DEFORMATION INVARIANCE OF RATIONAL PAIRS

LINDSAY ERICKSON

ABSTRACT. Rational pairs, recently introduced by Kollár and Kovács, generalize rational singularities to pairs (X, D). Here X is a normal variety and D is a reduced divisor on X. Integral to the definition of a rational pair is the notion of a thrifty resolution, also defined by Kollár and Kovács, and in order to work with rational pairs it is often necessary to be able to tell whether a given resolution is thrifty. In this paper we present several foundational results that are helpful for identifying thrifty resolutions and analyzing their behavior. We also show that general hyperplane sections of rational pairs are again rational.

In 1978, Elkik proved that rational singularities are deformation invariant. Our main result is an analogue of this theorem for rational pairs: given a flat family $X \to S$ and a Cartier divisor D on X, if the fibers over a smooth point $s \in S$ form a rational pair, then (X, D) is also rational near the fiber X_s .

1. INTRODUCTION

We present a proof that rational pairs are deformation invariant. The notion of a rational pair, recently introduced in [Kol13, Section 2.5], is a generalization of rational singularities from a variety X to a reduced pair (X, D). A reduced pair is a normal variety X together with a Weil divisor D, all of whose coefficients are 1. Such a D is a reduced divisor. The main results in this paper include the assumption that the underlying field k has characteristic 0.

The analogue of a smooth variety in the pairs setting is an *snc pair*. A pair (X, D) has *simple normal crossings*, or is snc, if X is smooth, every D_i is smooth, and all the intersections of the components D_i are transverse. If (X, D) is not snc, we can still refer to the *snc locus* $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, which is the largest open set $U \subset X$ so that $(U, D \cap U)$ is snc.

Definition 1.1. Let $f: Y \to X$ be birational, where X is normal, and let $D \subset X$ be a divisor. The birational transform of D, denoted $f_*^{-1}D$, is a divisor in Y, and it is defined as follows: let $U \subset X$ be the largest open set over which f is an isomorphism and an inverse f^{-1} exists, then map $D \cap U$ into Y by the morphism f^{-1} , and finally take the closure in Y of the image.

The birational transform $f_*^{-1}D$ is used to define a resolution of (X, D).

Definition 1.2. A resolution of a pair (X, D) is a resolution of singularities $f: Y \to X$, such that the pair $(Y, f_*^{-1}D)$ has simple normal crossings.

To keep the notation concise, we will write B for the divisor $f_*^{-1}D$ in Y. Note that B never has any exceptional components: its components are in one-to-one birational correspondence to the components of D. We will use "resolution" to

Date: November 9, 2014.

mean "resolution of pairs", and we will refer to a resolution of (X, D) with the notation $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$. When we really mean a resolution of a variety X, and not of a pair (X, D), we'll specifically say as much.

If $(X, D = \bigcup D_i)$ is an snc pair, then an irreducible component of any intersection of the D_i is called a *stratum*. If (X, D) is not snc, then we may consider the strata of its snc locus. In order to determine whether a pair is rational, we'll need to examine the strata of its snc locus and how they behave under a resolution of pairs. A general reference for these definitions is [Kol13].

This new theory of rational pairs requires us to restrict our attention to certain resolutions, called *thrifty resolutions*, which were also introduced and developed in [Kol13]. These resolutions of pairs have the nice properties that are required to generalize theorems about rational singularities of varieties to pairs. Specifically, when we restrict to resolutions of pairs that are also thrifty, we have an analogue of Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing in characteristic 0 (see (3.6) below), and we know that if a pair has one thrifty rational resolution, then every other thrifty resolution is also rational.

A thrifty resolution $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$, which is defined in (2.3) and which we will discuss in much more detail below, is a resolution in the sense of (1.2) above that satisfies two conditions:

- (1) (Condition 1) f is an isomorphism over the generic point of any stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$
- (2) (Condition 2) f is an isomorphism at the generic point of any stratum of (Y, B)

The first few results in this paper simplify the task of verifying that a given resolution is thrifty. The first of these foundational results is a simple criterion for Condition 2 in the definition of thriftiness, which is usually the more difficult of the two conditions to check. First, a definition.

Definition 1.3. A resolution $f: Y \to X$, in the sense of (1.2), is a weak log resolution if the exceptional locus Ex(f) is a divisor and the pair (Y, B + Ex(f)) is snc.

We will just call this a *log resolution* throughout the paper, since we'll never have a reason to use any other type of log resolution in what follows.

Proposition 1.4 (see (3.1)). If $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ is a log resolution as in (1.3), then f satisfies Condition 2 for thriftiness.

We will also show that if a birational morphism $f: Y \to X$ is an isomorphism over every stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, then it can be dominated by a thrifty log resolution of (X, D).

Theorem 1.5 (see (3.2)). Suppose $f: Y \to X$ is a proper birational morphism between normal varieties, and $D \subset X$ is a reduced divisor. If f is an isomorphism over every stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, then there is a thrifty log resolution of (X, D)factoring through f.

It is not known whether a rational resolution (defined below in (2.1)) is necessarily thrifty, but we give a significant partial result in that direction: it is true for log resolutions as in (1.3). Indeed, every resolution can be dominated by a log resolution, so our result suffices for many applications. **Proposition 1.6** (see (3.4)). If a log resolution as in (1.3) of a pair is rational, then it is thrifty.

We also show that rational pairs, like rational singularities, satisfy a Bertini-type theorem: cutting a rational pair by a general hyperplane yields another rational pair.

Theorem 1.7 (see (4.4)). If (X, D) is a projective rational pair, then a general hyperplane section of (X, D) is also rational.

The main result in this paper is on deformation invariance of rational pairs in a flat family. In [Elk78], Elkik proved that rational singularities are deformation invariant: given a variety X with rational singularities and a flat morphism $X \to S$, then if s is a smooth point and the fiber X_s over s has rational singularities, so does X in a neighborhood of X_s .

