

Centrosymmetric, Skew Centrosymmetric and Centrosymmetric Cauchy Tensors

Haibin Chen^{*} Zhen Chen[†] Liqun Qi[‡]

March 2, 2022

Abstract

Recently, Zhao and Yang introduced centrosymmetric tensors. In this paper, we further introduce skew centrosymmetric tensors and centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors, and discuss properties of these three classes of structured tensors. Some sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be centrosymmetric or skew centrosymmetric are given. We show that, a general tensor can always be expressed as the sum of a centrosymmetric tensor and a skew centrosymmetric tensor. Some sufficient and necessary conditions for a Cauchy tensor to be centrosymmetric or skew centrosymmetric are also given. Spectral properties on H-eigenvalues and H-eigenvectors of centrosymmetric, skew centrosymmetric and centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors are discussed. Some further questions on these tensors are raised.

Keywords: centrosymmetric tensor, skew centrosymmetric tensor, symmetric vector, H-eigenvalue, Cauchy tensor.

AMS Subject Classification(2000): 90C30, 15A06.

^{*}Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Email: chenhaibin508@163.com.

[†]School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang, 550001, P. R. of China. Email: zchen@gznu.edu.cn. This author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11201092, 11261012). His work was partially done when he was visiting The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

[‡]Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Email: maqilq@polyu.edu.hk. This author's work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 502510, 502111, 501212 and 501913).

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n dimensional real Euclidean space. Denote the set of all natural numbers by N . Suppose m and n are two positive natural numbers and denote $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric matrices play an important role in information theory, linear system theory and numerical analysis [1, 2, 4, 7, 20]. Discussion on various properties of such matrices can be traced back to Muir [11]. Motivated by these notions, Zhao and Yang introduced centrosymmetric tensors and discussed properties of spectral radii of nonnegative centrosymmetric tensors [23].

We now define centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors. The definition of centrosymmetric tensors here is the same as Definition 2.1 of [23].

Definition 1.1 Suppose an order m dimension n real tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ satisfies

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = a_{n-i_1+1 n-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1}, \quad i_j \in [n], \quad j \in [m].$$

Then \mathcal{A} is called a centrosymmetric tensor. \mathcal{A} is called a skew centrosymmetric tensor if it satisfies

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = -a_{n-i_1+1 n-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1}, \quad i_j \in [n], \quad j \in [m].$$

By Definition 1.1, a centrosymmetric tensor is symmetric about its center. When dimension n is odd, the centrosymmetric tensor has the central entry $a_{ii \dots i}$, where $i = \frac{n+1}{2}$. When n is even, there is no central entry. For cases $m = 2$, $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively, we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & d \\ c & b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we look at centrosymmetric tensors, we will find that they have many interesting properties, comparable in some ways with symmetric tensors. In general, a centrosymmetric tensor is not a symmetric tensor. We have to point out that, in this paper, we always consider order m dimension n centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors defined in the real field \mathbb{R} .

Apparently, centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors are structured tensors. Recently, many interesting and impressed properties of structured tensors have been discovered, and a lot of research papers on structured tensors appeared [5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22]. These include M tensors, circulant tensors, completely positive tensors, Hankel tensors, Hilbert tensors, P tensors, B tensors and Cauchy tensors. These papers not only established results on spectral properties, positive semi-definiteness and definiteness of structured tensors, but also gave some important applications of structured tensors in stochastic process and data fitting [6, 9].

Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, definitions of tensor products, H-eigenvalues and H-eigenvectors are given. In Section 3, basic properties of centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors are presented. Firstly, we prove that the product of two arbitrary centrosymmetric tensors is also centrosymmetric. Secondly, several sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be centrosymmetric or skew centrosymmetric are given. They are natural extensions of the matrix case. Furthermore, we show that any general tensor can be denoted as the sum of a centrosymmetric tensor and a skew centrosymmetric tensor. Some properties on left inverses and right inverses of centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors are also presented in that section. Properties on H-eigenvectors of centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors are discussed in Section 4. We prove that some real lower dimensional tensors always have symmetric H-eigenvectors or skew H-eigenvectors. It is proven that all H-eigenvectors of a centrosymmetric tensor are still H-eigenvectors of the tensor which is resulted from reversing the orders of the entries. For a skew centrosymmetric tensor, all nonzero H-eigenvalues must exist as pairs, which means that the reversed value of a nonzero H-eigenvalue remains as an H-eigenvalue of that tensor. In Section 5, the notion of centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor is introduced. It is proved that a Cauchy tensor is centrosymmetric if and only if its generating vector is symmetric. We prove that there is no odd dimension skew centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors. Furthermore, when a centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor is of even order, then its H-eigenvectors corresponding to any nonzero H-eigenvalues are symmetric vectors. For a centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor of odd order, the absolute vectors of H-eigenvectors corresponding to any nonzero H-eigenvalues are symmetric. We conclude this paper with some final remarks in Section 6.

