Chemical Stability of Laponite in Aqueous Media

Shweta Jatav and Yogesh M Joshi ^a

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur 208016, India

^a Corresponding author, E-Mail: joshi@iitk.ac.in, Phone: 91-512-2597993

Abstract

In this work stability of Laponite against dissolution in its aqueous dispersions is investigated as a function of initial pH of water before mixing Laponite, and concentration of Laponite. Dissolution of Laponite is quantified in terms of concentration of leached magnesium in the dispersions. Interestingly the solvent pH is observed to play no role in dissolution of Laponite in dispersion over the explored range of 3 to 10. Furthermore, contrary to the usual belief that Laponite dissolves when pH of aqueous dispersion decreases below 9, dissolution of the same is observed even though dispersion pH is above 10 for low concentrations of Laponite (1 and 1.7 mass%). On the other hand, for dispersions having high concentration of Laponite (2.8 mass%) and pH in the similar range (>10) no dissolution is observed. Measurement of ionic conductivity of dispersion shows that concentration of sodium ions in dispersion increases with concentration of Laponite, which appears to have a role in preventing the dissolution of Laponite.

Keywords: Laponite; chemical stability; ionic conductivity

1. Introduction

Laponite, a synthetic clay mineral, is known to have widespread applications as a rheology modifier and as a reinforcement in variety of industries such as mining, petroleum, home and personal care, pharmaceutical, agrochemical, paint polymer, etc. Primary particle of Laponite possesses anisotropic nanometric shape that has dissimilar charge distribution. Consequently its dispersion in water shows a rich variety of phase behaviours (Mourchid et al., 1995; Mongondry et al., 2005; Jabbari-Farouji et al., 2008; Ruzicka and Zaccarelli, 2011; Shahin et al., 2011; Shahin and Joshi, 2012; Sun et al., 2012; Tudisca et al., 2012). In addition, it attracts applications as an active agent in many water based formulations (Negrete et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2009; Ghadiri et al., 2013). The dependence of physical properties of Laponite dispersion on time, particularly the observed increase in modulus and relaxation time, is reminiscent of physical aging in molecular and spin glasses (Schosseler et al., 2006; Shahin and Joshi, 2011; Morariu and Bercea, 2012; Dhavale et al., 2013). Owing to this, aqueous dispersion of Laponite is also investigated as a model soft glassy material (Bonn et al., 2002; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2004). Over the past two decades Laponite in aqueous dispersion as well as in other multi-component systems has attracted enormous attention from the academia as well as from the industry. However, Laponite is reported to have a major shortcoming related to its chemical stability. According to Thompson and Butterworth (1992), Laponite particles undergo dissolution in the aqueous media having pH less than 9. Interestingly despite vast literature available on this clay mineral, very few papers study the chemical stability of Laponite dispersion, which is a subject of this work. It is observed that Laponite particles in dispersion are prone to dissolution even at high pH and chemical stability of the same strongly depends on the concentration of Laponite.

Laponite has a chemical formula given by: $Na_{0.7}Si_8Mg_{5.5}Li_{0.3}O_{20}(OH)_4$. Laponite particles are disk shaped with thickness 1 nm and diameter 25 ± 2 nm (Kroon et al.,

1998). In a single layer of Laponite two tetrahedral silica sheets sandwich one octahedral magnesia sheet. In the middle octahedral sheet few magnesium atoms are substituted by lithium atoms (isomorphic substitution) creating deficiency of positive charge within the sheet. Consequently, in a dry state, the faces of Laponite particles, that are electron rich, share the electrons with sodium atoms that reside in the interlayer space. Upon dispersing in the aqueous media the Na⁺ ions dissociate rendering a permanent negative charge to the faces of Laponite particles. The edge of Laponite particle predominantly contains MgOH groups from the octahedral magnesia sheets. The point of zero charge (PZC), for oxides and hydroxides of magnesium is above pH of 10 (Kosmulski, 2001). Martin et al. (2002), mentioned that according to the manufacturer (Laponite Technical Bulletin, 1990) the edge of Laponite particle, which contains predominantly MgOH, is positive below pH of 11 indicating pH of 11 to be point of zero charge for the edges of Laponite particles. Depending upon the pH of the medium, either H⁺ or OH⁻ ions dissociate from the edges rendering the same negative or positive charge respectively. Dissociation of H⁺ ions from the edge occurs only above the pH associated with PZC to acquire the negative charge. Consequently pH of the dispersion decreases. Below the pH associated with PZC, the edge of Laponite particle releases OH⁻ ions, which causes increase in pH of the dispersion. Therefore, depending upon whether H⁺ or OH⁻ ions dissociate from the edge, the pH of dispersion respectively decreases or increases (Tawari et al., 2001), and the resultant value of the pH of Laponite dispersion has strong influence on the stability of the same.

