

# Monogamous nature of symmetric $N$ -qubit states of the W-class: Concurrence and Negativity Tangle

P. J. Geetha,<sup>1</sup> K.O. Yashodamma,<sup>1</sup> and Sudha<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga-577 451, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Inspire Institute Inc., Alexandria, Virginia, 22303, USA.*

(Dated: January 26, 2022)

Using Majorana representation of symmetric  $N$ -qubit pure states, we have examined the monogamous nature of the family of states with two-distinct spinors, the W-class of states. We have evaluated the  $N$ -concurrence tangle and showed that all the states in this family have vanishing concurrence tangle. The negativity tangle for the W-class of states is shown to be non-zero illustrating the fact that concurrence tangle underestimates the residual entanglement in a pure  $N$ -qubit state.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Bg

## I. INTRODUCTION

Monogamy of quantum correlations/entanglement, the quantum mechanical feature indicating the restricted shareability of quantum correlations/entanglement among several parties of a composite system, has evoked a lot of interest in the recent years[1 – 28]. The pioneering work of Coffman, Kundu and Wootters [1] has led to a plethora of activity including issues such as monogamy of quantum versus classical correlations [5, 19], monogamy using generalized entropies[12, 15, 16] and monogamy of quantum correlations other than entanglement[18, 21–28]. Quantifying multi-party entanglement is another important issue and measures such as three-tangle (or concurrence-tangle)[1] and negativity tangle[10], based on monogamy inequality, have been proposed for quantifying *residual* or *three-party* entanglement in 3-qubit pure states. In fact, *residual entanglement in a 3-qubit state is defined as the entanglement between the qubits that is not accounted for by the two-qubit entanglement in the state*[1]. The concept of residual entanglement can be generalized to  $N$ -party states thus helping in the quantification of  $N$ -party entanglement not accounted for by the bipartite entanglement in its subsystems. The nature of monogamy inequality satisfied by  $N$ -party states allows one to quantify the  $N$ -party residual entanglement in addition to shedding light on the extent of limited shareability of entanglement in the state. In view of the fact [1, 10] that different measures of entanglement give rise to different quantifications of the residual entanglement in 3-qubit pure states, it is natural to expect that similar situation will be realized for  $N$ -party states also. While it has been shown that generalized (non-symmetric) W states have vanishing concurrence-tangle[1] indicating only two-way entanglement in them, they are shown to have non-zero negativity tangle[10]. It was thereby concluded that the concurrence-tangle underestimates the residual entanglement in 3-qubit pure states[10].

In this work, we examine the nature of monogamy inequality satisfied by  $N$ -qubit pure symmetric states belonging to the W-class. Here the set of all  $N$ -qubit symmetric states (invariant under the interchange of qubits) with only two distinct qubits (spinors) characterizing them is defined as the W-class of states, owing to the fact that W states form an integral part of it. We show that the monogamy inequality with square of concurrence[32] as the measure of entanglement holds good with equality for all states of this family (quite similar to the behaviour of W states). With squared negativity-of-partial transpose[33] as measure of entanglement, we examine the monogamous nature of the W-class of states and show that negativity-tangle has non-zero value for all states in this family. We wish to mention here that the Majorana representation of symmetric  $N$ -qubit states[29–31] has enabled us to obtain a simplified form for the states with two-distinct spinors thus helping us to obtain the concurrence-tangle and negativity-tangle for the whole family of states.

The article is divided into four parts. Section 1 contains introductory remarks. In Section 2, we make use of the Majorana representation of  $N$  qubit pure symmetric states to obtain a simplified form of the states belonging to the W class. We analyze the monogamous nature of the W-class of states in Section 3 and evaluate their concurrence-tangle and negativity-tangle. Section 4 provides a concise summary of the results.

---

\*Electronic address: arss@rediffmail.com

## II. MAJORANA REPRESENTATION OF PURE SYMMETRIC $N$ -QUBIT STATES

In order to examine the nature of monogamy inequality satisfied by  $N$ -qubit pure symmetric states of the W-class, we make use of the very elegant Majorana representation [29] of pure symmetric states. While several advantages of using the Majorana representation has been reported in the literature[30, 31], we illustrate here its use in identifying the monogamous nature of symmetric states.

