Doping dependence of the upper critical field, superconducting current density and thermally activated flux flow activation energy in polycrystalline CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x superconductors

S.V. Chong ^{a,b,*}, G.V.M. Williams ^{b,c}, S. Sambale ^{a,c}, K. Kadowaki ^d

^a Robinson Research Institute, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 33436, Lower Hutt 5046, New Zealand

- ^b MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
- ^c School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
- ^d Division of Materials Science, Faculty of Pure & Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8573, Japan

Abstract

We report the results from resistivity and magnetic measurements on polycrystalline Ce oxypnictide (CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x) samples where *x* spans from 0.13 to 0.25. We find that the orbital limiting field is as high as 150 T and it systematically decreases with increasing doping. The Maki parameter is greater than one across the phase diagram and the large Maki parameter suggests that orbital and Pauli limiting effects contribute to the upper critical field. The broadening of the superconducting transition in the resistivity data was interpreted using the thermally activated flux flow (TAFF) model where we find that the TAFF activation energy, $U_0(B)$, is proportional to $B^{-\gamma}$ from 1 T to high fields, and γ does not significantly change with doping. However, U_0 and the superconducting critical current, J_c , are peaked in the mid-doping region (x = 0.15 to x = 0.20), and not in the low (x < 0.15) or high doping (x > 0.20) regions. Furthermore, U_0 is correlated with J_c and follows the two fluid model for granular samples.

Keywords: Iron-based superconductors · Quaternary compound · Flux flow activation energy · Critical current density · Maki parameter

E-mail address: shen.chong@vuw.ac.nz (S.V. Chong).

1. Introduction

The discovery of iron-based compounds with superconducting transition temperatures, T_c's, as high as 56 K, second only to the high temperature superconducting cuprates (HTSCs), has provided us with a new candidate for technological applications using superconducting materials. Iron-based superconductors possess several appealing features which make them a strong candidate for high magnetic field applications at low temperature. With T_c 's comparably higher than conventional low-temperature superconductors, such as Nb₃Sn, this new class of superconductors also has a very high upper critical field, B_{c2} , and high critical currents [1]. B_{c2} of the iron-based superconductors was initially reported to be large in the 1111- and 122-Fe arsenides, and subsequently a large B_{c2} (with $dB_{c2}/dT > -5$ T/K) was also reported in the 122- and 11-Fe chalcogenides family [2-4]. For example, a dB_{c2}/dT of -12 T/K was recently reported in Rb-doped TlFe_{2- δ}Se₂ with *B* || *ab*-plane of the single crystal [5], and a more anisotropic (Sm_{1-x}Nd_x)-based 1111 superconductor showed a similar value of -11.2 T/K [6]. The high B_{c2} values found in the iron-based superconductors mean that they have potential device applications and hence it would be particularly useful to know how B_{c2} changes with doping. Furthermore, the doping dependence of the superconducting critical current is also required as well as the important role of flux pinning. One study by Shahbazi et al. on polycrystalline CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x with x = 0.10 and x = 0.20 found that the thermally

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 4 463 0072.

activated flux flow (TAFF) activation energy, U_0 , was lower for x = 0.2 while the inductive superconducting critical current, J_c , was slightly higher for x = 0.2 [7]. Thus, there is a clear need to study how B_{c2} , J_c , and U_0 change with doping.

In this paper we report the results from resistivity and magnetic measurements on CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x with nominal x = 0.13, 0.15, 0.20 and 0.25. We show that B_{c2} systematically decreases with increasing doping and U_0 and J_c are correlated, where J_c has a maximum in the mid-doping region from x = 0.15 to x = 0.20.

2. Experimental details

A series of CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x polycrystalline samples was prepared by a two-step solid state reaction as previously described [8,9]. The first step involved reacting a stoichiometric mixture of CeAs, CeO₂, CeF₃ and Fe at 1273 K for 24 hours in sealed evacuated quartz ampoules. The reacted mixture was then re-ground, compacted into pellets and again sealed in evacuated quartz ampoules for a final sintering at 1453 K for 50 hours. Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) of the resulting samples showed the appearance of impurities such as FeAs, FeAs₂ and CeAs which increases with fluoride-doping (Fdoping) but the total amount is less than 15 % in the highest fluoride-doped sample. The resistivity was measured using a *Quantum Design* Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) using the four terminal method. The inductive critical current density was determined from magnetization loop (*M-B*) measurements on rectangular-shaped samples with thickness < width << length on a *Quantum Design* Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS).

