LARGE VALUES OF CUSP FORMS ON $GL_n$

FARRELL BRUMLEY AND NICOLAS TEMplier

Abstract. We establish the transition behavior of Jacquet-Whittaker functions on split semi-simple Lie groups. As a consequence, we show that for certain finite volume Riemannian manifolds, the local bound for normalized Laplace eigenfunctions does not hold globally.
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Let $M$ be a complete $d$-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary. A central question in semiclassical analysis is to understand the concentration features of Laplacian $L^2$-eigenfunctions $\Delta f = \lambda f$, in relation with the geometry of $M$. A touchstone is the well-known bound of Hörmander [6, 36] which implies that

$$|f(x)| \ll \lambda^{d/4} \|f\|_2,$$

where the multiplicative constant depends continuously on $x \in M$. This bound is local, being based on the principle that if an eigenfunction is large at a point, it remains so in a small neighborhood.

When $f$ is bounded globally on $M$, one may go further and compare the sup norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$ with the $L^2$-norm, as a function of $\lambda$. This boundedness is known to hold on any of the following three classes of manifolds: when both the sectional curvature and the injectivity radius of $M$ are bounded [24]; under certain assumptions on the isoperimetric or isocapacity inequality of $M$ [19]; and when $M$ is a finite volume locally symmetric space and $f$ is cuspidal [35].

We shall focus in this article on this last case. Thus $M = \Gamma \backslash S$, where $S$ is a Riemannian globally symmetric space and $\Gamma$ is a lattice in the Lie group $G$ of isometries of $S$. Recall that a function on $M$ is said to be cuspidal if the constant term integrals around each cusp are zero, and that a cusp form is, by definition, a cuspidal $L^2$-eigenfunction of the Laplacian. When $\Gamma$
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is congruence arithmetic then it is known [49] that cusp forms obey a Weyl law. This makes
the automorphic setting well adapted to a global study of sup norms.

Nevertheless, when \( \Gamma \backslash S \) is non-compact little is known on bounds (of any quality) on the
sup norm \( \| f \|_\infty \) of cusp forms. A sample qualitative question is whether an eigenfunction
attains its largest value in a fixed bounded subset. For example, it is shown in [39, 65] that
the local bound (A) extends as a global bound to all cusp forms on the modular surface, the
non-compact arithmetic hyperbolic surface associated with \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). But this statement
masks the curious fact that such eigenfunctions can be large in the cusp, due to a transition
from an oscillatory to a decay regime. As a corollary to our main result, Theorem 1.1, we
shall show that for \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \), \( n \geq 6 \), the local bound (A) does not extend globally.

In light of this, it is of interest to estimate the size of eigenfunctions over various regions in
\( M \), such as on bounded sets escaping to infinity. We approach these questions of transition
behavior by modeling cusp forms by higher rank Whittaker functions. In the case of the
modular surface, it is the classical Bessel function which accounts for the large size of cusp
forms: in a small region of height close to \( \sqrt{\lambda} \), it is well-known that such functions admit
a turning point and inherit the behavior of the Airy function. We establish higher rank
transition behavior for more general Whittaker functions on split semisimple groups, showing,
in particular, that the Lagrangian manifold associated with the Jacquet integral lies as an open
subset of the Toda isospectral manifold, well-known in the physics literature. Our sharpest
quantitative results are for \( \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \), where we show that the Pearcey function plays the role
of the Airy function.

1. Statement of results

Let \( G \) be a split semisimple group over \( \mathbb{R} \), \( K \) a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \). We
denote by \( S = G/K \) the associated Riemannian globally symmetric space. Let \( \Gamma \) be a non-
uniform lattice in \( G \), which we shall assume to be of congruence type. We consider functions
\( f \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash S) \) which are eigenfunctions of the ring of \( G \)-invariant differential operators \( \mathcal{D}(S) \)
on \( S \), eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators, and cuspidal. We shall refer to functions
\( f \) satisfying the above conditions as \textit{Hecke-Maass cusp forms}. In particular, if \( \Delta \) denotes the
(non-negative) Laplacian on \( M \) then \( \Delta f = \lambda f \) for some \( \lambda > 0 \).

Let \( \mathfrak{a} \) be a maximal abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) of \( G \), chosen to lie in the \(-1\)
eigenspace of the Cartan involution associated with \( K \). Let \( H : G \to \mathfrak{a} \) denote the Iwasawa
projection. Then \( f \) shares the same \( \mathcal{D}(S) \)-eigenvalues as the function \( \exp((\rho + \nu)(H(x)) \) on
\( S \), for some \( \nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\text{reg} \). We call \( \nu \) the spectral parameter of \( f \). We shall restrict our attention
to Hecke–Maass cusp forms \( f \) whose spectral parameters lie in a cone \( \mathbb{R}_+ \Omega \subset i\mathfrak{a}^*_\text{reg} \), where
\( \Omega \subset i\mathfrak{a}^*_\text{reg} \) is an open bounded set. Here the non-singular set \( \mathfrak{a}^*_\text{reg} \) is the complement in \( \mathfrak{a}^* \)
of the root hyperplanes. In other words, we ask that \( f \) be both tempered and sufficiently
regular.

We introduce a constant associated with \( G \) arising from the integral representation of
Whittaker functions. Let \( B \) be a Borel subgroup of \( G \) with unipotent radical \( U \). Let \( \text{ht}(G) \)
be the sum of the heights of the positive roots. Then we define the non-negative half-integer
\[
c(G) = (\text{ht}(G) - \dim U)/2.
\]
Note that \( c(G) = 0 \) if and only if \( G \) is a product of rank one groups. For cusp forms admitting
a Whittaker expansion, this constant \( c(G) \) will be a useful exponential benchmark for their
sup norms.
1.1. **Large values of cusp forms on** $\text{GL}_n$. Our first result concerns the arithmetic locally symmetric spaces associated with the group $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Write

\[ S_n = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{PO}(n) \]

for the associated Riemannian globally symmetric space. This can be identified with the space of real positive definite symmetric matrices up to scalars, and the quotient $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash S_n$ is the space of rank $n$ Euclidean lattices, i.e., lattices up to rotation and dilation. In the present case, the existence of an infinite number of linearly independent Hecke–Maass cusp forms is a well-known result of Müller [57]. Our first main theorem furnishes a lower bound on sup norms of cusp forms on $\Gamma \backslash S_n$, for $\Gamma$ a congruence subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, with respect to the above constant $c(n) = c(\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\Omega \subset i\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^*$ be an open bounded set. For any Hecke–Maass cusp form $f$ on $\Gamma \backslash S_n$ whose spectral parameter lies in $\sqrt{\lambda} \Omega$, we have

\[ \|f\|_\infty \gg \lambda^{\frac{c(n)}{2}} \|f\|_2. \]

The implied multiplicative constant depends on $\varepsilon$, $\Omega$, and $\Gamma$.

From the explicit values of the exponents

\[ \frac{c(n)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{24} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d-1}{4} = \frac{n^2 + n - 4}{8}, \]

one sees that $\frac{c(n)}{2} > \frac{d-1}{4}$ for all $n \geq 6$, and thus we deduce the following.

**Corollary 1.2.** For $n \geq 6$ and $M = \Gamma \backslash S_n$, the bound (A) on Laplace eigenfunctions does not hold globally.

We also see that under the same assumptions, Hecke–Maass cusp forms on $\Gamma \backslash S_n$ achieve their maximum in the cusp, not in the bulk. We speculate that this could be true for all $n \geq 2$. We show in the next corollary that it holds for $n \geq 5$. We need the recent result of Blomer–Maga [14] and Marshall [50], which relies on the uniform bound for spherical functions of [15, 51], and says that there exists a constant $\delta(n) > 0$ depending only on $n$, such that

\[ |f(x)| \ll \lambda^{\frac{n(n-1)}{8} - \delta(n)} \|f\|_2, \]

where the implied constant depends continuously on $x \in M$. Since $\frac{c(5)}{2} = \frac{5}{2} = \frac{5(5-1)}{8}$, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** For $n \geq 5$ and any bounded subset $B \subset \Gamma \backslash S_n$, all but finitely many Hecke–Maass cusp forms $f$ as in Theorem 1.1 satisfy

\[ \|f\|_\infty > \sup_{g \in B} |f(g)|. \]

The exceedingly large values of cusp forms in Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as the semiclassical expression of a result of Kleinbock-Margulis [42], according to which almost all geodesics penetrate the cusp at logarithmic speed $1/h(t(G))$. This reflects the small volume carried by the cusps, creating a bottleneck phenomenon as standing waves transition from an oscillatory to a decay regime.

