

A GENERALIZATION OF THE GREENE-KRANTZ THEOREM FOR THE SEMICONTINUITY PROPERTY OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

JAE-CHEON JOO

ABSTRACT. A CR version of the Greene-Krantz theorem [14] for the semicontinuity of complex automorphism groups will be provided. This is not only a generalization but also an intrinsic interpretation of the Greene-Krantz theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

By the uppersemicontinuity, or simply, the semicontinuity in geometry, we mean the property that the set of symmetries of a geometric structure should not decrease at a limit of a sequence of the structures. For instance, a sequence of ellipses in the Euclidean plane can converge to a circle, while a sequence of circles cannot converge to non-circular ellipse. This property seems as natural as the second law of thermodynamics in physics, but we still need to make it clear in mathematical terminology. A symmetry for a geometric structure is described as a transformation on a space with the geometric structure. The set of transformations becomes a group with respect to the composition operator. Therefore, the semicontinuity can be understood as a non-decreasing property of the transformation groups at the limit of a sequence of geometric structures. One of the strongest version describing the semicontinuity was obtained by Ebin for the Riemannian structures on compact manifolds in terms of conjugations by diffeomorphisms.

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). *Let M be a C^∞ -smooth compact manifold and let $\{g_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of C^∞ -smooth Riemannian structures which converges to a Riemannian metric g_0 in C^∞ -sense. Then for each sufficiently large j , there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi_j : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi_j \circ I_j \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ is a Lie subgroup of I_0 , where I_j and I_0 represent the isometry groups for g_j and g_0 , respectively.*

The group of holomorphic automorphisms on a complex manifold plays a role of the group of symmetry with respect to the complex structure. By Cartan's theorem (cf. [12]), the automorphism group of a bounded domain in the complex Euclidean space turns out to be a Lie group with the compact-open topology on the domain. Greene and Krantz proved the following theorem for the semicontinuity property of automorphism groups of bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains.

Theorem 1.2 ([14]). *Let Ω_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and Ω_0 be bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with C^∞ -smooth boundary. Suppose that Ω_j converges to Ω_0 in C^∞ -sense, that is, there exists a diffeomorphism ψ_j defined on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}_0$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\psi_j(\Omega_0) = \Omega_j$ and $\psi_j \rightarrow Id$ in C^∞ -sense on $\overline{\Omega}_0$. Then for every sufficiently large j , there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi_j : \Omega_j \rightarrow \Omega_0$ such that $\phi_j \circ \text{Aut}(\Omega_j) \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ is a Lie subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Omega_0)$.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32V05, 32M05, 53C56.

Key words and phrases. semicontinuity property, CR structures, CR Yamabe equation.

Unlike the isometry group of a compact Riemannian manifold, the holomorphic automorphism group on a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain can be noncompact, so the proof of Theorem 1.2 is divided into two cases; Either $\text{Aut}(\Omega_0)$ is compact or not. It turned out that the latter case is relatively simple, which is the case of deformations of the unit ball by the Wong-Rosay theorem [25, 30]. The main part of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is thus devoted to the case when $\text{Aut}(\Omega_0)$ is compact. Greene and Krantz proved this case by constructing a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_j) which includes Ω_j as a relatively compact subset and whose isometry group contains the automorphism group of Ω_j . Then Ebin's theorem yields the conclusion. The Riemannian manifold (M, g_j) is called a *metric double* of Ω_j .

This idea of proof is applicable to more general cases. One of reasonable ways of generalization is to prove the semicontinuity property for more general class of domains. In recent paper [13], the authors generalized Theorem 1.2 to finitely differentiable cases. In [11], Greene and Kim proved that a partial generalization is also possible even for some classes of non-strongly pseudoconvex domains.

The aim of the present paper is to obtain another generalization of Theorem 1.2. According to Hamilton's theorem in [16, 17], deformations of a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain with C^∞ -smooth boundary coincide with deformations of complex structure on a given domain and they give rise to deformations of CR structure of the boundary. Fefferman's extension theorem [6] shows that every holomorphic automorphism on a bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain with C^∞ -smooth boundary extends to a diffeomorphism up to the boundary and hence gives rise to a CR automorphism on the boundary. Conversely, a CR automorphism on the boundary extends a holomorphic automorphism on the domain by the Bochner-Hartogs extension theorem. It is also known that the compact-open topology of the automorphism group of the domain coincides with the C^∞ -topology of the CR automorphism group of the boundary (cf. [1]), if the holomorphic automorphism group of the domain is compact. In this observation, it is natural to think of the semicontinuity property for abstract strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds under deformations of CR structures, as a generalization of Theorem 1.2. We prove the following theorem for CR automorphism groups when the limit structure has a compact CR automorphism group.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\{J_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of C^∞ -smooth strongly pseudoconvex CR structures on a compact differentiable manifold M of dimension $2n + 1$, which converges to a C^∞ -smooth strongly pseudoconvex CR structure J_0 on M in C^∞ -sense. Suppose that the CR automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ is compact. Then there exists $N > 0$ and a diffeomorphism $\varphi_k : M \rightarrow M$ for each $k > N$ such that $\varphi_k \circ \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k) \circ \varphi_k^{-1}$ is a Lie subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$.*

According to Schoen's theorem [27], $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ is compact if and only if (M, J_0) is not CR equivalent with the sphere S^{2n+1} with the standard CR structure. One should notice that this condition is not necessary if $2n + 1 \geq 5$. Boutet de Monvel showed in [2] that a CR structure on M which is sufficiently close to the standard structure on S^{2n+1} is also embeddable in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, if $2n + 1 \geq 5$ in contrast with 3-dimensional case (see [3, 23, 24, 25]). Therefore, if $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ is noncompact and $2n + 1 \geq 5$, then the situation is reduced to the case of deformations of the unit ball and follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.

The rest of this paper will be devoted to proving Theorem 1.3. Since we are thinking about abstract CR manifolds, it is needed to develop an intrinsic way of proof of this. Therefore, the main interest of Theorem 1.3 is not only in the generalization but also in the intrinsic verification of the Greene-Krantz theorem.

