Evidence for a small hole pocket in the Fermi surface of underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_y

N. Doiron-Leyraud¹, S. Badoux², S. René de Cotret¹, S. Lepault², D. LeBoeuf²,
F. Laliberté¹, E. Hassinger¹, B. J. Ramshaw³, D. A. Bonn^{3,4}, W. N. Hardy^{3,4}, R. Liang^{3,4},
J.-H. Park⁵, D. Vignolles², B. Vignolle², L. Taillefer^{1,4} & C. Proust^{2,4}

1 Département de physique & RQMP, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1K 2R1, Canada

2 Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses (CNRS, INSA, UJF, UPS), Toulouse 31400, France

3 Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1, Canada

4 Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z8, Canada

5 National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to : L.T. (email: louis.taillefer@usherbrooke.ca) or C.P. (email: cyril.proust@lncmi.cnrs.fr).

In underdoped cuprate superconductors, the Fermi surface undergoes a reconstruction that produces a small electron pocket, but whether there is another, as yet undetected portion to the Fermi surface is unknown. Establishing the complete topology of the Fermi surface is key to identifying the mechanism responsible for its reconstruction. Here we report evidence for a second Fermi pocket in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_y, detected as a small quantum oscillation frequency in the thermoelectric response and in the *c*-axis resistance. The field-

angle dependence of the frequency shows that it is a distinct Fermi surface, and the normal-state thermopower requires it to be a hole pocket. A Fermi surface consisting of one electron pocket and two hole pockets with the measured areas and masses is consistent with a Fermi-surface reconstruction by the charge-density-wave order observed in YBa₂Cu₃O_y, provided other parts of the reconstructed Fermi surface are removed by a separate mechanism, possibly the pseudogap.

Introduction

The phase diagram of cuprate superconductors is shaped by ordered states and their identification is essential for understanding high temperature superconductivity. Evidence for a new state with broken symmetry in cuprates recently came from two major developments. The observation of quantum oscillations in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_v (YBCO) (Ref. 1) and HgBa₂CuO_{4+d} (Hg1201) (Ref. 2), combined with negative Hall^{3,4,5} and Seebeck^{5,6,7} coefficients, showed that the Fermi surface contains a small closed electron pocket and is therefore reconstructed at low temperature, implying that translational symmetry is broken. The detailed similarity of the Fermi-surface reconstruction in YBCO and La_{1.6-x}Eu_{0.4}Sr_xCuO₄ (Eu-LSCO) (Refs. 6,7,8) revealed that YBCO must host a density-wave order similar to the stripe order of Eu-LSCO (Ref. 9). More recently, charge-density-wave (CDW) modulations were observed directly, first by NMR in YBCO (Ref. 10) and then by x-ray diffraction in YBCO (Refs. 11,12,13) and Hg1201 (Ref. 14). In YBCO, a thermodynamic signature of the CDW order was detected in the sound velocity at low temperature and finite magnetic field¹⁵. These CDW modulations are reminiscent of the checkerboard pattern observed by STM on $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+d}$ (Refs. 16,17), for instance.

Fermi-surface reconstruction and CDW modulations are therefore two universal signatures of underdoped cuprates, which begs the following question: Is the Fermi

surface seen by quantum oscillations compatible with a reconstruction by the observed CDW modulations ? This issue requires a detailed knowledge of the Fermi surface, to be compared with Fermi surface calculations based on the measured parameters of the CDW order, in the same material at the same doping. In this article we report quantum oscillations and thermopower measurements that reveal an additional, hole-like Fermi pocket in underdoped YBCO. As we discuss below, a Fermi surface consisting of one electron and two hole pockets of the measured sizes and masses is consistent with a reconstruction by the observed CDW.

Results

We have measured quantum oscillations in the thermoelectric response and *c*-axis resistance of underdoped YBCO. Our samples were chosen to have a doping p = 0.11-0.12, at which the amplitude of quantum oscillations is maximal¹⁸. In the doping phase diagram, this is also where the CDW modulations are strongest^{19,20} (Fig. 1a) and where the critical field H_{c2} needed to suppress superconductivity is at a local minimum²¹ (Fig. 1b). In the T = 0 limit, the Seebeck (S) and Nernst (v = N / B) coefficients are inversely proportional to the Fermi energy 22,23 , and are therefore expected to be enhanced for small Fermi surfaces. In Fig. 2a, we show isotherms of S and N at T = 2 K measured up to B = 45 T in a YBCO sample with p = 0.11. Above $H_{c2} = 24$ T (Ref. 21), both S and N are negative ; the fact that S < 0 is consistent with an electron pocket dominating the transport at low temperature^{6,7}. The normal-state signal displays exceptionally large quantum oscillations, with a main frequency $F_a = 540$ T and a beat pattern indicative of other, nearby, frequencies. In Fig. 2, we also show the c-axis resistance of two YBCO samples at p = 0.11 and 0.12, measured in pulsed fields up to 68 T. The overall behaviour of the c-axis magnetoresistance at p = 0.11 is consistent with previous reports^{24,25}. Quantum oscillations are clearly visible and the three distinct frequencies

 $F_{a1} = 540 \text{ T}, F_{a2} = 450 \text{ T} \text{ and } F_{a3} = 630 \text{ T} \text{ in the Fourier spectrum at } p = 0.11 \text{ (Fig. 1d)}$ agree with reported values²⁶.

