A transport inequality on the sphere obtained by mass transport

Dario Cordero-Erausquin

Abstract

Using McCann's transportation map, we establish a transport inequality on compact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. This inequality contains the sharp spectral comparison estimates.

1 Introduction

Extending the mass transportation approach to sharp Sobolev type inequalities from Euclidean space to curved geometries remains a challenging problem. In the present note, we propose a new twist in the classical transportation technique that allows for a transport inequality which contains sharp Poincaré inequalities.

The method applies to a (compact) Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ having a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of the form $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)k^2g$ with k>0 and g the Riemannian metric. By scaling the distances, we can always assume that k=1.

So, in the rest of the paper M = (M, g) will stand for an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying

$$Ric \ge (n-1) g. \tag{1}$$

The main example is the usual sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The interest, perhaps, in stating a result under the condition (1), even if one aims at the sphere only, is that it makes it clear that we will not use any of the algebraic properties of the sphere. Our computations are modeled on the sphere case; the extension to the situation given by (1) relies on Bishop comparison's estimates only. We will denote by $d\sigma = d \operatorname{vol} / \operatorname{vol}(M)$ the Riemannian volume measure

normalized to be a probability measure. The distance will be denoted d; recall that M has diameter smaller than π .

A simple but important result is that, on such manifold M, the spectral gap for the Laplacian satisfies $\lambda_1 \geq n$. Equivalently, one has the following Wirtinger-Poincaré inequality: for every Lipschitz function g on M,

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\sigma}(g) := \int \left(g - \int g \, d\sigma \right)^2 d\sigma \le \frac{1}{n} \int |\nabla g|^2 \, d\sigma. \tag{2}$$

The L^2 proof of this inequality as done by Lichnerovicz using Bochner's formula is rather short and elementary. In the particular case of the sphere, one can also use the expansion of g in the spherical harmonics basis; moreover, in this case, equality holds for linear functions, which are eigenfunctions for the spherical Laplacian.

It is well known that Poincaré inequalities are not well suited to mass transport techniques. However, in the Euclidean case and under appropriate curvature assumptions, one can prove very easily using mass transport (Brenier map) stronger inequalities such as transport inequalities or logarithmic Sobolev inequalities (see [2]). So it is quite annoying that no mass transport proof of the sharp log-Sobolev inequality (see [6]), say, is available on M. Indeed, the straightforward adaptation of the techniques from Euclidean space leads to a log-Sobolev inequality with a constant (n-1) in place of the expected constant n. Similarly, the transport inequality (definitions are recalled below) that one gets by standard techniques is as follows: for every $f \geq 0$ on M with $\int f \, d\sigma = 1$,

$$W_c(f \, d\sigma, \sigma) \le \int f \log f \, d\sigma \tag{3}$$

for the cost $c(d) := (n-1)d^2/2$. Linearization of this inequality gives only a weak form of (2) with 1/(n-1) in place of the correct 1/n. Let us note that by an abstract result of Otto and Villani [9], the log-Sobolev inequality mentioned above with the sharp constant n implies that the transport inequality (3) holds with the cost $c(d) := n d^2/2$. As for the log-Sobolev inequality, it is not known how to reach this inequality using mass transport.

The difficulty is to properly quantify the interplay between dimension and non-zero curvature in the mass transportation techniques.

This was partly overcome in the work of Lott and Villani [7] (see also Chapters 20 and 21 of [12]). There, the authors manage to prove some Sobolev-like inequalities under the so called "curvature-dimension condition CD(K, n)" that imply, after linearization,

sharp spectral bounds. To be precise, their assumption is that the metric measured space (M, d, σ) satisfies a curvature-dimension lower bound which is defined in terms of uniform convexity along optimal transport of a class of entropy functionals. From this assumption, they deduce a (not very natural) Sobolev-like inequality. This inequality has no reason to be sharp when the curvature is nonzero, but after linearization it gives the correct Poincaré inequality (2) (so in a sense it is sharp at first order). Of course, it is known, by the properties of optimal transport on manifolds (McCann's map), that a Riemannian manifold with condition (1) satisfies the curvature-dimension criterion. So putting all together, we see that Lott and Villani's work is already an answer to the question on how to use mass transport to derive some sharp dimensional inequalities. But of course, it is rather indirect, and no standard inequality that one could prove using optimal transport on a manifold is easy to extract from it. Actually, this is somehow the content of the "Open Problem 21.11" in Villani's book [12].