The main tool of the proof is the solution for the CR Yamabe problem about the construction of pseudohermitian structures with constant Webster scalar curvature, which is intensively studied for instance, in [4, 9, 10, 18, 19]. The subellipticity of the CR Yamabe equation turned out quite useful in obtaining estimates of derivatives of CR automorphisms by Schoen in [27]. We make use of various solutions for the CR Yamabe problem - minimal solutions, local scalar flattening solutions and the blowing-up solutions given by the Green functions - developed in [7, 18, 19, 27] for the proof.

2. STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX CR MANIFOLDS

In this section, we summarize fundamental facts on the strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds and pseudohermitian structures. The summation convention is always assumed.

2.1. CR and pseudohermitian structures. Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ for some positive integer n . A *CR structure* on M is a smooth complex structure J on a subbundle H of the rank $2n$ of the tangent bundle TM which satisfies the integrability condition. More precisely, the restriction of J on a fibre H_p for a point $p \in M$ is an endomorphism $J_p : H_p \rightarrow H_p$ which satisfies $J_p \circ J_p = -Id_{H_p}$, varying smoothly as p varies, and the bundle of i -eigenspace $H^{1,0}$ of J in the complexification $\mathbb{C} \otimes H$ satisfies the Frobenius integrability condition

$$[\Gamma(H^{1,0}), \Gamma(H^{1,0})] \subset \Gamma(H^{1,0}).$$

The subbundle H is called the *CR distribution* of J . A *CR automorphism* on M is a smooth diffeomorphism F from M onto itself such that $F_*H^{1,0} = H^{1,0}$. We denote by $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M)$ the group of all CR automorphisms on M . A CR structure is said to be *strongly pseudoconvex* if its CR distribution H is a contact distribution and for a contact form θ , the *Levi form* $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta(Z, \overline{W}) := -i d\theta(Z, \overline{W})$$

for $Z, W \in H^{1,0}$ is positive definite. It is known that C^0 -topology of $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M)$ coincides with C^∞ -topology for a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold M , if $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M)$ is compact with respect to C^0 -topology. See [27] for the proof.

We call a fixed contact form for the CR distribution of a strongly pseudoconvex CR structure a *pseudohermitian structure*. Let $\{W_\alpha : \alpha = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a local frame, that is, W_α 's are sections of $H^{1,0}$ which form a pointwise basis for $H^{1,0}$. We call a collection of 1-forms $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ the *admissible coframe* of $\{W_\alpha\}$, if they are sections of $(H^{1,0})^*$ and satisfy

$$\theta^\alpha(W_\beta) = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad \theta^\alpha(T) = 0,$$

where T is the vector field uniquely determined by

$$\theta(T) = 1, \quad T \lrcorner d\theta = 0,$$

which is called the *characteristic vector field* for θ . let $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \mathcal{L}_\theta(W_\alpha, W_{\bar{\beta}})$. Then

$$d\theta = 2ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}},$$

where $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ is the admissible coframe for $\{W_\alpha\}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([29]). *There exist a local 1-form $\omega = (\omega_\beta^\alpha)$ and local functions A^α_β uniquely determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^\alpha &= \theta^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha + A^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}} \theta \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}, \\ dg_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \omega_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}, \quad A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and the sequel, we lower or raise index by $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ and $(g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) = (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1}$. A connection ∇ defined by

$$\nabla W_\alpha = \omega_\alpha{}^\beta \otimes W_\beta, \quad \nabla T = 0$$

is called the *pseudohermitian connection* or the *Webster connection* for θ . The functions $A^\alpha{}_\beta$ are called the coefficients of the *torsion tensor* $\mathbf{T}$. Let

$$d\omega_\alpha{}^\beta - \omega_\alpha{}^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma{}^\beta \equiv R_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} \theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^{\bar{\sigma}} \mod \theta, \theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^\sigma, \theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\sigma}}.$$

We call $R_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}}$ the coefficients of the *Webster curvature tensor* $\mathbf{R}$. Contracting indices, we obtain the coefficients $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ of the Webster Ricci curvature Ric and the Webster scalar curvature S as follows:

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_\gamma{}^\gamma{}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad S = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}.$$

The norm of the Webster curvature $|\mathbf{R}|_\theta$ is defined by

$$|\mathbf{R}|_\theta^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma} |R_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}}|^2$$

where the frame is chosen so that $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. We similarly define the norm of the torsion tensor $|\mathbf{T}|_\theta$.

A pseudohermitian structure defines a sub-Riemannian structure. The distance function induced by a sub-Riemannian metric is called the *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* (cf. [28]). We denote by $B_\theta(x, r)$ the Carnot-Carathéodory ball with respect to the pseudohermitian structure θ of radius $r > 0$ centered at $x \in M$.

The *Heisenberg group* $\mathcal{H}^n$ is a strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with the CR structure whose $H^{1,0}$ bundle is spanned by

$$(2.1) \quad Z_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} + iz^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $(z, t) = (z^1, \dots, z^n, t)$ is the standard coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{H}^n$ is CR equivalent to the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ minus a single point. If we put

$$(2.2) \quad \vartheta_0 = dt - iz^{\bar{\alpha}} dz^\alpha + iz^\alpha dz^{\bar{\alpha}},$$

then it turns out the curvature and torsion tensors vanish identically. The converse also follows from the solution of the Cartan equivalence problem.

Proposition 2.2. *If the curvature and the torsion tensors of a pseudohermitian manifold (M, θ) vanish identically, then the pseudohermitian structure of M is locally equivalent to that of $(\mathcal{H}^n, \vartheta_0)$. If we assume more that M is simply connected and complete in the sense that every Carnot-Carathéodory ball is relatively compact in M , then (M, θ) is globally equivalent to $(\mathcal{H}^n, \vartheta_0)$,*

For a given pseudohermitian manifold (M, θ) , we can extend the CR structure J to a smooth section of endomorphism $\hat{J}$ on TM by putting $\hat{J}(T) = 0$, where T is the characteristic vector field of θ . Let J_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and J_0 be strongly pseudoconvex CR structures on M with CR distributions H_k and H_0 , respectively. We say that J_k converges to J_0 in C^l -sense ($l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$), if there exists pseudohermitian structure θ_k and θ_0 for (M, J_k) and (M, J_0) such that $\theta_k \rightarrow \theta_0$ and $\hat{J}_k \rightarrow \hat{J}_0$ in C^l -sense as tensors on M .