By the end of the introduction, we add some comments on the notation that will be used in the sequel. Let \mathbb{C}^n be the n dimensional complex space and let \mathbb{C} be the complex field. Vectors are denoted by italic lowercase letters i.e. x, y, \dots , and tensors are written as calligraphic capitals such as $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, \dots$. Suppose $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be all one vectors. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ denote the real identity tensor. If the symbol $|\cdot|$ is used on a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, then we get another vector $|x| = (|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic definitions that will be used in the sequel, such as tensor product, H-eigenvalue and H-eigenvector.

Definition 2.1 ^[3] Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times \dots \times n_2}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2 \times n_3 \times \dots \times n_{k+1}}$ be order $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ tensors, respectively. The product \mathcal{AB} is the following tensor \mathcal{C} of order $(m-1)(k-1)+1$ with entries:

$$c_{i\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_{m-1}} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n_2]} a_{ii_2\dots i_m} b_{i_2\alpha_1} \dots b_{i_m\alpha_{m-1}},$$

where $i \in [n_1], \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n_3] \times \dots \times [n_{k+1}]$.

In this paper, we mainly study the case when $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{k+1} = n$. The product \mathcal{AB} was defined in [16, 17] when $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{k+1} = n$.

The definition of eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of real symmetric tensors comes from [12]. Here we allow the tensors to be not symmetric.

Definition 2.2 Let \mathbb{C} be the complex field. A pair $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is called an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of a real tensor \mathcal{T} with order m dimension n , if they satisfy

$$\mathcal{T}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{T}x^{m-1} = \left(\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n t_{ii_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $x^{[m-1]} = (x_i^{m-1})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are dimension n vectors.

In Definition 2.2, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and the corresponding eigenvector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then λ, x are called H-eigenvalue and H-eigenvector respectively.

3 Basic Properties of Centrosymmetric and Skew Centrosymmetric Tensors

In this section, we first give some results about products of centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors. Then, some sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be a centrosymmetric tensor or a skew centrosymmetric tensor are presented, which are natural extensions of the matrix case. Finally, we present properties of left inverses and right inverses of centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors.

Lemma 3.1 Assume B is an $n \times n$ square centrosymmetric matrix and \mathcal{A} is an order m dimension n centrosymmetric tensor. Then $B\mathcal{A}$ is an order m dimension n centrosymmetric tensor.

Proof. By Definition 2.1, we have

$$(B\mathcal{A})_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \sum_{j \in [n]} b_{i_1 j} a_{j i_2 \dots i_m}.$$

For any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]$, since B and \mathcal{A} are centrosymmetric, so

$$\begin{aligned} (B\mathcal{A})_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} &= \sum_{j \in [n]} b_{i_1 j} a_{j i_2 \dots i_m} \\ &= \sum_{j \in [n]} b_{n-i_1+1, n-j+1} a_{n-j+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1} \\ &= \sum_{l \in [n]} b_{n-i_1+1, l} a_{l, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1} \\ &= (B\mathcal{A})_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Definition 1.1, we know that \mathcal{BA} is a centrosymmetric tensor. \square

Lemma 3.2 Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are defined as in Lemma 3.1. Then \mathcal{AB} is a centrosymmetric tensor.

Proof. By Definition 2.1 and the fact that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are centrosymmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{AB})_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 j_2 \dots j_m} b_{j_2 i_2} \dots b_{j_m i_m} \\ &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, n-j_2+1, \dots, n-j_m+1} b_{n-j_2+1, n-i_2+1} \dots b_{n-j_m+1, n-i_m+1} \\ &= \sum_{l_2, l_3, \dots, l_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, l_2, \dots, l_m} b_{l_2, n-i_2+1} \dots b_{l_m, n-i_m+1} \\ &= (\mathcal{AB})_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]$. Thus \mathcal{AB} is a centrosymmetric tensor. \square

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathcal{A} be order m dimension n tensor and \mathcal{B} be order k dimension n tensor. Assume \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are centrosymmetric tensors. Then the production \mathcal{AB} is an order $(m-1)(k-1)+1$ dimension n centrosymmetric tensor.

Proof. By Definition 2.1, for any $i_1 \in [n]$, $\alpha_j = \alpha_1^j \alpha_2^j \dots \alpha_{k-1}^j \in [n]^{k-1}$, $j \in [m-1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{AB})_{i_1 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 j_2 \dots j_m} b_{j_2 \alpha_1} \dots b_{j_m \alpha_{m-1}} \\ &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, n-j_2+1, \dots, n-j_m+1} b_{n-j_2+1, n-\alpha_1+1} \dots b_{n-j_m+1, n-\alpha_{m-1}+1} \\ &= \sum_{l_2, l_3, \dots, l_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, l_2, \dots, l_m} b_{l_2, n-\alpha_1+1} \dots b_{l_m, n-\alpha_{m-1}+1} \\ &= (\mathcal{AB})_{n-i_1+1, n-\alpha_1+1, \dots, n-\alpha_{m-1}+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n - \alpha_j + 1$ means $n - \alpha_t^j + 1$ for every index α_t^j in α_j , $t \in [k-1]$. Here, the second equality follows that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are centrosymmetric tensors. Obviously \mathcal{AB} are centrosymmetric tensors. \square

From the proof process of Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollaries and we omit the proofs for simplicity.