With increasing temperature, the amplitude of these "fast" oscillations decreases rapidly and above $T \sim 10$ K we are left with a slowly undulating normal-state signal, clearly seen in the raw Seebeck data (Figs. 2b and 3a). In Fig. 3b, the oscillatory part of that signal, obtained by subtracting a smooth background, is plotted as a function of inverse magnetic field. Although the "slow oscillations" at 18 K are 20 times weaker than the fast oscillations at 2 K, they are clearly resolved and periodic in 1 / B. After their discovery in the Seebeck signal, the slow oscillations were also detected in the *c*-axis resistance, as shown in Fig. 3c. In both the Seebeck and *c*-axis resistance data, the frequency of these slow oscillations is $F_{\rm b} = 95 \pm 10$ T (p = 0.11). Similar oscillations were also detected in the *c*-axis resistance of a sample at p = 0.12 (Fig. 3d), with $F_{\rm b} = 120 \pm 15$ T. In Fig. 4a, we show the derivative $dR_{\rm c}/dB$, which unambiguously reveals $F_{\rm b}$, without the need for a background subtraction. (Note that in the *c*-axis resistance data, the amplitude of F_b is about 0.1% of the total signal and is more sensitive to the background subtraction.) This slow frequency persists up to 30 K and its amplitude follows the usual Lifshitz-Kosevich formula (Fig. 4b), with a small effective mass $m^* = 0.45 \pm 0.1 m_0$, where m_0 is the free electron mass.

Using the *c*-axis resistance, we have measured the dependence of F_b on the angle θ at which the field is tilted away from the *c* axis. In Fig. 4c, the oscillatory part of the *c*-axis resistance for p = 0.11 at T = 15 K is plotted versus $1 / B\cos(\theta)$, and the angular dependence of F_b is displayed in Fig. 4d. $F_b(\theta)$ varies approximately as $1 / \cos(\theta)$, indicating that the Fermi surface associated with F_b is a warped cylinder along the *c* axis, as expected for a quasi-2D system.

Discussion

The slow frequency $F_b \sim 100$ T reported here bears the key signatures of quantum oscillations and in the following discussion we argue that it comes from a small holelike Fermi surface, distinct from the larger electron-like Fermi pocket responsible for the main frequency $F_{a1} = 540$ T.

We note that the frequency F_b is nearly equal to the difference between the main frequency of the electron pocket F_{a1} and its satellites F_{a2} and F_{a3} . While the identification of the multiple F_a frequencies is not definitive, it is likely that two of them are associated with the two separate Fermi surfaces that come from the two CuO₂ planes (bilayer) in the unit cell of YBCO. The third frequency could then either come from magnetic breakdown between these two Fermi surfaces²⁷ or from a warping due to c-axis dispersion^{26,28}. In layered quasi-2D materials, slow quantum oscillations can appear in the c-axis transport as a result of interlayer coupling^{29,30}. Two observations allow us to rule out this scenario in the present context. First, F_b is observed in the inplane Seebeck coefficient, which does not depend on the c-axis velocity vanishes on average along a cyclotron orbit, one should see a vanishing F_b . This is not seen in our field-angle dependence of F_b , which, if anything, only deviates upward from a cylindrical 1 / $B\cos(\theta)$ dependence (Fig. 4d).

Quantum interference from magnetic breakdown between two bilayer-split orbits could in principle produce a difference frequency close to F_b . In this scenario, however, the amplitudes of the two nearby frequencies F_{a2} and F_{a3} should be identical, irrespective of the field range, in disagreement with torque²⁶ and *c*-axis resistance measurements (see Fig. 1d). Furthermore, in a magnetic breakdown scenario, we would expect F_b to scale with F_a , since both frequencies originate from the same cyclotron orbits. This is not what we observe in our thermoelectric data: as seen in Fig. 2a, the amplitude of F_a is larger in the Nernst effect than in the Seebeck effect, yet F_b is only detected in the latter. This is strong evidence that F_a and F_b do not involve cyclotron orbits on the same Fermi surface. We therefore conclude that F_b must come from a distinct Fermi pocket, in contrast with the interpretation of Ref. 31 in terms of quantum interference.

