I. ISOTROPY OF SPACETIME

The Lorentz transformation admits eigenvectors [1]. These eigenvectors correspond to definite directions in spacetime, which seems to imply that there exist preferred directions in spacetime. Consider two laboratories, A and B, separated in spacetime by sufficient distance such that no signal traveling at the speed of light has yet been able to traverse the intervening space. If A performs experiments to determine the direction in spacetime of the eigenvector of the Lorentz transformation, will B measure the same direction in spacetime for his Lorentz transformation eigenvector?

As a more specific example, consider a copy of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) endowed with a rectilinear coordinate system. Define a linear transformation
\[
A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2
\]
and assume A to have two distinct, real eigenvectors. There is an ambiguity in this definition due to the arbitrariness in the selection of a coordinate system. For if
\[
Ax = kx
\]
then
\[
R(\theta)AxR^{-1}(\theta) = R(\theta)AR^{-1}(\theta)R(\theta)xR^{-1}(\theta)
\]
\[
= kR(\theta)xR^{-1}(\theta).
\]
Hence a rotation of the coordinate system results in different eigenvectors.

The Lorentz transformation for motion in the \( x \) direction with velocity \( v \) can be written in the form
\[
L(v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma v \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\gamma \frac{v}{c} & 0 & 0 & \gamma
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where
\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
\]
If we use the coordinate system \( (x, y, z, ct) \) and set \( \tanh \eta = v/c \), this transformation can be written as
\[
L(\eta) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh \eta & 0 & 0 & -\sinh \eta \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sinh \eta & 0 & 0 & \cosh \eta
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let us define a hypothetical “spacetime rotation” by angle \( \theta \) in the \( x, ct \) plane:
\[
R(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin \theta & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
which is different from a purely spatial rotation in that it involves the time coordinate. Now, using \( L \) as a guide, we define \( \tan \theta = v/c \). This allows us to write Eq. \( 2 \) in the form
\[
T(v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma^+ & 0 & 0 & \gamma^+ v \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\gamma^+ \frac{v}{c} & 0 & 0 & \gamma^+
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where
\[
\gamma^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}.
\]

The physical reality of a transformation such as \( T(v) \) must be questioned. To pursue this inquiry, let \( O_T \) be the frame of reference of an observer who was initially at rest, then subjected to the \( T(v) \) transformation. The observer in the \( O_T \) frame might perform experiments to determine the eigenvectors of a Lorentz transformation in his frame. That is, he would look for eigenvectors of
\[
T(v)L(v')T(-v),
\]
and would measure these. These eigenvectors of the Lorentz transformation in the \( O_T \) frame would constitute a “speed of light” in that frame. Said another way, the speed of light would be constant under Lorentz transformations in the \( O_T \) frame. Since the constancy of the speed of light in an inertial frame is the principle from which all of Special Relativity derives, it can be said therefore that all of Special Relativity carries over unmodified into the “rotated” frame of \( O_T \).
II. EXISTENCE OF A “SPACETIME ROTATION” $T(v)$

If such a transformation $T(v)$ is possible, then there must be some means by which its effects can be measured. It will be shown that such a transformation does indeed exist, and that its effects are well known.

To this end, introduce three observers: $O$, $O_L$ and $O_T$. Each of these observers are initially positioned at the origin. Suppose $O$ to be at rest, and let $O_L$ be subjected to a Lorentz transformation $L(v)$ with respect to $O$. Similarly, let $O_T$ be subjected to a transformation $T(v)$ with respect to $O$. The question before us is how might $O$ distinguish between $O_L$ and $O_T$?

It is sufficient to work in a single spatial dimension $x$ and time $t$. We have

$$L(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -v \\ \frac{v}{c^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$T(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + v^2/c^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -v \\ \frac{v}{c^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

We note two differences between the $L(v)$ and $T(v)$ transformations: the leading “gamma” factors contain a sign difference, and the $v/c^2$ matrix elements have opposite signs. To describe the effects of these differences, we follow de Broglie and set up two simple wave functions for particles at rest - one for $O_L$:

$$\Psi_L(x_L, t_L) = \cos \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} t_L \right),$$

and another for $O_T$:

$$\Psi_T(x_T, t_T) = \cos \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} t_T \right).$$

We next apply the $O_L$ and $O_T$ transformations, respectively, to these wave functions to determine their appearance in the $O$ frame. We have

$$t_L = \frac{t - vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

and

$$t_T = \frac{t + vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 + v^2/c^2}},$$

yielding

$$\Psi_L = \cos \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{t - vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \right) = \cos(\omega_L t - k_Lx),$$

and

$$\Psi_T = \cos \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{t + vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 + v^2/c^2}} \right) = \cos(\omega_T t + k_Tx).$$