Corollary 3.1 Suppose tensor \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} are defined as in Theorem 3.1. Then the following statements holds:

- (i) if \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric and \mathcal{B} is centrosymmetric, then \mathcal{AB} is skew centrosymmetric.
- (ii) if \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric and \mathcal{B} is skew centrosymmetric, then \mathcal{AB} is centrosymmetric when m is odd; \mathcal{AB} is skew centrosymmetric when m is even.
- (iii) if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are both skew centrosymmetric, then \mathcal{AB} is centrosymmetric when m is even; \mathcal{AB} is skew centrosymmetric when m is odd.

Corollary 3.2 For any finite dimension n tensors $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_s$, if they are all centrosymmetric tensors, then the product $\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_2 \dots \mathcal{A}_s$ is also a centrosymmetric tensor.

Let r_i , $i \in [n]$ denote the sum of some elements in \mathcal{A} such that

$$r_i = \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}, \quad i \in [n].$$

By the definition of centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors, we have the following conclusions.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ be an order m dimension n tensor. If \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric, then $r_i = r_{n-i+1}$; if \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric, then $r_i = -r_{n-i+1}$.*

Corollary 3.3 *Assume \mathcal{A} is defined as in Theorem 3.2. Suppose \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric and n is an odd number. Then there are at least one zero element in \mathcal{A} and at least one $i \in [n]$ satisfying $r_i = 0$.*

Proof. From Definition 1.1 and the fact that n is odd, let $i = \frac{n+1}{2}$, then we have

$$a_{ii \dots i} = -a_{ii \dots i}, \quad r_i = -r_i,$$

which implies that

$$a_{ii \dots i} = 0, \quad r_i = 0$$

and the desired results hold. \square

We now give some sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be centrosymmetric or skew centrosymmetric. Let J be the $n \times n$ real matrix with elements satisfying $J_{ij} = \delta_{in-j+1}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where δ_{in-j+1} denotes the Kronecker delta

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ & & \dots & & \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.3 *Let \mathcal{A} be an order m dimension n tensor. Then \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric if and only if $J\mathcal{A}J = \mathcal{A}$; \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric if and only if $J\mathcal{A}J = -\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. For any $i_j \in [n]$, $j \in [m]$, by Definition 2.1, we have

$$(J\mathcal{A}J)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in [n]} J_{i_1 j_1} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} J_{j_2 i_2} \dots J_{j_m i_m}$$

By Definition 1.1 and the definition of matrix J , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (J\mathcal{A}J)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} &= \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in [n]} J_{i_1 j_1} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} J_{j_2 i_2} \dots J_{j_m i_m} \\ &= a_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the sufficient and necessary condition holds. Moreover, the second conclusion can be proven similarly. \square

Since $JJ = I$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, according to Proposition 1.1 of [16] and Theorem 1.1 of [16], we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.4 *Let \mathcal{A} be an order m dimension n tensor. Then \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric if and only if $\mathcal{A}J = J\mathcal{A}$; \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric if and only if $\mathcal{A}J = -J\mathcal{A}$.*

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then Jx is a vector that can be gotten by reversing orders of elements of x . If $Jx = x$, we call x is a symmetric vector and it is called skew symmetric if $Jx = -x$. For any given tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ with order m dimension n , the corresponding homogeneous polynomial is denoted by

$$f(x) = \mathcal{A}x^m = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m}.$$

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose order m dimension n tensor \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric. Then $f(Jx) = f(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; If \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric, then $f(Jx) = -f(x)$.*

Proof. Let $y = Jx = (x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_2, x_1)$, which means $y_i = x_{n-i+1}$, $i \in [n]$. If \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(Jx) &= f(y) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{n-i_1+1} x_{n-i_2+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1} x_{n-i_1+1} x_{n-i_2+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_m} \\ &= f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

When \mathcal{A} is skew centrosymmetric, one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(Jx) &= f(y) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{n-i_1+1} x_{n-i_2+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\ &= - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1} x_{n-i_1+1} x_{n-i_2+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\ &= - \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_m} \\ &= -f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we know that the desired results hold. \square

Suppose $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ and $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ are two order m dimension n tensors, the Hadamard product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is defined as

$$\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}), \quad (3.3)$$

which is still an order m dimension n tensor. Now, we present several conclusions about the Hadamard product of centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors.

