

# New $R$ -matrices for small quantum groups

Simon Lentner\* and Daniel Nett  
Algebra and Number Theory, Hamburg University,  
Bundesstraße 55, D-20146 Hamburg

ABSTRACT. It is widely accepted that small quantum groups should possess a quasitriangular structure, even though this is technically not true. In this article we construct explicit  $R$ -matrices, sometimes several inequivalent ones, over certain natural extensions of small quantum groups by grouplike elements. The extensions are in correspondence to lattices between root and weight lattice. Our result generalizes a well-known calculation for  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  used in logarithmic conformal field theories.

Keywords: Quantum group, R-matrix, braided category  
MSC Classification: 16T05

## CONTENTS

Introduction and Summary	2
1. Preliminaries	6
1.1. Lie Theory	6
1.2. Quantum groups	7
1.3. $R$ -matrices	9
2. Ansatz for $R$	10
2.1. Quasi- $R$ -matrix and Cartan-part	10
2.2. A set of equations	11
3. The first type of equations	14
3.1. Equations of <i>group-type</i>	14
3.2. Results for all fundamental groups of Lie algebras	15
4. Quotient diamonds and the second type of equations	16
4.1. Quotient diamonds and equations of <i>diamond-type</i>	16
4.2. Cyclic fundamental group $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$	18
4.3. Example: $B_2$	21
5. Proof of Theorem A	22
References	29

---

\*Corresponding author:  
simon.lentner@uni-hamburg.de, Phone: +49 40 42838 5178, Fax: +49 40 42838-5190

## INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Hopf algebras with  $R$ -matrices, so called quasitriangular Hopf algebras, give rise to braided tensor categories, which have many interesting applications: Any braided vector space with a dual can be used to construct knot invariants and, using surgery, a (finite) braided tensor category gives rise to a invariant of 3-manifolds, cf. [Vir06] based on the well-known work [RT90]. In [Ros93, KR02] the case of the representation category of a quantum group is treated. For example, if the  $R$ -matrix for the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  in the case  $q = i$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  is evaluated on the standard representation depending on an additional deformation parameter  $\lambda$ , then one obtains in this way the Alexander-Conway-polynomial. Braided tensor categories with an additional non-degeneracy condition give rise to topological field theories [Tur94, KL01]. Checking which  $R$ -matrices below fulfill this additional condition would be an interesting follow-up to the present work.

For quantum groups, Lusztig gives in [Lus93] Sec. 32 essentially an  $R$ -matrix, but it is not clear that this gives rise to an  $R$ -matrix over the small quantum groups  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $q$  an  $\ell$ -th root of unity. In [Ros93] this has been shown to be true whenever  $\ell$  is odd and prime to the determinant of the Cartan matrix. In other cases Lusztig's small quantum group itself usually does not admit an  $R$ -matrix, in many cases even the category is not braided. This has been resolved in two ways in literature:

- Several authors consider slightly smaller quotients (resp. a subcategory), i.e.  $K^e = 1$  for  $e$  half the exponent in Lusztig's definition, where one can obtain indeed an  $R$ -matrix if  $\ell$  is prime to the determinant of the Cartan matrix [Ros93]. For some applications however, it is desirable that the quotient is taken precisely with Lusztig's choice and one wishes to focus on the even case.
- For  $q$  an even root of unity, some authors consider  $R$ -matrices up to outer automorphism ([Tan92, Res95]), or quadratic extensions of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , e.g. explicitly in the case of  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  in [RT91, FGST06] and more generally in [GW98] for  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ . By [Tur94] p. 511 Rosso has already suggested in 1993 that one should consider extensions of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  for general  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In this article we determine *all* possible  $R$ -matrices that can be obtained through Lusztig's ansatz [Lus93] Sec. 32.1, which means to vary the *toral part*  $R_0$  (see below), while at the same time considering extensions of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  that are Lie-theoretically motivated and explain the exceptional behaviour with respect to the determinant of the Cartan matrix. In many cases we find several inequivalent choices different from the standard choice of  $R_0$  (most notably  $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2n}$ ), while other cases still do not admit  $R$ -matrices. In particular we find indeed that also even  $\ell$  (or divisible by 4 for multiply-laced  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) admit  $R$ -matrices for extensions of Lusztig's original quantum group.

More precisely, the extensions  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  we consider depend on a choice of a lattice  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  between root and weight lattice, which corresponds to a choice of a complex connected Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We first derive a necessary form of the  $R$ -matrix, depending only on the fundamental group  $\Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$ ; this amounts to a question in additive combinatorics we have settled in [LN14]. The main calculations concluding the present article is to check sufficiency in terms of certain sublattices of  $\Lambda$ . These sublattices depend heavily on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and on the roots of unity in question, in particular in common divisors of  $\ell$  and the determinant of the Cartan matrix, which is the order of  $\Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$ .

This article is organized as follows.

In Section 1 we fix the Lie theoretic notation and prove some technical preliminaries. In particular, we introduce some sublattices of the weight lattice  $\Lambda_W$  of a simple complex Lie algebra, e.g. the so-called  $\ell$ -centralizer  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)$  of  $\Lambda_R$  in  $\Lambda_W$  (with respect to the braiding). We then give the definition of the finite dimensional quantum groups  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$  for lattices  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$ , where  $\Lambda'$  is a suitable sublattice of  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)$ . Choices of  $\Lambda'$  correspond to the choice of a quotient, see above. We recall also the definition of an  $R$ -matrix.

In Section 2 we review the ansatz  $R = R_0 \bar{\Theta}$  for  $R$ -matrices by Lusztig, with fixed  $\bar{\Theta} \in u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)^+ \otimes u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)^-$  and free toral part  $R_0 = \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu, \nu) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu$ . We find equations for the free parameters  $f(\mu, \nu)$  that are equivalent to  $R$  being an  $R$ -matrix and depend on the fundamental group  $\pi_1 = \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and on some sublattices of  $\Lambda_W$  associated to  $q$ . This ansatz was also used by Müller [Mül98a, Mül98b] for determining  $R$ -matrices for quadratic extensions of  $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ .

In Section 3 we will first consider those equations on  $f(\mu, \nu)$ , that only depend on  $\pi_1$  as a group, the so-called *group-equations* for the coefficients of the ansatz in Section 2. We will give all solutions of the group-equations of a group  $G$ , where  $G$  is cyclic or equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , since these are the relevant cases for  $G = \pi_1$  the fundamental group of the Lie algebras in interest. The case  $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$  with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$  is particularly hard and depends on a question in additive combinatorics, which we settled in [LN14].

We then consider in Section 4 a certain constellation of sublattices of  $\Lambda$ , which we call a diamond. Depending on these sublattices we define *diamond-equations*, derive a necessary condition for the existence of solutions and give again results for the cyclic case.

In Section 5 we give the main result of this article in Theorem A, a list of  $R$ -matrices obtained by Lusztig's ansatz. These are obtained by solving the corresponding group- and diamond-equations, depending on the fundamental group  $\pi_1$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the lattice  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$ , kernel  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$  and the  $\ell$ -th root of unity  $q$ . Here,  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  denotes the lattice orthogonal to  $\Lambda_W \bmod \ell$ , i.e. the set of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\ell \mid (\lambda, \mu)$  for all weights  $\mu$ .

We develop general results that allow us to compute all  $R$ -matrices fulfilling Lusztig's ansatz depending on  $\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda'$ . Under the additional assumption 1.13 on  $\Lambda'$ , which also simplifies some calculations, we find that in fact  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  is the only choice that allows the existence of an  $R$ -matrix.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra with root lattice  $\Lambda_R$ , weight lattice  $\Lambda_W$  and fundamental group  $\pi_1 = \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$ . Let  $q$  be an  $\ell$ -th root of unity,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell > 2$ . Then we have the following  $R$ -matrix of the form  $R = R_0 \bar{\Theta}$ , with  $\bar{\Theta}$  as in Theorem 2.2:*

$$R = \left( \frac{1}{|\Lambda/\Lambda'|} \sum_{(\mu, \nu) \in (\Lambda_1/\Lambda' \times \Lambda_2/\Lambda')} q^{-(\mu, \nu)} \omega(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu \right) \cdot \bar{\Theta},$$

for the quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$  with  $\Lambda_i$  the preimage of a certain subgroup  $H_i \subset \pi_1$  in  $\Lambda_W$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), a certain group-pairing  $\omega: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  as in Def. 1.4.

In the following table we list for all root systems the following data, depending on  $\ell$ : Possible choices of  $H_1, H_2$  (in terms of fundamental weights  $\lambda_k$ ), the group-pairing  $\omega$ , and the number of solutions  $\#$ . If the number has a superscript  $*$ , we obtain  $R$ -matrices for Lusztig's original choice of  $\Lambda'$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = D_n$ ,  $2 \mid n$ , with  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  we get the only cases  $H_1 \neq H_2$  and denote by  $\lambda \neq \lambda' \in \{\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n\}$  arbitrary elements of order 2 in  $\pi_1$ .