Our original motivation was to provide, in the particular case of a manifold, a different, more direct, approach based on the geometric properties of McCann's transport map. The aim was to find an inequality that contained the sharp bound (2). Eventually, we managed to establish a new, suitable, transport inequality, that is an inequality between an entropy functional and a transportation cost functional (we recommend the survey [5] for background on transport inequalities). The question of obtaining the sharp log-Sobolev inequality using mass transport remains.

Let us introduce the following classical dimensional entropy: given a probability density f on M, meaning a Borel nonnegative function on M with $\int f d\sigma = 1$, we put

$$H_{n,\sigma}(f) := n \int \left(f - f^{1-1/n} \right) d\sigma = n - n \int f^{1-1/n} d\sigma.$$

Note that $H_{n,\sigma}$ is a nonnegative convex functional of f.

We will consider transportation costs given by functions of the distance d on M. Given a function $c: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ (or rather $c: [0, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ in our case), the associated Kantorovich transportation cost between two Borel probability measures μ and ν on M is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_c(\mu,\nu) := \inf_{\pi} \iint c(d(x,y)) d\pi(x,y)$$

where the infimum is taken over all probability measures π on $M \times M$ projecting on μ and ν , respectively.

In the proof of the Theorem below, will use McCann's map, which arises from an optimizer in the functional W_c when c is the quadratic cost, $c(d) = d^2/2$; we shall recall McCann's result in detail later. However, let us emphasize that, although we will use this quadratic-optimal map, the cost in our transport inequality will be a different function of the distance.

Our cost function is defined for $d \in [0, \pi)$ by

$$c_n(d) := n - \frac{\sin^{n-1}(d)}{S_n(d)^{n-1}} - (n-1)\frac{S_n(d)}{\tan(d)}$$

and at the limit by $c_n(\pi) = +\infty$, where S_n is the familiar function defined for $d \in [0, \pi]$ by

$$S_n(d) := \left(n \int_0^d \sin^{n-1}(s) \, ds\right)^{1/n}.$$

We have, as expected, $c_n(0) = 0$ (since $S_n(t) \sim t$ at 0) and $c_n(d) > 0$ for d > 0.

We can now state the transport inequality satisfied by the uniform measure σ on M.

Theorem. Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with positive Ricci curvature satisfying (1) and let σ be its normalized Riemannian volume. Then, for every probability density f on M we have

$$W_{c_n}(f d\sigma, \sigma) \le H_{n,\sigma}(f).$$

We will see that for small distances the cost $c_n(d(x,y))$ behaves like $(n-1)d(x,y)^2/2$, so it may seem that we are back to the bad situation (3) where we were stuck with the constant (n-1). However, the entropy $H_{n,\sigma}$ is better, i.e. smaller, than the usual entropy $\int f \log f \, d\sigma$ (note that $H_{n,\sigma}(f) \nearrow \int f \log(f) \, d\sigma$ as $n \to +\infty$), and as a matter of fact we will reproduce the sharp Poincaré inequality. So there is an interesting trade-off between the cost and the entropy. Incidentally, both sides of our inequality are zero when n=1 (which is a good sign), meaning that we don't derive any result on the torus S^1 , although it might be possible, by looking at first orders when $n \to 1$ and analyzing the proof below, to guess what one should get in this case.

The next section contains the proof of the Theorem. In the last section we give some properties of the cost c_n and we explain how to derive the sharp spectral gap inequality (2) from the Theorem.

2 Proof of the Theorem

We start by recalling the result of McCann [8]. Given two (compactly supported) probability densities f and g on a manifold M with respect to d vol, the Riemannian volume, there exists a Lipschitz function $\theta: M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $-\theta$ is c-concave and the map

$$T(x) = \exp_x(\nabla \theta(x))$$

pushes forward f d vol to g d vol. The latter means that for every (bounded or nonnegative) Borel function u on M,

$$\int u(y) g(y) d \operatorname{vol}(y) = \int u(T(x)) f(x) d \operatorname{vol}(x).$$

The c-concavity of $-\theta$ is defined by the property that there exists a Lipschitz function ψ such that $-\theta(x) = \inf_y \{ \psi(y) + d(x,y)^2/2 \}$. This implies (and is formally equivalent to) that at every point x where θ is differentiable, and thus y := T(x) is uniquely defined, the function $v \to \theta(v) + \frac{1}{2}d(v,y)^2 - \frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$ achieves its minimum at v = x.