Theorem 3.6 *For two order m dimension n tensors \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , we have the following statements:*

- (i) *if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are centrosymmetric, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$ is centrosymmetric;*
- (ii) *if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are skew centrosymmetric tensors, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$ is centrosymmetric;*
- (iii) *if \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric and \mathcal{B} is skew centrosymmetric, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$ is skew centrosymmetric.*

Proof. By Definition 1.1 and (3.3), it is easy to check the authenticity of the results. Thus, we omit the proof. \square

As we all know that any matrix can be decomposed to the sum of a symmetric matrix and a skew symmetric matrix. Similarly, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.7 *Any order m dimension n tensor \mathcal{A} can be expressed as the sum of a centrosymmetric tensor and a skew centrosymmetric tensor.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$, $i_j \in [n]$, $j \in [m]$. Set a new tensor $\mathcal{A}^c = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^c)$ such that

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^c = a_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1}, \quad i_j \in [n], \quad j \in [m].$$

From a direct computation, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^c}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^c}{2},$$

where $\frac{\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^c}{2}$ is centrosymmetric and $\frac{\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^c}{2}$ is skew centrosymmetric. Thus, the desired result follows. \square

Another important property of centrosymmetric matrices is that the inverse matrix of a centrosymmetric matrix is also centrosymmetric [20]. So, we want to know whether the inverse of a centrosymmetric tensor is centrosymmetric or not. Unfortunately, there is no definition of the inverse of a tensor. But, definitions of left inverse and right inverse of tensors are given in [3]. In the following, we will study the centrosymmetric property of left inverse tensors and right inverse tensors under the assumption that a centrosymmetric tensor has left inverse and right inverse.

In [3], Bu C. et al. presented the definition of left inverse and right inverse of tensors as below.

Definition 3.1 ^[3] Let \mathcal{A} be a tensor of order m and dimension n and let \mathcal{B} be a tensor of order k and dimension n . If $\mathcal{AB} = \mathcal{I}$, then \mathcal{A} is called an order m left inverse of \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{B} is called an order k right inverse of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 3.8 Assume $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ be a diagonal centrosymmetric tensor of order m dimension n . Then,

- (i) \mathcal{A} has real centrosymmetric left inverse if and only if \mathcal{A} has nonzero diagonal entries;
- (ii) when m is even, \mathcal{A} has real centrosymmetric right inverse if and only if \mathcal{A} has nonzero diagonal entries;
- (iii) when m is odd, \mathcal{A} has real centrosymmetric right inverse if all diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are positive.

Proof. (i) By Definition 3.1, \mathcal{A} has real centrosymmetric left inverse if and only if there exists a real centrosymmetric tensor $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k})$ with order k and dimension n such that

$$\mathcal{BA} = \mathcal{I}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = b_{n-i_1+1 n-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1}.$$

For any $i \in [n]$, $\alpha_j \in [n]^{m-1}$, $j \in [k-1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{BA})_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1}} &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k \in [n]} b_{ij_2 \dots j_k} a_{j_2 \alpha_1} \dots a_{j_k \alpha_{k-1}} \\ &= \delta_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha_j = ii \dots i$ for all $j \in [k-1]$, one has

$$b_{ii \dots i} a_{ii \dots i}^{k-1} = 1.$$

Thus, the existence of left inverse of \mathcal{A} implies that all diagonal elements of \mathcal{A} must be nonzero and the only if part holds. For sufficient condition, if

$$a_{ii \dots i} \neq 0, \quad i \in [n],$$

let

$$b_{ii \dots i} = \frac{1}{a_{ii \dots i}^{k-1}}, \quad i \in [n]$$

and $b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0$ for the others. Then, \mathcal{B} is centrosymmetric since \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric and it is easy to check equation (3.4) holds. Thus tensor \mathcal{B} is an order k real left inverse of \mathcal{A} .

(ii) For only if part, there is an order k dimension n real centrosymmetric tensor $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{AB})_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} &= \sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{ij_2 \dots j_m} b_{j_2 \alpha_1} \dots b_{j_m \alpha_{m-1}} \\ &= \delta_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in [n]$, $\alpha_j \in [n]^{k-1}$, $j \in [m-1]$. For diagonal entries of $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$, we have

$$a_{ii\dots i}b_{ii\dots i}^{m-1} = \delta_{ii\dots i} = 1, \quad i \in [n], \quad (3.5)$$

which implies that tensor \mathcal{A} has nonzero diagonal entries.

For sufficient conditions, let the entries of tensor \mathcal{B} be that

$$b_{ii\dots i} = \left(\frac{1}{a_{ii\dots i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad i \in [n]$$

and $b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0$ for the others. Then, by a direct computation, we know that \mathcal{B} is a real centrosymmetric right inverse of \mathcal{A} .