According to available literature, the first study on chemical stability of hectorite in aqueous media is due to Tiller (1968). He estimated leaching of magnesium ions from naturally occurring purified hectorite using atomic absorption spectroscopy. He observed that concentration of leached magnesium increases with decrease in pH but remains practically independent of concentration over the explored range of 0.1 to 0.4 mass%. The first investigation on chemical stability of Laponite, which is a synthetic hectorite, in aqueous dispersion was performed by Thompson and Butterworth (1992). They systematically studied the effect of the pH of the medium on stability of Laponite dispersion in the low concentration regime (below 2 mass%). They observed detectable dissolution of Laponite below the pH of 9. They proposed that such dissolution follows a chemical reaction given by:

$$Na_{0.7}Si_8Mg_{5.5}Li_{0.3}O_{20}(OH)_4 + 12H^+ + 8H_2O \rightarrow 0.7Na^+ + 8Si(OH)_4 + 5.3Mg^{2+} + 0.4Li^+$$
(1)

wherein excess of H^+ ions causes leaching of magnesium ions from the particles. There is a slight difference between formula for Laponite mentioned by Thompson and Butterworth (1992) and that adapted in this work. Thompson and Butterworth suggested formula to be $Na_{0.8}Si_8Mg_{5.4}Li_{0.4}O_{20}(OH)_4$, while this work subscribes to the formula suggested by the manufacturer. The above reaction therefore has been stoichiometrically corrected to suit Laponite used in this study.

Mourchid and Levitz (1998) studied long term gelation of aqueous dispersion of Laponite having low concentration of Laponite (1 and 1.5 mass%). They observed that samples preserved under inert conditions (nitrogen atmosphere in this case) do not undergo dissolution (do not show any traces of Mg^{+2} ions). On the other hand, the samples that are merely sealed indeed show presence of Mg^{+2} ions, which increases as a function of time. They report that all the samples wherein concentration of Mg^{+2} ions is observed to be greater than 0.5 mM form viscoelastic gels. They claim that dissolution of atmospheric CO_2 is sufficient to create acidic environment which according to reaction (1) leads to leaching of Mg^{+2} ions. Interestingly Mourchid and Levitz (1998) prepare the dispersions of Laponite in water having pH 10. However they do not report the pH of dispersion when presence of Mg^{+2} ions was observed. Apparently studies by Thompson and Butterworth (1992) and Mourchid and Levitz (1998) are the only two reports available in the literature on the chemical stability of Laponite dispersion. Both the reports suggest possibility of leaching of Mg^{+2} ions when the pH of dispersion is below 9.

2. Materials and Experimental Procedure

Laponite XLG[®] used in this study is obtained from Southern Clay Products Inc. Laponite is dried for 4 h at 120°C to remove the moisture and is subsequently mixed with Millipore water (Resistivity = 18.2 M Ω .cm) having pH in the range 3 to 10. For maintaining the acidic pH two reagents have been used, namely: citric acid buffers as well as HCL. Citric acid buffers are prepared by incorporating 0.1 M citric acid and 0.1 M tri-sodium citrate solutions in water. In order to maintain the basic pH, NaOH has been employed. In some samples, NaCl has also been incorporated. Once the predetermined initial pH of water and salt concentration are obtained the dried Laponite powder is added to the same. Mixing is carried out using an Ultra Turrex drive for a period of 45 min. The dispersions are then stored in sealed polypropylene bottles without any nitrogen purging. After the sample preparation bottles are filled in such fashion that they have around 200 ml of open space filled with air above the sample. However, the bottles are opened in order to take out the sample for pH and Mg^{+2} ion concentration measurements at predefined interval of days (duration between two consecutive samples vary between 1 to 5 days). The list of samples studied in this work with respect to concentrations of Laponite and initial pH is reported in Table 1. Throughout in this paper pH of water before mixing Laponite is represented as pH_i. On the other hand, pH of dispersion (after addition of Laponite) is termed as $\,\rm pH_{f}\,,$ which is observed to depend on time.