In this paper we show that rational pairs (X, D), with D a Cartier divisor in X, are also deformation invariant.

Theorem 1.8 (see (6.2)). Let (X, D) be a pair, with D Cartier. Suppose $X \to S$ is a flat morphism, and $s \in S$ is a smooth point so that the fibers (X_s, D_s) form a reduced pair. If the fiber (X_s, D_s) is a rational pair, then the total space (X, D) is a rational pair in a neighborhood of (X_s, D_s) .

That is, if the fiber (X_s, D_s) is rational at x, then the total space (X, D) is also rational at x.

With some additional assumptions, we have a corollary about fibers near X_s .

Corollary 1.9 (see (6.3)). If, moreover, the morphism $X \to S$ is proper and S is a curve, then there is a neighborhood $W \subset S$ of s such that for any $w \in W$, the pair of fibers (X_w, D_w) is rational.

2. RATIONAL RESOLUTIONS OF PAIRS

Recall that a resolution $f: Y \to X$ of a variety is rational if the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a quasi-isomorphism and the higher direct images $R^i f_* \omega_Y$ vanish for i > 0. (This second part holds automatically in characteristic 0 by the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem ([GR70]).)

The definition of a rational resolution of a pair (X, D) is formally very similar to that of a rational resolution of a variety X. Again, throughout this paper we assume all varieties are defined over a field of characteristic 0. The definitions from [Kol13] also make sense in positive characteristic, but the proofs of the main results do rely on the characteristic-0 assumption.

Definition 2.1 ([Kol13, 2.78]). Let (X, D) be a reduced pair. A resolution $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ is rational if

- (1) The natural map $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \to \mathcal{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and
- (2) The higher direct images $R^i f_* \omega_Y(B)$ vanish for i > 0.

If D is a Cartier divisor in X, then there is a characterization of rational resolutions $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ that looks very much like Kempf's well-known criterion for rational resolutions of varieties $f: Y \to X$ (see [Kol13, 2.77]).

Theorem 2.2 ([Kol13, 2.84]). Let (X, D) be a reduced pair, with D Cartier, and let $f: (Y, B) \rightarrow (X, D)$ be a resolution. Then f is rational if and only if two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) X is CM, and
- (2) The natural map $\Re f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

It is well known that if one resolution of a variety X is rational, then every other resolution of X is also rational. In this case we say that X has rational singularities. The analogous statement does not hold for rational resolutions of pairs as defined in (2.1): there are many pairs (X, D) that have both rational and non-rational resolutions. For example, an snc pair (X, D) that has a stratum of at least codimension 2 will have both types of resolutions; see [Kol13, p. 94].

The resolutions that cause this statement to fail are all of a certain type. If we exclude them, then the analogous claim for pairs *is* true. So we will restrict our attention to a certain type of resolution. The resolutions that we'll consider are called *thrifty*.

Definition 2.3 ([Kol13, 2.79]). Let (X, D) be a reduced pair, with $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ a resolution. Then f is a thrifty resolution if two conditions hold:

- (1) f is an isomorphism over the generic point of every stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$; equivalently, $f(\operatorname{Ex}(f))$ does not contain any stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$
- (2) f is an isomorphism at the generic point of every stratum of (Y, B); equivalently, the exceptional locus Ex(f) does not contain any stratum of (Y, B).

We'll refer to these as Conditions 1 and 2 from now on.

It is shown in [Kol13, 2.86] that in characteristic 0, if a pair (X, D) has a thrifty rational resolution, then every other thrifty resolution of (X, D) is also rational. As long as we restrict our attention to thrifty resolutions, then, the situation for pairs is similar to the situation for varieties.

Now we have enough to define rational pairs.

Definition 2.4 ([Kol13, 2.80]). If X is a normal variety, with D a reduced divisor on X, then the pair (X, D) is rational if it has a thrifty rational resolution. (Equivalently, if every thrifty resolution of (X, D) is rational.)

It is an open question whether a rational resolution is necessarily thrifty. For dlt pairs this is true; see [Kol13, 2.87]. In (3.4) below, we give another partial answer: given a resolution of pairs $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$, if the entire preimage of D is an snc divisor in Y—that is, if f is a log resolution as in (1.3)—then f is indeed thrifty.

3. Preliminary results: Thrifty resolutions

In order to work with rational pairs, it will be essential to be able to tell whether a given resolution is thrifty—that is, whether it satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 from (2.3). Condition 1 of thriftiness is a property of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$: to see whether it holds, it is only necessary to check the snc locus, where X, the D_i , and all the intersections of the D_i are smooth. Condition 2, on the other hand, is *not* a property of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, so we must examine points that map to the non-snc locus of (X, D). Points outside of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$ are trickier to deal with, so alternative ways to check for Condition 2 would be welcome.

4

Condition 2 is automatic if f is a log resolution, as we'll show below. Such an f is then thrifty if and only if it satisfies Condition 1. Recall that a *log resolution* $(Y, B) \rightarrow (X, D)$, as defined in (1.3), is a resolution with two additional conditions: the exceptional locus Ex(f) is a divisor in Y, and the pair (Y, B + Ex(f)) is snc. This is a much stronger requirement than that (Y, B) be snc, as in the definition of a resolution of pairs: for f to be a log resolution, the components of Ex(f) must be smooth divisors and must intersect each other and the components of B transversally.

Proposition 3.1. If $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ is a log resolution as in (1.3), then f satisfies Condition 2.