(iii) When m is odd, by (3.5)

$$a_{ii\dots i}b_{ii\dots i}^{m-1} = \delta_{ii\dots i} = 1, \quad i \in [n],$$

we have that all diagonal elements of \mathcal{A} are positive. The others are similar to the proof of (ii). \square

Theorem 3.9 *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a centrosymmetric tensor of order m and dimension n . If \mathcal{A} has an order 2 dimension n real left inverse, then it must be unique and centrosymmetric.*

Proof. Suppose matrix B is an order 2 real left inverse of tensor \mathcal{A} . By Definition 3.1, we have

$$B\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 of [16] and Problem 1 of [17], we obtain

$$\det(B) \neq 0,$$

which means that B is a nonsingular matrix. Let $B^{-1} = (b_{ij}^{-1})$ denote the inverse of B . From Theorem 1.1 of [16], one has

$$\mathcal{A} = B^{-1}\mathcal{I}.$$

Thus, for any $i, j \in [n]$, it holds that

$$a_{ijj\dots j} = \sum_{t \in [n]} b_{it}^{-1} \delta_{tjj\dots j} = b_{ij}^{-1}$$

and

$$a_{n-i+1n-j+1\dots n-j+1} = \sum_{t \in [n]} b_{n-i+1t}^{-1} \delta_{tn-j+1n-j+1\dots n-j+1} = b_{n-i+1n-j+1}^{-1}.$$

Since tensor \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric, so

$$b_{n-i+1n-j+1}^{-1} = a_{n-i+1n-j+1\dots n-j+1} = a_{ijj\dots j} = b_{ij}^{-1},$$

which implies that B^{-1} is a centrosymmetric matrix. By Proposition 6 of [20], we know that B is centrosymmetric.

Assume \mathcal{A} has another order 2 real left inverse C . Then,

$$\mathcal{A} = B^{-1}\mathcal{I} = C^{-1}\mathcal{I},$$

where C^{-1} is the inverse of C . Then,

$$(B^{-1} - C^{-1})\mathcal{I} = 0.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.1 of [3], we have

$$B^{-1} = C^{-1}.$$

By the fact that a nonsingular matrix has a unique inverse matrix, it follows that $B = C$ and the desired results hold. \square

Theorem 3.10 *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a centrosymmetric tensor of order m and dimension n . Let m be even. If \mathcal{A} has an order 2 dimension n real right inverse, then it must be unique and centrosymmetric.*

Proof. Let $B = (b_{ij})$ be any order 2 real right inverse of \mathcal{A} . By Proposition 2.1 of [16] and Problem 1 of [17], we know that B is nonsingular. So, from Theorem 1.1 of [16], we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}B = \mathcal{I},$$

which can be written

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}B^{-1},$$

where $B^{-1} = (b_{ij}^{-1})$ is the inverse of matrix B . For any $i, j \in [n]$, one has

$$a_{ijj\dots j} = \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} \delta_{ii_2\dots i_m} b_{i_2j}^{-1} b_{i_3j}^{-1} \dots b_{i_mj}^{-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$a_{ijj\dots j} = (b_{ij}^{-1})^{m-1}, \quad a_{n-i+1n-j+1n-j+1\dots n-j+1} = (b_{n-i+1n-j+1}^{-1})^{m-1}.$$

By the fact that tensor \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric, it follows that

$$(b_{ij}^{-1})^{m-1} = (b_{n-i+1n-j+1}^{-1})^{m-1}, \quad i, j \in [n],$$

and

$$b_{ij}^{-1} = b_{n-i+1n-j+1}^{-1}, \quad i, j \in [n],$$

since m is even. So matrix B^{-1} is centrosymmetric and B is centrosymmetric from Proposition 6 of [20].

Assume \mathcal{A} has another order 2 real right inverse C . Then,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}B^{-1} = \mathcal{I}C^{-1},$$

where C^{-1} is the inverse of C . Then,

$$\mathcal{I}(B^{-1} - C^{-1}) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2 of [3], we know that $B^{-1} = C^{-1}$ and $B = C$. □

4 Spectral Properties of Centrosymmetric and Skew Centrosymmetric Tensors

In this section, we present several conclusions about H-eigenvalues and H-eigenvectors of real centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric tensors.

In [20], it listed that all H-eigenvectors of real matrices with dimension 2×2 or dimension 3×3 are either symmetric or skew symmetric. But, these nice formulas cannot be extended to the 4×4 case. Next, we will give some properties about H-eigenvectors of dimension 2 and dimension 3 centrosymmetric tensors. The following two theorems show that order m dimension 2 and order m dimension 3 centrosymmetric tensors always have symmetric H-eigenvectors or skew symmetric H-eigenvectors respectively.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ is a centrosymmetric tensor of order m and dimension 2. Then,

$\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^2 a_{1 i_2 \dots i_m}$ and $\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^2 a_{1 i_2 \dots i_m} (-1)^{i_2 + \dots + i_m + m - 1}$ are H-eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} with symmetric H-eigenvector and skew symmetric H-eigenvector respectively.

Proof. Let $e = (1, 1)^T$ and $u = (1, -1)^T$. From Definition 2.2 and the fact that \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric, by a direct computation we have

$$\mathcal{A}e^{m-1} = \left(\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^2 a_{1 i_2 \dots i_m} \right) e^{[m-1]}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}u^{m-1} = \left(\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^2 a_{1 i_2 \dots i_m} (-1)^{i_2 + \dots + i_m + m - 1} \right) u^{[m-1]},$$

which imply that the desired results hold. □

Theorem 4.2 Assume $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ is a centrosymmetric tensor of order m dimension 3. Suppose m is even. Then, $\lambda = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1, 3\}} a_{1 i_2 \dots i_m} (-1)^{r(i_2 \dots i_m)}$ is an H-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} with skew symmetric H-eigenvector, where $r(i_2 \dots i_m)$ denote the number of indices i_2, \dots, i_m equal 3.

