h} \rangle$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $H$ . Hence

$$W = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}^*(f) \mid \mathbf{r} \in R\}$$

is a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0}$  and  $W \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \rtimes R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \rtimes H$  is an algebraic subgroup that contains  $(f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{h})$  (see Remark 5.1.2).

*Proof of Proposition 5.2.1.* By Proposition 5.1.1, the sequence is left exact. By assumption, there exist coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  such that  $d \in \mathbb{C}[y, z]$  and  $\mathbf{u} = (x + d, y, z)$ . Moreover, we can identify the algebraic quotient  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u} = \mathbb{C}^2$  with the map  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, z)$  and  $\Gamma = \text{div}(d)$ . Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}^*(d) = \lambda(\mathbf{f})d$  for some  $\lambda(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . One can see that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbf{f} \mapsto \lambda(\mathbf{f})$  is a homomorphism of ind-groups. Thus

$$H = \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3) \mid \sigma(x, y, z) = (\lambda x, \mathbf{f}(y, z)) \text{ with } \mathbf{f} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \text{ and } \lambda(\mathbf{f}) = \lambda \}$$

is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  (note that the subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is closed by Proposition 3.0.3) and  $p|_H: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is an isomorphism of ind-groups.  $\square$



**Proposition 5.2.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent. Then  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  contains  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$  as an algebraic subgroup if and only if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a modified translation and the plinth divisor  $\Gamma$  is given by  $v^i w^j$  for some coordinates  $(v, w)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  contains an algebraic subgroup  $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ . By Proposition 5.2.1 it follows that  $T$  acts faithfully on  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  and preserves the plinth divisor  $\Gamma$ . Hence, it follows from [BB66] that there exist coordinates  $(v, w)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  such that  $\Gamma$  is given by  $v^i w^j$  for some integers  $i, j$ . By [BB67] there exist coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  such that the action of  $T$  is diagonal with respect to these coordinates. Hence there exist characters  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  of  $T$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\lambda_1(\mathbf{t})x_1, \lambda_2(\mathbf{t})x_2, \lambda_3(\mathbf{t})x_3)$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in T$ . Let  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$ . By assumption we have for all  $\mathbf{t} \in T$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$D(x_i) \circ \mathbf{t} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{t})D(x_i). \quad (2)$$

As the action of  $T$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is faithful, the subgroup spanned by  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  inside the characters of  $T$  has rank 2. Assume first that the  $\lambda_i$  are pairwise different. Then there exist at least two different indices  $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $\lambda_{k_i}$  lies not in the monoid spanned by  $\{\lambda_l \mid l \neq k_i\}$ . By symmetry we can assume  $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 2$ . This implies that  $D(x_i) \in x_i \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $D$  is locally nilpotent we have  $D(x_1) = D(x_2) = 0$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{u}$  is a modified translation. Assume now that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3$  (the other cases follow by symmetry). Thus,  $\lambda_3$  does not lie in the monoid spanned by  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . Hence we get  $D(x_3) \in x_3 \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  and  $D(x_1), D(x_2) \in \mathbb{C}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_2$ . Since  $D$  is locally nilpotent it follows that  $D(x_3) = 0$  and the linear endomorphism  $D|_{\mathbb{C}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_2}$  is nilpotent. This implies that  $\mathbf{u}$  is a modified translation.

The converse of the statement is clear.  $\square$

**5.3. The second unipotent subgroup in  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .** Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent with standard decomposition  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ . Throughout this subsection we assume that the plinth divisor  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  of  $\mathbf{u}$  is a fence. There exists another subgroup of unipotent automorphisms inside  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  in addition to  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$ , that we describe in this subsection.

**Lemma 5.3.1.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent. If the plinth divisor  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  is a fence, then there exists a variable  $z$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  and any such  $z$  is a variable of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.0.5 there exists a coordinate system  $(z, w)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that the embedding  $\text{div}(a) = \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  is given by the standard embedding  $F \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  for some 0-dimensional closed subscheme  $F$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Since the quotient map  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{C}$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ , it follows that only finitely many fibers of  $z: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are non-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Thus  $z$  is a variable of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ , according to Kaliman's Theorem [Kal02].  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.1.* If  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma$  is a fence if and only if  $\text{rank } D \leq 2$  (i.e. there exists a variable  $z$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  that lies in  $\ker D$ ). This follows from the lemma above and from [DF98, Theorem 2.4, Proposition 2.3].

**Definition 5.3.2.** Let  $A$  be a UFD and let  $P \in A[x, y]$ . We denote

$$\Delta_P = -P_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + P_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

where  $P_x$  and  $P_y$  denote the partial derivatives of  $P$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Obviously,  $\Delta_P$  is an  $A$ -derivation of  $A[x, y]$  and  $\Delta_P(P) = 0$ .