For a number of reasons, we infer that this second pocket in the reconstructed Fermi surface of YBCO is hole-like. The first reason is the strong dependence of resistivity ρ and Hall coefficient $R_{\rm H}$ on magnetic field B, as observed in YBCO and in YBa₂Cu₄O₈ (Ref. 3), a closely related material with similar quantum oscillations^{32,33}. For instance, $R_{\rm H}(B)$ goes from positive at low field to negative at high field³ and $\rho(B)$ exhibits a significant magnetoresistance²⁵. These are natural consequence of having both electron and hole carriers. In YBa₂Cu₄O₈, the Hall and resistivity data were successfully fit in detail to a two-band model of electrons and holes³⁴.

A second indication that both electron and hole carriers are present in underdoped YBCO is the fact that quantum oscillations are observed in the Hall coefficient^{35,36}. In an isotropic single-band model, the Hall coefficient is simply given by $R_{\rm H} = 1 / ne$, where *n* is the carrier density and *e* the electron charge. Quantum oscillations in $R_{\rm H}$ appear via the scattering rate, which enters $R_{\rm H}$ either when two or more bands of different mobility are present, or when the scattering rate on a single band is strongly anisotropic. At low temperatures, however, where impurity scattering dominates, the latter scenario is improbable.

The most compelling evidence for the presence of hole-like carriers in underdoped YBCO comes from the magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient. In the T = 0 limit and for a single band, it is given by²²:

$$\frac{S}{T} = \pm \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_{\rm B}}{e} \frac{1}{T_{\rm F}} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \zeta\right)$$
(1)

where $k_{\rm B}$ is Boltzmann's constant, $T_{\rm F}$ is the Fermi temperature, and $\zeta = 0$ or -1/2 depending on whether the relaxation time or the mean free path is assumed to be energy-independent, respectively. The sign of *S* / *T* depends on whether the carriers are holes (+) or electrons (-). This expression has been found to work very well in a variety of correlated electron metals²². We stress that *S* / *T* (in the *T* = 0 limit) is governed solely by $T_{\rm F}$, which allows a direct quantitative comparison with quantum oscillation data, with no assumption on pocket multiplicity. This contrasts with the specific heat, which depends on the number of Fermi pockets (see below).

In Fig. 5a, we reproduce normal-state Seebeck data in YBCO at four dopings, plotted as S / T vs T (from Ref. 7). S / T goes from positive at high T to negative at low T, in agreement with a similar sign change in $R_{\rm H}(T)$ (Refs. 3,4), both evidence that the dominant carriers at low T are electron-like. Extrapolating S / T to T = 0 as shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 5a, we obtain the residual values and plot them as a function of doping in Fig. 5b ($S_{\rm measured}$, red squares). We see that the size of the residual term is largest (*i.e.*, is most strongly negative) at p = 0.11, and that it decreases on both sides.

This doping-dependent S / T is to be compared with the Fermi temperature directly measured by quantum oscillations, via:

$$T_{\rm F} = \frac{e\hbar}{k_{\rm B}} \frac{F}{m^*} \tag{2}$$

where *F* is the frequency, *m** the effective mass, and assuming a parabolic dispersion. For YBCO at p = 0.11, the electron pocket gives $F_{a1} = 540 \pm 20$ T and $m^* = 1.76 m_0$, so that $T_F = 410 \pm 20$ K and hence $S_e / T = -1.0 \mu V / K^2 (-0.7 \mu V / K^2)$, for $\zeta = 0$ (-1/2). In Fig. 5a, the measured *S* / *T* extrapolated to $T \rightarrow 0$ gives a value of $-0.9 \mu V / K^2$. The electron pocket alone therefore accounts by itself for essentially the entire measured Seebeck signal at p = 0.11. From quantum oscillation measurements at different dopings^{24,37,38}, we know the values of F_{a1} and *m** from p = 0.09 to p = 0.13, and can therefore determine the evolution of S_e / T in that doping interval. The result is plotted as blue circles in Fig. 5b, where we see that the calculated $|S_e / T|$ increases by a factor 2.5 between p = 0.11 and p = 0.09. This is because the mass m^* increases strongly as $p \rightarrow 0.08$ (Ref. 38), while F_{a1} decreases only slightly¹⁸. This strong *increase* in the calculated $|S_e / T|$ is in stark contrast with the measured value of |S / T|, which *decreases* by a factor 3 between p = 0.11 and p = 0.09 (Fig. 5b). To account for the observed doping dependence of the thermopower in YBCO, we are lead to conclude that there must be a hole-like contribution to S / T. We emphasize that the Hall coefficient $R_{\rm H}$ (Ref. 4) measured well above H_{c2} (Ref. 21) displays the same dome-like dependence on doping as the Seebeck coefficient (Ref. 7), which further confirms the presence of a hole-like Fermi pocket.

