

DEGENERATIONS OF CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS AND BCOV INVARIANTS

KEN-ICHI YOSHIKAWA

ABSTRACT. In [1], [2], by expressing the physical quantity F_1 in two distinct ways, Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa discovered a remarkable equivalence between Ray-Singer analytic torsion and elliptic instanton numbers for Calabi-Yau threefolds. After their discovery, in [7], a holomorphic torsion invariant for Calabi-Yau threefolds corresponding to F_1 , called BCOV invariant, was constructed. In this article, we study the asymptotic behavior of BCOV invariants for algebraic one-parameter degenerations of Calabi-Yau threefolds. We prove the rationality of the coefficient of logarithmic divergence and give its geometric expression by using a semi-stable reduction of the given family.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. BCOV invariants	4
2. Asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -metric on Hodge bundle	6
3. Singularity of BCOV invariants for semi-stable degenerations	11
4. A locality of the logarithmic singularity	19
5. Degenerations to Calabi-Yau varieties with ordinary double points	24
References	27

INTRODUCTION

In [1], [2], by expressing the physical quantity F_1 in two distinct ways, Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa discovered a remarkable equivalence between Ray-Singer analytic torsion and elliptic instanton numbers for Calabi-Yau threefolds. After their discovery, in [7], a holomorphic torsion invariant for Calabi-Yau threefolds corresponding to F_1 , called *BCOV invariant*, was constructed. Because of its invariance property, BCOV invariant gives rise to a function τ_{BCOV} on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds. In physics literatures, $-\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ is denoted by F_1 . The prediction of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa concerning the equivalence of holomorphic torsion and elliptic instanton numbers for Calabi-Yau threefolds can be stated as follows: τ_{BCOV} admits an explicit infinite product expression of Borchers type near the large complex structure limit point of the compactified moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds, and the exponents of the infinite product are given by explicit linear combinations of rational and elliptic instanton numbers of the mirror Calabi-Yau threefold corresponding to the large complex structure limit point.

As was done in [1], [2], a possible first step towards the conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa is to determine the section of certain holomorphic line bundle

on the moduli space corresponding to τ_{BCOV} . Thanks to the curvature theorem of Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [4], the complex Hessian $dd^c \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ is expressed as an explicit linear combination of the Weil-Petersson form and its Ricci-form on the moduli space [1], [2], [6], [7]. However, since the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds are non-compact in general, the complex Hessian $dd^c \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ does not determine uniquely its potential and hence the corresponding holomorphic section. To determine its potential up to a constant, $dd^c \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ must be determined as a current on some compactified moduli space. In this way, we are led to the following two problems: one is to understand the behaviors of Weil-Petersson and its Ricci forms as well as their potentials near the boundary locus of the compactified moduli space; the other is to understand the behavior of τ_{BCOV} near the boundary locus of the compactified moduli space. We refer to [10], [11], [7], [12] for the first problem. In this article, we focus on the second problem.

In this direction, in [7], the following results were obtained as an application of the theory of Quillen metrics [5], [3], [18]: $\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ always has logarithmic singularity for arbitrary algebraic one-parameter degenerations of Calabi-Yau threefolds and the logarithmic singularity of $\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ is determined for smoothings of Calabi-Yau varieties with at most one ordinary double point under an additional assumption of the dimension of moduli space. These results, together with the formula for $dd^c \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ and the known boundary behaviors of Weil-Petersson and its Ricci forms, are sufficient to determine τ_{BCOV} for quintic mirror threefolds [7]. However, the results in [7] concerning the singularity of τ_{BCOV} are not sufficient to determine an explicit formula for τ_{BCOV} for wider classes of Calabi-Yau threefolds, e.g. Calabi-Yau threefolds of Borcea-Voisin. For this reason, it is strongly desired to improve the above results in [7]. The purpose of the present article is to give such improvements. Let us explain our main results.

Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a surjective morphism from an irreducible projective fourfold $\mathcal{X}$ to a compact Riemann surface C . Assume that there exists a finite subset $\Delta_f \subset C$ such that $f|_{C \setminus \Delta_f}: \mathcal{X}|_{C \setminus \Delta_f} \rightarrow C \setminus \Delta_f$ is a smooth morphism and such that $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$.

Theorem 0.1. *For every $0 \in \Delta_f$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) = \alpha \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

where t is a local parameter of C centered at $0 \in \Delta_f$.

We remark that in the corresponding theorem in [7], the rationality of α was missing. After Theorem 0.1, a natural question is how the coefficient α is determined by the family $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$. For this, following [7], we consider its semi-stable reduction [13]. Let $g: (\mathcal{Y}, Y_0) \rightarrow (B, 0)$ be a semi-stable reduction of $f: (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$. By definition, $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth projective fourfold, $(B, 0)$ is a pointed compact Riemann surface and there is a surjective morphism of pointed compact Riemann surfaces $\phi: (B, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ such that $Y_0 = g^{-1}(0)$ is a *reduced* normal crossing divisor of $\mathcal{Y}$ and such that $\mathcal{Y} \setminus Y_0 \cong (\mathcal{X} \setminus X_0) \times_{C \setminus \{0\}} (B \setminus \{0\})$.

By choosing a small neighborhood V of 0 in B , $g^{-1}(V)$ carries a canonical form whose zero divisor is contained in Y_0 . The zero divisor of any canonical form on $g^{-1}(V)$ with this property is independent of the choice of such canonical form and is denoted by $\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}$. We call $\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}$ the normalized canonical divisor.

Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^1$ be the sheaf of relative Kähler differentials on $\mathcal{Y}$ and let $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^1(\log)$ be its logarithmic version. Set $\mathcal{Q} = \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^1(\log)/\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^1$. Every direct image $R^q g_* \mathcal{Q}|_V$ is

a finitely generated torsion sheaf on V supported at $0 \in B$. We set $\chi(Rg_*\mathcal{Q}|_V) = \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(R^q g_* \mathcal{Q})_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let Σ_g be the critical locus of g . Let $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$ be the projective bundle over $\mathcal{Y}$ whose fiber $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})_y^\vee$ is the projective space of hyperplanes of $T_y \mathcal{Y}$. Then the Gauss map $\mu: \mathcal{Y} \setminus \Sigma_g \ni y \rightarrow [T_y Y_{g(y)}] \in \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$ extends to a meromorphic map from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$. Namely, there exists a blowing-up $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ inducing an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_g) \cong \mathcal{Y} \setminus \Sigma_g$ such that the composite $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \sigma$ extends to a holomorphic map from $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$. Set $\tilde{g} = g \circ \sigma$. We have a new family of Calabi-Yau threefolds $\tilde{g}: \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow B$, whose critical locus $\Sigma_{\tilde{g}}|_V$ defines a divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Let U be the universal hyperplane bundle over $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$ and let H be the universal quotient line bundle over $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{Y})^\vee$. Following [7], set

$$a_p(g, \Sigma_g) = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^{p-j} \int_{\text{Exc}(\sigma)} \tilde{\mu}^* \left\{ \text{Td}(U) \frac{\text{Td}(c_1(H)) - e^{-(p-j)c_1(H)}}{c_1(H)} \right\} \sigma^* \text{ch}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^j),$$

where $\text{Exc}(\sigma)$ is the exceptional divisor of $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, $\text{Td}(\cdot)$ is the Todd genus, and $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^j$ is the holomorphic vector bundle of holomorphic j -forms on $\mathcal{Y}$. Define

$$\rho(g, \Sigma_g) = -3a_0(g, \Sigma_g) + 2a_1(g, \Sigma_g) - \chi(Rg_*\mathcal{Q}|_V) + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\Sigma_{\tilde{g}}|_V} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U) \in \mathbf{Q},$$

$$\kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) = \int_{\sigma^* \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U) \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Theorem 0.2. *The rational number α in Theorem 0.1 is given by*

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\deg\{\phi: (B, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)\}} \left\{ \rho(g, \Sigma_g) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) \right\}.$$

Since every algebraic one-parameter degeneration of Calabi-Yau threefolds admits a semi-stable reduction [13], in principle, one can compute the singularity of τ_{BCOV} for those degenerations by Theorems 0.1 and 0.2, once one knows their semi-stable reductions. In this sense, the problem of understanding the singularity of τ_{BCOV} is reduced to the algebro-geometric problem of classifying possible semi-stable degenerations of Calabi-Yau threefolds.

As an application of Theorems 0.1 and 0.2, we shall prove certain locality of the singularity of τ_{BCOV} . Namely, under some additional assumptions about the family $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ (cf. Section 4 for the required conditions), the coefficient α in Theorem 0.1 depends only on the function germ of f around the critical locus Σ_f . (See Theorem 4.1 for the precise statement.) In some cases, this locality is quite powerful, because we have only to compute one particular example to determine the singularity of τ_{BCOV} . This locality result plays a crucial role to determine the BCOV invariant for Borcea-Voisin threefolds [21].

The strategy to the proof of Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 is quite parallel to that of [7, Th. 9.1]. In [7], it was proved that the L^2 -metric on the line bundle $\det R^q g_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^p(\log)$ has at most an algebraic singularity at the discriminant locus when $p + q = 3$. In this article, we shall improve this estimate. Namely, under the assumption of semi-stability, the L^2 -metric on $\det R^q g_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/B}^p(\log)$ has at most a logarithmic singularity, which enables us to determine various inexplicit constants in [7, §9] and hence α in Theorem 0.1.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall the construction of BCOV invariants. In Section 2, we study the asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -metric on $\det R^q g_* \Omega_{Y/B}^p(\log)$ and prove the key fact that it has at most a mild singularity when $p + q = 3$. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2. In Section 4, we prove the locality of the singularity of τ_{BCOV} . In Section 5, we determine the singularity of τ_{BCOV} for general one-parameter smoothings of Calabi-Yau varieties with at most ordinary double points.

Acknowledgements The author thanks Professor Y. Namikawa for helpful discussions about Kodaira-Spencer maps. The author is partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid (B) 23340017, (A) 22244003, (S) 22224001, (S) 25220701.

1. BCOV INVARIANTS

1.1. Analytic torsion and Quillen metrics. Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension d with Kähler form ω . Let $\square_{p,q} = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)^2$ be the Hodge-Kodaira Laplacian acting on C^∞ (p, q) -forms on M or equivalently $(0, q)$ -forms on M with values in Ω_M^p , where Ω_M^1 is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of M and $\Omega_M^p := \wedge^p \Omega_M^1$. Let $\sigma(\square_{p,q}) \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the set of eigenvalues of $\square_{p,q}$. The spectral zeta function of $\square_{p,q}$ is defined as

$$\zeta_{p,q}(s) := \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\square_{p,q}) \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \dim E(\lambda, \square_{p,q}),$$

where $E(\lambda, \square_{p,q})$ is the eigenspace of $\square_{p,q}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Then $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ converges on the half-plane $\{s \in \mathbf{C}; \Re s > \dim M\}$, extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$, and is holomorphic at $s = 0$. By Ray-Singer [15], the *analytic torsion* of (M, Ω_M^p) is the real number defined as

$$\tau(M, \Omega_M^p) := \exp\left\{-\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q q \zeta'_{p,q}(0)\right\}.$$

Obviously, $\tau(M, \Omega_M^p)$ depends not only on the complex structure of M but also on the metric g . When we emphasize the dependence of analytic torsion on the metric, we write $\tau(M, \Omega_M^p, g)$.

In [2], Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa introduced the following combination of analytic torsions.

Definition 1.1. The *BCOV torsion* of (M, g) is the real number defined as

$$T_{\text{BCOV}}(M, g) := \prod_{q \geq 0} \tau(M, \Omega_M^p)^{(-1)^p} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{p,q \geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} pq \zeta'_{p,q}(0)\right\}.$$

If γ is the Kähler form of g , then we often write $T_{\text{BCOV}}(M, \gamma)$ for $T_{\text{BCOV}}(M, g)$. In general, $T_{\text{BCOV}}(M, g)$ does depend on the choice of Kähler metric g and hence is not a holomorphic invariant of M . When M is a Calabi-Yau threefold, it is possible to construct a holomorphic invariant of M from $T_{\text{BCOV}}(M, g)$ by multiplying a correction factor. Following [7], let us recall the construction of this invariant.

