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Abstract. In this paper we consider a refinement, due to Nathanson, of the Calkin-Wilf tree. In particular, we study the properties of such trees associated with the matrices \(L_u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(R_v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\), where \(u\) and \(v\) are nonnegative integers. We extend several known results of the original Calkin-Wilf tree, including the symmetry, numerator-denominator, and successor formulas, to this new setting. Additionally, we study the ancestry of a rational number appearing in a generalized Calkin-Wilf tree.

1. Introduction

The Calkin-Wilf tree \([4]\) is an infinite binary tree generated by two rules. The number 1, represented as \(1/1\), is the root of the tree and each vertex \(a/b\) has two children: the left one is \(a/(a + b)\) and the right one is \((a + b)/b\) (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image-url)

By following the breadth-first order, this tree provides an enumeration of positive rational numbers:

\[
1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \ldots
\]

In fact, Calkin and Wilf \([4]\) showed that every reduced positive rational number appears in this list exactly once.

In addition to enumerating the positive rationals, the Calkin-Wilf tree has many interesting properties and generalizations that have been explored by various researchers (for example, \([3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15]\)).
particular, as in [12], we highlight the following four properties. We denote by $c(n, i)$ the vertex in the $i$th position (from left to right) of the $n$th row.

**Property 1** (Successor formula, Newman [14]). For every nonnegative integer $n$ and $i = 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1$, we have

$$c(n, i + 1) = \frac{1}{2\lceil c(n, i) \rceil + 1 - c(n, i)}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the integer part of $x$.

**Property 2** (Denominator-numerator formula, Calkin and Wilf [4]). For every nonnegative integer $n$ and $i = 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1$, the denominator of $c(n, i)$ is equal to the numerator of $c(n, i + 1)$.

**Property 3** (Symmetry formula, [12]). For every nonnegative integer $n$ and $i = 1, \ldots, 2^n$, we have $c(n, i) \cdot c(n, 2^n - i + 1) = 1$.

**Property 4** (Depth formula, [7]). Let $a/b$ be a positive reduced rational number. Let $n$ and $i$ be the unique pair such that $c(n, i) = a/b$. If

$$\frac{a}{b} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \ddots + \frac{1}{a_{k-1} + \frac{1}{a_k}}} = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k]$$

is the finite continued fraction representation of $a/b$, then

$$n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1} + a_k - 1.$$ 

In other words, the sum of the coefficients of the continued fraction representation encodes the row number where $a/b$ appears, i.e. the depth, in the Calkin-Wilf tree.

Let $z$ be a variable. In [12], Nathanson considers the infinite binary tree $\mathcal{T}(z)$, whose root is $z$, where each vertex $w$ has two children: the left child is $w/(w + 1)$, and the right child is $w + 1$ (see Figure 2).

The original Calkin-Wilf tree is clearly the special case of $z = 1$. For general $z$, Properties 1-4 of the Calkin-Wilf tree extend to $\mathcal{T}(z)$.

We can associate each vertex in $\mathcal{T}(z)$ with a column vector as in Figure 3. Letting $L_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $R_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we see that subsequent vertices in $\mathcal{T}(z)$ can be obtained by matrix multiplication. A vertex $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ has left

---

1Our convention is that the row containing the root is the zero row. So, for example, $c(2, 3) = 2/3$.

2For a rational number not equal to 1, we always take the shorter continued fraction representation where $a_k \neq 1$.

3Of independent interest, the generalization of Property 4 requires an appropriate definition of a continued fraction representation for linear fractional transformations.
Figure 2. The first four rows of $\mathcal{T}(z)$.

Figure 3. Association between rational numbers and vectors.

child

(2) $L_1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a + b \end{bmatrix}$

and right child

(3) $R_1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + b \\ b \end{bmatrix}$.

In particular, every vertex in $\mathcal{T}(z)$ is obtained by multiplying a matrix generated freely by the set $\{L_1, R_1\}$ with the vector associated with $z$. In this way, we can label the vertices of $\mathcal{T}(z)$ with matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{N}_0) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } ad - bc = 1 \right\}$ acting on $z$ (see Figure 4). For ease of notation, we denote the left child and the right child of $w$ by $L_1(w)$ and $R_1(w)$, respectively.

Figure 4. The first three rows of $\mathcal{T}(z)$ in terms of $L_1$ and $R_1$. 
With this perspective in mind, it is natural to consider an analogous infinite binary tree generated by other pairs of matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Let $u$ and $v$ be integers such that $u, v \geq 2$,

$$L_u := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R_v := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Nathanson [11, 12] proposed to investigate the infinite binary tree associated to $\{L_u, R_v\}$ obtained by replacing $L_1$ in (2) and $R_1$ in (3) by $L_u$ and $R_v$, respectively (see Figure 5 for the generation rule).
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Figure 5. The children of $w$ in $T^{(u,v)}(z)$.