In a two-band model, the total Seebeck coefficient is given by

$$S = \frac{S_{\rm e}\sigma_{\rm e} + S_{\rm h}\sigma_{\rm h}}{\sigma_{\rm e} + \sigma_{\rm h}} \tag{3}$$

where the hole (*h*) and electron (*e*) contributions are weighted by their respective conductivities σ_h and σ_e . As shown in Fig. 5c, we can account for the measured S / T at $T \rightarrow 0$ by adding a hole-like contribution, S_h , which we estimate from $F_b = 95 \pm 10$ T and $m^* = 0.45 m_0$, giving $T_F = 280 \pm 80$ K. Assuming for simplicity that S_h is dopingindependent leaves the ratio of conductivities, σ_e / σ_h , as the only adjustable parameter in the above two-band expression (Eq. 3). In Fig. 5d, we plot the resulting σ_e / σ_h as a function of doping, and we see that it peaks at p = 0.11 and drops on either side. This is consistent with the fact that the amplitude of the fast quantum oscillations is largest at p = 0.11, and much smaller away from that doping¹⁸, direct evidence that the mobility of the electron pocket is maximal at p = 0.11. This is clearly seen in the resistance data in Figs. 2c and 2d, where the amplitude of the quantum oscillations of the electron pocket is strongly reduced when going from p = 0.11 to 0.12. In contrast, Figs. 3c and 3d show that the amplitude of the oscillations from the hole pocket remains nearly constant: at T = 4.2 K and H = 68 T, their relative amplitude is $\Delta R_c / R_c = 0.036$ % at p = 0.11 and 0.03 % at p = 0.12. The change in conductivity ratio therefore comes mostly from a change in σ_e .

To summarize, in addition to the two-band description of transport data in $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ (Ref. 34), the doping dependence of the Seebeck⁷ and Hall⁴ coefficients in YBCO is firm evidence that the reconstructed Fermi surface of underdoped YBCO (for 0.08) contains not only the well-established electron pocket⁴, but also another hole-like surface (of lower mobility). We combine this evidence with our discovery of an additional small Fermi surface to conclude that this new pocket is hole-like.

From the measured effective mass m^* , the residual linear term γ in the electronic specific heat $C_e(T)$ at $T \rightarrow 0$ can be estimated through the relation (Ref. 38)

$$\gamma = (1.46 \text{ mJ} / \text{K}^2 \text{ mol}) \Sigma_i (n_i m_i^* / m_0)$$
 (4)

where n_i is the multiplicity of the ith type of pocket in the first Brillouin zone (This expression assumes an isotropic Fermi liquid in two dimensions with a parabolic dispersion). For a Fermi surface containing one electron pocket and two hole pockets per CuO₂ plane, we obtain a total mass of $(1.7 \pm 0.2) + 2 (0.45 \pm 0.1) = 2.6 \pm 0.4 m_0$, giving $\gamma = 7.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ mJ} / \text{K}^2$ mol (for two CuO₂ planes per unit cell). High-field measurements of C_e at $T \rightarrow 0$ in YBCO at $p \sim 0.1$ yield $\gamma = 5 \pm 1 \text{ mJ} / \text{K}^2$ mol (Ref. 39) at $H > H_{c2} = 30 \text{ T}$ (Ref. 21). We therefore find that the Fermi surface of YBCO can contain at most two of the small hole pockets reported here, in addition to only one electron pocket. No further sheet can realistically be present in the Fermi surface.

There is compelling evidence that the Fermi surface of YBCO is reconstructed by the CDW order detected by x-ray diffraction. In particular, Fermi-surface reconstruction^{4,7} and CDW modulations^{19,20} are detected in precisely the same region of the temperature-doping phase diagram. Because the CDW modulations are along both

the *a* and *b* axes, the reconstruction naturally produces a small closed electron pocket along the Brillouin zone diagonal, at the so-called nodal position^{40,41}. Given the wavevectors measured by x-ray diffraction, there will also be small closed hole-like ellipses located between the diamond-shaped nodal electron pockets. An example of the Fermi surface calculated⁴² using the measured CDW wavevectors is sketched in Fig. 1c. It contains two distinct closed pockets: a nodal electron pocket of area such that $F_e \sim 500$ T and a hole-like ellipse such that $F_h \sim 100$ T (Ref. 42). Note that a reconstruction by a commensurate wavevector $q = 1/3 \pi/a$, very close to the measured value, yields one electron and two hole pockets per Brillouin zone, as assumed in our calculation of γ above.

If, as indeed observed in YBCO at p = 0.11 (Ref. 20), the CDW modulations are anisotropic in the *a-b* plane, the ellipse pointing along the *a* axis will be different from that pointing along the *b* axis⁴². If one of the ellipses is close enough to the electron pocket, *i.e.* if the gap between the two is small enough, magnetic breakdown will occur between the hole and the electron pockets, and this could explain the complex spectra of multiple quantum oscillations seen in underdoped YBCO (Fig. 1d).

