

**Ionic content dependence of viscoelasticity of lyotropic chromonic liquid
crystal sunset yellow**

Shuang Zhou¹, Adam J. Cervenka² and Oleg D Lavrentovich^{1,*}

*¹ Liquid Crystal Institute and Chemical Physics Interdisciplinary Program, Kent State
University, Kent, OH, USA 44242*

² Department of Chemistry, Bates College, Lewiston, ME, USA 04240

Subject Area: Chemical Physics, Soft Matter, Material Science

* olavrent@kent.edu

A lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal (LCLC) is an orientationally ordered system made by self-assembled aggregates of charged organic molecules in water, bound by weak non-covalent attractive forces and stabilized by electrostatic repulsions. We determine how the ionic content of the LCLC, namely the presence of mono- and divalent salts and pH enhancing agent, alter the viscoelastic properties of the LCLC. Aqueous solutions of the dye Sunset Yellow with a uniaxial nematic order are used as an example. By applying a magnetic field to impose orientational deformations, we measure the splay K_1 , twist K_2 and bend K_3 elastic constants and rotation viscosity γ_1 as a function of concentration of additives. The data indicate that the viscoelastic parameters are influenced by ionic content in dramatic and versatile ways. For example, the monovalent salt NaCl decreases K_3 and K_2 and increases γ_1 , while an elevated pH decreases all the parameters. We attribute these features to the ion-induced changes in length and flexibility of building units of LCLC, the chromonic aggregates, a property not found in conventional thermotropic and lyotropic liquid crystals formed by covalently bound units of fixed length.

Self-assembly of organic molecules in water is central to functioning of biological systems and to a broadening range of modern technologies utilizing soft matter. The mechanisms driving a diverse spectrum of self-organized structures are complex and involve a delicate balance of dispersive, hydrophobic/hydrophilic, depletion, and electrostatic forces. To understand these mechanisms, it is important to design model systems in which the structural and mechanical features can be experimentally measured in a reliable way and connected to the underlying composition. In this work, we explore the so-called lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals (LCLCs) as such a system that allows one to deduce the effect of ionic content on viscoelastic properties of orientationally ordered assemblies of organic molecules in aqueous solutions.

LCLCs represent a broad class of materials formed in water dispersions of plank-like or disk-like polyaromatic molecules with ionizable groups at the periphery, Fig. 1A. Face-to-face attraction of molecules forms elongated aggregates, Fig. 1B. Once the concentration of organic component exceeds some critical level, the aggregates align parallel to each other, producing a uniaxial nematic phase [1-6]. When the concentration is further increased, the aggregates pack into a two dimensional hexagonal lattice and form a columnar phase [1]. Among the members of chromonic family are drugs and dyes [1], nucleotides and oligomers of DNA [2]. LCLCs show a potential for various applications, such as real-time bio-sensors [7,8] and bio-mechanical systems [9-11] .

Presence of ionizable groups at the surface of chromonic aggregates makes the LCLCs very sensitive to the ionic environment in the solution, evidenced by the shifts in phase diagrams [12-15], Fig. 1C. Similar effects of ionic content on biomolecules are of prime importance in biological processes such as DNA wrapping around nucleosomes,

packing inside bacteriophage capsids, and binding to proteins and so on [16,17]. In this work, we quantify the effect of ionic content on the anisotropic elastic and viscous properties of the nematic LCLCs. The advantage of using the nematic LCLC in exploring the role of electrostatics is that the anisotropic viscoelastic parameters are well defined and can be accurately measured through the macroscopic deformations caused by the realigning action of an applied magnetic field (the so-called Frederiks effect) [18]. Using this technique, we measure the elastic constants of splay, bend and twist and rotational viscosity. These viscoelastic parameters have different and often very pronounced responses to the added monovalent and divalent salts and to pH changing agents. The observed dependencies of the viscoelastic parameters are discussed in terms of changes of the chromonic aggregates, namely, their contour and persistence lengths.

Material and Methods

LCLC SSY with ionic additives. The disodium salt of 6-hydroxy-5-[(4-sulfophenyl)azo]-2-naphthalenesulfonic acid, a widely used food dye generally known as sunset yellow (SSY) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (90% purity) and purified twice following previously established procedures [13,19,20]. Deionized water (resistance $> 18.1 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$) was used to make the original $c_{\text{SSY}} = 0.98 \text{ mol/kg}$ solution. The mixing was done on a vortex mixer at 2000rpm in isotropic phase for several minutes, then room temperature overnight. The SSY mixture was then split into smaller portions to add salts NaCl (99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) or MgSO_4 (Reagent, Sigma-Aldrich). The new mixtures were mixed again on vortex overnight at 2000rpm. Comparing to the previously reported

procedure [13], in which SSY was added into pre-mixed salt solution, current procedure minimizes the error of c_{SSY} and its influence on the viscoelastic measurements.

The $c_{SSY} = 0.98 \text{ mol/kg} + \text{NaOH}$ solutions were made following previously reported procedure [13] by adding SSY into NaOH (Reagent, Acros) solutions of 0.01 and 0.02 mol/kg and then mixing in vortex for 3hrs. Plastic vials and pipets were used to avoid reaction between NaOH and glass that reduces pH and affects LCLC properties.

Viscoelastic constants measurement. We follow the customized magnetic Frederiks transition technique for LCLCs, using cells of two distinct surface alignments, planar and homeotropic anchoring, to measure $\frac{K_1}{\Delta\chi}$, $\frac{K_2}{\Delta\chi}$ and $\frac{K_3}{\Delta\chi}$ [18]; $\Delta\chi$ is the diamagnetic anisotropy. In the twist measurement setup, we measure the dynamics of optical response to abruptly changed magnetic field and obtain the rotation viscosity coefficient γ_1 [21] (see Appendix C). $\Delta\chi$ is measured using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) following Ref [18,22] (results will be reported elsewhere).

Results and Discussion

The aqueous solution of SSY is probably the simplest LCLC in terms of aggregate organization [1,23]. The molecules, Fig.1A, have two ionizable sulfonate groups at the periphery. When in water, they stack on top of each other, forming aggregates with only one molecule in its cross-section [20,23,24], Fig.1B. The diameter of aggregates is $D \approx 1.1 \text{ nm}$, while the typical molecular separation along the axis is $a_z \approx$

0.34nm [13,20]. The maximum linear charge density along the aggregates is $\tau^{\max} e \approx 6e$ /nm, similar to double-strand DNA (ds-DNA) molecules, where τ^{\max} is the maximum degree of ionization of the surface groups. Unlike ds-DNA, the length of SSY aggregates is not covalently fixed, a feature that makes the effect of ionic content on the length of chromonic aggregates very profound.

To vary the ionic content of the dispersions, we use a monovalent salt NaCl, divalent salt MgSO₄, and the pH-increasing agent NaOH. The volume fraction of SSY in all solutions remains constant, $\phi \approx 0.2$ [18] (see Appendix B). The phase diagrams of the SSY dispersion with different additives is shown in Fig.1C. The elastic constants of splay (K_1), twist (K_2) and bend (K_3) as well as the rotation viscosity γ_1 were measured as a function of temperature and additive concentration using the magnetic field realignment technique, as described in Ref. [18] and in Appendix C.

A) Splay constant K_1 is on the order of 10 pN and decreases as T increases, Fig. 2A. K_1 shows a dramatic response to the addition of divalent salt MgSO₄, by increasing its value by a factor of about 3. Similarly strong but opposite effect of decreasing K_1 is observed upon addition of small amount (~ 0.01 mol/kg) of NaOH. The monovalent salt NaCl shows little, if any, effect.

Following the earlier models of Onsager [25], Odijk [26] and Meyer [27], K_1 is expected to grow linearly with the length of aggregates \bar{L} , since splay deformation require one to fill splay-induced vacancies by the free ends of aggregates, as discussed by Meyer [27]:

$$K_1 = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{k_B T}{D} \phi \frac{\bar{L}}{D} \quad (1)$$

The LCLC aggregates are polydisperse, so that the length \bar{L} is some average measure of the balance of the attractive forces of stacking, characterized by the so-called scission energy E and entropy. The scission energy, estimated to be roughly of the order of $10k_B T$ [13,19,20,24,28], measures the work one needs to perform to separate a single aggregate into two. In both isotropic and nematic phases [18,29], the dependency of \bar{L} on E is expected to be exponentially strong, $\bar{L} \propto \exp\left(\frac{E}{2k_B T}\right)$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature. The scission energy can be approximated as $E = E_0 - E_e$ to reflect the fact that it depends on the strength (E_0) of $\pi - \pi$ attractions of aromatic cores and electrostatic repulsion (E_e) of ionized sulfonate groups at the periphery of SSY molecules. The strength of E_e should depend quadratically on linear charge density τ on aggregates, $E_e \propto \tau^2$. According to Manning [30-32], condensation of counterions reduces linear charge density from $\tau^{\max} e \approx 6e/\text{nm}$ to an effective one, $\tau e = \frac{1}{Zl_B} e$, where Z is the valence of counter ions, and $l_B = e^2 / (4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0 k_B T) \approx 0.7\text{nm}$ is the Bjerrum length in water at 300K. E_e should further decrease as Debye screening is enhanced by addition of ions. Following MacKintosh et al [33], we estimate (see Appendix A) that E_e scales as:

$$E_e \propto \tau^2 \lambda_D \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon\epsilon_0 k_B T}{e^2 \sum_i n_i q_i^2}}$ is the Debye screening length, n_i , q_i are the number density and

strength of the i -th ions in solution, respectively. According to Eq. (2), one expect the proportions of the electrostatic parts E_e of the scission energy for the salt-free SSY, SSY

with added $c_{NaCl}=0.9\text{mol/kg}$ of NaCl and SSY with $c_{MgSO_4}=1.2\text{mol/kg}$ of MgSO_4 to be as

following: $E_e^o : E_e^{NaCl} : E_e^{MgSO_4} = 1:0.72:0.10$. This estimate suggests that Mg^{2+} has a

stronger effect than Na^+ in the increase of E and thus K_1 , since $K_1 \propto \bar{L} \propto \exp\left(\frac{E}{2k_B T}\right)$, in

qualitative agreement with the experimental data. Interestingly, end-to-end attraction of

short DNA strands has been observed in presence of divalent ion Mg^{2+} but not in

presence of Na^+ [34]. Ionic additives are also known to change the aggregation length in

self-assembled polyelectrolytes such as worm-like micelles [33,35,36].

The effect of NaOH is opposite to that of the addition of salts since high pH increases the degree of ionization τ of the sulfonate groups [13,37], thus enhancing electrostatic repulsions of the molecules, shortening the aggregates and making the splay constant K_1 smaller.

B) Bend constant K_3 is on the order of 10 pN and decreases as T increases, Fig. 2B. When salts are added, at a given temperature, the effect of NaCl and MgSO_4 is different, namely, NaCl leads to a smaller K_3 and MgSO_4 makes K_3 larger. In presence of even small amount of NaOH (0.01mol/kg), K_3 decreases. The bend constant is determined first of all by the flexibility of the aggregates that can be described by the

persistence length λ_p , defined as the length over which unit vectors tangential to the aggregates lose correlation. The expected trend is [18,26,27]:

$$K_3 = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{k_B T}{D} \phi \frac{\lambda_p}{D} \quad (3)$$

Flexibility of SSY aggregates should depend on the electrostatic repulsions of surface charges since bend brings these like-charges closer together. As discussed above, addition of NaCl does not change the scission energy E and the transition temperatures $T_{N \rightarrow N+I}$ much. However, when the bend deformation are imposed, the NaCl-induced screening of the like charges might become stronger at shorter distances, thus reduces K_3 , Fig. 3. Interestingly, K_3 shows a linear dependence on λ_D^2 that decreases as c_{NaCl} increases, Fig. 3B. A similar mechanism of increased flexibility upon addition of salts such as NaCl is considered for isolated molecules of ds-DNA [38-40]. In the Odijk-Skolnick-Fixman (OSF) model [41,42], the expected decrease of persistence length is on the order of 10% and only if the concentration of salt is below 0.05 M. In Manning's approach [30-32], the decrease of persistence length is larger, about 45% when NaCl concentration increases from 0.1 to 1 M. Our experimental data fall in between the two limits. Both models [31] predict $\lambda_p \propto \lambda_D^2$, consistent with our experimental K_3 and Eq. (3), Fig. 3B.

The effect of $MgSO_4$ on K_3 is harder to describe as the divalent salt noticeably enhances the temperature range of the nematic phase, increasing the scission energy and the transition point $T_{N \rightarrow N+I}$ (by up to 17K). If the data are compared at the same temperature, K_3 is increased in the presence of $MgSO_4$, Fig. 2B. However, if one plots

K_3 versus a relative temperature, $\Delta T = T - T_{N \rightarrow N+I}$, Fig. 3A, then the effect of added MgSO_4 is in reduction of K_3 , in agreement with the idea of salt-induced screening of the surface charges that make the aggregates more flexible.

C) Twist constant K_2 is on the order of 1 pN, about 10 times smaller than K_1 and K_3 , Fig. 2C. Similarly to the case of K_3 , addition of NaCl decreases K_2 , while divalent salt MgSO_4 increases K_2 ; small amounts ($\sim 0.01 \text{ mol/kg}$) of NaOH decrease K_2 .

As compared to other modes of deformation, twist is the easiest one in LCLCs, since it does not require finding free ends or deforming the aggregates. In an ideal arrangement, aggregates lie in consecutive “pseudolayers”, with the director $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ rotating by a small angle only when moving between the pseudolayers, Fig. 2C inset. The aggregates may be displaced across the layers by thermal fluctuation and interfere with aggregates of a different orientation. This interference can be relieved by bending the aggregates to follow local orientational order. Thus K_2 is expected to be independent of \bar{L} and weakly dependent on λ_p (as compared to K_3); as suggested by Odijk [26]

$$K_2 = \frac{k_B T}{D} \phi^{1/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{D} \right)^{1/3} \quad (4)$$

From Eq.(4), we expect twist constant K_2 to change in a similar way as K_3 does in response to the ionic additives, since both of them depend on λ_p . Experimental results, Fig. 2C, do show a qualitative agreement with this prediction.

D) Rotation viscosity γ_1 covers a range of (0.2-7) $\text{kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, several orders of magnitudes higher than $\gamma_1 \sim 0.01 \text{ kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ of a standard thermotropic LC 5CB (4'-n-pentyl-4-cyanobiphenyl) [43]. γ_1 decreases exponentially as T increases, Fig. 4. Addition of NaCl increase γ_1 by a small factor, while MgSO_4 increases γ_1 dramatically; addition of NaOH decreases γ_1 . Analysis of the experimental data shows that $\gamma_1 \propto K_1^2$ in all cases, Fig 4. insets.

Director rotation in the nematic LCs composed of long slender particles ($L/D \gg 1$) induces mass displacement, and is thus coupled with macroscopic flows. According to Meyer's geometry argument [27,44-46], a twist deformation that induces shear flow $\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \text{constant}$ causes power dissipation per monomer along the aggregates as

$P = \mu z^2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2$, where μ is a friction coefficient and z is the distance of the monomer

to the rotation center. The mean power dissipation $\bar{P} = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} P dz = \mu \frac{\bar{L}^2}{12} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2$; thus the

rotation viscosity γ_1 scales as:

$$\gamma_1 \propto \bar{L}^2 \quad (5)$$

According to previous analysis of $\bar{L} \propto \exp\left(\frac{E}{2k_B T}\right)$ and Eq. (5), the exponential

dependence $\gamma_1 \propto \bar{L}^2 \propto \exp\left(\frac{E}{k_B T}\right)$ makes γ_1 sensitive to T and E , and implies that

$\gamma_1 \propto K_1^2$, Eq. (1). The experimental findings for γ_1 , Fig. 4, such as exponential dependence on T , effect of MgSO_4 and NaOH, and the scaling $\gamma_1 \propto K_1^2$, all agree with

these expectations and are consistent with the previous analysis of the K_1 behavior. The only discrepancy is that the addition of NaCl increases γ_1 while shows little influence on K_1 . Of course, these trends are discussed only qualitatively and in the simplest terms possible, avoiding other important factors, such as possible structural defects in formation of SSY aggregates [13,47,48], collective and dynamic effects, etc.

Conclusion

We measured the temperature and ionic content dependences of anisotropic elastic moduli and rotation viscosity of the self-assembled orientationally ordered system formed by the polydisperse self-assembled aggregates of dye SSY bound by weak non-covalent forces. We observe dramatic and versatile changes of the viscoelastic properties induced by the ionic additives that alter the electrostatic interactions caused by charged groups at the surface of aggregates. We connect these macroscopic properties to the microscopic structural and mechanical features of the aggregates, such as the average contour length \bar{L} and persistence length λ_p , and explain our findings through the idea that both \bar{L} and λ_p are controlled by the ionic content. This type of sensitivity of the building units of an orientationally ordered system to the ionic properties of the medium are absent in the conventional thermotropic and lyotropic LCs (such as polymer melts) featuring the building units with the shape that is fixed by the strong covalent bonds. Further understanding of the link between the viscoelastic anisotropy and composition of the chromonic system require a substantial advance in theoretical description at the level of individual aggregates and their collective behavior. The presented experimental data might be of importance in verifying the validity of various models.

Acknowledgements

The research of ODL and SZ was supported by NSF DMR-1121288 and NSF DMR-1434185. A.J.C was supported by NSF REU grant 1004987. We thank Yogesh Singh, Dr. Carmen C. Almasan and Mr. Larry Maurer for helping in the $\Delta\chi$ measurements (results will be published elsewhere), and Dr. Luana Tortora for useful discussions.

Appendix A: Estimation of E_e

Disassociation of Na^+ into water makes SSY aggregates charged, with a maximum possible charge density $\tau^{\text{max}} e \approx 6e/\text{nm}$, corresponding to Manning's reduced charge density $\xi = l_B \tau \approx 4.2$, where $l_B = e^2 / (4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0 k_B T) \approx 0.7\text{nm}$ is the Bjerrum length in water at 300K. Counterion condensation happens at the aggregate surfaces[24,30] and renormalizes $\xi > \frac{1}{Z}$ to $\xi = \frac{1}{Z}$, thus reduces τ to $\frac{1}{Zl_B}$, where Z is the valence of the counter ions. The repulsive interaction is further reduced by counterion screening. MacKintosh et al [33] estimates E_e in the form of:

$$E_e = \frac{l_B D \tau^2 k_B T}{2\tilde{\phi}^{1/2}}$$

where $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + (D/\lambda_D)^2$ considers screening effect from both increases volume fraction ϕ (ions are pushed closer to aggregates) and enhanced screening by addition of ions. In all studied SSY, $\lambda_D \leq 0.31\text{nm}$, $(D/\phi)^2 \gg 1$, thus we approximate $\tilde{\phi} \approx (D/\lambda_D)^2$ to simplify E_e :

$$E_e = \frac{l_B \tilde{\tau}^2 k_B T \lambda_D}{2}$$

Appendix B: Measurement of volume fraction ϕ

We approximate the solvent of SSY (pure water, or water solution of ionic additives) as continuum media and measure the density of both the solvents $\rho_{solvent}$, and nematic SSY LCLC, ρ_{SSY} , with a densitometer DE45 (Mettler Toledo). From the molality of SSY and ionic additives, we calculate the weight percentage (w) of SSY in the final LCLC.

$$w = \frac{c_{SSY} M_{SSY}}{c_{SSY} M_{SSY} + c_{ion} M_{ion} + 1} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where molality of SSY $c_{SSY} = 0.98 \text{ mol/kg}$ is fixed in all experiments, $M_{SSY} = 0.452 \text{ kg/mol}$ is the molecular weight of SSY, c_{ion} and M_{ion} are the molality and molecular weight of ionic additives. We then calculate the volume fraction ϕ of SSY:

$$\phi = 1 - \phi_{solvent} = 1 - \frac{\frac{1-w}{\rho_{SSY}}}{\frac{1}{\rho_{solvent}}} = 1 - (1-w) \frac{\rho_{SSY}}{\rho_{solvent}} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The result of ϕ is shown in Fig A1. The change of ϕ is insignificant, with a maximum increase of about 0.004, thus we can treat ϕ as constant in calculations. Notice that NaCl barely changes ϕ , while MgSO_4 slightly increases ϕ as c_{MgSO_4} increases. This

is consistent with the analysis of the elongation of aggregates and enhanced nematic order reflected on phase diagram, Fig 1. NaOH doped SSY solutions are not measured due to the corrosive nature of NaOH to SiO₂, which can damage the equipment and affect accuracy.

Appendix C: Measurement of rotation viscosity γ_1

In the twist geometry, as the magnetic field increases, the director experiences first a small splay deformation, then a uniform twist across the cell. Since change of the polar angle θ is less than 5°, we approximate $\theta=90^\circ$, and describe the director field with a pure twist deformation with a director field $\hat{\mathbf{n}}=(\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi, 0)$, where $\varphi(z)$ is the azimuthal angle of local director at height z . The magnetic field $\mathbf{B}=B(\sin\theta_B, 0, \cos\theta_B)$ is applied with $\theta_B=75^\circ$, and planar surface alignment provides the initial director direction $\mathbf{n}_0=(1, 0, 0)$. The Frank-Oseen elastic energy density is:

$$f = \frac{1}{2} K_2 \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta\chi}{\mu_0} B^2 \sin^2\theta_B \cos^2\varphi \quad (\text{A3})$$

We define a frictional coefficient γ to describe the energy dissipation when the total free energy changes:

$$A \int_0^d \gamma \left(\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{n}}}{dt} \right)^2 = - \frac{d}{dt} A \int_0^d f dz \quad (\text{A4})$$

Plug in $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and f , the differential form of Eq. (A4) reads:

$$\gamma \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = K_2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\Delta \chi}{\mu_0} B^2 \sin^2 \theta_B \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \quad (\text{A5})$$

At small φ , we linearize the above equation with approximations $\cos \varphi \approx 1$ and $\sin \varphi \approx \varphi$, and assume that φ follows a simple form of $\varphi = \varphi_0 \exp\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \sin(qz)$, where φ_0 is the azimuthal angle at center of the cell, τ is a characteristic time describing the decay ($\tau < 0$) or growth ($\tau > 0$) of φ , $q = \frac{\pi}{d}$. The linearized Eq. (A5) then turns into a linear relation between $\frac{1}{\tau}$ and B^2 :

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\Delta \chi}{\mu_0} B^2 \sin^2 \theta_B - \frac{1}{\gamma} K_2 q^2 \quad (\text{A6})$$

Experimentally, we can obtain relaxation rate $\frac{1}{\tau}$ from the dynamics of light intensity $I(t)$.

In the twist geometry, we expect:

$$I \propto \sin^2 \frac{\Gamma}{2} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where Γ is the total retardation caused by the azimuthal rotation of the director field, experienced by the oblique incident light. An analytical calculation of Γ is difficult, but in general one can assume:

$$\Gamma = \int_0^d \varphi_t \sin qz \cdot g(z) dz \propto \varphi_t \quad (\text{A8})$$

where $\varphi_t = \varphi_0 \exp\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$ is the time dependence of azimuthal angle, and $g(z)$ describes the detail of phase retardation calculation. At small Γ , we linearize Eq. (A7):

$$I \propto \left[\varphi_0 \exp\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right]^2 \quad (\text{A9})$$

Numerical simulation supports our expectation of Eq. (A9), Fig. A2C. Up to $\varphi_0 \approx 12^\circ$, $I \propto \varphi_0^2$ is satisfied. In the experiments, we measure $I(t)$ up to $10^{-2}I_{\max}$ (to satisfy small Γ condition) and fit it with exponential function to obtain $1/\tau$ at various B values, Fig. A2AB. Then we fit $1/\tau$ vs. B^2 to obtain γ , Fig. A2D. A calculation strictly following nematodynamics [21] shows that γ is equivalent to the generally defined rotation viscosity γ_1 .

References

- [1] J. Lydon, *Chromonic liquid crystalline phases*, *Liq. Cryst.* **38**, 1663 (2011).
- [2] M. Nakata, G. Zanchetta, B. D. Chapman, C. D. Jones, J. O. Cross, R. Pindak, T. Bellini, and N. A. Clark, *End-to-end stacking and liquid crystal condensation of 6-to 20-base pair DNA duplexes*, *Science* **318**, 1276 (2007).
- [3] H. S. Park and O. D. Lavrentovich, in *Liquid Crystals Beyond Displays: Chemistry, Physics, and Applications*, edited by Q. Li (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2012).
- [4] S.-W. Tam-Chang and L. Huang, *Chromonic liquid crystals: properties and applications as functional materials*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1957 (2008).
- [5] A. S. Vasilevskaya, E. V. Generalova, and S. S. Anatolii, *Chromonic mesophases*, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **58**, 904 (1989).
- [6] F. Guo and R. Hurt, *Supramolecular Synthesis of Graphenic Mesogenic Materials*, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **213**, 1164 (2012).
- [7] S. V. Shiyanovskii, O. D. Lavrentovich, T. Schneider, T. Ishikawa, I. I. Smalyukh, C. J. Woolverton, G. D. Niehaus, and K. J. Doane, *Lytotropic chromonic liquid crystals for biological sensing applications*, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **434**, 587 (2005).
- [8] Y.-Y. Luk, C.-H. Jang, L.-L. Cheng, B. A. Israel, and N. L. Abbott, *Influence of Lyotropic Liquid Crystals on the Ability of Antibodies To Bind to Surface-Immobilized Antigens*, *Chem. Mater.* **17**, 4774 (2005).

- [9] A. Kumar, T. Galstian, S. K. Pattanayek, and S. Rainville, *The Motility of Bacteria in an Anisotropic Liquid Environment*, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **574**, 33 (2013).
- [10] Peter C. Mushenheim, Rishi R. Trivedi, Hannah H. Tuson, Douglas B. Weibel, and Nicholas L. Abbott, *Dynamic self-assembly of motile bacteria in liquid crystals*, *Soft Matter* **10**, 88 (2014).
- [11] S. Zhou, A. Sokolov, O. D. Lavrentovich, and I. S. Aranson, *Living Liquid Crystals*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 1265 (2014).
- [12] A. F. Kostko, B. H. Cipriano, O. A. Pinchuk, L. Ziserman, M. A. Anisimov, D. Danino, and S. R. Raghavan, *Salt Effects on the Phase Behavior, Structure, and Rheology of Chromonic Liquid Crystals*, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **109**, 19126 (2005).
- [13] H. S. Park, S. W. Kang, L. Tortora, Y. Nastishin, D. Finotello, S. Kumar, and O. D. Lavrentovich, *Self-Assembly of Lyotropic Chromonic Liquid Crystal Sunset Yellow and Effects of Ionic Additives*, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **112**, 16307 (2008).
- [14] H. S. Park, S. W. Kang, L. Tortora, S. Kumar, and O. D. Lavrentovich, *Condensation of Self-Assembled Lyotropic Chromonic Liquid Crystal Sunset Yellow in Aqueous Solutions Crowded with Polyethylene Glycol and Doped with Salt*, *Langmuir* **27**, 4164 (2011).
- [15] L. Tortora *et al.*, *Self-assembly, condensation, and order in aqueous lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals crowded with additives*, *Soft Matter* **6**, 4157 (2010).
- [16] C. G. Baumann, S. B. Smith, V. A. Bloomfield, and C. Bustamante, *Ionic effects on the elasticity of single DNA molecules*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**, 6185 (1997).
- [17] S. B. Smith, Y. Cui, and C. Bustamante, *Overstretching B-DNA: The Elastic Response of Individual Double-Stranded and Single-Stranded DNA Molecules*, *Science* **271**, 795 (1996).
- [18] S. Zhou *et al.*, *Elasticity of lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal probed by director reorientation in a magnetic field*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 037801 (2012).
- [19] R. J. Luoma, Ph.D. Thesis, Brandeis University, 1995.
- [20] V. R. Horowitz, L. A. Janowitz, A. L. Modic, P. A. Heiney, and C. P. J., *Aggregation behavior and chromonic liquid crystal properties of an anionic monoazo dye* *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 041710 (2005).

- [21] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993).
- [22] B. J. Frisken, J. F. Carolan, P. Palfy-Muhoray, J. A. A. J. Perenboom, and G. S. Bates, *SQUID Susceptibility measurements of 5CB*, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett.* **3**, 57 (1986).
- [23] A. J. Dickinson, N. D. LaRacuate, C. B. McKitterick, and P. J. Collings, *Aggregate Structure and Free Energy Changes in Chromonic Liquid Crystals*, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **509**, 751 (2009).
- [24] F. Chami and M. R. Wilson, *Molecular Order in a Chromonic Liquid Crystal: A Molecular Simulation Study of the Anionic Azo Dye Sunset Yellow*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 7794 (2010).
- [25] L. Onsager, *The effects of shape on the interaction of colloidal particles*, *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **51**, 627 (1949).
- [26] T. Odijk, *Elastic constants of nematic solutions of rod-like and semi-flexible polymers*, *Liq. Cryst.* **1**, 553 (1986).
- [27] R. B. Meyer, in *Polymer Liquid Crystals*, edited by A. Ciferri, W. R. Krigbaum, and R. B. Meyer (Academic Press, New York, 1982).
- [28] M. P. Renshaw and I. J. Day, *NMR Characterization of the Aggregation State of the Azo Dye Sunset Yellow in the Isotropic Phase*, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **114**, 10032 (2010).
- [29] P. v. der Schoot and M. E. Cates, *The Isotropic-to-Nematic Transition in Semi-Flexible Micellar Solutions*, *Europhys. Lett.* **25**, 515 (1994).
- [30] G. S. Manning, *The molecular theory of polyelectrolyte solutions with applications to the electrostatic properties of polynucleotides*, *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **11**, 179 (1978).
- [31] G. S. Manning, *Counterion Condensation on a Helical Charge Lattice*, *Macromol.* **34**, 4650 (2001).
- [32] G. S. Manning, *The persistence length of DNA is reached from the persistence length of its null isomer through an internal electrostatic stretching force*, *Biophys. J.* **91**, 3607 (2006).
- [33] F. C. MacKintosh, S. A. Safran, and P. A. Pincus, *Self-assembly of linear aggregates: the effect of electrostatics on growth*, *Europhys. Lett* **12**, 697 (1990).

- [34] X. Qiu, K. Andresen, L. W. Kwok, J. S. Lamb, H. Y. Park, and L. Pollack, *Inter-DNA Attraction Mediated by Divalent Counterions* Phys. Rev. Lett **99**, 038104 (2007).
- [35] S. A. Safran, P. A. Pincus, M. E. Cates, and F. C. MacKintosh, *Growth of charged micelles*, J. Phys. France **51**, 503 (1990).
- [36] T. Odijk, *Ionic strength dependence of the length of charged linear micelles*, J. Phys. Chem **93**, 3888 (1989).
- [37] J. J. Gooding, R. G. Compton, C. M. Brennan, and J. H. Atherton, *A new electrochemical method for the investigation of the aggregation of dyes in solution*. *Electroanalysis*, Electroanalysis **9**, 759 (1997).
- [38] E. S. Sobel and J. A. Harpst, *Effects of Na⁺ on the persistence length and excluded volume of T7 bacteriophage DNA*, Biopolymers **31**, 1559 (1991).
- [39] G. S. Manning, *A procedure for extracting persistence lengths from light-scattering data on intermediate molecular weight DNA*, Biopolymers **20**, 1751 (1981).
- [40] Z. Kam, N. Borochoy, and H. Eisenberg, *Dependence of laser light scattering of DNA on NaCl concentration*, Biopolymers **20**, 2671 (1981).
- [41] T. Odijk, *Polyelectrolytes near the rod limit*, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. **15**, 477 (1977).
- [42] M. Fixman and J. Skolnick, *Electrostatic persistence length of a wormlike polyelectrolyte*, Macromol. **10**, 944 (1977).
- [43] M. Cui and J. R. Kelly, *Temperature Dependence of Visco-Elastic Properties of 5CB*, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. **331**, 49 (1999).
- [44] S.-D. Lee and R. B. Meyer, *Light scattering measurements of anisotropic viscoelastic coefficients of a main-chain polymer nematic liquid crystal*, Liq. Cryst. **7**, 15 (1990).
- [45] S.-D. Lee and R. B. Meyer, *Crossover Behavior of the Elastic Coefficients and Viscosities of a Polymer Nematic Liquid Crystal* Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2217 (1988).
- [46] V. G. Taratuta, A. J. Hurd, and R. B. Meyer, *Light-Scattering Study of a Polymer hematic Liquid Crystal*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 246 (1985).
- [47] S. Zhou, K. Neupane, Y. A. Nastishin, A. R. Baldwin, S. Shiyanovskii, O. D. Lavrentovich, and S. Sprunt, *Elasticity, Viscosity, and Orientational fluctuations of a*

Lyotropic Chromonic Nematic Liquid Crystal Disodium Cromoglycate, Soft Matter, DOI: 10.1039/c4sm00772g (2014).

[48] W. Xiao, C. Hu, D. J. Carter, S. Nichols, M. D. Ward, P. Raiteri, A. L. Rohl, and B. Kahr, *Structural Correspondence of Solution, Liquid Crystal, and Crystalline Phases of the Chromonic Mesogen Sunset Yellow*, Cryst. Growth Des., DOI: 10.1021/cg500752x (2014).




Fig. 1. (Color online) Molecular structure (A), schematic of nematic LCLC phase (B), and phase diagram (C) of SSY aqueous solution with ionic additives. (A) The prevailing NH Hydroazone tautomer form is shown. (B) Red dots on aggregates surface represent sulfonate groups, while isolated circles represent disassociated Na⁺ ions. (C) Dash line marks the nematic to nematic-isotropic phase transition temperature $T_{N \rightarrow N+I} = 316.5$ K of the original SSY LCLC.




Fig. 2. (Color online) Temperature and ionic content dependence of (A) splay constant K_1 , (B) bend constant K_3 and (C) twist constant K_2 of SSY LCLC, $c_{SSY} = 0.98 \text{ mol/kg}$ with ionic additives. Insets illustrate the corresponding director deformation, where (A) splay creates vacancies that require free ends to fill in, (B) bend can be accommodated by bending the aggregates and (C) twist can be realized by stacking “pseudolayers” of uniformly aligned aggregates within the layers; director rotates only when moving across layers.




Fig. 3. (Color online) (A) K_3 as a function of $\Delta T = T - T_{N \rightarrow N+I}$ for different ionic contents; insets show schematically that enhanced Debye screening increases the flexibility of aggregates. (B) For values measured at different ΔT , K_3 show linear relations with λ_D^2 , which decreases as c_{NaCl} increases.




Fig. 4. (Color online) Temperature and ionic content dependence of rotation viscosity γ_1 of SSY LCLC, $c_{SSY} = 0.98 \text{ mol/kg}$ with ionic additives. Insets show the linear relation, $\gamma_1 \propto K_1^2$ for all measurements.




Fig A1. (Color online) Temperature and ionic content dependences of volume fraction ϕ of SSY.




Fig A2. (Color online) Measurement of rotational viscosity γ_1 from the relaxation of magnetic field induced twist. (A) Intensity increase in response to suddenly applied magnetic fields $B > B_{th}$; B_{th} is the threshold field of twist Frederiks transition. (B) Intensity decay in response to suddenly reduced magnetic field. (C) Numerical simulation shows that intensity increases quadratically with the mid-plane twisting angle ϕ_0 . (D) Linear fit of $1/\tau$ vs B^2 , where the rotation viscosity γ_1 can be extracted from the slope.