Laponite Conc. (mass %)	Acidic pH _i (No Salt)	Neutral and basic pH _i (No Salt)	Concentration of NaCl (mM) with $pH_i=7$
1		$pH_i = 7, 8, 9 and 10.$	11.1
1.7		Basic pH_i maintained	7.2
	$pH_i = 3, 4 \text{ and } 6$	by NaOH	
2.8	maintained by Citric acid		
	buffer as well as HCL		

Table 1. List of samples studied for chemical stability against Mg^{+2} ions leaching

In order to detect concentration of Mg⁺² ions in Laponite dispersion, complexometric titration is performed on dispersion samples at regular interval after preparation of the same. Complexometric titration is performed with EDTA using eriochrome black-T as an indicator. If dispersion contains Mg^{+2} ions, is turns red or purple upon addition of eriochrome black-T indicator. The method is so sensitive that it can detect concentration of Mg^{+2} ions as small as 10^{-3} mM (Vogel, 1978). The details of complexometric titration procedure can be found elsewhere (Vogel, 1978). It is important to note that Laponite dispersion forms a high viscosity/elasticity gel, and therefore, in principle, titration of the same in this form is difficult. However, Laponite gel is thixotropic, therefore its viscosity/elasticity can be reduced significantly by simply shearing it vigorously. Therefore, it has been made sure that the gel viscosity has reduced significantly by shearing it to the extent possible so that it is in liquid state at the time of titration. The changes in ionic conductivity and pH_f also measured as a function of time using Eutech Cyberscan CON 6000 pH and conductivity meter with 4 cell conductivity electrode (range 0-500 mS and temperature range 0-70°C) and an open pore double reference junction Ag/AgCl pH electrode (range pH 0-14 and temperature 0-80°C). All the experiments are performed at 25°C.

3. **Results and Discussion**

Upon incorporating Laponite in water the nature of resultant dispersion is strongly influenced by pH_i and reagents used to maintain the pH_i. Particularly, 2.8 mass% dispersions have been prepared in water with pH_i between 3 and 10. As mentioned before, in order to maintain acidic pH_i , two reagents namely citric acid buffer and HCl are used. It is observed that when pH_i is maintained (in the range 3 to 10) by using either HCl or NaOH, dispersions of 2.8 mass% Laponite are transparent and eventually acquire a soft solid like consistency. On the other hand, when citric acid buffer is used to maintain pH_i of 3 and 4, resultant 2.8 mass% dispersions show sedimentation wherein Laponite settles down within a day. However, for $\mathrm{pH_i}=\!\!6$ (obtained by citric acid buffer) 2.8 mass% dispersion does not undergo sedimentation, but becomes hazy and remains in the liquid state throughout the observation period of 30 days. In addition, 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersions are also prepared in water having pH_i between 7 to 10. It is observed that 1 mass% dispersion remains in liquid state without noticeable change in viscosity over a period of 30 days. Dispersions having 1.7 mass% concentration also remain in liquid state but become progressively more viscous over the observation period of 30 days.



Figure 1 Evolution of pH_f of (a) 1 mass% and (b) 1.7 mass% Laponite dispersion plotted as a function of number of days after preparing the same in water having pH_i =7 (squares), 8 (circles), 9 (up triangles) and 10 (diamonds). The lines serve as a guide to the eye.

Incorporation of Laponite in water, depending upon pH_i , leads to dissociation OH⁻ ions from its edge. Such dissociation in turn causes increase in pH_f of the dispersion. In figures 1 and 2 evolution of dispersion pH_f is plotted as a function of number of days since preparation of the same for different concentrations of Laponite. For 1 and 1.7 mass% Laponite dispersions with pH_i in the range 7 to 10, time dependent evolution of pH_f is shown in figure 1a and 1b respectively. It can be seen that soon after mixing Laponite, pH_f increases to values above 10. As time passes, pH_f decreases weakly as a function of time, so that over a period of 30 days pH_f still remains above 10. Furthermore, lesser decrease in pH_f is observed in dispersions prepared in water with greater pH_i .



Figure 2 Evolution of pH_f of 2.8 wt % Laponite dispersion plotted as a function of number of days after preparing the same in citric acid buffer solution (half filled

symbols) having $pH_i = 3$ (stars), 4 (pentagons), 6 (down triangles); in HCl solution (filled symbols) having $pH_i = 3$ (stars), 4 (pentagons), 6 (down triangles); and in NaOH solution (open symbols) having $pH_i = 7$ (squares), 8 (circles), 9 (up triangles) and 10 (diamonds)]. The lines serve as a guide to the eye.