We have made the substitutions

$$k_L = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{v/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

$$\omega_L = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}},$$

and

$$k_T = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{v/c^2}{\sqrt{1 + v^2/c^2}},$$

$$\omega_T = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + v^2/c^2}}.$$  

We can discuss the phase and group velocities for $\Psi_L$ and $\Psi_T$ once we have determined their respective dispersion relations. Solving (4) and (5) for $v^2/c^2$ and equating, we find:

$$\hbar^2 \omega_L^2 = m^2 c^4 + \hbar k_L^2 c^2.$$  

Likewise for (6) and (7) we find:

$$\hbar^2 \omega_T^2 = m^2 c^4 - \hbar k_T^2 c^2.$$  

Now we calculate the phase and group velocities. For the Lorentz transformation case, $L(v)$, we derive the familiar relations

Phase velocity: $\omega_L/k_L = c^2/v$,  
and

Group velocity: $d\omega_L/dk_L = v$.  

In contrast, for the transformation $T(v)$ we derive

Phase velocity: $\omega_T/k_T = c^2/v$,  
and

Group velocity: $d\omega_T/dk_T = -v$.  

This result highlights the essential difference between a Lorentz transformation $L(v)$ and a spacetime rotation $T(v)$: the phase velocities are identical, but the group velocities are oppositely directed. Equivalently, one can say that if two objects acquire the same velocity, one by virtue of applying $L(v)$ and the other by applying $T(v)$, they possess oppositely directed phase velocities (Fig. 1). The significance of this result can be made clear by examining the energy-momentum relation for the two cases.

$$E_L^2 = m^2 c^4 + p_L^2 c^2$$

or, adding a potential $V$

$$(E_L - V)^2 = m^2 c^4 + p_L^2 c^2.$$  

(12)
For small \( p_L \) this becomes

\[
E_L - V = \frac{p_L^2}{2m},
\]

which is the nonrelativistic energy-momentum relation. Applying the standard prescription

\[
E \to i\hbar \frac{d}{dt},
\]

\[
p \to -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx},
\]

(13)
to convert this into a quantum mechanical statement we arrive at the nonrelativistic Shrödinger equation

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi_L}{dx^2} + (E - V)\psi_L(x) = 0.
\]

Separating out the time dependence,

\[
\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi_L(x)}{dx^2} + (E - V)\psi_L(x) = 0,
\]

(14)
we recognize the time independent Shrödinger equation. Repeating the above procedure to derive the energy-momentum relation under the \( T(v) \) transformation, we find:

\[
(E_T - V)^2 = m^2c^4 - p_T^2c^2
\]

Expanding this relation to second order about \( p_T = 0 \) gives

\[
E_T - V = \frac{p_T^2}{2m}
\]

If we postulate that prescription \([13]\) holds good for Tunneling-transformed particles, we find the time-dependent Shrödinger equation in this case takes the form

\[
\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi_T(x)}{dx^2} + (V - E)\psi_T(x) = 0
\]

(16)

Comparing \([14]\) and \([16]\), we clearly see the difference between a test particle whose rest frame has been “Lorentz transformed” and another test particle whose rest frame has been transformed by \( T(v) \).

Transforming a particle by \( T(v) \) is nothing less than the well-known phenomenon of quantum tunneling, seen here in a new light. This clearly demonstrates the physical reality of the newly proposed spacetime rotation, and suggests that we identify the new \( T(v) \) transform as the “Tunneling” transform since its effect is simply to transform a test particle from rest to a tunneling state.

III. TUNNELING IN A STATIC, HOMOGENEOUS FIELD.

It can be shown that the Tunneling transform arises from relativistic proper acceleration. Proper acceleration is defined as that which a test particle experiences within its rest frame \([5]\). The worldline of a test particle undergoing proper acceleration \( \alpha \) is given by

\[
x^2 - c^2t^2 = \frac{c^4}{\alpha^2}.
\]

As before, let the observer \( O \) be at rest, and let \( O_L \) be the Lorentz-transformed frame. We want \( O_L \) to be uniformly accelerated by a constant value \( \alpha \). We require the velocity of all points of \( O_L \) to increase simultaneously. Since simultaneity is relative, we specify that this acceleration is with respect to the frame \( O; v \) is then a function of \( t \) alone.

\[
\frac{t - \nu(t)x/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \nu(t)^2/c^2}} = \frac{t - \alpha tx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2t^2/c^2}}
\]

We want to calculate

\[
\frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t - \nu(t)x/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \nu(t)^2/c^2}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t - \alpha tx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2t^2/c^2}} \right)
\]

for fixed \( x \), at time \( t = 0 \). Further, we assume that \( v = 0 \) initially. This gives

\[
\frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} = 1 - \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}.
\]

That is, \( \partial t'/\partial t \) grows linearly with \( x \), and according to General Relativity, this is precisely the definition of a static homogeneous gravitational field \([6][7][8]\).