Proof. Suppose $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ is a log resolution as defined in (1.3). Let E be the reduced divisor supported on $\operatorname{Ex}(f)$, so that the pair (Y, B + E) is snc and B + E is reduced. Condition 2 fails exactly when a stratum of (Y, B) is contained in E. Let Z be a stratum of (Y, B), so that Z is a component of some intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} D'_i$, where $I = i_1, \ldots, i_s$. Now we appeal to [Kol13, 4.16.2], originally stated and proved in [Fuj07, 3.9.2], which says that an intersection of s components of the divisor in a reduced dlt pair has pure codimension s. This theorem applies here because our (Y, B) is snc and therefore dlt, so Z has codimension s.

Now if $Z \subset E$, then Z is contained in some component E_j of E. But then $Z \subset E_j \cap (\bigcap_{i \in I} D'_i)$. Now (Y, B + E) is also snc and hence dlt, so this intersection has codimension s + 1 by another application of [Kol13, 4.16.2]. But then Z, which has codimension s, cannot be a subset of this intersection, so this situation is impossible. Thus Condition 2 always holds for a log resolution.

The next result will be very useful in the proof of the main theorem (6.2). In that argument, we analyze a pair (X, D) and a certain subpair (X_t, D_t) , where X_t is a Cartier divisor in X, and D_t in D. We'll appeal to the following theorem to show that the restriction of a thrifty resolution of (X, D) to X_t is dominated by a thrifty resolution of (X_t, D_t) .

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $f: Y \to X$ is a proper birational morphism between normal varieties, and $D \subset X$ is a reduced divisor. If f is an isomorphism over every stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, then there is a thrifty log resolution of (X, D) factoring through f.

Proof. Let $B = f_*^{-1}D$ be the birational transform of D in Y. We'll construct a thrifty log resolution g of (X, D) starting with the pair (Y, B).

First, blow up the exceptional components of codimension at least 2 in Y of the birational morphism $f: Y \to X$. From this we obtain a new proper birational morphism $f': Y' \to X$, and a new birational transform B' of D in Y'. Now the exceptional locus of $Y' \to X$ is a divisor in Y', and we'll call it E'.

Let h be a log resolution of the pair (Y', B' + E') that is an isomorphism over $\operatorname{snc}(Y', B' + E')$. To do this, we appeal to Szabó's theorem from [Sza94], which says that choosing such a resolution is possible; see [Kol13, 10.45.2].

Now write $g = f \circ f' \circ h$. It is a log resolution of X and the birational transform of D by g is an snc divisor. We'll show now that g is also an isomorphism over the generic point of every stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$.

Let $U \subset X$ be the open set over which f is an isomorphism. Let x be the generic point of any stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$. Then by assumption $x \in U$, so $x \in U \cap \operatorname{snc}(X, D)$.

The pair (Y, B) is snc at the preimage of x in Y, because f is an isomorphim there. Similarly, (Y', B') is snc at the preimage of x in Y': again, the blowups $f': Y' \to Y$ do not affect points outside the exceptional locus of f, so the entire preimage of $U \cap \operatorname{snc}(X, D)$ in Y' is inside the locus where f' is an isomorphism. The exceptional locus E' is disjoint from the preimage of x in Y', so the pair (Y', B' + E') is also snc there. We chose the resolution h of (Y', B' + E') to be an isomorphism over $\operatorname{snc}(Y', B' + E')$, so the composition $g = f \circ f' \circ h$ is an isomorphism over x.

In other words, g satisfies Condition 1 of thriftiness from (2.3). Since g is a log resolution of (X, D), it follows from (3.1) that g is thrifty. So there is a thrifty log resolution of (X, D) factoring through f.

Corollary 3.3. Every thrifty resolution is dominated by a thrifty log resolution.

Proposition 3.4. If a log resolution of a pair is rational, then it is thrifty.

Proof. Let $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ be a rational log resolution. We'll verify that f satisfies Condition 1 and Condition 2. Since f is log, Condition 2 is automatic by (3.1). Condition 1, on the other hand, is a property of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$. Rational resolutions are defined in terms of sheaves, and $U = \operatorname{snc}(X, D)$ is an open set, so the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(U)$ is still a rational resolution of $(U, D \cap U)$.

Every snc pair is dlt, so by [Kol13, 2.87], which says that a resolution of a dlt pair is rational if and only if it is thrifty, we conclude that f is thrifty over $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$, and hence satisfies Condition 1 there. But it is sufficient to check the snc locus of (X, D) to verify Condition 1, so f is thrifty.

Next we'll show that thrifty resolutions satisfy an analogue of the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem (see [GR70]). Thus the second condition in (2.1) is automatically true, at least for resolutions that are known to be thrifty.

To prove this, we'll start with a recent result from the literature. The assumption that our varieties are defined over a field of characteristic 0 is necessary here.

Proposition 3.5 (Special case of [Kol13, 10.34]). Let (Y, B) be snc, and $f: Y \to X$ a projective morphism of varieties over a field of characteristic 0. For any lc center Z of (Y, B), write $F_Z \subset Z$ for the generic fiber of $f|_Z: Z \to f(Z)$. Set

 $c = \max\{\dim F_Z \colon Z \text{ is an } lc \text{ center}\}.$

Then $R^i f_* \omega_Y(B) = 0$ for i > c.

The statement of [Kol13, 10.34] is more general, but we only need this version here.

Proposition 3.6 (GR-type vanishing for thrifty resolutions). If $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ is a thrifty resolution, then $R^i f_* \omega_Y(B) = 0$ for all i > 0.

Proof. We'll appeal to (3.5), since (Y, B) is an snc pair and the resolution $f: Y \to X$ is projective. Let Z be a stratum of (Y, B), and let F_Z be the generic fiber of the map $f|_Z: Z \to f(Z)$. The image f(Z) is closed, since f is projective, and it is also irreducible because Z is, so it has a generic point.

By (3.5), $R^i f_* \omega_Y(B) = 0$ for all i > c, where

 $c = \max\{\dim F_Z \colon Z \text{ is a stratum}\}.$

The lc centers of an snc pair are exactly its strata: see [Kol13, 4.15]. Since f is thrifty, it is birational on every stratum of (Y, B). In particular, it is dominant when restricted to each Z, so the dimension of each generic fiber is 0: see [Eis95, p. 290]. Thus c = 0, so $R^i f_* \omega_Y(B) = 0$ for all i > 0.