Let  $D, D_0$  be locally nilpotent derivations of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(D)$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \text{Exp}(D_0)$ . Let  $z \in \ker D$  be a variable such that  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  and let  $(x, y, z)$  be a coordinate system of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  (see Lemma 5.3.1). Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[z]$ . It follows now from [DF98, Theorem 2.4] that there exists  $P \in A[x, y]$  such that

$$D_0 = \Delta_P \quad \text{and} \quad \ker D = \ker D_0 = \mathbb{C}[z, P].$$

Obviously,  $d$  divides  $a$  in  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ . Let  $a = da_0$ . An easy calculation shows that  $\text{div}(a_0)$  is the plinth divisor of  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and that for all  $Q \in A[x, y]$  we have

$$D(Q) = a \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D_0(Q) = a_0. \quad (3)$$

By assumption  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  is a fence and thus  $a, a_0 \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 5.3.2.** *Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[z]$ . If  $Q \in A[x, y]$  such that  $D(Q) = a$ , then  $E = \Delta_Q$  is an irreducible locally nilpotent derivation. Moreover,  $E$  commutes with  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $A$ . The extension of  $D_0$  to  $K[x, y]$  satisfies  $D_0(Q/a_0) = 1$ . Thus  $K[x, y] = K[P, Q]$ .  $E$  is non-zero, since  $E(P) = -a_0 \neq 0$ . If we extend  $E$  to a derivation of  $K[x, y]$  one easily sees that  $E$  is locally nilpotent. Thus  $E$  is a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation of  $A[x, y]$ .

By [DF98, Theorem 2.4, Proposition 2.3]) there exists  $S \in A[x, y]$  and  $0 \neq h \in A[P]$  such that  $E = h\Delta_S$  and  $\Delta_S$  is irreducible. Thus  $-a_0 = E(P) = h\Delta_S(P) = -h\Delta_P(S)$ . Hence  $\Delta_P(S)$  lies in the plinth ideal of  $\Delta_P$  and thus  $\Delta_P(S)$  is a multiple of  $a_0$ . This implies that  $h \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and proves that  $E$  is irreducible.

If we extend  $\Delta_P$  and  $\Delta_Q$  to  $K[x, y] = K[P, Q]$ , we get  $\Delta_P = a_0(\partial/\partial Q)$  and  $\Delta_Q = -a_0(\partial/\partial P)$ . Thus  $E$  commutes with  $D_0$ . Since  $d \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ ,  $E$  and  $D = dD_0$  commute.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.3.** For any  $Q \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  with  $D(Q) = a$  we call

$$\mathbf{e} = \text{Exp}(E) = \text{Exp}(\Delta_Q)$$

an *admissible complement* to  $\mathbf{u}$ .

By (3), we get that  $\mathbf{e}$  is an admissible complement to  $\mathbf{u}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{e}$  is an admissible complement to  $\mathbf{u}_0$ . It follows from Lemma 5.3.2 that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u})} \cdot \mathbf{e}$  is a subgroup of unipotent automorphisms inside  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .

*Remark 5.3.4.* We have  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Gamma = U \times \mathbb{C}$  for some non-empty open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . The restriction of  $\mathbf{u}$  and of  $\mathbf{e}$  to the open subset

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Gamma) = (U \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[z]_a[P, Q])$$

are given by  $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u, v, w+1)$  and  $(u, v, w) \mapsto (u, v+1, w)$  respectively, where  $(u, v, w)$  is the coordinate system  $(z, -P/a_0, Q/a)$ .

**5.4. The property (Sat).** We introduce in this subsection a property for a subset  $S \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and we will show that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{v})$  satisfies this property for any unipotent automorphism  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . This property will then play a key role when we describe the set of unipotent elements inside the centralizer. One can think of this property as a saturation feature on the unipotent elements in  $S$ .

**Definition 5.4.1.** Let  $S \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a subset. We say that  $S$  has the *property (Sat)* if for all unipotent  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and for all  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\mathbf{w}}$  we have

$$f \cdot \mathbf{w} \in S \implies \mathbf{w} \in S. \quad (\text{Sat})$$

**Proposition 5.4.1.** *If  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is unipotent, then the subgroup  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  satisfies the property (Sat).*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v} = \text{Exp}(B)$  and let  $\mathbf{w} = \text{Exp}(F)$ . Assume that  $f \cdot \mathbf{w}$  commutes with  $\mathbf{v}$  for some  $\mathbf{w}$ -invariant  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . If  $\mathbf{v} = \text{id}$  or  $\mathbf{w} = \text{id}$ , then (Sat) is obviously satisfied. Thus we assume  $\mathbf{v} \neq \text{id} \neq \mathbf{w}$ . For the Lie-bracket we have

$$0 = [fF, B] = f[F, B] - B(f)F. \quad (4)$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that  $B(f) = 0$ .