Therefore, for constant acceleration in spacetime we can write

\[
t' = t - \alpha tx/c^2.
\]

This is nonlinear, but if we expand \( t' \) in the neighborhood of an event \((x_0, t_0)\) we can write

\[
dt' = \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} dt = -\frac{\alpha t_0}{c^2} dx + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha x_0}{c^2}\right) dt
\]
Repeating the previous analysis of the de Broglie wave, we make the identifications
\[ \omega = 1 - \frac{\alpha x_0}{c^2} \]
\[ k = -\frac{\alpha t_0}{c^2} \tag{17} \]
and calculate the phase velocity as
\[ v_\phi = \frac{1 - \alpha x_0/c^2}{-\alpha t_0/c^2} \]
For group velocity we need \( \omega(k) \). But there is no functional relationship between \( \omega \) and \( k \) unless there is a relationship between \( x \) and \( t \). We can create such a relation by specifying a path through spacetime and calculating the group velocity along that path\(^1\). If we set \( x(t) = vt \), for example, we find a group velocity of
\[ v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = v \]
It remains to find the conditions by which the phase velocity \( v_\phi \) and the group velocity \( v_g \) have opposite directions, which is the hallmark of the Tunneling transformation. Since, for example, we are free to choose \( v_g > 0 \), we only require
\[ v_\phi = \frac{1 - \alpha x_0/c^2}{-\alpha t_0/c^2} < 0, \]
or
\[ \frac{c^2}{\alpha} < x_0. \tag{18} \]
It is interesting to note that if we multiply \( \frac{c^2}{\alpha} \) by a parameter \( m \), then this relation is equivalent to
\[ mc^2 = m \alpha x. \]
If \( m \) is interpreted as the rest mass of a test particle, then \( \alpha \) is identified as the acceleration of the static homogeneous field.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE KLEIN “PARADOX”

The Klein “Paradox” is not really a paradox at all \[10\] \[11\]. It has long been recognized as the inadequacy of single particle quantum mechanics to describe what is actually a multiparticle process. A summary of the phenomenon is as follows. A test particle encounters a step potential, and the wave function splits into a reflected

---

\(^{1}\) It has been pointed out \[9\] that since we are free to choose any values for \((x_0, t_0)\), one can find a group velocity of any value. Therefore we should only choose events which might actually describe the path of a particle.
The Tunneling transform has rotated the frame of the test particle by \( \pi /2 \). Here the Tunneling transform has the form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \frac{\pi}{2} & \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \\
-\sin \frac{\pi}{2} & \cos \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

If we continue the Tunneling transform through higher angles, we move into the regime where we are on the negative branch of the energy \([15]\):

\[
E = -\sqrt{m^2c^4 - p^2c^2}
\]

and \( pc \) is begins decreasing from its maximum value of \( mc^2 \). This is recognized as an antiparticle state; the Tunneling transform has continuously converted the test particle into an antiparticle. As \( pc \) continues to decrease, it eventually reaches \( pc = 0 \). It is at this point that we reach the threshold of the Klein paradox, an antiparticle at rest.

\[
E - V = -\sqrt{m^2c^4}
\]

or

\[
V > E + mc^2.
\]

A final note about “group velocity”. The phase velocity of the wave function for a test particle at rest is infinite. This, of course, does not mean that any physical object is moving at an infinite rate of motion. It simply means that the set of events we are referring to appear to be simultaneous (the modulation of Eq. (2)) with respect to a particular observer. In the same way, what is a “group velocity” to one observer can appear to be nothing more than a set of simultaneous events to another observer, so long as their respective frames are related by a Tunneling transformation. Therefore the apparent difficulties with a constant time rate gradient (e.g. infinitely large “group velocities”) are not an issue when the frames are related by a Tunneling transformation.

\[\text{V. SUMMARY}\]

Originating from symmetry considerations of spacetime, we supplement the Lorentz Transformation with a new “Tunneling” transformation which is nothing more than a rotation in spacetime. Test particles subjected to this Tunneling transformation become tunneling particles; their essential property is that they experience a reversal in the direction of phase velocity with respect to group velocity. We have shown that the Tunneling transformation follows from the relativistic analysis of a static, homogeneous field. Finally, we apply the Tunneling transformation to the Klein paradox, and see that it is nothing more than the spacetime rotation of a tunneling particle into its antiparticle.
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