1.2. Calabi-Yau threefolds and BCOV invariants. A compact connected Kähler manifold X is *Calabi-Yau* if $h^{0,q}(X) = 0$ for $0 < q < \dim X$ and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, where K_X is the canonical line bundle of X . Our particular interest is the case where X is a threefold. Let X be a Calabi-Yau threefold. Let $g = \sum_{i,j} g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$ be a

Kähler metric on X and let $\gamma = \gamma_g := \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ be the corresponding Kähler form. Following the convention in Arakelov geometry, we define

$$\text{Vol}(X, \gamma) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_X \frac{\gamma^3}{3!}.$$

The covolume of $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{free}} := H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})/\text{Torsion}$ with respect to $[\gamma]$ is defined as

$$\text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}), [\gamma]) := \det(\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle_{L^2, [\gamma]})_{1 \leq i, j \leq b_2(X)}.$$

Here $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{b_2(X)}\}$ is a basis of $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{free}} = \text{Im}\{H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbf{R})\}$ over $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2, [\gamma]}$ is the inner product on $H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$ induced by integration of harmonic forms. Namely, if $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_i$ denotes the harmonic representative of $\mathbf{e}_i \in H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$ with respect to γ and if $*$ denotes the Hodge star operator, then

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle_{L^2, [\gamma]} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_X \mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_i \wedge *(\mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_j).$$

The covolume $\text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}), [\gamma])$ is the volume of real torus $H^2(X, \mathbf{R})/H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{free}}$ with respect to the L^2 -metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2, [\gamma]}$ on $H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$.

As the correction term to the BCOV torsion $T_{\text{BCOV}}(X, \gamma)$, we introduce a Bott-Chern term.

Definition 1.2. For a Calabi-Yau threefold X equipped with a Kähler form, define

$$A(X, \gamma) := \exp \left[-\frac{1}{12} \int_X \log \left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}}{\gamma^3/3!} \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \gamma)}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} \right) c_3(X, \gamma) \right],$$

where $c_3(X, \gamma)$ is the top Chern form of (X, γ) , $\eta \in H^0(X, K_X) \setminus \{0\}$ is a nowhere vanishing canonical form on X and $\|\eta\|_{L^2}$ is its L^2 -norm, i.e.,

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_X \sqrt{-1} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}.$$

Obviously, $A(X, \gamma)$ is independent of the choice of $\eta \in H^0(X, K_X) \setminus \{0\}$. We remark that our definition of $A(X, \gamma)$ differs from the one in [7, Def. 4.1] by the factor $\text{Vol}(X, \gamma)^{\chi(X)/12}$, where $\chi(X)$ denotes the topological Euler number of X . Notice that $A(X, \gamma) = 1$ if γ is Ricci-flat.

Definition 1.3. The *BCOV invariant* of X is the real number defined as

$$\tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X) := \text{Vol}(X, \gamma)^{-3 + \frac{\chi(X)}{12}} \text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}), [\gamma])^{-1} T_{\text{BCOV}}(X, \gamma) A(X, \gamma).$$

As an application of the curvature formula for Quillen metrics [4, Th. 0.1], we get the invariance property of $\tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X)$ in [7].

Theorem 1.4. *For a Calabi-Yau threefold X , $\tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler form on X .*

Proof. See [7, Th. 4.16]. □

After Theorem 1.4, we regard τ_{BCOV} as a function on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds. In this article, we study the behavior of τ_{BCOV} for algebraic one-parameter families of Calabi-Yau threefolds and improve some results in [7, §9].

2. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE L^2 -METRIC ON HODGE BUNDLE

Let $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disc and let $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ be the unit punctured disc. Let $\mathfrak{H} := \{z \in \mathbf{C}; \Im z > 0\}$ be the complex upper half-plane. We regard $\mathfrak{H}$ as the universal covering of Δ^* by the map $\varpi: \mathfrak{H} \ni z \rightarrow \exp(2\pi iz) \in \Delta^*$.

Let $f: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map from a smooth complex manifold of dimension $n+1$. We set $Z_t := f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \Delta$. If Z_t is smooth for all $t \in \Delta^*$ and if Z_0 is a reduced normal crossing divisor of $\mathcal{Z}$, then the family $f: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$ is called a *semi-stable degeneration* of relative dimension n .

Let $f: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semi-stable degeneration of relative dimension n . Set $f^o := f|_{\Delta^*}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^o := \mathcal{Z} \setminus Z_0$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $\mathcal{Z}$. We consider the cohomology of middle degree and set $\ell := \dim H^n(Z_t, \mathbf{C})$ for $t \neq 0$. Assume that

$H^n(Z_t, \mathbf{C})$ consists of primitive cohomology classes with respect to $c_1(\mathcal{L})|_{Z_t}$.

By the primitivity, each component $H^{p,q}(Z_t)$, $p+q = n$, carries the L^2 -inner product

$$(2.1) \quad (u, v)_{L^2, t} := (\sqrt{-1})^{p-q} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_{Z_t} u \wedge \bar{v}.$$

In particular, $H^n(Z_t, \mathbf{C})$ is endowed with the L^2 -Hermitian structure, which is independent of the choice of polarization.

2.1. L^2 -length of flat section. The holomorphic vector bundle $R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$ is endowed with the Gauss-Manin connection. Fix a reference point $t_0 \in \Delta$ and set $V := H^n(Z_{t_0}, \mathbf{C})$. Fix a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ of V , which is unitary with respect to the L^2 -inner product at $t = t_0$. Since $\mathfrak{H}$ is simply connected, $\varpi^*(R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C})$ is a trivial local system of rank ℓ over $\mathfrak{H}$. By fixing a point $z_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $t_0 = \varpi(z_0)$, each $v_i \in \varpi^*(R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C})|_{z_0}$ extends uniquely to a flat section $\mathbf{v}_i$ of $\varpi^*(R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C}) = V \times \mathfrak{H}$ with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection such that $\mathbf{v}_i(z_0) = v_i$. We regard $\mathbf{v}_i(z)$ as a V -valued holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{H}$. Namely, $\mathbf{v}_i(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V$.

On $\varpi^*(R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C}) = V \times \mathfrak{H}$, the generator of $\pi_1(\Delta^*) = \mathbf{Z}$ acts as the Picard-Lefschetz transformation: There exists $T \in \text{Aut}(V)$ such that for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$\mathbf{v}_i(z+1) = T\mathbf{v}_i(z) \quad (i = 1, \dots, \ell).$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_1(z), \dots, \mathbf{v}_\ell(z)$ are flat with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection, there exist constants t_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$, such that $T\mathbf{v}_i(z) = \sum_j t_{ij} \mathbf{v}_j(z)$. Since $f: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semi-stable degeneration, $T = (t_{ij})$ is unipotent.

Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, z}$ be the L^2 -inner product on $H^n(Z_{\varpi(z)}, \mathbf{C})$. Under the identification of $H^n(Z_{\varpi(z)}, \mathbf{C})$ with $V = H^n(Z_{\varpi(z_0)}, \mathbf{C})$ via the Gauss-Manin connection, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, z}$ is regarded as a family of Hermitian structures on V such that

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathbf{v}(z+1), \mathbf{v}'(z+1))_{L^2, z+1} = (T\mathbf{v}(z), T\mathbf{v}'(z))_{L^2, z}$$

for any flat sections $\mathbf{v}(z), \mathbf{v}'(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V$ with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection.

Let $a < b$ be real numbers with $0 < b - a < 1$. By [8, p.46 Prop. 25], there exist positive constants $C_1 = C_1(a, b)$, $C_2 = C_2(a, b)$, $k = k(a, b) > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $a < \Re z < b$, $\Im z \gg 0$ and for all $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell) \in \mathbf{C}^\ell$, one has

$$(2.3) \quad C_1 (\Im z)^{-k} \|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \mathbf{v}_i(z) \right\|_{L^2, z}^2 \leq C_2 (\Im z)^k \|\mathbf{c}\|^2,$$

where $\|\mathbf{c}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |c_i|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \mathbf{v}_i(z) \right\|_{L^2, z_0}^2$.

Remark 2.1. By the SL_2 -orbit theorem of Schmid [16, Th. 6.6 and its proof] (in particular, the last equality of [16, p. 253]), there is an integer $\nu(\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ depending on $\mathbf{c}$ such that the ratio $\|\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \mathbf{v}_i(z)\|_{L^2, z}^2 / (\Im z)^{\nu(\mathbf{c})}$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants when $a < \Re z < b$, $\Im z \gg 0$. Hence we indeed have the following better estimate

$$(2.4) \quad C_1 \|\mathbf{c}\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \mathbf{v}_i(z) \right\|_{L^2, z}^2 \leq C_2 (\Im z)^k \|\mathbf{c}\|^2,$$

where $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For our later purpose, the weaker estimate (2.3), whose proof is much easier than that of the SL_2 -orbit theorem, is sufficient.

Let $H(z)$ be the positive-definite $\ell \times \ell$ -Hermitian matrix defined as

$$H(z) := \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{v}_1(z), \mathbf{v}_1(z))_{L^2, z} & \cdots & (\mathbf{v}_1(z), \mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z))_{L^2, z} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z), \mathbf{v}_1(z))_{L^2, z} & \cdots & (\mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z), \mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z))_{L^2, z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda_{\min}(z)$ (resp. $\lambda_{\max}(z)$) be the smallest (resp. largest) eigenvalue of the positive-definite Hermitian matrix $H(z)$. By (2.3), we get

$$(2.5) \quad C_1 (\Im z)^{-k} \leq \lambda_{\min}(z) \leq \lambda_{\max}(z) \leq C_2 (\Im z)^k.$$

For a multi-index $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r\}$ with $|I| := r \leq \ell$, we define

$$\mathbf{v}_I(z) := \mathbf{v}_{i_1}(z) \wedge \mathbf{v}_{i_2}(z) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{v}_{i_r}(z) \in \Lambda^r V.$$

For $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, let $\Lambda^r H(z)$ be the positive-definite $\binom{\ell}{r} \times \binom{\ell}{r}$ Hermitian matrix defined as

$$\Lambda^r H(z) := ((\mathbf{v}_I(z), \mathbf{v}_J(z))_{L^2, z})_{|I|=|J|=r}.$$

Here $\Lambda^r V$ is equipped with the Hermitian structure induced by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, z}$, which is again denoted by the same symbol. By (2.5), we have the following inequality of positive-definite Hermitian endomorphisms on $\Lambda^r V$

$$(2.6) \quad C_1^r (\Im z)^{-kr} I_{\Lambda^r V} \leq \lambda_{\min}(z)^r I_{\Lambda^r V} \leq \Lambda^r H(z) \leq \lambda_{\max}(z)^r I_{\Lambda^r V} \leq C_2^r (\Im z)^{kr} I_{\Lambda^r V}.$$

By (2.6), for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $a < \Re z < b$ and $\xi = (\xi_I)_{|I|=r} \in \mathbf{C}^{\binom{\ell}{r}}$, we get

$$(2.7) \quad C_1^r (\Im z)^{-kr} \|\xi\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \mathbf{v}_I(z) \right\|_{L^2, z}^2 \leq C_2^r (\Im z)^{kr} \|\xi\|^2.$$

2.2. L^2 -length of the canonical section associated to flat section. From the flat sections $\mathbf{v}_1(z), \dots, \mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z)$, one can construct nowhere vanishing $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$ -invariant holomorphic sections $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{\ell}$ as follows. Let $N \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ be the logarithm of the Picard-Lefschetz transformation T . Since T is unipotent by our assumption, we have $N = \sum_{l \geq 1} (-1)^{l+1} (T - 1_V)^l / l$. Since the entries of $T \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ with respect to the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1(z), \dots, \mathbf{v}_{\ell}(z)\}$ are constant, so are the entries of $N \in \mathrm{End}(V)$. We define

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)) := e^{-zN} \mathbf{v}_i(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k N^k \mathbf{v}_i(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} V.$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_i(z+1) = e^N \mathbf{v}_i(z)$, $\mathbf{s}_i$ is $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$ -invariant and descends to a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $R^n f_*^{\circ} \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$. Since the inner product $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j)_{L^2, z}$

is $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$ -invariant by (2.2), it is denoted by $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j)_{L^2, t}$, where $t = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$. After Schmid [16, p.235], the *canonical extension* of $R^n f_*^o \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$ to Δ , denoted by $\mathcal{H}^n$, is defined as the holomorphic vector bundle of rank ℓ over Δ generated by the frame fields $\{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_\ell\}$ (see [17], [22] for algebro-geometric construction):

$$\mathcal{H}^n := \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathbf{s}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathbf{s}_\ell.$$