First, assume that  $F$  is irreducible. By (4), it follows that  $f$  divides  $B(f)F(g)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . As  $F$  is irreducible, it follows that  $f$  divides  $B(f)$ . Since  $B$  is locally nilpotent, it follows that  $B(f) = 0$ .

Now, let  $F = f'F'$  for some irreducible  $F'$ . Thus,  $ff'F'$  commutes with  $B$  and by the argument above,  $B(ff') = 0$ . Since  $\ker B$  is factorially closed in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , we have  $B(f) = 0$ .  $\square$

**5.5. The subgroup  $N \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .** Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent. We define in this subsection a subgroup  $N$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  and we gather some facts about this group. In the next subsection, we will prove that  $N$  is exactly the set of unipotent automorphisms  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  if  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation.

**Definition 5.5.1.** Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent with standard decomposition  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the plinth divisor of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Let

$$N = N(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}_0)} \cdot \mathbf{e} \circ \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ is a fence} \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is an admissible complement to  $\mathbf{u}$  (cf. subsec. 5.3). Moreover, let

$$M = M(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} (\ker E \cap \ker D_0)E + \ker(D_0)D_0 & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ is a fence,} \\ \ker(D_0)D_0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \text{Exp}(D_0)$  and  $\mathbf{e} = \text{Exp}(E)$  (cf. Lemma 5.3.2).

**Proposition 5.5.1.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent and assume it is not a translation. Then:*

- i)  $N$  consists of unipotent automorphisms and we have  $N = \text{Exp}(M)$ .
- ii)  $N$  normalizes  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  and we have for all  $\mathbf{g} \in N$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0}$

$$\mathbf{g}^{-1} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \circ \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}^*(f) \cdot \mathbf{u}_0.$$

- iii)  $N$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  that fits into the following split short exact sequence of ind-groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \hookrightarrow N \xrightarrow{p|_N} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \cap \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0)_u \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\Gamma_0$  is the plinth divisor of  $\mathbf{u}_0$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a fence, then the restriction of  $p$  to  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{e})} \cdot \mathbf{e}$  is an isomorphism of ind-groups. In particular,  $N$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathbf{e}$ .

- iv)  $N \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  satisfies the property (Sat).

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\Gamma$  is not a fence. Then i) ii) and iv) are clear, iii) follows from Proposition 3.0.3. Thus we can assume that  $\Gamma$  is a fence.

- i) Let  $hE + fD_0 \in M$ . By induction on  $l \geq 1$  one sees that  $(hE + fD_0)^l$  is a sum of terms of the form  $gE^i(D_0)^j$  where  $g \in \ker D_0$ . From this fact, one can deduce that  $hE + fD_0$  is locally nilpotent and hence  $M$  consists only of locally nilpotent derivations.

For all  $f \in \ker D_0$  and  $h \in \ker D_0 \cap \ker E$  and  $q \geq 0$  we have

$$fD_0 \operatorname{ad}(hE)^q = (-1)^q h^q E^q(f)D_0$$

where  $A \operatorname{ad}(B) = [A, B]$ . With the aid of this formula, an application of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula yields  $\operatorname{Exp}(hE) \circ \operatorname{Exp}(fD_0) \in M$  (see [Jac62, Proposition 1, §5, chp. V]). Hence  $N \subseteq \operatorname{Exp}(M)$  which shows in particular, that  $N$  consists of unipotent automorphisms. Moreover,  $\exp hE$  and  $\exp(fD_0 + hE)$  coincide on  $\ker D_0$ . Lemma 5.1.2 implies  $(\operatorname{Exp} hE)^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Exp}(fD_0 + hE) = \operatorname{Exp}(gD_0)$  for some  $g \in \ker D_0$  and thus  $\operatorname{Exp}(M) \subseteq N$ .

- ii) This follows from Remark 5.1.2.  
 iii) One can check that  $N = p^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \cap \operatorname{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0)_u)$  by using Proposition 5.1.1. Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \cap \operatorname{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0)_u$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0)$  it follows that  $N$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .

It is enough to show that the homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}_0 \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \cap \operatorname{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0)_u$  (induced by  $p$ ) is an isomorphism of ind-groups. Injectivity follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}_0 \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{e} \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \{\mathbf{id}\}$  and surjectivity follows from a straightforward calculation, by using that  $\Gamma$  is non-empty. The inverse map is clearly a morphism.