In most models of Fermi-surface reconstruction by CDW order, the size of the Fermi pockets can be made to agree with experiments using a reasonable set of parameters. For example, a similar Fermi surface (with one electron pocket and two hole pockets) is also obtained if one considers a « criss-crossed » stripe pattern instead of a checkerboard⁴³. At this level, there is consistency between our quantum oscillation measurements and models of Fermi-surface reconstruction by the CDW order. However, in addition to the electron and hole pockets, the folding of the large Fermi surface produces other segments of Fermi surface whose total contribution to γ greatly exceeds that allowed by the specific heat data. Consequently, there must exist a mechanism that removes parts of the Fermi surface beyond the reconstruction by the

CDW order. A possible mechanism is the pseudogap. The loss of the anti-nodal states caused by the pseudogap would certainly remove parts of the reconstructed Fermi surface.

Further theoretical investigations are needed to understand how pseudogap and CDW order are intertwined in underdoped cuprates. An important point in this respect is the fact that, unlike in $Bi_2Sr_{2-x}La_xCuO_{6+d}$ (Ref. 44), the CDW wavevector measured by x-ray diffraction in YBCO and in Hg1201 (Ref. 14) does not connect the hot spots where the large Fermi surface intersects the antiferromagnetic Brillouin zone (Fig. 1c), nor does it nest the flat anti-nodal parts of that large Fermi surface (Fig. 1c).

Methods

Samples. Single crystals of YBa₂Cu₃O_y (YBCO) with y = 6.54, 6.62, and 6.67 were obtained by flux growth at UBC (Ref. 46). The superconducting transition temperature T_c was determined as the temperature below which the zero-field resistance R = 0. The hole doping p is obtained from T_c (Ref. 47), giving p = 0.11 for y = 6.54 and 6.62, and p = 0.12 for y = 6.67. The samples with y = 6.54 and 6.62 have a high degree of ortho-II oxygen order, and the sample with y = 6.67 has ortho-VIII order. The samples are detwinned rectangular platelets, with the a axis parallel to the length (longest dimension) and the b axis parallel to the width. The electrical contacts are diffused evaporated gold pads with a contact resistance less than 1 Ω .

Thermoelectric measurements. The thermoelectric response of YBCO with y = 6.54 (p = 0.11) was measured at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) in Tallahassee, Florida, up to 45 T, in the temperature range from 2 K to 40 K. The Seebeck and Nernst coefficients are given by $S = -\nabla V_x / \nabla T_x$ and $v = N / B = (\nabla V_y / \nabla T_x) / B$, respectively, where $\nabla V_x (\nabla V_y)$ is the longitudinal (transverse) voltage gradient

caused by a temperature gradient ∇T_x , in a magnetic field $B \parallel z$. A constant heat current was sent along the *a* axis of the single crystal, generating a temperature difference ΔT_x across the sample. ΔT_x was measured with two uncalibrated Cernox chip thermometers (Lakeshore), referenced to a third, calibrated Cernox. The longitudinal and transverse electric fields were measured using nanovolt preamplifiers and nanovoltmeters. All measurements were performed with the temperature of the experiment stabilized within ± 10 mK and the magnetic field *B* swept at a constant rate of 0.4 - 0.9 T / min between positive and negative maximal values, with the heat on. The field was applied normal to the CuO₂ planes ($B \parallel z \parallel c$).

Since the Seebeck coefficient *S* is symmetric with respect to the magnetic field, it is obtained by taking the mean value between positive and negative fields:

$$S = E_x / (\partial T / \partial x) = [\Delta V_x(B) + \Delta V_x(-B)] / (2 \Delta T_x) ,$$

where ΔV_x is the difference in the voltage along *x* measured with and without thermal gradient. This procedure removes any transverse contribution that could appear due to slightly misaligned contacts. The longitudinal voltages and the thermal gradient being measured on the same pair of contacts, no geometric factor is involved.

The Nernst coefficient *N* is anti-symmetric with respect to the magnetic field, therefore it is obtained by the difference:

$$N = E_v / (\partial T / \partial x) = (L / w) [V_v(B) - V_v(-B)] / (2 \Delta T_x) ,$$

where *L* and *w* are the length and width of the sample, respectively along *x* and *y*, and V_y is the voltage along *y* measured with the heat current on. This anti-symmetrisation procedure removes any longitudinal thermoelectric contribution and a constant background from the measurement circuit. The uncertainty on *N* comes from the uncertainty in determining *L* and *w*, giving typically an error bar of ± 10 %.

Resistance measurements. The *c*-axis resistance was measured at the Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses (LNCMI) in Toulouse, France, in pulsed magnetic fields up to 68 T. Measurements were performed in a conventional four-point configuration, with a current excitation of 5 mA at a frequency of ~ 60 kHz. Electrical contacts to the sample were made with large current pads and small voltage pads mounted across the top and bottom so as to short out any in-plane current. A high-speed acquisition system was used to digitize the reference signal (current) and the voltage drop across the sample at a frequency of 500 kHz. The data were analyzed with software that performs the phase comparison. θ is the angle between the magnetic field and the *c* axis, and measurements were done at $\theta = 0^\circ$ up to 68 T and at various angles θ up to 58 T. The uncertainty on the absolute value of the angle is about 1°.