Since N is nilpotent and has constant entries with respect to $\{\mathbf{v}_1(z), \dots, \mathbf{v}_\ell(z)\}$, there exists by (2.8) polynomials $P_{ij}(z) \in \mathbf{C}[z]$ such that for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$\mathbf{s}_i(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P_{ij}(z) \mathbf{v}_j(z) \quad (i = 1, \dots, \ell).$$

Since $\{\mathbf{s}_1(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}), \dots, \mathbf{s}_\ell(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z})\}$ is a basis of $H^n(Z_{\varpi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z))}, \mathbf{C})$, we get

$$\det(P_{ij}(z)) \neq 0 \quad (\forall z \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

As before, for a multi-index $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r\}$, we set

$$\mathbf{s}_I(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)) := \mathbf{s}_{i_1}(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{s}_{i_r}(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \mathbf{s}_I(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}) = \sum_{|J|=r} \left(\sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \begin{vmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{vmatrix} \right) \mathbf{v}_J(z),$$

where $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\}$, $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_r\}$. We deduce from (2.7) that for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $a < \Re z < b$ and $\xi = (\xi_I)_{|I|=r} \in \mathbf{C}^{\binom{\ell}{r}}$,

$$(2.9) \quad C_1^r(\Im z)^{-kr} \leq \frac{\|\sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \mathbf{s}_I(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z})\|_{L^2, z}^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{|J|=r} \left| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \begin{vmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{vmatrix} \right|^2}} \leq C_2^r(\Im z)^{kr}.$$

We define an invertible $\binom{\ell}{r} \times \binom{\ell}{r}$ -matrix $\Lambda^r P(z)$ by

$$\Lambda^r P(z) := \left(\begin{vmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{vmatrix} \right)_{|I|=|J|=r} \in GL\left(\mathbf{C}^{\binom{\ell}{r}}\right).$$

Let $\mu_{\min}(z)$ (resp. $\mu_{\max}(z)$) be the smallest (resp. largest) eigenvalue of the positive-definite $\binom{\ell}{r} \times \binom{\ell}{r}$ -matrix $G(z) := {}^t(\Lambda^r P(z)) \overline{\Lambda^r P(z)}$. Then we have

$$(2.10) \quad \mu_{\min}(z)^{-1} \leq \text{Tr}\{G(z)^{-1}\}, \quad \mu_{\max}(z) \leq \text{Tr} G(z).$$

For multi-indices I, J with $|I| = |J| = r$, let $r_{IJ}(z) \in \mathbf{C}(z)$ be the (I, J) -entry of the $\binom{\ell}{r} \times \binom{\ell}{r}$ -matrix $(\Lambda^r P(z))^{-1}$. By the definition of $G(z)$, we get

$$\text{Tr}\{G(z)^{-1}\} = \sum_{|I|=|J|=r} |r_{IJ}(z)|^2,$$

$$\text{Tr} G(z) = \sum_{|I|=|J|=r} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \dots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2.$$

Since $r_{IJ}(z)$ is a rational function in the variable z with $\det(r_{IJ}(z)) \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{C}(z)$ and since $P_{ij}(z)$ is a polynomial in the variable z with $\det(P_{IJ}(z)) \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{C}[z]$, there exist $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \mathrm{Tr}\{G(z)^{-1}\} \leq C_3 |z|^{2\mu}, \quad \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \leq C_4 |z|^{2\nu}$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $|z| \gg 1$. Since

$$\sum_{|J|=r} \left| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \begin{pmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \cdots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \cdots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \|\Lambda^r P(z)\xi\|^2 = (G(z)\xi, \xi),$$

we deduce from (2.10), (2.11) that for $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $|z| \gg 1$ and $\xi = (\xi_I)_{|I|=r} \in \mathbf{C}^{\binom{\ell}{r}}$

$$(2.12) \quad C_3^{-1} \|\xi\|^2 |z|^{-2\mu} \leq \sum_{|J|=r} \left| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \begin{pmatrix} P_{i_1 j_1}(z) & \cdots & P_{i_1 j_r}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{i_r j_1}(z) & \cdots & P_{i_r j_r}(z) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \leq C_4 \|\xi\|^2 |z|^{2\nu}.$$

By (2.9), (2.12), we get for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $a < \Re z < b$, $|z| \gg 1$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{C}^{\binom{\ell}{r}}$

$$(2.13) \quad C_5 \|\xi\|^2 (\Im z)^{-(kr+2\mu)} \leq \left\| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \mathbf{s}_I(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}) \right\|_{L^2, z}^2 \leq C_6 \|\xi\|^2 (\Im z)^{(kr+2\nu)},$$

where C_5, C_6 are constants. Here we used the fact that $|z|/\Im z$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants on the domain $\{z \in \mathfrak{H}; a < \Re z < b, |z| \gg 1\}$. Since $\|\mathbf{s}_I(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z})\|_{L^2, z}$ is $\mathbf{Z}$ -invariant, it follows from (2.13) that for $t \in \Delta^*$ with $0 < |t| \ll 1$,

$$(2.14) \quad C_5 \|\xi\|^2 (-\log |t|)^{-(kr+2\mu)} \leq \left\| \sum_{|I|=r} \xi_I \mathbf{s}_I(t) \right\|_{L^2, t}^2 \leq C_6 \|\xi\|^2 (-\log |t|)^{(kr+2\nu)}.$$

2.3. L^2 -length of a nowhere vanishing section of $\det \mathcal{F}^p$. On $\mathcal{H}^n$, we have the Hodge filtration

$$0 \subset \mathcal{F}^n \subset \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^1 \subset \mathcal{F}^0 = \mathcal{H}^n,$$

where $\mathcal{F}^p$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\mathcal{H}^n$ satisfying $\mathcal{F}_t^p = \bigoplus_{k \geq p} H^{k, n-k}(Z_t)$ for $t \in \Delta^*$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^p / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} \cong R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log Z_0).$$

Here $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log Z_0) := \Lambda^p \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^1(\log Z_0)$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^1(\log Z_0) := \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^1(\log Z_0) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} f^*(dt/t)$.

On the open subset of $\mathcal{Z}$ on which $f(z) = z_1 \cdots z_k$, $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^1(\log Z_0)$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^1(\log Z_0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(dz_1/z_1) + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(dz_k/z_k) + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} dz_{k+1} + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} dz_n.$$

See [17], [22] for algebro-geometric account of the Hodge filtrations. In what follows, we often write $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log)$ for $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log Z_0)$.

Set $\ell_p := \mathrm{rk} \mathcal{F}^p$. There exist holomorphic sections $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{\ell} \in \Gamma(\Delta, \mathcal{H}^n)$ with

$$\mathcal{F}^p = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \varphi_{\ell_p} \quad (p = n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0).$$

Since $\{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{\ell}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}^n$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -module, there exist holomorphic functions $a_{\alpha i}(t) \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$, $1 \leq i, \alpha \leq \ell$ such that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{\alpha i}(t) \mathbf{s}_i(t) \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, \ell), \quad \det(a_{\alpha i}(0))_{1 \leq \alpha, i \leq \ell} \neq 0.$$

Proposition 2.2. *There exist constants $C \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $C' \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $t \in \Delta^*$ with $|t| \ll 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq \ell$,*

$$\left| \log \|\varphi_1(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_m(t)\|_{L^2, t} \right| \leq C' + C \log(-\log |t|).$$

Proof. Since

$$\varphi_1(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_m(t) = \sum_{|J|=m} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1j_1}(t) & \cdots & a_{1j_m}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{mj_1}(t) & \cdots & a_{mj_m}(t) \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{s}_J(t),$$

there exist by (2.14) constants $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in \Delta^*$ with $0 < |t| \ll 1$ (2.15)

$$C_5 (-\log |t|)^{-k_1} \leq \frac{\|\varphi_1(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_m(t)\|_{L^2, t}^2}{\sum_{|J|=m} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j_1}(t) & \cdots & a_{1j_m}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{mj_1}(t) & \cdots & a_{mj_m}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2} \leq C_6 (-\log |t|)^{k_2}.$$

By (2.15), it suffices to prove that

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{|J|=m} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j_1}(0) & \cdots & a_{1j_m}(0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{mj_1}(0) & \cdots & a_{mj_m}(0) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \neq 0.$$

Set

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(0) & \cdots & a_{1\ell}(0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{\ell 1}(0) & \cdots & a_{\ell\ell}(0) \end{pmatrix} \in GL(\mathbf{C}^\ell).$$

Then the endomorphism on $\Lambda^m \mathbf{C}^\ell$ induced by A is given by the $\binom{\ell}{m} \times \binom{\ell}{m}$ -matrix

$$\Lambda^m A := \left(\begin{vmatrix} a_{i_1 j_1}(0) & \cdots & a_{i_1 j_m}(0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_m j_1}(0) & \cdots & a_{i_m j_m}(0) \end{vmatrix} \right)_{|I|=|J|=m}.$$

Since $\det A \neq 0$, $\Lambda^m A \in \text{End}(\Lambda^m \mathbf{C}^\ell)$ is invertible. In particular, the row vector of $\Lambda^m A$ corresponding to the multi-index $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is non-zero, which implies (2.16). This proves the result. $\square$

Since $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log)|_{\Delta^*} = R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p|_{\Delta^*}$ is identified with the holomorphic vector bundle over Δ^* with fiber $H^{n-p}(Z_t, \Omega_{Z_t}^p)$ over $t \in \Delta^*$, $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log)|_{\Delta^*}$ is equipped with the L^2 -Hermitian metric by identifying $H^{n-p}(Z_t, \Omega_{Z_t}^p)$ with the corresponding vector space of harmonic forms of bidegree $(p, n-p)$.

Under the canonical identification $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log) = \mathcal{F}^p / \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$, the L^2 -metric on $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log)|_{\Delta^*}$ is identified with the quotient metric on $\mathcal{F}^p / \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$ induced by the L^2 -metric on $\mathcal{H}^p|_{\Delta^*}$. Hence we have an isometry of holomorphic line bundles equipped with singular Hermitian metrics

$$(2.17) \quad \left(\det R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log), \|\cdot\|_{L^2} \right) \cong (\det \mathcal{F}^p, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \otimes (\det \mathcal{F}^{p+1}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2})^\vee.$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $e_1(t), \dots, e_{n_p}(t) \in \Gamma(\Delta, R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log))$ be a basis of the free $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ -module $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\Delta}^p(\log)$, where $n_p := h^{p, n-p}(Z_t)$, $t \neq 0$. Then there exist constants $C \geq 0$ and $C' > 0$ such that for all $t \in \Delta^*$ with $|t| \ll 1$*

$$\left| \log \|e_1(t) \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n_p}(t)\|_{L^2, t} \right| \leq C' + C \log(-\log |t|).$$

Proof. There exist nowhere vanishing holomorphic sections $\mathbf{f}_p(t) \in \Gamma(\Delta, \det \mathcal{F}^p)$ and $\mathbf{f}_{p+1}(t) \in \Gamma(\Delta, \det \mathcal{F}^{p+1})$ such that

$$e_1(t) \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n_p}(t) = \mathbf{f}_p(t) \otimes \mathbf{f}_{p+1}(t)^{-1}$$

under the identification (2.17). Since

$$\|e_1(t) \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n_p}(t)\|_{L^2, t} = \|\mathbf{f}_p(t)\|_{L^2, t} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}_{p+1}(t)\|_{L^2, t}^{-1}$$

the result follows from Proposition 2.2 applied to the nowhere vanishing sections $\mathbf{f}_p(t)$ and $\mathbf{f}_{p+1}(t)$. $\square$

3. SINGULARITY OF BCOV INVARIANTS FOR SEMI-STABLE DEGENERATIONS

Set up. Throughout this section except Section 3.9, we assume the following:

- (1) There exist a smooth projective fourfold $\mathcal{X}$, a compact Riemann surface C , an embedding $\Delta \subset C$, and a surjective holomorphic map $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ such that $f: \mathcal{X}|_\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semi-stable degeneration. In particular, $f^{-1}(0)$ is a reduced normal crossing divisor of $\mathcal{X}$.
- (2) The regular fibers of $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ are Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Set $X_t := f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in C$. Then X_t is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in \Delta^*$. In this section, we determine the asymptotic behavior of the function on Δ^*

$$t \mapsto \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. For all $t \in \Delta^*$, $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$ is a Kähler class on X_t , so that every $H^{p,q}(X_t, \mathbf{C})$ is endowed with the L^2 -Hermitian structure with respect to the Kähler class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$. When $p+q=3$, $H^{p,q}(X_t, \mathbf{C})$ consists of primitive cohomology classes and the L^2 -Hermitian structure on $H^{p,q}(X_t, \mathbf{C})$ with respect to $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$ is given by (2.1).

