

# Mixed-state fidelity susceptibility through iterated commutator series expansion

N. S. Tonchev

*Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria*

We present a perturbative approach to the problem of computation of mixed-state fidelity susceptibility (MFS) for thermal states. The mathematical techniques used provides an analytical expression for the MFS as a formal expansion in terms of the thermodynamic mean values of successively higher commutators of the Hamiltonian with the operator involved through the control parameter. That expression is naturally divided into two parts: the usual isothermal susceptibility and a constituent in the form of an infinite series of thermodynamic mean values which encodes the non-commutativity in the problem. If the symmetry properties of the Hamiltonian are given in terms of the generators of some (finite dimensional) algebra, the obtained expansion may be evaluated in a closed form. This issue is tested on several popular models, for which it is shown that the calculations are much simpler if they are based on the properties from the representation theory of the Heisenberg or  $SU(1, 1)$  Lie algebra.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of similarity between quantum states (ground or thermal) has a variety of links to various areas of application in quantum mechanics, statistical physics and quantum information theory [1–4]. In particular, it is related to the geometrical structure of the set of the mixed quantum states under consideration. Initiated by ideas from the linear response theory and the differential-geometric approach, the concept of mixed-state fidelity susceptibility (MFS) [5, 6] plays a prominent role in this field.

The MFS can be expressed as the leading term in the expansion of the Uhlmann – Jozsa fidelity [7, 8],

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \text{Tr} \sqrt{\rho_1^{1/2} \rho_2 \rho_1^{1/2}}, \quad (1)$$

in the case of two infinitesimally close quantum states, see, e.g., [9, 10]:

$$\chi_F(\rho(0)) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-2 \ln \mathcal{F}(\rho(0), \rho(h))}{h^2} = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho(0), \rho(h))}{\partial h^2} \right|_{h=0}. \quad (2)$$

In this definition

$$\rho(h) = [Z_N(h)]^{-1} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(h)], \quad (3)$$

is a one-parameter family of density matrices defined for  $N$ -particle Hamiltonians of the form

$$\mathcal{H}(h) = T - hS, \quad (4)$$

where the Hermitian operators  $T$  and  $S$  do not commute in the general case, and  $Z_N(h) = \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(h)]$  is the corresponding partition function. Here,  $h$  is a real control parameter which discriminates the thermal states, i.e. the parameter in the Hamiltonian with respect to which the MFS is computed. In (2), for the sake of simplicity, the reference point is taken at  $h = 0$ .

The concept of the MFS, allows one to convey a definite geometrical meaning to the problem, due to the fact that the quantity:

$$D_B(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sqrt{2 - 2\mathcal{F}(\rho_1, \rho_2)}, \quad (5)$$

known as Bures distance [11] between two density matrices  $\rho_1 = \rho(h_1)$  and  $\rho_2 = \rho(h_2)$ , naturally appears as a proper geometric structure among other similarity measures, e.g. the trace distance  $D_{Tr}$ , or the Hilbert-Schmidt distance  $D_{HS}$  [12]. If we consider the distance between two quantum states differing by infinitesimal changes in the values of several parameters, we come to the notion of a metric tensor, i.e. the set of the coefficients of the linear element  $ds_B^2$  when written as a quadratic form in the differentials of these parameters. For example, when a single parameter  $h$  is considered, from Eqs. (2) and (5) one obtains the following relation between the Bures distance and the MFS defined for two infinitesimally close states:

$$d_B^2(\rho(0), \rho(h)) = \chi_F(\rho(0))h^2 + O(h^4), \quad h \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

The relationship (6) explains why the terms Bures metric and MFS are used interchangeably in the literature (see, e.g., [13–16]).

Further, the MFS appears in various other contexts under different names [9, 12, 13, 17, 18]. In particular, it coincides (apart from a numerical factor), with the quantum Fisher

information, which plays an important role in quantum metrology [19–21]. The recent studies of the MFS show its importance in statistical mechanics, quantum phase transitions and condensed-matter physics, see [5, 6, 9, 10, 13–16, 19, 22–25] along with a number of references therein.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we present an expression for the spectral representation of the MFS which is convenient to recast the computational problems in terms of other relevant thermodynamic quantities. In Sec. III, by using this spectral representation a new series expansion for the MFS in terms of the so-called "Bogoljubov-Duhamel inner product of order  $n$ " is introduced and analyzed. In Sec. IV, the series expansion proposed above is checked against the explicit expressions for different models. Models of this type appear in the description of various physical systems of interest such as non-linear optics, Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) model in the Holstein-Primakoff single boson representation and others. A summary and discussion are given in Sec. V.