- iv) Let  $0 \neq hE + fD_0 \in M$ . It is enough to prove that

$$\gcd(h, f) = 1 \implies hE + fD_0 \text{ is irreducible} \quad (5)$$

where the greatest common divisor is taken in the polynomial ring  $\ker D_0 = \mathbb{C}[z, P]$  (we use the notation of subsec. 5.3). Indeed, let  $gB = hE + fD_0 \in M$  for some locally nilpotent derivation  $B \neq 0$  and some  $0 \neq g \in \ker B$  and let  $h = \gcd(h, f)h_0$ ,  $f = \gcd(h, f)f_0$ . Thus  $B$  vanishes on  $\ker(h_0E + f_0D_0)$  and since  $h_0E + f_0D_0$  is irreducible, there exists  $b \in \ker(h_0E + f_0D_0)$  such that  $B = b(h_0E + f_0D_0)$ . This implies  $gb = \gcd(h, f) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  and therefore  $b \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . This shows that  $B \in M$ .

Let us prove (5). Since  $E$  and  $D_0$  are irreducible (see Lemma 5.3.2) we can assume that  $h$  and  $f$  both are non-zero. A calculation shows

$$hE + fD_0 = \Delta_F, \quad F = hQ + fP - \int \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial P} P \right) dP$$

where the integration is taken inside the polynomial ring  $\ker D_0 = \mathbb{C}[z, P]$  and  $\Delta_F$  is taken with respect to  $A[x, y]$  where  $A = \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(z)P^i$ . Thus we have

$$fP - \int \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial P} P \right) dP = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(z) \left( 1 - \frac{i}{i+1} \right) P^{i+1}.$$

Denote this last polynomial by  $G \in \mathbb{C}[z, P]$ .

Now, assume towards a contradiction that  $hE + fD_0$  is not irreducible. Hence, we have  $hE + fD_0 = bB$  for some locally nilpotent derivation  $B$  and

some non-constant  $b \in \ker B$ . By plugging in  $P$  and  $Q$  in  $hE + fD_0 = bB$  and using the fact that  $\gcd(h, f) = 1$  we see that  $b$  divides  $a_0$  (recall that  $D_0(Q) = a_0$  and  $E(P) = -a_0$ ). Hence there exists a root  $z_0$  of  $a_0$  such that the induced derivation of  $\Delta_F = hE + fD_0$  on  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z - z_0) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  vanishes. Thus, there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$h(z_0)Q(x, y, z_0) + \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(z_0) \left(1 - \frac{i}{i+1}\right) P^{i+1}(x, y, z_0) = c. \quad (6)$$

The polynomial  $P(x, y, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is non-constant, since otherwise  $\mathbf{u} = \text{Exp}(\Delta_F)$  would have a two-dimensional fixed point set, contradicting the irreducibility (cf. [Dai07, 2.10]). If  $h(z_0) = 0$ , then we have  $f(z_0, P) = 0$  by (6). Hence  $\gcd(h, f) \neq 1$ , a contradiction. Thus we can assume  $h(z_0) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $Q + h(z_0)^{-1}(G(z, P) - c)$  is divisible by  $z - z_0$  inside  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ . Thus,

$$D_0 \left( \frac{Q + h(z_0)^{-1}(G(z, P) - c)}{z - z_0} \right) = \frac{a_0}{z - z_0}.$$

But this contradicts the fact, that  $a_0$  is a generator of the plinth ideal of  $D_0$ .  $\square$

**5.6. The group  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  as a semi-direct product.** In this subsection, we prove our first main result: There exists an algebraic subgroup  $R \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  such that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is the semi-direct product of  $N$  with  $R$ , if  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation.

**Theorem 5.6.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent and assume that  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation. Then the subgroup  $N \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is closed and normal, and there exists an algebraic subgroup  $R \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  such that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \simeq N \rtimes R$  as ind-groups. Moreover, all elements of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  are algebraic.*

We prove the result for modified translations and reduce the general case to it.

*Proof for a modified translation.* Let  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  be a standard decomposition. There exists a coordinate system  $(x, y, z)$  such that  $\mathbf{u}_0(x, y, z) = (x + 1, y, z)$  and  $d \in \mathbb{C}[y, z] \setminus \mathbb{C}$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is not a fence, then it follows from Proposition 3.0.3 that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is an algebraic group. By Proposition 5.2.1 there exists a closed subgroup  $R$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  that is mapped via  $p: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  isomorphically onto  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  and  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \simeq N \rtimes R$ .