Let $\Sigma_f := \{x \in \mathcal{X}; df_x = 0\}$ be the critical locus of f and let $\Delta_f := f(\Sigma_f) \subset C$ be the discriminant locus of $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$. Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f$, where $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p := \Lambda^p \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 := \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 / f^* \Omega_C^1$. Since possible extension of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ to a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ is not unique in general, we regard $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ as a locally free sheaf on $\mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f$ rather than a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$.

Recall that the determinant of the cohomologies of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is the holomorphic line bundle on $C \setminus \Delta_f$ defined as

$$\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p) := \bigotimes_{q \geq 0} (\det R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)^{(-1)^q}.$$

Since X_t , $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$, is equipped with the Kähler class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$, $H^q(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^p)$ is equipped with the L^2 Hermitian metric by identifying it with the corresponding vector space of harmonic forms. In this way, for all $p, q \geq 0$, $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over $C \setminus \Delta_f$ equipped with the L^2 Hermitian metric. (This Hermitian metric coincides with the one considered in Section 2.3 when $p+q =$

3.) Hence $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)$ is a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on $C \setminus \Delta_f$ equipped with the L^2 metric $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$.

We fix a Kähler metric $g^{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ with Kähler class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$. For $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$, we set $g_t := g^{\mathcal{X}}|_{X_t}$. Then $\{(X_t, g_t)\}_{t \in C \setminus \Delta_f}$ is a family of compact Kähler manifolds with constant Kähler class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t) = c_1(\mathcal{L})|_{X_t}$. As in Section 1.1, we have analytic torsion $\tau(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^p)$ for all $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$. By Quillen [14] and Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [4], the *Quillen metric* on $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)$ is defined as

$$\|\cdot\|_Q^2(t) := \tau(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^p) \cdot \|\cdot\|_{L^2, t}^2, \quad t \in C \setminus \Delta_f.$$

The curvature and anomaly formulae for Quillen metrics were obtained by Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [4]. As an application of the Bismut-Lebeau embedding formula [5], the singularity of Quillen metric as $t \rightarrow 0 \in \Delta_f$ was determined in [7], which we shall recall in Section 3.4.

3.1. Asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})|_{\Delta}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varsigma_0 \in \Gamma(\Delta, \lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})|_{\Delta})$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section. Then the following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\log \|\varsigma_0(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

Proof. Since X_t is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in \Delta^*$, we have $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_C \cdot 1$ and $R^3 f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \cdot e$, where $e(t) \in \Gamma(\Delta, R^3 f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a nowhere vanishing section. By the definition of the L^2 -metric on $H^0(X_t, \mathbf{C})$, we see that $\|1\|_{L^2}^2 = \deg(L_t)/(2\pi)^3$ is a constant function on Δ . By Corollary 2.3 in the case $n = 3$, $q = 0$, we have

$$\log \|e(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Since $\sigma_0 = 1 \otimes e^{\vee}$, we get the result. $\square$

3.2. Asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -metric on $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1)|_{\Delta}$. We define

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 := \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 / f^* \Omega_C^1.$$

Since $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1(\log)$ and $\Omega_C^1 \subset \Omega_C^1(\log)$, we have the natural inclusion of sheaves

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log)$$

and we set

$$\mathcal{Q} := \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log) / \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1.$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}|_{f^{-1}(\Delta)}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta}$ supported on $\text{Sing } X_0$. The short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence of direct image sheaves on C

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \longrightarrow R^{q-1} f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log) \longrightarrow R^{q-1} f_* \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 \longrightarrow R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log) \longrightarrow R^q f_* \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Following [7, proof of Prop. 9.5], set

$$M_q := (R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1)_{\text{tors}}|_{\Delta}, \quad N_q := R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log) / R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1|_{\Delta}.$$

Since $R^q f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log)$ is a locally free sheaf on C , we deduce from (3.1) the isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -modules

$$(3.2) \quad R^q f_* \mathcal{Q}|_{\Delta} \cong N_q \oplus M_{q+1}.$$

Set

$$\chi(Rf_*\mathcal{Q}|_\Delta) := \sum_q (-1)^q \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(R^q f_*\mathcal{Q})_0.$$

By (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \chi(Rf_*\mathcal{Q}|_\Delta) = \sum_q (-1)^q (\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(N_q)_0 - \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M_q)_0).$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}|_{f^{-1}(\Delta)}$ depends only on the function germ of f near Σ_f , so is $\chi(Rf_*\mathcal{Q}|_\Delta)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\varsigma_1 \in \Gamma(\Delta, \lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1))$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section. Then the following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\log \|\varsigma_1(t)\|_{\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1), L^2}^2 = \chi(Rf_*\mathcal{Q}|_\Delta) \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

Proof. Let $e_1(t), \dots, e_{h^{1,q}}(t) \in \Gamma(\Delta, R^q f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log))$ be a basis of $R^q f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1(\log)|_\Delta$ as a free $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ -module. By [7, Prop. 9.4], there exists $\delta_q \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\log \|e_1(t) \wedge \dots \wedge e_{h^{1,q}}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \delta_q \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

It follows from [7, Eq. (9.15)] and (3.3) that as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$(3.4) \quad \log \|\varsigma_1(t)\|_{\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1), L^2}^2 = \{\chi(Rf_*\mathcal{Q}|_\Delta) + \sum_q (-1)^q \delta_q\} \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

By (3.4), it suffices to prove $\delta_q = 0$ for all $q \geq 0$. The vanishing $\delta_0 = \delta_1 = \delta_3 = 0$ was already proved in [7, proof of Prop. 9.4]. The vanishing $\delta_2 = 0$ follows from Corollary 2.3. This completes the proof. $\square$

3.3. The Kähler extension of $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}$. Following [7], we recall an extension of $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)$ from Δ^* to Δ , which we call the Kähler extension and which is distinct from $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p(\log))$ in general. For $p \geq 0$, set

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p := \Lambda^p \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1.$$

Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, which is locally free on $\mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f$. Following [7, Sect. 5], we recall the Kähler extension of $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}$. On $\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta^*}$, we have the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*\Omega_C^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{rk } f^*\Omega_C^1 = 1$, this short exact sequence induces the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta^*}$:

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is the complex of holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{X}$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p: (f^*\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes p} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \otimes (f^*\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes p-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p-1} \otimes f^*\Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^p$$

and the map $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p$ is given by the canonical quotient map. Here, if θ is a local generator of Ω_C^1 , then the map $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^i \otimes (f^*\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes (p-i)} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{i+1} \otimes (f^*\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes (p-i-1)}$ is given by $\omega \otimes (f^*\theta)^{\otimes (p-i)} \mapsto (\omega \wedge f^*\theta) \otimes (f^*\theta)^{\otimes (p-i-1)}$ for $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p-i}$.

Definition 3.3. The *Kähler extension* of $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)$ is the holomorphic line bundle over C defined as

$$\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p) := \bigotimes_{i=0}^p \lambda \left(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p-i} \otimes (f^* \Omega_C^1)^{\otimes i} \right)^{(-1)^i}.$$

By the exactness of (3.5) on $\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta^*}$, we have the canonical isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles over Δ^* :

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*} \cong \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}.$$

When $p = 0, 1$, the canonical isomorphism (3.6) extends to an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles over C :

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^0), \quad \lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1) \cong \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^1).$$

Via the canonical isomorphism (3.6), the L^2 -metric on $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}$, which is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), L^2}$. Notice that $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), L^2}$ does *not* coincide with the Hermitian metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)$ defined as the product of the L^2 -metrics on $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{p-i} \otimes (f^* \Omega_C^1)^{\otimes i})$.

3.4. Asymptotic behavior of the Quillen metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta}$. Via the canonical isomorphism (3.6), the line bundle $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_{\Delta^*}$ is endowed with the Quillen metric $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}$. Following [7, Sect. 5], we recall the singularity of $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}$ at $t = 0$. For this, we introduce some tautological vector bundles over the projective bundle $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$.

Let $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ be the projective bundle over $\mathcal{X}$ with projection $\Pi: \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbf{P}(T_x\mathcal{X})^\vee$. Here, for a complex vector space V , $\mathbf{P}(V)^\vee$ denotes the projective space of hyperplanes of V passing through the origin. Then we have the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee \cong \mathbf{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1)$. We define the *Gauss map* $\mu: \mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ by

$$\mu(x) := [T_x X_{f(x)}],$$

where $[T_x X_{f(x)}] \in \mathbf{P}(T_x\mathcal{X})^\vee$ is the point corresponding to the hyperplane $T_x X_{f(x)} \subset T_x\mathcal{X}$. Then μ is a meromorphic map from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$. Let

$$\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

be a resolution of the indeterminacy of μ . Namely, there exists a birational holomorphic map $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ inducing an isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_f)$ and $\mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f$ such that the composite morphism

$$\tilde{\mu} := \mu \circ \sigma$$

extends to a holomorphic map from $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ to $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$. We set

$$\text{Exc}(\sigma) := \sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_f).$$

Without loss of generality, we may and will assume that $\text{Exc}(\sigma)$ is a normal crossing divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$.

Remark 3.4. Since $f: \mathcal{X}|_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semi-stable degeneration, for any $p \in X_0$, there is a system of local coordinates (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) of $\mathcal{X}$ centered at p such that

$$f(z) = z_0 \cdots z_k \quad (k \leq 3).$$

Near p , the Gauss map μ is expressed as the following explicit meromorphic map

$$\mu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} : \cdots : \frac{1}{z_k} : 0 : \cdots : 0 \right).$$

One can resolve the indeterminacy of μ in the canonical way as follows.

Let $X_0 = E_1 + \cdots + E_m$ be the irreducible decomposition. For $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$, we set $E_{i_1 \dots i_k} := E_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_k}$. Then $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is a (possibly disconnected) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}$ and $E_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 5$. The indeterminacy locus of μ is given by $\bigcup_{i < j} E_{ij}$. Let $\sigma^{(1)}: \mathcal{X}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the blowing-up of $\bigcup_{i < j < k < l} E_{ijkl}$ and set $\mu^{(1)} := \mu \circ \sigma^{(1)}$. Let $E_i^{(1)}$ be the proper transform of E_i and set $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(1)} := E_{i_1}^{(1)} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_k}^{(1)}$ for $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. Then $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(1)} = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 4$ and $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(1)}$ is the proper transform of $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ for $k \leq 3$. The indeterminacy locus of $\mu^{(1)}$ is given by $\bigcup_{i < j} E_{ij}^{(1)}$. Let $\sigma^{(2)}: \mathcal{X}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(1)}$ be the blowing-up of $\bigcup_{i < j < k} E_{ijk}^{(1)}$ and set $\mu^{(2)} := \mu^{(1)} \circ \sigma^{(2)}$. Let $E_i^{(2)}$ be the proper transform of $E_i^{(1)}$ and set $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(2)} := E_{i_1}^{(2)} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_k}^{(2)}$ for $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. Then $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(2)} = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 3$ and $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(2)}$ is the proper transform of $E_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(1)}$ for $k \leq 2$. The indeterminacy locus of $\mu^{(2)}$ is given by $\bigcup_{i < j} E_{ij}^{(2)}$. Finally, let $\sigma^{(3)}: \mathcal{X}^{(3)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(2)}$ be the blowing-up of $\bigcup_{i < j} E_{ij}^{(2)}$ and set $\mu^{(3)} := \mu^{(2)} \circ \sigma^{(3)}$. Then $\mu^{(3)}: \mathcal{X}^{(3)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ is regular. Setting $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X}^{(3)}$, $\sigma := \sigma^{(1)} \circ \sigma^{(2)} \circ \sigma^{(3)}$ and $\tilde{\mu} := \mu \circ \sigma$, we get a resolution of the indeterminacy of $\mu: \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$.