## II. SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION OF THE MFS

Hereafter, for simplicity of notation, we shall write  $\chi_F(\rho)$  instead of  $\chi_F(\rho(0))$  and  $\rho$  instead of  $\rho(0)$ . To avoid confusion, we warn the reader that MFS  $\chi_F(\rho)$  differs from the MFS  $\chi_F^G(\rho)$  derived in [14] by extending the ground-state Green's function representation to nonzero temperatures, although in the pure states both definitions coincide. This fact has been pointed out in [24], see also the discussion in [15] and [16, 25].

If  $T$  and  $S$  commute we have (see, e.g. [9, 10]) the remarkable relation between MFS  $\chi_F^{cc}(\rho)$  and usual isothermal susceptibility  $\chi_{(h=0)}^{cc}(\rho)$  (the superscript cc stands for commutative case)

$$\chi_F^{cc}(\rho) = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \chi_{(h=0)}^{cc}, \quad (7)$$

which establishes a relation between a theoretical information issue and a well known thermodynamic quantity.

The main computational obstacles in obtaining  $\chi_F(\rho)$  arise in the non commutative case of the Hamiltonian (4). To proceed with the calculations in the case when the operators  $T$  and  $S$  do not commute, we make use of the convenient spectral representation introduced in [15]. We assume that the Hermitian operator  $T$  has a complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors  $|n\rangle$ ,  $T|n\rangle = T_n|n\rangle$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , with a non-degenerate spectrum  $\{T_n\}$ .

In this basis the zero-field density matrix  $\rho$  is diagonal too:

$$\langle m|\rho|n\rangle = \rho_n \delta_{m,n}, \quad \rho_n := e^{-\beta T_n}/Z_N(0), \quad m, n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

Under the above conditions, the following spectral representation for MFS was obtained [15, 16]:

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 \left\{ \langle (\delta S^d)^2 \rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n,m \neq n} |\langle n|S|m\rangle|^2 \frac{\rho_n - \rho_m}{X_{mn}} \frac{\tanh X_{mn}}{X_{mn}} \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Here  $X_{mn} \equiv \beta(T_m - T_n)/2$ , and the symbol

$$\langle \dots \rangle_0 := [Z_N(0)]^{-1} \text{Tr}\{\exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(0)] \dots\}$$

denotes the Gibbs average value at  $h = 0$ ,  $\delta S^d = S^d - \langle S^d \rangle_0$ , where  $S^d$  is the diagonal part of the operator  $S$ , so that

$$\langle (\delta S^d)^2 \rangle_0 := \sum_m \rho_m \langle m|S|m\rangle^2 - \langle S \rangle_0^2. \quad (10)$$

Equivalent matrix representation of the MFS can be read off from the corresponding expressions obtained in [12–14] by using the identity

$$\tanh X_{mn} = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_m}{\rho_n + \rho_m} \quad (11)$$

in Eq. (9). Representation (9) is the starting point for the derivation of inequalities involving macroscopic quantities, like susceptibilities and thermal average values of some operator constructions [15, 16, 25]. Note that the first term in the right-hand side of eq. (9)

$$\chi_F^{cl}(\rho) := \frac{\beta^2}{4} \langle (\delta S^d)^2 \rangle_0, \quad (12)$$

describes the classical contribution (known also as Fisher-Rao term [13], cf. with (7)) to the MFS, while the second term

$$\chi_F^q(\rho) := \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n,m \neq n} |\langle n|S|m\rangle|^2 \frac{\rho_n - \rho_m}{X_{mn}} \frac{\tanh X_{mn}}{X_{mn}} \right] \quad (13)$$

represents the quantum contribution which vanishes when the operators  $T$  and  $S$  commute.

In what follows we shall advocate that in lieu of (12) and (13) it is in some sense most natural to divide the MFS in the following two parts: the usual (quantum)isothermal susceptibility and a part which represents an infinite series of thermodynamic mean values encoding the effect of the noncommutativity in the problem. In other words our aim is to obtain the quantum counterpart of the relation (7).

### III. SERIES REPRESENTATION OF THE MFS

In quantum physics, over the years, an approach to problems with noncommuting operators was used, which goes back to Feynman's "disentangling". Essentially, it consists in working with expansions in terms of successively higher commutators of the operators involved. Some time this procedure, called "expansion in iterated commutators", gives results in a very compact notation [26]. The method has been developed in different directions by many authors (for a review see [27]). In our case the iterated commutators are:

$$R_0 \equiv R_0(S) = S, \quad R_1 \equiv R_1(S) = [T, S], \quad \dots, \quad R_n \equiv R_n(S) = [T, R_{n-1}(S)]. \quad (14)$$

The aim of calculations presented in this section is to convert into equivalent form the MFS (9) as an expansion in iterated commutators. This can be achieved by using the functionals  $F_{2n}(S; S)$ , defined earlier in [28] by their spectral representation

$$F_{2n}(S; S) \equiv 2^{2n-1} [Z(0)]^{-1} \sum_{ml} |\langle m|S|l \rangle|^2 |e^{-\beta T_l} - e^{-\beta T_m}| |X_{ml}|^{2n-1}. \quad (15)$$