Now, assume that  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  is a non-empty fence. By Proposition 3.0.5 there exist coordinates  $(y, z)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Thus we have a split short exact sequence of ind-groups

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)_u \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{C}^* \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}, V(a)) \rightarrow 1$$

where  $q$  sends an automorphism  $(y, z) \mapsto (\lambda y + h, \alpha z + \beta)$  to  $(\lambda, z \mapsto \alpha z + \beta)$ . Let  $R$  be the algebraic group  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}, V(a))$ . Since  $N$  is generated by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{u}_0)} \cdot \mathbf{e} \simeq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)_u$  (see Proposition 5.5.1), we have the desired split short exact sequence of ind-groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{q \circ p} R \rightarrow 1.$$

By Remark 5.2.1, every element of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is algebraic.  $\square$

*Proof in the general case.* Let  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}]$  and let  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $\mathbf{u}^*(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} + a$ , where  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be given by  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = (\tilde{x} + a, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$  where we interpret  $a$  as a polynomial in  $\tilde{y}$  and  $\tilde{z}$ . The morphism  $\mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  induced by the inclusion  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  is birational and thus we get an injective group homomorphism

$$\eta: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \longrightarrow \text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}).$$

In fact,  $\eta$  is a homomorphism of ind-groups, due to the following commutative diagram, where  $r: \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}]$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear retraction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^3) & \xrightarrow[\text{morph.}]{\mathbf{g} \mapsto (\mathbf{g}^*(\tilde{x}), \mathbf{g}^*(\tilde{y}), \mathbf{g}^*(\tilde{z}))} & \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^3 & \xrightarrow[\text{lin.}]{r \times r \times r} & \mathbb{C}[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}]^3 \\ \uparrow \text{loc. closed} & & & & \uparrow \text{loc. closed} \\ \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & & & \text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \end{array}$$

According to the first case,  $\text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$  is the semi-direct product of  $N(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$  with some algebraic subgroup  $\tilde{R} \subseteq \text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ . Let  $H \subseteq \tilde{R}$  be an algebraic subgroup. We claim that  $\eta^{-1}(H) \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is an algebraic subgroup. Since  $\eta: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$  is a homomorphism of ind-groups, it follows that  $\eta^{-1}(H)$  is a closed subgroup. As  $H$  is algebraic and thus acts locally finite on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , it follows that  $\eta^{-1}(H)$  acts also locally finite on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by [KS13, Lemma 3.6]. This implies the claim.

According to the claim all elements of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  are algebraic and  $R = \eta^{-1}(\tilde{R})$  is algebraic as well. Since  $\eta$  is an injective homomorphism of ind-groups we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})^{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cent}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \tilde{R} \longrightarrow 1 \\ \text{iso. of groups} \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \eta & & \uparrow \text{cl. embedd.} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N(\mathbf{u})^{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) & \twoheadrightarrow & R \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

As the first column is a split short exact sequence of ind-groups, the second column is also a split short exact sequence of ind-groups. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**5.7. The unipotent elements of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .** The goal of this subsection is to prove our second main result: The unipotent elements of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  are exactly  $N$  provided  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a translation (see subsec. 5.5 for the definition of  $N$ ). As we know from Proposition 5.5.1 the set  $N$  satisfies the property (Sat). This will be a key ingredient in the proof.

**Theorem 5.7.1.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent and assume it is not a translation. Then the set of unipotent elements of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is equal to  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  be a standard decomposition. Let  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  be a unipotent automorphism with  $\mathbf{g} \neq \text{id}$ . If  $\Gamma$  is not a fence, then  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  contains no unipotent automorphism  $\neq \text{id}$  (see Proposition 3.0.3). By Proposition 5.1.1 it follows that  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = N$ .

Hence we can assume that  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$  is a fence. Let  $z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$  be a variable of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  (see Lemma 5.3.1). If  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{g}} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$ , then  $\mathbf{g}$  is a modification of  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and therefore  $\mathbf{g} \in N$ . Now, assume  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{g}} \neq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{g}$  is not a modification of  $\mathbf{u}_0$  and hence  $\text{id} \neq p(\mathbf{g}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$ . Since  $p(\mathbf{g})$  is unipotent and  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ , it follows that  $z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{g}}$  and thus  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{u}}$  is an

$\infty$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. By Theorem 5.6.1 there exists an algebraic subgroup  $R \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  and a split short exact sequence of ind-groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \hookrightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{r} R \rightarrow 1.$$

If  $\mathbf{g} \notin N$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cap N = 1$ , since  $N$  satisfies the property (Sat). We get an injection  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \rightarrow \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \twoheadrightarrow R$ ,  $h \mapsto r(h \cdot \mathbf{g})$ . Choose any filtration by finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspaces to turn  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$  into an ind-group. It follows that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \rightarrow R$  is an injective homomorphism of ind-groups. But this implies that  $R$  has algebraic subgroups of arbitrary high dimension, which is absurd. This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

If we endow  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})/N$  with the algebraic group structure induced by the semi-direct product decomposition coming from Theorem 5.6.1, then we get immediately the following corollary from Theorem 5.7.1.