3. Results and discussion

The temperature-dependent resistivity at different applied magnetic fields (0 to 8 T) for the F-doped Ce oxypnictide samples is shown in Fig. 1. The increase in T_c is consistent with the doping phase diagram of CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x reported in literature [10-12], which unlike the cuprates [13] and the electron-doped BaFe_{2-x}Co_xAs₂ superconductors [14] do not display a superconducting dome-shape doping phase diagram, and with no downturn in the value of T_c being observed even up to the heavily-doped region (x = 0.30) [12].

In the presence of an applied magnetic field the superconducting transition temperature is seen to reduce systematically with increasing magnetic field. Comparing the change in $T_c (\Delta T_c = |T_c^{\text{BT}} - T_c^{\text{OT}}|)$ for all four samples, it is observed in Fig. 1 that ΔT_c increases with increasing F-doping with $\Delta T_c = 1.03$ K for x = 0.13 and it increases to 3.90 K for x = 0.25. This indicates that there is a change in B_{c2} with doping. The powder averaged upper critical field was estimated from the temperature where the resistivity had decreased to 90% of the normal state resistivity, which is the method used in the literature [2,3,6,7]. The resultant B_{c2} is plotted in Fig. 2(a) where it is apparent that the gradient is largest for the underdoped sample with x = 0.13. This is clearer in Fig. 2(b) where B_{c2} is plotted against the reduced temperature, T/T_c . Similar to another study on polycrystalline CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x , we find that B_{c2} is not linear over the entire magnetic field range and it deviates from the high field linearity close to T_c [7]. Thus, we use the average gradient above 1 T to estimate dB_{c2}/dT from the data in Fig. 2, similar to the "linear analysis" used to obtain B_{c2} in the YBa₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} [15] and MgB₂ [16] superconductors. The resultant dB_{c2}/dT is plotted in Fig. 3(a) against x where we find that dB_{c2}/dT is -6.1 T/K for the 0.13 F-doped sample and decreases to -2.1 T/K for the 0.25 F-doped sample. These estimated slopes are as high as those reported by Shahbazi et al. [7] for the 10% and the 20% F-

doped samples where dB_{c2}/dT has values of -5.9 and -2.4 T/K, respectively, but they are overall higher than those reported by Prakash *et al.* [17] with dB_{c2}/dT values of -3.52 and -1.45 T/K for 10% and the 20% F-doping, respectively.

Similar to other studies [5,17,18], we estimate the orbital limiting field at 0 K, $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$, using the single-band Bardeen-Cooper-Scrieffer (BCS) Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) formula [19], $B_{c2}^{orb}(0) = 0.693T_c |dB_{c2}/dT|_{Tc}$. The resultant $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ values are plotted in Fig. 3(b) based on the dB_{c2}/dT values in Fig. 3(a). We find that $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ decreases with increasing x and $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ is nearly independent of doping for $x \ge$ 0.20.

It has previously been noted from studies on a number of iron-based superconductors that $B_{c2}(0)$ can exceed the Pauli limiting field, $B_P(0)$, expected in a BCS superconductor [3,20,21]. The Pauli limiting field can be defined as the magnetic field where the superconducting condensate energy is equal to the normal state Zeeman energy [22-24]. This leads to a BCS $B_P(0)$ of $B_P(0) = \mu_0 1.84*T_c$ [23]. The relative importance of the Pauli limiting field in determining the upper critical field in a single-band model can be described using the Maki parameter, $\alpha = \sqrt{2} * B_{c2}^{orb}(0)/B_P(0)$ [22,24]. We show in Fig. 3(b) that $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ exceeds $B_P(0)$ for $x \le 0.15$ and $B_P(0)$ is slightly higher than $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ for $x \ge 0.20$. The resultant α is plotted in the inset to Fig. 3(b) where we find that α is close to 1 for $x \ge 0.20$, and its value becomes significantly greater than 1 for $x \le 0.15$. The large α values suggest that the pair-breaking includes orbital as well as Pauli limiting effects [22]. Maki parameters greater than 1 have been observed in other *R*FeAsO superconductors with R = La [20] and R = Sm [21] and they may suggest that spin-orbit scattering should be included in the calculation of B_{c2} [24]. However, the situation is more complicated in the iron-based superconductors because there is growing evidence that a two-gap model is required to describe B_{c2} [20,21,25,26] although this can depend on the orientation of the *ab*-plane with respect to the applied magnetic field [21]. Irrespective of the B_{c2} model, the decrease in $-dB_{c2}/dT$ with increasing x (Fig 3(a)) suggests that $B_{c2}(0)$ is lower in the high doping side of the phase diagram.