Following a classical approach, the map T is constructed by establishing that $\pi = (\operatorname{Id} \times T) f d$ vol is the optimizer for $\mathcal{W}_c(f d \operatorname{vol}, g d \operatorname{vol})$ when c is the quadratic cost. We will not use this property, though.

As explained in [3, 4], it is possible to do, in a weak sense, the change of variable y = T(x) and to establish a pointwise Jacobian change of variable equation. To be precise, let us set, whenever it makes sense,

$$dT_x := Y(H + \operatorname{Hess}_x \theta)$$

where, for fixed $x \in M$, the linear operators $Y: T_xM \to T_{T(x)}M$ and $H: T_xM \to T_xM$ are defined by

$$Y := Y_x =: d(\exp_x)_{\nabla \theta(x)}$$
 and $H := H_x := \operatorname{Hess}_x d^2_{T(x)}/2$,

with the notation $d_y(\cdot) = d(y, \cdot)$ for fixed $y \in M$. Then, one has

$$f(x) = g(T(x)) \det dT_x$$
 $f d \text{vol} -a.e.$

The set of points where this equation holds is contained in the set of $x \in M$ where θ is differentiable at x with $\gamma(t) := \exp_x(t\nabla\theta(x))$ being the unique minimizing geodesic

between $x = \gamma(0)$ and $T(x) = \gamma(1) \notin \text{cut}(x)$, and such that $\text{Hess}_x \theta$ exists, in the sense of Aleksandrov for the Lipschitz (and locally semi-convex) function θ ; later we shall use that $\text{tr Hess } \theta =: \Delta \theta \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{D}} \theta$ where $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} \theta$ is the distributional Laplacian of the Lipschitz function θ . The c-concavity of $-\theta$ then implies the following, crucial monotonicity property of T, which holds f d vol -a.e.:

$$H + \operatorname{Hess} \theta \ge 0 \tag{4}$$

In Euclidean space, H = Id and we recover that $T(x) = x + \nabla \theta$ is the gradient of the convex function $|x|^2/2 + \theta(x)$ (the Brenier map).

We refer the interested (or worried) reader to [3, 4] where these facts are carefully stated and proved.

So, under the assumptions of the Theorem, let $T(x) = \exp_x(\nabla \theta)$ be the McCann map pushing σ forward to $f d\sigma$. Denote the displacement distance by

$$\alpha(x) := d(x, T(x)) = |\nabla \theta(x)| \in [0, \pi].$$

The Jacobian equation satisfied almost everywhere is then

$$f(T(x))^{-1} = \det \left[Y(H + \operatorname{Hess}_x \theta) \right]$$
 (5)

with $Y := Y_x =: d(\exp_x)_{\nabla \theta(x)}$ and $H := H_x := \operatorname{Hess}_x d^2_{T(x)}/2$.

For $x \in M$ a point where equation (5) holds, let $E_1 := \nabla \theta / |\nabla \theta|$ be the direction of transport, completed by E_2, \ldots, E_n in order to have an orthonormal frame. In this basis, the symmetric operator H takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

and the classical Bishop comparison estimates (see e.g. [10]) ensure that under (1) we have

$$\det Y \le \left(\frac{\sin(\alpha)}{\alpha}\right)^{n-1} =: v_n(\alpha)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{tr} K \le (n-1)\frac{\alpha}{\tan(\alpha)} =: w_n(\alpha).$$

Of course, these inequalities are equalities when $M = S^n$, a case where Y and K can be computed explicitly (see [1]).