We refer to this generalization as a \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree and denote it by $T^{(u,v)}(z)$, where $z$ is the root (see Figure 6). Note that by setting $u = v = 1$ and $z = 1$, we obtain the original Calkin-Wilf tree, $T(1)$. From now on, we assume that $u$ and $v$ are integers such that $u, v \geq 1$, and so $T(1,1)(1)$ is $T(1)$.
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Figure 6. The first three rows of $T^{(u,v)}(z)$.

As an example, consider the tree $T^{(2,3)}(5/2)$ (see Figure 7). One can immediately notice that the denominator-numerator and the symmetry formulas (Properties 2 and 3) do not hold in $T^{(2,3)}(5/2)$. Furthermore, many rational numbers appearing in $T(1)$ seem to be missing in $T^{(2,3)}(5/2)$. In fact, it is not too difficult to show that 1 does not appear in any tree $T^{(u,v)}(z)$ unless $z = 1$. In the next section we will address this issue, and define the \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf forest which will enumerate positive rational numbers.

We have already shown by example that Properties 1-4 do not, in general, hold for a \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree. However, \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees share enough of a similar structure with $T(1)$ that we are able to provide some...
Figure 7. The first four rows of $\mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(5/2)$.

appropriate, universal generalizations (see Theorem \text{1} and Corollary \text{3} for example). In other cases, we will show that some of Properties \text{1}-\text{4} completely characterize the Calkin-Wilf tree (see Proposition \text{4} and Corollary \text{1} for example).

2. Global Properties

For a fixed $u$ and $v$, consider the set of all positive reduced rational numbers that are not the children of any rational number appearing in any $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree. We refer to such numbers as $(u,v)$-orphans (when the context is clear, we may refer to such numbers simply as orphans). A straightforward proof shows that the set of $(u,v)$-orphans is

$$\left\{ \frac{a}{b} : \frac{1}{u} \leq \frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{v}{u} \right\}$$

(see [12]). It follows that the set of $(u,v)$-orphans is finite if and only if $u = v = 1$. Furthermore, it can be seen that every left child in a $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree is strictly bounded above by $1/u$ and every right child is strictly bounded below by $v$. In the case of the original Calkin-Wilf tree $1$ is the only orphan. In $\mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(5/2)$, the vertex $5/2$ satisfies the condition $1/2 \leq 5/2 \leq 3$, and so it is one of the many $(2,3)$-orphans.

Lemma 1. Let $z$ and $z'$ be distinct $(u,v)$-orphans. Then the vertices of $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z')$ form disjoint sets.

Proof. Suppose that $w$ is a rational number that appears as a vertex in both $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z')$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $w$ is such that no other ancestor of it (in either tree) holds this property. It follows $w$ is not a root and must be the child of vertices in both trees. Furthermore, $w$ cannot be a left child (right child, resp.) in both $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z')$. So $w$ is a left child in, say, $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z)$ and a right child in $\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z')$. This implies that $w < 1/u \leq 1$ and $w > v \geq 1$, a contradiction. \qed
Since every positive reduced rational number is either a \((u, v)\)-orphan or the descendant of a \((u, v)\)-orphan, Lemma 1 shows that the set of \((u, v)\)-orphans enumerates a forest of trees that partitions the set of positive rational numbers, the \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf forest.

**Lemma 2.** Let \(u\) and \(v\) be positive integers. Then 
\[ L_u = L_1^{u} \quad \text{and} \quad R_v = R_1^{v}. \]

**Proof.** We show that 
\[ L_u = L_1^{u} \] by induction on \(u\). This is clearly true when \(u = 1\). Suppose it is true for \(u \geq 1\). Then
\[ L_{u+1} = L_u \cdot L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u+1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = L_{u+1}. \]

A similar argument shows that 
\[ R_v = R_1^{v}. \]

When comparing \(T^{(u,v)}(z)\) to \(T(1)\), one can see from Lemma 2 that the vertices of \(T^{(u,v)}(z)\) can be obtained by starting with the vertex \(z\) in \(T(1)\) and skipping over \(u - 1\) generations of left children or \(v - 1\) generations of right children to arrive at the children of \(z\) in \(T^{(u,v)}(z)\). For example, compare Figure 4 and Figure 8 in the case where \(u = 2\) and \(v = 3\). In other words, the vertex set of \(T^{(u,v)}(z)\) is a submonoid of \(\mathbb{Q}\) or, equivalently, the vertex set of \(T(1)\). More generally, we have the following two results as other immediate consequences of Lemma 2.

**Proposition 1.** The vertex set of \(T^{(u,v)}(z)\) is a submonoid of the vertex set of \(T^{(u',v')}(z')\) if and only if \(z \in T^{(u',v')}(z')\), \(u' \mid u\), and \(v' \mid v\).