It is apparent in Fig. 1 that the superconducting transition becomes broader as the magnetic field is increased. This is commonly observed in the iron-pnictides [7,27,28] and HTSCs [29-31], and it is interpreted in terms of vortex motion due to the thermal activation of vortices from their respective pinning wells at temperatures where the thermal energy becomes comparable to the pinning potential causing dissipation in the superconductor. This is the thermally activated flux-flow (TAFF) or creep of vortices where the low temperature resistivity is modelled as, $\rho(T,B) = \rho_0 \exp(-U_0/k_B T)$ [3,32-34], where ρ_0 is the temperature-independent resistivity, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and *T* is the temperature. The TAFF activation energy, U_0 , was calculated from the resistivity data and for temperatures from the mid-point T_c and below and this corresponds to the TAFF region where an Arrhenius plot yields a straight line, and U_0 is the slope of the linear part of the ln(ρ) versus T^{-1} plot.

The magnetic field dependence of U_0 is plotted in Fig. 4. It is apparent that U_0 is largest around the mid-doping region (x = 0.15 to x = 0.20) which is clearer in Fig. 5 (filled circles, left axis) where U_0 is plotted at 1 T. We show in Fig. 4 that $U_0(B)$ has a power-law dependence on the magnetic field, $U_0 \propto B^{-\gamma}$, with γ in the range of 0.41 to 0.50 for B > 1 T (see the inset to Fig. 4). A γ value of 0.5 and above at high field (> 1 Tesla) has also been reported for P-doped PrOFe_{0.9}Co_{0.1}As ($\gamma \sim 0.5$ -0.8) [35], FeTe_{0.60}Se_{0.40} ($\gamma \sim$ 0.57 for B > 6 T) [28] and CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x ($\gamma = 0.7$ for B > 3 T) [7]. Large γ values have been interpreted in terms of collective creep rather than a single flux line response [18,24,36]. The latter (single flux line) has very weak field-dependent behaviour for U_0 and only occurs in the low field region (~ 1 T). High γ values can also occur via plastic deformation of the flux line lattice at dislocations such as those observed in some HTSCs [37]. The range of $U_0(B)$ values at 1 Tesla is similar to those found in Ce-based 1111 ($U_0(1 \text{ T}) \sim 426-1374 \text{ K}$) [7] and La-based 1111 ($U_0(1 \text{ T}) \sim 662-800 \text{ K}$) [7], but is much smaller than that found in Sm-based 1111 ($U_0(1 \text{ T}) \sim 5605-12482 \text{ K}$) [38,39] and Nd-based 1111 ($U_0(1 \text{ T}) \sim 1794-9804 \text{ K}$) [32] superconductors, indicating a lower pinning force for La- and Ce-based 1111 superconductors.

The critical current density, J_c , was determined from the width of irreversible magnetization $\Delta M = (M^- - M^+)$, where M^+ and M^- are the branches of the magnetization field-loop (*M-B*) for increasing and decreasing applied magnetic field, respectively. The paramagnetic component ($M_p \approx (M^- + M^+)/2$) was first subtracted from the *M-B* loop before using the Bean critical state model [40,41] to obtain $J_c = 3\Delta M/R$ (where *R* is the average particle size), which is the current flowing only within the grains. The intragrain J_c values obtained for the four F-doped Ce-based 1111 samples at 5 K are plotted in Fig. 6. The average particle size was taken to be ~10 µm, which was determined from scanning electron microscope imaging.

In all four samples, the field dependence of J_c is similar and can be divided into three different regimes. In the lowest field region (B < 0.04 T), J_c is nearly field-independent where the self-field produced by the screening current is much higher than the external magnetic field. Above the self-field region (B > 0.04 T), there is an intermediate region where J_c is seen to decrease rapidly, which is commonly observed in both low and hightemperature superconductors [42]. In this intermediate region, J_c has an inverse powerlaw dependence on B, i.e. $J_c(B) \propto B^{-\nu}$, where the log-log plot is linear [43] as indicated by the solid straight lines in Fig. 6. We find that the exponent increases with increasing doping from 0.39 for x = 0.13 to 0.62 for x = 0.25 as shown in the inset to Fig. 6. This range of exponent values is consistent with that observed in the HTSCs where v ranges from 0.25 to 1 [43,44]. A power-law behaviour is attributed to the existence of networks of weak-links in between grains and it is also believed to be influenced by the thickness of the grain boundaries according to studies done on the HTSCs [42,43].