We emphasize that the lower bounds of Theorem 1.1 are of a very different nature than those of Rudnick-Sarnak [64], Milićević [53], or Lapid-Offen [47], all of which show power growth of sup norms of certain special Hecke–Maass forms. These latter results stem from the functorial (in the sense of Langlands) origin of these eigenfunctions, and their proofs involve compact periods. The large values of such special eigenfunctions occur in bounded subset of $\Gamma \backslash S$, and the behavior in the cusp is thus not reflected in these bounds.
1.2. Lower bounds on Whittaker functions. Theorem 1.1 is deduced from corresponding lower bounds of Whittaker functions, through the Fourier-Whittaker period of $f$ along the maximal unipotent subgroup $U$, following the method of [70]. This passage makes use of some special features of the group $\text{PGL}_n$, but the bounds on Whittaker functions themselves should be valid in wider generality. We thus return to the setting of a split semisimple real Lie group $G$ with associated symmetric space $S$.

A Whittaker function $W$ on $S$ is a $D_G(S)$-eigenfunction of moderate growth which transforms under the $U$-action by a non-degenerate additive character $\psi$. One can think of $W$ as a section of a line bundle defined by $\psi$ over the quotient $U\backslash S$. Whittaker functions on $U\backslash S$ are expected to vanish at 0 and be of exponential decay at infinity, so are bounded (see Figure 1 for an illustration of how to partition the space between a neighborhood of 0 and infinity). A key point of the present work is that one may normalize $W$ in a natural way using its expression as an oscillatory integral. The existence of such an integral expression was proved by Jacquet [40]. Combined with other results from representation theory, such as the multiplicity one theorem [67], this allows one to canonically define normalized Whittaker functions on $S$, which we call the Jacquet-Whittaker functions, see §3.5 for details.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $\Omega \subset i\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^*$ be an open bounded set. Assume that $G = \text{PGL}(n)$, or that Hypothesis 5.3 is satisfied. Then the Jacquet-Whittaker functions $W$ on $S$ whose spectral parameter lie in $\sqrt{\lambda}\Omega$ satisfy

$$\|W\|_\infty \gg \lambda^{c(G)}.$$

The implied multiplicative constant depends on $\Omega$.

**Remark 1.5.** We make two remarks on the general linear group in the formulation and proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

i) In the case of $G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, there is a naturally defined inner product with respect to which any Whittaker function on $S$ is $L^2$-integrable and which, moreover, assigns the Jacquet-Whittaker function $L^2$-norm 1. Following [70], one can then express Theorem 1.4 in a scale-invariant way as

$$\|W\|_\infty \gg \lambda^{c(n)} \|W\|_2.$$

One reflection of a special feature of $\text{PGL}_n$ is the existence of a formula (due to Stade [69]) relating the $L^2$-norm of the Whittaker function to local Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions. We exploit this fact to give a proof of Theorem 1.4 for $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ in §5.3.

ii) The restriction to $G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ in Theorem 1.1 is in part due to the genericity of Hecke-Maass cusp forms on $\Gamma\backslash S_n$. This property is used to reduce lower bounds on $f$ to those on any given (non-degenerate) Fourier-Whittaker coefficient. It is well known that cusp forms on other groups may fail to be generic. To extend the statement of Theorem 1.1 to such a setting (using Theorem 1.4), one might either wish to use different special functions and investigate their size, or retain the Fourier-Whittaker coefficients and simply restrict one’s attention to the generic spectrum. In either approach, one must be able to control the relation between the $L^2$ normalization of the cusp form and that of the special function. For Whittaker functions on $\text{GL}_n$ this is provided by Rankin-Selberg theory and known bounds on $L$-functions (see §4). Outside the context of $\text{GL}_n$, recent conjectures of Lapid-Mao [46] are relevant.

The constant $c(n)$ in Theorem 1.4 arises from the representation of Whittaker functions as oscillatory integrals over $U$, see §8. The $\text{ht}(G)/2$ term can be thought of as the asymptotics of a half-density, while $-\dim(U)/2$ is square-root cancellation over $U$. The next subsections provide a deeper study of these oscillatory integrals, by examining the regimes where
square-root cancellation fails (in which case the lower bound can be improved slightly) due to degeneracies.

In a different context, it is interesting to mention [10, Corollary 12.4] which establishes lower bounds for matrix coefficients when the $K$-types vary.

1.3. Lagrangian mappings associated with Whittaker functions. We return to the general setting of sup norms on Riemannian manifolds.

It is a general principle in semiclassical analysis (see [68, 73]) and the theory of Fourier Integral Operators (see [37, 25]) that eigenfunctions which exhibit extremal $L^p$ growth, if they exist, should concentrate in phase space $T^*(M)$ along certain Lagrangian submanifolds $\Lambda$ which are invariant under the action of the underlying Hamiltonian dynamics. For example, the zonal spherical harmonics on the sphere saturating the $L^\infty$ bound (A) concentrate on the meridian torus $\Lambda$ consisting of geodesics joining the poles (the antipodal points of the fixed rotation axis). The zonal spherical harmonics achieve their largest values at the poles, which are precisely the singularities of the projection $\Lambda \to M$. See also [24, Prop. 4.4] for the study of certain related manifolds.

Similarly, a Whittaker function $W$, since it can be represented as an oscillatory integral (see e.g (2.1)), gives rise in §3.5 to a Lagrangian submanifold $\Lambda$. We call $\operatorname{Im}(\Lambda \to U\setminus S)$ the essential support of the Whittaker function $W$. The singularities of the Lagrangian mapping $\Lambda \to U\setminus S$ produce large values of $W$. More generally, the singularities of the Lagrangian mapping $\Lambda \to U\setminus S$ induce a stratification of $\Lambda$ according to the degeneracy of the fibers. The type of degeneracy determines, via its singularity index that we discuss in §7, the corresponding bump in the asymptotics for the Whittaker function $W$.

There is a certain quantum integrable system whose eigenstates are the spherical Whittaker functions; see for example [43]. The classical integrable system is the Toda lattice [56] which we take to be defined on the space $J^*$ of linear functionals in $p^*$ vanishing on $[u, u]$. Here $p$ is the tangent space at the origin in $S$ and $u$ is the Lie algebra of $U$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset J^*$ be the compact isospectral submanifold corresponding to the infinitesimal character of $W$. We review these structures in detail in §6.1.

One of the tools we develop in this paper is an explicit description of $\Lambda \to U\setminus S$ for symmetric spaces $S$ associated with split semisimple real Lie groups $G$. We use in an essential way the symplectic reduction of the Hamiltonian action of $U$ on $T^*(S)$. See Theorem 6.5 for a more precise statement.

**Theorem 1.6.** The Lagrangian $\Lambda$ of a spherical Whittaker function embeds as an open subset of the Toda isospectral manifold $\mathcal{L}$.

The complement of the essential support $\operatorname{Im}(\Lambda \to U\setminus S)$ describes the classically forbidden region of the Toda flow. The corresponding quantum eigenstates – the Whittaker functions – then decay rapidly in this region, as we shall establish in §5.2. So while the archimedean Whittaker functions are not of compact support, the essential support provides a substitute. This is parallel to the theory of Fourier Integral Operators, where we could view $W$ as a distribution whose microlocal support is the Lagrangian $\Lambda$. From the above description of $\mathcal{L}$ as an isospectral variety, we may immediately deduce from Theorem 1.6 that all the simple roots evaluated at an element in $\operatorname{Im}(\Lambda \to U\setminus S)$ have size at most $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Information of this sort is a crucial input for the proof of Theorem 1.4.