Note that  $F_0(S; S)$  coincides with the Bogoliubov-Duhamel inner product (see e.g. [28, 29]):

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(S; S) &:= [Z(0)]^{-1} \sum_{m,l} ' |\langle m|S|l \rangle|^2 \frac{e^{-\beta T_m} - e^{-\beta T_l}}{\beta [T_l - T_m]} \\ &+ [Z(0)]^{-1} \sum_l e^{-\beta T_l} |\langle l|S|l \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the prime in the double sum means that the term with  $l = m$  is excluded. Recall that due to the relations

$$\chi_{(h=0)} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z(h)}{\partial^2 h} \Big|_{h=0} = F_0(\delta S; \delta S), \quad (17)$$

$F_0(\delta S; \delta S)$  is exactly the isothermal susceptibility  $\chi_{(h=0)}$ , see, e.g., [28, 29]. Here the notation  $\delta S \equiv S - \langle S \rangle_0$  is used.

In a basis independent form one has

$$F_{2n}(S; S) := \beta^{2n-1} \langle [R_n^+, R_{n-1}] \rangle_0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (18)$$

where  $R_n^+$  denotes the Hermitian conjugate of  $R_n$  and by definition  $R_{-1} \equiv X_{ST}$  is a solution of the operator equation

$$S = [X_{ST}, T]. \quad (19)$$

It is easy to see that if  $T = T^+$  and  $S = S^+$  (as it is in our case), we have  $R_n^+ = (-1)^n R_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and so equation (18) can be recast, due to the cyclic property of the trace operation, in the equivalent form

$$F_{2n}(S; S) = 2(-1)^n \beta^{2n-1} \langle R_n R_{n-1} \rangle_0 = 2(-1)^{2n+1} \beta^{2n-1} \langle R_{2n-1} R_0 \rangle_0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (20)$$

In some cases Eq. (20) is more convenient than Eq. (18). In the remainder, in view of relations (18), the functional  $F_{2n}(S; S)$  will be called "Bogoljubov-Duhamel inner product of order  $n$ ".

By inserting the series expansion

$$\frac{\tanh x}{x} = 1 - \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{2}{15}x^4 - \frac{17}{315}x^6 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{2n}, \quad |x| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{2^{2n+2}(2^{2n+2} - 1)}{(2n+2)!} B_{2n+2} \quad (22)$$

and  $B_{2n}$  are the Bernoulli numbers, into expression (9) for the MFS, we obtain our basic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_F(\rho) &= \beta^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^{2n+2}} F_{2n}(\delta S; \delta S) \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ F_0(\delta S; \delta S) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^{2n}} F_{2n}(S; S) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The first term in the rhs of (23) is obtained using the relation

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\delta S; \delta S) &= F_0(S; S) - |\langle S \rangle_0|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(0)]^{-1} \sum_{m,l} |\langle m|S|l \rangle|^2 \frac{e^{-\beta T_m} - e^{-\beta T_l}}{X_{lm}} + \langle (\delta S^d)^2 \rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which follows from Eqs. (10) and (16). In the second term we have used that the terms containing diagonal matrix elements of the operator  $S$ , i.e., with  $m = l$  in (15), vanish in all  $F_{2n}$  with  $n \geq 1$ .

Let us note that if one takes into account only the first term in the rhs of (23) one can show with the aid of Eq. (24)) that the result coincides with that obtained in Ref. [23] by estimation based on the Trotter-Suzuki formula. However, it has been pointed out that this approximation might not be valid at low temperatures (see also formula (200) in [9] and the comment therein).

Clearly, the series representation (23) of  $\chi_F(\rho)$  is correctly derived provided the condition  $\beta|T_l - T_m| < \pi$  for absolute convergence of the series (21) with  $x = \beta|T_l - T_m|/2$  holds. This condition could be satisfied for models with a bounded spectrum of  $T$  and small enough  $\beta$ . However, the formal series (23) may happen to be absolutely convergent by itself, even for models with unbounded from above spectrum  $\{T_m, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  which violates the condition  $\beta|T_l - T_m| < \pi$ . We conjecture that in such cases (23) yields a proper definition of the MFS  $\chi_F(\rho)$ . This issue will be further examined in the next section by the examples of several popular models.