Proof. Let $x = (1, 0, -1)^T$. By Definition 2.2, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_1 &= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^3 a_{1i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{1i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{1i_2 \dots i_m} (-1)^{r(i_2 \dots i_m)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Combining this with the fact \mathcal{A} is centrosymmetric and m is even, one has

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_3 = -(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_1. \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_2 &= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{2i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{2i_2 \dots i_m} (-1)^{r(i_2 \dots i_m)} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{24-i_2 \dots 4-i_m} (-1)^{r(i_2 \dots i_m)} \\
&= \sum_{j_2, \dots, j_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{2j_2 \dots j_m} (-1)^{m-1-r(j_2 \dots j_m)} \\
&= - \sum_{j_2, \dots, j_m \in \{1,3\}} a_{2j_2 \dots j_m} (-1)^{r(j_2 \dots j_m)} \\
&= -(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_2 = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.1)-(4.3), we know that λ is an H-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the skew symmetric H-eigenvector x . \square

Now, we consider general order m dimension n centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors. We will show that all H-eigenvectors of a centrosymmetric tensor are still H-eigenvectors of the tensor which is resulted from reversing the orders of the entries. On the other side, for a skew centrosymmetric tensor, if it has a nonzero H-eigenvalue λ , then $-\lambda$ is still an H-eigenvalue of that skew centrosymmetric tensor.

Theorem 4.3 *Let tensor \mathcal{A} be a centrosymmetric tensor of order m dimension n . If \mathcal{A} has an H-eigenvalue λ with an H-eigenvector x , then Jx is also an H-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} corresponding to λ .*

Proof. By Definition 2.2, we have

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}.$$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, then $Jx = (x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1)$. For any $i \in [n]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}(Jx)^{m-1})_i &= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{ii_2 i_3 \dots i_m} (Jx)_{i_2} \dots (Jx)_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{ii_2 i_3 \dots i_m} x_{n-i_2+1} x_{n-i_3+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{n-i+1 n-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1} x_{n-i_2+1} x_{n-i_3+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\
&= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} a_{n-i+1 t_2 t_3 \dots t_m} x_{t_2} x_{t_3} \dots x_{t_m} \\
&= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} \lambda x_{n-i+1}^{m-1} \\
&= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} \lambda (Jx)_i^{m-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, Jx is an H-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the H-eigenvalue λ . \square

Theorem 4.4 *Let tensor \mathcal{A} be a skew centrosymmetric tensor of order m dimension n . If \mathcal{A} has a nonzero H-eigenvalue λ with an H-eigenvector x , then Jx is also an H-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the H-eigenvalue $-\lambda$.*

Proof. By definition of H-eigenvalues and H-eigenvectors, we have

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}.$$

Similarly, by (4.4), for any $i \in [n]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}(Jx)^{m-1})_i &= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{ii_2 i_3 \dots i_m} (Jx)_{i_2} \dots (Jx)_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{ii_2 i_3 \dots i_m} x_{n-i_2+1} x_{n-i_3+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\
&= - \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{n-i+1 n-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1} x_{n-i_2+1} x_{n-i_3+1} \dots x_{n-i_m+1} \\
&= - \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} a_{n-i+1 t_2 t_3 \dots t_m} x_{t_2} x_{t_3} \dots x_{t_m} \\
&= - \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} \lambda x_{n-i+1}^{m-1} \\
&= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} (-\lambda) (Jx)_i^{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Jx is an H-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the H-eigenvalue $-\lambda$. \square

Theorem 4.5 *Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ be a centrosymmetric tensor of order m dimension n . Then, all H-eigenvectors corresponding to the H-eigenvalue λ , where $\dim \ker(\lambda \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A}) = 1$, are either symmetric or skew-symmetric.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a H-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} corresponding to λ , where $\dim \ker(\lambda \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A}) = 1$. By Definition 2.2, we have

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}. \tag{4.5}$$

By Theorem 3.3 and (4.5), one has

$$J\mathcal{A}Jx^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]},$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{A}Jx^{m-1} = \lambda Jx^{[m-1]} = \lambda(Jx)^{[m-1]}. \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, by Definition 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}Jx^{m-1})_i &= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} (\mathcal{A}J)_{ii_2 i_3 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\ &= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} \left(\sum_{j_2, j_3, \dots, j_m \in [n]} a_{ij_2 \dots j_m} J_{j_2 i_2} \dots J_{j_m i_m} \right) x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\ &= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} a_{in-i_2+1 \dots n-i_m+1} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\ &= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} a_{it_2 t_3 \dots t_m} x_{n-t_2+1} x_{n-t_3+1} \dots x_{n-t_m+1} \\ &= \sum_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m \in [n]} a_{it_2 t_3 \dots t_m} (Jx)_{t_2} (Jx)_{t_3} \dots (Jx)_{t_m} \\ &= (\mathcal{A}(Jx)^{m-1})_i, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i \in [n]$. So, it holds that

$$\mathcal{A}Jx^{m-1} = \mathcal{A}(Jx)^{m-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.6)-(4.7), we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(Jx)^{m-1} = \lambda(Jx)^{[m-1]},$$

which means Jx is also an H-eigenvector corresponding to λ . By assumptions, it follows that $Jx = ax$ for some nonzero real constant, and a is also an eigenvalue of J . Then $a = 1$. Therefore, $Jx = x$, which implies that x is either symmetric or skew-symmetric. \square