Variation in $\rm pH_{f}$ for 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion for $\rm pH_{i}$ in the range 3 to 10 is shown in figure 2. For 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion with acidic pH_i (3 to 6) maintained by citric acid buffer, pH_f increases within first 5 days and then remains constant. The value of constant pH_f always remains below 9. On the other hand, if the acidic pH_i (3 to 6) is maintained by HCL, addition of 2.8 mass% Laponite causes increase in $\,\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{f}}\,,$ which also stabilizes within first 5 days and remains nearly constant at value of 10.4 irrespective of value of $\rm pH_i$. For 2.8 mass% dispersions with $\rm pH_i$ in the range 7 to 10 (basic pH_i maintained by NaOH) also the increase in their pH_f values are observed. However regardless of pH_i , pH_f is observed to reach a constant level of around 10.4 after 5 days. Interestingly for 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion having pH_i in the range 3 to 10 maintained by either HCL or NaOH, pH_f after 5 days is observed to be very similar and around 10.4. It should be noted that below a point of zero charge (PZC), which is around pH of 11 for the edge of Laponite particle (Martin et al., 2002), edges acquire positive charge by dissociating OH⁻ ions. Such dissociation of OH⁻ ions raises the pH of the aqueous media in which Laponite particles are dispersed in. Since dissociation of OH⁻ ions from the edges of Laponite particle depends upon the pH of the surrounding aqueous medium and not the initial pH, at the pH of 10.4 the dissociation from the edge stops and pH does not increase any further.

In figure 3, comparison of the results of figure 1 and 2 are shown, wherein pH_f on day 5 plotted as a function of pH_i . It can be seen that dispersions prepared in citric acid buffer show qualitatively different behaviour than the rest of the dispersions. This different behaviour can be understood as follows. In aqueous solution, incorporation of incremental amount of acid or base (or a compound that tends to release OH^- or H^+ ions) tends in to change its pH_f gradually. In a buffer, on the other hand, a mixture of weak acid (in this case citric acid) and its conjugate base (in the present case tri sodium citrate) is used, which causes only a small change in pH_f even when strong acid or base is incorporated in the same. Buffer solutions tend to resist change in pH_f owing to equilibrium between acid and its conjugate base (Bettelheim et al., 2009). This aspect is very evident from figure 2. Consequently pH_f of Laponite dispersion prepared in citric buffer always remains below 9.



Figure 3 pH_f of dispersion on day 5 is plotted as a function of pH_i at different concentrations: 1 mass% (up triangles: no salt, multiplication sign (×): 11.1 mM NaCl), 1.7 mass% (squares: no salt, plus sign (+): 7.2mM NaCl), 2.8 mass% with pH_i maintained by HCl or NaOH (circles) and citric acid buffers (diamonds).

The change in pH_i upon incorporation of Laponite in water has an important consequence as the resultant pH_f of Laponite dispersion, as reported in the literature, is proposed to affect the chemical stability of the Laponite particles in the dispersion. As discussed before, in the presence of H^+ ions, Laponite particles undergo reaction (1), which results in leaching of magnesium (Mg⁺²) and lithium (Li⁺) ions. In this work, estimation of the concentration of Mg⁺² ions is carried out by complexometric titration of Laponite dispersions at regular intervals. The corresponding measured concentration of Mg^{+2} ions for three concentrations of Laponite as a function of number of days since preparation of the same is plotted in figure 4. Laponite dispersions having 2.8 mass% concentration with acidic pH_i obtained by citric acid buffer (and $pH_f < 9$ for all samples) shows significant amount of leaching of Mg^{+2} ions, whose magnitude also increases with time as shown in figure 4(a). For concentrations 1 and 1.7 mass% and $pH_i = 7$ to 10 (and $pH_f > 10$ for all samples) also shows measurable presence of Mg^{+2} ions at very early age, which increases with time. Interestingly magnitude of Mg^{+2} ions present in 2.8 mass% dispersion with pH_i maintained by citric acid buffer is observed to be significantly greater in magnitude than lower concentration dispersions whose pH_i is maintained by NaOH. However, most surprisingly, for 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion with $pH_i = 3$ to 10 maintained by adding HCl or NaOH, no traces of Mg^{+2} ions are observed over the explored duration of 30 days.



Figure 4 Concentration of Mg^{2+} ions in Laponite dispersion plotted as a function of days elapsed since preparation of dispersion for (a) 2.8 mass% dispersion with pH_i maintained by citric acid buffers, and (b) 1.0 and 1.7 mass% dispersion. The symbols

represent, star: $pH_i=3$, pentagon: $pH_i=4$, down triangle: $pH_i=6$, square: $pH_i=7$, circle: $pH_i=8$, up triangle: $pH_i=9$ and diamond: $pH_i=10$. The lines serve as a guide to the eye.