2.2. Pseudoconformal change of structures and the CR Yamabe equation.

Let (M, θ) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional pseudohermitian manifold and let $\tilde{\theta} = e^{2f}\theta$ be a pseudoconformal change, where f is a smooth real-valued function. Let $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ be an admissible coframe for θ satisfying $d\theta = 2ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}$. Then it turns out

$$\tilde{\theta}^\alpha = e^f(\theta^\alpha + if^\alpha\theta), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$

form an admissible coframe for $\tilde{\theta}$ which satisfies

$$d\tilde{\theta} = 2ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\tilde{\theta}^\alpha \wedge \tilde{\theta}^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

Let $R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}}$ and $\widetilde{R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}}}$ be coefficients of the Webster curvatures for θ and $\tilde{\theta}$ evaluated in the coframes $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ and $\{\tilde{\theta}^\alpha\}$, respectively. Then they are related as

$$(2.3) \quad \widetilde{R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}}} = e^{-2f} \left\{ R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (f_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} + f_{\bar{\sigma}\gamma}) - 2g_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} f^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} - 2f_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} \delta^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} \right. \\ \left. - (f^{\beta}{}_{\alpha} + f_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}) g_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} - 4 \left(\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} g_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} + g_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} \delta^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} \right) f^{\lambda} f_{\lambda} \right\}$$

where $f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, $f_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ and $f^{\beta}{}_{\alpha}$ are components of the second covariant derivatives of f of the pseudohermitian manifold (M, θ) (cf. Proposition 4.14 in [20] for more general case). Contracting indices, we obtain the following transformation formula for Webster the scalar curvatures:

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{S} = e^{-2f} \{ S + 2(n+1)\Delta_{\theta}f - 4n(n+1)f^{\lambda}f_{\lambda} \}$$

where $\Delta_{\theta}f = -(f_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha} + f_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}})$. The operator Δ_{θ} is called the *sublaplacian* for θ .

Let u be a positive smooth function on M defined by $u^{p-2} = e^{2f}$, where $p = 2 + 2/n$. Then (2.4) changes into the following nonlinear equation for u :

$$(2.5) \quad L_{\theta}u := (b_n\Delta_{\theta} + S)u = \tilde{S}u^{p-1},$$

where $b_n = 2 + 2/n$ (see [18, 19, 22]). Equation (2.5) is called the *CR Yamabe equation* and the subelliptic linear operator L_{θ} is called the *CR Laplacian* for θ . The *CR Yamabe problem* is to find a positive smooth function u which makes $\tilde{S}$ constant.

Let $A^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}}$ and $\widetilde{A^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}}}$ be the coefficients of the torsion tensors for θ and $\tilde{\theta}$ in the coframes $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ and $\{\tilde{\theta}^\alpha\}$, respectively. Then it turns out that

$$(2.6) \quad \widetilde{A^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}}} = e^{-2f} (A^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}} - if^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}} + 2if^{\alpha}f_{\bar{\beta}}).$$

See [22]. for details.

2.3. Folland-Stein spaces and subelliptic estimates. Roughly speaking, a *normal coordinate system* of a pseudohermitian manifold (M, θ) of dimension $(2n + 1)$ is a local approximation by the standard pseudohermitian structure on the Heisenberg group $(\mathcal{H}^n, \theta_0)$. For $p \in M$, let $W_1, \dots, W_n$ be a local frame defined on a neighborhood V of p such that the coefficients of the Levi form for θ is $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. Such a frame is called a *unitary frame*. We denote by T the characteristic vector field for θ . Let (z, t) be the standard coordinates of $\mathcal{H}^n$ and let $|(z, t)| = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$ the Heisenberg group norm. Z_{α} and θ_0 are defined on $\mathcal{H}^n$ as (2.1) and (2.2). Then

Theorem 2.3 ([8]). *There is a neighborhood of the diagonal $\Omega \subset V \times V$ and a C^∞ -smooth mapping $\Theta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n$ satisfying:*

- (a) $\Theta(\xi, \eta) = -\Theta(\eta, \xi) = \Theta(\eta, \xi)^{-1}$. (In particular, $\Theta(\xi, \xi) = 0$.)
- (b) Let $\Theta_{\xi}(\eta) = \Theta(\xi, \eta)$. Then Θ_{ξ} is a diffeomorphism of a neighborhood Ω_{ξ} of ξ onto a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathcal{H}^n$. Denote by $y = (z, t) = \Theta(\xi, \eta)$ the coordinates of $\mathcal{H}^n$. Denote by O^k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) a C^∞ function f of ξ and y such that for each compact set $K \subset V$, there is a constant C_K , with

$f(\xi, y) \leq C_K |y|^k$ (Heisenberg norm) for $\xi \in K$. Then we have the following approximation formula.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_\xi^{-1})^* \theta &= \theta_0 + O^1 dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (O^2 dz^\alpha + O^2 dz^{\bar{\alpha}}), \quad (\Theta_\xi^{-1})^* (\theta \wedge d\theta^n) = (1 + O^1) \theta_0 \wedge d\theta_0^n, \\ \Theta_{\xi*} W_\alpha &= Z_\alpha + O^1 \mathcal{E}(\partial_z) + O^2 \mathcal{E}(\partial_t), \quad \Theta_{\xi*} T = \partial/\partial t + O^1 \mathcal{E}(\partial_z, \partial_t), \\ \Theta_{\xi*} \Delta_\theta &= \Delta_{\theta_0} + \mathcal{E}(\partial_z) + O^1 \mathcal{E}(\partial_t, \partial_z^2) + O^2 \mathcal{E}(\partial_z \partial_t) + O^3 \mathcal{E}(\partial_t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $O^k \mathcal{E}$ indicates an operator involving linear combinations of the indicated derivatives with smooth coefficients in O^k , and we have used ∂_z to denote any of the derivatives $\partial/\partial z^\alpha, \partial/\partial z^{\bar{\alpha}}$.