#### IV. TEST BY SPECIAL MODELS

Here we shall demonstrate that if the Hamiltonian can be presented as a set of Lie algebra elements the underlying symmetry of the Hamiltonian may be efficiently explored in order to obtain a closed expression for the MFS. A similar idea was already provided in [30] where the authors used the specific Hamiltonian representation (in the Cartan-Weyl basis) to evaluate the zero-temperature fidelity susceptibility for the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model, the two-dimensional XXZ model and the Bose-Einstein condensate model. We shall consider a family of Hamiltonians expressed in terms of the generators of a polynomial deformation of the Heisenberg and  $SU(1, 1)$  Lie algebra which are employed in various physical problems (for definitions and a partial list of references, see [31–34]). In this case, after a proper choice of the control parameter, the Bogoljubov-Duhamel inner product of order  $n$  can be obtained order by order, and, in practice, the infinite summation in (23) may become very simple to perform.

Following [32–34], we consider the class of polynomial algebras of degree  $k - 1$  defined by the commutation relations

$$[Q^0, Q^\pm] = \pm Q^\pm, \quad [Q^+, Q^-] = \Phi_k(Q^0) - \Phi_k(Q^0 - 1), \quad (25)$$

where the structure function

$$\Phi_k(Q^0) = -\Pi_{i=1}^k \left( Q^0 + \frac{i}{k} - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \quad (26)$$

is a  $k^{th}$ -order polynomial in  $Q^0$ . We shall consider the following Hamiltonian [34]:

$$\mathcal{H}(h) = k\omega \left( Q^0 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) + h\sqrt{k^k}(Q^+ + Q^-), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (27)$$

In this case we take  $T = \mathcal{H}(0) = k\omega (Q^0 - \frac{1}{k^2})$  and  $S = \sqrt{k^k}(Q^+ + Q^-)$ . From the operator equation (19) and after direct commutations one readily finds

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1} &= -\frac{\sqrt{k^k}}{k\omega} (Q^+ - Q^-), \quad R_0 = \sqrt{k^k}(Q^+ + Q^-), \quad R_1 = k\omega\sqrt{k^k}(Q^+ - Q^-), \dots \\ R_n &= [(k\omega)^n\sqrt{k^k}[Q^+ + (-1)^n Q^-], \quad R_{2n-1} = [(k\omega)^{2n-1}\sqrt{k^k}[Q^+ - Q^-]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Inserting  $R_0$  and  $R_{2n-1}$  in (20), we obtain

$$F_{2n}(S; S) = -2(k\beta\omega)^{2n-1}\mathcal{K}(k), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(k) = k^k \langle (Q^+ - Q^-)(Q^+ + Q^-) \rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (30)$$

and here and below  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes a thermal-equilibrium average with Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(0)$ .

Now, by inserting the result (29) into the series (23), we obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_F(\rho) &= \frac{\beta^2}{4} F_0(\delta S; \delta S) - 2\beta^2 \mathcal{K}(k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^{2n+2}} (k\beta\omega)^{2n-1} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ \chi_{(h=0)} - \frac{\mathcal{K}(k)}{(k\beta\omega/2)} \left[ \frac{\tanh(k\beta\omega/2)}{(k\beta\omega/2)} - 1 \right] \right\}, \quad 0 < \beta\omega < \frac{\pi}{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

For further applications it is convenient to present (31) in an alternative form. Applying (29) for  $n = 0$  and using definition (24), one finds after the cancelation in (31), that for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\chi_F(\rho) = -\frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}(k)}{(k\beta\omega/2)} \left[ \frac{\tanh(k\beta\omega/2)}{(k\beta\omega/2)} \right] + k^k |\langle (Q^+ + Q^-) \rangle|^2 \right\}, \quad 0 < \beta\omega < \frac{\pi}{k}. \quad (32)$$

Remarkably, the results (31) and (32) do not require knowledge of the concrete realization of the algebra closed by the operators  $Q^\pm, Q^0$ .

Note that the condition  $\beta\omega > 0$  is necessary for the convergence of the sums in the functionals  $F_{2n}(S; S)$  and the condition  $k\beta\omega < \pi$  is required for the convergence of the infinite sum over  $F_{2n}$  in the first line of (31). Therefore to achieve a complete solution we should also provide analytic continuation of  $\chi_F(\rho)$  to the whole positive semiaxis. In what follows we shall give explicit results for the above formulas in some special cases of well established and frequently used physical models.



### A. The $k^{th}$ -order harmonic generation model

It is shown in [32] that the algebra defined by Eqs.(25) has an infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation given by the following one-mode boson realization:

$$Q^+ \equiv Q^+(k) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{k})^k} (b^+)^k, \quad Q^- \equiv Q^-(k) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{k})^k} b^k, \quad Q^0 \equiv Q^0(k) = \frac{1}{k} \left( b^+ b + \frac{1}{k} \right), \quad (33)$$

which we shall use in our further calculations. Thus the Hamiltonian of the model takes the form [34]

$$\mathcal{H}(h) = \omega b^+ b + h[(b^+)^k + b^k], \quad \omega > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (34)$$

where  $b, b^+$  are bosonic operators obeying the canonical commutation relations. The  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$  cases of (34) give the Hamiltonians of the displaced and single-mode squeezed harmonic oscillators [35, 36], respectively. The Hamiltonian (34) for  $k = 2$  is also known as Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) model in the Holstein-Primakoff single boson representation (see e.g. [9] and refs. therein) and all the result obtained here can be related to this field.