As mentioned in the introduction, the very first report on chemical stability of Laponite by Thompson and Buttersworth (Thompson and Butterworth, 1992) claims that particles of Laponite undergo dissolution leading to leaching of Mg^{+2} ions when pH_f decreases below 9. However the present study clearly demonstrates that even though the pH_f is above 10, leaching of Mg^{+2} ions does take place in the dispersions having low Laponite concentration. Surprisingly the dispersions with 2.8 mass% concentration, although has pH_f in the similar range as that of the low concentration dispersions, do not show any measurable presence of Mg^{+2} ions. Even though the overall pH_f is basic, as suggested by Mourchid and Levitz (1998), dissolution of atmospheric CO_2 in water produces carbonic acid and hence H^+ ions locally according to (Greenwood and Earnshaw, 1997):

$$CO_2 + H_2O \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow H^+ + HCO_3^-$$
 (2)

Therefore continuous enhancement of Mg^{+2} ions reported in figure 4 can be attributed to slow dissolution of CO_2 from the atmosphere that follows reactions (1) and (2).

The reason of this significant leaching of Mg^{+2} ions in 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion (pH_i controlled by citric acid buffer) is already low pH_f of dispersion compared to all the other dispersion samples aided by dissolution of atmospheric CO₂. Dissolution of Laponite in low concentration dispersion with pH_i in the range 7 to 10 and $pH_f \approx 10.4$ can be ascribed to dissolution of atmospheric CO₂, which leads to generation of H⁺ ions locally. As soon as H⁺ ions are generated, there is competition between Laponite particles and OH⁻ ions (associated with the basic environment). If H⁺ ions react with Laponite it will lead to dissolution. On the other hand, if H⁺ ions react with OH^- ions, it will cause decrease in pH. (In this work, as mentioned in Table 1, 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersions in water having acidic pH_i are not studied. Since even 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersions prepared in basic pH_i demonstrate leaching of Mg^{+2} ions, it is obvious that 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersions in water having acidic pH_i will also show dissolution of Laponite particles.) The stability of 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion where pH_i is controlled by HCL or NaOH (with $pH_i = 3$ to 10 and $pH_f \approx 10.4$) observed in the third case is however puzzling.

It is known that CO_2 has significant affinity towards NaOH, and dissolved CO_2 reacts with NaOH to first form sodium bicarbonate (CO_2 +NaOH \rightarrow NaHCO₃) and finally sodium carbonate (NaHCO₃+NaOH \rightarrow Na₂CO₃+H₂O). Therefore greater concentration of NaOH in solution/dispersion is expected to inhibit the formation of carbonic acid and H⁺ ions, and in turn prevent leaching of the Mg⁺² ions. However, for 1, 1.7 and 2.8 mass% dispersions (with pH_i maintained either by HCl or NaOH), the pH_f is always around 10.4 over the explored period as shown in figures 1 to 3. Consequently concentration of solvated NaOH is also the same in all these cases. This suggests that effects originating from higher concentration of Laponite are able to prevent reaction of CO₂ and H₂O that produces H⁺ ions.

In order to investigate effect of Laponite concentration further, the ionic conductivity measurements of 1 and 1.7 mass% Laponite dispersions ($pH_i = 7$ to 10 maintained by NaOH) and 2.8 mass% Laponite dispersion ($pH_i = 3$ to 10 maintained by HCl or NaOH) are also carried out. In figure 5 ionic conductivity (σ) as a function of pH_i is plotted (filled symbols) for different concentrations of Laponite. The reported conductivity is measured on day 5 after preparing the dispersion. It can be seen that ionic conductivity of solvent as a function of pH_i shows a minimum at 7. The corresponding ionic conductivity of Laponite dispersion, however, seems to be independent of pH_i over the explored range but shows greater increase for higher concentration of Laponite. The reason behind enhancement in conductivity of water

having certain pH_i after addition of Laponite is primarily due to dissociation of Na⁺ counterions from the faces of the Laponite particles. Furthermore as shown in figure 3, incorporation of Laponite in water having different pH_i causes increase in the pH_f of the dispersion suggesting dissociation of OH⁻ counterions. Such dissociated OH⁻ counterions from the edges are also responsible for increase in ionic conductivity shown in figure 5.