In the Majorana representation [29], a pure symmetric state of  $N$  qubits is represented as a *symmetrized* combination of  $N$  constituent spinors  $|\epsilon_l\rangle$  as

$$|\Psi_{\text{sym}}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_P \hat{P} \{|\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N\rangle\}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$|\epsilon_l\rangle = \cos(\beta_l/2) e^{-i\alpha_l/2} |0\rangle + \sin(\beta_l/2) e^{i\alpha_l/2} |1\rangle, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\hat{P}$  corresponds to the set of all  $N!$  permutations of the spinors (qubits) and  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to an overall normalization factor.

An  $N$  qubit pure symmetric state containing  $r(< N)$  distinct spinors  $|\epsilon_i\rangle$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ), each repeating  $n_i$  times, belongs to the class  $\mathcal{D}_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$  and each degeneracy configuration  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r\}$  (with the numbers  $n_i$  being arranged in the descending order) corresponds to a *distinct* SLOCC class[30, 31]. The number of SLOCC inequivalent classes possible for states with  $r$  distinct spinors is given by the partition function  $p(N, r)$  that gives the distinct possible ways in which the number  $N$  can be partitioned into  $r$  numbers  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = N$  [30, 31]. For instance, a 3-qubit state with only one distinct spinor belongs to the class  $\mathcal{D}_3$ , with two distinct spinors belongs to the class  $\{\mathcal{D}_{2,1}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{D}_{1,1,1}\}$  is the class of 3-qubit states with three distinct spinors. The classes  $\mathcal{D}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{1,1,1}$  are SLOCC inequivalent and a state belonging to one of these classes cannot be converted into the other (different from itself) by any local operations and classical communications[30, 31]. While the class  $\mathcal{D}_3$  contains only separable states,  $\{\mathcal{D}_{2,1}\}$  is the W-class of states and  $\{\mathcal{D}_{1,1,1}\}$  corresponds to the GHZ-class of states thus supporting the fact that three qubit pure states can be entangled in two inequivalent ways[34].

A pure symmetric state with 2 distinct spinors belonging to the SLOCC family  $\{\mathcal{D}_{N-k,k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, [N/2]\}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{N-k,k}\rangle &= \mathcal{N} \sum_P \hat{P} \{|\underbrace{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_1}_{N-k}; \underbrace{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_2}_k\rangle\} \\ &= \mathcal{N} R_1^{\otimes N} \sum_P \hat{P} \{|\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{N-k}; \underbrace{\epsilon'_2, \epsilon'_2, \dots, \epsilon'_2}_k\rangle\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\epsilon_1 = R_1|0\rangle$  and  $\epsilon_2 = R_2|0\rangle$ , and

$$|\epsilon'_2\rangle = R_1^{-1} R_2|0\rangle = d_0|0\rangle + d_1|1\rangle, \quad |d_0|^2 + |d_1|^2 = 1, \quad d_1 \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

Thus the symmetric state with two distinct spinors  $|\Psi_{N-k,k}\rangle$  is shown to be equivalent, up to local unitary transformations, to

$$|\Psi_{N-k,k}\rangle \equiv \sum_{r=0}^k \sqrt{{N \choose r}} \alpha_r \left| \frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} - r \right\rangle; \quad \alpha_r = \mathcal{N} \frac{(N-r)!}{(N-k)!(k-r)!} d_0^{k-r} d_1^r. \quad (5)$$

It can be seen that  $\alpha_r = \delta_{k,r}$  when  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_0 = 0$  and the state  $|\Psi_{N-k,k}\rangle$  reduces to the Dicke state  $|\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} - k\rangle$ . It is thus not difficult to see that the states in the family  $\mathcal{D}_{N-1,1}$  (with  $k = 1$ ) are SLOCC equivalent to the  $N$ -qubit W state  $|\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} - 1\rangle$ .

An arbitrary  $N$ -qubit pure symmetric state belonging to the W-class is given by

$$|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sqrt{{N \choose r}} \alpha_r \left| \frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} - r \right\rangle = \alpha_0 \left| \frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2} \right\rangle + \sqrt{N} \alpha_1 \left| \frac{N}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle. \quad (6)$$

which may be expressed in terms of standard qubit basis as,

$$|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle \equiv a|000 \dots 0\rangle + b \left( \frac{|100 \dots 0\rangle + |010 \dots 0\rangle + \dots + |00 \dots 01\rangle}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \quad (7)$$

with  $a = \alpha_0$ ,  $b = \sqrt{N}\alpha_1$  are complex numbers obeying  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ . On taking  $a = \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$ ,  $b = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi}$ , ( $0 < \theta < \pi$ ,  $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ ), without any loss of generality and subjecting the  $N$ -qubit state (7) to another local unitary transformation  $|0\rangle' = |0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle' = e^{-i\phi}|1\rangle$  on all the  $N$  qubits we obtain a further simplified form

$$|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle \equiv \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |000 \cdots 0\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{|100 \cdots 0\rangle + |010 \cdots 0\rangle + \cdots + |00 \cdots 01\rangle}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \quad (8)$$

with a single parameter  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq \pi$  describing the state.