4. Hyperplane sections of rational pairs

In this section we prove that a general hyperplane section of a rational pair is again a rational pair. First we'll verify that thrifty resolutions restrict well to hyperplane sections of pairs in \mathbf{P}^{N} .

Notation 4.1. Let X be a projective variety, let (X, D) be a reduced pair, and let $f: (Y, C) \to (X, D)$ be a resolution. If $X_H = X \cap H$ is a general hyperplane section of X in \mathbf{P}^N , then let $D_H = D \cap H$; by generality of H, D_H is a divisor in X_H . Also, let $Y_H = f_*^{-1}X_H = f^*X_H$ —it is a pullback, by generality of H—and let $f_H = f|_{Y_H}$. Finally, let $C_H = (f_H)_*^{-1}D_H$.

Lemma 4.2. Using the notation of (4.1), $f_H: (Y_H, C_H) \to (X_H, D_H)$ is a resolution.

Proof. We need to verify that Y_H is smooth and (Y_H, C_H) is an snc pair. Smoothness of Y_H comes from the fact that a resolution of a projective variety restricts to a resolution of a general one of its hyperplane sections. The same is true for each component of C_H . As for their intersections, since H is general they are transverse.

Lemma 4.3. With the notation of (4.1), if f is a thrifty log resolution, then f_H is also thrifty.

Proof. Since f is log, $\operatorname{Ex}(f) + C$ is an snc divisor in Y. Also, for general H, by the same reasoning as above we have that $C + \operatorname{Ex}(f) + Y_H$ is snc in Y. Thus the exceptional components of f_H have the right codimension—that is, 1—and $\operatorname{Ex}(f_H)$ is a divisor in Y_H . Also, $\operatorname{Ex}(f_H) + C_H$ is snc.

So f_H is also a log resolution, which means that Condition 2 for thriftiness is automatic. We just need to verify Condition 1: no stratum of (X_H, D_H) is in $f_H(\text{Ex}(f_H))$. But for almost all H this is true: the only H for which this fails are those such that a component of Ex(f) maps into, but not onto, a stratum of (X, D), and then H cuts out exactly that image when it is intersected with D. But by generality this does not happen.

So f_H is thrifty as well.

It is well known that rational singularities satisfy a Bertini-like theorem: if $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is a projective variety with rational singularities, then a general hyperplane section of X also has rational singularities. We'll show next that the analogous statement holds for rational pairs.

Theorem 4.4 (Bertini-type result for rational pairs). If (X, D) is a projective rational pair, then a general hyperplane section of (X, D) is also rational.

Proof. We'll continue to use the notation of (4.1). For a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$, X_H is normal and $D_H \subset X_H$ is a reduced divisor, so (X_H, D_H) is also a reduced pair.

Let $f: (Y, C) \to (X, D)$ be a thrifty log resolution, which is also rational because (X, D) is rational. Then $f_H: (Y_H, C_H) \to (X_H, D_H)$ is also a thrifty resolution, by (4.2) and (4.3). We'll show that f_H is rational, and hence that (X_H, D_H) is a rational pair.

Start with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y_H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_H} \longrightarrow 0$$

Twist by -C, which is Cartier (by smoothness of Y), and get a new short exact sequence. Then push forward to X, obtaining the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y_H - C) \longrightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-C) \longrightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_H}(-C_H) \longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y_H - C) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Now Y_H is a pullback. By the projection formula, together with the rationality assumption on (X, D),

$$R^{i}f_{*}\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-Y_{H}-C) \simeq R^{i}f_{*}\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-X_{H})$$
$$\simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{X}(-D-X_{H}) & i=0\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

So $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_H}(-C_H) = 0$ for i > 0 by the long exact sequence. Also, there is now have a short exact sequence of pushforwards by f, yielding isomorphisms

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_H}(-C_H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-D)/\mathcal{O}_X(-D-X_H)$$
$$\simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_H}$$
$$\simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_H}(-D_H).$$

So f_H is a thrifty rational resolution, and therefore (X_H, D_H) is a rational pair. \Box

5. Preliminary results: base change by the local scheme near a point

We are now ready to develop the main theorem: that rationality of pairs is preserved by deforming a flat family defined over a base scheme S. First we'll reduce to an especially simple case, where S is the spectrum of a regular local ring, and then we'll prove the claim in that situation with an induction argument. In order to reduce to the case where the base S is Spec R for a regular local ring R, we need several preliminary results about how rational pairs and thrifty resolutions behave with respect to the base change by a morphism Spec $\mathcal{O}_{S,s} \to S$, where $s \in S$ is a smooth point.

The results that follow are all closely related, and they share a common notation. For convenience, we collect all the notation here and will refer back to it throughout the rest of the paper.

8

Notation 5.1. Let $f: X \to S$ be a morphism, and $s \in S$ a point. Let (X, D) be a reduced pair such that the fibers (X_s, D_s) form a reduced pair. Also, let $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ be a resolution. Let $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,s} \to S$ be the inclusion of the local scheme near s, and base change by this morphism: let $X' = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$, and similarly for D', Y', B'. Write $\pi: X' \to X$ for the natural projection onto the first factor.

As we will see, all the salient aspects of this situation are preserved by the base change by Spec $\mathcal{O}_{S,s} \to S$. The next few results are standard commutative algebra, but for lack of a reference we include the proofs here.

Lemma 5.2. With the notation of (5.1), let $x' \in X'$. If $\pi(x') = x$, and \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X, then $\mathcal{F}_x \simeq (\pi^* \mathcal{F})_{x'}$. That is, stalks of \mathcal{O}_X -modules are preserved by the base change. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X',x'}$.