In the high field region above B^* (see Fig. 6) we find the J_c no longer shows a powerlaw dependence on the applied magnetic field. This has also been observed in the HTSCs and it indicates that the weak linked regions no longer contribute to J_c [43]. There is also evidence of a peak-effect in J_c that is clear for the x = 0.15 sample, which is observed in some HTSCs [15,45] and some iron-based superconductors [7,8,46-49]. There are a number of different models to explain the peak-effect that include the magnetic field dependence of vortex pinning potential and different crossover regimes in the vortex structure [47,49]. Interestingly, we find that B^* , which indicates the onset of the peakeffect, is larger for high fluoride doping as can be seen in the inset to Fig. 6.

It is apparent in Fig. 6 that in the entire measured field range, J_c for the 0.15 and 0.20 F-doped samples is higher than the other two F-doped samples. This means that the intragrain J_c for our CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x samples does not change monotonically with doping. This is clearer in Fig. 5 where $J_c(1 \text{ T})$ is plotted at 5 K and 10 K where $J_c(1 \text{ T})$ and $U_0(1 \text{ T})$ are peaked in the mid-doping region (x = 0.15 to x = 0.20). We also find that the current carrying capacity for x = 0.15 and 0.20 is still high and in the 10⁵ A/cm² range at 6 T (see Fig. 6). Furthermore, the self-field $J_c(0)$ at 5 K for the 0.15 and 0.20 F-doped samples is close to 1.5×10^6 A/cm², while those of the 0.25 and 0.13 F-doped samples are in the 10⁵ A/cm² range.

A maxima in J_c with electronic doping was also reported by Tallon *et al.* [50] from measurements on the Y_{1-x}Ca_xBa₂Cu₃O₇₋₈ HTSC where the peak occurred in the slightly overdoped region. In that case the J_c peak was attributed to a normal state pseudogap in the underdoped region that reduces the superconducting order parameter and increases J_c up until the number of doped holes per Cu, p, reaches 0.19 and where the normal state pseudogap has reduced to zero. J_c is believed to fall for p > 0.19 due to a reduction in the superconducting gap energy. We are not aware of reports of a normal state pseudogap in CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x although a pseudogap has been reported in LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x [51-53] and SmFeAsO_{1-x}F_x [54]. However, it has also been suggested that normal state pseudogap is the cause of the low B_{c2} in Y_{1-x}Ca_xBa₂Cu₃O₇₋₈ where B_{c2} increases with increasing p up to $p \sim 0.19$ and then decreases [55]. However, as can be seen in Fig. 3, B_{c2} in CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x decreases with increasing doping, which is opposite to that observed in the HTSCs Y₁xCa_xBa₂Cu₃O₇₋₈ [55] and YBa₂Cu₃O₇₋₈ [15]. Thus, it appears that a pseudogap cannot explain the peak in J_c seen in Fig. 5.

The correlation between J_c and U_0 (see Fig. 5) suggests that the peak in J_c arises from a peak in the TAFF U_0 and hence it is due to the doping dependence of the depinning energy barrier. A correlation between U_0 and J_c is known to occur in granular samples in a two fluid flux creep model where the collective response of vortices operates [18,56]. In this case $U_0(t, B) \approx J_c(0)[Kg(t)t/B]$, where $t = T/T_c$, $g(t) = 4(1-t)^{3/2}$, and $K = (3)^{3/2}\phi_0^2\beta/2c$ $(\phi_0 = \text{magnetic flux quantum; } c = \text{speed of light})$ [18,56]. By plotting the TAFF U_0 at 1 T (Fig. 4) versus the self-field J_c from the 5 K critical current data from Fig. 6, we show in Fig. 7 an excellent correlation between U_0 and J_c that is in agreement with the above two fluid flux creep model.