### III. MONOGAMOUS NATURE OF PURE SYMMETRIC STATES OF THE W-CLASS: CONCURRENCE- AND NEGATIVITY- TANGLE

Having obtained the simplified form of the  $N$ -qubit pure symmetric states with two distinct spinors, we will use it to evaluate the concurrence- and negativity tangle of this family and thereby make a statement about their monogamous nature with respect to different entanglement measures. We carry out this task in the following.

#### A. Concurrence-tangle:

We start by recalling the monogamy inequality in terms of squared-concurrence in three-qubit systems introduced by Coffman, Kundu and Wootters (CKW) [1]. They[1] have shown that for any 3-qubit pure state  $\Psi_{ABC}$ ,

$$C_{AB}^2 + C_{AC}^2 \leq C_{A:BC}^2 \quad (9)$$

where  $C_{AB}(C_{AC})$  is the concurrence between  $A, B$  ( $C$ ), while  $C_{A:BC} = 2\sqrt{\det \rho_A}$  is the concurrence between system  $A$  and  $BC$ . The quantity  $C_{A:BC}^2 - (C_{AB}^2 + C_{AC}^2)$  is known as *three-tangle* or *concurrence-tangle* and is a measure of three-party entanglement[1]. It was also conjectured[1] that a monogamy relation of the form

$$C_{A_1 A_2}^2 + C_{A_1 A_3}^2 + C_{A_1 A_4}^2 + \cdots + C_{A_1 A_N}^2 \leq C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 A_4 \cdots A_N}^2 \quad (10)$$

holds good for all  $N$ -qubit pure states. We can term the quantity

$$C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 A_4 \cdots A_N}^2 - (C_{A_1 A_2}^2 + C_{A_1 A_3}^2 + C_{A_1 A_4}^2 + \cdots + C_{A_1 A_N}^2) \quad (11)$$

as *N-concurrence-tangle*. In fact, it was shown in Ref. [1] that generalized (non-symmetric) 3-qubit W states given by  $a|100\rangle + b|010\rangle + c|001\rangle$  have vanishing concurrence-tangle and indicated that their  $N$ -qubit counterparts will also exhibit the same feature. We wish to illustrate here that all pure symmetric  $N$ -qubit states with two-distinct spinors, the W-class of states, have vanishing N-concurrence-tangle. Towards this end we first wish to evaluate the form of the two-qubit and single-qubit reduced density matrices of the  $N$ -qubit state  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$ . Knowing the structure of single qubit density matrices is essential to obtain  $C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 A_4 \cdots A_N} = 2\sqrt{\det \rho_A}$  [35], the structure of two-qubit (mixed) density matrices is needed for the evaluation of  $C_{A_1 A_2}$ . We need to note here that  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  being a symmetric state, all its two-qubit and single-qubit subsystems are identical, irrespective of which two qubits or single qubit we choose to consider. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{A_1 A_2} &= \rho_{A_1 A_3} = \rho_{A_2 A_3} = \cdots = \rho_{A_{N-1} A_N} \\ \rho_{A_1} &= \rho_{A_2} = \rho_{A_3} = \cdots = \rho_{A_N} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The form of the single-qubit, two-qubit marginals of the state  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  for  $N = 3, 4, 5, 6$  allows us to generalize and obtain these marginals for any  $N$ . In Table 1, we have tabulated the structure of reduced density matrices  $\rho_{A_1 A_2}$ ,  $\rho_{A_1}$  of  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$ .