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\ell$	$\#$	$H_i \cong$	$H_{i(i=1,2)}$	$\omega$
$A_{n \geq 1}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$	$\ell$ odd		$\mathbb{Z}_d$	$\langle \frac{n+1}{d} \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \xi_d^k$ , if $d \mid (n+1)$ , $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $\gcd(n+1, d\ell, k\ell - \frac{n+1}{d}n) = 1$
	$\ell$ even	*			
$B_{n \geq 2}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = (-1)^{n-1}$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$ , if $n$ even
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ $\ell \neq 4$	2*	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$
		1*	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$ , if $n$ even
$C_{n \geq 3}$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = -1$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = (-1)^{n-1}$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ $\ell \neq 4$	2*	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$
		1*	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$ , if $n$ even
$D_{n \geq 4}$ $n$ even $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		3	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda, \lambda) = -1$
		6	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \neq \mathbb{Z}_2'$	$\langle \lambda \rangle, \langle \lambda' \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda, \lambda') = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = -1$
		2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n-1}) = \pm 1$
					$\omega(\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) = 1$
					$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1}) = 1$
					$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \mp 1$
		2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n-1}) = -1$
					$\omega(\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$ $\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1}) = \mp 1$ $\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = -1$
	$\ell$ even	16*	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \in \{\pm 1\}$
$D_{n \geq 5}$ $n$ odd $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle 2\lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(2\lambda_n, 2\lambda_n) = -1$
		2	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = \pm 1$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	4*	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = c$ , $c^4 = 1$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	4*	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\langle \lambda_n \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = c$ , $c^4 = 1$
$E_6$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\ell$ odd, $3 \nmid \ell$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		2	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\langle \lambda_6 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_6, \lambda_6) = 1, \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3})$
	$\ell$ even, $3 \nmid \ell$	1*	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		2*	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\langle \lambda_6 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_6, \lambda_6) = 1, \exp(2\frac{2\pi i}{3})$
	$\ell$ odd, $3 \mid \ell$	3	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\langle \lambda_6 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_6, \lambda_6) = c$ , $c^3 = 1$
	$\ell$ even, $3 \mid \ell$	3*	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\langle \lambda_6 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_6, \lambda_6) = c$ , $c^3 = 1$
$E_7$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
		1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_7 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_7, \lambda_7) = 1$
	$\ell$ even	2*	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle \lambda_7 \rangle$	$\omega(\lambda_7, \lambda_7) = \pm 1$
$E_8$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$
	$\ell$ even	1*	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$

$F_4$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$	$\ell$ odd	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0,0) = 1$
	$\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0,0) = 1$
	$\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ $\ell \neq 4$	$1^*$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0,0) = 1$
$G_2$ $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$	$\ell$ odd $\ell \neq 3$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0,0) = 1$
	$\ell$ even $\ell \neq 4, 6$	$1^*$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0,0) = 1$

Table 1: Solutions for  $R_0$ -matrices

The cases  $B_n, C_n, F_4, \ell = 4$  and  $G_2, \ell = 3, 6$  and  $\ell = 4$  respectively, can be obtained in the table for  $A_1^{\times n}, D_n, D_4$ , and again  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  respectively (cf. [Len14] for details).

Note, that Lusztig's  $R$ -matrix for  $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$  correspond to the case  $H = \mathbb{Z}_1$  and  $\omega = 1$ . The known quadratic extension for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  is the case  $A_1$  with  $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$  in the example below.

**Remark B.** We indicate in which sense our results are *not* complete:

- Technically, one could even allow  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W^\vee$ , but then one would loose the topological interpretation as different choices of a Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- Our additional assumption 1.13 on the considered quotients  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$  was chosen to simplify calculations and prove uniqueness. In general  $\Lambda' \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda)$  would suffice (and could yield more solutions), but one would have to deal with possible 2-cocycles in  $H^2(\Lambda/\Lambda', \pi_1)$  in Lemma 2.5.

**Question C.** Are *all*  $R$ -matrices of  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  given by Lusztig's ansatz and hence in our list?

**Question D.** Which  $R$ -matrices above give rise to *equivalent* braided tensor categories?

**Question E.** Which  $R$ -matrices in this article are *factorizable* and give hence rise to (non-semisimple) modular tensor categories? What are results for other Nichols algebras?

**Example.** For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  with root system  $A_1$  the fundamental group is  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the simple root, generating the root lattice  $\Lambda_R$ , and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$  the fundamental dominant weight, generating the weight lattice  $\Lambda_W$ . We will give the  $R$ -matrices for the quantum groups  $u = u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$  for  $\ell$ -th root of unity  $q$  and lattices  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  and  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ , which equals in the simply laced case  $\ell\Lambda_R$ .

The quasi  $R$ -matrix  $\Theta$  (see Theorem 2.2) depends only on the root lattice and exists in  $u^+ \otimes u^-$  with Borel parts  $u^\pm$ , generated by  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha$ . With  $\ell_\alpha = \ell / \gcd(\ell, 2d_\alpha) = \ell / \gcd(\ell, 2)$  we have

$$\Theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_\alpha-1} (-1)^k \frac{(q - q^{-1})^k}{[k]_q!} q^{-k(k-1)/2} E_\alpha^k \otimes F_\alpha^k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Theta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_\alpha-1} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k-1)/2} E_\alpha^k \otimes F_\alpha^k,$$

with  $q$ -factorial  $[k]_q!$ . The toral part  $R_0$ -is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{|\Lambda/\Lambda_R^{[\ell]}|} \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} q^{-(\mu, \nu)} \omega(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu,$$

for  $H$  and  $\omega: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  as in Table 1. The possible solutions depend on  $\ell$ . We now check the condition  $\gcd(2, d\ell, k\ell - 2/d) = 1$  from the theorem above ( $n = 1$  and  $d = 1, 2$ ). For odd  $\ell$ , we get the following solutions by Theorem A:

$$\begin{aligned} H = \mathbb{Z}_1, \quad \omega: \mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \omega(0, 0) = 1, \\ H = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \omega: \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \omega(\lambda, \lambda) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For even  $\ell$  the solution for  $H = \mathbb{Z}_1$ , i.e. for  $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ , does not exist (since  $2 \mid \ell$  and  $2 \mid (\ell - 2)$ ), rather we get both possible solutions on the full support  $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ :

$$H = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \omega: \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \omega(\lambda, \lambda) = \pm 1.$$

In these cases, the  $R$ -matrices are explicitly given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell_\alpha-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{2\ell-1} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^k}{[k]_q!} q^{k(k-1)/2 + k(j-i) - \frac{ij}{2}} (\pm 1)^{ij} E_\alpha^k K_\lambda^i \otimes F_\alpha^k K_\lambda^j$$

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is supported by the DFG Research Training Group 1670. We thank Christoph Schweigert for several helpful discussions.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

At first, we fix a convention.

**Convention 1.1.** In the following,  $q$  is an  $\ell$ -th root of unity. We fix  $q = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{\ell})$  and for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we set  $q^a = \exp(\frac{2\pi i a}{\ell})$ ,  $\ell > 2$ .

**1.1. Lie Theory.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional, semisimple complex Lie algebra with simple roots  $\alpha_i$ , indexed by  $i \in I$ ,  $|I| = n$ , and a set of positive roots  $\Phi^+$ . Denote the Killing form by  $(-, -)$ , normalized such that  $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$  for the short roots  $\alpha$ . The Cartan matrix is given by

$$a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}.$$

For a root  $\alpha$  we call  $d_\alpha := (\alpha, \alpha)/2$  with  $d_\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Especially,  $d_i := d_{\alpha_i}$  and in this notation  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$ . The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , are given by the condition  $2(\alpha_i, \lambda_j)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = \delta_{ij}$ , hence the Cartan matrix expresses the change of basis from roots to weights.

**Definition 1.2.** The root lattice  $\Lambda_R = \Lambda_R(\mathfrak{g})$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the abelian group with rank  $\text{rank}(\Lambda_R) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = |I|$ , generated by the simple roots  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 1.3.** The weight lattice  $\Lambda_W = \Lambda_W(\mathfrak{g})$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the abelian group with rank  $\text{rank}(\Lambda_W) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ , generated by the fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

The Killing form induces an integral pairing of abelian groups, turning  $\Lambda_R$  into an integral lattice. It is standard fact of Lie theory (cf. [Hum72], Section 13.1) that the root lattice is contained in the weight lattice.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\Lambda_R$ ,  $\Lambda_W$  the root, resp. weight, lattice of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with generators  $\alpha_i$ , resp.  $\lambda_i$ , for  $i \in I$ .

- (i) Following Lusztig, we define  $\ell_i := \ell / \gcd(\ell, 2d_i)$ , which is the order of  $q^{2d_i}$ , where  $q$  is a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity. More generally, we define for any root  $\ell_\alpha := \ell / \gcd(\ell, 2d_\alpha)$ . For any positive integer  $\ell$ , the  $\ell$ -lattice  $\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ , resp.  $\Lambda_W^{(\ell)}$ , is defined as

$$\Lambda_R^{(\ell)} = \langle \ell_i \alpha_i, i \in I \rangle \quad \text{resp.} \quad \Lambda_W^{(\ell)} = \langle \ell_i \lambda_i, i \in I \rangle. \quad (1.1)$$

- (ii) For any positive integer  $\ell$ , the lattice  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ , resp.  $\Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$ , is defined as

$$\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \left\langle \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i)} \alpha_i, i \in I \right\rangle \quad \text{resp.} \quad \Lambda_W^{[\ell]} = \left\langle \frac{\ell}{\gcd(\ell, d_i)} \lambda_i, i \in I \right\rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

**Definition 1.5.** For  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_W$  with  $\Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_1$  we define  $\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_1}^q(\Lambda_2) = \{ \eta \in \Lambda_1 \mid (\eta, \lambda) \in \ell\mathbb{Z} \ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_2 \}$ . In the situation  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_W$  we simply write  $\text{Cent}_{\Lambda_W}^q(\Lambda_2) = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_2)$ .

Especially, the set  $\langle K_\eta \mid \eta \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) \rangle$  consists of the central group elements of the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda_W)$ , cf. Section 1.2.

**Lemma 1.6.** For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  we have  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) = \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$ . We call the elements of  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)$  central weights.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \sum_{j \in I} m_j \lambda_j \in \Lambda_W$  with fundamental weights  $\lambda_i$ . For a simple root  $\alpha_i$  we have  $(\alpha_i, \lambda) = (\alpha_i, \sum_{j \in I} m_j \lambda_j) = d_i m_i$ . Thus,  $\lambda$  is central weight if  $\ell \mid d_i m_i$  for all  $i$ , hence  $(\ell / \gcd(\ell, d_i)) \mid m_i$  for all  $i$ . ■

The same calculation gives the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.7.** For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  we have  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ .

**1.2. Quantum groups.** For a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , lattices  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  with  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  and  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)} \subset \Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$ , and a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity  $q$ , we aim to define the finite-dimensional quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ , also called small quantum group. We construct  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$  by using rational and integral forms of the deformed universal enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  for an indeterminate  $q$ . In the following we give the definitions of the quantum groups, following the lines of [Len14]. The different choices of  $\Lambda$  are already in [Lus93], Sec. 2.2. We shall give a dictionary to translate Lusztig's notation to the one used here.