**Proposition 2.** Let \(U\) and \(V\) be finite sets of nonnegative integers. Set 
\[ u := \text{lcm}\{u' : u' \in U\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := \text{lcm}\{v' : v' \in V\}. \]
Then
\[ T^{(u,v)}(z) = \bigcap_{u' \in U, v' \in V} T^{(u',v')}(z). \]

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 show that the \((u,v)\)-orphans partition the set of positive rational numbers into a collection of trees with a similar structure derived from \(T(1)\). This idea serves as the main motivation for this paper.

### 3. The Successor and the Numerator-Denominator Formulas

We begin this section by establishing some immediate properties of \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees related to Properties 1-2. We denote by \(c^{(u,v)}(n,i)\) the \(i^{\text{th}}\) element, from left to right, in the \(n^{\text{th}}\) row of the \((u,v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree.
whose root is $z$. For any integer $n \geq 0$, the first and the last elements of the $n^\text{th}$ row with root $z$ are readily seen to be

\[ c_z^{(u,v)}(n,1) = \frac{z}{nuz + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_z^{(u,v)}(n,2^n) = z + nv, \]

respectively. Furthermore, since $z$ is assumed to be in reduced form, then all vertices of $T^{(u,v)}(z)$ are also in reduced form.

**Proposition 3** (Generalized successor formula). Consider the $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree with root $z$. For every nonnegative integer $n$ and $i = 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1$, let $\alpha_i = c_z^{(u,v)}(n,i)$. Then we have

\[ \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{v\{\alpha_i\} + v^2(1 - u\{\alpha_i\})}{u[\alpha_i](\{\alpha_i\} + v(1 - u\{\alpha_i\})) + v(1 - u\{\alpha_i\})} \]

where $[x]$ and $\{x\}$ denote the integer and fractional parts of the real number $x$, respectively.


If $\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$ are adjacent siblings in a $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree, then they share a common ancestor $w$ (see Figure 9) such that, for some $k \geq 0$, $\alpha_i$ is the $k^{\text{th}}$ right child of the left child of $w$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$ is the $k^{\text{th}}$ left child of the right child of $w$. (This is not a feature that is unique to $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf trees; it is common to all full binary trees.) It follows that $\alpha_i = \frac{w}{uw + 1} + kv$ and $\alpha_{i+1} = \frac{w + v}{ku(w+v)+1}$. Note that since $\frac{w}{uw+1} < 1$, then $\{\alpha_i\} = \frac{w}{uw+1}$ and $[\alpha_i] = kv$.

\[ w \]
\[ \frac{w}{uw + 1} \quad \frac{w + v}{ku(w+v)+1} \]
\[ \vdots \quad \vdots \]
\[ \alpha_i \quad \alpha_{i+1} \quad \vdots \]

**Figure 9.** Successors in a $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree with common ancestor $w$.

In order to complete the proof, we must eliminate the dependence of $\alpha_{i+1}$ on $k$ and $w$. This can be accomplished by taking the formula for $\{\alpha_i\}$ and solving for $w$. This gives that

\[ w = \frac{\{\alpha_i\}}{1 - u\{\alpha_i\}}. \]
It follows that
\[ \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{w + v}{ku(w + v) + 1} = \frac{w + v}{kv(wu/v + u) + 1} = \frac{w + v}{\alpha_i(wu/v + u) + 1}. \]

(6)

Inserting (5) into the right-hand side of (6) and simplifying gives the desired result. □

While (4) does collapse down to (1) when \( u = v = 1 \), something is lost in this generalization. Iterating (1) not only gives successive elements in a fixed row of the Calkin-Wilf tree; when it is applied to the rightmost element of a row, it returns the leftmost element of the next row. The same is not true of (4).

It follows from Proposition 3 that if we consider successive terms in each row of a \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree, the denominator-numerator formula (Property 2) holds only in the original Calkin-Wilf tree.

**Proposition 4.** The denominator-numerator formula holds if and only if \( u = v = 1 \).

**Proof.** Using the same notation in the proof of Proposition 3 for a common ancestor \( w \),
\[ \alpha_i = \frac{w' + kv(uw' + w'')}{uw' + w''} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{w' + vw''}{ku(w' + vw'') + w''}, \]
where \( w = w'/w'' \) is in lowest terms. It is easy to see that the above representations of \( \alpha_i \) and \( \alpha_{i+1} \) are also in lowest terms. So we can let \( d_i = uw' + w'' \) be the denominator of \( \alpha_i \) and \( n_{i+1} = w' + vw'' \) be the numerator of \( \alpha_{i+1} \). It quickly follows that
\[ vd_i + (1 - uv)w' = n_{i+1}. \]