5 Centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors

In [5], Chen and Qi introduced Cauchy tensors, and gave sufficient and necessary conditions for positive definiteness and semi-definiteness of even order Cauchy tensors. In this section, we study centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors and give several sufficient and necessary conditions for a Cauchy tensor to be centrosymmetric. Furthermore, we prove that there are no odd dimension skew centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors. When the order is even, we prove that all H-eigenvalues corresponding to nonzero H-eigenvalues of a centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor are symmetric vectors. When the order is odd, we prove that the absolute vectors of these H-eigenvalues are symmetric vectors.

Now, we first state the definition of Cauchy tensors.

Definition 5.1 ^[5] Let vector $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that a real tensor $\mathcal{C} = (c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ is defined by

$$c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \frac{1}{c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}}, \quad j \in [m], i_j \in [n]. \quad (5.1)$$

Then, we say that \mathcal{C} is an order m dimension n symmetric Cauchy tensor and the vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the generating vector of \mathcal{C} .

In the sequence, a centrosymmetric symmetric Cauchy tensor is called a centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor for simplicity.

Theorem 5.1 *Assume \mathcal{C} is a Cauchy tensor defined as in (5.1). Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the generating vector of \mathcal{C} . Then Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric if and only if c is symmetric i.e. $Jc = c$.*

Proof. For sufficient conditions, suppose $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$. By the definition of symmetric vectors, we have

$$c_i = c_{n-i+1}, \quad i \in [n].$$

So, for any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} &= \frac{1}{c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{n-i_1+1} + c_{n-i_2+1} + \dots + c_{n-i_m+1}} \\ &= c_{n-i_1+1, n-i_2+1, \dots, n-i_m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that \mathcal{C} is a centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor.

For necessary conditions, assume Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric. Then we have

$$c_{ii \dots i} = c_{n-i+1, n-i+1, \dots, n-i+1}, \quad i \in [n],$$

which means

$$\frac{1}{mc_i} = \frac{1}{mc_{n-i+1}}, \quad i \in [n].$$

Thus $c_i = c_{n-i+1}$, $i \in [n]$ and c is a symmetric vector. \square

Theorem 5.2 *Assume \mathcal{C} is a Cauchy tensor defined as in (5.1). Then \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric if and only if*

$$J\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. Let c be the generating vector of \mathcal{C} . For any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (J\mathcal{C})_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} &= \sum_{t \in [n]} J_{i_1 t} c_{t i_2 \dots i_m} \\ &= c_{n-i_1+1, i_2 \dots i_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{n-i_1+1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Thus, from (5.2), we obtain that

$$J\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$$

if and only if $c_i = c_{n-i+1}$, $i \in [n]$ i.e. c is symmetric. By Theorem 5.1, we know that $J\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ if and only if Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric. \square

By Theorem 3.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.1 Assume \mathcal{C} is a Cauchy tensor defined as in (5.1). Then \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric if and only if

$$\mathcal{C}J = \mathcal{C}.$$

Theorem 5.3 Assume \mathcal{C} is a Cauchy tensor defined as in (5.1). Assume n is even, then \mathcal{C} is skew centrosymmetric if and only if c is skew symmetric i.e. $Jc = -c$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the generating vector of \mathcal{C} .

Proof. When Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is skew centrosymmetric, by Definitions 1.1 and 5.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{mc_i} = c_{ii\dots i} = -c_{n-i+1n-i+1\dots n-i+1} = -\frac{1}{mc_{n-i+1}}, \quad i \in [n].$$

Hence

$$c_i = -c_{n-i+1}, \quad i \in [n],$$

which implies that c is skew symmetric and the only if part holds. For sufficient conditions, for any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} &= \frac{1}{c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{c_{n-i_1+1} + c_{n-i_2+1} + \dots + c_{n-i_m+1}} \\ &= -c_{n-i_1+1n-i_2+1\dots n-i_m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses the fact that c is skew symmetric. Thus Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is skew centrosymmetric. \square

Here, it should be noted that there is no odd dimension skew centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor. If \mathcal{C} is skew centrosymmetric Cauchy tensor and suppose n is odd, let $i = \frac{n+1}{2}$, then

$$c_{ii\dots i} = \frac{1}{mc_i} = -c_{n-i+1n-i+1\dots n-i+1} = -\frac{1}{mc_{n-i+1}} = -\frac{1}{mc_i}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{mc_i} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Theorem 5.4 Assume order m dimension n Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is defined as in (5.1). Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ be the generating vector of \mathcal{C} . Suppose \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric. Then, for any H -eigenvector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of \mathcal{C} corresponding to a nonzero H -eigenvalue, x is symmetric when m is even; $|x|$ is symmetric when m is odd.