Figure 5 Ionic Conductivity, σ (filled symbol) and sodium ion concentration, n_{Na} (open symbols) are plotted as a function of pH_i (maintained either by HCl or NaOH) after 5 days of preparation of dispersion for 1 (diamond: no salt, multiplication sign (×): 11.1 mM NaCl), 1.7 (up triangle: no salt, plus sign (+): 7.2 mM NaCl), 2.8 (circle) mass% Laponite dispersion and solvent conductivity (squares). The lines serve as a guide to the eye.

The ionic conductivity (σ) of a solution/dispersion is related to concentration of *i*th type of ion (n_i) present in the same by (Benenson et al., 2002):

$$\sigma = e \sum_{i} \mu_{i} n_{i}, \tag{3}$$

where μ_i is mobility of *i*th type of ion and *e* is electron charge. Dispersion of Laponite primarily contains Na⁺, OH⁻, H⁺ and Cl⁻ ions, wherever applicable, that contribute to the ionic conductivity. However at the dispersion pH_f of around 10.4, concentration of H⁺ ions is very small to influence the conductivity. It is important to note that, leaching of Mg²⁺ and Li⁺ ions in 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersion have a very little effect on the ionic conductivity because of their small concentration compared to the other ions. Therefore, their effect is neglected in these calculations. Concentration of OH⁻ ion can be directly obtained from the pH_f of dispersion as $n_{\rm OH} = 10^{\rm pH_f^{-14}}$. The Cl⁻ ions are present only for those dispersions that are prepared in water having acidic pH_i. Therefore concentration of Cl⁻ ions is given by: $n_{\rm Cl} = 10^{\rm -pH_i}$ (for pH_i <7). The knowledge of mobilities of the mentioned ions ($\mu_{\rm Na} = 5.19 \times 10^{-8} {\rm m}^2/{\rm sV}$, $\mu_{\rm Cl} = 7.908 \times 10^{-8} {\rm m}^2/{\rm sV}$ and $\mu_{\rm OH} = 2.05 \times 10^{-7} {\rm m}^2/{\rm sV}$) (Haynes, 2010) and conductivity (σ), therefore directly leads to estimation of concentration of Na⁺ ions in the dispersion.

In figure 5, $n_{\rm Na}$ has been plotted for the studied dispersions, wherein concentration of Na⁺ ions can be seen to be increasing with increase in the concentration of dispersion, but is independent of pH_i irrespective of whether it is acidic or basic if maintained either by HCl or NaOH. The concentration of Na⁺ ions in 2.8 mass% dispersion is almost double than that of at 1.7 mass% dispersion, though at both the concentrations dispersions have similar pH_f. This result indicates that greater $n_{\rm Na}$ might be having stabilizing effect on Laponite dispersion against CO₂ dissolution. In order to test this hypothesis further, two dispersions are prepared, which are 1 and 1.7 mass% Laponite in water having pH_i =7 with 11.1 and 7.2 mM NaCl, respectively. The concentration of NaCl is decided in such a fashion that $n_{\rm Na}$ in 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersion matches with that of 2.8 mass% dispersion. The corresponding pH_f and $n_{\rm Na}$ for these two dispersions having NaCl is plotted in figures 3 and 5 respectively, which can be seen to be closely matching with that of 2.8 mass% dispersion. Concentration of Mg⁺² ions is measured in both these dispersions (1 and 1.7 mass% having salt), and remarkably both the dispersions are observed to be free of any measurable amount of Mg^{+2} ions. This experiment clearly indicates possible key role played by Na⁺ ion concentration in stabilizing Laponite dispersion against dissolution. It should be noted that significant amount of Na⁺ ions are also present in citric acid buffer. However, if pH_f of Laponite dispersion is itself lesser than 9, the dissolution of Laponite cannot be avoided irrespective of the concentration of Na⁺ ions. Therefore, in citric acid buffer dispersions high concentration of Na⁺ ions cannot prevent dissolution of Laponite particles.