**Definition 1.8.** For  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  or  $q$  an indeterminate and  $n \leq k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we define

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \begin{cases} \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}, & 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. For each abelian group  $\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  we define the rational form  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  over the ring of rational functions  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$  as follows:

As algebra, let  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  be generated by the group ring  $\mathbb{k}[\Lambda]$ , spanned by  $K_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , and additional generators  $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}$ , for each simple root  $\alpha_i, i \in I$ , with relations:

$$K_\lambda E_{\alpha_i} K_\lambda^{-1} = q^{(\lambda, \alpha_i)} E_{\alpha_i}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$K_\lambda F_{\alpha_i} K_\lambda^{-1} = q^{-(\lambda, \alpha_i)} F_{\alpha_i}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$E_{\alpha_i} F_{\alpha_j} - F_{\alpha_j} E_{\alpha_i} = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_{\alpha_i} - K_{\alpha_i}^{-1}}{q_{\alpha_i} - q_{\alpha_i}^{-1}}, \quad (1.5)$$

and Serre relations for any  $i \neq j \in I$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_{\alpha_i}^{1-a_{ij}-r} E_{\alpha_j} E_{\alpha_i}^r = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{\bar{q}_i} F_{\alpha_i}^{1-a_{ij}-r} F_{\alpha_j} F_{\alpha_i}^r = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\bar{q} := q^{-1}$ , the quantum binomial coefficients are defined in Definition 1.8 and by definition  $q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} = (q^{d_i})^{a_{ij}} = q_i^{a_{ij}}$ .

As a coalgebra, let the coproduct  $\Delta$ , the counit  $\varepsilon$  and the antipode  $S$  be defined on the group-Hopf-algebra  $\mathbb{k}[\Lambda]$  as usual

$$\Delta(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda \otimes K_\lambda, \quad \varepsilon(K_\lambda) = 1, \quad S(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda^{-1} = K_{-\lambda},$$

and on the generator  $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}$ , for each simple root  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i \in I$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E_{\alpha_i}) &= E_{\alpha_i} \otimes K_{\alpha_i} + 1 \otimes E_{\alpha_i}, & \Delta(F_{\alpha_i}) &= F_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \otimes F_{\alpha_i}, \\ \varepsilon(E_{\alpha_i}) &= 0, & \varepsilon(F_{\alpha_i}) &= 0, \\ S(E_{\alpha_i}) &= -E_{\alpha_i} K_{\alpha_i}^{-1}, & S(F_{\alpha_i}) &= -K_{\alpha_i} F_{\alpha_i}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a Hopf algebra over the field  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ . Moreover, we have a triangular decomposition: Consider the subalgebras  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),+}$ , generated by the  $E_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),-}$ , generated by the  $F_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),0} = \mathbb{k}[\Lambda]$ , spanned by the  $K_\lambda$ . Then the multiplication in  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  induces an isomorphism of vector spaces:

$$U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),+} \otimes U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),0} \otimes U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q),-} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)}.$$

**Definition 1.10.** The so-called *restricted integral form*  $U_q^{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra by  $\Lambda$  and the following elements in  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q), \pm}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ , called *divided powers*:

$$E_\alpha^{(r)} := \frac{E_\alpha^r}{\prod_{s=1}^r [s]_{q_\alpha}} \quad F_\alpha^{(r)} := \frac{F_\alpha^r}{\prod_{s=1}^r [s]_{\bar{q}_\alpha}} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+, r > 0,$$

and by the following elements in  $U_q^{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)^0$ :

$$K_{\alpha_i}^{(r)} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\alpha_i}; 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix} := \prod_{s=1}^r \frac{K_{\alpha_i} q_{\alpha_i}^{1-s} - K_{\alpha_i}^{-1} q_{\alpha_i}^{s-1}}{q_{\alpha_i}^s - q_{\alpha_i}^{-s}}, \quad i \in I.$$

These definitions can also be found in Lusztig's book [Lus93]. In order to translate Lusztig's notation to the one used here, one has to match the terms in the following way



Lusztig's notation	notation used here
Index set $I$	simple roots $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$
$X$	root lattice $\Lambda_R$
$Y$	lattice $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$
$i' \in X$	$\alpha_i$
$i \in Y$	$\frac{\alpha_i}{d_{\alpha_i}} = \alpha_i^\vee$ coroot
$i \cdot j, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$	$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$
$\langle i, j' \rangle = 2 \frac{i \cdot j'}{i \cdot i}, i \in Y, j' \in X$	$\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$
$K_i$	$K_{\alpha_i^\vee}$
$\tilde{K}_i = K_{\frac{i \cdot i}{2}}$	$K_{\alpha_i}$

We now define the *restricted specialization*  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ . Here, we specialize  $q$  to a specific choice  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

**Definition 1.11.** The infinite-dimensional Hopf algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  is defined by

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda) := U_q^{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}_q,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_q = \mathbb{C}$  with the  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module structure defined by the specific value  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

From now on,  $q$  will be a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity. We choose explicitly  $q = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{\ell})$ , see Convention 1.1.

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra with root system  $\Phi$  and assume  $\text{ord}(q^2) > d_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . For lattices  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  with  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  and  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)} \subset \Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$ , we define the *small quantum group*  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$  as the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$  from Definition 1.11, generated by  $K_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha$  with  $\ell_\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  not necessarily simple, together with the relations

$$E_\alpha^{\ell_\alpha} = 0, \quad F_\alpha^{\ell_\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad K_\lambda = 1 \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda'.$$

The coalgebra structure is again given as in Definition 1.9. This is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra of dimension

$$|\Lambda/\Lambda'| \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+, \ell_\alpha > 1} \ell_\alpha^2.$$

The fact, that this gives a Hopf algebra for  $\Lambda' = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$  is in Lusztig, [Lus90], Sec. 8.

We fix the assumption on  $\Lambda'$ .

**Assumption 1.13.** We assume for the sublattice  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda_W$  in the following that

$$2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)} \subset \Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$$

### 1.3. $R$ -matrices.

**Definition 1.14.** A Hopf algebra  $H$  is called *quasitriangular* if there exists an invertible element  $R \in H \otimes H$  such that

$$\Delta^{\text{op}}(h) = R\Delta(h)R^{-1}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id})(R) = R_{13}R_{23}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$(\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}, \tag{1.10}$$

with  $\Delta^{op}(h) = \tau \circ \Delta(h)$ , where  $\tau : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ ,  $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$  and  $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$ ,  $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R$ ,  $R_{13} = (\tau \otimes Id)(R_{23}) = (Id \otimes \tau)(R_{12}) \in H^{\otimes 3}$ . Such an element is called an *R-matrix of H*.

## 2. ANSATZ FOR $R$

**2.1. Quasi- $R$ -matrix and Cartan-part.** The goal of this paper is to construct new families of  $R$ -matrices for small quantum groups and certain extensions (see Def. 1.12). Our starting point is Lusztig's ansatz in [Lus93], Sec. 32.1, for a universal  $R$ -matrix of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)$ . This ansatz has been translated by Müller in his Dissertation [Mül98a], resp. in [Mül98b], for small quantum groups, which we will use in the following. Note, that this ansatz has been successfully generalized to general diagonal Nichols algebras in [AY13].

For a finite-dimensional, semisimple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , an  $\ell$ -th root of unity  $q$  and lattices  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  as in Section 1.2, we write  $u = u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ . Let  $\bar{\cdot} : u \rightarrow \bar{u}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism defined by  $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ ,  $E_{\alpha_i} \mapsto E_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $F_{\alpha_i} \mapsto F_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $K_{\lambda} \mapsto K_{-\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then the map  $\bar{\cdot} \otimes \bar{\cdot} : u \otimes u \rightarrow \bar{u} \otimes \bar{u}$  is a well-defined  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism and we can define a  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra morphism  $\bar{\Delta} : u \rightarrow u \otimes u$  given by  $\bar{\Delta}(x) = \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x})$  for all  $x \in U$ . We have in general  $\bar{\Delta} \neq \Delta$ .

Assume in the following, that

$$\ell_i > 1 \text{ for all } i \in I, \text{ and } \ell_i > -\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } i \neq j. \quad (2.1)$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([Len14]). *For a root system  $\Phi$  of a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra and an  $\ell$ -th root of unity  $q$ , the condition (2.1) fails only in the following cases  $(\Phi, \ell)$ . In each case, the small quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is described by a different  $\tilde{\Phi}$  fulfilling (2.1), hence the present work also provides results for these cases by consulting the results for  $\tilde{\Phi}$ .*

$\Phi$	$(all)$	$B_n$	$C_n$	$F_4$	$G_2$	$G_2$
$\ell$	1, 2	4	4	4	3, 6	4
$\tilde{\Phi}$	$(empty)$	$\underbrace{A_1 \times \dots \times A_1}_{n\text{-times}}$	$D_n$	$D_4$	$A_2$	$A_3$

The following theorem is essentially in [Lus93]. Note that the roles of  $E, F$  will be switched in our article to match the usual convention:

**Theorem 2.2** (cf. [Mül98b], Thm. 8.2). *(a) There is a unique family of elements  $\Theta_{\nu} \in u_{\nu}^+ \otimes u_{\nu}^-$ ,  $\nu \in \Lambda_R$ , such that  $\Theta_0 = 1 \otimes 1$  and  $\Theta = \sum_{\nu} \Theta_{\nu} \in u \otimes u$  satisfies  $\Delta(x)\Theta = \Theta\bar{\Delta}(x)$  for all  $x \in u$ .*

*(b) Let  $B$  be a vector space-basis of  $u^+$ , such that  $B_{\nu} = B \cap u_{\nu}^+$  is a basis of  $u_{\nu}^+$  for all  $\nu$ . Here,  $u_{\nu}^+$  refers to the natural  $\Lambda_R$ -grading of  $u^+$ . Let  $\{b^* \mid b \in B_{\nu}\}$  be the basis of  $u_{\nu}^-$  dual to  $B_{\nu}$  under the non-degenerate bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot) : u^+ \otimes u^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We have*

$$\Theta_{\nu} = (-1)^{\text{tr } \nu} q_{\nu} \sum_{b \in B_{\nu}} b^+ \otimes b^{*-} \in u_{\nu}^+ \otimes u_{\nu}^-, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $q_{\nu} = \prod_i q_i^{\nu_i}$ ,  $\text{tr } \nu = \sum_i \nu_i$  for  $\nu = \sum_i \nu_i \alpha_i \in \Lambda_R$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (i) The element  $\Theta$  is called the *Quasi- $R$ -matrix* of  $u = u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ .

- (ii) Since the element  $\Theta$  is unique, the expressions  $\sum_{b \in B_\nu} b^+ \otimes b^{*-}$  in part (b) of the theorem are independent of the actual choice of the basis  $B$ .
- (iii) For example, if  $\mathfrak{g} = A_1$ , i.e. there is only one simple root  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ , and  $E = E_\alpha$ ,  $F = F_\alpha$ . Thus we have

$$\Theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell_\alpha-1} (-1)^n \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]_q!} q^{-n(n-1)/2} E^n \otimes F^n.$$

- (iv) The Quasi- $R$ -matrix  $\Theta$  is invertible with inverse  $\Theta^{-1} = \bar{\Theta}$ , i.e. the expression one gets by changing all  $q$  to  $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (cf. [Mül98b], Theorem 8.11). *Let  $\Lambda' \subset \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid K_\mu \text{ central in } u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda)\}$  be a subgroup of  $\Lambda$ , and  $H_1, H_2$  be subgroups of  $\Lambda/\Lambda'$ , containing  $\Lambda_R/\Lambda'$ . In the following,  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in H_1$  and  $\nu, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in H_2$ .*

*The element  $R = R_0 \bar{\Theta}$  with  $R_0 = \sum_{\mu, \nu} f(\mu, \nu) K_\mu \otimes K_\nu$  is an  $R$ -matrix for  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda, \Lambda')$ , if and only if for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda_R$  and  $\mu, \nu$  the following holds:*

$$f(\mu + \alpha, \nu) = q^{-(\nu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu), \quad f(\mu, \nu + \alpha) = q^{-(\mu, \alpha)} f(\mu, \nu), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu_1, \nu_2 \in H_2 \\ \nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu}} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) = \delta_{\mu_1, \mu_2} f(\mu_1, \nu), \quad \sum_{\substack{\mu_1, \mu_2 \in H_1 \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu}} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) = \delta_{\nu_1, \nu_2} f(\mu, \nu_1), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{\mu} f(\mu, \nu) = \delta_{\nu, 0}, \quad \sum_{\nu} f(\mu, \nu) = \delta_{\mu, 0}. \quad (2.5)$$

Condition 2.5 follows from 2.3 and 2.4 if there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(\mu, 0) = f(0, \nu) = c$  for all  $\mu, \nu$ . There are conditions on the order of  $q$ : For all  $\mu, \nu$  for which there exist  $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}$  such that  $f(\mu, \tilde{\nu}) \neq 0$ ,  $f(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) \neq 0$  we have

$$q^{2l_i \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle} = q^{2l_i \langle \nu, \alpha_i \rangle} = 1.$$

If this condition is satisfied then  $f$  is well-defined on the preimages of  $H_1 \times H_2$  under  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/\Lambda'$ . (In particular, this is the case under our assumption  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ .)

## 2.2. A set of equations.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_W$  a sublattice and  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ . Assume in addition,  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ .*

- (i) *Let  $f : \Lambda/\Lambda' \times \Lambda/\Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , satisfying condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.4. Then*

$$g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) := |\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| q^{(\mu, \nu)} f(\mu, \nu), \quad (2.6)$$

*defines a function  $\pi_1 \times \pi_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .*

- (ii) *If, in addition,  $f$  satisfies conditions (2.4)-(2.5), the function  $g$  in (i) satisfies the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} \delta_{(\mu_2 - \mu_1) \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)} q^{(\mu_2 - \mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) g(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) &= \delta_{\mu_1, \mu_2} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}), \\ \sum_{\bar{\mu}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 = \bar{\mu}} \delta_{(\nu_2 - \nu_1) \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)} q^{(\nu_2 - \nu_1, \bar{\mu}_1)} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) g(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) &= \delta_{\nu_1, \nu_2} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\bar{\nu}} \delta_{(\mu \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R))} q^{-(\mu, \bar{\nu})} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) &= \delta_{\mu, 0}, \\
\sum_{\bar{\mu}} \delta_{(\nu \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R))} q^{-(\nu, \bar{\mu})} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) &= \delta_{\nu, 0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Here, the sums range over  $\pi_1$  and expressions like  $\delta_{(\mu \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R))}$  equals 1 if  $\mu$  is a central weight and 0 otherwise.

Before we proceed with the proof we will comment on the relevance of this equations and introduce a definition. For a given Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root lattice  $\Lambda_R$  and weight lattice  $\Lambda_W$  the solutions of the  $g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$ -equations give solutions for an  $R_0$  in the ansatz  $R = R_0 \bar{\Theta}$ . Hence, we get possible  $R$ -matrices for the quantum group  $u_q(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda_W, \Lambda')$ .

We divide the equations in two types.

**Definition 2.6.** For central weight 0 we call the equations (2.7)-(2.8) *group-equations*:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) &= \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}_1) g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}_2), \\
g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) &= \sum_{\bar{\mu}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 = \bar{\mu}} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}) g(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}), \\
1 &= \sum_{\bar{\nu}} g(0, \bar{\nu}), \\
1 &= \sum_{\bar{\mu}} g(\bar{\mu}, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\pi_1 = \Lambda_W / \Lambda_R$  of order  $n$  this gives us  $2n^2 + 2$  group-equations.

For central weight  $0 \neq \zeta \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) / \Lambda'$ , we call the equations (2.7)-(2.8) *diamond-equations* (for reasons that will become transparent later):

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{(\zeta, \bar{\nu}_1)} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}_1) g(\bar{\mu} + \bar{\zeta}, \bar{\nu}_2), \\
0 &= \sum_{\bar{\mu}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 = \bar{\mu}} q^{(\zeta, \bar{\mu}_1)} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}) g(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu} + \bar{\zeta}), \\
0 &= \sum_{\bar{\nu}} q^{-(\bar{\nu}, \zeta)} g(\bar{\mu} + \bar{\zeta}, \bar{\nu}), \\
0 &= \sum_{\bar{\mu}} q^{-(\bar{\mu}, \zeta)} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu} + \bar{\zeta}).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives up to  $(|\text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R) / \Lambda'| - 1)(2n^2 + 2)$  diamond-equations.

*Proof of Lemma 2.5.* (i) Since  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  we have  $q^{(\Lambda_W, \Lambda')} = 1$  and terms  $q^{(\mu, \nu)}$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda / \Lambda'$  do not depend on the residue class representatives modulo  $\Lambda'$ . We check that the function  $g$  is well-defined. Let  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  and  $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mu + \lambda', \nu) &= |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'| q^{(\mu + \lambda', \nu)} f(\mu + \lambda', \nu) \\
&= |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'| q^{(\mu + \lambda', \nu)} q^{-(\lambda', \nu)} f(\mu, \nu) && \text{by eq. (2.3)} \\
&= |\Lambda_R / \Lambda'| q^{(\mu, \nu)} f(\mu, \nu) \\
&= g(\mu, \nu),
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously for  $g(\mu, \nu + \lambda')$ .

- (ii) We consider equations (2.4). Let  $\nu_i, \nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'$  and write  $\nu_i = \bar{\nu}_i + \alpha_i$  and  $\nu = \bar{\nu} + \alpha$  with  $\bar{\nu}_i, \bar{\nu} \in \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$  and  $\alpha_i, \alpha \in \Lambda_R$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . For the sum  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$  we get  $\bar{\nu} \equiv \bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2$  in  $\Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$ , i.e. there is a cocycle  $\sigma(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \Lambda_R$  with  $\bar{\nu} = \bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 + \sigma(\nu_1, \nu_2)$  in  $\Lambda_W$  and  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \sigma(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ . We will write  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu} f(\mu_1, \nu_1) f(\mu_2, \nu_2) \\
&= \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu} q^{-(\mu_1, \nu_1) + (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) - (\mu_2, \nu_2) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha + \sigma} q^{-(\mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1) - (\mu_1, \alpha_1) + (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} q^{-(\mu_2, \bar{\nu}_2) - (\mu_2, \alpha_2) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1) + (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) - (\mu_2, \bar{\nu}_2) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha + \sigma} q^{-(\mu_1, \alpha_1) - (\mu_2, \alpha_2)} \\
&\quad (*)
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we consider the second sum over the roots ( $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are fixed).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha + \sigma} q^{-(\mu_1, \alpha_1) - (\mu_2, \alpha_2)} &= \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_R/\Lambda'} q^{-(\mu_1, \alpha_1) - (\mu_2, \alpha + \sigma - \alpha_1)} \\
&= q^{-(\mu_2, \alpha + \sigma)} \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_R/\Lambda'} q^{(\mu_2 - \mu_1, \alpha_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The last sum equals  $|\Lambda_R/\Lambda'|$  iff  $\ell \mid (\mu_2 - \mu_1, \alpha_1)$  for all  $\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_R/\Lambda'$ , i.e.  $\mu_2 - \mu_1 \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)$ , and 0 otherwise. Hence, with  $C = |\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| \cdot \delta_{(\mu_2 - \mu_1) \in \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R)}$ , the sum (\*) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \cdot \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1) + (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) - (\mu_2, \bar{\nu}_2) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} q^{-(\mu_2, \alpha + \sigma)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \\
&= C \cdot \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1) + (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) - (\mu_2, \bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 + \alpha + \sigma) + (\mu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \\
&= C \cdot q^{-(\mu_2, \nu)} \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{(\mu_2 - \mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} q^{(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) q^{(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2),
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with the right hand side of the first equation of (2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
C \cdot q^{-(\mu_2, \nu)} \sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} q^{(\mu_2 - \mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} q^{(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} f(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) q^{(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2)} f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \\
= \delta_{\mu_1, \mu_2} q^{-(\mu_2, \nu) + (\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu})} f(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}),
\end{aligned}$$

and with the definition of  $g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = |\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| q^{(\mu, \nu)} f(\mu, \nu)$  we get the following equation

$$\sum_{\bar{\nu}_1 + \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}} \delta_{(\mu_2 - \mu_1) \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)} q^{(\mu_2 - \mu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}_1) g(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\nu}_2) = \delta_{\mu_1, \mu_2} g(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\nu}).$$

Analogously, we get the equation of the sum  $\sum_{\bar{\mu}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 = \bar{\mu}}$ .

We now consider the equations (2.5). Again,  $\nu = \bar{\nu} + \alpha$  as above.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda/\Lambda'} f(\mu, \nu) &= \sum_{\nu} q^{-(\mu, \nu) + (\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})} f(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\nu}} q^{(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})} f(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_R/\Lambda'} q^{-(\mu, \bar{\nu} + \alpha)} \\
&= \sum_{\bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu - \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})} f(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_R/\Lambda'} q^{-(\mu, \alpha)} \\
&= \delta_{(\mu \in \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R))} |\Lambda_R/\Lambda'| \sum_{\bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu - \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})} f(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \\
&= \delta_{(\mu \in \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R))} \sum_{\bar{\nu}} q^{-(\mu, \bar{\nu})} g(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \\
&= \delta_{\mu, 0}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

### 3. THE FIRST TYPE OF EQUATIONS

#### 3.1. Equations of *group-type*.

**Definition 3.1.** For an abelian group  $G$  we define a set of equations for  $|G|^2$  variables  $g(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in G$ , which we call *group-equations*.