**Corollary 5.7.2.** *If  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  is unipotent and not a translation, then the algebraic group  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})/N$  consists only of semi-simple elements. In particular, the connected component of the neutral element in  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})/N$  is a torus.*

## 6. APPLICATIONS

**Proposition 6.0.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent and irreducible, and assume that  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty fence. Then  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  is the largest closed subscheme fixed by  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.5.1 and Theorem 5.7.1, we get  $p(\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u) = \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)_u$ , where  $p: \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)$  is the canonical morphism. Thus  $\Gamma$  is fixed by the action of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$ . Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  be a closed subscheme that is fixed under  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})_u$  and assume that  $X$  contains  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, let  $I(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  be the ideal of  $X$  and let  $\Gamma = \text{div}(a)$ . By Proposition 3.0.5 there exist coordinates  $(z, w)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Iner}(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma)_u$  be given by  $\sigma(z, w) = (z, w + a)$ . By assumption, we get  $a = \sigma^*(w) - w \in I(X)$ . But this implies that  $X$  is a closed subscheme of  $\Gamma$  and hence  $X = \Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.0.4.** *Let  $\text{id} \neq \mathbf{u} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be unipotent, not a translation, and let  $\mathbf{u} = d \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  be a standard decomposition. Then the subgroup  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is characteristic, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$  is invariant under all abstract group automorphisms of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ .*

**Lemma 6.0.5.** *Let  $T$  be a torus acting on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Assume that there exist coordinates  $(z, w)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $z$  is a semi-invariant. Then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that the action of  $T$  is diagonal with respect to the coordinate system  $(z, w + r)$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 6.0.5.* By assumption,  $\text{pr}: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(z, w) \rightarrow z$  is  $T$ -equivariant with respect to a suitable  $T$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Due to [KK96, Proposition 1], every lift of a  $T$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (with respect to  $\text{pr}$ ) is equivalent to a trivial lift (with respect to  $\text{pr}$ ). Thus, there exists  $r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that  $w + r$  is a semi-invariant with respect to the action of  $T$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 6.0.4.* Let  $R \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  be an algebraic subgroup such that  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u}) = R \ltimes N$  (see Theorem 5.6.1). Let  $T = R^0$  be the connected component of the neutral element in  $R$ . By Corollary 5.7.2, it is a torus (possibly  $\dim T = 0$ ). It follows from Theorem 5.6.1 that  $T \ltimes N \subseteq \text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$  is a subgroup of finite index.



Moreover,  $T \ltimes N$  has no proper subgroup of finite index, as this group is generated by groups that have no proper subgroup of finite index. This implies that  $T \ltimes N$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{u})$ . Let  $G = T \ltimes N$  and let  $H = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\mathbf{u}_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \subseteq N$ . It is now enough to prove, that  $H$  is characteristic in  $G$ . We divide the proof now in two cases.

**$\Gamma$  is not a fence:** If  $\dim T = 0$ , then we have  $H = G$ . So assume  $\dim T > 0$ . There exist coordinates  $(v_1, v_2)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  such that the action of  $T$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  is diagonal with respect to  $(v_1, v_2)$  (see [Kam79]). Let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be the characters of  $T$  such that  $\mathbf{t}^*(v_i) = \rho_i(\mathbf{t})v_i$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in T$ . Let  $\mathbf{t} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \in \text{Cent}_G(G^{(1)})$ , where  $G^{(1)} = [G, G]$  denotes the first derived group. A calculation shows for  $i = 1, 2$  and for all  $k \geq 0$

$$\mathbf{id} = [\mathbf{t} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, [\mathbf{t}^{-1}, v_i^k \cdot \mathbf{u}_0]] = (1 - \mu(\mathbf{t}^{-1})\rho_i(\mathbf{t}^{-1})^k)(1 - \mu(\mathbf{t})\rho_i(\mathbf{t})^k)v_i^k \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$$

where  $\mu: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is the character defined by  $\mu(\mathbf{t})d = \mathbf{t}^*(d)$  (see Remark 5.1.2). Thus  $\rho_i(\mathbf{t}) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . As the action of  $T$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  is faithful, it follows that  $\mathbf{t} = 1$ . Hence  $H = \text{Cent}_G(G^{(1)})$ .