Interestingly the observations of the present work share some similarities with that of the experiments by Thompson and Butterworth (1992), who observe pH_f of Laponite dispersion and $n_{\rm Na}$ to increase simultaneously with increase in concentration of Laponite. They do not observe detectable concentration of Mg^{+2} ions in dispersion having $n_{\rm Na} > 0.7$ mM and $pH_{\rm f} > 9$. However, owing to simultaneous increase in $pH_{\rm f}$ and $n_{\rm Na}$, it is difficult to separate the independent influence of the either in the work of Thompson and Butterworth (1992). In the present study, on the other hand, leaching of $\rm Mg^{+2}$ ions is observed when concentration of $\rm Na^+$ ions is low, but $\rm \,pH_f$ is almost identical and above 10. Therefore, the proposal of greater concentration of Na⁺ ions having a stabilizing effect on Laponite against dissolution is in qualitative agreement with that of Thompson and Butterworth (1992). However, Thompson and Butterworth (1992) also reported that concentration of NaCl has practically no effect on the dissolution of Laponite dispersion; in the present work, instead, it is observed that incorporation of NaCl in Laponite dispersion show a further stabilising effect. The reasons of discrepancy might be due to the differences in the procedures to manufacture Laponite dispersions used by Thompson and Butterworth (1992) and used in the present study. This is evident from that fact that Laponite used by Thompson and Butterworth (1992) has a diameter of 20 nm and thickness in the range 2 to 4 nm, while the present Laponite has diameter of 25 nm and thickness of 1 nm. In addition, there is also difference in procedure employed to estimate pH and concentration of Mg⁺² ions. In the present work

the dispersion itself is tested for the pH measurement as well as for the titration to estimate the concentration of Mg^{+2} ions. Thompson and Butterworth (1992), on the other hand, perform dialysis and carry out titration and pH measurement on dialysate solution. Overall, the present work clearly indicates that in order to prevent dissolution of Laponite particles in aqueous media, pH_f of the dispersion is not the only criterion, but concentration of Na⁺ ions also plays an important role.

4. Conclusion

In this work stability of Laponite in an aqueous dispersion against dissolution is investigated which results in leaching of magnesium ions. For this, different aqueous dispersions are studied having different concentrations of Laponite (1, 1.7 and 2.8 mass%), different initial pH_i of water before mixing Laponite (from pH 3 to 10), and different reagents to maintain initial pH_i of water (citric acid buffer, HCl, and NaOH. When acidic pH_i of water is maintained by citric acid buffer in the range 3 to 6, incorporation 2.8 mass% Laponite in the same is observed to raise pH_f of the dispersions in between 7.5 and 9. For such dispersions, significant amount of magnesium leaching is observed. On the other hand, when HCl or NaOH is used to maintain pH_i of water before mixing in the range 3 to 10, incorporation of Laponite in the same raises its pH_f above 10 irrespective of the concentration of Laponite or the pH_i of water. Such enhancement is attributed to dissociation of OH⁻ ions from the edges of the Laponite particles.

However, very importantly, although the pH_f of dispersion is above 10, leaching of magnesium ions is observed in low concentration dispersion (1 and 1.7 mass%) but not in high concentration dispersion (2.8 mass%). This observation is contrary to the usual belief that leaching of magnesium ions is possible only when pH_f of dispersion is below 9. In order to investigate this intriguing behaviour, ionic conductivity of the dispersion is measured. Knowledge of the mobilities of various ions leads to concentration of Na^+ ions in the dispersion. It is observed that concentration of Na^+ ions in the dispersion increases with increase in concentration of Laponite but it is independent of pH_i of water. Interestingly incorporation of salt (NaCl) in 1 and 1.7 mass% dispersions, wherein resultant concentration of Na^+ ions is same as that of 2.8 mass% dispersion, are also observed to prevent dissolution. Therefore, there is a possibility that greater concentration of Na^+ ions might have a role in rendering greater stability to the Laponite dispersions against dissolution.

Acknowledgment: The financial support from the department of Atomic Energy- Science Research Council, Government of India is greatly acknowledged.

References:

- Bandyopadhyay, R., Liang, D., Yardimci, H., Sessoms, D.A., Borthwick, M.A., Mochrie, S.G.J., Harden, J.L., Leheny, R.L., 2004. Evolution of particle-scale dynamics in an aging clay suspension. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93.
- Benenson, W., Harris, J.W., Stöcker, H., Lutz, H., 2002. Handbook of Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Bettelheim, F.A., Brown, W.H., Campbell, M.K., Farrell, S.O., 2009. Introduction to General, Organic and Biochemistry, 9th Edition ed. Mary Finch, Belmont, USA.
- Bonn, D., Coussot, P., Huynh, H.T., Bertrand, F., Debregeas, G., 2002. Rheology of soft glassy materials. Europhys. Lett. 59, 786-792.
- Dhavale, T.P., Jatav, S., Joshi, Y.M., 2013. Thermally activated asymmetric structural recovery in a soft glassy nano-clay suspension. Soft Matter 9, 7751-7756.
- Ghadiri, M., Hau, H., Chrzanowski, W., Agus, H., Rohanizadeh, R., 2013. Laponite clay as a carrier for in situ delivery of tetracycline. RSC Advances 3, 20193-20201.