Using the form of two-qubit and single-qubit density matrices given in Table 1, we can readily obtain the structure of the two-qubit and single-qubit density matrices of the  $N$ -qubit state  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  for any  $N$ . We have

$$\rho_{A_1 A_2} = \frac{1}{2N} \begin{pmatrix} 2(N-1+\cos\theta) & \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 1-\cos\theta & 1-\cos\theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 1-\cos\theta & 1-\cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

TABLE I: The single qubit and two-qubit marginals of  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  for  $N = 3$  to 6

$N$	$\rho_{A_1 A_2}$	$\rho_{A_1}$
<b>3</b>	$\frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2(2 + \cos \theta) & \sqrt{3} \sin \theta & \sqrt{3} \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 5 + \cos \theta & \sqrt{3} \sin \theta \\ \sqrt{3} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$
<b>4</b>	$\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 2(3 + \cos \theta) & 2 \sin \theta & 2 \sin \theta & 0 \\ 2 \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ 2 \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 7 + \cos \theta & 2 \sin \theta \\ 2 \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$
<b>5</b>	$\frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 2(4 + \cos \theta) & \sqrt{5} \sin \theta & \sqrt{5} \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{5} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{5} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 9 + \cos \theta & \sqrt{5} \sin \theta \\ \sqrt{5} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$
<b>6</b>	$\frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 2(5 + \cos \theta) & \sqrt{6} \sin \theta & \sqrt{6} \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{6} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ \sqrt{6} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 1 - \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 11 + \cos \theta & \sqrt{6} \sin \theta \\ \sqrt{6} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$\rho_{A_1} = \frac{1}{2N} \begin{pmatrix} 2N - 1 + \cos \theta & \sqrt{N} \sin \theta \\ \sqrt{N} \sin \theta & 1 - \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

The concurrence[32] of the two-qubit state  $\rho_{A_1 A_2}$  is given by  $C_{A_1 A_2} = \max(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4})$  where  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\rho_{A_1 A_2} \rho'_{A_1 A_2}$ ,  $\rho'_{A_1 A_2} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{A_1 A_2}^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$  being the spin-flipped density matrix. It can be seen that there is only one non-zero eigenvalue  $\lambda = \frac{1}{N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2$  for  $\rho_{A_1 A_2} \rho'_{A_1 A_2}$  and we therefore have [36]

$$C_{A_1 A_2} = C_{A_1 A_3} = \dots = C_{A_1 A_N} = \sqrt{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N}(1 - \cos \theta). \quad (15)$$

Similarly, we obtain  $\det \rho_A = \frac{N-1}{4N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2$  and hence

$$C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N}^2 = 4 \det(\rho_A) = \frac{N-1}{N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2. \quad (16)$$

As there are  $N - 1$  identical two-qubit subsystem density matrices  $\rho_{A_1 A_i}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, N$ , with the first qubit being common to all of them, we have

$$C_{A_1 A_2}^2 + C_{A_1 A_3}^2 + C_{A_1 A_4}^2 + \dots + C_{A_1 A_N}^2 = (N - 1)C_{A_1 A_2}^2 = \frac{N-1}{N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2 \quad (17)$$

Now, we can readily see that (See Eq.(16))

$$C_{A_1 A_2}^2 + C_{A_1 A_3}^2 + C_{A_1 A_4}^2 + \dots + C_{A_1 A_N}^2 = \frac{N-1}{N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2 = C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 A_4 \dots A_N}^2 \quad (18)$$

establishing the relation

$$C_{A_1 A_2}^2 + C_{A_1 A_3}^2 + C_{A_1 A_4}^2 + \cdots + C_{A_1 A_N}^2 = C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N}^2 \quad (19)$$

for the  $N$ -qubit pure states of the W-class. Thus in addition to verifying the monogamy inequality, we have shown that *equality* holds good for all  $N$ -qubit states belonging to the W-class. In other words, we have shown that the N-concurrence tangle  $C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N}^2 - (N-1)C_{A_1 A_2}^2$  vanishes for the family of states  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$ .

### B. Negativity tangle:

We begin here by recalling that a monogamy inequality for 3-qubit pure states in terms of negativity-of-partial transpose has been proposed in Ref. [10]. While the vanishing concurrence-tangle for W-states indicated only two-way entanglement, the analogous quantity defined by [10]  $\Pi_A = N_{A:BC}^2 - N_{AB}^2 - N_{AC}^2$  showed a non-zero three-way entanglement in W states. While the concurrence-tangle is independent of the focus qubit, the negativity tangle depends on which qubit is considered as the focus qubit. Thus the negativity tangle for 3-qubit pure states is defined as  $\Pi = \frac{1}{3}(\Pi_A + \Pi_B + \Pi_C)$  with  $\Pi_A, \Pi_B, \Pi_C$  being the negativity tangles with the focus qubits being  $A, B, C$  respectively.