1.4. Applications to $\text{GL}_3$. Reduction theory allows us convert the rapid decay of $W$ into that of (generic) Hecke–Maass cusp forms. We carry this out for $\text{PGL}_3$ and thereby quantify the threshold distance into the cusp beyond which a cusp form on $\Gamma\setminus S_3$ must decay rapidly. Then, by truncating $\Gamma\setminus S_3$ at this threshold, we can quickly establish polynomial upper bounds
on the sup norm. We obtain the following sample result, see Remark 4.3 for a discussion of why we have limited the scope to PGL

**Proposition 1.7.** In a Siegel domain, any Hecke–Maass cusp form \( f \) on \( \Gamma \backslash S_3 \) with Laplacian eigenvalue \( \lambda \) decays rapidly at height greater than \( \lambda^{1+\epsilon} \). Moreover,

\[
\|f\|_{\infty} \ll \epsilon \lambda^{5/2+\epsilon} \|f\|_2.
\]

We now turn to a refinement of Theorem 1.1 for PGL

**Theorem 1.8 (Theorem 9.1).** The Lagrangian mapping \( \Lambda \to U \backslash S_3 \) induces a stratification

\[
\Lambda^{(0)} \subset \Lambda^{(1)} \subset \Lambda^{(2)} = \Lambda
\]

where \( \Lambda^{(i)} \) is a closed submanifold of dimension \( i \). Here the most singular stratum \( \Lambda^{(0)} \) consists of two points of type \( A_2 \) singularity, moreover

\[
\Lambda^{(1)} - \Lambda^{(0)} := \{ x \in \Lambda : x \text{ is a type } A_2 \text{ singularity} \},
\]

and \( \Lambda - \Lambda^{(1)} \) is the open dense submanifold of regular points.

In particular \( \Lambda \to U \backslash S_3 \) contains two Whitney pleats in the neighborhood of \( \Lambda^{(0)} \). We refer to [4] for background on singularity theory and \$7.3$ for a brief summary. We establish an analogous stratification for the Toda isospectral manifold \( \mathcal{L} \to \mathfrak{u}_{ab}^* \) in \$9$ which is easier to work with. Then we use Theorem 1.6 to deduce the result for \( \Lambda \to U \backslash S_3 \).

The above Theorem 1.8 allows us to improve the lower bound of Theorem 1.4 for \( n = 3 \), using the method of normal forms of degenerate phase functions. This kind of analysis goes back to [12], where each generic singularity of corank 1 and 2 is studied.

**Theorem 1.9.** For any non-zero Whittaker function \( W \) on \( S_3 \) as above, with self-dual infinitesimal character and Laplacian eigenvalue \( \lambda \), we have

\[
\|W\|_{\infty} \gg \lambda^{3/8} \|W\|_2.
\]

We return to the existence of extremal eigenfunctions on compact Riemannian manifolds. For \( M \) of negative curvature, one does not expect strong localisation behavior along Lagrangian submanifolds in phase space. For example, the quantum ergodicity theorem establishes the existence of a density 1 subsequence of an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions for \( L^2(M) \) which do not localise on any proper subvariety of \( T^*(M) \).

Nevertheless, for non-compact Riemannian manifolds, there is a sense in which this non-localisation feature of negative curvature asymptotically fails near infinity. Heuristically, if a cusp form \( f \) on \( \Gamma \backslash S \) is well-approximated by its Fourier-Whittaker expansion, then \( f \) localizes where \( W \) does. This is made rigorous using the method of [70]. The large values of \( W \) in Theorem 1.9 created by their localization along \( \Lambda \) then transfer to those of \( f \).

**Corollary 1.10.** For any Hecke–Maass cusp form \( f \) on \( \Gamma \backslash S_3 \), with self-dual infinitesimal character and Laplacian eigenvalue \( \lambda \), we have

\[
\|f\|_{\infty} \gg \epsilon \lambda^{3/8-\epsilon} \|f\|_2.
\]

For PGL, the Whittaker functions \( W \) on the Poincaré upper-half plane \( S_2 \) are expressed in terms of \( K \)-Bessel functions, and classical estimates in the transition range [8] imply that

\[
\|W\|_{\infty} \gg \lambda^{1/12} \|W\|_2.
\]
As a consequence we recover the result of [65] on the growth of Hecke–Maass cusp forms on the modular surface SL$_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash S_2$. The above results are the appropriate generalizations to PGL$_3$. Observe that (1.2) and (1.3) may be rewritten as

\[(1.4) \quad \|W\|_\infty \gg \sqrt{\lambda^{c(n)+\beta_n}} \|W\|_2\]

for $n = 2$ and $3$, where $\beta_n$ is the singularity index of the Lagrangian mapping $\Lambda \to U \backslash S_n$, and $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_3 = \frac{1}{4}$. The $A_2$ singularity for the GL$_2$ Whittaker function, or equivalently $K$-Bessel function, arises from the “turning point” of the projection of the circle $L$ centered at the origin in $p^*$ of radius $\sqrt{\lambda - 1/4}$ onto the $u^*$-axis. At the fold, the $K$-Bessel function is modelled by the Airy function, the prototypical example of a function exhibiting a transition from an oscillatory to a decay regime. Several natural thresholds encountered in analytic number theory, especially problems having to do with the bounding of periods such as in the work of Bernstein and Reznikov [11], are directly related to this transition behavior of the Airy function. Similarly, the Pearcey function is associated with $A_3$ singularities as explicated by Berry [12], and we have shown that it models the peak behavior of GL$_3$ Whittaker functions, see §10 for details.

Finally, we remark that the existence of tempered PGL$_3$ cusp forms satisfying the self-dual condition at infinity of Corollary 1.10 can be seen by taking symmetric square lifts (and character twists thereof) of tempered PGL$_2$ Hecke–Maass cusp forms. The restriction to such $f$ should be unnecessary and we have assumed it solely to simplify certain local calculations. Note that locally self-dual at infinity does not imply globally self-dual, as for example is shown by twisting a globally self-dual form by a non-quadratic Dirichlet character.
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2. Outline of proofs

We now provide a brief outline of the proofs of the results stated in the introduction. For the reader’s benefit, we follow the same subsection structure of the introduction.

2.1. Proof sketch of results in §1.1.

2.1.1. Reduction of Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 begins in §4 by considering the integral of the cusp form $f$ over a closed unipotent orbit against a non-degenerate character. We obtain in this way the global Whittaker function

\[W_f(g) = \int_{(\Gamma \cap U) \backslash U} f(ug)\overline{\psi(u)}du.\]

Since the cycle $(\Gamma \cap U) \backslash U$ is compact, we can deduce lower bounds for $f$ from those of $W_f$.

The multiplicity one of the spherical Whittaker space and convexity bounds on Rankin–Selberg $L$-functions then allow us to replace $W_f$ by the Jacquet-Whittaker function $W_\nu$. As the notation suggests, this latter function is of purely local nature: it sees only the infinitesimal character $\nu$ but not the global automorphic form $f$. This then reduces the proof of Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.4.
2.1.2. Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.4 for PGL\(_n(\mathbb{R})\). In the special case of \(G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})\) we may prove Theorem 1.4 as follows (see §5.3 for details). We consider the zeta integral

\[
\Psi(s, W_\nu, \overline{W_\nu}) = \Gamma_R(ns) \int_{U_{n-1}/\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})} W_\nu \left( g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 |\det(g)|^{s-1} dg,
\]

where \(dg\) is a quotient of normalized Haar measures on \(U_{n-1}/\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\). Let \(T_{n-1}\) be the torus consisting of positive diagonal matrices in \(\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\), embedded in \(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})\) as above. Measure identifications and transformation properties of \(W_\nu\) allow one to write

\[
\Psi(s, W_\nu, \overline{W_\nu}) = \Gamma_R(ns) \int_{T_{n-1}} W_\nu \left( a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \det(a)^{s-2} \delta(a)^{-1} da,
\]

up to non-zero absolute constant depending on volume normalization, and the Stade formula (see (5.6)) states that the above integral is equal to the local Rankin-Selberg \(L\)-function

\[
L_R(s, \pi_\nu \times \tilde{\pi}_\nu)/L_R(1, \pi_\nu \times \tilde{\pi}_\nu).
\]

Specializing to \(s = 1\) we obtain the \(L^2\)-norm squared of \(W_\nu\), and we see that it is normalized to be equal to 1. The idea is to take \(\text{Re}(s)\) large which puts a greater weight on the region where \(W_\nu\) has large values, and comparing this with the volume of the region will yield the bound of Theorem 1.4.