4. Conclusions

We have carried out a systematic study of the effect of electron-doping on polycrystalline CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x with nominal x = 0.13, 0.15, 0.20 and 0.25. We find that dB_{c2}/dT systematically decreases with increasing x, which suggests that $B_{c2}(0)$ also decreases with increasing doping. The orbital limiting field was calculated within the WHH model and it reaches 150 T for x = 0.13. The Maki parameter is slightly greater than 1 for $x \ge 0.20$ and it increases as the doping decreases. The large Maki parameter suggests that Pauli pair-breaking as well as orbital-limiting effects are important across the phase diagram. The TAFF model was used to model the broadening of the resistivity transition. We find that the magnetic field dependence of $U_0(B)$ can be modelled as $U_0 \propto$ $B^{-\gamma}$ from 1 to 8 T, where γ does not significantly change with doping. Furthermore, we show that the TAFF activation energy is in the similar range found in other studies on Laand Ce-based 1111 iron pnictides and it is peaked in the mid-doping region (x = 0.15 to x = 0.20). The superconducting critical current density is also peaked around the middoping region, and we find that the superconducting critical current is correlated with U_0 . We find that the superconducting critical current in the intermediate field region follows a power-law dependence where the exponent increases with electron doping. Our results show that device applications using polycrystalline CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x should focus on this mid-doping region because this is where the superconducting critical currents are highest up to the maximum measured magnetic field of 6 T.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Marsden Fund of New Zealand (VUW0917), the MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, and New Zealand MBIE (C08X01206). SVC would also like to thank the JSPS postdoctoral fellowship which has funded part of this work.

References

[1] A. Gurevich, To use or not to use cool superconductors?, Nat. Mater. 10 (2011) 255-259.

[2] Y.J. Jo, J. Jaroszynski, A. Yamamoto, A. Gurevich, S.C. Riggs, G.S. Boebinger, D. Larbalestier, H.H. Wen, N.D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, Z. Bukowski, J. Karpinski, R.H. Liu, H. Chen, X.H. Chen, L. Balicas, High-field phase-diagram of Fe arsenide superconductors, Physica C 469 (2009) 566–574.

[3] A. Gurevich, Iron-based superconductors at high magnetic fields, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74(2011) 124501.

[4] G.R. Stewart, Superconductivity in iron compounds, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1589-1652; and references therein.

[5] L. Jiao, Y. Kohama, J.L. Zhang, H.D. Wang, B. Maiorov, F.F. Balakirev, Y. Chen, L.N. Wang, T. Shang, M.H. Fang, H.Q. Yuan, Upper critical field and thermally activated flux flow in single-crystalline Tl_{0.58}Rb_{0.42}Fe_{1.72}Se₂, Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012) 064513.

[6] A.-H. Fang, F.-Q. Huang, X.-M. Xie, M.-H. Jiang, Low-temperature rapid synthesis and superconductivity of Fe-based oxypnictide superconductors, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 3260–3261.

[7] M. Shahbazi, X.L. Wang, C. Shekhar, O.N. Srivastava, S.X. Dou, Upper critical field, critical current density and thermally activated flux flow in fluorine doped CeFeAsO superconductors, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 23 (2010) 105008.

[8] S.V. Chong, T. Mochiji, S. Sato, K. Kadowaki, Magnetic and transport studies on electron-doped CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x superconductor, J. Phys. Soc. Japan Suppl. C 77 (2008) 27-31.

[9] D. Rybicki, T. Meissner, G.V.M. Williams, S.V. Chong, M. Lux, J. Haase, ⁷⁵As NMR study of overdoped CeFeAsO_{0.8}F_{0.2}, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25 (2013) 315701.

[10] G.F. Chen, Z. Li, D. Wu, G. Li, W.Z. Hu, J. Dong, P. Zheng, J.L. Luo, N.L. Wang, Superconductivity at 41 K and its competition with spin-density-wave instability in layered $CeO_{1-x}F_xFeAs$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 247002.

[11] J. Zhao, Q. Huang, C. De la Cruz, S. Li, J.W. Lynn, Y. Chen, M.A. Green, G.F. Chen, G. Li, Z. Li, J.L. Luo, N.L. Wang, P. Dai, Structural and magnetic phase diagram of CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x and its relation to high-temperature superconductivity, Nat. Mater. 7 (2008) 953-959.

[12] L. Sun, X. Dai, C. Zhang, W. Yi, G. Chen, N. Wang, L. Zheng, Z. Jiang, X. Wei, Y. Huang, J. Yang, Z. Ren, W. Lu, X. Dong, G. Che, Q. Wu, H. Ding, J. Liu, T. Hu, Z. Zhao, Pressure-induced competition between superconductivity and Kondo effect in CeFeAsO₁. ${}_{x}F_{x}$ (x=0.16 and 0.3), Europhys. Lett. 91 (2010) 57008.

[13] M.R. Norman, The challenge of unconventional superconductivity, Science 332(2011) 196-200.