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{y_1 + y_2 = y} g(x, y_1) g(x, y_2), \quad (3.1)$$

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{x_1 + x_2 = x} g(x_1, y) g(x_2, y), \quad (3.2)$$

$$1 = \sum_{y \in G} g(0, y), \quad (3.3)$$

$$1 = \sum_{x \in G} g(x, 0). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, there are  $2|G|^2 + 2$  group-equations in  $|G|^2$  variables with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

These equations are the equations in Lemma 2.5 and the following Definition for central weight  $\zeta = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group of order  $N$ ,  $H_1, H_2$  subgroups with  $|H_1| = |H_2| = d$ . Let  $\omega: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a pairing of groups. Here, the group  $G$  is written additively and  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  multiplicatively, thus we have  $\omega(x, y)^d = 1$  for all  $x \in H_1, y \in H_2$ . Then the function

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \omega(x, y) \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)} \quad (3.5)$$

is a solution of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4) of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G, H_1, H_2$  and  $\omega$  be as in the theorem. We insert the function  $g$  as in (3.5) in the group-equation (3.1) of  $G$ . Let  $x, y \in G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} g(x, y_1)g(x, y_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^2 \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} \omega(x, y_1)\omega(x, y_2)\delta_{(x \in H_1)}\delta_{(y_1 \in H_2)}\delta_{(y_2 \in H_2)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^2 \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} \omega(x, y_1+y_2)\delta_{(x \in H_1)}\delta_{(y_1 \in H_2)}\delta_{(y_2 \in H_2)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)^2 |H_2| \omega(x, y)\delta_{(x \in H_1)}\delta_{(y \in H_2)} \\ &= g(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously for the sum in (3.2). We now insert the function  $g$  in (3.3):

$$\sum_{y \in G} g(0, y) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{y \in G} \omega(0, y)\delta_{(y \in H_2)} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{y \in H_2} 1 = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Question 3.3.** Are these all solutions of the group-equations for a given group  $G$ ?

**3.2. Results for all fundamental groups of Lie algebras.** We now treat the cases  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$  for  $N \geq 1$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , since these are the only examples of fundamental groups  $\pi_1$  of root systems.

**Theorem 3.4.** *In the following cases, the functions  $g$  of Theorem 3.2 are the only solutions of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4) of  $G$ .*

- (a) For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , the cyclic groups of order  $N$ . Here, we get  $\sum_{d|N} d$  different solutions.
- (b) For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Here we get 35 different solutions.

*Proof.* (a) This is the content of [LN14], Theorem 5.6.

(b) We have checked this explicitly via MAPLE.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 3.5.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ . For any divisor  $d$  of  $N$  there is a unique subgroup  $H = \frac{N}{d}\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$  of  $G$  of order  $d$ . By Theorem 3.2 we have, that for any pairing  $\omega: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , the function  $g$  as in (3.5) is a solution of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4). We give the solution explicitly. For  $H = \langle h \rangle$ ,  $h \in \frac{N}{d}\mathbb{Z}_N$ , we get a pairing  $\omega: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  by  $\omega(h, h) = \xi$  with  $\xi$  a  $d$ -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. Thus, the function (3.5) translates to

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \xi^{\frac{xy}{(N/d)^2}} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|x)} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|y)}. \quad (3.6)$$

**Example 3.6.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ . For  $H_1 = H_2 = G$  there are  $2^4 = 16$  possible pairings, since a pairing is given by determining the values of  $\omega(x, y) = \pm 1$  for  $x, y \in \{a, b\}$ . In  $G$ , there are 3 different subgroups of order 2, hence there are 9 possible pairs  $(H_1, H_2)$  of groups  $H_i$  of order 2. For each pair, there are two possible choices for  $\omega(x, y) = \pm 1$ ,  $x, y$  being the generators of  $H_1$ , resp.  $H_2$ . Thus, we get 18 pairings for subgroups of order  $d = 2$ . For  $H_1 = H_2 = \{0\}$  there is only one pairing, mapping  $(0, 0)$  to 1. Thus, we have 35 pairings in total.

#	$H_i \cong$	$H_1$	$H_2$	$\omega$
16	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle a, b \rangle$	$\langle a, b \rangle$	$\omega(x, y) = \pm 1$ for $x, y \in \{a, b\}$
$9 \times 2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\langle x \rangle, x \in \{a, b, a+b\}$	$\langle y \rangle, y \in \{a, b, a+b\}$	$\omega(x, y) = \pm 1$
1	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\omega(0, 0) = 1$

## 4. QUOTIENT DIAMONDS AND THE SECOND TYPE OF EQUATIONS

4.1. Quotient diamonds and equations of *diamond-type*.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $G$  and  $A$  be abelian groups and  $B, C, D$  subgroups of  $A$ , such that  $D = B \cap C$ . We call a tuple  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  with injective group morphisms  $\varphi_1: A/B \rightarrow G^* = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  and  $\varphi_2: A/C \rightarrow G$  a *diamond for  $G$* . We will visualize the situation with the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ G^* & \leftarrow & B & & C & \hookrightarrow G \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & D & & \end{array}$$

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be a diamond for  $G$ . For  $a \in A$  and not in  $B \cap C$  we define the following equations for the  $|G|^2$  variables  $g(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in G$ :

$$0 = \sum_{y_1 + y_2 = y, y_i \in G} \varphi_1(a)(y_1)g(x, y_1)g(x + \varphi_2(a), y_2), \quad (4.1)$$

$$0 = \sum_{x_1 + x_2 = x, x_i \in G} \varphi_1(a)(x_1)g(x_1, y)g(x_2, y + \varphi_2(a)), \quad (4.2)$$

$$0 = \sum_{y \in G} (\varphi_1(a)(y))^{-1} g(\varphi_2(a), y), \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 = \sum_{x \in G} (\varphi_1(a)(x))^{-1} g(x, \varphi_2(a)). \quad (4.4)$$

We call this set of equations *diamond-equations* for the diamond of  $G$ . Here,  $\varphi_i(a)$  denotes the image of  $a + B$ , resp.  $a + C$ , for  $a \in A$  under  $\varphi_1$ , resp.  $\varphi_2$ .

These are up to  $(|A| - 1)(2|G|^2 + 2)$  equations in  $|G|^2$  variables with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We show how these equations arise in the situation of Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $G = \pi_1$ , the fundamental group of a root system  $\Phi$ . Assume  $\Lambda'$  is a sublattice of  $\Lambda_R$ , contained in  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ . Let  $A = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda'$ ,  $B = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)/\Lambda'$ ,  $C = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda'$  and  $D = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda'$ . Then there exist injections  $\varphi_1: A/B \rightarrow \pi_1^*$  and  $\varphi_2: A/C \rightarrow \pi_1$ , such that  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  is a diamond for  $G$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda' & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \pi_1^* & \leftarrow & & & \hookrightarrow \pi_1 \\ & \searrow & \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_W)/\Lambda' & \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_R) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda' & \\ & & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & & \text{Cent}^{[\ell]}(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda' & & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Recall from Lemmas 1.6 and 1.7, that we have  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) = \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$  and  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ . We have  $A/C \cong \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}/(\Lambda_W^{[\ell]} \cap \Lambda_R)$  and  $\Lambda_W^{[\ell]} \subset \Lambda_W$ ,



To show the existence of an injective morphism  $\varphi_2 : A/C \rightarrow \pi_1$ , we define  $\tilde{\varphi}_2$  on  $\Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$  and calculate the kernel. By Definition 1.4, the generators of  $\Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$  are  $\ell_{[i]}\lambda_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , with  $\ell_{[i]} := \ell / \gcd(\ell, d_i)$ . Thus

$$\tilde{\varphi}_2 : \Lambda_W^{[\ell]} \rightarrow \pi_1, \quad \ell_{[i]}\lambda_i \mapsto \ell_{[i]}\lambda_i + \Lambda_R$$

gives a group morphism. Since  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda_R \cap \Lambda_W^{[\ell]} = \ker \tilde{\varphi}_2$ , this induces a well-defined map  $\varphi_2 : A/\Lambda' \rightarrow \pi_1$ . Obviously, the kernel of this map is  $\Lambda_W^{[\ell]} \cap \Lambda_R$ , hence the desired injection  $\varphi_2 : A/C \rightarrow \pi_1$  exists and is given by taking  $\lambda + (\Lambda_W^{[\ell]} \cap \Lambda_R)$  modulo  $\Lambda_R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$ .

Now, we show the existence of  $\varphi_1$ . The map

$$f : \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda_W, \mathbb{C}^\times), \quad \lambda \mapsto (\Lambda_W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \eta \mapsto q^{(\lambda, \eta)})$$

is a group morphism. We define  $g : \text{Hom}(\Lambda_W, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda_W/\Lambda_R, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  by  $g(\psi) := \psi \circ p$ , where  $p$  is the natural projection  $\Lambda_W \rightarrow \Lambda_W/\Lambda_R$ . Thus, the upper right triangle of the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Hom}(\Lambda_W, \mathbb{C}^\times) \\ \downarrow & \searrow g \circ f & \downarrow g \\ \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)/\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \mathbb{C}^\times) \end{array}$$

There exists  $\lambda \in \ker g \circ f$ , iff  $q^{(\lambda, \bar{\eta})} = 1$  for all  $\bar{\eta} \in \pi_1$ . Since  $\lambda \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)$ , this is equivalent to  $q^{(\lambda, \eta)} = 1$  for all  $\eta \in \Lambda_W$ , hence  $\lambda \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ . Thus, we get  $\varphi_1$  as desired, which is well defined as map from  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda' / \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)/\Lambda'$  since  $\Lambda' \subset \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) = \ker f$ . ■

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be a diamond as in Lemma 4.3. If  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda' \neq 0$ , then none of the solutions of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4) are solutions to the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4). Hence under our assumptions 1.13, the existence of an  $R$ -matrix requires necessarily the choice  $\Lambda' = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R/\Lambda' \neq 0$ , then there exist a root  $\zeta \in \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ , not contained in the kernel  $\Lambda'$ . Thus, there are diamond-equations with  $\varphi_1(\zeta) = 1$  and  $\varphi_2(\zeta) = 0$ , i.e. the set of equations:

$$0 = \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} g(x, y_1)g(x, y_2), \quad (4.5)$$

$$0 = \sum_{x_1+x_2=x} g(x_1, y)g(x_2, y), \quad (4.6)$$

$$0 = \sum_{y \in G} g(0, y), \quad (4.7)$$

$$0 = \sum_{x \in G} g(x, 0). \quad (4.8)$$

Since this are group-equations as in Definition 3.1, but with left-hand side equal to 0, solutions of the group-equations does not solve the diamond-equations in this situation. ■

Before examining in which case a solution of the group-equations as in Theorem 3.2 is also a solution of the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4), we show that it is sufficient to check the diamond-equations (4.3) and (4.4).