Carrying out this strategy, we see from Stirling’s formula that \(\Psi(\sigma, W_\nu, \overline{W_\nu})\) has size \(t^{(\sigma-1) \dim U}\) as \(t \to \infty\). On the other hand, Theorem 1.6 implies that \(\Psi(\sigma, W_\nu, \overline{W_\nu})\) is majorized by

\[
\max_{a \in A} |W_{\nu}(a)|^2 \int_{\text{Im}(\Lambda_\nu \to U \setminus S)} \det(a)^{\sigma-2} \delta(a)^{-1} da.
\]

For \(\sigma > n - 1\), the integral converges to a constant times \(t^{(\sigma-1) \dim U - \varepsilon(n)}\). We deduce the bound \(\max_{a \in A} |W_{\nu}(a)|^2 \gg t^{\varepsilon(n)}\), as desired.

2.1.3. Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.4 for general \(G\). A spherical Whittaker function with infinitesimal character \(\nu\) is a constant multiple of the oscillatory integral

\[
W_\nu(a) = \delta(a)^{1/2} \nu(waw) \int_U \delta(\omega u)^{1/2} e^{i(B(H_\nu, H(\omega u)) - \ell_1(\omega a a^{-1}))} du,
\]

where \(a \in A\). See §3 for the notation used in the above expression, and Lemma 5.2. The size of the \(\delta(a)^{1/2}\) factor is easy to determine; that of the oscillatory integral is more subtle, for the phase function depends on both parameters \(\nu\) and \(a\).

The method of stationary phase states that the asymptotic of this integral is determined by the critical set of the phase function \(B(H_\nu, H(\omega u)) - \ell_1(\omega a a^{-1})\) measuring the interaction of the Iwasawa projection \(H(\omega u)\) (tested by \(\nu\)) with characters \(u \mapsto e^{i\ell_1(\omega a a^{-1})}\). If there are no critical points, then the integral decays rapidly, overwhelming the polynomial growth of \(\delta(a)^{1/2}\). If there do exist critical points, then the asymptotic size of the above integral is governed by local contributions around each one. A non-degenerate critical point makes a contribution \(t^{-\dim U/2}\) to the size of \(W_{\nu}(ta)\). A degenerate critical point will make a larger contribution, of size \(t^{-\dim U/2+\beta}\) for a certain rational number \(\beta\) which is a numerical invariant of the degeneracy.

To prove Theorem 1.4 we show in §8 that for every \(\nu\) there exists \(a\) such that the above phase function admits critical points whose local contributions do not cancel. For this, we adapt the method of Hörmander [37, 25] in the theory of Fourier integral operators as follows. To obtain upper bound estimates for the operators, the symbol is traditionally chosen to be transverse to the Lagrangian \(\Lambda\). However for our purpose of establishing a lower bound we make the opposite choice of a symbol which is tangent to \(\Lambda\), and then the modified phase
produces a lower bound (not necessarily sharp, since at degeneracies the lower bound could be stronger) on the oscillatory integral of size $t^{-\text{dim} U / 2}$. When the size of half-density $\delta(a)^{1/2}$ is taken into account, this yields the exponent $c(G)$.

2.2. Theorem 1.6 and the method of co-adjoint orbits. We now give an intuitive explanation for why one should expect to realize the Whittaker Lagrangian $\Lambda_\nu$ in $\mathcal{L}_\nu$, as stated in Theorem 1.6. Our inspiration is the geometric setting of the method of co-adjoint orbits.

Consider the action of $G$ on the space of linear functionals $\mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the co-adjoint action. For $g \in G$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ this is defined as $\text{Ad}_g^* \lambda = \lambda \circ \text{Ad}_g^{-1}$, where $\text{Ad} : G \to \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the adjoint representation. The orbits under this action are endowed with a natural $G$-invariant symplectic form, which at a point $\lambda$ is given by the formula $\Omega_\lambda (X, Y) = -\lambda([X, Y])$. The action of $G$ on an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is Hamiltonian with corresponding moment map the inclusion $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$.

We are particularly interested in co-adjoint orbits attached to $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}^*$. A natural way of obtaining them is to first consider the cotangent bundle $T^*(S)$. This receives a $G$-action inherited from the natural $G$-action on $S$ by isometries. We make the equivariant identification $T^*(S) = G \times_K \mathfrak{p}^*$ under which the moment map $T^*(S) \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ for the $G$-action is described by $[g, \xi] \mapsto \text{Ad}_g^* \xi$, see §6. Then the image of any $G$-orbit in $T^*(S)$ is a coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ associated with some $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}^*$.

The method of co-adjoint orbits states [63] that, in favorable circumstances, irreducible unitary representations $\pi$ of $G$ will be in finite-to-one correspondence with co-adjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}$. The association of a unitary representation with the Hamiltonian system of the symplectic $G$-manifold $\mathcal{O}$ is referred to as geometric quantization. Moreover, operations in the unitary dual (e.g. induction, restriction) should correspond to operations on corresponding orbits (e.g. intersection, projection). Other parallels exist; for example, the uncertainty principle is expressed in this set-up as a correspondence between the vectors in the unitary representation $\pi$ and balls of unit volume in the co-adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\pi$.

Of greatest interest to us is the following situation. For a connected subgroup $K$ of $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, the level sets of the corresponding moment map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ should in principle correspond to phase states with quantities conserved by $K$. For example, taking $K$ to be a maximal compact subgroup, spherical Whittaker functions $W$ are associated with $K$-fixed vectors of irreducible unitary unramified representations of $G$. From the tempered hypothesis on $W$, these representations are obtained by induction from some $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^* \subset i\mathfrak{p}^*$. Letting $\mathcal{O}$ be the coadjoint orbit of $\text{Im}(\nu) \in \mathfrak{p}^*$, isolating $\Phi_K^{-1}(0)$ in $\mathcal{O}$ then corresponds to picking out $K$-fixed vectors in $\pi$.

Furthermore, given two subgroups $U, K < G$, one can hope to understand the $U$-isotypic distribution of a $K$-fixed vector in $\pi$ via the projection map $\Phi_K^{-1}(0) \to u^*$. Theorem 1.6 carries out this yoga for $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$ and $U$ the unipotent radical of a Borel.

On one hand, the Toda isospectral manifold $\mathcal{L}_\nu$ is the intersection $\Phi_K^{-1}(0) \cap \Phi_{U_{\text{der}}}^{-1}(0)$ in the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ (see §6.5), where $U_{\text{der}} = [U, U]$ is the commutator subgroup. This intersection then admits a Lagrangian mapping to $u_{ab}^*$, with $u_{ab}^*$ denoting the characters of $u$ vanishing on $u_{\text{der}}$. When $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, for example, one obtains the projection from the circle of radius $\xi$ to the $u^*$-axis. On the other, the Whittaker Lagrangian $\Lambda_{\nu}$ admits a similar description with respect to the moment maps arising from the natural $G$-action on the cotangent bundle $T^*S \to S$ (see Proposition 6.1). Reducing $\Lambda_{\nu}$ by the $U$-action then defines an open embedding from $\Lambda_{\nu}^{\text{red}} \to U \backslash S$ into $\mathcal{L}_\nu \to u_{ab}^*$*. This is the statement of Theorem 6.5, which makes more precise Theorem 1.6 from the introduction.

2.3. Proof sketch of results in §1.4.
2.3.1. Sketch of proof of Proposition 1.7. To establish the rapid decay of $f$ high in the cusp, one first expands $f$ in its Fourier-Whittaker expansion, see §4.2. One must then check that every term in the expansion is itself evaluated high enough into the cusp for the decay estimates of Theorem 1.6 to apply; this is an exercise in reduction theory, which we carry out for $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. In this way, the decay estimate on Whittaker functions of Theorem 1.6 transfers, at least for $n = 3$, to the cusp form $f$.

To deduce an upper bound on the sup norm of $f$ from a quantitative estimate of its essential support, we argue as follows, see (4.3) for details. First recall a result of Sarnak [65] which states that a cusp form $f$ of eigenvalue $\lambda$ on a compact locally symmetric space of dimension $d$ and rank $r$ satisfies

\[
\|f\|_\infty \ll \lambda^{(d-r)/4}\|f\|_2.
\]

In fact, this holds for non-compact locally symmetric spaces as well, as long as one restricts to nice enough bounded subsets, such as geodesic balls. The key is that the quantitative dependence of the implied constant on the injectivity radius in (2.2) is rather easy to explicate. So we simply go through Sarnak’s proof of (2.2) on the truncation of $\Gamma\setminus S_3$ to the essential support of $f$, since it has positive calculable global injectivity radius.