[14] S. Nandi, M.G. Kim, A. Kreyssig, R.M. Fernandes, D.K. Pratt, A. Thaler, N. Ni, S.L. Bud'ko, P.C. Canfield, J. Schmalian, R.J. McQueeney, A.I. Goldman, Anomalous suppression of the orthorhombic lattice distortion in superconducting $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$ single crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 057006.

[15] J.G. Ossandon, J.R. Thompson, D.K. Christen, B.C. Sales, H.R. Kerchner, J.O. Thomson, Y.R. Sun, K.W. Lay, J.E. Tkaczyk, Influence of oxygen deficiency on the

superconductive properties of grain-aligned YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-δ}, Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992) 12534-12547.

[16] A.G. Joshi, C.G.S. Pillai, P. Raj, S.K. Malik, Magnetization studies on superconducting MgB₂ - lower and upper critical fields and critical current density, Solid State Commun. 118 (2001) 445-448.

[17] J. Prakash, S.J. Singh, S. Patnaik, A.K. Ganguli, Superconductivity in $CeO_{1-x}F_xFeAs$ with upper critical field of 94 T, Physica C 469 (2009) 82-85.

[18] G. Prando, P. Carretta, R. De Renzi, S. Sanna, A. Palenzona, M. Putti, M. Tropeano, Vortex dynamics and irreversibility line in optimally doped SmFeAsO_{0.8}F_{0.2} from ac susceptibility and magnetization measurements, Phys. Rev. B 83 (2011) 174514.

[19] N.R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, P.C. Hohenberg, Temperature and purity dependence of the superconducting critical field, H_{c2} . III. Electron spin and spin-orbit effects, Phys. Rev. 147 (1966) 295-302.

[20] F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, A. Gurevich, D.C. Larbalestier, R. Jin, A.S. Sefat, M.A. McGuire, B.C. Sales, D.K. Christen, D. Mandrus, Two-band superconductivity in LaFeAsO_{0.89}F_{0.11} at very high fields, Nature 453 (2008) 903-905.

[21] H.-S. Lee, M. Bartowiak, J.-H. Park, J.-Y. Lee, J.-Y. Kim, N.-H. Sung, B.K. Cho, C.-U. Jung, J.S. Kim, H.-J. Lee, Effect of two gaps and paramagnetic pairbreaking on the upper critical field of SmFeAsO_{0.85} and SmFeAsO_{0.8}F_{0.2} single crystals, Phys. Rev. B 80 (2009) 144512.

[22] S. Khim, B. Lee, J.W. Kim, E.S. Choi, G.R. Stewart, K.H. Kim, Pauli-limiting effects in the upper critical fields of a clean LiFeAs single crystal, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 104502.

[23] A.M. Clogston, Upper Limit for the critical field in hard superconductors, Phys. Rev.Lett. 9 (1962) 266-267; B. S. Chandrasekar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 1 (1962) 7.

[24] K. Maki, Effect of Pauli paramagnetism on magnetic properties of high-field superconductors, Phys. Rev. 148 (1966) 362-369.

[25] T. Kida, M. Kotani, M. Ishikado, H. Eisaki, M. Hagiwara, Transport properties of the iron-oxypnictide superconductors PrFeAsO_{1-y} in high magnetic fields, J Phys.: Conf. Ser. 400 (2012) 022053.

[26] J. Jaroszynski, F. Hunte, L. Balicas, Y.-Y. Jo, I. Raičević, A. Gurevich, D.C. Larbalestier, F.F. Balakirev, L. Fang, P. Cheng, Y. Jia, H.H. Wen, Upper critical fields and thermally-activated transport of NdFeAsO_{0.7}Fe_{0.3} single crystals, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 174523.

[27] G. Prando, P. Carretta, R. De Renzi, S. Sanna, H.-J. Grafe, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, Ac susceptibility investigation of vortex dynamics in nearly optimally doped RFeAsO_{1-x}F_x superconductors (R = La, Ce, Sm), Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012) 144522.

[28] C.S. Yadav, P.L. Paulose, Upper critical field, lower critical field and critical current density of FeTe_{0.60}Se_{0.40} single crystals, New J. Phys. 11 (2009) 103046.

[29] W. Chen, J.P. Franck, J. Jung, Various regimes of flux motion in $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ single crystals, Physica C 341-348 (2000) 1195-1196.

[30] N.P. Liyanawaduge, S.K. Singh, A. Kumar, R. Jha, B.S.B. Karunarathne, V.P.S. Awana, Magnetization and magneto-resistance in $Y(Ba_{1-x}Sr_x)_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ (x = 0.00–0.50) superconductors, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 25 (2012) 035017.