Let U be the universal hyperplane bundle over $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ and let H be the universal quotient line bundle over $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$. Then we have the following exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$:

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow \Pi^* T\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 0.$$

After [7, Th. 5.4], we introduce the rational number $a_p(f, \Sigma_f) \in \mathbf{Q}$ by

$$a_p(f, \Sigma_f) := \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^{p-j} \int_{\text{Exc}(\tilde{\sigma})} \tilde{\mu}^* \left\{ \text{Td}(U) \frac{\text{Td}(c_1(H)) - e^{-(p-j)c_1(H)}}{c_1(H)} \right\} \sigma^* \text{ch}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^j).$$

Then $a_p(f, \Sigma_f)$ is determined by the function germ of $f: \mathcal{X}|_\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ near Σ_f .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $0 \leq p \leq 3$ and let ς_p be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_\Delta$. Then the following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}^2 = a_p(f, \Sigma_f) \log |t|^2 + O(1).$$

Proof. See [7, Th. 5.4]. □

3.5. Asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -metric on $\lambda(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_\Delta$: the cases $p = 2, 3$. Following [7, Th. 8.1 and Prop. 9.6], we determine the singularity of the L^2 -metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_\Delta$ for the remaining cases $p = 2, 3$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let ς_p be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_\Delta$.*

(1) *When $p = 2$, the following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \|\varsigma_2(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^2), L^2}^2 &= \{a_2(f, \Sigma_f) - a_1(f, \Sigma_f) + \chi(Rf_* \mathcal{Q}|_\Delta)\} \log |t|^2 \\ &\quad + O(\log(-\log |t|)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) When $p = 3$, the following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:

$$\log \|\varsigma_3(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^3), L^2}^2 = \{a_3(f, \Sigma_f) - a_0(f, \Sigma_f)\} \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq p \leq 3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), L^2}^2 - \log \|\varsigma_{3-p}(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{3-p}), L^2}^2 \\ &= \log \|\varsigma_p(t) \otimes \varsigma_{3-p}(t)^\vee\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{3-p})^\vee, L^2}^2 \\ (3.8) \quad &= \log \|\varsigma_p(t) \otimes \varsigma_{3-p}(t)^\vee\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{3-p})^\vee, Q}^2 \\ &= \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}^2 - \log \|\varsigma_{3-p}(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{3-p}), Q}^2 \\ &= \{a_p(f, \Sigma_f) - a_{3-p}(f, \Sigma_f)\} \log |t|^2 + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from [7, Eq. (8.4)] and the last equality follows from Theorem 3.5. The result for $p = 2$ follows from (3.8) and Proposition 3.2. The result for $p = 3$ follows from (3.8) and Proposition 3.1. $\square$

3.6. Asymptotic behavior of BCOV torsion. Following [7, Th. 8.2 and Sect. 9.2], we determine the singularity of $T_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t, g_t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *The following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \log T_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t, g_t) &= \{-3a_0(f, \Sigma_f) + 2a_1(f, \Sigma_f) - \chi(Rf_* \mathcal{Q}|_\Delta)\} \log |t|^2 \\ &\quad + O(\log(-\log |t|)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity, write a_p for $a_p(f, \Sigma_f)$. Let ς_p be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p)|_\Delta$. By Theorem 3.5, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{p=0}^3 (-1)^p p \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}^2 = \left(\sum_{p=0}^3 (-1)^p p a_p \right) \log |t|^2 + O(1).$$

By Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad & \sum_{p=0}^3 (-1)^p p \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), L^2}^2 = \{3a_0 - 2a_1 + 2a_2 - 3a_3 + \chi(Rf_* \mathcal{Q}|_\Delta)\} \log |t|^2 \\ & \quad + O(\log(-\log |t|)). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Quillen metrics, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \log T_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t, g_t) = \sum_{p=0}^3 (-1)^p p \left\{ \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), Q}^2 - \log \|\varsigma_p(t)\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}/C}^p), L^2}^2 \right\}.$$

Substituting (3.9), (3.10) into (3.11), we get the result. $\square$

3.7. Asymptotic behavior of the Bott-Chern term. Following [7, Prop. 7.9], we determine the singularity of $A(X_t, g_t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\Xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}|_\Delta, K_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a canonical form on $\mathcal{X}|_\Delta$ such that (cf. [7, Lemma 7.7])

$$(3.12) \quad \text{div}(\Xi) \subset X_0.$$

Let ω_{X_t} be the dualizing sheaf of X_t . Then $\omega_{X_t} \cong K_{\mathcal{X}/C}|_{X_t}$ for all $t \in \Delta$. We define $\eta_t \in H^0(X_t, \omega_{X_t})$ as the canonical form on X_t such that

$$\Xi|_{X_t} = \eta_t \wedge df.$$

Let $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} \in \Gamma(\Delta, f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/C})$ be the section defined by $\eta(t) = \eta_t$ for all $t \in \Delta$. Since the family $f: \mathcal{X}|_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semi-stable degeneration, $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$ is regarded as a holomorphic section of the Hodge bundle $\mathcal{F}^3 \subset \mathcal{H}^3$. If Ξ vanishes identically on X_0 , then there exists $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $t^{-\nu} \eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\mathcal{F}^3$. Replacing Ξ by $f^* t^{-\nu} \cdot \Xi$ in this case, we may and will assume that

$$(3.13) \quad \Xi|_{X_0} \in H^0(X_0, K_{\mathcal{X}|_{X_0}}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Namely, there is at least one irreducible component of X_0 , on which Ξ does not vanish. Then $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\mathcal{F}^3$ and hence

$$(3.14) \quad \log \|\eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}(t)\|_{L^2} = O(\log(-\log|t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

by Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 3.8. *The divisor $\text{div}(\Xi)$ is independent of the choice of $\Xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta}, K_{\mathcal{X}/C})$ satisfying (3.12), (3.13).*

Proof. Let $\Xi \otimes (f^* dt)^{-1}$ and $\Xi' \otimes (f^* dt)^{-1}$ be holomorphic 4-forms on $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying (3.12), (3.13). Then the ratio Ξ/Ξ' descends to a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on Δ^* . Since both Ξ and Ξ' correspond to nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of the line bundle $\mathcal{F}^3$, we conclude that Ξ/Ξ' is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on Δ . Hence $\text{div}(\Xi) = \text{div}(\Xi')$. $\square$

After Lemma 3.8, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 3.9. The *normalized canonical divisor* $\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{X}, X_0)}$ of $(\mathcal{X}, X_0)$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{X}, X_0)} := \text{div}(\Xi), \quad \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{X}, X_0)}) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(X_0),$$

where Ξ satisfies (3.12), (3.13).

To describe the asymptotic behavior of $A(X_t, g_t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we use the notation in Section 3.4. Recall that $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a resolution of the indeterminacy of the Gauss map $\mu: \mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ as in Remark 3.4, that $\tilde{\mu}: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ is the resolved Gauss map, and that $U \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(T\mathcal{X})^\vee$ is the universal hyperplane bundle.

We set

$$\tilde{f} := f \circ \sigma$$

and we get a new family $\tilde{f}: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C$, whose central fiber $\tilde{X}_0 := \tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$ is a possibly *non-reduced* normal crossing divisor. Hence $\tilde{f}: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C$ is not necessarily a semi-stable degeneration. Let $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}}$ be the divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ defined as the critical locus of $\tilde{f}$: If $\tilde{X}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i$ with E_i being an irreducible divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, then

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{f}} := \text{div}(d\tilde{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - 1) E_i.$$

Since the resolution $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is canonically defined, $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}}$ is determined by the function germ of f near Σ_f .

Proposition 3.10. *The following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\log A(X_t, g_t) = -\frac{1}{12} \left(\int_{\sigma^* \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0) - \Sigma_{\tilde{f}}} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U) \right) \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

Proof. Let $\chi(X_{\text{gen}})$ be the topological Euler number of a general fiber of $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$. Let g_U be the Hermitian metric on U induced from the Hermitian metric $\Pi^* g^{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\Pi^* T\mathcal{X}$ via the inclusion $U \subset \Pi^* T\mathcal{X}$ and let $c_3(U)$ be the top Chern form of (U, g_U) . Define the function $A(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)$ on Δ^* by $A(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)(t) := A(X_t, g_t)$. By [7, Eq. (7.12)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log A(\mathcal{X}/\Delta) &= -\frac{1}{12} \tilde{f}_* \left[\log \sigma^* \left(\frac{\|\Xi\|^2}{\|d\tilde{f}\|^2} \right) \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U, g_U) \right] + \frac{\chi(X_{\text{gen}})}{12} \log \|\eta_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{12} \tilde{f}_* \left[\log \left(\frac{\|\sigma^* \Xi\|^2}{\|d\tilde{f}\|^2} \right) \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U, g_U) \right] + O(\log(-\log |t|)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{12} \left(\int_{\sigma^* \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0) - \Sigma_{\tilde{f}}} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U, g_U) \right) \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (3.14) and the third equality follows from [18, Cor. 4.6] and the equalities of divisors $\text{div}(\sigma^* \Xi) = \sigma^* \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0)$, $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}} = \text{div}(d\tilde{f})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3.8. Asymptotic behavior of BCOV invariants for semi-stable degenerations. Define

$$\rho(f, \Sigma_f) := -3a_0(f, \Sigma_f) + 2a_1(f, \Sigma_f) - \chi(Rf_* \mathcal{Q}|_{\Delta}) + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\Sigma_{\tilde{f}}} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U) \in \mathbf{Q},$$

$$\kappa(f, \Sigma_f, \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0)) := \int_{\pi^* \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0)} \tilde{\mu}^* c_3(U) \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Since there is a canonical way of resolving the indeterminacy of the Gauss map μ for the semi-stable degeneration $f: \mathcal{X}|_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ as explained in Remark 3.4, $\rho(f, \Sigma_f)$ is determined by the function germ of f near Σ_f , whereas $\kappa(f, \Sigma_f, \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0))$ is determined by the function germ of f near Σ_f and the normalized canonical divisor $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0)$.

Theorem 3.11. *The following holds as $t \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) = \left\{ \rho(f, \Sigma_f) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(f, \Sigma_f, \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, X_0)) \right\} \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)).$$

Proof. Since the Kähler metric g_t is induced from the Kähler metric $g^{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, the functions on Δ^*

$$t \mapsto \text{Vol}(X_t, g_t), \quad t \mapsto \text{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X_t, \mathbf{Z}), [c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)])$$

are constant by [7, Lemma 4.12]. Hence there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) = \log T_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t, g_t) + \log A(X_t, g_t) + C$$

for all $t \in \Delta^*$. Substituting the formulae in Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.10 into (3.15), we get the result. $\square$

3.9. Asymptotic behavior of BCOV invariants for general degenerations.

By Theorem 3.11, we get the rationality of the coefficient of the logarithmic divergence of $\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}$ for general one-parameter degenerations. In this subsection, we do not assume that $f: \mathcal{X}|_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semi-stable degeneration.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a surjective morphism from an irreducible projective fourfold $\mathcal{X}$ to a compact Riemann surface C . If there is a finite subset $\Delta_f \subset C$ such that $f|_{C \setminus \Delta_f}: \mathcal{X}|_{C \setminus \Delta_f} \rightarrow C \setminus \Delta_f$ is a smooth morphism and such that $X_t := f^{-1}(t)$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$, then for every $0 \in \Delta_f$, there exists a rational number $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) = \alpha \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

where t is a local parameter of C centered at 0. Let $g: (\mathcal{Y}, Y_0) \rightarrow (B, 0)$ be a semi-stable reduction of $f: (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{Y}, Y_0) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (B, 0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (C, 0). \end{array}$$

Then α is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\deg\{\phi: (B, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)\}} \left\{ \rho(g, \Sigma_g) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) \right\}.$$

Proof. By the definition of semi-stable reduction [13, Chap. II], $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth projective fourfold and B is a compact Riemann surface such that $\mathcal{Y}|_{B^*} \cong \mathcal{X}|_{C^*} \times_{C^*} B^*$ and the divisor $Y_0 = g^{-1}(0)$ is reduced and normal crossing. Here we set $B^* := B \setminus \{0\}$ and $C^* := C \setminus \{0\}$. By choosing an appropriate local parameter s of $(B, 0)$, we may assume that $\phi(s) = s^\nu$. Since $Y_s \cong X_{\phi(s)} = X_{s^\nu}$, the result follows from Theorem 3.11 applied to the semi-stable degeneration of Calabi-Yau threefolds $g: (\mathcal{Y}, Y_0) \rightarrow (B, 0)$. $\square$

In [7, Th. 9.1], a weaker version of Theorem 3.12 was proved, where α was implicit and real.