If we write $\operatorname{Hess}_x \theta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b^t \\ b & M \end{pmatrix}$, where M is a symmetric $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix and $a := \operatorname{Hess}_x \theta(E_1) \cdot E_1$ (all the quantities depend on x, of course), then we have

$$f(T(x))^{-1} = \det \left[Y \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b^t \\ b & K+M \end{pmatrix} \right] \le v_n(\alpha)^n \det \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b^t \\ b & K+M \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\le v_n(\alpha)^n \det \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & 0 \\ 0 & K+M \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= v_n(\alpha)^n \det \begin{pmatrix} (1+a)\mu(\alpha)^{-(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(\alpha)K+\mu(\alpha)M \end{pmatrix}$$

where μ is a numerical C^1 positive function defined on $[0, \pi]$ that will be fixed later. Note that $1 + a \ge 0$ and $K + M \ge 0$ by (4). Using the arithmetic-geometric inequality, namely $\det^{1/n} \le \operatorname{tr}/n$ on nonnegative matrices, we then get that

$$n f(T(x))^{-1/n} \le v_n(\alpha) \left[(1+a)\mu(\alpha)^{-(n-1)} + \mu(\alpha)w_n(\alpha) + \mu(\alpha)(\Delta\theta - a) \right]$$

We integrate this inequality with respect to σ . Integration by parts gives

$$\int v_n(\alpha)\mu(\alpha)\Delta\theta\,d\sigma \le -\int (v_n\mu)'(\alpha)\nabla\alpha\cdot\nabla\theta\,d\sigma.$$

When θ is smooth, the previous equation is an equality; but as we explained above, the Laplacian we used is smaller than the distributional Laplacian, in general.

By construction, $\nabla \alpha \cdot \nabla \theta = \alpha \operatorname{Hess} \theta(E_1) \cdot E_1 = \alpha a$ (the fact that this property should be used to improve mass transportation techniques on manifold was suggested to us some years ago by Michael Schmuckenschläger [11]). So we find

$$n \int f^{1-1/n} d\sigma \leq \int \left[v_n(\alpha)\mu(\alpha)^{-(n-1)} - \mu(\alpha)v_n(\alpha) - \alpha \cdot (v_n\mu)'(\alpha) \right] a d\sigma + \int \left(\mu(\alpha)^{-(n-1)} + \mu(\alpha)w_n(\alpha) \right) v_n(\alpha) d\sigma.$$

We now want to choose the numerical function μ such that for all $t \in [0, \pi)$,

$$v_n(t)\mu(t)^{-(n-1)} - \mu(t)v_n(t) - t(v_n\mu)'(t) = 0.$$
(6)

Setting $h(t) := t\mu(t)v_n(t)$, the previous equation rewrites as

$$h'(t) = v_n(t)(h(t)/tv_n(t))^{-(n-1)} = v_n(t)^n t^{n-1} h(t)^{-(n-1)}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{1}{n}(h^n)'(t) = \sin^{n-1}(t),$$

which suggests the choice $h = S_n$. So the function defined by $\mu(t) := S_n(t)/tv_n(t)$ satisfies (6), and consequently we have the desired inequality:

$$n \int f^{1-1/n} d\sigma \le \int \left[\frac{\sin^{n-1}(\alpha(x))}{S_n(\alpha(x))^{n-1}} + (n-1) \frac{S_n(\alpha(x))}{\tan(\alpha(x))} \right] d\sigma(x).$$

3 Further remarks

We start with some properties of the function $c_n(\alpha) = n - \frac{\sin^{n-1}(\alpha)}{S_n(\alpha)^{n-1}} - (n-1)\frac{S_n(\alpha)}{\tan(\alpha)}, \ \alpha \in [0, \pi).$

First, observe that for $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$, $\int_0^{\alpha} \sin(s)^{n-1} \cos(s) ds \leq \int_0^{\alpha} \sin(s)^{n-1} ds \leq \int_0^{\alpha} s^{n-1} ds$ so that

$$\sin(\alpha) \le S_n(\alpha) \le \alpha.$$

This implies that $c_n \geq 0$. It also gives that $0 \leq \frac{\alpha - \operatorname{S}_n(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \leq \frac{\alpha - \sin(\alpha)}{\alpha^2}$ and consequently, for $\alpha \to 0$,

$$S_n(\alpha) = \alpha + o(\alpha^2).$$

In turn, this gives the behavior of $c_n(\alpha)$ when $\alpha \to 0$:

$$c_n(\alpha) \sim (n-1)\alpha^2/2. \tag{7}$$

To perform this series expansion of c_n , write $S_n(\alpha) = \alpha + a\alpha^3 + o(\alpha^3)$; the coefficient a indeed disappears in the second order. We believe (from numerical examples) that the function c_n is convex on $[0, \pi]$. But since we don't need this property (which seems a bit more technical), we leave this question for another time.