[31] R.C. Budhani, M. Suenaga, S.H. Liou, Giant suppression of flux-flow resistivity in heavy-ion irradiated Tl₂Ba₂Ca₂Cu₃O₁₀ films: Influence of linear defects on vortex transport, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 3816-3819.

[32] J. Jaroszynski, F. Hunte, L. Balicas, Y.-J. Jo, I. Raičević, A. Gurevich, D.C. Larbalestier, F.F. Balakirev, L. Fang, P. Cheng, Y. Jia, H.H. Wen, Upper critical fields and thermally-activated transport of NdFeAsO_{0.7}F_{0.3} single crystal, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 174523.

[33] G. Blatter, M. V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, V. M. Vinokur, Vortices in high-temperature superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66 (1994) 1125-1388.

[34] E.H. Brandt, The flux-line lattice in superconductors, Rep. Prog. Phys. 58 (1995) 1465-1594.

[35] S. Sharma, J. Prakash, G.S. Thakur, A.T. Satya, A. Bharathi, A.K. Ganguli, C.S. Sundar, Superconducting and critical properties of PrOFe_{0.9}Co_{0.1}As: effect of P doping, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 24 (2011) 065020.

[36] P.M. Aswathy, J.B. Anooja, P.M. Sarun, U. Syamaprasad, An overview on iron based superconductors, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 23 (2010) 073001.

[37] G. Yildirim, M. Dogruer, O. Ozturk, A. Varilci, C. Terzioglu, Y. Zalaoglu, Effect of Ce addition on the magnetoresistivity, irreversibility field, upper critical field and activation energies of Bi-2212 superconducting ceramics, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. 25 (2012) 893-903.

[38] Y.Z. Zhang, Z.A. Ren, Z.X. Zhao, Thermally activated energy and critical magnetic fields of SmFeAsO_{0.9}F_{0.1}, Supercond. Sci. Tech. 22 (2009) 065012.

[39] H.-S. Lee, M. Bartkowiak, J.S. Kim, H.-J. Lee, Magnetic-field-induced crossover of vortex-line coupling in SmFeAsO_{0.85} single crystal, Phys. Rev. B 82 (2010) 104523.

[40] C. Senatore, R. Flükiger, M. Cantoni, G. Wu, R.H. Liu, X.H. Chen, Upper critical fields well above 100 T for the superconductor SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15} with $T_c = 46$ K, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 054514.

[41] C.P. Bean, Magnetization of high-field superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36 (1964)31-39.

[42] P. Sunwong, J.S. Higgins, Y. Tsui, M.J. Raine, D.P. Hampshire, The critical current density of grain boundary channels in polycrystalline HTS and LTS superconductors in magnetic fields, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 26 (2013) 095006.

[43] M. Dhallé, M. Cuthbert, M.D. Johnston, J. Everett, R. Flükiger, S.X. Dou, W. Goldacker, T. Bealesy, A.D. Caplin, Experimental assessment of the current-limiting mechanisms in BSCCO/Ag high-temperature superconducting tapes, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 10 (1997) 21-31.

[44] L.N. Bulaevskii, L.L. Daemen, M.P. Maley, J.Y. Coulter, Limits to the critical current in high- T_c superconducting tapes, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 13798-13816.

[45] M. Daeumling, J.M. Seuntjens, D.C. Larbalestier, Oxygen-defect flux pinning, anomalous magnetization and intra-grain granularity in YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-δ}, Nature 346 (1990) 332-335.

[46] Y. Ding, Y. Sun, J.C. Zhuang, L.J. Cui, Z.X. Shi, M.D. Sumption, M. Majoros, M.A. Susner, C.J. Kovacs, G.Z. Li, E.W. Collings, and Z.A. Ren, Density effect on critical current density and flux pinning properties of polycrystalline $SmFeAsO_{1-x}F_x$ superconductor, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 24 (2011) 125012.

[47] A.K. Pramanik, L. Harnagea, C. Nache, A.U.B. Wolter, S. Wurmehl, V. Kataev, B.Büchner, Fishtail effect and vortex dynamics in LiFeAs single crystals, Phys. Rev. B 83(2011) 094502.

[48] S. Sharma, K. Vinod, C.S. Sundar, A. Bharathi, Critical current density and magnetic phase diagrams of BaFe_{1.29}Ru_{0.71}As₂ single crystals, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 26 (2013) 015009.