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group of order  $N$ ,  $H_1, H_2$  subgroups with  $|H_1| = |H_2| = d$  and  $\omega: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a group-pairing, such that  $g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto 1/d \omega(x, y) \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)}$  is a solution of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4), as in Theorem 3.2. Then the following holds:*

*If  $g$  is a solution of the diamond-equations (4.1), (4.2), then  $g$  solves the diamond-equations (4.3), (4.4) as well.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a solution of the group-equations as in Theorem 3.2. Assume that  $g$  solves (4.1) and (4.2). Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  as in Definition 4.1 and  $0 \neq \zeta \in A$  a non-trivial central weight. Then, for  $x, y \in G$  we get by inserting  $g$  in (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y_1)g(x, y_1)g(x+\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2) \\
&= \sum_{y_1+y_2=y} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y_1) \frac{1}{d^2} \omega(x, y_1) \omega(x+\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2) \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y_1 \in H_2)} \delta_{(x+\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \delta_{(y_2 \in H_2)} \\
&= \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)} \delta_{(\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\substack{y_1+y_2=y \\ y_1, y_2 \in H_2}} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y_1) \omega(x, y_1) \omega(x, y_2) \omega(\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2) \\
&= \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)} \delta_{(\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \frac{1}{d^2} \omega(x, y) \sum_{\substack{y_1+y_2=y \\ y_1, y_2 \in H_2}} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y_1) \omega(\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2) \\
&= \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)} \delta_{(\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \frac{1}{d^2} \omega(x, y) \sum_{y_2 \in H_2} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y-y_2) \omega(\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2) \\
&= \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)} \delta_{(\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \frac{1}{d^2} \omega(x, y) \varphi_1(\zeta)(y) \sum_{y_2 \in H_2} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y_2)^{-1} \omega(\varphi_2(\zeta), y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, this holds for  $x = y = 0$ , and in this case the expression vanishes iff

$$\delta_{(\varphi_2(\zeta) \in H_1)} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{y \in H_2} \varphi_1(\zeta)(y)^{-1} \omega(\varphi_2(\zeta), y) = 0,$$

which is (4.3). Analogously, it follows that if  $g$  solves (4.2) it solves (4.4). ■

**4.2. Cyclic fundamental group  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ .** In the following,  $G$  will always be a fundamental group of a simple complex Lie algebra, hence either cyclic or equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  for the case  $D_n$ ,  $n$  even. In this section, we will derive some results for the cyclic case.

In Example 3.5 we have given solutions of the group-equations for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , i.e. for all  $d \mid N$  the functions

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \xi^{\frac{xy}{(N/d)^2}} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|x)} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|y)} \quad (4.9)$$

with  $\xi$  a  $d$ -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. In the following, we denote by  $\xi_d$  the primitive  $d$ -th root of unity  $\exp(2\pi i/d)$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $l \geq 2$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $G = \langle \lambda \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$ . We consider the following diamonds  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  with  $A = \langle a \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$  and injections  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}_1: A &\rightarrow G^*, a \mapsto (\xi_N^m)^{(-)}, \quad \text{with } (\xi_N^m)^{(-)}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, x \mapsto \xi_N^{mx}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2: A &\rightarrow G, a \mapsto l\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

with primitive  $N$ -th root of unity  $\xi_N$ ,  $B = \ker \tilde{\varphi}_1$  and  $C = \ker \tilde{\varphi}_2$  and  $D = \{0\}$ .

Possible solutions of the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4) are given for any choice of integers  $1 \leq k \leq d$  and  $d \mid N$  as in Example 3.5 by

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \left( \xi_d^k \right)^{\frac{xy}{(N/d)^2}} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|x)} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|y)}, \quad (4.10)$$

with primitive  $d$ -th root of unity  $\xi_d = \exp(2\pi i/d)$ . These are solutions also to the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4), iff  $N \mid m, l$  or the following condition hold:

$$\gcd(N, dl, kl - \frac{N}{d}m) = 1. \quad (4.11)$$

*Proof.* For  $N \mid m, l$  there is no non-trivial diamond-equation, hence all solutions of the group-equations as in Example 3.5 are possible. Assume now, that not both  $N \mid m$  and  $N \mid l$ . We insert the function  $g$  from (4.10) in the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4) and get requirements for  $d, k, l$  and  $N$ . By Lemma 4.5 it is sufficient to consider only equations (4.3) and (4.4). Since for cyclic  $G$  the function  $g$  is symmetric we choose equation (4.3) for the calculation. In the following we omit the  $\sim$  on the maps  $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/2}: A \rightarrow G^*$ , resp.  $G$ . Let  $1 \leq z < N$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $y \in G$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{y=1}^N (\varphi_1(za)(y))^{-1} g(\varphi_2(za), y) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{y=1}^N \xi_N^{-zmy} \left( \xi_d^k \right)^{\frac{zly}{(N/d)^2}} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|z)} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{y=1}^N \xi_d^{-\frac{zmy}{N/d}} \left( \xi_d^{\frac{zkl}{(N/d)}} \right)^{\frac{y}{N/d}} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|z)} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|y)}, \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{y'=1}^d \left( \xi_d^{-zm + \frac{zkl}{(N/d)}} \right)^{y'} \delta_{(\frac{N}{d}|z)},\end{aligned}$$

with the substitution  $y' = y/(N/d)$ . This sum equals 0 iff  $N/d \nmid z$  or  $d \nmid z(kl/(N/d) - m)$ . This is equivalent to  $N \nmid zdl$  or  $N \nmid z(kl - (N/d)m)$ , hence  $N \nmid \gcd(zdl, z(kl - (N/d)m))$ . Since this condition has to be fulfilled for all  $z$  we get that  $N \nmid \gcd(dl, kl - (N/d)m)$ , hence  $\gcd(N, dl, kl - (N/d)m) = 1$ .  $\blacksquare$

We spell out the condition for explicit values  $m$  and  $l$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N = \langle \lambda \rangle$ ,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and diamond  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  as in Lemma 4.6. Depending on  $m, l$  we get the following criteria for solutions of the diamond-equations. Here, we give  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  shortly by the generator of its image.

- (I) If  $N \mid m$  and  $N \mid l$  we have the diamond  $(\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  and all solutions of the form (4.10) are also solutions to the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4). (Since  $B, C = A$ , there are no non-trivial diamond-equations.)
- (II) If  $N \mid m$  and  $N \nmid l$  we have the diamond  $(\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(l, N)}, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, l\lambda)$ . In this case the function  $g$  as in (4.10) is a solution to the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4) if  $\gcd(N, dl, kl) = 1$ .

- (III) If  $\gcd(m, N) = 1$  and  $N \nmid l$  we have the diamond  $(\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(l, N)}, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_N, l\lambda)$ . In this case the function  $g$  as in (4.10) is a solution to the diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4) if

$$\gcd(N, dl, kl - \frac{N}{d}m) = 1. \quad (4.12)$$

In most cases,  $N$  is prime or equals 1, hence we consider the two special cases

- (1) If  $d = 1$ , (4.12) simplifies to  $\gcd(N, l, l - Nm) = 1$ , which is equivalent to  $\gcd(N, l) = 1$ .
- (2) If  $d = N$ , (4.12) simplifies to  $\gcd(N, lN, kl - m) = 1$ , which is equivalent to  $\gcd(N, kl - m) = 1$ .

Finally, we consider the Lie algebras with cyclic fundamental group in question and determine the values  $m$  and  $l$  according to the Lie theoretic data and thereby the corresponding diamonds.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$  be the fundamental group of a simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , generated by the fundamental dominant weight  $\lambda_n$ . Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell > 2$ ,  $q = \exp(2\pi i/\ell)$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell/\gcd(\ell, d_n)$ ,  $m_{[n]} := N(\lambda_n, \lambda_n)/\gcd(\ell, d_n)$  and  $(G, A, B, C, D, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be a diamond as in Lemma 4.3, such that the corresponding diamond-equations (4.1)-(4.4) have a solution that is also a solution to the group-equations (3.1)-(3.4). Then, the diamond is

$$(G, \mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(m_{[n]}, N)}, \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(\ell_{[n]}, N)}, \mathbb{Z}_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2), \quad (4.13)$$

with injections

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1: A \rightarrow G^*, \ell_{[n]}\lambda_n &\mapsto (\xi_N^{m_{[n]}})^{(-)}, \quad \text{with } (\xi_N^{m_{[n]}})^{(-)}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, x \mapsto \xi_N^{m_{[n]}x}, \\ \varphi_2: A \rightarrow G, \ell_{[n]}\lambda_n &\mapsto \ell_{[n]}\lambda_n, \end{aligned}$$

with primitive  $N$ -th root of unity  $\xi_N = \exp(2\pi i/N)$ . The group  $A = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R)/\Lambda' = \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}/\Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  is generated by  $\ell_{[n]}\lambda_n$  and  $q^{(\ell_{[n]}\lambda_n, \lambda_n)} = (\xi_N^N)^{(\lambda_n, \lambda_n)/\gcd(\ell, d_n)}$ . Since the order of  $\xi_N^{m_{[n]}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is  $N/\gcd(m_{[n]}, N)$  and the order of  $\ell_{[n]}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  is  $N/\gcd(\ell_{[n]}, N)$ , the injections  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  determine the diamond (4.13).