The smooth map Θ_ξ is called the *Folland-Stein normal coordinates* centered at ξ with respect to the frame $\{W_\alpha\}$. (This coordinate system depends on the choice of local unitary frame. Another construction of pseudohermitian normal coordinates which does not depend on local frames is given in [19].) Here and in the sequel, we use the term *frame constants* to mean bounds on finitely many derivatives of the coefficients in the $O^k \mathcal{E}$ terms in Theorem 2.3.

Let V be an open neighborhood of a point $p \in M$ with a fixed local unitary frame $W_1, \dots, W_n$ and let U be a relatively compact open neighborhood of p in V such that Ω_ξ in Theorem 2.3 contains $\bar{U}$ for every $\xi \in \bar{U}$. Let $X_\alpha = \operatorname{Re} W_\alpha$ and $X_{\alpha+n} = \operatorname{Im} W_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. For a multiindex $A = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, with $1 \leq \alpha_j \leq 2n, j = 1, \dots, k$, we denote k by $\ell(A)$ and denote $X^A f = X_{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{\alpha_k} f$ for a smooth function f on U . The $S_k^p(U)$ -norm of a smooth function f on U is

$$\|f\|_{S_k^p(U)} = \sup_{\ell(A) \leq k} \|X^A f\|_{L^p(U)},$$

where $\|g\|_{L^p(U)} = (\int_U |g|^p \theta \wedge d\theta^n)^{1/p}$ is the L^p -norm of g on U with respect to the volume element induced by θ . The completion of $C_0^\infty(U)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S_k^p(U)}$ is denoted by $S_k^p(U)$.

Hölder type spaces suited to Δ_θ is also defined as follows. For $x, y \in U$, let $\rho(x, y) = |\Theta(x, y)|$ (Heisenberg norm). For a positive real number $0 < s < 1$,

$$\Gamma_s(U) = \{f \in C^0(\bar{U}) : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C \rho(x, y)^s \text{ for some constant } C > 0\}.$$

If s is a positive non-integral real number such that $k < s < k + 1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, then

$$\Gamma_s(U) = \{f \in C^0(\bar{U}) : X^A f \in \Gamma_{s-k}(U), \ell(A) \leq k\}.$$

Then $\Gamma_s(U)$ -norm for $f \in \Gamma_s(U)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\Gamma_s(U)} = \sup_{x \in U} |f(x)| + \sup \left\{ \frac{|X^A f(x) - X^A f(y)|}{\rho(x, y)^{s-k}} : x, y \in U, x \neq y, \ell(A) \leq k \right\}.$$

The function spaces $S_k^p(U)$ and $\Gamma_s(U)$ are called the *Folland-Stein spaces* on U . We denote by $\Lambda_s(U)$ the Euclidean Hölder space when we regard U as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.

Theorem 2.4 ([8]). *For each positive real number s which is not an integer, each $1 < r < \infty$ and each integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(U)$,*

- (a) $\|f\|_{\Gamma_s(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{S_k^r(U)}$, where $1/r = (k - s)/(2n + 2)$,
- (b) $\|f\|_{\Lambda_{s/2}(U)} \leq C \|f\|_{\Gamma_s(U)}$,
- (c) $\|f\|_{S_2^r(U)} \leq C (\|\Delta_\theta f\|_{L^r(U)} + \|f\|_{L^r(U)})$,
- (d) $\|f\|_{\Gamma_{s+2}(U)} \leq C (\|\Delta_\theta f\|_{\Gamma_s(U)} + \|f\|_{\Gamma_s(U)})$.

Moreover the constant C depends only on frame constants.

One should notice that the constants C in the theorem above depend on frame constants rather than the pseudohermitian structure itself. Therefore, if $\mathcal{U}$ is a small neighborhood (J_0, θ_0) in C^∞ -topology, then we can choose constants C in Theorem 2.4 which are independent of choice $(J, \theta) \in \mathcal{U}$. This fact will be same for all theorems in this paper about some norm estimates with constants depending on frame constants.

If M is compact, we can choose a finite open covering $U_1, \dots, U_m$ each of which is contained in a normal coordinates. Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m$ be a partition of unity subordinate to this covering. Then the spaces of $S_k^p(M)$ and $\Gamma_s(M)$ are defined as spaces of function u such that $\phi_j u \in S_k^p(U_j)$ or $\phi_j u \in \Gamma_s(U_j)$, respectively, for every $j = 1, \dots, m$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the following fundamental fact about the semicontinuity property of compact group actions proved by Ebin in [5] for Theorem 1.1. We denote by $\text{Diff}(M)$ the group of C^∞ -smooth diffeomorphisms. Recall that the C^∞ -topology on $\text{Diff}(M)$ is metrizable. We denote a metric inducing the C^∞ -topology by d .