**$\Gamma$  is a fence:** Let  $(z, P)$  be a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbf{u}$  such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  is given by the standard embedding  $F \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  for some 0-dimensional closed subscheme  $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \text{Exp}(\Delta_P)$  (see subsec. 5.3). As the torus  $T$  preserves  $\Gamma = V(a) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  invariant and since  $a \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ , there exists  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $z + q$  is a semi-invariant for the action of  $T$ . By replacing  $z + q$  with  $z$ , we can assume that  $z$  is a semi-invariant. Moreover, by replacing  $P$  with a suitable  $P + r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  we can assume that the action of  $T$  with respect to  $(z, P)$  is diagonal (see Lemma 6.0.5). Moreover, we denote by  $\mathbf{e}$  an admissible complement to  $\mathbf{u}$ .

Assume first  $\dim T = 0$ . Let  $h \cdot \mathbf{e} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 \in \text{Cent}_G(G^{(1)})$ . A calculation shows that

$$\mathbf{id} = [h \cdot \mathbf{e} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, [P^2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{e}]] = -2h(a_0)^2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$$

where  $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that  $\Gamma_0 = \text{div}(a_0)$ . Hence  $h = 0$  and therefore  $H = \text{Cent}_G(G^{(1)})$ .

Assume now,  $\dim T > 0$ . Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[z]$  and let  $A \ltimes A[P]$  be the semi-direct product defined by

$$(h, f) \cdot (\bar{h}, \bar{f}) = (h + \bar{h}, f(P - \bar{h}a_0) + \bar{f}) \quad (7)$$

From Proposition 5.5.1 it follows that

$$A \ltimes A[P] \xrightarrow{\sim} N(\mathbf{u}), \quad (h, f) \mapsto h \cdot \mathbf{e} \circ f \cdot \mathbf{u}_0$$

is an isomorphism of groups. Under this isomorphism the subgroup  $A[P]$  is sent onto  $H$ . It follows from Lemma 6.0.6 that  $H = \text{Cent}_G G^{(2)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.0.6.** *Let  $A \ltimes A[P]$  be defined as in (7) and let  $G = T \ltimes (A \ltimes A[P])$  where  $T$  is a torus with  $\dim T > 0$ . Assume that  $A[P] \subseteq G$  is a normal subgroup, that the action of  $T$  by conjugation on  $A[P]$  is given by  $\lambda^{-1}f\lambda = \mu(\lambda)f(\rho_1(\lambda)z, \rho_2(\lambda)P)$  for some characters  $\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2$  and that  $\ker \rho_1 \cap \ker \rho_2 = \{1\}$ . Furthermore we assume that the action of  $T$  by conjugation on the quotient  $G/A[P]$  is non-trivial and the product in  $G$  satisfies  $(\lambda, 0, 0) \cdot (1, h, f) = (\lambda, h, f)$ . Then  $A[P] = \text{Cent}_G G^{(2)}$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 6.0.6.* As the action by conjugation of  $T$  on  $G/A[P]$  is non-trivial, it follows that the first derived subgroup  $G^{(1)}$  is not contained in  $A[P]$ . As  $T$  is abelian it follows that  $G^{(1)} \subseteq A \ltimes A[P]$  and as  $A$  is abelian we conclude  $G^{(2)} \subseteq$

$A[P]$ . Thus there exists  $(1, h_0, f_0) \in G^{(1)}$  with  $h_0 \neq 0$ . As  $A[P]$  is abelian, we get  $A[P] \subseteq \text{Cent}_G G^{(2)}$ . Now, we prove  $\text{Cent}_G G^{(2)} \subseteq A[P]$ . We have

$$(1, 0, q - q(P + h_0 a_0)) = [(1, 0, q), (1, h_0, f_0)] \in G^{(2)} \quad \text{for all } (1, 0, q) \in G^{(1)}.$$