Taking into account that the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(0)$  is diagonal and invariant under the gauge transformation  $b^\pm \rightarrow b^\pm e^{\pm\varphi}$  and using commutation relations (25) expression (30) transforms into more convenient form

$$\mathcal{K}(k) = k^k [\langle \Phi_k(Q_0) \rangle - \langle \Phi_k(Q_0 - 1) \rangle], \quad (35)$$

and  $|\langle (Q^+ + Q^-) \rangle|^2 = 0$ . Thus the isothermal susceptibility  $\chi_{(h=0)}$  of the model is

$$\chi_{(h=0)} = -(k\beta\omega/2)^{-1} \mathcal{K}(k). \quad (36)$$

Finally, we obtain from (32) the result

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\tanh(k\beta\omega/2)}{(k\beta\omega/2)} \right] \chi_{(h=0)} \right\}, \quad 0 < \beta\omega < \frac{\pi}{k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (37)$$

Thus, the relation between the MFS and the isothermal susceptibility is a renormalized version of that obtained for the commutative case (compare with formula (7)). The quantum features of the model are encoded in the function  $\frac{\tanh(k\beta\omega/2)}{(k\beta\omega/2)}$  in front of the isothermal susceptibility and in the isothermal susceptibility itself which in the case is the quantum counterpart of the classical one. Since  $\mathcal{H}(0)$  is diagonal it is possible to calculate the thermodynamic mean value  $\mathcal{K}(k)$  in Eq. (36). Let us consider the cases  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$ .

1. *Shifted harmonic oscillator ( $k = 1$ )*

This is the simplest but nevertheless a didactic example. In the case we have for the energy eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}(h)$

$$E(n) = \omega \left( n - \frac{h^2}{\omega^2} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (38)$$

For the partition function one has the sum of the geometric progression

$$Z(h) = e^{\beta \frac{h^2}{\omega}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta \omega n} = \frac{e^{\beta \frac{h^2}{\omega}}}{1 - e^{-\beta \omega}}. \quad (39)$$

From Eq. (17) it immediately follows that  $F_0(\delta S; \delta S) = 2/(\beta \omega)$ , and from (35) it follows that  $\mathcal{K}(1) = -1$ . Finally, the result for the fidelity susceptibility of model (34) at  $h = 0$  is

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{\tanh(\beta \omega / 2)}{\omega^2}, \quad 0 < \beta \omega < \pi. \quad (40)$$

Here the following comments are in order. Expression (40) may also be derived if one turn backs to the original spectral representation (9) of the fidelity susceptibility. First of all we note that  $\langle (\delta S^d)^2 \rangle_0 = 0$ , since  $S$  has no diagonal elements with respect to the eigenvectors of  $T$ . In other words for this model the classical part of the fidelity susceptibility (12) equals zero. Next, only two terms in the double sum of the quantum part (13) remain nonzero: those with indices  $(m, n) = (l, l-1), (l-1, l)$  for which  $|\langle l|S|l-1 \rangle|^2 = |\langle l-1|S|l \rangle|^2 = l$ , and  $X_{l,l-1} = -X_{l-1,l} = \beta \omega / 2$ , we are left with

$$\chi_F(\rho) = [Z(0)]^{-1} \frac{\tanh(\beta \omega / 2)}{\omega^2} (e^{\beta \omega} - 1) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l e^{-\beta \omega l} = \frac{\tanh(\beta \omega / 2)}{\omega^2}, \quad \beta \omega > 0. \quad (41)$$

This result confirms the fact that the expression for the fidelity susceptibility can be obtained by analytical continuation of the function (40) to the whole positive semiaxis.

2. *Single-mode squeezed harmonic oscillators ( $k = 2$ )*

In this case the operators  $Q^\pm, Q^0$  form the  $SU(1, 1)$  Lie algebra. As a matter of fact, the spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}(h)$  for  $k = 2$  can be determined explicitly [34–36]. One has for the energy eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}(h)$  the result:

$$E(m) = -\frac{1}{2}\omega + \left[ m + \frac{1}{2} \right] \omega \Omega, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (42)$$

where  $\Omega = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4h^2}{\omega^2}}$ . For the partition function one obtains

$$Z(h) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E(m)} = e^{\frac{\beta\omega}{2}(1-\Omega)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta m\omega\Omega} = \frac{e^{\frac{\beta\omega}{2}}}{2 \sinh \frac{\beta\omega\Omega}{2}}. \quad (43)$$