Proof. We may assume the schemes are affine, so the base change corresponds to a pushout diagram of rings:



Here A and B are rings, $\phi: A \to B$ is the homomorphism corresponding to the affine morphism Spec $B \to$ Spec A, and $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft A$ is a prime ideal. Then the \mathcal{O}_X -module \tilde{F} has the form \tilde{M} for a B-module M, and $\pi^* \mathfrak{F} = (M \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\sim} \simeq (M \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\sim}$. Let \mathfrak{q}' be a prime ideal in the ring $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It corresponds to an ideal \mathfrak{q} in B that is disjoint from $f(A - \mathfrak{p})$. Here \mathfrak{q}' corresponds to $x' \in X'$; \mathfrak{q} to $x \in X$. It suffices to verify that $(M \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{q}'} \simeq M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By basic properties of localization (see [Sta14, Tag 02C7]) and the fact that $\phi(A - \mathfrak{p}) \subset B - \mathfrak{q}$, this is true.

Note in particular the case where $\mathfrak{F} \simeq \mathfrak{O}_X$: if $\pi(x') = x$, then $\mathfrak{O}_{X,x} \simeq \mathfrak{O}_{X',x'}$. \Box

Corollary 5.3. With the notation of (5.1), let \mathcal{P} be a property of X that may be checked locally (i.e., at stalks). Then \mathcal{P} holds on the image of the projection $\pi(X') \subset X$ if and only if \mathcal{P} holds on X'.

Example 5.4. We continue to use the notation of (5.1). Before moving on, we'll note a few specific applications of (5.3) to the varieties X and Y, and their counterparts X' and Y' under the base change. Here are a few useful choices for the property \mathcal{P} in (5.3). We'll refer back to these in the rest of the paper.

- (1) **Nonsingularity.** From (5.3) we immediately see that Y' is nonsingular (because Y is), and each component of $B' \subset Y'$ is nonsingular (because each component of B is).
- (2) **Codimension.** if $x' \in X'$ maps to $x \in X$, then x' and x have the same codimension. This is immediately clear from (5.2), because $\operatorname{codim}(x, X) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and similarly for $\operatorname{codim}(x', X')$.
- (3) **Reduced divisors.** Moreover, since we are assuming that $D \subset X$ is a reduced divisor, the base change D' is also reduced. The coefficient of a

component of a divisor is checked at the stalk at the generic point of each component, and we have just seen that codimension-1 points in X' map to codimension-1 points in X. Note that the function field also is involved in checking the coefficient of a divisor. The function field is also preserved—it is the stalk of the structure sheaf of any generic point of the base change—so reducedness is preserved.

(4) The snc locus. The property of being in the snc locus of a pair is checked using stalks of the structure sheaf and of quotients of the structure sheaf. Nonsingularity of the variety and of each component of the divisor is checked on stalks of the structure sheaf. The other requirement for a point to be in the snc locus of a pair is that any components of the divisor that pass through the point must meet transversally. That is, the local equations that cut out the components form part of a regular sequence in the stalk of the structure sheaf at each point of the variety (see [SGA77, 3.1.5]). Now the stalks of the structure sheaf are preserved by the base change, and a component of D' passes through x' in X' if and only if its image passes through x = π(x') in X. So x' is in snc(X', D') if and only if x = π(x') is in snc(X, D). Since (Y, B) is assumed to be snc in (5.1), we also have that (Y', B') is snc.

Corollary 5.5. Using (5.1), let $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of coherent sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X, and assume that the induced morphism $\pi^*\mathcal{F} \to \pi^*\mathcal{G}$ on X' is an isomorphism. Then $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of the fiber X_s .

Proof. First, note that the natural inclusion morphism $X_s \to X$ factors through the projection $\pi: X' \to X$. This is just because the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ maps to the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}/\mathfrak{m}_s = k(s)$, so the fiber $X_s = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec} k(s)$ maps to $X' = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. So the fiber X_s is contained in the image of π . By (5.2), for any $x' \in X'$ with $\pi(x') = x$, there are isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{F}_x \simeq (\pi^* \mathfrak{F})_{x'}, \qquad \mathfrak{G}_x \simeq (\pi^* \mathfrak{G})_{x'}.$$

So $\mathcal{F}_x \to \mathcal{G}_x$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in X_s$. Now the stalk of the kernel sheaf is the kernel of the stalk morphism, and there is a natural isomorphism between the stalk of the cokernel sheaf and the cokernel of the morphism on stalks ([Vak13, 2.5.A–B]). So ker $(\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G})_x = 0$ and coker $(\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G})_x = 0$ for all $x \in X_s$.

Now ker($\mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$) and coker($\mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$) are coherent because \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{G} are. For any coherent sheaf \mathfrak{H} , if $\mathfrak{H}_x = 0$, then $\mathfrak{H}|_U = 0$ for some neighborhood U of x. So the sheaves ker($\mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$) and coker($\mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$) are zero in a neighborhood of x. Since this is true for all $x \in X_s$, it follows that $\mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{G}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of the fiber X_s .

Lemma 5.6. With the notation of (5.1), the morphism $f': Y' \to X'$ is a resolution of singularities. Moreover, B' is the birational transform of D' and (Y', B') is snc; thus, $(Y', B') \to (X', D')$ is a resolution of pairs. If f is a log resolution, then so is f', and if f is thrifty, so is f'.

Proof. First of all, f' is proper and Y' is smooth: properness is always preserved by base change, and Y' is smooth by (5.4).