Theorem 3.1 ([5]; cf. [12, 13, 15, 21]). *Let M be a compact C^∞ -smooth manifold and let G_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and G_0 be compact subgroups of $\text{Diff}(M)$. Suppose $G_j \rightarrow G_0$ in C^∞ -topology as $j \rightarrow \infty$, that is, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that $d(f, G_0) := \inf_{g \in G_0} d(f, g) < \epsilon$ for every $f \in G_j$, whenever $j > N$. Then G_j is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_0 for every sufficiently large j . Moreover, the isomorphism can be obtained by the conjugation by a diffeomorphism φ_j of M which converges to the identity map in C^∞ -sense.*

Therefore, it suffices to prove the following proposition for the conclusion of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\{J_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of strongly pseudoconvex CR structures on a compact manifold M which tends to a strongly pseudoconvex CR structure J_0 as in Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ is compact. Then $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k)$ is also compact for every sufficiently large k . Furthermore, every sequence $\{F_k \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k) : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ admits a subsequence converging to an element $F \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ in C^∞ -sense.*

We will make use of the solutions of the CR Yamabe problem for the proof of Proposition 3.2. According to the variational approach introduced by Jerison-Lee in [18, 19], it is very natural to consider the sign of CR Yamabe invariant defined as follows: Let (M, θ) be a compact pseudohermitian manifold. For a C^∞ -smooth real-valued function u ,

$$A(\theta; u) := \int_M u L_\theta u \theta \wedge d\theta^n = \int_M (b_n |du|_\theta^2 + R u^2) \theta \wedge d\theta^n$$

and let

$$B(\theta; u) := \int_M |u|^p \theta \wedge d\theta^n.$$

Then the CR Yamabe invariant $Y(M)$ is defined by

$$Y(M) := \inf \{A(\theta; u) : u \in C^\infty(M), B(\theta; u) = 1\}.$$

It is well-known that $Y(M)$ does not depend on the choice of contact form θ . Let J_k be a sequence of strongly pseudoconvex CR structures on M tending to a strongly pseudoconvex CR structure J_0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by Y_k the CR Yamabe invariant of (M, J_k) . For the proof, we may assume either that $Y_k \leq 0$ for every k or that $Y_k > 0$ for every k .

3.1. **Case $Y_k \leq 0$.** In this case, we use the minimal solution of the Yamabe problem.

Theorem 3.3 ([18]). *Let M be a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n + 1$. Then*

- (i) $Y(S^{2n+1}) > 0$, where $Y(S^{2n+1})$ is the CR Yamabe invariant for the sphere S^{2n+1} with the standard structure.
- (ii) $Y(M) \leq Y(S^{2n+1})$,
- (iii) *If $Y(M) < Y(S^{2n+1})$, then there exists a positive C^∞ -smooth function u which satisfies that $B(\theta; u) = 1$ and $A(\theta; u) = Y(M)$ for a given pseudohermitian structure θ . This function u satisfies*

$$L_\theta u = Y(M)u^{p-1}.$$

That is, the pseudohermitian structure $\tilde{\theta} = u^{p-2}\theta$ has a constant Webster scalar curvature $\tilde{R} = Y(M)$.

It is known in [19] that $Y(M) < Y(S^{2n+1})$ if M is not locally spherical and $2n + 1 \geq 5$. The cases that $2n + 1 = 3$ or M is spherical are dealt by Gamara and Gamara-Yacoub in [9, 10].

Proposition 3.4 (Theorem 7.1 in [18]). *If $Y(M) \leq 0$, then a pseudohermitian structure with constant Webster scalar curvature is unique up to constant multiples. As a consequence, there is a unique pseudohermitian structure with constant Webster scalar curvature under the unit volume condition, if $Y(M) \leq 0$.*

Proposition 3.5 (Theorem 5.15 in [18]). *Let M be a compact strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and let θ be a pseudohermitian structure. Suppose that $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, $u \geq 0$, $u \in L^r$ for some $r > p = 2 + 2/n$ and*

$$\Delta_\theta u + gu = fu^{q-1}$$

in the distribution sense for some $2 \leq q \leq p$. Then $u \in C^\infty(M)$, $u > 0$. Furthermore, $\|u\|_{C^k}$ depends only on $\|u\|_{L^r}$, $\|f\|_{C^k}$, $\|g\|_{C^k}$ and frame constants, but not on q .

Indeed, the above lemma is stated in a local version in [18]. But it is obvious it holds globally by taking a partition of unity subordinate to a chart of normal coordinates.

Proposition 3.6 (Case $k = 1$, $r = 2$ and $s = p$ in Proposition 5.5 of [18]). *For a compact pseudohermitian manifold (M, θ) of dimension $2n + 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_M |v|^p \theta \wedge d\theta^n \leq C \int_M (|dv|_\theta^2 + |v|^2) \theta \wedge d\theta^n$$

for every C^∞ -smooth function v on M .

Since we are considering CR structures converging to the target structure J_0 , we can choose also a sequence $\{\theta_k\}$ of contact forms which tends to a target pseudohermitian structure θ_0 in C^∞ -sense. Without loss of generality, we always assume that $\int_M \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n = 1$ for every k .

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $Y_k \leq 0$ for every k . Let $u_k > 0$ be the (unique) solution as in (iii) of Theorem 3.3 with respect to (J_k, θ_k) . Then for each nonnegative integer l , there exists a constant C such that $\|u_k\|_{C^l} \leq C$ for every k .*

Proof. Since u_k satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad b_n \Delta_{\theta_k} u_k + R_k u_k = Y_k u_k^{p-1},$$

where R_k is the Webster scalar curvature for θ_k , we have

$$\int_M \frac{p-1}{2} b_n u_k^{p-2} |du_k|_{\theta_k} \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n \leq \int_M |R_k u_k^p|_{\theta_k} \wedge d\theta_k^n$$

by integrating after multiplying u_k^{p-1} to the both side of (3.1), since $Y_k \leq 0$. Therefore, the function $w_k := u_k^{p/2}$ satisfies

$$\int_M |dw_k|_{\theta_k}^2 \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n \leq C \int_M w_k^2 \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n = C \int_M u_k^p \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n = C,$$

since R_k is bounded uniformly for k . Moreover since $(J_k, \theta_k) \rightarrow (J_0, \theta_0)$ in C^∞ -sense, Proposition 3.6 implies that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of k such that

$$\int_M w_k^p \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n \leq C \int_M (|dw_k|_{\theta_k}^2 + w_k^2) \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n,$$

which is uniformly bounded for every k . This implies that $\|u_k\|_{L^r}$ is uniformly bounded as $(J_k, \theta_k) \rightarrow (J_0, \theta_0)$, where $r = p^2/2 > p$. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.5, since frame constants for (J_k, θ_k) are also uniformly bounded as $(J_k, \theta_k) \rightarrow (J_0, \theta_0)$ in C^∞ -sense. $\square$