Here, to obtain the last equality in Eq. (43), one needs the stability condition  $\Omega > 0$ . Setting (43) in (17) one obtains

$$F_0(\delta S; \delta S) = \frac{2}{\beta\omega} \coth \frac{\beta\omega}{2}. \quad (44)$$

Using (33) and (35) one obtains

$$\mathcal{K}(2) = -2 \coth \frac{\beta\omega}{2}. \quad (45)$$

Substituting (45) into (36), we obtain from (37):

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \coth \frac{\beta\omega}{2} \tanh(\beta\omega), \quad 0 < \beta\omega < \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (46)$$

Indeed, by analytical continuation of the function (46) to the whole positive semiaxis one can remove the conditions imposed on  $\beta$  and  $\omega$ .

The fidelity susceptibility (46) coincides (up to a factor of four) with the corresponding element of the Bures (or Statistical Distance) metric for squeezed thermal states obtained in [18]. This is seen by using the relation  $\coth(\beta\omega/2) = [\cosh \beta\omega + 1]/\sinh \beta\omega$  in (46). The quite different approach used in [18] requires a Schur factorization in order to perform the square root in the definition of the fidelity which is not a trivial task even in this simple case.

## B. The shifted oscillator model interacting with one fermion mode

Let us consider a version of model (34), setting for simplicity  $k = 1$  and including interaction with one fermion mode. The Hamiltonian of the model is:

$$\mathcal{H}(h) = ma^+a + \omega b^+b + ga^+a(b^+ + b) + h(b^+ + b), \quad (47)$$

where  $\{a, a^+\} = 1$  and  $[b, b^+] = 1$ . It describes a fixed particle of energy  $m$  interacting with a charged oscillator in constant electric field. The interaction occurs only when the state is occupied, i.e.  $a^+a = 1$ . The many boson mode version of the model is used for describing a large variety of effects in solid state physics [37].

Defining the operators  $T$  and  $S$  in (4) as follows:  $T = ma^+a + \omega b^+b + ga^+a(b^+ + b)$  and  $S = b^+ + b$ , after simple algebra we obtain  $F_{2n}(\delta S; \delta S) = 2(\beta\omega)^{2n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  (the same result as in the case of the shifted harmonic oscillator model). Then taking into account the definition (23), it is easy to see that

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ \chi_{h=0} + \frac{1}{(\beta\omega/2)} \left[ \frac{\tanh(\beta\omega/2)}{(\beta\omega/2)} - 1 \right] \right\}, \quad \beta\omega < \pi. \quad (48)$$

This equation is a particular case in form (with  $\mathcal{K}(1) = -1$ ) to Eq.(31). Evidently, the further investigation of the structure of the MFS is hampered by the complicated first term in Eq. (48). Its calculation is described in the Appendix. The result is

$$\chi_{h=0} = \frac{1}{(\beta\omega/2)} + \left(\frac{g}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2[(\beta\omega/2)(m/\omega - g^2/\omega^2)]}. \quad (49)$$

Finally from (48) and (49), for the MFS of the model under consideration we get:

$$\chi_F(\rho) = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left\{ \left(\frac{g}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2[(\beta\omega/2)(m/\omega - g^2/\omega^2)]} + \frac{1}{(\beta\omega/2)^2} \tanh(\beta\omega/2) \right\}, \quad \beta\omega < \pi. \quad (50)$$

Here the following comments are in order. The first and the second terms in the rhs of Eq. (50) are exactly the classical and quantum part of the MFS as one can check after some tedious calculations based on the spectral representation (9) of the MFS expressed in terms of the eigenbasis of  $\mathcal{H}(0) = T$ . The second term in Eq. (50) coincides with the MFS of the shifted harmonic oscillator model, see Eq. (40), and confirms the result (50) in the wider interval of the whole positive semiaxis.

## V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In the literature, see, e.g., [13, 14], it is commonly accepted to cast the MFS in a form that distinguishes the classical and quantum contributions. Here, the announced approach suggests the use of the symmetry aspects in the computation of the MFS. The iterated commutator expansion (23) is naturally divided into two parts: the usual isothermal susceptibility and a constituent which represents the generic noncommutativity of the problem.

The MFS is presented here as a series in terms enumerated by the number  $n$  of iterated commutators between  $T$  and  $S$  in Hamiltonian (4). As a starting point in (23) we take the usual isothermal susceptibility which is related to  $n = 0$ . The appearance of the iterated

commutators (terms with  $n > 0$ ) is a reminiscence of a disentangling procedure which is a well known and useful tool in quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, optics, etc. [27].