References

¹ Doiron-Leyraud, N. *et al.* Quantum oscillations and the Fermi surface in an underdoped high- T_c superconductors superconductor. *Nature* **447**, 565–568 (2007).

² Barišić, N. *et al.* Universal quantum oscillations in the underdoped cuprate superconductors. *Nature Physics* **9**, 761–764 (2013).

³ LeBoeuf, D. *et al.* Electron pockets in the Fermi surface of hole-doped high- T_c superconductors. *Nature* **450**, 533–536 (2007).

⁴ LeBoeuf, D. *et al.* Lifshitz critical point in the cuprate superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_y from high-field Hall effect measurements. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 054506 (2011).

⁵ Doiron-Leyraud, N. *et al.* Hall, Seebeck, and Nernst coefficients of underdoped HgBa₂CuO_{4+ δ}: Fermi-surface reconstruction in an archetypal cuprate superconductor. *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 021019 (2013).

⁶ Chang, J. *et al.* Nernst and Seebeck coefficients of the cuprate superconductor

YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.67}: A study of Fermi surface reconstruction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057005 (2010).

⁷ Laliberté, F. *et al.* Fermi-surface reconstruction by stripe order in cuprate superconductors. *Nature Communications* **2**, 432 (2011).

⁸ Taillefer, L. *et al.* Fermi-surface reconstruction in high-*T*_c superconductors. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 164212 (2009).

⁹ Fink, J. *et al.* Phase diagram of charge order in La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO₄ from resonant soft x-ray diffraction. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 092503 (2011).

¹⁰ Wu, T. *et al.* Magnetic-field-induced charge-stripe order in the high-temperature superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_v. *Nature* **477**, 191–194 (2011).

¹¹ Ghiringhelli, G. *et al.* Long-range incommensurate charge fluctuations in

(Y,Nd)Ba₂Cu₃O_{6+x}. *Science* **337**, 821–825 (2012).

¹² Chang, J. *et al.* Direct observation of competition between superconductivity and charge density wave order in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.67}. *Nature Physics* **8**, 871–876 (2012).

¹³ Achkar, A. J. et al. Distinct charge orders in the planes and chains of ortho-III-

ordered $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+\delta}$ superconductors identified by resonant elastic x-ray scattering.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 167001 (2012).

¹⁴ Tabis, W. *et al.* Connection between charge-density-wave order and charge transport in the cuprate superconductors. *arXiv:1404.7658 [cond-mat]* (2014).

¹⁵ LeBoeuf, D. *et al.* Thermodynamic phase diagram of static charge order in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_y. *Nat. Phys* **9**, 79–83 (2013). ¹⁶ Hoffman, J. E. *et al.* A four unit cell periodic pattern of quasi-particle states surrounding vortex cores in $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$. *Science* **295**, 466–469 (2002).

¹⁷ Kohsaka, Y. *et al.* An intrinsic bond-centered electronic glass with unidirectional domains in underdoped cuprates. *Science* **315**, 1380–1385 (2007).

¹⁸ Vignolle, B., Vignolles, D., Julien, M.-H. & Proust, C. From quantum oscillations to charge order in high- T_c copper oxides in high magnetic fields. *Comptes Rendus Physique* **14**, 39–52 (2013).

¹⁹ Hücker, M. *et al.* Competing charge, spin, and superconducting orders in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_y. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 054514 (2014).

²⁰ Blanco-Canosa, S. *et al.* Resonant x-ray scattering study of charge-density wave correlations in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x}. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 054513 (2014).

²¹ Grissonnanche, G. *et al.* Direct measurement of the upper critical field in cuprate superconductors. *Nature Communications* **5**, 3280 (2014).

²² Behnia, K., Jaccard, D. & Flouquet, J. On the thermoelectricity of correlated electrons in the zero-temperature limit. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **16**, 5187 (2004).

²³ Behnia, K. The Nernst effect and the boundaries of the Fermi liquid picture. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 113101 (2009).

²⁴ Ramshaw, B. J. *et al.* Angle dependence of quantum oscillations in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.59} shows free-spin behaviour of quasiparticles. *Nature Physics* **7**, 234–238 (2010).

²⁵ Vignolle, B. *et al.* Coherent *c*-axis transport in the underdoped cuprate

superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_y. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 224524 (2012).

²⁶ Audouard, A. *et al.* Multiple quantum oscillations in the de Haas–van Alphen spectra of the underdoped high-temperature superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 157003 (2009).

²⁷ Sebastian, S. E. *et al.* Quantum oscillations from nodal bilayer magnetic breakdown in the underdoped high temperature superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, (2012).

²⁸ Sebastian, S. E. *et al.* Fermi-liquid behavior in an underdoped high- T_c

superconductor. Phys. Rev. B 81, 140505 (2010).

²⁹ Grigoriev, P. *et al.* Anomalous beating phase of the oscillating interlayer magnetoresistance in layered metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 060403(R) (2002).

