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#### Abstract

We provide a new technique to prove Ornstein's non-inequalities for derivatives with some geometrical dependence on their indexes.


In [2] D. Ornstein studied behavior of norms of the homogeneous differential operators with the same degree of homogeneity. He proved lack of a priori estimates for linearly independent differential operators. We show alternative way of proving Orstein's non-inequalities in some special cases.
Theorem 1. Assume $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are multindexes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. If there exists a pair of vectors $\Gamma, \Lambda \in(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{d}$ for which following occurs

$$
\left\langle\alpha_{0}, \Lambda\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \Lambda\right\rangle=\ldots=\left\langle\alpha_{m}, \Lambda\right\rangle,
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\alpha_{0}, \Gamma\right\rangle<\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \Gamma\right\rangle<\left\langle\alpha_{2}, \Gamma\right\rangle \leqslant \ldots \leqslant\left\langle\alpha_{m}, \Gamma\right\rangle
$$

Then for every $K>0$ there exists $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha_{1}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \geqslant K \sum_{j \neq 1}\left\|D^{\alpha_{j}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}
$$

Instead of giving full proof, we limit ourself to the special, yet representative, case. We prove that for every $K>0$ there exists $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial^{5}}{\partial x_{1}^{3} \partial x_{2}^{2}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)} \geqslant K\left(\left\|\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x_{1}^{4}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{6}}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial x_{2}^{4}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{7}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}^{6}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{8}}{\partial x_{2}^{8}} f\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We fix $K>0$ and $n>64 K^{2} C^{-2}$. We will construct trigonometric polynomial, whose one of the derivatives behaves like the modified Riesz product

$$
R_{n}(x)=-1+\Pi_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\cos \left(2 \pi\left\langle x, a_{k}\right\rangle\right),\right.
$$

where $a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. By induction we can choose $a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(\frac{\left(a_{k}(2)+\sum_{j=1} \epsilon_{j} a_{j}(2)\right)^{2}}{a_{k}(1)+\sum_{j=1} \epsilon_{j} a_{j}(1)}\right)^{l}-\left(\frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{l}\right| & \leqslant \frac{1}{3^{n}},  \tag{2}\\
\| a_{k+1} \mid & >M_{n}\left|a_{k}\right|, \\
a_{k}(1)+\sum_{j=1} \epsilon_{j} a_{j}(1) & \neq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

for every $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, l \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\epsilon_{j} \in\{-1,0,1\}$, where $n>(8 K+1)^{2} C^{-2}$ and the values of parameters $M_{n}, C$ and $\sigma_{j}$ are determined by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. (cf. [3]) There is $C>0$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$there exists $M=M(m)$ and a sequence $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{j} \cos \left(2 \pi\left\langle d_{j}, \xi\right\rangle\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant k<j}\left(1+\cos \left(2 \pi\left\langle d_{k}, \xi\right\rangle\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \geqslant C n
$$

whenever $\left\{d_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ satisfies $\left|d_{k+1}\right|>M_{m}\left|d_{k}\right|$ for $k=1, \ldots, m-1$.
This inequality was generalized by R. Latała in [1. We define family of sets

$$
A_{k}=\left\{q: q=a_{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \epsilon_{j} a_{j}, \epsilon_{j}=-1,0,1\right\}
$$

For $q=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \epsilon_{j}(q) a_{j}$ we put $r(q)=\#\left\{j: \epsilon_{j} \neq 0\right\}$. Let $Z$ be the polynomial given by the formula

$$
Z(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{q \in A_{k} \cup-A_{k}} \frac{1}{q(1)^{4}} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{2 i \pi\langle q, x\rangle} .
$$

Simple calculation gives

$$
D^{\alpha_{0}} Z(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{q \in A_{k} \cup-A_{k}} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{2 i \pi\langle q, x\rangle}=R_{n}(x) .
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha_{0}} Z_{n}(x)\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)} \leqslant 2
$$

Since $D^{\alpha_{l}} Z(x)=D^{\alpha_{l}-\alpha_{0}} D^{\alpha_{0}} Z(x)=D^{l\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{0}\right)} R_{n}$, for $m \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
i^{-l} D^{\alpha_{l}} Z(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{q \in A_{k} \cup-A_{k}}\left(\frac{q(2)^{2}}{q(1)}\right)^{l} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{2 i \pi\langle q, x\rangle}=I_{l}+I I_{l},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{l} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{q \in A_{k} \cup-A_{k}}\left(\frac{q(2)^{2 l}}{q(1)^{l}}-\left(\frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{l}\right) \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{2 i \pi\langle q, x\rangle}, \\
I_{l} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{q \in A_{k} \cup-A_{k}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{l} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{2 i \pi\langle q, x\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since (2) is satisfied and $\# A_{k}=3^{k-1}$,

$$
\left\|I_{l}\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)} \leqslant 1
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
I I_{l}=n^{-\frac{l}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k}^{l} \cos \left(2 \pi\left\langle a_{k}, \xi\right\rangle\right) \prod_{1 \leqslant j<k}\left(1+\cos \left(2 \pi\left\langle a_{j}, \xi\right\rangle\right)\right) .
$$

By the triangle inequality for $m \geqslant 2$ we have

$$
\left\|I I_{m}\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)} \leqslant n^{-\frac{m}{2}} n \leqslant 1
$$

For $m=1$ by Lemma 1 , we get

$$
\left\|I I_{1}\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)} \geqslant C n n^{-\frac{1}{2}}=C \sqrt{n}>8 K+1,
$$

which proves (1).

## References

[1] Rafał Latała. $L_{1}$-norm of combinations of products of independent random variables. Israel J. Math., to appear.
[2] Donald Ornstein. A non-equality for differential operators in the $L_{1}$ norm. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 11:40-49, 1962.
[3] Michał Wojciechowski. On the strong type multiplier norms of rational functions in several variables. Illinois J. Math., 42(4):582-600, 1998.