\((\Leftarrow)\) If \( u = v = 1 \), then \( d_i = n_{i+1} \) follows from (7).
\((\Rightarrow)\) If \( d_i = n_{i+1} \), then it follows from (7) that
\[ (uv - 1)w' = (v - 1)n_{i+1} = (v - 1)(w' + vw''). \]
Collecting like terms on either side of the equality shows that \((u - 1)w' = (v - 1)w''\). If \( u = 1 \) and \( v \neq 1 \), then \( w'' = 0 \), a contradiction. A similar argument works for the case where \( u \neq 1 \) and \( v = 1 \). If \( u, v \neq 1 \), then \( w = w'/w'' = (v - 1)/(u - 1) \). This would imply that \( w \) is fixed for all pairs of successors, another contradiction. Therefore, \( u = v = v = 1 \).

We see from (7) that the relationship between successive denominators and numerators in a row of a \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree is significantly more complicated than in the statement of Property 2. In order to generalize the denominator-numerator formula, one would need to know more about the common ancestors of successive terms. At this time, no clear generalization of Property 2 is evident.
4. Symmetry Properties

In this section, we study symmetry properties of \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees closely related to Property \(\mathfrak{F}\). As in the previous section, we are able to find some appropriate generalizations, in some sense, while showing that Property \(\mathfrak{F}\) completely characterizes \(\mathcal{T}(1)\). We begin with a lemma which will be used in the theorems that follow.

Lemma 3. For every vertex in the \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree with root \(z\) there are nonnegative integers \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) with \(ad - bc = 1\) such that the vertex is represented as \(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\).

Proof. The statement follows from induction on the row number of the \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree \(\mathcal{T}^{(u,v)}(z)\) (see Figure 6).

Note that the integers \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) in Lemma 3 depend on \(u, v,\) and the position of the vertex in the tree. See (14) in Section 5 for an example on how to compute \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) for \(\frac{2147}{620}\) in \(\mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(5/2)\). Furthermore, Lemma 3 shows that every vertex in a \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree can be written as some linear fractional transformation of the root (see Figures 6 and 10).

Theorem 1 (General symmetry formula). For every nonnegative integer \(n\) and \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n\), if \(c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\) where \(a, b, c, d\) are nonnegative integers, then

\[
(8) \quad c_z^{(u,v)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = \frac{dz + cv}{bu z + a}.
\]

Proof. The proof is by induction on the row number \(n\). Since \(c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 1) = \frac{z}{uz + 1}\), we have that \(c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 1) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\) with \(a = 1, b = 0, c = u,\) and \(d = 1,\) and so

\[
\frac{dz + cv}{bu z + a} = z + v = c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 2).
\]

On the other hand, starting from \(c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 2) = z + v,\) we get that \(c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 2) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\) with \(a = 1, b = v, c = 0,\) and \(d = 1.\) Hence

\[
\frac{dz + cv}{bu z + a} = \frac{z}{uz + 1} = c_z^{(u,v)}(1, 1).
\]

This shows that the statement is true when \(n = 1.\) Suppose that the theorem is true for some row \(n \geq 1.\) An element in the row \(n + 1\) is either of the form \(c_z^{(u,v)}(n + 1, 2i - 1)\) or \(c_z^{(u,v)}(n + 1, 2i)\) for some integer \(i, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n.\) If
\( c_z^{(u,v)}(n+1, 2i - 1) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) (we know that such a representation exists by Lemma 3) then it is the left child of

\[
c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i) = \frac{az + b}{(c - ua)z + (d - ub)}.
\]

Thus, by using the symmetry on row \( n \), we obtain

\[
c_z^{(u,v)}(n + 1, 2^{n+1} + 2 - 2i) = R_v \left( c_z^{(u,v)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) \right)
\]

\[
= R_v \left( \frac{(d - ub)z + (c - ua)v}{bu \frac{v}{z} + a} \right) = \frac{dz + cv}{bu \frac{v}{z} + a}.
\]

Similarly, if \( c_z^{(u,v)}(n + 1, 2i) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) then it is the right child of

\[
c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i) = \frac{(a - cv)z + (b - vd)}{cz + d}.
\]

Hence

\[
c_z^{(u,v)}(n + 1, 2^{n+1} + 1 - 2i) = L_u \left( c_z^{(u,v)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) \right)
\]

\[
= L_u \left( \frac{dz + cv}{(b - vd)u \frac{v}{z} + (a - cv)} \right) = \frac{dz + cv}{bu \frac{v}{z} + a}.
\]

\[\square\]

As a consequence, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the symmetry formula (Property 3) to hold in a \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree.

**Corollary 1 (Symmetry formula).** The symmetry formula,

\[
(9) \quad c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i) \cdot c_z^{(u,v)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = 1,
\]

holds if and only if \( u = v \) and \( z = 1 \).