If $Y_k \leq 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, then by taking a subsequence, we may assume the sequence $\{u_k\}$ of solutions of the Yamabe problem with respect to (J_k, θ_k) converges to u_0 , the solution of the Yamabe problem with respect to (J_0, θ_0) in C^∞ -sense by Lemma 3.7. Replacing θ_k by $u_k^{p-2}\theta_k$, then we may assume the Webster scalar curvature of θ_k is a nonpositive constant for every k . In this case, it is known that the CR automorphism group of (M, J_k) coincides with the pseudohermitian automorphism group for (M, J_k, θ_k) . Let g_k be the Riemannian metric on M defined by

$$g_k = \theta_k \otimes \theta_k + d\theta_k(\cdot, J_k \cdot)$$

for each k . Then we see that $g_k \rightarrow g_0 = \theta_0 \otimes \theta_0 + d\theta_0(\cdot, J_0 \cdot)$ in C^∞ -sense, and the CR automorphism groups $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k)$ and $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ are subgroups of the isometry groups of g_k and g_0 , respectively. Then the conclusion follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.2. Case $Y_k > 0$. We start from proving that it is enough to consider C^0 -convergence instead of C^∞ -convergence.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose for a sequence $\{F_k \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k)\}$, $F_k \rightarrow F$ and $F_k^{-1} \rightarrow G$ in C^0 -sense for some continuous mappings F and G . Then $F \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$, $G = F^{-1}$ and $F_k \rightarrow F$ in C^∞ -sense.*

Proof. This lemma is a sequential version of Proposition 1.1' in [27]. Let θ_k and θ_0 be pseudohermitian structures for J_k and J_0 , respectively and suppose $\theta_k \rightarrow \theta_0$ in C^∞ -sense. For a given point $p \in M$, let $q_k = F_k(p)$ and $q = F(p)$. Let $q \in \tilde{U} \subset \tilde{V} \subset \tilde{W}$ be relatively compact neighborhoods of q . Since $q_k \rightarrow q$, we can assume that $q_k \in \tilde{U}$ for every k . The fact $Y_k > 0$ implies that the principal eigenvalue of L_{θ_k} on M and hence the Dirichlet principal eigenvalue of L_{θ_k} on $\tilde{W}$ are also positive for every k . Then the local scalar flattening argument by Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen (see [7, 27]), we have a positive C^∞ -smooth function u_k on $\tilde{W}$ such that $L_{\theta_k} u_k = 0$ on $\tilde{W}$ for every k . Multiplying a positive constant, we may assume that $u_k(q) = 1$ for every k . Then the subelliptic theory Theorem 2.3 for sublaplacian and the Harnack principle (cf. Proposition 5.12 in [18]) imply that $\{u_k\}$ has a convergent subsequence which tends to a positive function u_0 on the closure of $\tilde{V}$ in C^∞ -sense. We denote the convergent subsequence by $\{u_k\}$ again. Then $\tilde{\theta}_k = u_k^{p-2}\theta_k$ and $\tilde{\theta}_0 = u_0^{p-2}\theta_0$ have the trivial Webster scalar curvatures on

$\tilde{V}$. From the equicontinuity of the sequence $\{F_k\}$, we can choose a neighborhood W of p such that $F_k(W) \in \tilde{U}$ for every k . Let v_k be a positive smooth function on V defined by $F_k^* \tilde{\theta}_k = v_k^{p-2} \theta_k$. Then for every k , we have

$$(3.2) \quad L_{\theta_k} v_k = 0 \quad \text{on } W.$$

We denote by $\text{Vol}_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(\tilde{U})$ be the volume of $\tilde{U}$ with respect to the volume form $\tilde{\theta}_k \wedge d\tilde{\theta}_k^n$. Since $\tilde{\theta}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_0$ in C^∞ -sense in $\tilde{V}$, there exists a uniform bound C of $\text{Vol}_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(\tilde{U})$. Therefore, it turns out that

$$\int_W v_k^p \theta_k \wedge d\theta_k^n = \int_W F_k^*(\tilde{\theta}_k \wedge d\tilde{\theta}_k^n) = \text{Vol}_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(F_k(W)) \leq \text{Vol}_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(\tilde{U}) \leq C$$

for every k . Fix a neighborhood $V \subset\subset W$ of p . Then the subelliptic mean-value inequality for (3.2) implies that there exists a constant C such that $v_k(x) \leq C$ for every $x \in V$. We can also choose this C independently on k by the convergence of structures. Then for a given neighborhood $U \subset\subset V$ of p and for each positive integer l , there exists a constant C_l which is independent of k such that

$$\|v_k\|_{C^l(U)} \leq C_l$$

for every k , by Theorem 2.3. Since each F_k is pseudoconformal, the C^l -norm of F_k on U is completely determined by that of v_k and is uniformly bounded on U . This yields that every subsequence of $\{F_k\}$ contains a subsequence converging in C^l -sense, for every positive integer l . Since F_k converges to F in C^0 -sense on M and since M is compact, we conclude that F_k converges to F in C^∞ -sense. By the same reason, $F_k^{-1} \rightarrow G$ in C^∞ -sense. It follows immediately that $F \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ and $G = F^{-1}$. $\square$

For a CR diffeomorphism $F : (M, \theta) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\theta})$ between two pseudohermitian manifolds, we denote by $|F'|_{\theta, \tilde{\theta}}$ the pseudoconformal factor of F , that is, $F^* \tilde{\theta} = |F'|_{\theta, \tilde{\theta}} \theta$. We abbreviate it to $|F'|_\theta$ in case $(M, \theta) = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\theta})$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (M, θ) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\theta})$ be pseudohermitian manifolds of same dimension. Let K be a relatively compact subset of M and suppose that the Webster scalar curvature for $\tilde{\theta}$ vanishes on $\tilde{M}$. Then there exist constants $r_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for every CR diffeomorphism F on a Carnot-Carathéodory ball $B_\theta(x, r)$ into M ,*

$$B_{\tilde{\theta}}(F(x), C^{-1}\lambda r) \subset F(B_\theta(x, r)) \subset B_{\tilde{\theta}}(F(x), C\lambda r)$$

whenever $x \in K$ and $r \leq r_0/2$, where $\lambda = |F'|_{\theta, \tilde{\theta}}(x)$. The constant C depends only on r_0 , K and uniform bounds of finite order derivatives of the CR and pseudohermitian structures of (M, θ) .