2.3.2. Proof sketch of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.10. The description of $\Lambda_\nu$ given in Theorem 1.6 is convenient for computations: roughly speaking, the equations defining the fiber of $f$ is the cubic equation

\[
\det(s) = 0
\]

and rank $d$ and characteristic polynomial agreeing with that of $\nu$. For $G = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\nu$ self-dual, this boils down to the following problem.

Let $t > 1$. Let $J$ denote the real tridiagonal symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices. Determine the intersection configuration of the solutions $s \in J$ having fixed non-zero off-diagonal entries to the cubic equation $\det(s) = 0$ and the quadratic equation $\|s\| = t^2$.

The §9 is dedicated to the solution of this problem. In particular, the $A_3$ singularities are created when the two equations have two intersection points, both with multiplicity 3. Stationary phase asymptotics for $A_3$ singularities then produce the $\lambda^{3/8}$ lower bound for the corresponding spherical Whittaker function. Finally, to deduce the bounds on the cusp form $f$ as stated in Corollary 1.10 one follows the argument sketched in §2.1.1.

3. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we establish basic notation that we’ll need for later calculations. We will take $G$ to be a split semi-simple real Lie group throughout this section.

3.1. Basic notation on roots. Let $\Theta$ denote a Cartan involution on $G$. Denote by $\theta$ the differential of $\Theta$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, the (real) Lie algebra of $G$. One has an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ into the $-1$ and $+1$ eigenspaces of $\theta$. Then $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of $K$, the group of fixed points of $\Theta$.

Choose a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. The Weyl group of $G$ is $W = W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}) = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$, the quotient of the normalizer by the centralizer of the adjoint action of $K$ on $\mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ the associated closed connected subgroup of $G$. Then $A$ is a maximal split torus of $G$ preserved by $\Theta$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ be the dual of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_c^* = \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{a}^* + i\mathfrak{a}^*$ its complexification. We agree to the notational convention for which $(\nu, X)$ is the evaluation of $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ at $X \in \mathfrak{a}$. Moreover, when $a \in A$ we write $\nu(a)$ for $e^{(\nu, \log a)}$.

Let $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of (restricted) roots. We have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, with each $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of dimension one. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $H_\alpha$ be the corresponding co-root; this, by definition, is the unique element in $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\langle \alpha, H_\alpha \rangle = 2$. For a root $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be
chosen such that \([X_{-\alpha}, X_{\alpha}] = H_{\alpha}\). The choice of a system of simple roots \(\Pi\) determines a set of positive roots \(\Delta^+\). Let \(u = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} g_{\alpha}\) and \(\overline{u} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} g_{-\alpha}\). When \(\ell \in u^*\) we sometimes write \((\ell, u)\) or \(\ell(u)\) to mean \(\langle \ell, \log u \rangle\). Let \(\rho \in a^*\) be half the sum of the positive roots; thus \(\langle \rho, \cdot \rangle\) is half the trace of the adjoint action on \(u\).

Let \(U\) and \(\overline{U}\) be the connected closed subgroups of \(G\) whose Lie algebras are \(u\) and \(\overline{u}\), respectively. We have \(\overline{U} = UU\). Let \(B\) be the unique Borel subgroup of \(G\) containing \(A\) and \(U\). Then \(U\) is the unipotent radical of \(B\), the Lie algebra of \(B\) is \(b = a \oplus u\), and one has the Langlands decomposition \(B = MAU\), where \(M = B \cap K\).

Denote by \(\text{Ad} : G \to \text{Aut}(g)\) the adjoint representation. For \(g \in G\) and \(X \in g\) we will often use the shorthand \(X^g\) to denote \(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}X\). (The inverse in the latter notation is there for the right-action rule \(X^g = (Xg)^h\) to hold.) Similarly, for \(g, z \in G\) we write \(z^g = g^{-1}zg\). For \(g \in G\) and \(\lambda \in g^*\) we let \(\text{Ad}_g^*\lambda := \lambda \circ \text{Ad}_g^{-1}\).

Fix a choice \(B(\cdot, \cdot) : g \times g \to \mathbb{R}\) of \(\text{Ad}\)-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, normalized to be positive definite on \(p\). Then \(-B(X, \theta Y)\) is positive definite on \(g\); let \(\|X\|^2 = -B(X, \theta X)\) be the associated norm on elements of \(g\). The restriction of \(B(\cdot, \cdot)\) to \(a\) defines a positive definite bilinear form. We use \(B(\cdot, \cdot)\) to identify \(a^*\) with \(a\) as follows. For \(\xi \in a^*\), we let \(H_\xi\) denote the unique element in \(a\) such that \(\langle \xi, H \rangle = B(H_\xi, H)\) for every \(H \in a\). Furthermore, we can extend \(B(\cdot, \cdot)\) to a hermitian scalar product on \(a_\mathbb{C}\), allowing us to identify \(a_+^*\) with \(a_\mathbb{C}\). If \(v \in i a^*\) with \(\xi = \text{Im} \nu\), we write \(H_\nu\) for \(H_\xi\).

The root hyperplane (or wall) associated to the element \(\alpha \in \Delta\) is the linear subspace of \(a\) on which it vanishes. The Weyl chambers are the connected components of the complement of all walls in \(a\). The union of all Weyl chambers is the set \(a_{\text{reg}}\) of regular elements. Let \(a_+\) (resp. \(a_+^*\)) denote the positive Weyl chamber in \(a\) (resp. \(a^*\)). The Weyl group acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers. An element \(H\) is regular if and only if \(H^w = H\) for some \(w \in W\) implies \(w = e\). The long Weyl element, which we denote by \(w\), sends \(a_+\) to \(-a_+\). We make once and for all a choice of a lift of the longest Weyl group element to an element in \(K\) and we continue to write it as \(w\).

### 3.2. Iwasawa decomposition

The Iwasawa decomposition is \(G = UAK\). We denote by \(\kappa(g)\) the unique element in \(K\) such that \(g\kappa(g)^{-1} \in AU\), and \(\tau(g) = g\kappa(g)^{-1}\).

For \(a \in A\) let \(\delta(a) = |\det(\text{Ad}(a)|_u)|\), the Jacobian of the automorphism of \(U\) sending \(u\) to \(aua^{-1}\). Thus, if \(du\) is any Haar measure on \(U\), then \(\int_U f(aua^{-1})du = \delta(a)^{-1}\int_U f(u)du\).

Since \(a \in A\) acts on \(X \in g_{\alpha}\) via the adjoint action by multiplication by \(\langle \alpha, \log a \rangle\) we have \(\delta(a) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(a) = \rho(a)^2\). For any choice of left-invariant Haar measures \(du, da, dk\) on \(U, A, K\), respectively, the product measure \(dg = \delta(a)^{-1}du da dk\) defines a left-invariant Haar measure on \(G\).

Recall the Iwasawa decomposition of the Lie algebra \(g = u \oplus a \oplus \mathfrak{t}\). We denote by \(E_a\) (resp., \(E_u, E_t\)) the projection from \(g\) onto \(a\) (resp., \(u, t\)). Note that unlike \(E_a\), the projections \(E_u, E_t\) are not orthogonal with respect to \(B\).

The map \(H : G \to a\) sending \(g = ue^{X}k\) \((u \in U, X \in a, k \in K)\) to \(X\) is called the Iwasawa projection. Its derivative was computed in \([27, \text{Corollary } 5.2]\). We state and prove a consequence of this which will be useful for us in §6.

**Lemma 3.1.** For \(\xi \in a^*\), the right derivative along \(X \in g\) of the function \(g \mapsto \langle \xi, H(g) \rangle\) is equal to \(\langle \xi, X^{\kappa(g)^{-1}} \rangle\).