**Proof.** Suppose, using Lemma 3 that \( c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) where \( a, b, c, d \) are nonnegative integers and \( ad - bc = 1 \). By Theorem 1 we obtain that \( 9 \) is equivalent to

\[
\left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{dz + cv}{bu \frac{v}{z} + a} \right) = 1,
\]

or

\[
(10) \quad \left( ad - \frac{bcu}{v} \right) z^2 + \left[ bd \left( 1 - \frac{u}{v} \right) - ac \left( 1 - \frac{v}{u} \right) \right] z + \left( \frac{bcv}{u} - ad \right) = 0
\]
It follows that \( ad - \frac{b}{u} = 0 \) and \( bcv - ad = 0 \), from which we get
\[
\frac{v}{u} = \frac{bc}{ad} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v}{u} = \frac{ad}{bc},
\]
thus \( v^2 = u^2 \). Since \( u, v > 0 \), this implies that \( u = v \). By substituting \( u = v \) into (11), we obtain that \((ad - bc)(z^2 - 1) = 0\). Since \( ad - bc = 1 \) and \( z > 0 \), we conclude that \( z = 1 \). \( \square \)

We remark that Corollary 1 can be also proved using induction on the row number. The result explains why the symmetry formula does not hold in \( \mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(5/2) \) (see Figure 7), as we had observed earlier.

**Corollary 2** (Skew symmetry). Using the same hypothesis as Theorem 1, it follows that
\[
c^z_{u,v}(n, i) \cdot c^z_{v,u}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = \frac{v}{u},
\]

Proof. Suppose, using Lemma 3, that \( c^z_{u,v}(n, i) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \) where \( a, b, c, d \) are nonnegative integers. Replacing \( z \) by \( \frac{v}{u} \) in (8) yields that
\[
c^z_{v,u}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = \frac{v}{u} = \frac{v}{u} \cdot \frac{cz + d}{az + b},
\]
which is equivalent to the desired result. \( \square \)

Corollary 1 shows that the symmetry formula does not hold for \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees in general. However, Corollary 2 (above) and Theorem 2 (below) show that other symmetry formulas do hold when comparing either pairs of \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees or \((u, v)\) and \((v, u)\)-Calkin-Wilf trees, respectively. For examples, see Table 1.

| Row 2 of \( \mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(5/2) \) | 5/22 | 41/12 | 11/24 | 17/2 |
| Row 2 of \( \mathcal{T}^{(2,3)}(3/5) \) | 3/17 | 36/11 | 18/41 | 33/5 |
| Row 2 of \( \mathcal{T}^{(3,2)}(2/5) \) | 2/17 | 24/11 | 12/41 | 22/5 |

Table 1. Examples of Corollary 2 and Theorem 2

**Theorem 2** (Nathanson’s symmetry, [13]). Let \( z \) be a variable, and let \( u \) and \( v \) be positive integers. For all nonnegative integers \( n \) and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n \),
\[
c^z_{u,v}(n, i) \cdot c^z_{v,u}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = 1.
\]

If \( u = v \geq 1 \), then Theorem 2 gives one of the directions of Corollary 1. If \( u = v = 1 \), then this is the familiar symmetry of the Calkin-Wilf tree.

Nathanson’s symmetry was proved in [13] using induction on the row number. We conclude this section with two alternative proofs of Theorem 2. The first one is a consequence of Theorem 1 and only holds when \( u = v \).
First Proof of Theorem 2 when $u = v$. By Lemma 3, let $c_z^{(u,u)}(n, i) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$ for some nonnegative integers $a, b, c,$ and $d$. By Theorem 1, we have

$$c_z^{(u,u)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = \frac{dz + c}{bz + a} \implies c_z^{(u,u)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = \frac{dz^{-1} + c}{bz^{-1} + a} = \frac{cz + d}{az + b},$$

which is the reciprocal of $c_z^{(u,u)}(n, i)$. □

The identity presented in Theorem 1 only holds in a $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree where $u$ and $v$ are fixed. Therefore we cannot use it to derive Nathanson’s symmetry in the case $u \neq v$. In order to show the desired relationship between $(u, v)$- and $(v, u)$-Calkin-Wilf trees, we will use a lemma that shows the following:

**Lemma 4.** Let $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}^* \to \mathbb{Q}^*$ be defined by $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$. Then

(a) $\sigma \circ L_u \circ \sigma = R_u$
(b) $\sigma \circ R_u \circ \sigma = L_u$

**Proof.** Part (a) of the lemma follows from the following straightforward computation:

$$(\sigma \circ L_u \circ \sigma)(x) = \sigma \left( \frac{x^{-1}}{ux^{-1} + 1} \right) = \sigma \left( \frac{1}{u + x} \right) = x + u = R_u(x).$$