³⁰ Kartsovnik, M. *et al.* Slow oscillations of magnetoresistance in quasi-twodimensional metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 126802 (2002).

³¹ Sebastian, S. E. *et al.* Normal-state nodal electronic structure in underdoped high- T_c copper oxides. *Nature* **511**, 61–64 (2014).

³² Yelland, E. A. *et al.* Quantum oscillations in the underdoped cuprate YBa₂Cu₄O₈. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 047003 (2008).

³³ Bangura, A. F. *et al.* Small Fermi surface pockets in underdoped high temperature superconductors: observation of Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations in YBa₂Cu₄O₈.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 047004 (2008).

³⁴ Rourke, P. M. C. *et al.* Fermi-surface reconstruction and two-carrier model for the Hall effect in YBa₂Cu₄O₈. *Phys. Rev. B* 82, 020514 (2010). ³⁵ Chakravarty, S. & Kee, H.-Y. Fermi pockets and quantum oscillations of the Hall coefficient in high-temperature superconductors. *PNAS* **105**, 8835–8839 (2008).

³⁶ Kikugawa N., Rost A.W., Hicks C. W., Schofield A. J. & Mackenzie A. P.

Ca₃Ru₂O₇: Density Wave Formation and Quantum Oscillations in the Hall Resistivity.

J. Phys. Soc. Japan **79**, 024704 (2010).

³⁷ Jaudet, C. *et al.* de Haas–van Alphen oscillations in the underdoped high-temperature superconductor YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 187005 (2008).

³⁸ Sebastian, S. E. *et al.* Metal-insulator quantum critical point beneath the high- T_c superconducting dome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 6175–6179 (2010).

³⁹ Riggs, S. C. *et al.* Heat capacity through the magnetic-field-induced resistive transition in an underdoped high-temperature superconductor. *Nature Physics* 7, 332–335 (2011).

⁴⁰ Harrison, N. & Sebastian, S. E. Protected nodal electron pocket from multiple-*Q* ordering in underdoped high temperature superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 226402 (2011).

⁴¹ Sebastian, S. E., Harrison, N. & Lonzarich, G. G. Towards resolution of the Fermi surface in underdoped high-*T_c* superconductors. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 102501 (2012).

⁴² Allais, A., Chowdhury, D. & Sachdev, S. Connecting high-field quantum oscillations to the pseudogap in the underdoped cuprates. *arXiv:1406.0503 [cond-mat]* (2014).

⁴³ Maharaj, A. V., Hosur, P. & Raghu, S. Crisscrossed stripe order from interlayer tunneling in hole-doped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 125108 (2014).

⁴⁴ Comin, R. *et al.* Charge order driven by Fermi-arc instability in $Bi_2Sr_{2-x}La_xCuO_{6+\delta}$. *Science* **343**, 390–392 (2014).

⁴⁶ Liang, R., Bonn, D. A. & Hardy, W. N. Growth of YBCO single crystals by the selfflux technique. *Phil. Mag.* **92**, 2563 (2012).

⁴⁷ Liang, R., Bonn, D. A. & Hardy, W. N. Evaluation of CuO₂ plane hole doping in
YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x} single crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* 73, 180505 (2006).

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Allais, K. Behnia, A. Carrington, S. Chakravarty, B. Fauqué, A. Georges, N. E. Hussey, M.-H. Julien, S.A. Kivelson, M.R. Norman, S. Raghu, S. Sachdev, A.-M. Tremblay, and C. Varma for fruitful discussions. We thank J. Béard and P. Frings for their assistance with the experiments at the LNCMI. The work in Toulouse was supported by the French ANR SUPERFIELD, the EMFL, and the LABEX NEXT. A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1157490, the State of Florida, and the U.S. Department of Energy. R.L., D.A.B. and W.N.H. acknowledge support from NSERC. L.T. acknowledges support from the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research and funding from NSERC, FRQNT, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, and a Canada Research Chair.

Author contributions

N.D.-L., S.R.d.C. and J.-H.P. performed the Seebeck and Nernst measurements at the NHMFL in Tallahassee. N.D.-L., F.L. and E.H. analyzed the Seebeck data. S.B., S.L., D.L., D.V., B.V. and C.P. performed and analyzed the resistance measurements at the

LNCMI in Toulouse. B.J.R., R.L., D.A.B. and W.N.H. prepared the YBCO single crystals at UBC (crystal growth, annealing, de-twinning, contacts). L.T. and N.D.-L. supervised the thermo-electric measurements. C.P. supervised the pulsed-field measurements. N.D.-L., L.T. and C.P. wrote the manuscript, with input from all authors.