It is well known that the property (7) of the cost is sufficient to derive by linearization, from the corresponding transport inequality, a Poincaré type inequality. The standard procedure is to first state an infimal convolution inequality (for the Hamilton-Jacobi semi-group), obtained by dualizing the transportation cost and the entropy, and then to linearize (see [5]). Actually, it is enough to dualize only the transportation cost (we don't want to dualize the entropy, since eventually we will linearize it).

Recall the classical Kantorovich duality: for two probability measures μ and ν on M and for a cost c,

$$W_c(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \int Q_c(\varphi) d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all (Lipschitz) functions $\varphi: M \to \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\forall x \in M, \quad Q_c(\varphi)(x) := \inf_{y \in M} \{ \varphi(y) + c(d(x,y)) \}.$$

Note that $Q_c(\varphi) \leq \varphi$ (provided $c \geq 0$ and c(0) = 0) and that the bigger the cost is in terms of d(x, y), the closer $Q_c(\varphi)$ is to φ .

Let g be a smooth function on M with $\int g d\sigma = 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Applying our transport inequality to the probability density $f = 1 + \varepsilon \lambda g$ where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant to be fixed later, and using the above-mentioned duality with the test function $\varphi = \varepsilon g$ we get

$$\int Q_{c_n}(\varepsilon g)(1+\varepsilon\lambda g)d\sigma - \int (\varepsilon g)\,d\sigma \le H_{n,\sigma}(1+\varepsilon\lambda g). \tag{8}$$

On one hand we have, for the entropy term, uniformly on M,

$$n[(1+\varepsilon\lambda g)-(1+\varepsilon\lambda g)^{1-1/n}]=\varepsilon\lambda g+\varepsilon^2\frac{n-1}{2n}(\lambda g)^2+o(\varepsilon^2).$$

On the other hand, because of (7) we have

$$Q_{c_n}(\varepsilon g) = \varepsilon \left[g - \varepsilon \frac{1}{2(n-1)} |\nabla g|^2 + o(\varepsilon) \right].$$

Putting these two expansions in (8), we see that the orders 0 and 1 vanish (they have to, since the constant function 1 is an equality case in the transport inequality), and the inequality between the second orders reads as

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{n-1}{2n}\lambda^2\right) \int g^2 d\sigma \le \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int |\nabla g|^2 d\sigma.$$

Picking $\lambda = \frac{n}{n-1}$ we get the sharp Poincaré inequality $\int g^2 d\sigma \le \frac{1}{n} \int |\nabla g|^2 d\sigma$.

References

- [1] D. Cordero-Erausquin, Inégalité de Prékopa-Leindler sur la sphère, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 329 (1999), 789–792.
- [2] D. Cordero-Erausquin, Some applications of mass transport to Gaussian type inequalities, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 161 (2002), 257–269.
- [3] D. Cordero-Erausquin, R.J. McCann and M. Schmuckenschläger, A Riemannian interpolation inequality à la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb, *Invent. Math.* 146 (2001), 219–257.

- [4] D. Cordero-Erausquin, R.J. McCann and M. Schmuckenschläger, Prékopa-Leindler type inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, mass transport and Jacobi fields, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) 15 (2006), 613–635.
- [5] N. Gozlan and C. Léonard, *Transport inequalities*. A survey, Markov Process. Related Fields 16 (2010), 635–736.
- [6] M. Ledoux, The geometry of Markov diffusion generators. Probability theory., Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 9 (2000), 305–366.
- [7] J. Lott and C. Villani, Weak curvature conditions and functional inequalities, *J. Funct.*Anal. 245 (2007), 311–333.
- [8] R.J. McCann, Polar factorization of maps on Riemannian manifolds, *Geom. Funct.* Anal. 11 (2001), 589–608.
- [9] F. Otto and C. Villani, Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* 173 (2000), 361–400.
- [10] P. Petersen, Riemannian geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [11] M. Schmuckenschläger, personal communication.
- [12] C. Villani, Optimal transport. Old and new., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

Dario Cordero-Erausquin: cordero@math.jussieu.fr
Institut de Mathmatiques de Jussieu and Institut Universitaire de France,
Université Pierre et Marie Curie – Paris 6,
4, place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France