Part (b) follows from (a) since $\sigma^2 = id$ □

Comparing Figures 6 and 10, we can see that if we view $c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i)$ as the result of a (unique) word $w(L_u, R_v)$ on two letters acting on $z$, then $c_z^{(v,u)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) = w(R_u, L_v)(z)$. Specifically, the vertex $c_z^{(u,v)}(n, i)$ is $w(L_u, R_v)(z)$ where $w$ is the $i$th word of length $n$ on the letters $R_u$ and $L_v$ in the reverse lexicographic order. We will use this approach to prove Nathanson’s symmetry in its general form.
Second Proof of Theorem \[2\] Let \( c_{z}^{(u,v)}(n, i) = w(L_u, R_v)(z) \) where \( w \) is the \( i \)th word of length \( n \) on the letters \( R_u \) and \( L_v \) in the reverse lexicographic order. For \( \sigma(z) = z^{-1} \), it follows from Lemma \[6\] that

\[
\sigma \circ w(L_u, R_v) \circ \sigma = w(\sigma \circ L_u \circ \sigma, \sigma \circ R_v \circ \sigma) = w(R_u, L_v).
\]

Therefore \( \sigma \circ w(L_u, R_v) = w(R_u, L_v) \circ \sigma \), which means that \( c_{z}^{(u,v)}(n, i)^{-1} = c_{z^{-1}}^{(v,u)}(n, 2^n + 1 - i) \). \(\square\)

5. The Descendant Conditions and the Depth Formula

In a full binary tree, each vertex can be assigned a binary representation by enumerating the vertices in a breadth-first order. For example, the root of the tree is assigned the number 1; its left child is 2 and right child is 3, or 10\(_2\) and 11\(_2\) in their respective binary representations. In the next row, the vertices are 4, 5, 6, 7, or 100\(_2\), 101\(_2\), 110\(_2\), 111\(_2\), in binary representation form (See Figure 11).

![Binary representation tree](image)

Figure 11. Binary representation tree.

The parent-child relation is clearly demonstrated by the binary representation. Each left child is represented by the binary representation of its parent followed by a 0, while each right child is represented by the binary representation of its parent followed by a 1. Moreover, for each vertex, its binary representation encodes the binary representations of all of its ancestors back to the root.

We construct a 1-1 correspondence between the binary representations of the vertices in a full-binary tree and the words associated with each vertex in the Calkin-Wilf tree. Begin with the binary representation of a vertex. Truncate the leftmost 1 digit (all such representations begin with a 1), reverse the order of the string and map 0 \( \mapsto \) \( L_1 \) and 1 \( \mapsto \) \( R_1 \). For example, the vertex in position 1100\(_2\) corresponds to the word \( L^2 R_1 \) in the Calkin-Wilf tree.

In the \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree, if we use the same binary representation as those in the original Calkin-Wilf tree, we can easily see that the left child is represented by the binary representation of its parent followed by \( u \) consecutive 0s and the right child is represented by the binary representation of its parent followed by \( v \) consecutive 1s. Let \( B \) be the binary representation
of the position of $w$ in the original Calkin-Wilf tree. Figure 12 shows the first three rows of $T^{(2,3)}(w)$ in binary form.

![Binary representation tree for $T^{(2,3)}(w)$.]

The $(u,v)$-ancestor-descendant relation is clearly demonstrated by the sequence of $u$ consecutive 0s or $v$ consecutive 1s. We give a few examples related to $(2,3)$-Calkin-Wilf trees:

- We have that $2/5 \mapsto 1100_2$, which is the left child of $11_2 \mapsto 2$. Incidentally, 2 is an orphan root in the $(2,3)$-Calkin-Wilf forest.
- The rational number corresponding to $110001110000_2$ in the Calkin-Wilf tree is a descendant of the orphan root $110_2$. One can trace from the right, a sequence of four 0s, three 1s, two 0s, and then it offers neither two consecutive 0s nor three consecutive 1s.
- The rational number corresponding to the position $110001110001_2$ in the Calkin-Wilf tree is an orphan in the $(2,3)$-Calkin-Wilf forest.

The following result formalizes the above criterion for an element to be an orphan or a child of a $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree.

**Proposition 5.** Let $w$ be a vertex of a $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree, and $B(w)$ be the binary representation of its corresponding position in the original Calkin-Wilf tree.

(a) Suppose that $B(w) = B_10\ldots0_2$, i.e., the binary representation $B(w)$ ends in exactly $i$ 0s. If $i \geq u$, then $w$ is the left child of the vertex whose position is $B_10\ldots0_2$. Otherwise, $w$ is an orphan.

(b) Suppose that $B(w) = B_01\ldots1_2$, i.e., the binary representation $B(w)$ ends in exactly $j$ 1s. If $j \geq v$, then $w$ is the right child of the vertex whose position is $B_01\ldots1_2$. Otherwise, $w$ is an orphan.