This lemma is a restatement of (i) of Proposition 2.1' in [27], which is a consequence of the subelliptic Harnack principle.

Assume the contrary to the conclusion of Proposition 3.2 for the proof. Then there exists a sequence $\{F_k \in \text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_k)\}$ such that $\sup_{x \in M} |F'_k|_{\theta_k}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to Lemma 3.8. Let $x_k \in M$ be a point of M with $|F'_k|_{\theta_k}(x_k) = \sup_{x \in M} |F'_k|_{\theta_k}(x)$. Extracting a subsequence, we assume that $x_k \rightarrow x_0 \in M$ and $F_k(x_k) \rightarrow z_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Choose $r > 0$ small enough that the Carnot-Carathéodory balls satisfy $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r) \subset\subset B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r) \subset\subset U$ for each k , where U is a relatively compact neighborhood of x_0 in M , and $2r < r_0$ for r_0 given in Lemma 3.9.

Lemma 3.10. *There exists a subsequence $\{F_{k_j} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of $\{F_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ which admits a point $y_0 \in M$ such that for every compact subset K in $M \setminus \{y_0\}$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $K \subset F_{k_j}(B_{\theta_{k_j}}(x_{k_j}, 2r))$ if $k_j > N$. Moreover, for the subsequence, one can choose the point y_0 independently of $r > 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that for every $r > 0$, there exists no sequence $\{y_k \in M \setminus F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r))\}$ such that $d(y_k, F_k(x_k)) > \epsilon$ for any given $\epsilon > 0$, where d is the sub-Riemannian distance induced from θ_0 . Then it turns out every sequence $\{y_k \in M \setminus F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r))\}$ converges to z_0 . In this case, we just need to put $y_0 = z_0$.

Now suppose that for some $r > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{y_k \in M \setminus F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r))\}$ such that $d(y_k, F_k(x_k)) > \epsilon$ for infinitely many k for some $\epsilon > 0$. Extracting a subsequence, we may assume that $y_k \rightarrow y_0 \in M$ and $d(y_k, F_k(x_k)) > \epsilon$ for every k so that the sequence $\{F_k(x_k)\}$ is relatively compact in $M \setminus \{y_0\}$. Let G_k be the Green function for L_{θ_k} with pole at y_k . Existence of the Green function follows from the fact that $Y_k > 0$ (see for instance, [4, 9]). We normalize G_k by the condition $\min_{M \setminus \{y_k\}} G_k = 1$. Since each $G_k > 0$ and $L_{\theta_k} G_k = 0$ on $M \setminus \{y_k\}$, we may assume $\{G_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ converges to a positive function G_0 on $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ in local C^∞ -sense, by extracting a subsequence if necessary. Let $\tilde{\theta}_k = G_k^{p-2} \theta_k$. Then $\tilde{\theta}_k$ is a pseudohermitian structure on $M \setminus \{y_k\}$ which is Webster scalar flat. Therefore, if we denote $\lambda_k = |F'_k|_{\theta_k, \tilde{\theta}_k}(x_k)$, then Lemma 3.9 implies that there exists a constant C independent of k such that

$$B_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(F_k(x_k), C^{-1} \lambda_k r) \subset F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)) \subset B_{\tilde{\theta}_k}(F_k(x_k), C \lambda_k r)$$

Since $G_k \geq 1$ and $|F'_k|_{\theta_k}(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$, λ_k also tends to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, a relatively compact subset K in $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ should be included in $F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r))$ for every sufficiently large k , since $F_k(x_k)$ lies on a fixed relatively compact subset of $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_0 = G_0^{p-2} \theta_0$ in local C^∞ -smooth sense on $M \setminus \{y_0\}$. Note that the choice of the sequence $\{y_k\}$ and y_0 still works for every $r' \leq r$. This yields the independence of y_0 on r as $r \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.10, it turns out that $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ is simply connected and complete with respect to the sub-Riemannian distance induced by $\tilde{\theta}_0$.

Extracting a subsequence, we assume Lemma 3.10 holds for the entire sequence $\{F_k\}$. Choose $y_k \in M \setminus F_k(B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r))$ which tends to y_0 . Let v_k and f_k be real-valued functions on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r)$ defined by

$$v_k^{p-2} = |F'_k|_{\theta_k, \tilde{\theta}_k} = e^{2f_k},$$

where G_k is the normalized Green function for L_{θ_k} with pole at y_k which converges to a positive function G_0 in local C^∞ -smooth sense on $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $\tilde{\theta}_k = G_k^{p-2} \theta_k$. Since $L_{\theta_k} v_k = 0$, we see that there exists a constant C independent of k such that $|F'_k|_{\theta_k, \tilde{\theta}_k} \geq C \lambda_k$ on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$ by the Harnack principle, where $\lambda_k = |F'_k|_{\theta_k, \tilde{\theta}_k}(x_k)$. Let $\{Z_k \in \Gamma(H_k^{1,0})\}$ be a sequence of vector fields on U which tends to $Z_0 \in \Gamma(H_0^{1,0})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $H_k^{1,0}$ represents the $(1,0)$ -bundle with respect to J_k . Since $f_k = \frac{1}{n} \log v_k$, we have

$$Z_k f_k = \frac{Z_k v_k}{n v_k}$$

for every k . Since $L_{\theta_k} v_k = 0$ on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, 2r)$, the subelliptic estimates Theorem 2.4 implies that $Z_k f_k$ is uniformly bounded on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$ for every k . So is $\overline{Z_k} f_k$, and if W_k is another sequence of vector fields, then $Z_k W_k f_k$ and $Z_k \overline{W_k} f_k$ are all uniformly bounded on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if we denote by $\mathbf{R}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_k$ the Webster curvature tensors for θ_k and $\tilde{\theta}_k$ respectively, then (2.3) implies that