[49] S. Salem-Sugui Jr., L. Ghivelder, A.D. Alvarenga, L.F. Cohen, K.A. Yates, K. Morrison, J.L. Pimentel Jr., H. Luo, Z. Wang, H.H. Wu, Flux dynamics associated with the second magnetization peak in the iron pnictide $Ba_{1-x}K_xFe_2As_2$, Phys. Rev. B 82 (2010) 054513.

[50] J.L. Tallon, G.V.M. Williams, J.W. Loram, Factors affecting the optimal design of high- T_c superconductors - the pseudogap and critical doping, Physica C 338 (2000) 9-17.

[51] T. Sato, S. Souma, K. Nakayama, K. Terashima, K. Sugawara, T. Takahashi, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, Hideo Hosono, Superconducting gap and pseudogap in iron-based layered superconductor $La(O_{1-x}F_x)FeAs$, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 77 (2008) 063708.

[52] Y. Nakai, K. Ishida, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. Hosona, Evolution from itinerant to unconventional superconductor with fluorine doping in LaFeAs($O_{1-x}F_x$) revealed by ⁷⁵As and ¹³⁹La nuclear magnetic resonance, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 77 (2008) 073701.

[53] H.-J. Grafe, D. Paar, G. Lang, N.J. Curro, G. Behr, J. Werner, J. Hamann-Borrero,
C. Hess, N. Leps, R. Klingeler, B. Büchner, ⁷⁵As NMR studies of superconducting LaFeAsO_{0.9}F_{0.1}, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 047003.

[54] H.-Y. Liu, X.-W. Jia, W.-T. Zhang, L. Zhao, J.-Q. Meng, G.-D. Liu, X.-L. Dong, G.
Wu, R.-H. Liu, X.-H. Chen, Z.-A. Ren, W. Yi, G.-C. Che, G.-F. Chen, N.-L. Wang, G.L. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X.-Y. Wang, Z.-X. Zhao, Z.-Y. Xu, C.-T. Chen, X.-J. Zhou,
Pseudogap and superconducting gap in SmFeAs(O_{1-x}F_x) superconductor from photoemission spectroscopy, Chinese Phys. Lett. 25 (2008) 3761-3764.

[55] J.L. Luo, J.W. Loram, J.R. Cooper, J.L. Tallon, Doping dependence of condensation energy and upper critical field in Y_{0.8}Ca_{0.2}Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-δ} from specific heat measurement, Physica C 341-348 (2000) 1837-1840. [56] M. Tinkam, Resistive transition of high-temperature superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61(1988) 1658-1661.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. (color online) Temperature-dependent resistivity of CeFeAsO_{1-x} F_x at different applied magnetic fields. The broadening of the transition with increasing applied field indicates thermally assisted flux flow behaviour.

Fig. 2. (color online) (a) Plot of the upper critical fields, B_{c2} , against the temperature. (b) Plot of B_{c2} against the reduced temperature, T/T_c . The linear lines in (b) are intended to guide the eye.

Fig. 3. (color online) (a) Plot of $-dB_{c2}/dT$ against the nominal fluoride concentration, *x*. (b) Plot of the orbital limiting field, $B_{c2}^{orb}(0)$ (filled squares, left axis), and the Pauli limiting field, $B_{P}(0)$ (filled circles, right axis), against *x*. The inset shows a plot of the Maki parameter, α , against *x*.

Fig. 4. (color online) Thermally activated flux flow activation energy, U_0 , versus the applied magnetic field as a function of doping derived from the thermally activated flux flow analysis obtained using the data in Fig. 1. The solid lines are fits to $U_0(B) \propto B^{-\gamma}$. The inset shows the fitted exponent, γ , against the nominal fluoride concentration, x.

Fig. 5. (color online) Plot of the thermally activated flux flow activation energy, U_0 , at 1 T (filled circles, left axis), J_c at 5 K and 1 T (filled squares, right axis), and J_c at 10 K and 1 T (open squares, right axis) against the nominal fluoride concentration, *x*.

Fig. 6. (color online) Critical current density J_c versus *B* at 5 K for CeFeAsO_{1-x}F_x with x = 0.13 to 0.25. The solid lines are fits to $J_c(B) \propto B^{-\nu}$. Also shown is B^* for x = 0.15 and it shows where the power-law region finishes. The inset shows the doping dependent behaviour of the critical field B^* and the power-law exponent, ν , against the nominal fluoride concentration, x.

Fig. 7. (color online) Plot of $U_0(1T)$ against the self-field J_c at 5 K. The line is a linear fit to the data.





Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4







Figure 6



Figure 7