**Proof.** From the linearity of \(\xi \mapsto \langle \xi, H \rangle\), we may pass the derivative inside the bracket. From \([27, \text{Lemma } 5.1]\) the directional derivative along \(X\) of the Iwasawa projection \(g \mapsto H(g)\) is
We begin by writing \( E_\alpha(X^{\kappa(g)^{-1}}) \). This gives
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \xi, H(ge^{tX}) \rangle_{|t=0} = \langle \xi, E_\alpha(X^{\kappa(g)^{-1}}) \rangle.
\]
As \( \mathfrak{a}^* \) is orthogonal to \( \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \) we have \( \langle \xi, E_\alpha(X^{\kappa(g)^{-1}}) \rangle = \langle \xi, X^{\kappa(g)^{-1}} \rangle \), as desired. \( \square \)

If we apply the above lemma to the case when \( g = \mathfrak{w}u \), for \( u \in U \) then we recover the following result which appears in [20, Proposition 9.1], and also in [27]. Let \( \xi \in \mathfrak{a}^* \) be given. Then \( u \) is a critical point of \( \langle \xi, H(\mathfrak{w}u) \rangle \) if and only if \( \xi^{\kappa(\mathfrak{w}u)} \in \mathfrak{a}^* \). In particular, if \( \xi \) is regular then the only critical point of \( \langle \xi, H(\mathfrak{w}u) \rangle \) is the identity \( e \).

3.3. Bruhat decomposition. Next we recall the Bruhat decomposition,
\[
G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} G_w,
\]
where \( G_w = B w U_w \) and \( U_w = U \cap (w^{-1} U w) \). The cell \( G_w = B w U \) associated with the long Weyl element \( w \) is called the big cell; it is open and dense in \( G \). For any \( w \in W \) let \( u_w \) denote the Lie algebra of \( U_w \). Note that \( u_w = u \). We have
\[
\mathfrak{u}_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+(w)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_+(w) = \{ \alpha \in \Delta_+ : -w \alpha \in \Delta_+ \}.
\]
Write \( u^w \) for the direct sum of the \( \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in \Delta_+ - \Delta_+(w) \), so that \( u = u_w \oplus u^w \).

We call an element \( \ell \in u^* \) degenerate if it vanishes identically on some simple root space \( \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \), \( \alpha \in \Pi \). We call it non-degenerate otherwise. Since at least one of the roots in \( \Delta_+ - \Delta_+(w) \) is simple, \( \ell \) is degenerate if and only if it belongs to \( u^w_\alpha \) for some \( w \neq w \). The set of non-degenerate functionals is therefore equal to \( u^* - \bigcup_{w \neq w} u^w_\alpha \).

The Bruhat decomposition of \( G \) gives rise to a cellular decomposition on the flag variety \( B \backslash G \). By definition, these cells are the orbits of the cosets \( B w \), where \( w \in W \), under the natural right-action of \( U \) on \( B \backslash G \). When we make the identification \( B \backslash G = M \backslash K \), the action of \( U \) on \( B \backslash G \) induces a right-action of \( U \) on \( M \backslash K \) given by \( (k, u) \mapsto M \kappa(ku) \). The Bruhat cell \( B \backslash B w U \) is then identified with the image \( S^+_w \) of the map
\[
U \to M \backslash K, \quad u \mapsto M \kappa(wu).
\]
We thus obtain the following decomposition
\[
M \backslash K = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} S^+_w.
\]
We are borrowing the notation \( S^+_w \) (for stable manifold) from [27, §3]. We note that \( S^+_w \) are stable under right \( M \)-action. Moreover under the inversion \( k \mapsto k^{-1} \), the cell \( S^+_w \) is mapped bijectively to \( S^+_w^{-1} \).

Compare the following result to [loc. cit., Proposition 7.1].

**Lemma 3.2.** For \( w \in W \) the differential of the above map \( U \to S^+_w \) is given by
\[
d\kappa(wu)(Y) = E_\ell(Y^{\kappa(\mathfrak{w}u)^{-1}})^{\kappa(\mathfrak{w}u)}.
\]
The restriction to \( U_w \) induces a diffeomorphism of \( U_w \) onto \( S^+_w \).

**Proof.** We begin by writing \( \mathfrak{w}u = \tau(wu)\kappa(wu) \); thus for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) we have \( \mathfrak{w}u e^{tY} = \tau(wu)e^{t\kappa(wu)^{-1}}k^{-1} \), where we have set \( k = \kappa(wu)^{-1} \). Then \( d\kappa(wu)(Y) \) is equal to
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \kappa(wu e^{tY})|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \kappa(e^{t\kappa(wu)^{-1}})|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} e^{tE_\ell(Y^{\kappa(wu)^{-1}})}k^{-1}|_{t=0}.
\]
Conjugating this by \( k \), we obtain the desired formula.
For the second statement, it suffices to observe that the isotropy subgroup of the point \( Bw \) for the \( U \)-action on \( B \backslash G \) is the analytic subgroup \( U^w = U \cap w^{-1}Uw \) of \( G \) whose Lie algebra is \( u^w \). Since \( U = U_wU^w \) and \( U_w \cap U^w = \{ e \} \) the claim follows. \( \square \)

### 3.4. Spherical representations and invariants

Let \( S = G/K \) be the globally Riemannian symmetric space associated with \( G \), and \( \mathcal{R}_G(S) \) the ring of left \( G \)-invariant differential operators on \( S \). The Harish-Chandra isomorphism identifies the differential eigencharacters \( \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}_G(S), \mathbb{C}) \) with the space of (spherical) infinitesimal characters \( a_\ast^S/\mathbb{W} \). For \( \nu \in a_\ast^S \) let \( \lambda_{\nu} \) be the associated Laplacian eigenvalue given by evaluating the associated differential eigencharacter on \( \Delta \). The Laplacian being an order two differential operator, when we scale \( \nu \) by \( t > 1 \) we obtain \( \lambda_{t\nu} \approx t^2\lambda_{\nu} \).

For \( \nu \in ia^* \) consider the representation of \( G \) by right-translation on the space of smooth functions \( f : G \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfying

\[
f(bg) = f(g)\delta(b)^{1/2}e^{\langle \nu, H(b) \rangle} \quad g \in G, b \in B.
\]

The inner product \( \int_K f_1(k)\overline{f_2}(k)dk \) on this space is \( G \)-invariant. Here \( dk \) is the probability Haar measure on \( K \). We denote by \( \pi_{\nu} \) the completion of this space relative to this normalized inner product. Then \( \pi_{\nu} \) is an irreducible unitary spherical tempered representation (spherical principal series [76, §5]). We have \( \pi_{\nu} \simeq \pi_{\nu'} \) if and only if \( \nu = w\nu' \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{W} \). We shall only be interested in \( \nu \) regular; so that \( w\nu \neq \nu \) unless \( w = e \). The isomorphism classes of irreducible unitary regular tempered spherical representations of \( G \) are parametrized by \( \nu \) lying in the positive chamber \( a_\ast^S_+ \).

We define the height of \( G \) to be

\[
\text{ht}(G) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \text{ht}(\alpha),
\]

where \( \text{ht}(\alpha) \) is the sum of the coefficients of \( \alpha \) when written as a linear combination of the positive simple roots. The height of \( G \) has the following property: for an element \( a \in A \) and a positive real \( t > 0 \) let \( ta \) be the unique element in \( A \) whose simple roots satisfy \( \alpha(ta) = t\alpha(a) \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \). Then one deduces that

\[
\delta(ta) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(ta) = t^{\text{ht}(G)}\delta(a).
\]

In particular, the height of \( G \) describes the size of the spherical vector in \( \pi_{\nu} \) along directions \( ta \). Recall that the spherical vector in \( \pi_{\nu} \) is the unique \( K \)-fixed vector taking value 1 at the identity. It has \( L^2 \)-norm 1 and is given by the expression

\[
f_{\nu}(g) = e^{\langle \rho + \nu, H(g) \rangle} = \delta(g)^{1/2}e^{\langle \nu, H(g) \rangle}.
\]

Here and elsewhere, \( \delta(g) = \delta(a) \) if \( g = uak \); alternatively, \( \delta(g) = e^{2\langle \rho, H(g) \rangle} \).

The height of \( G \) can also be used to describe the continuous spectrum \( [\lambda_1(S), \infty) \) of the Laplacian acting on \( L^2(S) \) for the symmetric space \( S \). Indeed one has \( \lambda_1(S) = \lambda_{\nu} \) for \( \nu = 0 \in ia^* \), the Laplace eigenvalue for the trivial infinitesimal character in the notation of §3.4. For example, when \( G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( S_n = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{PO}(n) \) we have \( \lambda_1(S_n) = (n^3 - n)/24 \) (see, for example, [54]) and

\[
\text{ht}(\text{PGL}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} i = 8\lambda_1(S_n) - \dim U.
\]
3.5. Whittaker models, phase functions, and associated Lagrangians. We now describe various Whittaker structures associated with the above representations \( \pi_{\nu} \).