In the following table, we give the values  $\ell_{[n]}$  and  $m_{[n]}$  for all root systems of simple Lie algebras with cyclic fundamental group.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n \geq 1}$	$B_{n \geq 2}$	$C_{n \geq 3}$		$D_{n \geq 5}$ $n$ odd	$E_6$	$E_7$	$E_8$	$F_4$	$G_2$	
$\pi_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$		$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	
$N$	$n+1$	2	2		4	3	2	1	1	1	
$d_n$	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	1	3	
$\ell$	all	all	$2 \nmid \ell$	$2 \mid \ell$	all	all	all	all	all	$3 \nmid \ell$	$3 \mid \ell$
$\gcd(\ell, d_n)$	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
$(\lambda_n, \lambda_n)$	$\frac{n}{n+1}$	$\frac{n}{2}$	$n$		$\frac{n}{4}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	1	6	
$\ell_{[n]}$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell/2$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell$	$\ell/3$
$m_{[n]}$	$n$	$n$	$2n$	$n$	$n$	4	3	2	1	6	2
cases	(III)	(I)-(III)	(II)	(I)-(III)	(III)	(III)	(III)	(I)	(I)	(I)	(I)

In the last row we indicate which cases in Example 4.7 apply. This will guide the proof of Theorem A. Note that case (II) only appears for  $B_n$ ,  $n$  even and  $\ell$  odd, and for  $C_n$ , even  $n$  and  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  or odd  $\ell$ .

**4.3. Example:  $B_2$ .** For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $B_2$  we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . There is one long root,  $\alpha_1$ , and one short root,  $\alpha_2$ , hence  $d_1 = 2$  and  $d_2 = 1$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2. Here,  $\lambda_1$  is a root and  $\lambda_2$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ .


$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,  $(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) = 1$ . The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:


- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ . Since  $(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) = 1$ , we have  $B = \ell\Lambda_W$ . (Since  $(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = n/2$ , in the general case  $B_n$ , the group  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  depends on  $n$ : for even  $n$  we have  $B = \ell\Lambda_W$ , and  $B = \ell\Lambda_R$  for odd  $n$ .)
- (ii) For even  $\ell$  we have  $A = C = B = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$  and  $D = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$ . (Again,  $B$  depends on  $n$ , hence we have  $B = \langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$  if  $n$  is even and  $B = \langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}, 2\lambda_n \rangle$  if  $n$  is odd.)

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  since by the necessary criterion of Lemma 4.4, this is the only case where possible solutions exist. We calculate Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$  as well and compare it with  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n = 2 = N$ . Thus, for  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, \lambda_2)$ . By Example 4.7 (II), one has to check for which  $d, k$  it is  $\gcd(2, d\ell, k\ell) = 1$ . This gives the 2 solutions:  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(d, k) = (2, 1)$ .
- (ii.a) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \rangle \neq \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = N$  as above. Here, we have  $\gcd(\ell, N) = N = 2$ , thus for  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  we get the quotient diamond  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$ . Thus, all 3 solutions of the group-equations are solutions to the diamond-equations as well by 4.7 (I).
- (ii.b) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . Thus in this case the quotient diamond as in (ii.a) is the same for Lusztig's kernel, namely  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  and again all 3 solutions of the group-equations are solutions to the diamond-equations as well.



quotient diamond in case (i)



quotient diamond in cases (ii.a), (ii.b)

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

We treat the root systems case by case and determine the solutions of diamond equations in Section 4 which are of the form

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \omega(x, y) \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)}$$

with subgroups  $H_1, H_2$  of  $G = \pi_1$  as in Theorem 3.2.

For this, we first determine the lattices  $A = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) = \Lambda_W^{[\ell]}$ ,  $B = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$ ,  $C = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_R) \cap \Lambda_R$ ,  $D = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W) \cap \Lambda_R = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$ , depending on  $\ell$ . For the Lie algebras with cyclic fundamental group (all but for root system  $D_n$  with even  $n$ ), we then determine the values  $m_{[n]}$  and  $\ell_{[n]}$ , depending on  $\ell$ ,  $n$  and the order of  $\pi_1$ , and thereby the quotient diamonds and which solutions of the group equations are solutions to the corresponding diamond equations. In these cases, the  $\omega$ -part of the solutions to the group equations are of the form

$$\omega: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, (x, y) \mapsto \left( \xi_d^k \right)^{\frac{xy}{(N/d)^2}}$$

for subgroup  $H = \frac{N}{d} \mathbb{Z}_N$  of  $\pi_1$  of order  $d$ . We give the solutions by pairs  $(d, k)$ , which we determine by applying Lemma 4.6 and Example 4.7. An overview of the possible cases gives Example 4.8.

For  $D_n$  with even  $n$  and fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  we also determine all quotient diamonds (depending on  $\ell$ ) and check which solutions of the group equations solve the diamond equations in a rather case by case calculation.

- (1) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . The simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and  $\lambda_n$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$id_W^R = a_{ij} \text{ with } a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} i(n-j+1), & \text{if } i \leq j, \\ \frac{1}{n+1} j(n-i+1), & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For even  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell \Lambda_W$ ,  $B = D = \ell \Lambda_R$  and  $C = \ell / \gcd(n+1, \ell) \Lambda_W$ .
- (ii) For odd  $\ell$ : the same lattices as in (i).

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell \Lambda_R \neq 2\ell \Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(\ell, n+1)}, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_{n+1}, \ell \lambda_n)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get solutions  $(d, k)$  iff  $\gcd(n+1, d\ell, k\ell - \frac{n+1}{d}n) = 1$ .

- (ii) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus, the quotient diamonds and solutions are as in (i).
- (2) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $B_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$  for all  $n$ . The long simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  and the short simple root  $\alpha_n$ , hence  $d_i = 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $d_n = 1$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2. Here,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$  are roots and  $\lambda_n$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & \cdot & & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & -2 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 2 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdot & n-1 & \frac{n-1}{2} \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdot & n-1 & \frac{n}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ . Since  $(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = n/2$ , the group  $\text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  depends on  $n$ . It is  $B = \ell\Lambda_W$  for even  $n$  and  $B = \ell\Lambda_R$  for odd  $n$ .
- (ii) For even  $\ell$  we have  $A = C = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$  and  $D = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \rangle$ . Again,  $B$  depends on  $n$ , and we have  $B = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$  for even  $n$  and  $B = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}, 2\lambda_n \rangle$  for odd  $n$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . In this case, the quotient diamond is given by either  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, \lambda_n)$  for even  $n$ , or by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, -1, \lambda_n)$  for odd  $n$ . Thus we are either in case (II), or in case (III) of Example 4.7. In the first case (even  $n$ ) we get solutions by  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 1)$ . For odd  $n$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .
- (ii.a) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \rangle \neq \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . The quotient diamond is given by either  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  for even  $n$ , or by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, -1, 0)$  for odd  $n$ . Thus we are in either in case (I) or in case (III) of Example 4.7. In the first case (even  $n$ ) we get all possible 3 solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 1)$  and  $(2, 1)$ . For odd  $n$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (2, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .
- (ii.b) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus the quotient diamonds and solutions are as in (ii).
- (3) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$  for all  $n$ . The short simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  and the long simple root  $\alpha_n$ , hence  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $d_n = 2$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and  $\lambda_n$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & & & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & -1 & 2 & -2 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & -2 & 4 & \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 2 & 2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & n-1 & n-1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{n}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = B = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  we have  $A = \ell\langle\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_n\rangle$  and  $C = D = \ell\Lambda_W$ . Since  $(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = n$ ,  $B = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  depends on  $n$ . For odd  $n$  it equals  $\ell\Lambda_W$  and for even  $n$  it is equal to  $A$ .
- (iii) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  we have  $A = C = \ell\langle\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_n\rangle$  and  $D = \ell\Lambda_W$ . Here again,  $B = \text{Cent}^q(\Lambda_W)$  depends on  $n$ . For odd  $n$  it equals  $\ell\Lambda_W$  and for even  $n$  it is equal to  $A$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 2n$ . In this case, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, \lambda_n)$ . Thus we are in case (II) of Example 4.7, hence the 2 solutions are given by  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 1)$ .
  - (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n\rangle \neq \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell/2$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . The quotient diamond is given by either  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, \lambda_n)$  for even  $n$ , or by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, -1, \lambda_n)$  for odd  $n$ . Thus we are in either in case (II) or in case (III) of Example 4.7. In the first case (even  $n$ ) we get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 1)$ . For odd  $n$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .
  - (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell/2$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . The quotient diamond is given by either  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  for even  $n$ , or by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, -1, 0)$  for odd  $n$ . Thus we are in either in case (I) or in case (III) of Example 4.7. In the first case (even  $n$ ) we get all 3 possible solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ . For odd  $n$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (2, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .
- (4) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $D_n$ ,  $n \geq 4$  even, we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  for all  $n$ . The simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and  $\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n$  are the generators of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n$  is the other element of order 2. The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , and since  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , also the values  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdot & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdot & 3 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdot & n-2 & \frac{n-2}{2} & \frac{n-2}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \cdot & \frac{n-2}{2} & \frac{n}{4} & \frac{n-2}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \cdot & \frac{n-2}{2} & \frac{n-2}{4} & \frac{n}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$



The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For even  $\ell$  we have  $A = C = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations by a case by case calculation.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  with injections

$$\varphi_1: \ell\langle\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n\rangle \rightarrow \pi_1^*, \ell\lambda_{n-1} \mapsto q^{\ell(\lambda_{n-1}, -)}, \ell\lambda_n \mapsto q^{\ell(\lambda_n, -)},$$

$$\varphi_2: \ell\langle\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n\rangle \rightarrow \pi_1, \ell\lambda_{n-1} \mapsto \lambda_{n-1}, \ell\lambda_n \mapsto \lambda_n.$$

In the following, we will write  $a := \lambda_{n-1}$ ,  $b := \lambda_n$  and  $c := \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n$  for the 3 elements of order 2 of  $\pi_1$ . Since  $(\lambda_j, \lambda_j) = n/4$  for  $j \in \{n-1, n\}$ , and  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = (n-2)/4$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{n-1, n\}$  we get