Moreover,  $(1, 0, z^i P^j) \in G^{(1)}$  for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  such that  $\mu \rho_1^i \rho_2^j$  is not the trivial character, as we have  $(1, 0, z^i P^j) = [(\lambda, 0, 0), (1, 0, z^i P^j)]$  for some well chosen  $\lambda \in T$ . For all  $j \geq 0$ , the character  $\mu \rho_1^i \rho_2^j$  is non-trivial, provided that  $i$  is large enough. For all  $(1, 0, f) \in A[P]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cent}_G(1, 0, f) &= \{ (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in G \mid (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f})^{-1} \cdot (1, 0, f) \cdot (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) = (1, 0, f) \} \\ &= \{ (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in G \mid f = (\bar{\lambda}^{-1} \bar{f} \bar{\lambda})(P - \bar{h} a_0) \} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in \text{Cent}_G G^{(2)}$ . Since  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in \text{Cent}_G(1, 0, -z^i h_0 a_0)$  for  $i$  sufficiently large, we get  $\bar{\lambda} \in \ker \rho_1$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in \text{Cent}_G(1, 0, -z^i h_0 a_0 (2P + h_0 a_0))$  for sufficiently large  $i$ . This implies  $\rho_2(\bar{\lambda}) = \mu(\bar{\lambda})^{-1}$  and  $\bar{h} = ((\mu(\bar{\lambda}) - 1)/2)h_0$ . Since  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) \in \text{Cent}_G(1, 0, -z^i h_0 a_0 (3P^2 + 3Ph_0 a_0 + (h_0 a_0)^2))$  it follows that  $\rho_2(\bar{\lambda})^2 = \mu(\bar{\lambda})^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\lambda} \in \ker \rho_2$ ,  $\bar{h} = 0$ . Hence we have  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{h}, \bar{f}) = (1, 0, \bar{f}) \in A[P]$  and this proves  $\text{Cent}_G G^{(2)} \subseteq A[P]$ .  $\square$

## 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Hanspeter Kraft for many fruitful discussions. Many thanks go also to the referee for his helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [AM75] Shreeram S. Abhyankar and Tzuong Tsieng Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **276** (1975), 148–166.
- [BB66] Andrzej Białynicki-Birula, *Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on  $k^n$* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **14** (1966), 177–181.
- [BB67] ———, *Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on  $k^n$ . II*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **15** (1967), 123–125.
- [BCW82] Hyman Bass, Edwin H. Connell, and David Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 2, 287–330.
- [Bis08] Cinzia Bisi, *On commuting polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^k$ ,  $k \geq 3$* , Math. Z. **258** (2008), no. 4, 875–891.
- [BS13] Jérémy Blanc and Immanuel Stampfli, *Automorphisms of the Plane Preserving a Curve*, 2013, to appear in Algebr. Geom.
- [Dai07] Daniel Daigle, *On polynomials in three variables annihilated by two locally nilpotent derivations*, J. Algebra **310** (2007), no. 1, 303–324.
- [DF98] Daniel Daigle and Gene Freudenburg, *Locally nilpotent derivations over a UFD and an application to rank two locally nilpotent derivations of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$* , J. Algebra **204** (1998), no. 2, 353–371.
- [DK09] Daniel Daigle and Shulim Kaliman, *A note on locally nilpotent derivations and variables of  $k[X, Y, Z]$* , Canad. Math. Bull. **52** (2009), no. 4, 535–543.
- [DK14] Emilie Dufresne and Hanspeter Kraft, *Invariants and Separating Morphisms for Algebraic Group Actions*, 02 2014, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4299>.
- [FM89] Shmuel Friedland and John Milnor, *Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **9** (1989), no. 1, 67–99.
- [Fre06] Gene Freudenburg, *Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 136, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VII.
- [FW97] David R. Finston and Sebastian Walcher, *Centralizers of locally nilpotent derivations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **120** (1997), no. 1, 39–49.

- [Jac62] Nathan Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962.
- [Kal02] Shulim Kaliman, *Polynomials with general  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -fibers are variables*, Pacific J. Math. **203** (2002), no. 1, 161–190.
- [Kam79] Tatsuji Kambayashi, *Automorphism group of a polynomial ring and algebraic group action on an affine space*, J. Algebra **60** (1979), no. 2, 439–451.
- [KK96] Hanspeter Kraft and Frank Kutzschebauch, *Equivariant affine line bundles and linearization*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), no. 5, 619–627.
- [KS13] Hanspeter Kraft and Immanuel Stampfli, *On Automorphisms of the Affine Cremona Group*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), no. 3, 1137–1148.
- [Kum02] Shrawan Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [Lam01] Stéphane Lamy, *L'alternative de Tits pour  $\text{Aut}[\mathbf{C}^2]$* , J. Algebra **239** (2001), no. 2, 413–437.
- [Ren68] Rudolf Rentschler, *Opérations du groupe additif sur le plan affine*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **267** (1968), A384–A387.
- [Sta13] Immanuel Stampfli, *A Note on Automorphisms of the Affine Cremona Group*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2013), no. 6, 1177–1181.

JACOBS UNIVERSITY BREMEN GMBH, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT  
OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS RING 1, 28759 BREMEN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `immanuel.e.stampfli@gmail.com`