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_k|_{\tilde{\theta}_k}^2(F_k(x)) \leq C \lambda_k^{-2} \{|\mathbf{R}_k|_{\theta_k}^2(x) + A_k |\mathbf{R}_k|_{\theta_k}(x) + B_k\}$$

for every $x \in B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$, where A_k and B_k are some functions of the first and second covariant derivatives of f_k with respect to the pseudohermitian structure θ_k , which are uniformly bounded on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\mathbf{R}_k|_{\theta_k}$ is

uniformly bounded on $B_{\theta_k}(x_k, r)$ for every k , it turns out that $|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_k|_{\tilde{\theta}_k} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of $M \setminus \{y_0\}$ by Lemma 3.10. Therefore, we see that the pseudohermitian manifold $(M \setminus \{y_0\}, \tilde{\theta}_0)$ has trivial Webster curvature. Similar argument with (2.6) implies that the torsion tensor of $\tilde{\theta}_0$ is also trivial. Therefore, we can conclude that $(M \setminus \{y_0\}, \tilde{\theta}_0)$ is equivalent to the standard pseudohermitian structure of the Heisenberg group and therefore, (M, J_0) is CR equivalent to the sphere by the removable singularity theorem. This contradicts to the hypothesis that $\text{Aut}_{CR}(M, J_0)$ is compact and hence yields the conclusion of Proposition 3.2

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BELL, *Compactness of families of holomorphic mappings up to the boundary*, Complex analysis (University Park, Pa., 1986), 29-42, Lecture Notes in Math., 1268, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] L. BOUTET DE MONVEL, *Intégration des équations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles*, (French) Séminaire Goulaouic-Lions-Schwartz 1974-1975; Équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires et non linéaires, pp. Exp. No. 9, 14 pp. Centre Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1975.
- [3] D. BURNS AND C. L. EPSTEIN, *Embeddability for three-dimensional CR-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no. 4, 809-841.
- [4] J. -H. CHENG, H. -L. CHIU AND P. YANG, *Uniformization of spherical CR manifolds*, Adv. Math. 255 (2014), 182-216.
- [5] D. EBIN, *The manifold of Riemannian metrics*, 1970 Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968) pp. 11-40 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
- [6] C. FEFFERMAN, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math. 26 (1974), 1-65.
- [7] D. FISCHER-COLBRIE AND R. SCHOEN, *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980), no. 2, 199-211.
- [8] G. B. FOLLAND AND E. M. STEIN, *Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 419-522.
- [9] N. GAMARA, *The CR Yamabe conjecture—the case $n = 1$* , J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 3 (2001), no. 2, 105-137.
- [10] N. GAMARA AND R. YACCOUB, *CR Yamabe conjecture—the conformally flat case*, Pacific J. Math. 201 (2001), no. 1, 121-175.
- [11] R. E. GREENE AND K. -T. KIM, *Stably-interior points and the semicontinuity of the automorphism group*, Math. Z. 277 (2014), no. 3-4, 909-916.
- [12] R. E. GREENE, K. -T. KIM, AND S. G. KRANTZ, *The geometry of complex domains*, Progress in Mathematics 291, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2011.
- [13] R. E. GREENE, K. -T. KIM, S. G. KRANTZ AND A. SEO, *Semicontinuity of automorphism groups of strongly pseudoconvex domains: the low differentiability case*, Pacific J. Math. 262 (2013), no. 2, 365-395.
- [14] R. E. GREENE AND S. G. KRANTZ, *The automorphism groups of strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Ann. 261 (1982), no. 4, 425-446.
- [15] K. GROVE AND H. KARCHER, *How to conjugate C^1 -close group action*, Math. Z. 132 (1973), 11-20.
- [16] R. S. HAMILTON, *Deformation of complex structures on manifolds with boundary. I. The stable case*, J. Differential Geometry 12 (1977), no. 1, 1-45.
- [17] R. S. HAMILTON, *Deformation of complex structures on manifolds with boundary. II. Families of noncoercive boundary value problems*, J. Differential Geom. 14 (1979), no. 3, 409-473 (1980).
- [18] D. JERISON AND J. M. LEE, *The Yamabe problem on CR manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., 25 (1987), pp. 167-197.
- [19] D. JERISON AND J. M. LEE, *Intrinsic CR normal coordinates and the CR Yamabe problem*, J. Differential Geom., 29 (1989), pp. 303-343.
- [20] J. -C. JOO AND K. -H. LEE, *Subconformal Yamabe equation and automorphism groups of almost CR manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. published online first (DOI 10.1007/s12220-013-9435-4), 1-35.
- [21] Y. W. KIM, *Semicontinuity of compact group actions on compact differentiable manifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 49 (1987), no. 5, 450-455.
- [22] J. M. LEE, *The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), no. 1, 411-429.

- [23] L. LEMPERT, *On three-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), no. 4, 923-969.
- [24] L. NIRENBERG, *A certain problem of Hans Lewy*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 29 (1974), no. 2 (176), 241-251.
- [25] J.-P. ROSAY, *Sur une caractérisation de la boule parmi les domaines de $\mathbf{C}^n$ par son groupe d'automorphismes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 29 (1979), pp. ix, 91-97.
- [26] H. ROSSI, *Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudoconcave boundary*, 1965 Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis, 1964) pp. 242-256, Springer, Berlin.
- [27] R. SCHOEN, *On the conformal and CR automorphism groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 5 (1995), 464-481.
- [28] R. S. STRICHARTZ, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986), no. 2, 221-263.
- [29] S. M. WEBSTER, *Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface*, J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), no. 1, 25-41.
- [30] B. WONG, *Characterization of the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$ by its automorphism group*, Invent. Math., 41 (1977), pp. 253-257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL, GAUSSSTR.
20, D-42119 WUPPERTAL, GERMANY
E-mail address: jcjoo91@uni-wuppertal.de