4. A LOCALITY OF THE LOGARITHMIC SINGULARITY

In this section, we prove a certain locality of the coefficient α in Theorem 3.12.

Set up Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ be normal irreducible projective fourfolds. Let C and C' be compact Riemann surfaces. Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ and $f': \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow C'$ be surjective holomorphic maps. Let $\overline{\Sigma}_f|_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\overline{\Sigma}_{f'}|_{\mathcal{X}' \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}'}$) be the closure of the critical locus of $f|_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $f'|_{\mathcal{X}' \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}'}$) in $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}'$). Define the critical loci of f and f' as

$$\Sigma_f := \text{Sing } \mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\Sigma}_f|_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}}, \quad \Sigma_{f'} := \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}' \cup \overline{\Sigma}_{f'}|_{\mathcal{X}' \setminus \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}'}$$

and the discriminant loci of f and f' as

$$\Delta_f := f(\Sigma_f), \quad \Delta_{f'} := f'(\Sigma_{f'}).$$

Let $0 \in \Delta_f$ and $0' \in \Delta_{f'}$. Let V (resp. V') be a neighborhood of 0 (resp. $0'$) in C (resp. C') such that $V \cong \Delta$ and $V \cap \Delta_f = \{0\}$ (resp. $V' \cong \Delta$ and $V' \cap \Delta_{f'} = \{0'\}$).

In the rest of this section, we make the following:

Assumption

- (A1) $\Delta_f \neq C$, $\Delta_{f'} \neq C'$, $\dim \Sigma_f \leq 2$, $\dim \Sigma_{f'} \leq 2$, and X_0 and $X'_{0'}$ are irreducible.
- (A2) X_t and $X'_{t'}$ are Calabi-Yau threefolds for all $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$ and $t' \in C' \setminus \Delta_{f'}$.
- (A3) $f^{-1}(V) \setminus \Sigma_f$ carries a nowhere vanishing canonical form Ξ . Similarly, $(f')^{-1}(V') \setminus \Sigma_{f'}$ carries a nowhere vanishing canonical form Ξ' .
- (A4) The function germ of f near $\Sigma_f \cap f^{-1}(V)$ and the function germ of f' near $\Sigma_{f'} \cap (f')^{-1}(V')$ are isomorphic. Namely, there exist a neighborhood O of $\Sigma_f \cap f^{-1}(V)$ in $f^{-1}(V)$, a neighborhood O' of $\Sigma_{f'} \cap (f')^{-1}(V')$ in $(f')^{-1}(V')$, and an isomorphism $\varphi: O \rightarrow O'$ such that $f|_O = f' \circ \varphi|_{O'}$.

By (A3), (A4), the ratio $\varphi^*(\Xi'|_{O'})/(\Xi|_O)$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on $O \setminus \Sigma_f$. By (A1) and the normality of $\mathcal{X}$, the ratio $\varphi^*(\Xi'|_{O'})/(\Xi|_O)$ extends to a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on O . Hence we have the following equality of divisors on O

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{div}(\Xi) = \varphi^* \operatorname{div}(\Xi').$$

For $z \in C$ and $z' \in C'$, we set $X_z := f^{-1}(z)$ and $X'_{z'} := (f')^{-1}(z')$. For $z \in C \setminus \Delta_f$ and $z' \in C' \setminus \Delta_{f'}$, the BCOV invariants $\tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X_z)$ and $\tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X'_{z'})$ are well defined. Let $0 \in \Delta_f$ and $0' \in \Delta_{f'}$. A local parameter of C (resp. C') centered at 0 (resp. $0'$) is denoted by t . Hence t is a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C',0'}$. By Theorem 3.12, the functions $t \mapsto \log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X_t)$ and $t \mapsto \log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X'_t)$ have logarithmic singularities at 0 and $0'$, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Under (A1)–(A4), $\log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X_t)$ and $\log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X'_t)$ have the same logarithmic singularities at $t = 0$:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X_t)}{\log |t|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X'_t)}{\log |t|}.$$

In particular,

$$\log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X_t) - \log \tau_{\operatorname{BCOV}}(X'_t) = O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Proof. (Step 1) By Hironaka, there exists a succession of blowing-ups $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ inducing an isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_f)$ and $\mathcal{X} \setminus \Sigma_f$ such that $\tilde{X}_0 := (f \circ \sigma)^{-1}(0)$ is a normal crossing divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\tilde{X}_0 = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_l$ be the irreducible decomposition. We may and will assume that all D_α 's are smooth hypersurfaces of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and that D_0 is the proper transform of X_0 . Then $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_l = \sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_f) \subset \sigma^{-1}(O)$, and σ induces an isomorphism from $D_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha > 0} D_\alpha$ to $X_0 \setminus \Sigma_f$.

Identify the pairs (O, Σ_f) and $(O', \Sigma_{f'})$ via φ . We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' := (\mathcal{X}' \setminus \Sigma_{f'}) \cup \sigma^{-1}(O),$$

where $\sigma^{-1}(O \setminus \Sigma_f)$ and $O' \setminus \Sigma_{f'}$ are identified by the isomorphism $\varphi \circ \sigma$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ is a smooth fourfold equipped with the projection $\sigma': \tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ defined by $\sigma' := \operatorname{id}$ on $\mathcal{X}' \setminus \Sigma_{f'}$ and by $\varphi \circ \sigma$ on $\sigma^{-1}(O)$. Since $\sigma: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a succession of blowing-ups, so is $\sigma': \tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$. We define $\tilde{f} := f \circ \sigma$ and $\tilde{f}' := f' \circ \sigma'$, whose critical loci are denoted by $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}}$ and $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}'}$, respectively. Then $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}} \subset \sigma^{-1}(O)$ and $\Sigma_{\tilde{f}'} \subset (\sigma')^{-1}(O')$.

We set $\tilde{\varphi} := \operatorname{id}_{\sigma^{-1}(O)}$. Then φ lifts to an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \sigma^{-1}(O) \cong (\sigma')^{-1}(O')$ such that $\tilde{f}' \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{f}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(O)$. Let $D'_0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ be the proper transform of $X'_0 \subset \mathcal{X}'$.

Since $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0) = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_l$ and $\sigma^{-1}(\Sigma_f) = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_l$, we have the irreducible decomposition $(\tilde{f}')^{-1}(0') = D'_0 \cup D'_1 \cup \dots \cup D'_l$ with $(\sigma')^{-1}(\Sigma_{f'}) = D'_1 \cup \dots \cup D'_l \subset (\sigma')^{-1}(O)$, where we set $D'_\alpha := \tilde{\varphi}(D_\alpha)$. By (4.1) and the equality $\sigma' \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \sigma$, we get

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{div}(\sigma^* \Xi) = \tilde{\varphi}^* \operatorname{div}((\sigma')^* \Xi') \subset \sigma^{-1}(O).$$

(Step 2) Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\pi_d: (C_d, 0_d) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ (resp. $\pi'_d: (C'_d, 0'_d) \rightarrow (C', 0')$) be a ramified covering with ramification index d at $0_d \in C_d$ (resp. $0'_d \in C'_d$). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d$) be the normalization of the fibered product $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times_C C_d$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \times_{C'} C'_d$) and set $\tilde{f}_d := \operatorname{pr}_2: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d \rightarrow C_d$ (resp. $\tilde{f}'_d := \operatorname{pr}_2: \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d \rightarrow C'_d$). Let $\tilde{O}_d$ (resp. $\tilde{O}'_d$) be the open subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d$) defined as $\operatorname{pr}_1^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(O))$ (resp. $(\operatorname{pr}'_1)^{-1}((\sigma')^{-1}(O'))$). Then $\tilde{\varphi}: \sigma^{-1}(O) \cong (\sigma')^{-1}(O')$ lifts to an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_d: \tilde{O}_d \cong \tilde{O}'_d$ such that $\tilde{f}_d = \tilde{f}'_d \circ \tilde{\varphi}_d$.

Define $U_d := \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d \setminus \tilde{f}_d^{-1}(0_d)$ and $U'_d := \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d \setminus (\tilde{f}'_d)^{-1}(0'_d)$. By [13, Chap. II, §3], the pairs $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d, U_d)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d, U'_d)$ are toroidal embeddings. (See [13, Chap. II §1] for the notion of toroidal embeddings.) Let $\tilde{f}_d^{-1}(0_d) = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_m$ (resp. $(\tilde{f}'_d)^{-1}(0'_d) = E'_0 \cup E'_1 \cup \dots \cup E'_m$) be the irreducible decomposition, where E_0 (resp. E'_0) is the component corresponding to the proper transforms of X_0 (resp. X'_0) in $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'$). Then $m \geq n$. Since $X_0 \setminus \Sigma_f \cong D_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha>0} D_\alpha$ is reduced and smooth, we have $E_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\beta>0} E_\beta \cong D_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha>0} D_\alpha \cong X_0 \setminus \Sigma_f$. When $\beta > 0$, we deduce from [13, Chap. II §3] that $\operatorname{pr}_1(E_\beta) = D_{\alpha(\beta)}$ for some $\alpha(\beta) > 0$. Similarly, $E'_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\beta>0} E'_\beta \cong X'_0 \setminus \Sigma_{f'}$ and $\operatorname{pr}_1(E'_\beta) = D'_{\alpha(\beta)}$ ($\alpha(\beta) > 0$) for $\beta > 0$.

(Step 3) By an appropriate choice of $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, there exists a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_d \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d}$ with $\mathcal{I}_d|_{U_d} = \mathcal{O}_{U_d}$, whose blowing-up $\varpi: \mathcal{Y}_d \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d$ provides a semi-stable reduction of $f: (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$, i.e., the following commutative diagram (cf. [13, Chap. II])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Y}_d & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{X} \\ g_d \downarrow & & \tilde{f}_d \downarrow & & \tilde{f} \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ C_d & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} & C_d & \xrightarrow{\pi_d} & C & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} & C, \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_d$ is smooth and $g_d^{-1}(0_d)$ is a *reduced*, normal crossing divisor of $\mathcal{Y}_d$. We define $E_\beta^o := E_\beta \setminus \bigcup_{\beta' \neq \beta} E_{\beta'}$. Since the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_d$ is of the form as in [13, p. 91 last line], we deduce from [13, Th. 9*] that there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ with

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{I}_d|_{U_d \cup E_0^o} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_d \cup E_0^o}(\nu_0 E_0^o).$$

Since E_0^o is a smooth divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d \setminus \bigcup_{\beta>0} E_\beta = U_d \cup E_0^o$, we deduce from (4.3) and the definition of blowing-up of sheaf of ideals that the maps $\varpi: \varpi^{-1}(E_0^o) \rightarrow E_0^o$ and $\varpi: \mathcal{Y}_d \setminus \varpi^{-1}(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_m) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_d \setminus (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_m)$ are isomorphisms. Write $g_d^{-1}(0_d) = F_0 + \dots + F_n$, where every F_i is irreducible and F_0 is the proper transform of E_0 . Then $n \geq m$ and $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n = \varpi^{-1}(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_m) \subset \varpi^{-1}(\tilde{O}_d)$.