We'll now verify that f' is birational. Let η' be some codimension-0 point in X'. Irreducibility is not necessarily preserved by the base change, so there might be multiple such points; we may choose any one. This η' maps to some point η in X, which also has codimension 0 by (5.4). Now this η is the generic point of X, because X is irreducible. Because $Y \to X$ is surjective, this point η has a preimage ξ in Y, and this ξ is the generic point of Y. Then there is a point in $Y' \simeq Y \times_X X'$ mapping to ξ ; call this point ξ' . By (5.4), ξ' has codimension 0, and the map on stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X',\eta'} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y',\xi'}$ is exactly the same map as $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y,\xi}$, which is an isomorphism because $Y \to X$ is birational. Then f' is an isomorphism over every generic point of X'.

Now (Y', B') is snc, by (5.4). The same argument as above shows that $B' \to D'$ is birational over every component of D', so $(Y', B') \to (X', D')$ is a resolution of pairs.

We'll show next that the exceptional locus of f in Y base changes to the exceptional locus of f' in Y', and the image of the exceptional locus of f in X base changes to the image of the exceptional locus of f' in X'.

First, taking the base change of all the exceptional components in Y gives us exactly the exceptional components in Y'. If a point on X is in the image of Ex(f)and also of the projection $X' \to X$, then its preimages in X' are in the image of Ex(f'). Indeed, given an exceptional component of the resolution $Y \to X$, the map $\mathcal{O}_{X',f'(y')} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y',y'}$ at its generic point y' is not an isomorphism, and this persists in the base change to the resolution $Y' \to X'$ by (5.2): it is precisely the same map as $\mathcal{O}_{X,f(y)} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$, if $\pi(y') = y$. From this the converse follows too: an exceptional component in Y' maps to an exceptional component in Y, and a point in $f'(\text{Ex}(f')) \subset X'$ maps to a point in $f(\text{Ex}(f)) \subset X$. So the base change of the exceptional locus is the exceptional locus, and the base change of the image of the exceptional locus is the image of the exceptional locus.

Now suppose f is a log resolution. We'll show the same is true of f'. We already know that the base change of a divisor is a divisor, because codimension of points is preserved. Consider an irreducible component F of the exceptional locus in Y'. We'll show F has codimension 1 in Y'. Assume the opposite: that F has codimension $k \ge 2$ in Y'. If ν is the generic point of F, then the map on stalks

$$\mathcal{O}_{X',f'(\nu)} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y',\nu}$$

is not an isomorphism, because Z is exceptional, but there is a closed, codimension-1 subset V of Y' containing F so that the map on stalks at the generic point of V is an isomorphism. Then the map on stalks at the corresponding codimension-k point in Y is not an isomorphism, but at the codimension-1 point it is. In other words, the resolution $Y \to X$ also has an exceptional component that is not a divisor—but this is impossible, because we assume $Y \to X$ is a log resolution, so its exceptional components must be divisors.

So the exceptional locus is a divisor in Y'. Taking the snc locus commutes with this base change by (5.4), so f' is a log resolution.

Finally we show that if f is thrifty, then so is f'. To verify Conditions 1 and 2 of (2.3), we must examine the resolution f' at generic points of strata of $\operatorname{snc}(X', D')$ and of (Y', B').

We'll start with Condition 1. Let Z be a stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X', D')$, so that Z is an irreducible component of some intersection $D'_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D'_{i_r}$. Then, with $\pi \colon X' \to X$ the projection, $\pi(Z)$ is irreducible and contained in a component of the intersection $D_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{i_r}$ in X. Now, by applying (5.4), we see that $\pi(Z)$ has the same codimension in X as Z does in X', and $\pi(Z) \subset \operatorname{snc}(X, D)$. By [Kol13, 4.16.2], which says that the intersection of r components of a dlt divisor has pure codimension $r, \pi(Z)$ is actually equal to a stratum of $\operatorname{snc}(X, D)$ (and is not merely contained in one). Now the assumption that f is thrifty means that $\pi(Z)$ is not contained in $f(\operatorname{Ex}(f))$. By the argument above, which showed that taking the image of the exceptional locus commutes with the base change $X' \to X, Z$ is not in $f'(\operatorname{Ex}(f'))$, and so f' satisfies Condition 1.

Condition 2 is almost the same. If a stratum of (Y', B') lies in Ex(f'), then its image is a stratum of (Y, B) and lies in Ex(f), but this is impossible because f is thrifty. So f' also satisfies Condition 2, and hence is thrifty. \Box

6. Deformation invariance of rational pairs

In this section we prove the main theorem: given a pair (X, D) with D Cartier and a flat morphism $X \to S$, if the fibers (X_s, D_s) over a smooth point $s \in S$ are a rational (reduced) pair, then (X, D) is also rational near X_s .

Because we assume D is Cartier, we may use (2.2), the analogue of Kempf's criterion for rational pairs, to conclude that (X, D) is rational near X_s . In order to check the second part of (2.2), we will need to exhibit a thrifty resolution f of X so that that $f_*\omega_Y(B) \simeq \omega_X(D)$, at least near X_s . The next lemma shows that actually we only need to verify that $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is surjective: injectivity is automatic.

Lemma 6.1. Suppose $f: Y \to X$ is a birational and proper morphism between normal varieties, D is a divisor in X, $B = f_*^{-1}D$ is the birational transform of Din Y, and ω_Y is torsion free. (For example, let $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ be a resolution, so that ω_Y is invertible.) Then there is a logarithmic trace morphism $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$, and it is injective.

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be the largest open set over which f is an isomorphism. The complement of U has codimension at least 2, because X is normal. Let $i: U \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. On U, we have

$$f_*\omega_Y(B)|_U \simeq \omega_X(D)|_U,$$

because the restricted map $f: f^{-1}(U) \to U$ is an isomorphism. Now i^* and i_* are adjoint functors, so there is a natural morphism

$$f_*\omega_Y(B) \to i_*i^*f_*\omega_Y(B),$$

and the sheaf on the right can also be written as $i_*(f_*\omega_Y(B)|_U)$. Putting these maps together, we obtain a composition

12

$$f_*\omega_Y(B) \to i_*(f_*\omega_Y(B)|_U) \to i_*(\omega_X(D)|_U).$$

On the open set U, $\omega_X(D)$ and $i_*(\omega_X(D)|_U)$ are equal. The complement $X \setminus U$ has codimension at least 2 and the sheaves are reflexive, so they are equal on X by [Har80, 1.6]. From this we have the desired map $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$.

Now we use the assumption that ω_Y is torsion free. This guarantees that $\omega_Y(B)$ is also torsion free, as is its pushforward $f_*\omega_Y(B)$. Indeed, for any open set $V \subset X$, the sections of $f_*\omega_Y(B)$ on V are by definition the same as those of $\omega_Y(B)$ on $f^{-1}(V)$. Now $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is an isomorphism at the generic point of X, so the kernel of the morphism is a torsion sheaf. But $f_*\omega_Y(B)$ is torsion free, so the logarithmic trace map is injective. \Box

Theorem 6.2 (Deformation invariance for rational pairs). Let (X, D) be a pair, with D Cartier. Suppose $X \to S$ is a flat morphism, and $s \in S$ is a smooth point so that the fibers (X_s, D_s) form a reduced pair. If (X_s, D_s) is a rational pair, then (X, D) is a rational pair in a neighborhood of (X_s, D_s) .

That is, if (X_s, D_s) is rational at x, then (X, D) is also rational at x.

Proof. The first step is to show that we may assume the base S is the spectrum of a regular local ring R. To see this, first base change the morphism $X \to S$ by the flat morphism $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s} \to S$, and let $X' = X \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ as in the notation of (5.1). Similarly, let $D' = D \times_S \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. Then $X' \to \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is again flat.

We'll show that it suffices to prove the result for the new pair (X', D'): if (X', D')is rational near X_s , then (X, D) is also rational near X_s . Let $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$ be a thrifty log resolution, not necessarily rational. Then there is a Cartesian diagram:



By (5.3), if X' is normal and CM, then so is X at every point in the image of the projection $X' \to X$, and if D' is reduced, then so is D at every point in the image of $D' \to D$. Also, by (5.6), $f': (Y', B') \to (X', D')$ is a thrifty log resolution.

Suppose for now that we have shown (X', D') is a rational pair in a neighborhood U of X_s , so that every thrifty resolution of the pair is rational over U. Then f' is a rational resolution; that is, on U we have

$$\mathcal{R}(f')_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-B') \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-D').$$

The map Spec $\mathcal{O}_{S,s} \to S$ is flat, so by cohomology and base change for flat morphisms ([Har77, III.9.3]), there is an isomorphism on U:

$$\pi^* \mathfrak{R} f_* \mathfrak{O}_Y(-B) \simeq \mathfrak{O}_{X'}(-D').$$

To prove the original thrifty resolution f is then rational in a neighborhood of X_s , we need to verify that $\Re f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-B) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ near X_s . Now $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-D') = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, and the pushforwards $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-B)$ are coherent. By (5.5) it follows that $\Re f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-B) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ in a neighborhood of X_s .

So it suffices to prove the result in the case where the base is Spec $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. We may then assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where R is a regular local ring of dimension n.

We'll prove that X is normal in a neighborhood of the fiber X_s . Then, by (2.2) and (3.6), we will only need to prove that X is CM near X_s and for some thrifty resolution $f: (Y, B) \to (X, D)$, the logarithmic trace $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of X_s . By (6.1) the logarithmic trace is injective, so we will show that X is normal and CM and the map of sheaves is surjective in a neighborhood of X_s .

Following the idea of the proof of [Elk78, Théorème 2], we'll prove this using induction on n. Our base case is n = 0. If n = 0, then $S = \operatorname{Spec} K = \{s\}$ for some field K. In this case $(X, D) = (X_s, D_s)$, so the conclusion is trivially true.

Now let dim R = n. For the inductive hypothesis, assume the result is true for dim R < n: if the base scheme is the spectrum of a regular local ring of dimension less than n, and if (X_s, D_s) is rational, then (X, D) is rational in a neighborhood of X_s .

Let t be a regular parameter in R. Then let $X_t = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec}(R/tR)$, so that X_t is the pullback of the divisor defined by t in $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, and define D_t similarly. Then X_t is Cartier in X, and D_t in D. Note that (X_s, D_s) is a subpair of (X_t, D_t) : $X_s \subset X_t$ and $D_s \subset D_t$.

Now the regular local ring R/tR has dimension n-1, so (X_t, D_t) is rational near X_s by the inductive hypothesis. In particular, X_t is normal and CM. Then X is also normal and CM in a neighborhood of X_t : these are properties that pass from a Cartier divisor to an open set in the whole space. Any neighborhood of X_t is also a neighborhood of X_s , so X is CM and normal near X_s . Working in this neighborhood, normality allows us to use (2.2), and since we also have in this neighborhood that X is CM, f is thrifty, and $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is injective, it just remains to show that $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is surjective near X_s .

Let $(Y, B) \to (X, D)$ be a thrifty log resolution. There is then a proper morphism $Y_t \to X_t$ and a component Y_1 of Y_t mapping birationally to X_t . Write $f_1 = f|_{Y_1} \colon Y_1 \to X_t$, and let $B_1 = (f_1)_*^{-1}(D_t)$ be the birational transform of D_t . Now (Y_1, B_1) is not snc— Y_1 need not even be smooth—but the morphism $(Y_1, B_1) \to (X_t, D_t)$ is birational and satisfies Condition 1 from (2.3). By (3.2), there is a thrifty log resolution $f_2 \colon (Y_2, B_2) \to (X_t, D_t)$ factoring through (Y_1, B_1) . Write \tilde{f} for the intermediate birational morphism $Y_2 \to Y_1$.