Another viewpoint for understanding the relationship between descendants in a $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree is via continued fractions. We begin the study of the relationship between continued fractions and $(u,v)$-Calkin-Wilf trees with the following useful lemma (see [2] for the case $u = v = 1$).
Lemma 5 (Continued fraction relationship). Let $\frac{a}{b}$ be a positive rational number with continued fraction representation $\frac{a}{b} = [q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_r]$. It follows that

(a) if $q_0 = 0$, then $\frac{a}{ua+b} = [0, u+1, \ldots, q_r]$;
(b) if $q_0 \neq 0$, then $\frac{a}{ua+b} = [0, u, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_r]$;
(c) and $\frac{a+vb}{b} = [v+q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_r]$.

Proof. Let

$$\frac{a}{b} = q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_r}}.$$

Note that $\frac{a}{ua+b} = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{-1}$, so

(11) \[
\frac{a}{ua+b} = \frac{1}{u + \frac{1}{q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_r}}}}.
\]

By considering the cases when $q_0 = 0$ and $q_0 \neq 0$, we get (a) and (b). The remaining case follows from the fact that $\frac{a+vb}{b} = \frac{a}{b} + v$. \qed

Lemma 5 shows that the continued fraction representations of rationals appearing in a $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree follow a nice pattern. In fact, in the case where $u = v = 1$, we can recover several of the properties of the original Calkin-Wilf tree listed in Section 1.

The next theorem gives more insight into the properties of coefficients in the continued fraction representation of rational numbers appearing in a $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree.

Theorem 3 (Descendant conditions). Suppose that $w$ and $w'$ are positive rational numbers with continued fraction representations $w = [q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_r]$ and $w' = [p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_s]$. Then $w'$ is a descendant of $w$ in the $(u, v)$-Calkin-Wilf tree if and only if the following conditions all hold:

(a) $s \geq r$ and $2 \mid (s - r)$;
(b) for $0 \leq j \leq s - r - 1$, $v \mid p_j$ when $j$ is even and $u \mid p_j$ when $j$ is odd;
(c) for $2 \leq i \leq r$, $p_{s-r+i} = q_i$;
(d) and
   (i) if $q_0 \neq 0$, then $p_{s-r} \geq q_0$, $v \mid (p_{s-r} - q_0)$ and $p_{s-r+1} = q_1$;
   (ii) otherwise, if $q_0 = 0$, then $v \mid p_{s-r}$, $p_{s-r+1} \geq q_1$, and $u \mid (p_{s-r+1} - q_1)$. 

Proof. (⇒) We prove the first direction by induction. Note that (a) holds by Lemma 5, so our main concern will involve the remaining conditions.

Let \( A_n \) be the set of descendants of \( w \) of depth \( n \). Then \( A_1 \) consists of both children of \( w \). If \( w' \) is the left child of \( w \) and \( q_0 = 0 \), then, by Lemma 5, \( w' \) has a continued fraction representation \( w' = [0, u + q_1, \ldots, q_r] \). In this case, \( s = r \), so (b) is vacuously true and (c) immediately holds. (Note that (c) is also vacuously true if \( r = 1 \).) Since \( s - r = 0 \), it follows that \( p_{s-r} = p_0 = q_0 \), which implies that \( v \mid (p_{s-r} - q_0) \). Also, it is clear that \( p_{s-r+1} \geq q_1 \) and \( u \mid (p_{s-r+1} - q_1) \) since \( p_{s-r+1} = u + q_1 \). This shows that part (ii) of condition (d) holds. The two remaining cases, where \( w' \) is a left child of \( w \) with \( q_0 \neq 0 \) and where \( w' \) is a right child of \( w \), can be handled in a similar way using Lemma 5. This shows that the theorem holds for \( A_1 \).

Now suppose that the desired result holds for \( A_k \) for some \( k \geq 1 \) and assume that \( w' \in A_{k+1} \). Furthermore, assume that \( w' \) is the left child of some \( w'' \in A_k \), where \( w'' \) has a continued fraction representation \( w'' = [d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_t] \). By Lemma 5, if \( d_0 = 0 \), then \( s = t \) and \( w' = [0, u+d_1, \ldots, d_t] \). Since \( p_u = d_k \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq t \) with \( k \neq 1 \), then, with the exception of one coefficient, the result holds. For the case \( k = 1 \), notice that if \( t > r \), then \( u \mid d_1 \), so \( u \mid (u+d_1) \). If \( t = r \), then \( u+d_1-q_1 > d_1-q_1 \geq 0 \) and \( u \mid (d_1-q_1) \), so \( u \mid (u+d_1-q_1) \). This implies the desired result.

As was the case with \( A_1 \), there are two remaining cases to handle. The proofs of the statement when \( d_0 \neq 0 \) and when \( w' \) is the right child of some \( w'' \in A_k \) are both similar to the argument presented above. We omit the details.