Let \( \psi \) be a unitary character of \( U \). Then \( \psi \) factors through \( U_{\text{der}} = [U, U] \) and since the abelianization of \( U \) is \( U_{\text{ab}} = U / U_{\text{der}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi} U_{\alpha} \), where \( U_{\alpha} \) is the analytic subgroup with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \), we may factorize \( \psi \) as \( \psi = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi} \psi_{\alpha} \). We call \( \psi \) non-degenerate if each \( \psi_{\alpha} \) is non-trivial. Using the elements \( X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \) from \( \S 3.3 \), we identify \( \mathbb{R} \) with \( U_{\alpha} \) via the map \( t \mapsto e^{tX_{\alpha}} \). We denote by \( \psi_{1} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi} \psi_{1,\alpha} \) the unique character of \( U \) such that \( \psi_{1,\alpha}(e^{iX_{\alpha}}) = e^{2\pi i \ell_{\alpha}} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Pi \). We let \( \ell_{\alpha} \) be the unique element in \( \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}^{*} \) such that \( \psi_{1}(u) = e^{i\ell_{\alpha} u} \).

Now consider the space \( C^{\infty}(U \setminus G, \psi) \) of smooth functions \( \mathcal{W} \) on \( G \) satisfying the transformation formula \( W(u g) = \psi(u) W(g) \) for all \( g \in G \) and \( u \in U \). Then \( G \) acts on \( C^{\infty}(U \setminus G, \psi) \) by right-translation. This is the Whittaker space associated to \( \psi \); it is the induction to \( G \) of the one-dimensional representation \( \psi \) of \( U \).

For \( \nu \in \mathfrak{a}^{*} \), one can define \([40, 66]\) a non-zero linear form on \( \pi_{\nu} \) by setting

\[
\mathcal{J}^{\psi}(f) = \int_{U} f(wu) \overline{\psi(u)} du,
\]

a conditionally convergent integral \([77, \S 15]\). One readily verifies that for \( u \in U \), \( \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(u)f) = \psi(u) \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(f) \), so that \( 0 \neq \mathcal{J}^{\psi} \in \text{Hom}_{U}(\pi_{\nu}, \psi) \). It is known that \( \dim \text{Hom}_{U}(\pi_{\nu}, \psi) = 1 \). Thus \( \mathcal{J}^{\psi} \) is the unique non-zero element up to scaling. We can replace \( f \) by its translate by a group element to form \( \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(g)f) \), which as a function on \( G \), satisfies \( \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(ug)f) = \psi(u) \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(g)f) \) for every \( g \in G \) and \( u \in U \). The assignment \( f \mapsto \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(\cdot)f) \) is a non-zero intertwining from \( \pi_{\nu} \) to \( C^{\infty}(U \setminus G, \psi) \). We denote the image by \( \mathcal{W}(\pi_{\nu}, \psi) \) and refer to it as the Whittaker model of \( \pi_{\nu} \).

Let \( W_{\nu}^{\psi} \) denote the image of the spherical function \( f_{\nu} \in \pi_{\nu}^{K} \) under this intertwining: \( W_{\nu}^{\psi}(g) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}(\pi_{\nu}(g)f_{\nu}) \) for \( g \in G \). This is the Jacquet-Whittaker function, given explicitly by

\[
W_{\nu}^{\psi}(g) = \int_{U} \delta(wug)^{1/2} e^{iB(H_{\nu}, H(wug))} \overline{\psi(u)} du.
\]

Clearly \( W_{\nu}^{\psi} \) lies in \( \mathcal{W}(\pi_{\nu}, \psi)^{K} \), the one-dimensional space of \( K \)-fixed vectors in \( \mathcal{W}(\pi_{\nu}, \psi) \). When \( \psi = \psi_{1} \) we simplify the notation and write \( W_{\nu} \) in place of \( W_{\nu}^{\psi_{1}} \). From the above integral we may extract the oscillatory dependence via

\[
F_{\nu}(u, g) = B(H_{\nu}, H(wug)) - \langle \ell_{1}, u \rangle,
\]

the **Whittaker phase function**. By the right \( K \)-invariance in the second variable we often view \( F_{\nu} \) as a function on \( U \times S \), and write \( F_{\nu}(u, x) \) for \( x = gK \).

Denote by \( \Sigma_{\nu} \) the fiber critical set of \( F_{\nu} \) with respect to the natural projection \( U \times S \to S \); thus

\[
\Sigma_{\nu} = \{(u, x) \in U \times S : d_{u}F_{\nu}(u, x) = 0 \}.
\]

There is an associated fiber preserving map \([25, 37]\)

\[
\Sigma_{\nu} \to T^{*}(S), \quad (u, x) \mapsto (x, d_{x}F_{\nu}(u, x)),
\]

into the cotangent bundle \( T^{*}(S) \to S \) of \( S \), whose image we denote by \( \Lambda_{\nu} \).

If \( \nu \) is regular then \( F_{\nu} \) is a non-degenerate phase function \([44, \text{Theorem 6.7.1}]\), which implies that \( \Sigma_{\nu} \) is a smooth manifold of dimension \( \dim S \) and \( \Lambda_{\nu} \) is a Lagrangian submanifold of \( T^{*}(S) \). In particular, \( \Lambda_{\nu} \to S \) is a Lagrangian mapping.
4. REDUCTION TO LOCAL ESTIMATES

The purpose of this section is to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.4, and of Proposition 1.7 to Theorem 1.6.

4.1. Reduction of Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.4. We follow the method of [70]. Let $G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $K = \PO(n)$, and let $f$ be a Hecke–Maass form on $\Gamma \backslash S_n$ with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$.

We view $f$ as a right $K$-invariant function in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Choose a non-degenerate character $\psi$ of $U$, trivial on $\Gamma_U = \Gamma \cap U$, and consider the Whittaker integral

$$W_f(g) = \int_{\Gamma_U \backslash U} f(ug)\overline{\psi(u)}du, \quad g \in G.$$ 

Since $\Gamma_U \backslash U$ is compact, we deduce that $\|f\|_\infty \geq \text{vol}(\Gamma_U \backslash U)^{-1}\|W_f\|_\infty$.

From the Hecke assumption on $f$, we know that $W_f$ is a non-zero vector belonging to the one-dimensional space $W(\pi_\nu, \psi)^K$ of $K$-fixed vectors in the local Whittaker model of $\pi_\nu$. A result of Bernshstein [9, Corollary 10.4], extending to the archimedean case the analogous result of Bernstein over non-archimedean local fields, shows there is a unique (up to scaling) $G$-invariant inner product on $W(\pi_\nu, \psi)$ given by

$$\int_{U \backslash P_n} W_1(p)\overline{W_2(p)}dp.$$ 

Here $P_n$ is the mirabolic subgroup of $G$ consisting of (homothety classes of) matrices with $(0, \ldots, 1)$ in the bottom row. Note that $P_n = G_{n-1} \ltimes U_{n-1}$, where $G_{n-1} = \text{PGL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $U_{n-1}$ is the unipotent radical of the standard Borel in $G_{n-1}$. The right-invariant Haar measure $dp$ on $U \backslash P_n$ is given by choosing Haar measures on the unimodular groups $G_{n-1}$, $U_{n-1}$, and $U$.

The unfolding of the Rankin-Selberg integral implies [28] that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = c \text{Res}_{s=1} L(s, \pi \times \overline{\pi}) \|W_f\|_2^2,$$

where $\pi$ is the cuspidal automorphic representation generated by the Hecke-Maass form $f$. Here $c > 0$ is a constant depending only on the volume normalization. Moreover, by Li [48] (see also [17, 55, 62]), we have

$$\text{Res}_{s=1} L(s, \pi \times \overline{\pi}) \ll_{\varepsilon} \lambda^\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$ 

The implicit constant depends on $\Gamma$, but since we view the space $\Gamma \backslash S_n$ as being fixed, we will always drop the dependence on $\Gamma$. From this we deduce the lower bound

$$\|W_f\|_\infty \gg_{\varepsilon} \lambda^{-\varepsilon} \|W_f\|_2 / \|W_f\|_2.$$ 

From its scale invariance and the multiplicity one of spherical Whittaker functions, this last quotient is unchanged under the substitution of the global Whittaker period $W_f$ by any other non-zero vector $W \in W(\pi_\nu, \psi)^K$, where $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ is the spectral parameter. Taking $W = W_\nu$, this yields

$$\|f\|_\infty \gg_{\varepsilon} \lambda^{-\varepsilon} \|W_\nu\|_\infty / \|W_\nu\|_2.$$ 

Now Theorem 1.4 says that $\|W_\nu\|_\infty \gg \lambda^{\frac{(G)}{2}} \|W_\nu\|_2$ for $\nu \in \sqrt{\lambda}\Omega$. This completes the reduction of Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.4.