If the Hamiltonian is a linear form of the generators of a representation of some (finite dimensional) Lie algebra, the obtained series expansion can be used in a rather simple way to obtain closed-form expressions. Indeed, it is a consequence of the dynamical symmetry algebra of Hamiltonian (34), spanned by the operators  $\{Q^0, Q_-, Q^+\}$  with the commutation relations (25), that enables one to obtain in a closed form the functions  $F_{2n}(S; S)$ , see Eq. (29). If the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(h)$  can be diagonalized for  $h = 0$ , the values of  $\mathcal{K}(k)$  and  $\langle S \rangle$  can be calculated relatively easy in virtue of this property.

In our approach one has to accomplish two different steps : the first one is to find a representation of (23) in terms of some known functions, and the second one is to perform an analytic extension in order to remove the restrictions imposed by the convergence conditions. Both steps are directly checked in the models considered above. The corresponding expression (23), obtained in the domain of validity on perturbative expansion, under a subsequent analytic extension coincides precisely with the nonperturbative expression as one can see from the comments after the results (40) and (46). Consequently, the MFS understood as an analytic continuation is defined for all values of the parameters of the Hamiltonian under consideration.

### Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank J.G. Brankov for helpful discussions and careful reading of the text.

### Appendix

Here we shall calculate the isothermal susceptibility  $F_0(\delta S; \delta S) \equiv \chi_{h=0}$  for the model Hamiltonian (47). First, we introduce the shifted boson operators  $\tilde{b}^\pm = b^\pm + h/\omega$ . Then, instead of Hamiltonian (47) we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(h) = (m - 2g\frac{h}{\omega})a^+a + \omega\tilde{b}^+\tilde{b} + ga^+a(\tilde{b}^+ + \tilde{b}) - \frac{h^2}{\omega}. \quad (51)$$

Further, following [37], we use the unitary transformation  $U(\lambda) = \exp[-\lambda a^+ a(\tilde{b}^+ - \tilde{b})]$ , where  $\lambda = -\frac{g}{\omega}$ , to introduce the Bose-operators

$$b^\pm(\lambda) := U(\lambda)\tilde{b}^\pm U^+(\lambda) = \tilde{b}^\pm + \lambda a^\pm a, \quad (52)$$

and the Fermi operators

$$a^\pm(\lambda) := U(\lambda)a^\pm U^+(\lambda) = a^\pm \exp[\mp\lambda(\tilde{b}^+ - \tilde{b})], \quad (53)$$

in term of which we have the unitary equivalent Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(h) = U(\lambda)\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h})U^+(\lambda) = \left(M - 2g\frac{h}{\omega}\right) a^+(\lambda)a(\lambda) + \omega b^+(\lambda)b(\lambda) + ga(\lambda)^+ a(\lambda)[b(\lambda)^+ + b(\lambda)] - \frac{h^2}{\omega}. \quad (54)$$

It is easy to see that after setting the rhs of Eqs. (52) and (53) into Eq. (54), Hamiltonian (54) is equivalent to the following diagonal one :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(h) = U(\lambda)\mathcal{H}(\tilde{h})U^+(\lambda) = \left(m - \frac{g^2}{\omega} - 2g\frac{h}{\omega}\right) a^+ a + \omega \tilde{b}^+ \tilde{b} - \frac{h^2}{\omega}. \quad (55)$$

In order to obtain (55) the following relations have been used:

$$a^+(\lambda)a(\lambda) = a^+ \exp[+\lambda(b^+ - b)]a \exp[-\lambda(b^+ - b)] = a^+ a, \quad (a^+ a)^2 = (a^+ a). \quad (56)$$

Further, we use the unitary equivalent Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(h) = M(h)a^+ a + \omega \tilde{b}^+ \tilde{b} - \frac{h^2}{\omega}, \quad M(h) := m - \frac{g^2}{\omega} - 2g\frac{h}{\omega}, \quad (57)$$

instead of (47). Now the fermion and boson parts of the considered Hamiltonian are completely separated. The interesting point (well known from [37, 38]) is that the operators  $a^\pm$  and  $b^\pm$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(h)$  are the same that enter into  $\mathcal{H}(h)$ . In other words the interaction between the bosonic oscillator and the fermion mode simply renormalizes the mass of the free fermion under the rule  $m \rightarrow M(h)$ .