Quite similarly to the case of 3-qubit pure states, the negativity tangle for  $N$ -qubit pure states is defined as [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{1}{N}(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \dots + \Pi_N) \quad \text{where} \\ \Pi_1 &= N_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N}^2 - (N_{A_1 A_2}^2 + N_{A_1 A_3}^2 + \dots + N_{A_1 A_N}^2) \\ \Pi_2 &= N_{A_2:A_1 A_3 \dots A_N}^2 - (N_{A_2 A_1}^2 + N_{A_2 A_3}^2 + \dots + N_{A_2 A_N}^2) \\ &\vdots \\ \Pi_N &= N_{A_N:A_1 A_2 \dots A_{N-1}}^2 - (N_{A_N A_1}^2 + N_{A_N A_2}^2 + \dots + N_{A_N A_{N-1}}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

While Ref. [1] indicated vanishing concurrence tangle for  $N$ -qubit generalized (non-symmetric) W states, Ref. [10] illustrated that they have a residual  $N$ -party entanglement quantified by  $\Pi$ , the negativity tangle. Here, we show that the whole family of pure  $N$ -qubit symmetric states belonging to two-distinct spinors (the W-class of states) have non-zero residual entanglement when quantified through negativity tangle. We illustrate this aspect in the following.

Having obtained the two-qubit reduced density matrices of the symmetric state  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  (See Eq. (13)), we can readily evaluate their negativity of partial transpose [33]. The partially transposed density matrix of the two-qubit reduced density matrix  $\rho_{A_1 A_2}$  obtained in Eq. (13) is evaluated to be

$$\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T = \frac{1}{2N} \begin{pmatrix} 2(N-1+\cos\theta) & \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 1-\cos\theta \\ \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 1-\cos\theta & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{N}\sin\theta & 0 & 1-\cos\theta & 0 \\ 1-\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

The negativity of partial transpose is given by  $(\|\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T\| - 1)/2$  where  $\|\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T\|$  is the tracenorm of the partially transposed density matrix  $\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T$  and it is the sum of the square-root of eigenvalues of the positive-definite matrix  $(\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T)^\dagger \rho_{A_1 A_2}^T$ . As the negativity for a two-qubit system varies from 0 to 0.5, we choose to take  $N_{A_1 A_2}$  to be

$$N_{A_1 A_2} = \|\rho_{A_1 A_2}^T\| - 1 \quad (22)$$

so that it varies from 0 to 1, quite similar to the variation of concurrence. In fact, this is the convention adopted for negativity in Ref. [10] while obtaining the negativity tangle for three-qubit pure states.

As the negativity of a permutation invariant state is identical for any pair of qubits, we denote  $N_{A_1 A_2} = N_{A_1 A_k}$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$ . Fig.1 shows the plot of negativity  $N_{A_1 A_k}$  with respect to  $\theta$  for the W-class of states  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$ .

It can be seen that with the increase in the number of qubits, the pairwise entanglement quantified by negativity of partial transpose  $N_{A_1 A_k}$  decreases quite considerably.

In Ref. [10] it was shown that the negativity between the focus qubit and the remaining two qubits of a pure 3-qubit state matches with their concurrence. The same argument can be extended to  $N$ -qubit pure states yielding [37]

$$N_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N} = C_{A_1:A_2 A_3 \dots A_N} = 2\sqrt{\det \rho_{A_1}}. \quad (23)$$




FIG. 1: The plot of  $N_{A_1 A_k}$ , versus  $\theta$  in the interval 0 to  $2\pi$  for arbitrary  $N$  qubit state belonging to the W-class.




FIG. 2: The plot of  $N_{A_1:A_2 A_3...A_N}$ , versus  $\theta$  in the interval 0 to  $2\pi$  for arbitrary  $N$  qubit state belonging to the W-class.