Figure 1 | Phase diagrams and Fermi surface of YBCO

a) Temperature-doping phase diagram of YBCO, showing the superconducting transition temperature T_c (grey dome, Ref. 47) and the CDW onset temperature T_{CDW} (green diamonds, Ref. 19; blue diamonds, Ref. 20). **b)** Upper critical field H_{c2} of YBCO as a function of doping (red dots, Ref. 21). In both a) and b), the arrows indicate the two dopings of the samples used in our study. All error bars in panels a) and b) are reproduced from the original references. **c)** Sketch of the reconstructed Fermi surface adapted from Ref. 42 using the CDW wavevectors (arrows) measured in YBCO (Refs. 19, 20), showing a diamond-shaped nodal electron pocket (red) and two hole-like ellipses (blue and green). The dashed line is the antiferromagnetic Brillouin zone; the dotted line is the original large Fermi surface; the black dots mark the so-called "hot spots", where those two lines intersect. **d)** Fourier transform of our *c*-axis resistance data (at p = 0.11), showing the new "low" frequency $F_b = 95 \pm 10$ T reported here, and the three main "high" frequencies F_{a1} (blue), F_{a2} (red), and F_{a3} (green).

Figure 2 | Quantum oscillations in YBCO

a) Seebeck (*S*; red) and Nernst (*N*; blue) signals in YBCO p = 0.11 as a function of magnetic field *B* at T = 2 K. **b)** Seebeck coefficient *S*, plotted as S / T vs *B*, at temperatures as indicated. **c**, **d)** *c*-axis electrical resistance R_c of YBCO samples with p = 0.11 and p = 0.12, as a function of *B* up to 68 T, at different temperatures as indicated. For all data, the field *B* is along the *c* axis.

Figure 3 | Slow quantum oscillations

a) Seebeck coefficient in YBCO p = 0.11 (blue), plotted as S / T, as a function of field *B* at T = 18 K, showing slow oscillations about a linear background (red). **b)** Oscillatory part of the Seebeck coefficient $\Delta S / T$ (obtained by subtracting a 2^{nd} order polynomial from the raw data) as a function of 1 / B, showing the usual fast quantum oscillations at T = 2 K (red), and the new slow oscillations with $F_b = 95 \pm 10$ T at T = 18 K (blue, multiplied by 20). For clarity, the slow frequency F_b was removed from the data at T = 2 K. **c**, **d)** Oscillatory part of the *c*-axis electrical resistance ΔR_c in YBCO (obtained by subtracting a 3^{rd} order polynomial from the raw data) at p = 0.11 and p = 0.12 as a function of 1 / B, with a frequency $F_b = 95 \pm 10$ T and 120 ± 15 T at p = 0.11 and 0.12, respectively.

Figure 4 | Properties of the slow frequency at *p* = 0.11

a) Derivative of the resistance of sample p = 0.11 with respect to field *B*, plotted versus 1 / *B* at temperatures as indicated. This confirms the presence of the slow frequency F_{b} , irrespective of background subtraction. **b)** Amplitude of F_{b} oscillation as a function of temperature (dots). The line is a Lifshitz-Kosevich fit to the data, giving an effective mass $m^* = 0.45 \pm 0.1 m_0$. **c)** Oscillatory part of the *c*-axis resistance at different angles θ between the field and the *c* axis, as indicated, as a function of 1 / $B\cos(\theta)$ at T = 15 K. A 2nd order polynomial background was subtracted from the raw data to extract ΔR . **d)** Slow frequency F_{b} as a function of standard deviations in the value of *F*, for different fitting ranges and different orders of the polynomial background.

Figure 5 | Evidence of a hole-like contribution to the Seebeck coefficient

a) Normal-state Seebeck coefficient S / T as a function of temperature for YBCO at four dopings, as indicated (adapted from Ref. 7). The squares and dots are data in zero field and in 28 T, respectively. The dotted lines are extrapolations of S / T to $T \rightarrow 0$, whose values are plotted in b) and c) (red squares). The lines are a guide to the eye. b) Extrapolated value of S / T at T = 0 as a function of doping (S_{measured}, red squares; from data and extrapolations in a)). The error bars represent the uncertainty in extrapolating to T = 0. The blue dots (S_e) indicate S / T (T \rightarrow 0) for the electron pocket alone, calculated from the main quantum oscillation frequency F_{a1} and mass m^* (from Refs. 24, 37, 38) (using Eqs. 1 and 2). The blue line is a guide to the eye. c) The red squares (S_{measured}) are identical to those in b). Using a two-band model (Eq. 3), we include the contribution of the hole pocket (S_h) using the measured Fermi temperature associated with the slow frequency F_b (T_F = 280 ± 80 K; see text). With the conductivity ratio $\sigma_{\rm e}$ / $\sigma_{\rm h}$ as the only fit parameter, this model (black line) reproduces the measured data (red squares). d) Ratio of electron to hole conductivities, $\sigma_{\rm e} / \sigma_{\rm h}$ (black circles), from a fit (black line, panel c)) to the measured values of S / T at T = 0 (red squares, panels b) and c)). The black line is a guide to the eye. The error bars are derived from the errors on $S_{measured}$.