Thus the partition function of the model is:

$$Z(h) = \exp\left(\beta\frac{h^2}{\omega}\right) \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta M(h)a^+ a] \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta\omega \tilde{b}^+ \tilde{b}]. \quad (58)$$

Finally

$$Z(h) = \exp\left(\beta\frac{h^2}{\omega}\right) \{1 + \exp[-\beta M(h)]\} [1 - \exp(-\beta\omega)]^{-1} \quad (59)$$

and the free energy is

$$f(h) = -\beta^{-1} \ln Z(h) = -\frac{h^2}{\omega} - \beta^{-1} \ln\{1 + \exp[-\beta M(h)]\} + \beta^{-1} \ln[1 - \exp(-\beta\omega)]. \quad (60)$$

By definition

$$F_0(\delta S; \delta S) := -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 f(h)}{\partial^2 h} \Big|_{h=0} = \frac{2}{\beta\omega} + \left(\frac{g}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2[\beta(m - g^2/\omega)/2]}. \quad (61)$$

- 
- [1] M.A. Nilesen and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2000).
  - [2] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of Quantum States: An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2006).
  - [3] D. Petz, *Quantum Information Theory and Quantum Statistics*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, (2008).
  - [4] N.Merhav, *Statistical Physics and Information Theory*, Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory, 6, 1-212 (2009).
  - [5] W.-L. You, Y.-W. Li and S.-L. Gu, Phys. Rev. E, **76**, 002101 (2007).
  - [6] P. Zanardi, P. Giorda and M. Cozzini, Phys. Rev. Lett., **99**, 100603 (2007).
  - [7] A. Uhlmann, Rep. Math. Phys., **9**, 273 (1976).
  - [8] R. Jozsa, J. Mod. Optics, **41**, 2315 (1994).
  - [9] S.-J. Gu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B., **24**, No 23, 4371 (2010)
  - [10] V.R. Viera, J. Phys.: Conf. Series, **213**, 012005 (2010).
  - [11] D.J.C. Bures, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **135**, 199 (1969).
  - [12] H.-J. Sommers and K. Życzkowski, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **36**, 10083 (2003).
  - [13] P. Zanardi, L.C. Venuti and P. Giorda, Phys. Rev. A, **76**, 062318 (2007).
  - [14] A.F. Albuquerque, F. Alet, C. Sire and S. Capponi, Phys. Rev. B, **81**, 064418 (2010).
  - [15] J.G.Brankov and N.S.Tonchev, Phys. Rev. E, **85**, 031115 (2012).
  - [16] J.G.Brankov and N.S.Tonchev, Bulg.J.Phys. **40**, 40 (2013).
  - [17] S.L.Braunstein and C.M.Caves, Phys.Rev.Lett.,**72**, 3439 (1994).
  - [18] J.Twamley, J.Phys. A,**29**,3723 (1996).
  - [19] M.G.A.Paris, Int. Journ. of Quant.Inform, 7, p.125 (2009).

- [20] G. Tóth and I. Apellaniz, *Quantum metrology from a quantum information science perspective*, arXiv:1405.4878v1 [quant-ph] (2014).
- [21] J.Liu,H.-N.Xiong, F.Song and X.Wang, arXiv.1401.3154 [quant-ph] (2014).
- [22] P. Zanardi, H.-T. Quan, X.-G. Wang and C.-P. Sun, Phys. Rev. A, **75**, 032109 (2007).
- [23] H.T. Quan and F.M. Cucchietti, Phys. Rev. E, **79**, 031101 (2009).
- [24] J. Sirker, Phys. Rev. Lett., **105**, 117203 (2010).
- [25] N.S.Tonchev and J.G. Brankov, J. of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials, **15**, 73, (2013).
- [26] H.C.Volkin, "Iterated commutators and functions of operators", NASA Tecnical Note (NASA TH D-4857) (1968).
- [27] V.S.Popov, Phys.Usp.,**50**,1217 (2007).
- [28] J.G.Brankov and N.S.Tonchev, Cond. Mat. Phys. **14**,13003:1-17, (2011).
- [29] F.J.Dyson, E.H. Lieb, and B.Simon, J. Stat. Phys., **18**, 335 (1978).
- [30] L.-J.Tian, C.-Q. Zhu , H.-B. Zhang , and L.-G. Qin, Chin. Phys.B **20**,040302 (2011).
- [31] A.B.Klimov and S.M.Chumakov "A Group-Theoretical Approach in Quantum Optics:Models of Atom-Field Interaction", John Willey & Sonce (2009).
- [32] Y.-H. Lee, W.-L. Yang and Y.-Z. Zhang, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.,**43**,185204 (2010).
- [33] Y.-H. Lee, J. R. Links and Y.-Z. Zhang, Nonlinearity,**24**: 1975-1986 (2011).
- [34] Y.-Z. Zhang, J. Phys.A: Math. Theor.,**46**, 455302 (2013).
- [35] C.Emary and R.F.Bishop, J.of Math. Phys., **43**,3916 (2002).
- [36] R.F. Bishop and C. Emary , J. Math. Phys. **35**, 8231 (2002).
- [37] G.D.Mahan, Many-Particles Physics" (Third Edition), Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers New York, Boston, Dordrecht, Moscow, Chap.4, p.218 (2000).
- [38] S.L.Trubatch, Amm. J. of Phys., **38**, 331 (1970).