(⇐) Using Lemma 5, a simple computation shows that when \( q_0 \neq 0 \),

\[
(12) \quad w' = R_{v+u}^{p_0} L_{u}^{p_1} L_{v+u}^{p_2} \cdots L_{v+u}^{p_{s-r-2}} R_{v+u}^{p_{s-r-1}} R_{v}^{p_{s-r} - q_0} / v(w).
\]

A similar formula gives the desired result when \( q_0 = 0 \). \( \square \)

Corollary 3 (Depth formula). Using the same hypothesis as Theorem 3, if \( n \) is the depth of \( w' \), then

\[
(13) \quad n = \frac{1}{v} \left( \sum_{0 \leq j \leq s-r-1 \text{ even}} p_j + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r \text{ even}} (p_{s-r+i} - q_i) \right) + \frac{1}{u} \left( \sum_{0 \leq j \leq s-r-1 \text{ odd}} p_j + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r \text{ odd}} (p_{s-r+i} - q_i) \right).
\]

The proof of Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 3 by induction. Note that the majority of the terms in the sum (13) are actually zero. In the case where \( u = v = 1 \), Corollary 3 recovers the formula from Property 4.

From Lemma 5 and Theorem 3, we can construct a recursive algorithm that determines the orphan ancestor of \( w' \) in the \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf that contains it. The algorithm makes heavy use of the continued fraction representation of \( w' \).
Algorithm 1 \((u, v)\)-Calkin-Wilf tree orphan ancestor

1: procedure ANCESTOR\([p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_s], u, v\)
2:     if \(s = 0\) then
3:         if \(p_0 \leq v\) then return \([p_0]\)
4:         else return ANCESTOR\([p_0 - v], u, v\)
5:     end if
6:     else if \(s = 1\) then
7:         if \(0 < p_0 < v\) then return \([p_0, p_1]\)
8:         else if \(p_0 > v\) then
9:             return ANCESTOR\([p_0 - v, p_1], u, v\)
10:         end if
11:     else if \(p_0 = 0\) and \(p_1 \leq u\) then return \([0, p_1]\)
12:     else return ANCESTOR\([0, p_1 - u], u, v\)
13:     end if
14: else
15:     if \(p_0 < v\) then return \([p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_s]\)\]
16:     else if \(p_0 \geq v\) then
17:         return ANCESTOR\([p_0 - v, p_1, \ldots, p_s], u, v\)
18:     end if
19:     else if \(p_0 = 0\) and \(0 < p_1 < u\) then
20:         return ANCESTOR\([0, p_1, \ldots, p_s], u, v\)
21:     else if \(p_0 = 0\) and \(p_1 > u\) then
22:         return ANCESTOR\([0, p_1 - u, \ldots, p_s], u, v\)
23:     else return ANCESTOR\([p_2, \ldots, p_s], u, v\)
24: end if
25: end procedure

For example, let \(u = 2\) and \(v = 3\). The continued fraction representation of \(2147/620\) is given by \(\[3, 2, 6, 4, 5, 2\]\). Using the above algorithm, we can compute the list of ancestors of \(2147/620\) as: \(287/620 = [0, 2, 6, 4, 5, 2]\), \(287/46 = [6, 4, 5, 2]\), \(149/46 = [3, 4, 5, 2]\), \(11/46 = [0, 4, 5, 2]\), \(11/24 = [0, 2, 5, 2]\), \(11/2 = [5, 2]\), and \(5/2 = [2, 2]\). Since \(1/2 \leq 5/2 \leq 3\), then \(5/2\) is the orphan ancestor of \(2147/620\).

By \([12]\), we see that the coefficients of the continued fraction of \(2147/620\) encode the path taken from the orphan \(5/2\) to the descendant \(2147/620\). This can be computed as follows. Consider the continued fraction representation \([3, 2, 6, 4, 5, 2]\) as a row vector. Extend the continued fraction representation of \(5/2\) to a row vector of the same length by adding zeros at the front, \([0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2]\). Take the difference between both vectors, \([3, 2, 6, 4, 3, 0]\). Divide the even-indexed (note that the leading terms is indexed by 0) terms by 3 and the odd-indexed terms by 2, \([1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 0]\). Corollary \([3]\) states that the sum of the terms in this vector gives the depth of \(2147/620\). The terms
also show that \( 2147/620 = R_uL_uR_v^2L_u^2R_v(5/2) \). In particular, since
\[
R_vL_uR_v^2L_u^2R_v = \begin{bmatrix} 187 & 606 \\ 54 & 175 \end{bmatrix},
\]
then \( a = 187, b = 606, c = 54, \) and \( d = 175 \) in Lemma 3 for this case.

When \( u = v = 1 \), the above discussion shows that every positive rational number appears in the original Calkin-Wilf tree (see [4]).
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