$\varphi_1$	0	$a$	$b$	$c$	$n$
0	1	1	1	1	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$
					$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
$a$	1	1	-1	-1	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$
		-1	1	-1	$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
$b$	1	-1	1	-1	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$
		1	-1	-1	$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
$c$	1	-1	-1	1	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$
		-1	-1	1	$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Since it suffices to consider the diamond equations (4.3) and (4.4) by Lemma 4.5, we check which function

$$g: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \omega(x, y) \delta_{(x \in H_1)} \delta_{(y \in H_2)}$$

with subgroups  $H_i$  of  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  of order  $d$  and a pairing  $\omega$  as in Example 3.6 is a solution to these equations. We get the following system of equations for  $g$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= g(0, 0) + g(a, 0) + g(b, 0) + g(c, 0) \\
1 &= g(0, 0) + g(0, a) + g(0, b) + g(0, c) \\
0 &= g(0, a) \pm g(a, a) \mp g(b, a) - g(c, a) \\
0 &= g(a, 0) \pm g(a, a) \mp g(a, b) - g(a, c) \\
0 &= g(0, b) \mp g(a, b) \pm g(b, b) - g(c, b) \\
0 &= g(b, 0) \mp g(b, a) \pm g(b, b) - g(b, c) \\
0 &= g(0, c) - g(a, c) - g(b, c) + g(c, c) \\
0 &= g(c, 0) - g(c, a) - g(c, b) + g(c, c)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where the  $\pm, \mp$  possibilities depend on whether  $\ell \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$ . It is easy to see that the trivial solution on  $H_1 = H_2 = \mathbb{Z}_1$  is a solution. For  $H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  the solution has one of the following two structures. For symmetric solutions  $H_1 = H_2 = \langle \lambda \rangle$  we get  $\omega(\lambda, \lambda) = -1$ . If  $H_1 = \langle \lambda \rangle \neq \langle \lambda' \rangle = H_2$  we get  $\omega(\lambda, \lambda') = 1$ . This gives all possible 9 solutions with  $H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Finally, we check which functions on  $G =$

$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  are solutions to the diamond equations. We get 4 symmetric solutions and 2 non-symmetric solutions, which are given by their values  $(\omega(x, y))_{x, y \in \{\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n\}}$  on generator pairs:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (ii) For even  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . Thus the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \varphi_1, 0)$  and the injection  $\varphi_2$  is trivial. We get an analogue block of equations as (5.1), but without non-zero “shift”  $\varphi_2(x)$ ,  $x \in A$ . We can add appropriate equations and get the  $1 = 4g(0, 0)$ , hence only pairings of  $H_1 = H_2 = \pi_1$  are solutions. It is now easy to check, that all 16 possible pairings on  $\pi_1 \times \pi_1$  are solutions to the diamond equations.
- (5) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $D_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$  odd, we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4$  for all  $n$ . The root and weight data are as for even  $n$  in (4). The weight  $\lambda_n$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$ ,  $C = \ell\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}, 2\lambda_{n-1}, 2\lambda_n \rangle$  and  $B = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (iii) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  we have  $A = C = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig’s kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_4, \lambda_n)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1), (2, 1), (4, 2)$  and  $(4, 4)$ .
- (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_4, 2\lambda_n)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get all 4 solutions  $(d, k) = (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3)$  and  $(4, 4)$  on  $H = \mathbb{Z}_4$ .
- (iii) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = n$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_4, 0)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get the same 4 solutions as in (ii).
- (6) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $E_6$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$ . The simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  and  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and  $\lambda_6$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6\}$ , and since  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , also the values  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & 1 & \frac{5}{3} & 2 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \frac{5}{3} & 2 & \frac{10}{3} & 4 & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ \frac{4}{3} & 2 & \frac{8}{3} & 4 & \frac{10}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & 1 & \frac{4}{3} & 2 & \frac{5}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For  $3 \nmid \ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For  $3 \mid \ell$  we have  $A = C = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i.a) For  $3 \nmid \ell$  and  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 4$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_3, \lambda_6)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. Since  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(3, 1)$  and  $(3, 3)$ .
  - (i.b) For  $3 \nmid \ell$  and  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 4$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_3, \lambda_6)$ , and we are again in case (III) of Example 4.7. Since  $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  we get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(3, 2)$  and  $(3, 3)$ .
  - (ii.a) For  $3 \mid \ell$  and  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 4$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_3, 0)$ , hence we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get all 3 solutions  $(d, k) = (3, 1)$ ,  $(3, 2)$  and  $(3, 3)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .
  - (ii.b) For  $3 \mid \ell$  and  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 4$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_3, 0)$ , and we the same solutions as in (ii.a).
- (7) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $E_7$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7$  and  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 7$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and  $\lambda_7$  is the generator of the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7\}$ , and since  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , also the values  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 7$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & \frac{7}{2} & 4 & 6 & \frac{9}{2} & 3 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 3 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & \frac{9}{2} & 6 & 9 & \frac{15}{2} & 5 & \frac{5}{2} \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & \frac{3}{2} & 2 & 3 & \frac{5}{2} & 2 & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For even  $\ell$  we have  $A = C = \ell\Lambda_W$  and  $B = D = \ell\Lambda_R$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 3$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_2, \lambda_7)$  and we are in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get solutions  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ .

- (ii) For  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 3$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_1, \xi_2, 0)$  and we are again in case (III) of Example 4.7. We get all 2 solutions  $(d, k) = (2, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- (8) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $E_8$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$ . The simple roots are  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8$  and  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 8$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and are roots. The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8\}$ , and since  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , also the values  $(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 & 7 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 8 & 10 & 15 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 7 & 10 & 14 & 20 & 16 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 10 & 15 & 20 & 30 & 24 & 18 & 12 & 6 \\ 8 & 12 & 16 & 24 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 5 \\ 6 & 9 & 12 & 18 & 15 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$  we have  $A = B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_W = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For even  $\ell$ : same as in (i).

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 2$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  and we are in case (I) of Example 4.7. We get the only solution  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ .
- (ii) For  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 2$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i).
- (9) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $F_4$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$ . The simple roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are long,  $\alpha_3, \alpha_4$  are short, hence  $d_1 = d_2 = 2$  and  $d_3 = d_4 = 1$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and are roots. The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4\}$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 6 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For odd  $\ell$ , we have  $A = B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_W = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For even  $\ell$ , we have  $A = B = C = D = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \rangle$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i) For  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 1$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  and we are in case (I) of Example 4.7. We get the only solution  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ .
  - (ii) For  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle \neq \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 1$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i).
  - (iii) For  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 1$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i).
- (10) For  $\mathfrak{g}$  with root system  $G_2$ , we have  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}_1$ . The simple root  $\alpha_1$  is short and  $\alpha_2$  is long, hence  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_2 = 3$ . The symmetrized Cartan matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is given below. The fundamental dominant weights  $\lambda_i$  are given as in [Hum72], Section 13.2, and are roots. The matrix  $id_W^R$  gives the coefficients of the fundamental dominant weights in the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_2\}$ .

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad id_W^R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The lattice diamonds, depending on  $\ell$ , are:

- (i) For  $3 \nmid \ell$ , we have  $A = B = C = D = \ell\Lambda_W = \ell\Lambda_R$ .
- (ii) For  $3 \mid \ell$ , we have  $A = B = C = D = \ell\langle \lambda_1, \frac{1}{3}\lambda_2 \rangle$ .

We calculate the quotient diamonds for kernel  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_R^{[\ell]}$  and compare it with Lusztig's kernel  $2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ . We then determine the solutions of the corresponding diamond-equations according to Example 4.7.

- (i.a) For  $3 \nmid \ell$  and  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R \neq 2\ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 6$ . Thus, the quotient diamond is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_1, 1, 0)$  and we are in case (I) of Example 4.7. We get the only solution  $(d, k) = (1, 1)$ .
- (i.b) For  $3 \nmid \ell$  and  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\Lambda_R = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell$  and  $m_{[n]} = 6$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i.a).
- (ii.a) For  $3 \mid \ell$  and  $\ell$  odd it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \alpha_1, \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 \rangle \neq 2\ell\langle \alpha_1, \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 \rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell/3$  and  $m_{[n]} = 2$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i.a).
- (ii.b) For  $3 \mid \ell$  and  $\ell$  even it is  $\Lambda_R^{[\ell]} = \ell\langle \alpha_1, \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 \rangle = 2\Lambda_R^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell_{[n]} = \ell/3$  and  $m_{[n]} = 2$ . We get the same diamond and solution as in (i.a).

## REFERENCES

- [AY13] I. Angiono and H. Yamane. *The R-matrix of quantum doubles of Nichols algebras of diagonal type*. Preprint (2013), [arXiv:1304.5752](#).
- [FGST06] B. Feigin, A. Gainutdinov, A. Semikhatov, I. Tipunin. *Modular Group Representations and Fusion in Logarithmic Conformal Field Theories and in the Quantum Group Center*. Commun. Math. Ohys, 265, 47–93 (2006).
- [GW98] S. Gelaki and S. Westreich. *On the Quasitriangularity of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)'$* . J. Amer. Math. Soc., **57**(1), 105–125 (1998).
- [Hum72] J. E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [Kas95] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 155, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [KL01] T. Kerler and V.V. Lyubashenko. *Non-Semisimple Topological Quantum Field Theories for 3-Manifolds with Corners*. Lecture Note in Mathematics, Vol. 1765, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [KR02] R. Kashaev and N. Reshetikhin. *Invariants of links with flat connections in their complements. II. Holonomy R-matrices related to quantized universal enveloping algebras at roots of 1*. Preprint (2002), [arXiv:0202212](#).

- [Len14] S. Lentner. *A Frobenius homomorphism for Lusztig's quantum groups over arbitrary roots of unity*. Preprint (2014), [arXiv:1406.0865](#).
- [LN14] S. Lentner and D. Nett. *A theorem on roots of unity and a combinatorial principle*. Preprint (2014), [arXiv:1409.5822](#).
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig. *Quantum groups at roots of 1*. Geometriae Dedicata, **35**(1), 89–113, 1990.
- [Lus93] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to Quantum Groups*. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 110, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [Mül98a] E. Müller. *Quantengruppen im Einheitswurzelfall*. Dissertation, LMU, München, 1998, <http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~algebra/Dissertationen/Mueller/doktor.ps>
- [Mül98b] E. Müller. *Some topics on Frobenius–Lusztig kernels I, II*. J. Algebra, **206**(2), 624–681 (1998).
- [Res95] N. Reshetikhin. *Quasitriangularity of quantum groups at roots of 1*. Commun. Math. Phys., **170**(1), 79–99 (1995).
- [Ros93] M. Rosso. *Quantum Groups at a Root of 1 and Tangle Invariants*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, **07**(20n21), 3715–3726 (1993).
- [RT90] N. Reshetikhin and V.G. Turaev. *Ribbon graphs and their invariants of tangles derived from quantum groups*. Commun. Math. Phys., **127**, 1–26 (1990).
- [RT91] N. Reshetikhin and V.G. Turaev. *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*. Invent. Math., **103**, 547–597 (1991).
- [Tan92] T. Tanisaki. *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra homomorphisms and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, **07**(supp01b), 941–962 (1992).
- [Tur94] V.G. Turaev. *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*. Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 18, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [Vir06] Kirby elements and quantum invariants. Proc. London Math. Soc. **93**(2), 474–514 (2006).