- Greenwood, N.N., Earnshaw, A., 1997. Chemistry of the Elements. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.
- Haynes, W.M., 2010. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 91 ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Jabbari-Farouji, S., Tanaka, H., Wegdam, G.H., Bonn, D., 2008. Multiple nonergodic disordered states in Laponite suspensions: A phase diagram. Phys. Rev. E 78, 061405-061410.
- Kosmulski, M., 2001. Chemical Properties of Material Surfaces Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Kroon, M., Vos, W.L., Wegdam, G.H., 1998. Structure and formation of a gel of colloidal disks. Phys. Rev. E 57, 1962-1970.
- Laponite Technical Bulletin, 1990. Laponite: Structure, chemistry and relationship to natural clays. Vol. L104-90-A, 1-15.
- Martin, C., Pignon, F., Piau, J.-M., Magnin, A., Lindner, P., Cabane, B., 2002. Dissociation of thixotropic clay gels. Phys. Rev. E 66, 021401.
- Mongondry, P., Tassin, J.F., Nicolai, T., 2005. Revised state diagram of Laponite dispersions. J.Colloid Interface Sci. 283, 397-405.
- Morariu, S., Bercea, M., 2012. Effect of Temperature and Aging Time on the Rheological Behavior of Aqueous Poly(ethylene glycol)/Laponite RD Dispersions. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 116, 48-54.
- Mourchid, A., Delville, A., Lambard, J., Lecolier, E., Levitz, P., 1995. Phase diagram of colloidal dispersions of anisotropic charged particles: Equilibrium properties, structure, and rheology of laponite suspensions. Langmuir 11, 1942-1950.
- Mourchid, A., Levitz, P., 1998. Long-term gelation of laponite aqueous dispersions. Phys. Rev. E 57, R4887-R4890.
- Negrete, Letoffe, J.-M., Putaux, J.-L., David, L., Bourgeat-Lami, E., 2004. Aqueous Dispersions of Silane-Functionalized Laponite Clay Platelets. A First Step toward

the Elaboration of Water-Based Polymer/Clay Nanocomposites. Langmuir 20, 1564-1571.

- Ruzicka, B., Zaccarelli, E., 2011. A fresh look at Laponite phase diagram. Soft Matter 7, 1268-1286.
- Schosseler, F., Kaloun, S., Skouri, M., Munch, J.P., 2006. Diagram of the aging dynamics in laponite suspensions at low ionic strength. Phys. Rev. E 73, 021401.
- Shahin, A., Joshi, Y.M., 2011. Prediction of long and short time rheological behavior in soft glassy materials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 038302.
- Shahin, A., Joshi, Y.M., 2012. Physicochemical effects in aging aqueous laponite suspensions. Langmuir 28, 15674-15686.
- Shahin, A., Joshi, Y.M., Ramakrishna, S.A., 2011. Interface-Induced Anisotropy and the Nematic Glass/Gel State in Jammed Aqueous Laponite Suspensions. Langmuir 27, 14045–14052.
- Sun, K., Kumar, R., Falvey, D.E., Raghavan, S.R., 2009. Photogelling Colloidal Dispersions Based on Light-Activated Assembly of Nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 7135-7141.
- Sun, W., Yang, Y., Wang, T., Huang, H., Liu, X., Tong, Z., 2012. Effect of adsorbed poly(ethylene glycol) on the gelation evolution of Laponite suspensions: Aging time-polymer concentration superposition. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 376, 76-82.
- Tawari, S.L., Koch, D.L., Cohen, C., 2001. Electrical double-layer effects on the Brownian diffusivity and aggregation rate of Laponite clay particles. J.Colloid Interface Sci. 240, 54-66.
- Thompson, D.W., Butterworth, J.T., 1992. The nature of laponite and its aqueous dispersions. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 151, 236-243.
- Tiller, K.G., 1968. Stability of hectorite in weakly acidic solutions I. A chemical study of the dissolution of hectorite with special reference to the release of silica. Clay Minerals 7, 245-259.

Tudisca, V., Ricci, M.A., Angelini, R., Ruzicka, B., 2012. Isotopic effect on the aging dynamics of a charged colloidal system. RSC Advances 2, 11111-11116.

Vogel, A.I., 1978. A textbook of quantitative inorganic analysis. Longman, New York.