Proof. Since Cauchy tensor \mathcal{C} is centrosymmetric, by Theorem 5.1, the generating vector c is symmetric. Suppose x is an H-eigenvector of \mathcal{C} corresponding to a nonzero H-eigenvalue λ . By Definition 2.2, for $i \in [n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda x_i^{m-1} &= (\mathcal{C}x^{m-1})_i \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} c_{ii_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} \frac{1}{c_i + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_m \in [n]} \frac{1}{c_{n-i+1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_m}} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_m} \\
&= \lambda x_{n-i+1}^{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

When m is even, it holds that $x_i = x_{n-i+1}$, $i \in [n]$, which implies that x is symmetric. When m is odd, we obtain $|x_i| = |x_{n-i+1}|$, $i \in [n]$, which implies that $|x|$ is symmetric. \square

6 Final Remarks

In this article, properties of centrosymmetric tensors and skew centrosymmetric tensors are discussed. Some interesting results are natural extensions of the matrix case such as the products of centrosymmetric tensors, the sufficient and necessary conditions for a tensor to be centrosymmetric and skew centrosymmetric. Spectral properties about H-eigenvalues and H-eigenvectors of these tensors are also discussed. Furthermore, some symmetry properties of H-eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero H-eigenvalues of centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors are presented. Some further questions are as follows.

Question 1. How about the positive definiteness property of centrosymmetric tensors? Can we give some sufficient conditions just like the matrix case in [1]?

Question 2. What are the properties of H-eigenvectors of skew centrosymmetric Cauchy tensors?

References

- [1] A L. Andrew, *Eigenvectors of certain matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 7 (1973) 151-162.
- [2] B.D.O. Anderson, E.I. Jury, *A simplified Schur-Cohn test*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 18 (1973) 157-163.
- [3] C. Bu, X. Zhang, J. Zhou, W. Wang, Y. Wei, *The inverse, rank and product of tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl. 446 (2014) 269-280.
- [4] A. Cantoni, P. Butler, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices* Linear Algebra Appl., 13 (1976) 275-288.

- [5] H. Chen, L. Qi, *Positive Definiteness and Semi-Definiteness of Even Order Symmetric Cauchy Tensors*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.6363, 2014.
- [6] Z. Chen, L. Qi, *Circulant Tensors with Applications to Spectral Hypergraph Theory and Stochastic Process*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.2752v7, 2014.
- [7] J.W. Demmel, *Applied Numerical Linear Algebra*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997.
- [8] W. Ding, L. Qi, Y. Wei, *M-Tensors and Nonsingular M-Tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl., 439 (2013) 3264-3278.
- [9] W. Ding, L. Qi, Y. Wei, *Fast Hankel Tensor-Vector Products and Application to Exponential Data Fitting*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.6238, 2014.
- [10] J. He, T.Z. Huang, *Inequalities for M-tensors*, J. Inequ. Appl., 2014:114.
- [11] M. Thomas, *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*, Dover, 1966 (originally published 1883).
- [12] L. Qi, *Eigenvalue of a real supersymmetric tensor*, J. Symbol. Comput. 40 (2005) 1302-1324.
- [13] L. Qi, *Hankel tensors: Associated Hankel matrices and Vandermonde decomposition*, Commun. Math. Sci., 12 (2014).
- [14] L. Qi, Y. Song, *An even order symmetric B tensor is positive definite*, Linear Algebra Appl., 457 (2014) 303-312.
- [15] L. Qi, C. Xu, Y. Xu, *Nonnegative tensor factorization, completely positive tensors and an hierarchical elimination algorithm*, to appear in: SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.
- [16] J.Y. Shao, *A general product of tensors with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl., 439 (2013) 2350-2366.
- [17] J.Y. Shao, H.Y. Shan, L. Zhang, *On some properties of the determinants of tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl., 439 (2013) 3057-3069.
- [18] Y. Song, L. Qi, *Some properties of infinite and finite dimension Hilbert tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl., 451 (2014) 1-14.
- [19] Y. Song, L. Qi, *Properties of some classes of structured tensors*, to appear in: J. Optim. Theory Appl.
- [20] J.R. Weaver, *Centrosymmetric (cross-symmetric) matrices, their basic properties, eigenvalues, and eigenvectors*, American Mathematical Monthly, (1985)711-717.
- [21] P. Yuan, L. You, *Some remarks on P , P_0 , B and B_0 tensors*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.1288, 2014.

- [22] L. Zhang, L. Qi, G. Zhou, *M-tensors and some applications*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 35 (2014) 437-452.
- [23] X. Zhao, Q. Yang, *The spectral radius of nonnegative centrosymmetric tensor*, J. High School Numer. Math., 36 (2014) 58-66.