(Step 4) We define the sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}'_d \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}'_d|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d \setminus \bigcup_{\beta>0} E'_\beta} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d \setminus \bigcup_{\beta>0} E'_\beta}(\nu_0 E'_0), \quad \mathcal{I}'_d|_{O'_d} = (\varphi_d)_* \mathcal{I}_d.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}'_d|_{U'_d} = \mathcal{O}_{U'_d}$. Let $\varpi': \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d$ be the blowing-up of $\mathcal{I}'_d$ and set $g'_d := \tilde{f}'_d \circ \varpi'$. Since the map $\varpi': (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d) \rightarrow \tilde{O}'_d$ is identified with $\varpi: \varpi^{-1}(\tilde{O}_d) \rightarrow \tilde{O}_d$ via the

identification $\tilde{\varphi}_d: \tilde{O}_d \cong \tilde{O}'_d$, we get the isomorphism of divisors

$$(g'_d)^{-1}(0'_d) \cap (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d) \cong (F_0 \cap \tilde{O}_d) + F_1 + \cdots + F_n,$$

which is a reduced normal crossing divisor of $\varpi^{-1}(\tilde{O}_d)$. Let $F'_0 \subset (g'_d)^{-1}(0_d)$ be the proper transform of $E'_0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d$ and let $F'_\gamma \subset (g'_d)^{-1}(0_d)$ be the irreducible component corresponding to F_γ for $\gamma > 0$. Then $F'_0 \cap (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d) \cong F_0 \cap \tilde{O}_d$. Since the map $\varpi': \mathcal{Y}' \setminus (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d \setminus \tilde{O}'_d$ is an isomorphism, $F'_0 \setminus (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d) \cong X'_0 \setminus \tilde{O}'$ is a smooth divisor of $\mathcal{Y}' \setminus (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d)$. Hence $(g'_d)^{-1}(0_d) = F'_0 + \cdots + F'_n$ is a reduced normal crossing divisor of $\mathcal{Y}'$. Thus $g'_d: \mathcal{Y}'_d \rightarrow C_d$ is a semi-stable reduction of $f': \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow C'$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Y}'_d & \xrightarrow{\varpi'} & \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'_d & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{X}}' & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & \mathcal{X}' \\ g'_d \downarrow & & \tilde{f}'_d \downarrow & & \tilde{f}' \downarrow & & f' \downarrow \\ C'_d & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & C'_d & \xrightarrow{\pi_d} & C' & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & C'. \end{array}$$

(Step 5) Set $O_d := \varpi^{-1}(\tilde{O}_d)$ and $O'_d := (\varpi')^{-1}(\tilde{O}'_d)$. Let $\varphi_d: O_d \cong O'_d$ be the isomorphism induced by $\tilde{\varphi}_d: \tilde{O}_d \cong \tilde{O}'_d$. Since

$$\Sigma_{g_d} \subset O_d, \quad \Sigma_{g'_d} = \varphi_d(\Sigma_{g_d}) \subset O'_d, \quad (g_d, O_d) = (g'_d \circ \varphi_d, O_d)$$

by construction, we get the following equality by the definition in Section 3.8

$$(4.4) \quad \rho(g_d, \Sigma_{g_d}) = \rho(g'_d, \Sigma_{g'_d}).$$

(Step 6) Set $\psi := \sigma \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \varpi: \mathcal{Y}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\psi' := \sigma' \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \varpi': \mathcal{Y}'_d \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$. Let Υ (resp. Υ') be a canonical form defined near $g_d^{-1}(0_d)$ (resp. $(g'_d)^{-1}(0'_d)$) and satisfying (3.12), (3.13). Then there exist $a_\gamma, a'_\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $0 \leq \gamma \leq n$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \text{div}(\Upsilon) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n a_\gamma F_\gamma, \quad \text{div}(\Upsilon') = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n a'_\gamma F'_\gamma.$$

Since $\psi^* \Xi$ (resp. $(\psi')^* \Xi'$) is a (possibly meromorphic) 4-form defined on a neighborhood of $g_d^{-1}(0_d)$ (resp. $(g'_d)^{-1}(0'_d)$), whose possible zeros and poles are supported on $g_d^{-1}(0_d)$ (resp. $(g'_d)^{-1}(0'_d)$), we can express

$$(4.6) \quad \text{div}(\psi^* \Xi) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n b_\gamma F_\gamma, \quad \text{div}((\psi')^* \Xi') = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n b'_\gamma F'_\gamma,$$

where $b_\gamma, b'_\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $0 \leq \gamma \leq n$. Since Ξ (resp. Ξ') is nowhere vanishing on $f^{-1}(V) \setminus O$ (resp. $(f')^{-1}(V') \setminus O'$) by assumption and since ψ (resp. ψ') has ramification index d along $F_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma>0} F_\gamma$ (resp. $F'_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma>0} F'_\gamma$), $\psi^* \Xi$ (resp. $(\psi')^* \Xi'$) has zeros of order $d-1$ on the proper transform of E_0 (resp. E'_0). Hence

$$(4.7) \quad b_0 = b'_0 = d-1.$$

Since the map $\varpi': O'_d \rightarrow \tilde{O}'_d$ is identified with $\varpi: O_d \rightarrow \tilde{O}_d$ via the identification $\tilde{\varphi}_d: \tilde{O}_d \cong \tilde{O}'_d$, we get by (4.2)

$$\text{div}(\psi^* \Xi) \cap O_d = \varphi_d^*(\text{div}((\psi')^* \Xi')) \cap O_d.$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad b_\gamma = b'_\gamma \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

Since $\varphi^*(\Xi' \wedge \overline{\Xi}')/(\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi})$ is a nowhere vanishing positive function on O by (4.1), there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$,

$$(4.9) \quad C_1 \int_{X_t \cap O} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi}}{df \wedge d\overline{f}} \leq \int_{X'_t \cap O'} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi' \wedge \overline{\Xi}'}{df' \wedge d\overline{f}'} \leq C_2 \int_{X_t \cap O} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi}}{df \wedge d\overline{f}}.$$

Since $\sqrt{-1}\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi}$ (resp. $\sqrt{-1}\Xi' \wedge \overline{\Xi}'$) is a volume form on $f^{-1}(V) \setminus O$ (resp. $(f')^{-1}(V') \setminus O'$) and since f (resp. f') has no critical points on $f^{-1}(V) \setminus O$ (resp. $(f')^{-1}(V') \setminus O'$), there exist constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that for all t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$,

$$(4.10) \quad C_3 \int_{X_t \setminus O} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi}}{df \wedge d\overline{f}} \leq \int_{X'_t \setminus O'} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi' \wedge \overline{\Xi}'}{df' \wedge d\overline{f}'} \leq C_4 \int_{X_t \setminus O} \sqrt{-1} \frac{\Xi \wedge \overline{\Xi}}{df \wedge d\overline{f}}.$$

By (4.9), (4.10), there exist constants $C_5, C_6 > 0$ such that for all t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$,

$$(4.11) \quad C_5 \left\| \frac{\Xi}{df} \Big|_{X_t} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left\| \frac{\Xi'}{df'} \Big|_{X'_t} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_6 \left\| \frac{\Xi}{df} \Big|_{X_t} \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

(Step 7) Let s be a local parameter of C_d and C'_d centered at 0_d and $0'_d$. Since $\pi_d: C_d \rightarrow C$ and $\pi'_d: C'_d \rightarrow C'$ has ramification index d at $s = 0$, we may assume

$$(4.12) \quad \pi_d(s) = s^d.$$

By the definition of Υ (resp. Υ'), the map $s \mapsto (\Upsilon/dg_d)|_{Y_s} \in H^0(Y_s, K_{Y_s})$ (resp. $s \mapsto (\Upsilon'/dg'_d)|_{Y'_s} \in H^0(Y'_s, K_{Y'_s})$) is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $(g_d)_*K_{Y_d/C_d}$ (resp. $(g'_d)_*K_{Y'_d/C'_d}$) near 0_d (resp. $0'_d$). By (3.14), we get

$$(4.13) \quad \log \left\| \frac{\Upsilon}{dg_d} \Big|_{Y_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\log(-\log|s|)), \quad \log \left\| \frac{\Upsilon'}{dg'_d} \Big|_{Y'_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\log(-\log|s|))$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$, where we set $Y_s := g_d^{-1}(s)$ and $Y'_s := (g'_d)^{-1}(s)$.

Since the fibers Y_s and Y'_s are Calabi-Yau threefolds for $s \neq 0$, the map $s \mapsto \psi^*\Xi/dg_d|_{Y_s}$ (resp. $s \mapsto (\psi')^*\Xi'/dg'_d|_{Y'_s}$) is a holomorphic section of $(g_d)_*K_{Y_d/C_d}$ (resp. $(g'_d)_*K_{Y'_d/C'_d}$) near 0_d (resp. $0'_d$). Hence there exist $c, c' \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\epsilon(s), \epsilon'(s) \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ such that

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{\psi^*\Xi}{\Upsilon} \Big|_{Y_s} = s^c \epsilon(s), \quad \frac{(\psi')^*\Xi'}{\Upsilon'} \Big|_{Y'_s} = s^{c'} \epsilon'(s), \quad \epsilon(0) \neq 0, \quad \epsilon'(0) \neq 0.$$

By (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad a_\gamma = b_\gamma + c, \quad a'_\gamma = b'_\gamma + c' = b_\gamma + c'.$$

Since $Y_s = X_{\pi_d(s)} = X_{s^d}$ and $Y'_s = X'_{s^d}$ for $s \neq 0$ by (4.12), we get by (4.13), (4.14), (4.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left\| \frac{\Xi}{df} \Big|_{X_{s^d}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \log \left\| \psi^* \left\{ \frac{\Xi}{df} \Big|_{X_{s^d}} \right\} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \log \left\| \frac{\Xi}{d(g_d^d)} \Big|_{Y_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \log \left(|s|^{-2(d-1)} \left\| \frac{\Xi}{dg_d} \Big|_{Y_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + O(1) \\
&= -(d-1) \log |s|^2 + \log \left\| \frac{\psi^* \Xi}{\Upsilon} \Big|_{Y_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \log \left\| \frac{\Upsilon}{dg_d} \Big|_{Y_s} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + O(1) \\
&= (c-d+1) \log |s|^2 + O(\log(-\log |s|)) \quad (s \rightarrow 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$(4.17) \quad \log \left\| \frac{\Xi'}{df'} \Big|_{X'_{s^d}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = (c'-d+1) \log |s|^2 + O(\log(-\log |s|)) \quad (s \rightarrow 0).$$

Comparing (4.11) and (4.16), (4.17), we get

$$(4.18) \quad c = c'.$$

By (4.15), (4.18), we get $a_\gamma = a'_\gamma$ for all $0 \leq \gamma \leq n$. Hence

$$(4.19) \quad \operatorname{div}(\Upsilon) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n a_\gamma F_\gamma, \quad \operatorname{div}(\Upsilon') = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n a_\gamma F'_\gamma, \quad a_\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (\forall \gamma \geq 0).$$

Since $F_0 \cap O_d$ (resp. F_γ ($\gamma > 0$)) is identified with $F'_0 \cap O'_d$ (resp. F'_γ ($\gamma > 0$)) via φ_d , we get by (4.19) and the definition of normalized canonical divisor in Section 3.8 the following equality of divisors via the identification $\varphi_d: O_d \cong O'_d$:

$$(4.20) \quad \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}_d, Y_0)} \cap O_d = \varphi_d^*(\mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}'_d, Y'_0)} \cap O'_d).$$

Since $(g_d, \Sigma_{g_d}) = (g'_d \circ \varphi_d, \Sigma_{g_d})$ and $\Sigma_d = \varphi_d(\Sigma_{g'_d})$ via $\varphi_d: O_d \cong O'_d$, we deduce from (4.20) and the definition in Section 3.8 the equality

$$(4.21) \quad \kappa(g_d, \Sigma_{g_d}, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}_d, Y_0)}) = \kappa(g'_d, \Sigma_{g'_d}, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}'_d, Y'_0)}).$$

By Theorem 3.11 and (4.4), (4.21), we get

$$(4.22) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(Y_s)}{\log |s|^2} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(Y'_s)}{\log |s|^2}.$$

Since $Y_s = X_{s^d}$ and $Y'_s = X'_{s^d}$ for $s \neq 0$, the result follows from (4.22). $\square$

5. DEGENERATIONS TO CALABI-YAU VARIETIES WITH ORDINARY DOUBLE POINTS

In this section, we determine the asymptotic behavior of BCOV invariants for the simplest degenerations of Calabi-Yau threefolds, i.e., degenerations to Calabi-Yau varieties with at most ordinary double points (cf. [7, §2]). Recall that an n -dimensional singularity is an *ordinary double point* if it is isomorphic to the hypersurface singularity at $0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ defined by the equation $z_0^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = 0$.