By assumption, (X_t, D_t) is a rational pair, so f_2 is a rational resolution. Now D_t is Cartier in X_t , so by (2.2), the logarithmic trace map is an isomorphism:

$$(f_2)_*\omega_{Y_1}(B_1) \simeq \omega_{X_t}(D_t).$$

Because t is a regular parameter, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_Y \xrightarrow{\cdot t} \omega_Y \longrightarrow \omega_{Y_t} \longrightarrow 0$$

and similarly for ω_X, ω_{X_t} . Twist the sequences by B and D, respectively. Both operations are exact because B, D are Cartier. Push forward the sequence on Y by f. The result is a commutative diagram:

Both rows are exact: the top is exact by (3.6), the analogue of the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem for thrifty resolutions.

By Grothendieck duality, $\omega_{Y_1}(B_1)$ is a subsheaf of $\omega_{Y_t}(B_t)$. Moreover, by (6.1), the logarithmic trace map $\tilde{f}_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) \to \omega_{Y_1}(B_1)$ is injective. Composing these injective maps, we get an injection $\tilde{f}_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) \to \omega_{Y_t}(B_t)$. Pushing forward by fand using that $f_2 = f \circ \tilde{f}$, we have an injective map

$$f_*\tilde{f}_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) = (f_2)_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) \hookrightarrow f_*\omega_{Y_t}(B_t).$$

The isomorphism $(f_2)_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) \to \omega_{X_t}(D_t)$ then factors through $f_*\omega_{Y_t}(B_t)$, so the composition

$$f_*\omega_{Y_2}(B_2) \to f_*\omega_{Y_t}(B_t) \to \omega_{X_t}(D_t)$$

is injective and surjective. Thus the right vertical arrow in the diagram is surjective.

To prove our desired result—that f is a rational resolution—we just need to verify that the middle vertical arrow is also surjective in a neighborhood of X_s . Let $x \in X_s$ be any point, not necessarily closed. Then x maps to the single closed point—the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} —of Spec R. This is clear from the definition of R: it is $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ for a smooth point $s \in S$, and X_s is just the fiber over s.

Working in an affine neighborhood of our point x, we may assume X = Spec A, Y = Spec C, and $\omega_X(D), \omega_Y(B)$ correspond to finite modules M, N over A, C respectively. We have ring maps $R \to A \to C$. Considering N as an A-module via the map $A \to C$, and then thinking of $N \to M$ as a morphism of A-modules, we have the local version of the morphism $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$.

The logarithmic trace map $f_*\omega_Y(B) \to \omega_X(D)$ is injective by (6.1), so $N \to M$ is injective and N is a submodule of M. The morphism of sheaves $f_*\omega_{Y_t}(B_t) \to \omega_{X_t}(D_t)$ corresponds locally to the map of modules $N/tN \to M/tM$. Let \mathfrak{p} be the ideal in A corresponding to the point x. Since $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ and \mathfrak{p} pulls back to \mathfrak{m} , t is also in \mathfrak{p} when we view t as an element of A.

Next we localize the entire diagram of A-modules at \mathfrak{p} , to get a diagram of maps between $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules:



Localization is exact, so the rows of the diagram are short exact, the first two arrows are injective, and the right arrow is surjective. Also, localization commutes with taking quotients, so $(M/tM)_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_{\mathfrak{p}}/tM_{\mathfrak{p}}, (N/tN)_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq N_{\mathfrak{p}}/tN_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_{\mathfrak{p}}/N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By the snake lemma, there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow (M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\cdot t} (M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, notice that the map $(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ given by multiplication by t is surjective, so $(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = t(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

All the modules we had before localizing were finite over A, so all the localized modules in the new diagram are finite over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Now t is in the single maximal ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = t(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $(M/N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma.

This argument holds for every point $x \in X_s$, so the cokernel sheaf $\omega_X(D)/f_*\omega_Y(B)$ is zero at every $x \in X_s$. Then, because the cokernel sheaf is coherent, it is actually zero on an open set of X containing X_s .

Now we've shown that $\omega_X(D) \simeq f_*\omega_Y(B)$ in a neighborhood of the fiber X_s . By the reductions above, the induction is complete and (X, D) is rational in a neighborhood of X_s .

If the family is also proper and S is a curve, then we can say a bit more about the fibers near X_s .

Corollary 6.3. In the situation of (6.2), if the morphism $X \to S$ is also proper and S is a curve, then there is a neighborhood $W \subset S$ of s such that for any $w \in W$, the pair of fibers (X_w, D_w) is rational.

Proof. Because $f: X \to S$ is now assumed proper, there is an open set $W \subset S$ so that for every $w \in W$, the fiber X_w is contained in the open locus where (X, D) is

a rational pair. By replacing X with $f^{-1}W$ and S with W, we still have a proper flat family and we may assume (X, D) is a rational pair.

Now a general hyperplane section of (X, D) is also rational by the Bertini theorem for rational pairs (4.4), so the fibers (X_t, D_t) over points t near s are also rational.

References

- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. Commutative algebra, volume 150 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [Elk78] Renée Elkik. Singularités rationnelles et déformations. Invent. Math., 47(2):139–147, 1978.
 [Fuj07] Osamu Fujino. What is log terminal? In Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds, volume 35 of Oxford
- Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., pages 49–62. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [GR70] Hans Grauert and Oswald Riemenschneider. Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen. Invent. Math., 11:263–292, 1970.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. Algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. Math. Ann., 254(2):121–176, 1980.
- [Kol13] János Kollár. Singularities of the minimal model program, volume 200 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With the collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [SGA77] Cohomologie l-adique et fonctions L. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 589. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Edité par Luc Illusie.
- [Sta14] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2014.
- [Sza94] Endre Szabó. Divisorial log terminal singularities. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 1(3):631–639, 1994.
- [Vak13] Ravi Vakil. Foundations of Algebraic Geometry. http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/, June 11, 2013. Notes for Math 216 at Stanford University.