The variation of  $N_{A_1:A_2 A_3...A_N}$  with  $\theta$ , for different values of  $N$ , is as shown in Fig. 2. With  $\det \rho_{A_1}$  being  $\frac{N-1}{4N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2$ , we have the negativity tangle  $\Pi_1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= N_{A_1:A_2 A_3...A_N}^2 - (N_{A_1 A_2}^2 + N_{A_1 A_3}^2 + \dots + N_{A_1 A_N}^2) \\ &= \frac{N-1}{N^2}(1 - \cos \theta)^2 - (N-1)N_{A_1 A_2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

But as we are considering symmetric states that are invariant under permutation of qubits,  $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 = \dots = \Pi_N$  and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_w &= \frac{\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \dots + \Pi_N}{N} = \Pi_1 \\ &= 4 \det \rho_{A_1} - (N-1)N_{A_1 A_k}^2 = (N-1) \left( \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)^2}{N^2} - N_{A_1 A_k}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

is the negativity tangle of the state  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$  belonging to the W-class. We plot a graph of negativity tangle  $\Pi_w$  as a function of  $\theta$  in Fig 3.




FIG. 3: The plot of negativity tangle  $\Pi_w$  versus  $\theta$  for the  $N$ -qubit symmetric state belonging to the W-class.

In particular, for  $N$ -qubit W-states, the negativity-tangle is given by

$$\Pi_w = \frac{N-1}{N^2} \left( 4 - \left[ \sqrt{(N-2)^2 + 4} - (N-2) \right]^2 \right) \quad (26)$$

Fig. 4 shows the variation of negativity tangle with the number of qubits  $N$  for  $N$ -qubit W states (corresponding to  $\theta = \pi$  in  $|\Psi_{N-1,1}\rangle$ .)




FIG. 4: The negativity-tangle of  $N$ -qubit W states as a function of number of qubits  $N$ . It can be seen that  $\Pi_w$  is maximum when  $N = 4$  and decreases with the number of qubits.

We have thus accomplished the task of evaluating the negativity-tangle for  $N$ -qubit pure states belonging to the W-class and illustrated the fact that the concurrence-tangle underestimates the residual entanglement in  $N$ -qubit states also. In addition, we have shown that the negativity-tangle which quantifies the residual entanglement in the  $N$ -qubit states decreases with increase in  $N$  for  $N \geq 4$ . In fact, as can be seen from Figs. 3 and 4, the three-qubit states belonging to the W-class have lesser residual entanglement than their four-qubit counterparts and for  $N \geq 4$ , the negativity-tangle goes on decreasing monotonically. Also, one can observe that though the bipartite entanglement  $N_{A_1 A_k}$  (See Fig. 1) decreases quite drastically with increase in the number of qubits, the decrease in the residual entanglement  $\Pi_w$  with  $N$  is relatively smaller (See Fig. 3). This is due to the slower decrease of the  $1 : N - 1$  entanglement, quantified through  $N_{A_1 : A_2 A_3 \dots A_N}$ , with the increase of qubits (as compared to the fast decrease of  $N_{A_1 A_i}$  with  $N$ ) (See Figs 1 and 2).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have analyzed the monogamous nature of  $N$ -qubit pure states belonging to the W-class using squared concurrence and squared negativity as measures of bipartite entanglement. Using the simplified form of the states belonging to the W-class, obtained using the Majorana representation of  $N$ -qubit symmetric pure states, we have evaluated the  $N$ -concurrence-tangle and negativity-tangle of this family of states. Quite similar to the  $N$ -qubit W-states, we have shown that all states in the W-class of states have vanishing concurrence-tangle. By showing that W-class of states have non-zero negativity-tangle, we have proved the fact that concurrence-tangle underestimates the residual entanglement even in  $N$ -qubit states with  $N \geq 3$ . It would be of interest to examine the nature of monogamy inequality in  $N$ -qubit symmetric states belonging to different SLOCC inequivalent families and compare their residual entanglement.

#### Acknowledgments

P.J. Geetha acknowledges the support of Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India through the award of INSPIRE fellowship.