Definition 5.1. A complex projective variety X of dimension 3 is a *Calabi-Yau variety with at most ordinary double points* if the following are satisfied:

- (1) There exists a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$.
- (2) X is connected and $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $0 < q < 3$.
- (3) $\text{Sing}(X)$ consists of at most ordinary double points.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ be a surjective morphism from a smooth projective fourfold $\mathcal{X}$ to a compact Riemann surface C . Let $\Delta_f \subset C$ be the discriminant locus of $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$ and assume that $X_t := f^{-1}(t)$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in C \setminus \Delta_f$. Let $0 \in \Delta_f$ and let t be a local parameter of C centered at 0. If X_0 is a Calabi-Yau variety with at most ordinary double points, then*

$$\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_t) = \frac{\#\text{Sing } X_0}{6} \log |t|^2 + O(\log(-\log |t|)) \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Proof. (Step 1) Since the deformation germ $f: (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is a smoothing of X_0 , we have $h^{1,2}(X_0) = h^{2,1}(X_0) \geq 1$. Since $t \mapsto h^2(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^1)$ is a locally constant function on C by [7, Th. 2.11], we get $h^{1,2}(X_t) = h^{2,1}(X_t) \geq 1$. When $h^{1,2}(X_t) = h^{2,1}(X_t) = 1$ and $\#\text{Sing } X_0 = 1$, the result was proved in [7, Th. 8.2]. Since there does exist a family of Calabi-Yau threefolds $f': \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow C'$ with $h^{1,2}(X'_t) = 1$ such that $\text{Sing } X'_0$ consists of a unique ordinary double point, e.g. the family of quintic mirror threefolds (cf. [7, §12]), we get the result by Theorem 4.1 and [7, Th. 8.2] when $\#\text{Sing } X_0 = 1$.

(Step 2) Fix a family of Calabi-Yau threefolds over a compact Riemann surface $\mathfrak{f}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{X}_0$, $0 \in \mathfrak{C}$, is a Calabi-Yau variety with a unique ordinary double point as its singular set. Fix its semi-stable reduction $\mathfrak{g}: (\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{B}, 0)$: We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & (\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_0) \\ \mathfrak{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{f} \\ (\mathfrak{B}, 0) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (\mathfrak{C}, 0). \end{array}$$

Let ν be the ramification index of $\psi: (\mathfrak{B}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C}, 0)$. Let $\mathfrak{Y}_0 = \mathfrak{E}_0 + \cdots + \mathfrak{E}_n$ be the irreducible decomposition such that $\mathfrak{E}_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{E}_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{X}_0 \setminus \text{Sing } \mathfrak{X}_0$. Then Ψ ramifies along $\mathfrak{E}_0$ with ramification index ν . There exist a neighborhood $\mathfrak{U}$ of $\text{Sing } \mathfrak{X}_0$ in $\mathfrak{X}$ and an open subset $\mathfrak{V}$ of $\mathfrak{Y}$ such that $\mathfrak{V} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U})$ and $\mathfrak{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{E}_n \subset \mathfrak{V}$. Then Ψ induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{E}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{U}$ and the map Ψ has ramification index ν on $\mathfrak{E}_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{E}_\alpha$.

(Step 3) By an appropriate choices of local parameters t of $(\mathfrak{C}, 0)$ and s of $(\mathfrak{B}, 0)$, we may assume $t = s^\nu$. By identifying $\mathfrak{f}$ with $t \circ \mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ with $s \circ \mathfrak{g}$, we have the following equality of functions defined near $\mathfrak{Y}_0$:

$$\Psi^* \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{g}^\nu.$$

Since $\mathfrak{X}_0$ is a Calabi-Yau variety with a unique ordinary double point as its singular set and since $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth, there exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 4-form Ξ defined on a neighborhood of $\mathfrak{X}_0$ in $\mathfrak{X}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}_0$ has only canonical singularities, the function

$$t \mapsto \|(\Xi/d\mathfrak{f})|_{\mathfrak{X}_t}\|_{L^2}^2$$

is continuous around $0 \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\|(\Xi/d\mathfrak{f})|_{\mathfrak{X}_0}\|_{L^2}^2 \neq 0$ by e.g. [20, Th. 7.2]. Since

$$\nu \Psi^*(\Xi/d\mathfrak{f}) = \Psi^* \Xi / (\mathfrak{g}^{\nu-1} d\mathfrak{g}),$$

the fact that the function $t \mapsto \|(\Xi/df)|_{\mathfrak{X}_t}\|_{L^2}^2$ is C^0 and does not vanish at $t = 0$ implies that the map $s \mapsto (\Psi^*\Xi/g^{\nu-1}dg)|_{\mathfrak{Y}_s} \in H^0(\mathfrak{Y}_s, K_{\mathfrak{Y}}|_{\mathfrak{Y}_s})$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of $\mathfrak{g}_*K_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ defined near $s = 0$. Hence $(\Psi^*\Xi)/g^{\nu-1}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 4-form defined near $\mathfrak{Y}_0$ and

$$0 \leq \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0)} = \operatorname{div}(\Psi^*\Xi/g^{\nu-1}) = \operatorname{div}(\Psi^*\Xi) - (\nu - 1)\mathfrak{Y}_0.$$

Write $\operatorname{div}(\Psi^*\Xi) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n a_{\alpha} \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha}$, $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $\mathfrak{Y}_0 = \operatorname{div}(\mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha}$ by the reducedness of $\mathfrak{Y}_0$, we get by the effectivity of $\operatorname{div}(\Psi^*\Xi) - (\nu - 1)\mathfrak{Y}_0$

$$a_{\alpha} \geq \nu - 1 \quad (\forall \alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}).$$

On the other hand, since Ψ has ramification index ν on $\mathfrak{E}_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha>0} \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha}$, we have $\alpha_0 = \nu - 1$. Hence we can express

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0)} = \operatorname{div}(\Psi^*\Xi/g^{\nu-1}|_{\mathfrak{Y}}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n b_{\alpha} \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha} \quad (b_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

(Step 4) Consider the general case. Set $m := \#\operatorname{Sing} X_0 \geq 1$. Then $\operatorname{Sing} X_0 = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$. Since every germ $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_0, p_i}$ is isomorphic to the germ $(z_0)^2 + (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2$ at $0 \in \mathbf{C}^4$, it follows from the construction of semi-stable reduction [13, Chap. II §3] that there exists a semi-stable reduction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{Y}, Y_0) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\mathcal{X}, X_0) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (B, 0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (C, 0) \end{array}$$

with the following properties:

- (i) The ramification index of $\phi: (B, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is given by ν .
- (ii) For every $p_i \in \operatorname{Sing} X_0$, there is a neighborhood U_i of p_i in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $(f, U_i) \cong (\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{U})$ and $(g, \Phi^{-1}(U_i)) \cong (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{Y})$.
- (iii) Set $V_i := \Phi^{-1}(U_i)$. Then $Y_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i \cong X_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i$.

By (ii), the irreducible component of $Y_0 = g^{-1}(0)$ contained in V_i can be expressed as $E_1^{(i)} + \dots + E_n^{(i)}$ and satisfy $E_0 \cap V_i + E_1^{(i)} + \dots + E_n^{(i)} \cong \mathfrak{E}_0 \cap \mathfrak{Y} + \mathfrak{E}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{E}_n$, where $E_{\alpha}^{(i)} \cong \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. This implies that

$$(5.2) \quad \rho(g, \Sigma_g) = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho(g|_{V_i}, \Sigma_{g|_{V_i}}) = m \rho(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

(Step 5) Let t be a local parameter of $(C, 0)$ and let s be a local parameter of $(B, 0)$. As in Step 3, we may assume $\phi^*t = s^{\nu}$ and hence

$$\Phi^*f = g^{\nu}.$$

Let ω be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 4-form defined near X_0 . Since X_0 has only canonical singularities, the section of the Hodge bundle $t \mapsto \omega/df|_{X_t} \in H^0(X_t, K_{X_t})$ is holomorphic and nowhere vanishing. By the same reason as in Step 3, the section of Hodge bundle $s \mapsto \Phi^*\omega/(g^{\nu-1}dg)|_{Y_s} \in H^0(Y_s, K_{Y_s})$ is holomorphic and nowhere vanishing around $s = 0$ and we get

$$(5.3) \quad \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)} = \operatorname{div}(\Phi^*\omega/g^{\nu-1}), \quad \operatorname{Supp} \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n E_{\alpha}^{(i)}.$$

We may assume that, under the identification $(f, U_i) \cong (\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{U})$ in (ii), the ratio Ξ/ω is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{U}$. Then we get by (5.1), (5.3)

$$(5.4) \quad \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^n b_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{(i)}.$$

By (ii), (5.4) and the definition of $\kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)})$, we get

$$(5.5) \quad \kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) = m \kappa(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0)}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{X}_{s^{\nu}} = \mathfrak{Y}_s$, we get by Theorem 3.11 and Step 1

$$\rho(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0)}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(\mathfrak{Y}_s)}{\log |s|^2} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(\mathfrak{X}_{s^{\nu}})}{\log |s|^2} = \frac{\nu}{12}.$$

Thus we get by (5.2), (5.5)

$$\rho(g, \Sigma_g) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) = m \left\{ \rho(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}_0)}) \right\} = \frac{m\nu}{12}.$$

By Theorem 3.11 again and the relation $Y_s = X_{s^{\nu}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(X_{s^d}) &= \log \tau_{\text{BCOV}}(Y_s) \\ &= \left\{ \rho(g, \Sigma_g) - \frac{1}{12} \kappa(g, \Sigma_g, \mathfrak{K}_{(\mathcal{Y}, Y_0)}) \right\} \log |s|^2 + O(\log(-\log |s|)) \\ &= \frac{m\nu}{12} \log |s|^2 + O(\log(-\log |s|)) \\ &= \frac{m}{12} \log |s^{\nu}|^2 + O(\log(-\log |s|)) \quad (s \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bershadsky, M., Cecotti, S., Ooguri, H., Vafa, C. *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nuclear Phys. B **405** (1993), 279–304.
- [2] Bershadsky, M., Cecotti, S., Ooguri, H., Vafa, C. *Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 311–427.
- [3] Bismut, J.-M. *Quillen metrics and singular fibers in arbitrary relative dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), 19–149.
- [4] Bismut, J.-M., Gillet, H., Soulé, C. *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles I, II, III*, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), 49–78, 79–126, 301–351.
- [5] Bismut, J.-M., Lebeau, G. *Complex immersions and Quillen metrics*, Publ. Math. IHES **74** (1991), 1–297.
- [6] Fang, H., Lu, Z. *Generalized Hodge metrics and BCOV torsion on Calabi-Yau moduli*, J. reine angew. Math. **588** (2005), 49–69.
- [7] Fang, H., Lu, Z., Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Analytic torsion for Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. **80** (2008), 175–250.
- [8] Griffiths, P. *Curvature properties of the Hodge bundles* in “Topics in Transcendental Algebraic Geometry”, Ann. of Math. Studies **106** (1984), 29–49.
- [9] *Logarithmic deformations of normal crossing varieties and smoothing of degenerate Calabi-Yau varieties*, Invent. Math. **118** (1996), 395–409.
- [10] Lu, Z. *On the Hodge metric of the universal deformation space of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **11** (2001), 103–118.
- [11] Lu, Z., Sun, X. *Weil-Petersson geometry on moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **3** (2004), 185–229.
- [12] Lu, Z., Douglas, M.R. *Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem on moduli space*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), 469–511.
- [13] Kempf, G., Knudsen, F., Mumford, D., Saint-Donat, B. *Toroidal Embeddings I*, Lecture Notes Math. **339** (1973).

- [14] Quillen, D. *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **14** (1985), 31–34.
- [15] Ray, D.B., Singer, I.M. *Analytic torsion for complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 154–177.
- [16] Schmid, W. *Variation of Hodge structure: The singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.
- [17] Steenbrink, J. *Limits of Hodge structures*, Invent. Math. **31** (1976), 229–257.
- [18] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *On the singularity of Quillen metrics*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), 61–89.
- [19] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Calabi–Yau threefolds of Borcea–Voisin, analytic torsion, and Borchers products*, From Probability to Geometry (II), Volume in honor of Jean-Michel BISMUT, ed. by X. Dai, R. Léandre, X. Ma, W. Zhang, Astérisque **328** (2009), 351–389.
- [20] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Singularities and analytic torsion*, preprint, arXiv:1007.2835
- [21] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Analytic torsion for Borcea–Voisin threefolds*, in preparation
- [22] Zucker, S. *Degenerations of Hodge bundles (after Steenbrink)*, Ann. of Math. Studies **106** (1984), 121–141.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: yosikawa@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp