

Extreme value statistics for truncated Pareto-type distributions

Beirlant J.^{a,*}, Fraga Alves, M.I.^b, Gomes, M.I.^b, Meerschaert, M.M.^c,

^a Department of Mathematics and Leuven Statistics Research Center, KU Leuven

^b Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Lisbon

^c Department of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University

March 3, 2022

Abstract

Recently attention has been drawn to practical problems with the use of unbounded Pareto distributions, for instance when there are natural upper bounds that truncate the probability tail. Aban, Meerschaert and Panorska (2006) derived the maximum likelihood estimator for the Pareto tail index of a truncated Pareto distribution with a right truncation point T . The Hill (1975) estimator is then obtained by letting $T \rightarrow \infty$. The problem of extreme value estimation under right truncation was also introduced in Nuyts (2010) who proposed a similar estimator for the tail index and considered trimming of the number of extreme order statistics. Given that in practice one does not always know whether the distribution is truncated or not, we discuss estimators for the Pareto index and extreme quantiles both under truncated and non-truncated Pareto-type distributions. We also propose a truncated Pareto QQ-plot in order to help deciding between a truncated and a non-truncated case. In this way we extend the classical extreme value methodology adding the truncated Pareto-type model with truncation point $T \rightarrow \infty$ as the sample size $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally we present some practical examples, asymptotics and simulation results.

*Corresponding author: Jan Beirlant, KU Leuven, Dept of Mathematics and LStat, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium; Email: jan.beirlant@wis.kuleuven.be

1 Introduction

Considering positive data, the Pareto distribution is a simple and very popular model with power law probability tail. Using the notation from Aban *et al.* (2006), the right tail function

$$\mathbb{P}(W > w) = \tau^\alpha w^{-\alpha} \text{ for } w \geq \tau > 0 \text{ and } \alpha > 0 \quad (1)$$

is considered as the standard example in the max domain of attraction of the Fréchet distribution. For instance, losses in property and casualty insurance often have a heavy right tail behaviour making it appropriate for including large events in applications such as excess-of-loss pricing and enterprise risk management (ERM). There might be some practical problems with the use of the Pareto distribution and its generalization to the Pareto-type model, because some probability mass can still be assigned to loss amounts that are unreasonable large or even physically impossible. In ERM this leads to the concept of maximum probable loss. For other applications of natural truncation, such as probable maximum precipitation, see Aban *et al.* (2006). These authors considered the upper-truncated Pareto distribution with right tail function (RTF)

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) = \frac{\tau^\alpha(x^{-\alpha} - T^{-\alpha})}{1 - (\tau/T)^\alpha} \quad (2)$$

for $0 < \tau \leq x \leq T \leq \infty$, where $\tau < T$.

Aban *et al.* (2006) derived the conditional maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) based on the $k + 1$ ($0 \leq k < n$) largest order statistics representing only the portion of the tail where the truncated Pareto approximation holds. They showed that, with $X_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n-k,n} \leq X_{n-k+1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n,n}$ denoting the order statistics of an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sample of size n from X , the MLE's are

$$\hat{T}_A = X_{n,n}, \quad \hat{\tau}_A = k^{1/\hat{\alpha}_A} X_{n-k,n} (n - (n - k)(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n,n})^{\hat{\alpha}_A})^{-1/\hat{\alpha}_A}$$

while $\hat{\alpha}_A$ solves the equation

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (\log X_{n-j+1,n} - \log X_{n-k,n}) = \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_A} + \frac{(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n,n})^{\hat{\alpha}_A} \log(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n,n})}{1 - (X_{n-k,n}/X_{n,n})^{\hat{\alpha}_A}}. \quad (3)$$

This estimator $1/\hat{\alpha}_A$ can be considered as an extension of Hill's (1975) estimator $H_{k,n} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (\log X_{n-j+1,n} - \log X_{n-k,n})$ to the case of a truncated Pareto distribution with $T < \infty$, while $H_{k,n}$ was introduced as an estimator of $1/\alpha$ when $T = \infty$.

Independently, Nuyts (2010) considered an adaptation of the Hill (1975) estimator through the estimation of

$$\mathbb{E}(\log W | W \in [L, R]) = \frac{\int_L^R \log(w) f(w) dw}{\int_L^R f(w) dw} \quad (4)$$

for some positive numbers $0 < L < R$, taking W to be the strict Pareto in (1). Then, (1) and (4) lead to

$$\mathbb{E}(\log W | W \in [L, R]) = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{L^{-\alpha} \log L - R^{-\alpha} \log R}{L^{-\alpha} - R^{-\alpha}}. \quad (5)$$

Denoting by $Q(p) := \inf\{x : F(x) \geq p\}$ the upper quantile function, consider $L = Q(1 - k/n)$ and $R = Q(1 - r/n)$ in (5), the k/n and r/n ($1 \leq r < k < n$) upper quantiles which are estimated by $X_{n-k+1,n}$ and $X_{n-r+1,n}$ respectively. The estimator of $1/\alpha$ in Nuyts (2010) is then obtained from solving

$$\frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k \log(X_{n-j+1,n}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{X_{n-k+1,n}^{-\alpha} \log X_{n-k+1,n} - X_{n-r+1,n}^{-\alpha} \log X_{n-r+1,n}}{X_{n-k+1,n}^{-\alpha} - X_{n-r+1,n}^{-\alpha}}$$

with $k_r = k - r + 1$. After some algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k \log(X_{n-j+1,n}) - \log(X_{n-k,n}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{(X_{n-k+1,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})^\alpha \log(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})}{1 - (X_{n-k+1,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})^\alpha} \\ &\quad + \frac{\log(X_{n-k+1,n}/X_{n-k,n})}{1 - (X_{n-k+1,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term on the right hand side is of smaller order than the other terms as can be shown by asymptotic arguments as developed in the Appendix. Hence one is led to delete the last term, and then, in case $r = 1$, this equation is only a minor adaptation of (3). We conclude that the estimators of Nuyts

(2010) and Aban *et al.* (2006) are basically the same. Deleting the last term in the above expression and considering the trimming procedure from Nuyts (2010) we consider the estimator $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ defined from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k \log(X_{n-j+1,n}/X_{n-k,n}) \\ = 1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} + \frac{(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \log(X_{n-k,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})}{1 - (X_{n-k,n}/X_{n-r+1,n})^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for $1 \leq r < k < n$.

In what follows we use the notation

$$H_{r,k,n} = \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k \log X_{n-j+1,n} - \log X_{n-k,n}$$

and

$$R_{r,k,n} = \frac{X_{n-k,n}}{X_{n-r+1,n}}. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore note that $H_{k,n} = H_{1,k,n}$. Hence the estimator $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ is defined as the solution of the equation corresponding to (6):

$$H_{r,k,n} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

The solution of (8) can be approximated using Newton-Raphson iteration on the equation

$$f\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) := H_{r,k,n} - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^\alpha} = 0$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l+1)}} &= \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)}} \\ &+ \frac{H_{r,k,n} - (\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)})^{-1} - \frac{R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)}} \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)}}}}{1 - (\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)})^2 \frac{R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)}} \log^2 R_{r,k,n}}{(1 - R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{(l)}})^2}}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where for instance Hill's trimmed estimator can serve as an initial approximation: $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$. We will study the behaviour of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ in the case of both Pareto-type and truncated Pareto-type distributions.

We will make use of the RTF $1 - F(x) = \mathbb{P}[X > x]$ and of the tail quantile function U defined by $U(x) = Q(1 - 1/x)$ ($x > 1$). Pareto-type distributions are defined by

$$1 - F(x) = x^{-\alpha} \ell_F(x), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (10)$$

where ℓ_F is a slowly varying function at infinity, i.e. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell_F(tx)/\ell_F(t) = 1$ for every $x > 0$. In extreme value statistics the parameter $\xi := 1/\alpha$ is referred to as the extreme value index (EVI). The EVI ξ is the shape parameter in the generalized extreme value distribution

$$G_\xi(x) = \exp\left(-(1 + \xi x)^{-1/\xi}\right), \quad \text{for } 1 + \xi x > 0.$$

This class of distributions is the set of the unique non-degenerate limit distributions of a sequence of maximum values, linearly normalized. In case $\xi > 0$ the class of distributions for which the maxima are attracted to G_ξ corresponds to the Pareto-type distributions in (10).

We define the truncated version of a Pareto-type RTF by

$$1 - F_T(x) = C_T \left(x^{-\alpha} \ell_F(x) - T^{-\alpha} \ell_F(T) \right). \quad (11)$$

The constant $C_T = (\tau^{-\alpha} \ell_F(\tau) - T^{-\alpha} \ell_F(T))^{-1}$ is specified by the condition $F_T(\tau) = 0$, where $\tau > 0$ is the lower bound of the range: $x \in (\tau, T)$. Below in Proposition 1(a) we derive that the corresponding upper quantile function $Q_T(1 - p)$ can be written as

$$Q_T(1 - p) = T \left(1 + \frac{p}{D_T} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \zeta_{1/p, T}, \quad (12)$$

where $D_T = C_T(T\ell(T))^{-\alpha}$ with $\ell = \ell_F^{-1/\alpha}$, and $\zeta_{1/p, T} \rightarrow 1$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow 0$, assuming that the quantity p/D_T remains bounded away from ∞ as $p \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that in case of the simple truncated Pareto distribution in (2)

$$Q_T(1 - p) = C_T^{1/\alpha} (D_T + p)^{-1/\alpha} = T \left(1 + \frac{p}{D_T} \right)^{-1/\alpha}, \quad (13)$$

with $C_T = (\tau^{-\alpha} - T^{-\alpha})^{-1}$ and $D_T = C_T T^{-\alpha}$. An expansion of $(1 + (p/D_T))^{-1/\alpha}$ for $p/D_T \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$Q_T(1 - p) = T \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{p}{D_T} (1 + o(1)) \right). \quad (14)$$

Using for instance (2.11) in Beirlant *et al.* (2004), it follows that the truncated Pareto distribution belongs to the Weibull domain of attraction for maxima with EVI $\xi = -1$ when $p/D_T \rightarrow 0$.

The quantity D_T equals the odds ratio $\mathbb{P}(X > T)/\mathbb{P}(X \leq T)$ of the truncated probability mass under the untruncated Pareto-type distribution in (10). If the underlying X is truncated at a quantile level $T = Q(1 - \pi)$, then $D_T = \pi/(1 - \pi)$. Hence asymptotic conditions on p/D_T , as $p \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, amount to conditions on the relative behaviour between the odds ratio $\pi/(1 - \pi)$ and $p \sim p/(1 - p)$ ($p \downarrow 0$), i.e. between the truncated probability and the tail probability p of interest. For instance, if p is negligible compared to D_T , or $p/D_T \rightarrow 0$, then the truncation is significant when estimating the quantile $Q(1 - p)$.

As suggested in Clark (2013) α could also be taken to be zero or negative. For instance formally setting $\alpha = -1$ in (2), one obtains the tail of a uniform type distribution. Finite tail distributions following (14) with negative value of α show a fast rate of convergence to T when $p \rightarrow 0$ and T is a big number, due to the presence of D_T in (14). In the applications we have in mind here, convergence to T is slow and hence a positive value of α is appropriate. Moreover we allow the truncation point T to be large, expressed by $T \rightarrow \infty$. In an asymptotic setting this means that we consider a sequence of models indexed by the truncation point. This approach appears to be new and allows to bridge tail models with $\xi = -1$ and Pareto models in the sense that the Pareto-type distributions are considered as limits of truncated Pareto-type distributions. In the truncation case we improve upon the well-established extreme quantile and endpoint estimation methods. Moreover in developing statistical methods we consider the cases $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$, respectively $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \kappa > 0$ finite, and $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$, corresponding to whether the truncated probability mass $T^{-\alpha} \ell_F(T)$ is large, intermediate or small with respect to the proportion of data used in the extreme value estimation. The final case (with $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$) can then be considered adjacent to the (untruncated) Pareto-type models with $\xi > 0$ and appear

in practice when T is so high corresponding to the data that no truncation effect is visible from the data.

In the next section we provide estimators for T and for extreme quantiles. We also consider the problem of deciding between a Pareto(Pa)-type case in (10), and a truncated Pareto(TPa)-type case in (11). To this end we construct a TPa QQ-plot. In section 3 we discuss the asymptotic properties of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ and the extreme quantile estimators under (10) and (11). We also consider the effect of the trimming parameter r . In asymptotic settings we will consider $k, n \rightarrow \infty$ with k an intermediate sequence, i.e. $k/n \rightarrow 0$. Concerning the trimming parameter r we assume $r/k \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1)$. Finally we conclude with simulation results and practical examples.

2 Statistical methods for truncated Pareto-type distributions

From (13) it is clear that the estimation of D_T is an intermediate step in important estimation problems following the estimation of α , namely of extreme quantiles and of the endpoint T . From (13)

$$\left(\frac{Q_T(1 - \frac{k}{n})}{Q_T(1 - \frac{r}{n})} \right)^\alpha = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{nD_T}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\right)} = \frac{r}{k} \left(\frac{1 + D_T \frac{n}{r}}{1 + D_T \frac{n}{k}} \right). \quad (15)$$

Motivated by (15) and estimating $Q_T(1 - k/n)/Q_T(1 - r/n)$ by $R_{r,k,n}$ in (7), we propose

$$\hat{D}_T := \hat{D}_{T,r,k,n} = \frac{k}{n} \frac{R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} - \lambda_{r,k}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}}} \quad (16)$$

as an estimation method for D_T in case of truncated and non-truncated Pa-type distributions, where $\lambda_{r,k} = r/(k+1)$ is a continuity correction of r/k in the numerator of (16). In practice we will make use of the admissible estimator

$$\hat{D}_T^{(0)} := \max \left\{ \hat{D}_T, 0 \right\}.$$

In case $D_T > 0$, in order to construct estimators of T and extreme quantiles

$q_p = Q_T(1 - p)$, as in (15) we find that

$$\left(\frac{Q_T(1 - p)}{Q_T(1 - \frac{k}{n})} \right)^\alpha = \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} = \frac{D_T + \frac{k}{n}}{D_T + p}. \quad (17)$$

Then taking logarithms on both side of (17) and estimating $Q_T(1 - k/n)$ by $X_{n-k,n}$ we find an estimator $\hat{q}_p := \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ of q_p :

$$\log \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} = \log X_{n-k,n} + \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \log \left(\frac{\hat{D}_T + \frac{k}{n}}{\hat{D}_T + p} \right). \quad (18)$$

Note that \hat{q}_p can also be rewritten as

$$\log \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} = \log X_{n-k,n} + \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{n\hat{D}_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{\hat{D}_T}} \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} = X_{n-k,n} \left(\frac{k}{np} \right)^{1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n\hat{D}_T}{k}}{1 + \frac{\hat{D}_T}{p}} \right)^{1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}}. \quad (20)$$

An estimator $\hat{T}_{r,k,n}$ of T follows from letting $p \rightarrow 0$ in the above expressions for $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$:

$$\log \hat{T}_{r,k,n} = \max \left\{ \log X_{n-k,n} + \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{n\hat{D}_T} \right), \log X_{n,n} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

The maximum of $\log X_{n,n}$ and the value following from (19) by taking $p \rightarrow 0$, is taken in order for this endpoint estimator to be admissible. It now follows that in case $\hat{D}_T > 0$

$$\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} = \hat{T}_{r,k,n} \left(1 + \frac{p}{\hat{D}_T} \right)^{-1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}},$$

which is consistent with (13). Equation (20) for $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ constitutes an adaptation to the TPa case of the Weissman (1978) estimator

$$\hat{q}_{p,k,n}^W = X_{n-k,n} \left(\frac{k}{np} \right)^{H_{1,k,n}} \quad (22)$$

which is valid under (10). Expression (20) is more adapted to the case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$. Version (19) can be linked to the cases where $k/(nD_T)$ is bounded away from ∞ . In practice we always use version (18) which can be applied in all cases. Note that such alternative expressions do not exist for the estimation of the endpoint T as in case $D_T = 0$ no finite endpoint exists.

Based on a chosen value $\hat{D}_{T,r,k^*,n}$ for particular k^* , we propose the TPa QQ-plot to verify the validity of (12):

$$\left(\log X_{n-j+1,n}, \log \left(\hat{D}_{T,r,k^*,n} + j/n \right) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (23)$$

Note that when $T = \infty$ or $D_T = 0$ the TPa QQ-plot agrees with the classical Pareto QQ-plot

$$(\log X_{n-j+1,n}, \log(j/n)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (24)$$

Under (12) an ultimately linear pattern should be observed to the right of some anchor point, i.e. at the points with indices $j = 1, \dots, k$ for some $1 < k < n$. From this, we propose to choose the value of k^* in practice as the value that maximizes the correlation between $\log X_{n-j+1,n}$ and $\log \left(\hat{D}_{T,r,k^*,n} + j/n \right)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k^*$ and $k^* > 10$. This choice can be improved in future work since the covariance structure of the deviations of the points on the TPa QQ-plot from the reference line are neither independent nor identically distributed. This issue was addressed for the Pa QQ-plot in Beirlant *et al.* (1996) and Aban and Meerschaert (2004) and should be considered in the truncated case too.

3 Asymptotic distributions of the estimators

In this section we derive the large sample distribution of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ and $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ defined in (8) and (18) for TPa-type distributions in case $k/(nD_T)$ is bounded away from ∞ , or when $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$. The case of Pa-type distributions in (10) can then be considered as a limit case when $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$. The proofs are deferred to the Appendix.

We first develop more precise expressions for the upper quantile function

$Q_T(1 - p)$ for TPa-type distributions assuming that F_T is continuous. To this end set $\ell^{-\alpha}(x) = \ell_F(x)$ and let ℓ^* denote the de Bruyn conjugate of ℓ satisfying $\ell(x)\ell^*(x\ell(x)) \sim 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Solving the equation $y^{1/\alpha} = x\ell(x)$ by $x = y^{1/\alpha}\ell^*(y^{1/\alpha})$ (see for instance Proposition 2.5 and page 80 in Beirlant *et al.*, 2004), we find that the tail quantile function $U_T(y) = Q_T(1 - \frac{1}{y})$ corresponding to $1 - F_T$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_T(y) &= ((C_T y)^{-1} + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha})^{-1/\alpha} \ell^* \left((1/(C_T y) + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha})^{-1/\alpha} \right) \\ &= C_T^{1/\alpha} (y^{-1} + D_T)^{-1/\alpha} \ell^* \left(C_T^{1/\alpha} (y^{-1} + D_T)^{-1/\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to derive asymptotic results for our estimators we have to consider the different cases for the balance between $1/y$ and D_T as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$. These are specified in the following Proposition. In the asymptotic results we will apply this with $y = n/k$.

Proposition 1.

(a) If yD_T is bounded away from 0 as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$U_T(y) = T \left(1 + \frac{1}{D_T y} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \{ \ell(T)\ell^*(T\ell(T)) \} \frac{\ell^* \left(T\ell(T) [1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}]^{-1/\alpha} \right)}{\ell^*(T\ell(T))}, \quad (25)$$

$$\text{where } \ell(T)\ell^*(T\ell(T)) \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } \frac{\ell^* \left(T\ell(T) [1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}]^{-1/\alpha} \right)}{\ell^*(T\ell(T))} \rightarrow 1.$$

(b) If $yD_T \rightarrow 0$ as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$U_T(y) = (yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) (1 + D_T y)^{-1/\alpha} \frac{\ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} [1 + D_T y]^{-1/\alpha} \right)}{\ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)}, \quad (26)$$

$$\text{where } \frac{\ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} [1 + D_T y]^{-1/\alpha} \right)}{\ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)} \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that in case $yD_T \rightarrow 0$ as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$ the tail quantile function $U_T(y)$ is asymptotically equivalent to the Pa-type tail quantile function

$(yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)$ which is the model corresponding to the case $T = \infty$. Hence in case (b) we have that $\xi = 1/\alpha > 0$, compared to the case where

yD_T is bounded away from 0 in which case $\xi = -1$.

In order to derive the asymptotic results for the estimators we will make use of a second order slow variation condition on ℓ^* specifying the rate of convergence of $\ell^*(tx)/\ell^*(x)$ to 1 as $x \rightarrow \infty$, which is used typically in all asymptotic results in extreme value methods (see for instance Theorem 3.2.5 in de Haan and Ferreira, 2006):

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b^*(x)} \log \frac{\ell^*(tx)}{\ell^*(x)} = h_{\rho^*}(t) \quad (27)$$

with $\rho^* < 0$, $h_{\rho^*}(t) = (t^{\rho^*} - 1)/\rho^*$, and b^* regularly varying with index ρ^* , i.e. $b^*(tx)/b^*(x) \rightarrow t^{\rho^*}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t > 0$.

Throughout we will also assume that as $r, k \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$

$$\lambda_{r,k} - \lambda = O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right). \quad (28)$$

Condition (28) guarantees that we can interchange r/k and λ in the asymptotic results and proofs. Furthermore W represents a standard Wiener process .

Theorem 1. *Let (27) and (28) hold and let $n, k = k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

(a) *if $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$ and $(nD_T)/k^{3/2} \rightarrow 0$,*

$$1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha = \left(\frac{nD_T}{k^{3/2}} \frac{12}{\alpha(1-\lambda)^2} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{(1)} + b^*(T\ell(T))(\alpha^{-1} - \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha^2}) \right) (1 + o_p(1)),$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{(1)} = \left(\frac{W(1) + W(\lambda)}{2} - \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{\lambda}^1 W(u) du \right) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (1-\lambda)/12);$$

(b) if $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \kappa \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha &= \left(\frac{1}{\delta_{\kappa,\lambda}\alpha\sqrt{k}} \left(-\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{\lambda}^1 W(u) d\log(1+\kappa u) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{W(1)}{1-\lambda} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1+\kappa\lambda}{\kappa(1-\lambda)} \log\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\kappa\lambda}\right) \right\} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{W(\lambda)}{1-\lambda} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1+\kappa}{\kappa(1-\lambda)} \log\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\kappa\lambda}\right) \right\} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{\beta_{\kappa,\lambda}}{\delta_{\kappa,\lambda}} \right) (1 + o_p(1)), \end{aligned}$$

with asymptotic variance $\sigma_{\kappa,\lambda}^2/(k\alpha^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\kappa,\lambda} &= A_{\kappa,\lambda} - B_{\kappa,\lambda}c_{\kappa,\lambda}, \\ A_{\kappa,\lambda} &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{\lambda}^1 h_{\rho^*}([1+\kappa u]^{-1/\alpha}) du - h_{\rho^*}([1+\kappa]^{-1/\alpha}), \\ B_{\kappa,\lambda} &= h_{\rho^*}([1+\kappa]^{-1/\alpha}) - h_{\rho^*}([1+\kappa\lambda]^{-1/\alpha}), \\ c_{\kappa,\lambda} &= \frac{1+\kappa\lambda}{(1-\lambda)\kappa} + \frac{(1+\kappa\lambda)(1+\kappa)}{(1-\lambda)^2\kappa^2} \log\left(\frac{1+\kappa\lambda}{1+\kappa}\right), \\ \sigma_{\kappa,\lambda}^2 &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)\delta_{\kappa,\lambda}}, \\ \delta_{\kappa,\lambda} &= 1 - \frac{(1+\kappa\lambda)(1+\kappa)}{(1-\lambda)^2\kappa^2} \log^2\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\kappa\lambda}\right); \end{aligned}$$

(c) if $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ and $D_T = o((n/k)^{-1+\rho^*/\alpha})$

$$1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha = \left(\frac{\sigma^2(\lambda)}{\alpha\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{(2)} + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha})\beta(\lambda) \right) (1 + o_p(1)),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{(2)} &= - \int_{\lambda}^1 \frac{W(u)}{u} du + \frac{W(1)}{1-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \log \lambda \right) - \frac{W(\lambda)}{1-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \lambda \right) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^{-2}(\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\lambda) &= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \log^2 \lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{\rho^*(1 - \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha})} \frac{1 - \lambda^{1 - \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha}}}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\rho^*} + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} h_{\rho^*}(1/\lambda) \left(\frac{\log(\lambda)}{1 - \lambda} + 1\right)\right), \\ \sigma^2(\lambda) &= \left((1 - \lambda) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \log^2(\lambda)}{(1 - \lambda)^2}\right)\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta(0) = (\alpha(1 - \rho^*/\alpha))^{-1}$ and $\sigma^2(0) = 1$.

Remark 1. Theorem 1(a) entails that in case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$, k should grow with n to infinity as $n^{1-\eta}$ where $0 < \eta < 1/3$ in order to obtain a reasonable estimation rate. This means in practice that in case of a TPa-type distribution the number of extremes k should be taken large. Also the presence of D_T in the standard deviation guarantees even faster convergence for large values of T . Moreover there is a bias of order $b^*(T\ell(T))$ which is only negligible if T is a reasonably large value and $-\rho^*$ is sufficiently large.

Remark 2. Robustness under Pa-type models has received quite some attention in the literature (see for instance Hubert *et al.*, 2013, and the references therein) while the classical estimators such as Hill's (1975) estimator are known to be highly non robust against outliers. The estimator $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ provides a way to robustify the Hill estimator $H_{1,k,n}$ using a trimming procedure (with $r > 1$). Trimming of course makes the estimator more robust against outliers, but decreases the efficiency of the estimator. This is illustrated in Figure 8 of Appendix 3, plotting the functions $\sigma^2(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1/4]$. The robustness properties of the estimation procedures presented here will be studied elsewhere.

Remark 3. In case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda = 0$ the asymptotic result for $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{-1}$ is identical to that of the Hill estimator $H_{1,k,n}$ as given for instance in Beirlant *et al.* (2004), section 4.2. To see this notice that the main slowly varying component of the tail quantile function U_T equals $\ell_U(x) := C_T^{1/\alpha} \ell^*((C_T x)^{1/\alpha})$. Based on (27) we find that for every $t > 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\log \frac{\ell_U(tx)}{\ell_U(x)} \rightarrow b^*((C_T x)^{1/\alpha}) h_{\rho^*}(t^{1/\alpha}) = b_U(x) h_{\rho^*/\alpha}(t),$$

where $b_U(x) = b^*((C_T x)^{1/\alpha})/\alpha$ is regularly varying with index ρ^*/α . Hence the asymptotic bias in Theorem 1(c) when $\lambda = 0$ equals $b_U(n/k)/(1 - \rho^*/\alpha)$ which is the form found in literature for the bias of the Hill estimator.

Concerning asymptotic results for the extreme quantile estimator $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ we confine ourselves to the cases $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$ and $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ due to the complexity of the intermediate case. A similar result when $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \kappa \in (0, \infty)$ can readily be obtained using similar techniques as in the cases presented here. In the case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ we confine ourselves to the case $r = 1$. In fact, even light trimming entails inferior behaviour in mean squared error sense for the quantile estimator in case of no truncation as it will be shown in the simulations.

Theorem 2. *Let (27) and (28) hold and let $n, k = k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $p = p_n$ such that $np_n = o(k)$.*

(a) *Let $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$ and $(nD_T)/k^{3/2} \rightarrow 0$. Then*

$$\log \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} - \log q_p = O\left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T}\right)^2\right) + o\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} b^*(T\ell(T))\right) + o_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right).$$

(b) *Let $r = 1$, $np_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\log(np_n) = o(\sqrt{k})$, $\sqrt{k}D_T/(p_n \log(k/np_n)) \rightarrow 0$, and $nD_T \rightarrow 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} - \log q_p \\ &= \log(k/(np_n)) \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(2)} + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha})\beta(0) \right\} (1 + o_p(1)) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{np_n} (E - 1 + o_p(1)) \end{aligned}$$

where E is a standard exponential random variable.

Remark 4. In case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$ both the asymptotic bias and the stochastic part of \hat{q}_p are of smaller order than the asymptotic bias of the estimator $1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$. This is also confirmed by the simulation results in section 4 where the plots of the quantile estimators are found to be quite horizontal as a function of k , compared to other estimators found in extreme value analysis.

In case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$, note that the quantile estimator \hat{q}_p is only consistent if $(np_n)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, this is for quantiles q_p situated maximally up to the border

of the sample q_{n-1} , using for instance a sequence of the type $p_n = (\log k)^\tau/n$ for some $\tau > 0$. The extra factor $\left((1 + \frac{n\hat{D}_T}{k})/(1 + \frac{\hat{D}_T}{p})\right)^{1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}}$ in (20) compared to the Weissman estimator $\hat{q}_{p,k,n}^W$ induces this restriction. The first term in the expansion of $\log \hat{q}_p$ in Theorem 2(b) is indeed the asymptotic expansion of $\hat{q}_{p,k,n}^W$ as given for instance in Beirlant *et al.* (2004), section 4.6. If $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{np_n \log(k/(np_n))} \rightarrow 0$ then the expansion of the Weissman estimator is dominant, while if $\frac{\sqrt{k}}{np_n \log(k/(np_n))} \rightarrow \infty$ the second term in the expansion is to be retained.

4 Practical examples and simulations

For a first illustration we use the data set containing fatalities due to large earthquakes as published by the U.S. Geological Survey on <http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/world/>, which were also used in Clark (2013). It contains the estimated number of deaths for the 124 events between 1900 and 2011 with at least 1000 deaths.

In Figure 1 (*top left*) the Pa QQ-plot (or log-log plot) in (24) is given. A curvature is appearing at the largest observations which indicates that the unbounded Pareto pattern could be violated in this example. On this plot the extrapolations using a Pareto distribution (linear pattern) and a truncated Pareto model using the truncated Pareto model (2) are plotted based on the largest 21 data points as it was proposed in Clark (2013).

In Figure 1 (*middle*) the estimates $\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}$ and $\hat{D}_{T,1,k}$ are plotted against $k = 1, \dots, n$. Here we have chosen $k^* = 100$ as a typical value where both plots are horizontal in k . The TPa QQ-plot in (23) is given in Figure 1 (*top right*), using the above mentioned value $k^* = 100$.

Finally in Figure 1 (*bottom*) the estimates of the extreme quantile $q_{0.01}$ using (18) and the endpoint T using (21) are presented as a function of k . They are contrasted with the values obtained by the classical method of moment estimates as introduced in Dekkers *et al.* (1989) illustrating the slow convergence of the classical extreme value methods in the TPa-type model we

study here. For any real EVI, the classical moment ξ -estimator is defined by

$$\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM} := M_{n,k}^{(1)} + \hat{\xi}_{n,k}^-, \quad \xi_{n,k}^- := 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \left(M_{n,k}^{(1)} \right)^2 / M_{n,k}^{(2)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

with $M_{n,k}^{(j)} := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln^j (X_{n-i,n} / X_{n-k,n})$, $j = 1, 2$, which constitutes a consistent estimator for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. The Hill estimator is $M_{n,k}^{(1)} = H_{1,k,n}$.

The MOM-estimators for high quantiles and right endpoint, based on the moment estimator $\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM}$, are defined by (see de Haan and Ferreira, 2006, §4.3.2, for details).

$$\hat{q}_p^{MOM} := X_{n-k,n} + X_{n-k,n} M_{n,k}^{(1)} \left(1 - \hat{\xi}_{n,k}^- \right) \frac{\left(\frac{k}{np} \right)^{\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM}} - 1}{\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM}} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\hat{T}^{MOM} := \max \left(\hat{T}^{(M)}, X_{n,n} \right), \quad \hat{T}^{(M)} := X_{n-k,n} - \frac{X_{n-k,n} M_{n,k}^{(1)} (1 - \hat{\xi}_{n,k}^-)}{\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM}}. \quad (31)$$

Notice that in (31) \hat{T}^{MOM} corresponds to the admissible version of the moment endpoint estimator $\hat{T}^{(M)}$, since the latter can return values below the sample maximum. If we focus on Figure 1 (*bottom right*) it is clear that \hat{T}^{MOM} does not add any extra information compared with the sample maximum, for the range of thresholds $k \geq 15$, contrasting with the behaviour of the proposed $\hat{T}_{1,k,n}$.

Concerning the high quantile estimation, the chosen value $p = 0.01$ is directly related with the modest sample size here of $n = 124$. Similar to the endpoint estimation, for this data set the new quantile estimates $\hat{q}_{0.01,1,k,n}$ also reveals a stable pattern on k , in Figure 1 (*bottom left*).

Overall, on the basis of Figure 1 we can conclude that the TPa-type model with a truncation point T around 400,000 deaths offers a convincing fit, and leads to a useful estimator for extreme quantiles.

Another example where the TPa-type model is fitting well to the tail is found with the distribution of seismic moments of shallow earthquakes at depth less than 70 km, between 1977 and 2000, which can be found in Pisarenko and Sornette (2003). The tails of these distributions were also




Figure 1: Earthquake fatalities data set. Top: Pa QQ-plot (left) with extrapolations anchored at $\log(X_{n-21,n})$ based on a non-truncated Pa model in (1) (dotted line) and a truncated Pareto model in (2) (full line) as proposed in Clark (2013); TPa QQ-plot (right) for the earthquake fatalities data set using $r = 1$ and $k^* = 100$. Middle: plots of Pareto index $\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}$ (left) and odds ratio $\hat{D}_{T,1,k,n}$ estimates ($k = 1, \dots, 124$) (right) marking the values at $k = 100$. Bottom: quantile estimates $\hat{Q}_{0.01,1,k,n}$ (left) and endpoint estimates $\hat{T}_{1,k,n}$ (right) contrasted with the method of moments quantile and endpoint estimators, in (30) and (31), respectively.

considered in Section 6.3 in Beirlant *et al.* (2004) both for subduction and mid ocean ridge zones. Here we concentrate on the subduction zone data. In Beirlant *et al.* (2004), page 200, the use of $k = 1157$ is suggested in order to obtain a proper fit to the upper tail of the underlying distribution. The tail

fit is revisited here in Figure 2 (*top left*) using truncated and non-truncated Pareto models. For this data set, in Figure 2 (*top right*), the TPa QQ-plot




Figure 2: Seismic moments data set. Top: Pa QQ-plot (left) with extrapolations based on a non-truncated Pareto model in (1) (dotted line) and a truncated Pareto model in (2) (full line); TPa QQ-plot (right) using $r = 1$ and the top $k^* = 3981$ data. Middle: plots of Pareto index $\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}$ (left) and odds ratio $\hat{D}_{T,1,k,n}$ (right) estimates. Bottom: quantile estimates $\hat{q}_{0.0005,1,k,n}$ (left) and endpoint estimates $\hat{T}_{1,k,n}$ (right) contrasted with the method of moments quantile and endpoint estimators, in (30) and (31), respectively.

in (23), associated with the validity of (12), has been built on the chosen value $k^* = 3981$, which maximizes the correlation between $\log X_{n-j+1,n}$ and $\log(\hat{D}_T + j/n)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, for $10 < k < n$. For the Pareto index, odds

ratio, high quantile and right endpoint estimation in Figure 2 we get similar conclusions to the ones of the earthquake fatalities data set.

The finite sample behaviour of the proposed estimators $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ based on (8) and (9), $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ from (18), and $\hat{T}_{r,k,n}$ from (20) has been studied through an extensive Monte Carlo simulation procedure with 1000 runs, both for truncated and non-truncated Pareto-type distributions. Here we will only present results concerning Pareto and Burr distributions, with truncated and non-truncated versions:

1. *Non-truncated models*

(a) *Pareto*(α), $\alpha = 1, 2$

$$F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}, \quad x > 1, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (32)$$

(b) *Burr*(α, ρ), $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\rho = -1$

$$F(x) = 1 - (1 + x^{-\rho\alpha})^{1/\rho}, \quad x > 0, \quad \rho < 0, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (33)$$

2. *Truncated models*

(a) *Truncated-Pareto*(α, T), $\alpha = 2$ and T a high quantile from the corresponding Pareto model (32)

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - x^{-\alpha}}{1 - T^{-\alpha}}, \quad 1 < x < T, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (34)$$

Here we use $T = 3.1623$, respectively $T = 1.4142$, the 90 percentile, respectively the median, of the corresponding non-truncated Pareto model.

(b) *Truncated-Burr*(α, ρ, T), $\alpha = 2$, $\rho = -1$ and T a high quantile from the corresponding Burr model in (33)

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - (1 + x^{-\rho\alpha})^{1/\rho}}{1 - (1 + T^{-\rho\alpha})^{1/\rho}}, \quad 0 < x < T, \quad \rho < 0, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (35)$$

Here we use $T = 3$, being the 90 percentile of the corresponding non-truncated Burr distribution.

Note that in case of (35) $\ell^*(y) = 1 + y^{\alpha\rho}(1 + o(1))/(\alpha\rho)$ when $y \rightarrow \infty$ and $\rho^* = \alpha\rho$.

For a particular data set from an unknown but apparently heavy-tailed distribution, the practitioner does not know if the distribution comes from a truncated or a non-truncated Pareto-type distribution and hence we have to study the behaviour of the proposed estimators under both cases, and compare them with the existing extreme value estimators. Our simulation results will illustrate that applying the new estimators of the Pareto index, and extreme quantiles with $p = 1/n$, based on a TPa-type model, are appropriate in both cases. As mentioned before, TPa-type distributions belong to the Weibull domain of attraction for maxima with EVI $\xi = -1$ so that the moment estimator in (29) almost surely converges to -1. Also, for these models, $1/H_{1,k,n}$ does not constitute a consistent estimator either for α or for ξ , since in case $\xi < 0$ the Hill estimator $H_{1,k,n}$ almost surely tends to zero when $k/n \rightarrow 0$ as $k, n \rightarrow \infty$. Only when $T = \infty$ we have that $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ and $1/H_{1,k,n}$ estimate the same value $1/\xi$.

When estimating an extreme quantile the estimator in (30) based on the moment estimator is designed both for truncated and non-truncated cases and is to be compared with the estimation procedure defined in (20). The same holds for endpoint estimators in (21) and (31) in case of truncated models. Finally $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ and the Weissman (1978) extreme quantile estimator $\hat{q}_{p,k,n}^W$ from (22) are competitors in case of non-truncated Pa-type distributions only.

In Figures 6-7, the “trimmed-Hill, not corrected” refers to $H_{r,k,n}$, which coincides with the Hill estimator for $r = 1$. The α -estimator $\hat{\alpha}$ is the solution of (8), approximated using the Newton-Raphson iteration as in (9), with an initial value $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$.

In Figures 3-5 we present the relative performance of the estimators with $r = 1$ and $r = 10$ for the truncated Pareto model in (34) and the truncated Burr model (35), while in Figures 6-7 we consider the corresponding non-truncated models in (32) and (33). Observe that $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ appears to be not too sensitive for small changes of r . It appears that when the model is Pa-type, whether truncated or not, the estimators proposed here are performing well.

In Figure 3 the EVI moment estimator systematically overestimates the true value of $\xi = -1$ for this upper tail truncated distribution with odds ratio $D_T = 1/9$. Only for a lower truncation point, here with $D_T = 1$, the situation naturally improves for the MOM-estimators (Figure 4 (*top*)). This confirms




Figure 3: $\text{TPa}(\alpha = 2, T = 3.1623)$: Estimation of α using the Newton-Raphson procedure with initial value $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$, $r = 1, 10$ (top). Estimation of the high quantile $q_{0.001}$ (middle) and right endpoint T (bottom) using $\hat{q}_{0.001,r,k,n}$ defined in (18) and $\hat{T}_{r,k,n}$ as in (21), $r = 1, 10$.

that the methods proposed here are especially useful for TPa-type models with a truncation point T equal to a high quantile of the corresponding non-truncated Pa-type distribution. In case of the truncated Burr distribution the behaviour of the Pareto index estimator $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ (Figure 5 (top)) is underestimating α , not uncommon in extreme value analysis; see for instance Figure 7 for the Hill estimator.

On the other hand, for these TPa-type models, the convergence of the new quantile and endpoint estimators seems to be attained at low thresholds (or high k) with high accuracy, contrasting with higher thresholds (or low k) for MOM class estimators. With quantile estimation in Figures 3-5 an erratic behaviour appears for some smaller or larger values of k which becomes more apparent when T corresponds to lower quantiles of the underlying Pareto distribution. This is a consequence of the use of $\hat{D}_T^{(0)} = \max\{\hat{D}_T, 0\}$ rather than \hat{D}_T in practice. If we assume that T is finite then using simply \hat{D}_T rather than $\hat{D}_T^{(0)}$ produces much smoother performance in extreme quantile estimation. On the other hand in case of non-truncated models the use of \hat{D}_T instead of $\hat{D}_T^{(0)}$, leads to extreme quantile estimates that are quite sensitive with respect to the value of D_T . While the stable parts in the plots of quantile estimates are readily apparent anyway, we here use $\hat{D}_T^{(0)}$ in (18).

In case of non-truncated Pa-type models (see Figures 6 and 7) concerning high quantile estimation, we also consider the Weissman (1978) estimator \hat{q}_p^W defined in (22) besides the newly proposed estimator $\hat{q}_{p,r,k,n}$ and the MOM-estimator \hat{q}_p^{MOM} , defined in (18) and (30) respectively. Taking into account that $H_{1,k,n}$ and \hat{q}_p^W are designed for this particular situation, we can conclude that the newly proposed estimators perform reasonably well at $p = 1/n$ if we compare with the classical extreme value estimators $H_{1,k,n}$ and $\hat{\xi}_{n,k}^{MOM}$. For instance in case of the Burr distribution in Figure 7 it appears that our quantile estimator is slightly worse than the moment estimator but better than the Weissman (1978) estimator. In the strict Pareto case in Figure 6 (*left*) on average $\hat{q}_{p,1,k,n}$ underestimates $Q(0.999)$, but this larger bias is balanced by a lower variance, which results in an MSE competitive with \hat{q}_p^{MOM} . Finally note that trimming has a serious negative influence on the estimation of extreme quantiles here and hence should be avoided with non-truncated Pa-type distributions.




Figure 4: $\text{TPa}(\alpha = 2, T = 1.4142)$: Estimation of α using the Newton-Raphson procedure with initial value $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$, $r = 1, 10$ (top). Estimation of the high quantile $q_{0.001}$ (middle) and right endpoint T (bottom) using $\hat{q}_{0.001,r,k,n}$ defined in (18) and $\hat{T}_{r,k,n}$ as in (21), $r = 1, 10$.




Figure 5: Truncated-Burr($\alpha = 2, \rho = -1, T = 3$): Estimation of α (*top*), high quantile $q_{0.001}$ (*middel*) and right endpoint T (*bottom*) using $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$, $\hat{q}_{0.001,r,k,n}$ defined in (18) and $\hat{T}_{r,k,n}$ as in (21), for $r = 1, 10$.




Figure 6: Pa($\alpha = 2$): Estimation of α using the Newton-Raphson procedure with initial value $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$ (top), $r = 1, 10$. Estimation of high quantile $q_{0.001}$ using $\hat{q}_{0.001,r,k,n}$ defined in (18) (bottom), $r = 1, 10$.




Figure 7: Burr($\alpha = 2; \rho = -1$): Estimation of α using the Newton-Raphson procedure with initial value $\hat{\alpha}^{(0)} = 1/H_{r,k,n}$ (top), $r = 1, 10$. Estimation of high quantile $q_{0.001}$ using $\hat{q}_{0.001,r,k,n}$ defined in (18) (bottom), $r = 1, 10$.

5 Conclusion

We have extended the work on estimating the Pareto index α under truncation from Aban *et al.* (2006) and Nuyts (2010) from Pareto to regularly varying tails. The main proposals and findings are

- The new estimator of the Pareto index α is effective whether the underlying distribution is truncated or not, thus unifying previous approaches. Although based on a truncated model, our estimator is competitive with the existing benchmark methods even when the underlying distribution is unbounded.
- Our method leads to new quantile and endpoint estimators which are especially effective in the case of moderate truncation ($k/nD_T \rightarrow 0$). In case the data come from an non-truncated Pa-type distribution, which is the case when the Pa QQ-plot (24) is linear in the right tail, the extreme quantile estimator (18) should not be used for extrapolation far out of range of the available observations as discussed in Remark 4.
- Nuyts (2010) has proposed to trim the most extreme data points. The robustness will then be enhanced, but our results indicate that the MSE is worse: only slightly for TPa-type models, but rather severely in case of unbounded regularly varying tails, especially in case of quantile estimation.
- A new TPa QQ-plot is constructed that can assist in verifying the validity of the TPa-type model.

Several possible areas for new research appear from this work. For instance linking truncation with all domains of attraction for maxima, especially in case of the Gumbel domain of attraction with $\xi = 0$. Also bringing in covariate information in the model appears of importance. For instance modelling large earthquakes using geographical information is a problem of interest. Finally the robustness properties of the estimators proposed here should be studied further.

Appendix 1. Derivation of Proposition 1

Set $\ell^{-\alpha}(x) = \ell_F(x)$ and let ℓ^* denote the de Bruyn conjugate of ℓ . Solving the equation $y^{1/\alpha} = x\ell(x)$ by $x = y^{1/\alpha}\ell^*(y^{1/\alpha})$ (see for instance Proposition 2.5 and

page 80 in Beirlant *et al.*, 2004), we find that the tail quantile function $U_T(y) = Q_T(1 - \frac{1}{y})$ corresponding to the RTF $1 - F_T$ is given by

$$U_T(y) = ((C_T y)^{-1} + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha})^{-1/\alpha} \ell^*((1/(C_T y) + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha})^{-1/\alpha}). \quad (36)$$

First consider the case where yD_T is bounded away from 0 as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$. Then apply $[(C_T y)^{-1} + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha}]^{-1/\alpha} = T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right]^{-1/\alpha}$ twice so that

$$U_T(y) = T\ell(T) \left(1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right)^{-1/\alpha} \ell^* \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right]^{-1/\alpha}\right),$$

while multiplying by $\ell^*(T\ell(T))$ on both the top and bottom leads to

$$U_T(y) = T \left(1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right)^{-1/\alpha} \frac{\ell^* \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right]^{-1/\alpha}\right)}{\ell^*(T\ell(T))}.$$

As $T \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\ell(T)\ell^*(T\ell(T)) \rightarrow 1$ by the definition of the de Bruyn conjugate. Assuming that for some constants $0 < m < M < \infty$ we have $m < D_T y < M$ as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$, then it follows from the uniform convergence theorem for regularly varying functions (Seneta, 1976) that

$$\frac{\ell^* \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{1}{D_T y}\right]^{-1/\alpha}\right)}{\ell^*(T\ell(T))} \rightarrow 1. \quad (37)$$

The limit in (37) clearly also holds when yD_T tends to ∞ .

Alternatively, when $yD_T \rightarrow 0$ as $y, T \rightarrow \infty$, use $[(C_T y)^{-1} + (T\ell(T))^{-\alpha}]^{-1/\alpha} = (yC_T)^{1/\alpha} [1 + yD_T]^{-1/\alpha}$ twice to rewrite (36) as

$$U_T(y) = (yC_T)^{1/\alpha} [1 + yD_T]^{-1/\alpha} \ell^* \left((yC_T)^{1/\alpha} [1 + yD_T]^{-1/\alpha}\right).$$

Multiplying by $\ell^*((yC_T)^{1/\alpha})$ on both the top and bottom leads to (26). Finally it follows that $\ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} [1 + D_T y]^{-1/\alpha}\right) / \ell^* \left((yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $(yC_T)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty$ and $[1 + yD_T]^{-1/\alpha} \rightarrow 1$.

Appendix 2. Outline of proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof of Theorem 1 The mean value theorem implies that $1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha = -f(1/\alpha)/f'(1/\tilde{\alpha})$ where $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ is between α and $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$, with $f(\frac{1}{\alpha}) = H_{r,k,n} -$

$1/\alpha - \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha}{1-R_{r,k,n}^\alpha} \log R_{r,k,n}$. Then we obtain that the limit distribution of $1/\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ is found from the asymptotic distribution of

$$\left(1 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 \frac{R_{r,k,n}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \log^2 R_{r,k,n}}{(1 - R_{r,k,n}^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2}\right)^{-1} \left(H_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha - \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^\alpha}\right).$$

Hence the asymptotic behaviour of $H_{r,k,n}$ and $\log R_{r,k,n}$ constitute essential building blocks in the derivation of the asymptotics for $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$. We consider these in the following Propositions.

For this we make use of the result (see de Haan and Ferreira, 2006, 7.2.12) that for some standard Wiener process W (with $E(W(s)W(t)) = \min(s, t)$) we have uniformly over all $j = 1, \dots, k$, as $k, n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$

$$\sqrt{k} \left(\frac{n}{k} U_{j,n} - \frac{j}{k} \right) - W \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \rightarrow_p 0. \quad (38)$$

Proposition 2. *Let (27) and (28) hold and let $n, k = k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

(a) *if $k/(nD_T)$ is bounded away from ∞ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{r,k,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \frac{1 + (k/nD_T)\lambda_{r,k}}{k/(nD_T)} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{k}} \left(\frac{W(1)k/(nD_T)}{1 + k/(nD_T)} - \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 W(u) d \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} u \right) \right) (1 + o_p(1)) \\ &\quad + b^*(T\ell(T))A_{r,k,n,T}(1 + o_p(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{r,k,n,T} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 h_{\rho^*}([1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}u]^{-1/\alpha}) du - h_{\rho^*}([1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}]^{-1/\alpha}),$$

(b) if $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{r,k,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{r,k}}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \log \lambda_{r,k} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{k}} \left(W(1) - \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \frac{W(u)}{u} du \right) (1 + o_p(1)) \\
&\quad + b^* (C_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (n/k)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 h_{\rho^*}(u^{-1/\alpha}) du (1 + o_p(1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{nD_T}{k} \left(1 + \frac{\log \lambda_{r,k}}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \right) (1 + o_p(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first two terms in this expansion are the limits for $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ of the first two lines in the expansion in case (a).

Proof Let $j_r = j - r + 1$ and let $U_{1,n} \leq U_{2,n} \leq \dots \leq U_{n,n}$ denote the order statistics from an i.i.d. sample of size n from the uniform (0,1) distribution. Then using summation by parts and the fact that $X_{n-j+1,n} =_d Q_T(1 - U_{j,n})$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{r,k,n} &= \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k j_r (\log X_{n-j+1,n} - \log X_{n-j,n}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{j=r}^k j_r (\log Q_T(1 - U_{j+1,n}) - \log Q_T(1 - U_{j,n})) \\
&= -\frac{k+1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=r}^k \left(\frac{j+1}{k+1} - \lambda_{r,k} \right) \int_{U_{j,n}}^{U_{j+1,n}} d \log Q_T(1 - w).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (38) $H_{r,k,n}$ can now be approximated as $k, n \rightarrow \infty$ by the integral

$$-\frac{k}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 (u - \lambda_{r,k}) \left\{ \int_{u+W(u)/\sqrt{k}}^{u+W(u)/\sqrt{k}+1/k} d \log Q_T(1 - \frac{k}{n} w) \right\} du.$$

Using the mean value theorem on the inner integral between $u + W(u)/\sqrt{k}$ and $u + W(u)/\sqrt{k} + 1/k$, followed by an integration by parts, we obtain the approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 (u - \lambda_{r,k}) d \log Q_T \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) \\
&= -\log U_T \left(\frac{n}{k} / \left(1 + \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log U_T \left(\frac{n}{k} / \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) du.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

First, let $k/(nD_T)$ be bounded away from ∞ . Then using Proposition 1(a) the approximation (39) of $H_{r,k,n}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(1 + \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) \\ & - \log \ell^* \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(1 + \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) du \\ & + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \ell^* \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Next, add and subtract $\log \ell^*(T\ell(T))$ from the second and fourth line respectively, and use the approximations

$$\log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) = \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} u \right) + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{\frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} u^*},$$

with $0 < u \leq 1$ and u^* between u and $u + W(u)/\sqrt{k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\log \left(T\ell(T) \left[1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right)}{\log \ell^*(T\ell(T))} \\ & = b^*(T\ell(T)) h_{\rho^*} \left(\left[1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} u \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right) (1 + o_p(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using partial integration we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} u \right) du &= -1 + \frac{\log(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}) - \lambda_{r,k} \log(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda_{r,k})}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \frac{nD_T}{k} \log \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda_{r,k}} \end{aligned}$$

from which one obtains the stated result in (a).

Secondly, consider $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$. Then using Proposition 1(b) we obtain using

similar steps as in the preceeding case that approximation (39) of $H_{r,k,n}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(1 + \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(1 + \frac{nD_T}{k} \left(1 + \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) du \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \left(1 + \frac{nD_T}{k} \left(u + \frac{W(u)}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^{-1} \right) du \\
& + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \log \frac{\ell^*(C_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n/(ku))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}[1 + nD_T/(ku)]^{-1/\alpha})}{\ell^*(C_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n/k)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}[1 + nD_T/k]^{-1/\alpha})} du.
\end{aligned}$$

This is now approximated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{r,k} \log \lambda_{r,k}}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(W(1) - \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 \frac{W(u)}{u} du \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{nD_T}{k} \left(1 + \frac{\log \lambda_{r,k}}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \right) \\
& + b^*(C_T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n/k)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 h_{\rho^*} \left(u^{-1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1 + nD_T/(ku)}{1 + nD_T/k} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \right) du.
\end{aligned}$$

The result then follows using the approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 h_{\rho^*} \left(u^{-1/\alpha} \left(\frac{1 + nD_T/(ku)}{1 + nD_T/k} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \right) du \\
& \sim \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 h_{\rho^*}(u^{-1/\alpha}) du.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In a similar way we obtain an asymptotic result for $\log R_{r,k,n}$.

Proposition 3. *Let (27) and (28) hold and let $n, k = k_n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

(a) if $k/(nD_T)$ is bounded away from ∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log R_{r,k,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda_{r,k}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{k}} \left(\frac{W(1) k/(nD_T)}{1 + k/(nD_T)} - \frac{W(\lambda_{r,k}) k/(nD_T)}{1 + \lambda_{r,k} k/(nD_T)} \right) (1 + o_p(1)) \\ &\quad + b^*(T\ell(T)) B_{r,k,n,T} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{r,k,n,T} = h_{\rho^*}([1 + (k/(nD_T))]^{-1/\alpha}) - h_{\rho^*}([1 + (k/(nD_T)) \lambda_{r,k}]^{-1/\alpha}),$$

(b) if $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log R_{r,k,n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \lambda_{r,k} - \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{k}} \left(W(1) - \frac{W(\lambda_{r,k})}{\lambda_{r,k}} \right) (1 + o_p(1)) \\ &\quad - b^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} (n/k)^{1/\alpha}) h_{\rho^*}(\lambda_{r,k}^{-1/\alpha}) (1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} (nD_T/k) (\lambda_{r,k}^{-1} - 1) (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where the first two terms in this expansion are the limits for $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ of the first two lines in the expansion in case (a).

Proof of Theorem 1 (cont'd). First we derive the consistency of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ under the conditions of Theorem 1, so that then $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow_p \alpha$. Aban *et al.* (2006, see A.4) showed that $\tilde{f}(t) := \frac{1}{t} + \frac{R_{r,k,n}^t \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^t} - H_{r,k,n}$ is a decreasing function in $t \in (0, \infty)$. Moreover $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(t) = -H_{r,k,n} < 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{f}(t) = -(\log R_{r,k,n})/2 - H_{r,k,n}$. Showing that asymptotically under the conditions of the theorem $-(\log R_{r,k,n})/2 - H_{r,k,n} > 0$ using Propositions 2 and 3 in both cases (a) and (b), we have then that there is a unique solution to the equation $\tilde{f}(t) = 0$. Note with Propositions 2 and 3 that for the true value α we have $\tilde{f}(\alpha) = o_p(1)$, since $H_{r,k,n}$ and $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^\alpha}$ asymptotically are equal, namely to $\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda}{(1 - \lambda) \frac{k}{nD_T}} \log \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda} \right)$ in case (a), and $\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda \log \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)$ in case (b). So the true value α asymptotically is a solution from which the consistency follows.

Now using Propositions 2 and 3 we obtain that

$$1 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 \frac{R_{r,k,n}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \log^2 R_{r,k,n}}{(1 - R_{r,k,n}^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2} = \delta_{r,k,n,T} (1 + o_p(1)), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\delta_{r,k,n,T} = 1 - \frac{(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k})(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T})}{(1 - \lambda_{r,k})^2(\frac{k}{nD_T})^2} \log^2 \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}} \right).$$

Next, consider $g(H_{r,k,n}, \log R_{r,k,n}) = H_{r,k,n} - 1/\alpha - \frac{R_{r,k,n}^\alpha \log R_{r,k,n}}{1 - R_{r,k,n}^\alpha}$ with

$$g(x, y) = x - \frac{1}{\alpha} - y \frac{e^{\alpha y}}{1 - e^{\alpha y}}.$$

The Taylor approximation of $g(H_{r,k,n}, \log R_{r,k,n})$ around the asymptotic expected value $E_\infty H_{r,k,n}$ and $E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & g(E_\infty H_{r,k,n}, E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}) + (H_{r,k,n} - E_\infty H_{r,k,n}) \\ & + (\log R_{r,k,n} - E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(E_\infty H_{r,k,n}, E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with, based on Proposition 3,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(E_\infty H_{r,k,n}, E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}) = -c_{r,k,n,T}(1 + o(1)), \quad (42)$$

where

$$c_{r,k,n,T} = \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}}{(1 - \lambda_{r,k})\frac{k}{nD_T}} \left(1 + \frac{(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T})}{(1 - \lambda_{r,k})(\frac{k}{nD_T})} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}} \right) \right).$$

From Propositions 2 and 3, (41), (42), and (40) we find that the stochastic part in the development of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\delta_{r,k,n,T}\alpha\sqrt{k}} \left(-\frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \int_{\lambda_{r,k}}^1 W(u) d\log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}u \right) \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{W(1)}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}}{\frac{k}{nD_T}(1 - \lambda_{r,k})} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}} \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{W(\lambda_{r,k})}{1 - \lambda_{r,k}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{\frac{k}{nD_T}(1 - \lambda_{r,k})} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}\lambda_{r,k}} \right) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Developing for $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$, respectively taking limits for $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \kappa$ and $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$ leads to the stated asymptotic variances in the different cases (a), (b), respectively (c).

From (41), (42), and the asymptotic bias expressions in Propositions 2 and 3

one finds the asymptotic bias expressions of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{-1}$. For instance in case $k/(nD_T)$ is bounded away from ∞ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(E_\infty H_{r,k,n}, E_\infty \log R_{r,k,n}) \\ &= b^*(T\ell(T)) (A_{r,k,n,T} - B_{r,k,n,T} c_{r,k,n,T}) (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Condition $D_T = o((n/k)^{-1 + \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha}})$ in Theorem 1(b) entails that the bias term due to the factor $(1 + D_T y)^{-1/\alpha}$ in Proposition 1(b) is negligible with respect to the bias term due to the last factor based on ℓ^* in Proposition 2(b). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. First consider the case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$. Then observe that $p/D_T = o(k/(nD_T))$. Also, after some algebra, starting from (19), using (27), $\log X_{n-k,n} =_d \log Q_T(1 - U_{k+1,n})$ and (38), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \hat{q}_{p,r,k,n} - \log q_p \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} + \frac{\frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} \right) - \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \left(\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} \right) \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} \left[\log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} \right) - \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}}{1 + \frac{p}{D_T}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \log \frac{\ell^*(T\ell(T)) [1 + \frac{U_{k+1,n}}{D_T}]^{-1/\alpha}}{\ell^*(T\ell(T)) [1 + \frac{p}{D_T}]^{-1/\alpha}} \\ &=: \sum_{i=1}^4 T_{r,k,n}^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the mean value theorem we obtain that

$$T_{r,k,n}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} (k/(nD_T)) (1 + o_p(1)).$$

Next, using the result from Theorem 1(a),

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r,k,n}^{(2)} &= \frac{12}{\alpha(1-\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)} (1 + o_p(1)) \\ & \quad + b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{k}{nD_T} (\alpha^{-1} - \rho^*/\alpha^2) (1 + o_p(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore using (27) and the fact that $nU_{k+1,n}/k \rightarrow 1$ as $k, n \rightarrow \infty, k/n \rightarrow 0$ and that $p/D_T = o(k/(nD_T))$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r,k,n}^{(4)} &= b^*(T\ell(T)) \left[h_{\rho^*} \left(\left[1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right) - h_{\rho^*} \left(\left[1 + \frac{p}{D_T} \right]^{-1/\alpha} \right) \right] (1 + o_p(1)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{k}{nD_T} (1 + o_p(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Remains the evaluation of $T_{r,k,n}^{(3)}$. To this end note that

$$\log \left(1 + \frac{k}{n\hat{D}_T} \right) = \log \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_{r,k}}{R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} - \lambda_{r,k}} \right).$$

We hence need to develop an asymptotic expansion for $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} \log R_{r,k,n}$. Using Theorem 1(a), Proposition 3 and developing $\log \left((1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \lambda_{r,k}) / (1 + \frac{k}{nD_T}) \right)$ for $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n} \log R_{r,k,n} \\ &\sim \left(\alpha - \frac{nD_T}{k^{3/2}} \frac{12\alpha}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)} - b^*(T\ell(T))(\alpha - \rho^*) \right) \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \frac{k}{nD_T} + O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) - b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{k}{nD_T} \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O_p \left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) \\ &\sim -\frac{k}{nD_T} (1-\lambda) \left\{ 1 + b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha} \right\} + O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{12}{1-\lambda} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)} (1 + o_p(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the assumption $k^{-1/2} = o(k/nD_T)$. From this we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{r,k,n}^{\alpha_{r,k,n}} - \lambda_{r,k} &\sim \left(1 - \frac{k}{nD_T} \right) (1-\lambda) + O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) - (\rho^*/\alpha) \left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right) (1-\lambda) b^*(T\ell(T)) \\ &\quad + \frac{12}{1-\lambda} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)} (1 + o_p(1)), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_{r,k}}{R_{r,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}} - \lambda_{r,k}} \right) &\sim -\log \left(1 - \frac{k}{nD_T} + O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) - \frac{k}{nD_T} b^*(T\ell(T)) \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{12}{(1-\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)}(1 + o_p(1)) \right) \\
&\sim \frac{k}{nD_T} + O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{k}{nD_T} \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha} b^*(T\ell(T)) \\
&\quad - \frac{12}{(1-\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)}(1 + o_p(1))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1 + \frac{k}{n\hat{D}_T} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \right) &\sim O \left(\left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{k}{nD_T} \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha} b^*(T\ell(T)) \\
&\quad - \frac{12}{(1-\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)}(1 + o_p(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\log \left(1 + \frac{p}{\hat{D}_T} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{p}{D_T} \right)$$

is asymptotically equivalent to

$$\frac{p/D_T}{1 + (p/D_T)} \left(\frac{D_T}{\hat{D}_T} - 1 \right) = o \left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right) \left(\frac{D_T}{\hat{D}_T} - 1 \right)$$

and hence asymptotically negligible with respect to $\log \left(1 + \frac{k}{n\hat{D}_T} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \right)$.