- 
- [1] V. Coffman, J. Kundu and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. A*, **61**, (2000) 052306
  - [2] M. Koashi, V. Buzek and N. Imoto, *Phys. Rev. A*, **62**, (2000) 050302(R)
  - [3] K.A.Dennison and W.K.Wootters, *Phys. Rev. A*, **65**, (2001), 010301(R)
  - [4] B. M. Terhal, quant-ph/arxiv 0307120 (2003)
  - [5] M. Koashi and A. Winter, *Phys. Rev. A*, **69**, (2004) 022309
  - [6] G. Gour, A.Meyer and B.C.Sanders, *Phys. Rev. A*, **72**, (2005) 042329
  - [7] T. J. Osborne and F. Verstraete, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, (2006) 220503
  - [8] Dong Yang, *Phys. Lett. A*, **360**, (2006) 249

- [9] Y.C. Ou, *Phys. Rev. A*, **75**, (2007) 034305
- [10] Yong-Cheng Ou and Heng Fan, *Phys. Rev. A*, **75**, (2007) 062308
- [11] Chang-shui Yu and He-shan Song, *Phys. Rev. A*, **77** (2008) 032329;
- [12] J. S Kim, A. Das and B. C Sanders, *Phys. Rev. A*, **79**, (2009) 012329
- [13] S. Lee and J. Park *Phys. Rev. A*, **79**, (2009) 054309
- [14] Thiago R. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. A*, **80**, (2009) 022331
- [15] Marcio F. Cornelio and Marcos C. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. A*, **81**, (2010) 032332
- [16] J. S Kim and B. C. Sanders, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, (2011) 295303
- [17] Ming-Jing Zhao, Shao-Ming Feil and Zhi-Xi Wang, *Int. J. Quant. Inform.* **8**, (2010) 905 (quant-ph/arxiv: 1012.3823)
- [18] M. P. Seevinck, *Quantum Inf Process*, **9**, (2010) 273
- [19] G. L. Giorgi *Phys. Rev. A*, **84**, (2011) 054301
- [20] F. F. Fanchini, M. C. de Oliveira, L. K. Castelano, M. F. Cornelio, *Phys. Rev. A*, **87**, (2013) 032317
- [21] R. Prabhu, A. K. Pati, Aditi Sen(De) and Ujjwal Sen, *Phys. Rev. A*, **85**, (2012) 040102(R)
- [22] Sudha, A. R. Usha Devi and A. K. Rajagopal, *Phys. Rev. A*, **85**, (2012) 012103
- [23] Xi-Jun Ren, Heng Fan, *Quant. Inf. Comp.*, **13**, (2013) 0469
- [24] Si-Yuan Liu, Bo Li, Wen-Li Yang and Heng Fan, *Phys. Rev. A*, **87**, (2013) 062120
- [25] A. Streltsov, G. Adesso, M. Piani, D. Bruss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, (2012) 050503.
- [26] Yan-Kui Bai, Na Zhang, Ming-Yong Ye, Z. D. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A*, **88**, (2013) 012123
- [27] K. Salini, R. Prabhu, Aditi Sen(De) and Ujjwal Sen, *Ann. Phys.*, **348**, (2014) 297
- [28] P. J. Geetha, Sudha and A. R. Usha Devi, *J. Mod. Phys.*, **5**, (2014) 1294
- [29] E. Majorana, *Nuovo Cimento* **9**, (1932) 43
- [30] T. Bastin, *et al Phys. Rev. Lett* **103**, (2009) 070503
- [31] A. R. Usha Devi, Sudha and A. K. Rajagopal, *Quantum Inf Process*, (2012) 685
- [32] S. Hill, W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78**, (1997) 5022; W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **80**, (1998) 2245.
- [33] A. Peres *Phys Rev Lett*, **77**, (1996) 1413; M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, *Phys Lett. A* **223** (1996) 1; G. Vidal and R.F. Werner, *Phys Rev. A*, **65**, (2002) 032314
- [34] W. Dür, G. Vidal and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. A*, **62**, (2000) 062314
- [35] Though the concurrence is defined only for two-qubit systems, the  $N$  qubit state being pure the  $N - 1$ -qubits essentially belong to a two-dimensional space and hence one can define the concurrence between a single qubit and the remaining  $N - 1$  qubits[1]. Also, the effective concurrence is the concurrence between two-qubits in a pure state leading to  $C_{A_1:A_2A_3A_4\ldots A_N} = 2\sqrt{\det \rho_A}$ .
- [36] Notice here that the concurrence between any two qubits becomes maximum and is equal to  $2/N$  when  $\theta = \pi$  for the W-states. In fact this is the maximum bipartite entanglement in an  $N$ -qubit state, achievable for W-states, as is shown in Ref. [2].
- [37] The authenticity of the relation  $N_{A_1:A_2A_3\ldots A_N} = C_{A_1:A_2A_3\ldots A_N}$  is explicitly verified for  $N = 3, 4, 5, 6$  and generalized thereby to any  $N$ .