Using Theorem 1(a) then leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{r,k,n}^{(3)} &= O \left(\frac{k}{nD_T} \right)^2 + \frac{k}{nD_T} \frac{\rho^*}{\alpha^2} b^*(T\ell(T)) (1 + o(1)) \\
&\quad - \frac{12}{\alpha(1-\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(1)}(1 + o_p(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the developments for $T_{r,k,n}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ leads to the stated result.

Next, in the case $k/(nD_T) \rightarrow \infty$, starting from expression (20) and Proposition

1(b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log \hat{q}_{p,1,k,n} - \log q_p \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \{ \log(1/U_{k+1,n}) - \log(n/k) \} \\
&\quad + \left(\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} \right) \log(k/(np)) \\
&\quad + \left(\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} \right) \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n\hat{D}_{1,k,n}}{k}}{1 + \frac{\hat{D}_{1,k,n}}{p}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n\hat{D}_T}{k}}{1 + \frac{\hat{D}_T}{p}} \right) - \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{nD_T}{k}}{1 + \frac{D_T}{p}} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \log \left(1 + \frac{nD_T}{k} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{D_T}{U_{k+1,n}} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \log \frac{\ell^*((C_T/U_{k+1,n})^{1/\alpha} [1 + \frac{D_T}{U_{k+1,n}}]^{-1/\alpha})}{\ell^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} p^{-1/\alpha} [1 + \frac{D_T}{p}]^{-1/\alpha})} \\
&=: \sum_{i=1}^6 Y_{k,n}^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (27) and the fact that $nU_{k+1,n}/k \rightarrow 1$ as $k, n \rightarrow \infty, k/n \rightarrow 0$, one obtains

$$Y_{k,n}^{(6)} \sim \frac{1}{\rho^*} b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}).$$

This can be derived using Lemma 4.3.5 in de Haan and Ferreira (2006) replacing $U(t)$ by $t^{1/\alpha} \ell^*((C_T t)^{1/\alpha})$, $a(t)$ by $\frac{1}{\alpha} t^{1/\alpha} \ell^*((C_T t)^{1/\alpha})$, ρ by ρ^* , $A(t)$ by $b^*((C_T t)^{1/\alpha})$, and $x = x(t)$ by $(k/(np))^{1/\alpha}$.

Next using the mean value theorem

$$Y_{k,n}^{(5)} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{n}{k} \left(U_{k+1,n} - \frac{k}{n} \right) \frac{\frac{nD_T}{k}}{1 + \frac{nD_T}{k}}$$

so that using (38)

$$Y_{k,n}^{(1)} + Y_{k,n}^{(5)} \sim -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{W(1)}{\sqrt{k}} \left(1 + \frac{nD_T}{k} \right)^{-1}.$$

Moreover using Theorem 1(c) and $nD_T/k \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{k,n}^{(2)} + Y_{k,n}^{(3)} &\sim \log \left(\frac{k}{np} (1 + (D_T/p))^{-1} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\sigma^2(0)}{\alpha \sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_0^{(2)} + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \beta(0) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Remains $Y_{k,n}^{(4)}$. First remark that $\log(1 + (nD_T/k)) - \log(1 + D_T/p) \sim (nD_T)/k - D_T/p$, which is $o_p\left(\log(k/n p_n)/\sqrt{k}\right)$ by assumption.

Next, since

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n\hat{D}_T}{k}}{1 + \frac{\hat{D}_T}{p}} \right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{np}) - (1 - \frac{k}{np})R_{1,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}}}{1 - (1/k)} \right)$$

an asymptotic expansion of $R_{1,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}}$ is to be developed.

Since $R_{1,k,n} = Q_T(1 - U_{k+1,n})/Q_T(1 - U_{1,n})$, with $U_{j,n}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) denoting the order statistics of an i.i.d. uniform $(0,1)$ sample of size n as before, we have

$$R_{1,k,n}^\alpha = \frac{U_{1,n}}{U_{k+1,n}} \left(\frac{\ell^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} U_{k+1,n}^{-1/\alpha} [1 + D_T U_{k+1,n}^{-1}]^{-1/\alpha})}{\ell^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} U_{1,n}^{-1/\alpha} [1 + D_T U_{1,n}^{-1}]^{-1/\alpha})} \right)^\alpha \frac{1 + D_T U_{1,n}^{-1}}{1 + D_T U_{k+1,n}^{-1}}.$$

Now $U_{1,n}/U_{k+1,n} =_d E_1/(E_1 + \dots + E_{k+1})$ where E_1, \dots, E_{k+1} are i.i.d. standard exponentially distributed, so that $k(U_{1,n}/U_{k+1,n}) \rightarrow_d E_1$ if $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Using similar arguments as in the proof of part (a), we obtain that as $k, n \rightarrow \infty$, $k/n \rightarrow 0$ and $nD_T \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} U_{k+1,n}^{-1/\alpha} [1 + D_T U_{k+1,n}^{-1}]^{-1/\alpha})}{\ell^*(C_T^{1/\alpha} U_{1,n}^{-1/\alpha} [1 + D_T U_{1,n}^{-1}]^{-1/\alpha})} &\sim \left(1 + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) h_{\rho^*}(k^{1/\alpha}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\sim 1 + \frac{1}{\rho^*} b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1 + D_T U_{1,n}^{-1}}{1 + D_T U_{k+1,n}^{-1}} \sim \frac{1 + nD_T}{1 + \frac{nD_T}{k}},$$

so that

$$R_{1,k,n}^\alpha = \frac{1}{k} (E_1 + o(1)) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\rho^*} b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \right) (1 + o(1)).$$

Moreover from Theorem 1(c) we have

$$\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}}{\alpha} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_0^{(1)} + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \alpha \beta(0) \right) (1 + o_p(1)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}} &= (R_{1,k,n}^\alpha)^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}/\alpha} \\ &= \left(\frac{E_1 + o(1)}{k} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\rho^*} b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \right) \right)^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (E_1/k)^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}/\alpha} &= \frac{E_1}{k} \exp \left(- \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_0^{(1)} + b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \alpha \beta(0) \right\} \log \left(\frac{E_1}{k} \right) \right) \\ &\sim \frac{E_1}{k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{N}_0^{(1)} \log \left(\frac{E_1}{k} \right) - b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha}) \log \left(\frac{E_1}{k} \right) \alpha \beta(0) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain that

$$kR_{1,k,n}^{\hat{\alpha}_{1,k,n}} - 1 = (E_1 - 1) + o(1) + O_p(\log k / \sqrt{k}) + O((\log k) b^*((C_T n/k)^{1/\alpha})).$$

Theorem 2(b) now follows from combining the different developments of the $Y_{k,n}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 6$). \square

Appendix 3. The effect of trimming on bias and variance of $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$

Trimming the estimator $\hat{\alpha}_{r,k,n}$ decreases its efficiency with respect to the case $r = 1$. This is illustrated in Figure 8, plotting the functions $\sigma^2(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1/4]$, from Theorem 1(c).

References

- [1] I.B. Aban and M.M. Meerschaert (2004). Generalized least squares estimators for the thickness of heavy tails. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **119**, 341–352.
- [2] I.B. Aban, M.M. Meerschaert, and A.K. Panorska (2006). Parameter Estimation for the Truncated Pareto Distribution, *Journal of the American Statistical Association: Theory and Methods* **101**(473), 270–277.
- [3] Beirlant, J., Vynckier, P. and Teugels, J. (1996). Tail index estimation, Pareto quantile plots and regression diagnostics. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 91, 1659–1667.
- [4] Beirlant, J., Goegebeur, Y., Teugels, J. and Segers, J., 2004. *Statistics of Extremes: Theory and Applications*, Wiley, UK.




Figure 8: Functions $\sigma^2(\lambda)$ and $\beta(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1/4]$.

- [5] Clark, D.R. (2013). A note on the upper-truncated Pareto distribution. In *Proc. of the Enterprise Risk Management Symposium*, April 22-24, Chicago IL.
- [6] Dekkers, A., Einmahl, J. and de Haan, L. (1989). A moment estimator for the index of an extreme-value distribution. *Ann. Statist.*, 17, 1795-1832.
- [7] de Haan, L. and Ferreira, A., 2006. *Extreme Value Theory: an Introduction*, Springer Science and Business Media, LLC, New York.
- [8] Hill, B.M. (1975). A simple general approach about the tail of a distribution. *Annals of Statistics* 3, 1163-1174.
- [9] Hubert, M., Dierckx, G. and Vanpaemel, D. (2013). Detecting influential data points for the Hill estimator in Pareto-type distributions. *Computational and Statistical Data Analysis* 65, 13-28.
- [10] Nuyts, J. (2010). Inference about the tail of a distribution: improvement on the Hill estimator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Article ID 924013.

- [11] Seneta, E., 1976. *Regularly Varying Functions*. Lecture Notes in Math. **508**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [12] Weissman, I. (1978). Estimation of parameters and large quantiles based on the k largest observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 73, 812-815.