Remark 4.1. In [30], Gelbart, Lapid, and Sarnak establish a lower bound on Langlands-Shahidi $L$-functions $L(1 + it, f, \nu)$ for generic automorphic cusp forms $f$ and $|t| \to \infty$. Their method, like that of this paper, relies on lower bounds for Whittaker functions. To compare,
– in this paper, a lower bound for \(\|W\|_\infty\) and the convexity upper bound for \(L(1, f \times \tilde{f})\) together imply a lower bound for \(\|f\|_\infty\);

– in [30], a lower bound for Whittaker functions \([loc. \ cit, \ Lem. 7]\) and an upper bound for \(\|A^T E(\frac{1}{2} + it, f)\|_2\) \([loc. \ cit., \ Prop. 2]\) together imply a lower bound for \(L(1 + it, f, r)\).

4.2. Reduction of Proposition 1.7 to Proposition 5.1. For simplicity we assume that \(\Gamma = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\); the general case for arbitrary congruence \(\Gamma\) is similar. We use the following subgroup notation: \(B_2\) is the standard Borel subgroup of \(\text{GL}_2\), \(U_2\) its unipotent radical, and \(A_2\) the group of diagonal matrices. We view \(\text{GL}_2\) as embedded in \(G = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})\) via \(g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g \end{pmatrix}_1\). The analogous subgroups \(U\), \(A\), and \(K\) of \(G\) have the same meaning as in the introduction.

– Fourier expansion: The Fourier–Whittaker expansion of the \(L^2\)-normalized cusp form \(f\) at the unique cusp for \(\Gamma = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\) is given by

\[
\tag{4.1}
f(g) = \sum_m \sum_{[\gamma]} \rho_f(m) W_\nu \left( d_m \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g \right),
\]

where \(m = (m_1, m_2)\) ranges over all vectors in \(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^2\), \(d_m = \text{diag}(m_1 m_2, m_2, 1)\), and \([\gamma]\) ranges over cosets \(U_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\). The coefficients \(\rho_f(m)\) are certain complex numbers satisfying \(\rho_f(1, 1) \neq 0\). They grow at most polynomially in \(t \max(|m_1|, |m_2|)\), a fact established in [16]. (Recall that the spectral parameter \(\nu\) of \(f\) is in \(t \Omega \subset i \alpha^*_\text{reg}\) with \(t > 1\)).

– Staying in the cusp: Writing \(g \in \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})\) in its Iwasawa decomposition \(g = u a k\), we can clearly assume that \(k = e\). The hypothesis of the first statement in Proposition 1.7 is that \(a = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2, y_2, 1)\) satisfies \(\min(y_1, y_2) \geq \sqrt{3}/2\) and \(\max(y_1, y_2) \gg t\) for a large parameter \(t\). Theorem 1.6 then states that such \(g\) lie in the rapid decay regime for \(W_\nu\). We would like to say that this is equally true for every translate \(d_m \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g\) appearing in the Fourier-Whittaker expansion above. Now since \(d_m\) normalizes \(U\), the \(A\)-part of \(d_m \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g\) in the Iwasawa decomposition \(\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R}) = U A K\) is equal to \(d_m\) times the \(A\)-part of \(\left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g\). For the latter matrix, we have the following lower bound on the maximum of the roots.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \(g \in \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})\) be as above and let \(\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\). Let \(a' = \text{diag}(y'_1 y'_2, y'_2, 1)\) be the Iwasawa \(A\)-part of \(\left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g\). Then

\[
\max(y'_1, y'_2) \gg \max(y_1, y_2).
\]

**Proof.** If \(\gamma \in B_2(\mathbb{Z})\) then there is \(k' = \text{diag}(\pm 1, \pm 1)\) such that \(\gamma k' \in U_2(\mathbb{Z})\). We see then that \(\left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g \in U a K\). Thus in this case we in fact have \(y'_i = y_i\).

If \(\gamma \not\in B_2(\mathbb{Z})\) then we use the Bruhat decomposition of \(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) to write \(\gamma = b w_0 a'\), for some \(b \in B_2(\mathbb{Q})\) and \(u' \in U_2(\mathbb{Q})\), where \(w_0 := \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)\). Since \(B_2(\mathbb{Q}) = U_2(\mathbb{Q}) A_2(\mathbb{Q})\) we can assume that \(b \in A_2(\mathbb{Q})\), say \(b = \text{diag}(\pm 1/q, q)\) for \(q \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\). Since \(\gamma\) has integer entries one in fact has \(q \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\).

Writing \(w := \left( \begin{smallmatrix} w_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)\), we have

\[
\left( \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) g = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} b & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} u' & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) u a = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} b & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \cdot w a w \cdot w v,
\]

where \(v = a^{-1} (u') u a \in U\). The roots \(w y_i\) of \(w a w\) are \(w y_1 = y_1^{-1}\) and \(w y_2 = y_1 y_2\). Moreover, if \(v = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)\) then \(w v\) has Iwasawa A-part \(\text{diag}(1/\sqrt{1 + x^2}, \sqrt{1 + x^2}, 1)\). Thus \(y'_1 = q^{-2} y_1^{-1}(1 + x^2)^{-1}\) and \(y'_2 = |q| y_1 y_2 \sqrt{1 + x^2}\). The first root \(y'_1\) can be very small, but since \(|q| \geq 1, \sqrt{1 + x^2} \geq 1\), and \(\min(y_1, y_2) \gg 1\) we have \(y'_2 \gg \max(y_1, y_2)\) as desired. \(\square\)
– Conclusion of the proof: We continue with the reduction of the first statement of Proposition 1.7 to Proposition 5.1. Recall that \( \nu \in t\Omega \subset i\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^* \). By hypothesis, we have \( \max(y_1, y_2) \gg t^{1+\epsilon} \), for some \( \epsilon > 0 \).

We return to the Fourier–Whittaker expansion (4.1) of \( f \). Note that the maximum of the roots of \( d_n (\gamma \gamma') g \) is equal to \( \max(m_1 y'_1, m_2 y'_2) \), and that, since \( g \) is assumed to lie in a Siegel domain, we have \( y_1, y_2 \gg 1 \). We may therefore apply Proposition 5.1 (as well as the aforementioned bound on \( \rho_f(m) \)) to every term in (4.1). In this way we obtain

\[
f(g) \ll_N t^{N+O(1)} \sum_m (m_1 m_2)^{O(1)} \max(m_1 y'_1, m_2 y'_2)^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

We shall prove that the double sum is at most \( \max(y_1, y_2)^{-N+O(1)} \). In this way, we will have established that

\[
(4.2) \quad f(g) \ll_N \left( t \max(y_1, y_2) \right)^{O(1)} \left( \max(y_1, y_2) / t \right)^{-N},
\]

proving rapid decay in the region \( \max(y_1, y_2) \gg t^{1+\epsilon} \), as desired.

We begin by treating, for every fixed \([\gamma]\), the sum over \( m \). We claim that, for \( N \) large enough,

\[
\sum_m (m_1 m_2)^{O(1)} \max(m_1 y'_1, m_2 y'_2)^{-N+O(1)} \ll \max(y'_1, y'_2)^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

To see this, assume that \( y'_1 \geq y'_2 \). Breaking up into ranges yields

\[
y'_2^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{m_1 y'_1 \leq m_2 y'_2} m_1^{O(1)} m_2^{-N+O(1)} + y'_1^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{m_1 y'_1 > m_2 y'_2} m_2^{O(1)} m_1^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

The second sum can be estimated elementarily as

\[
y'_1^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} m_1^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{m_2 < m_1 y'_1 / y'_2} m_2^{O(1)} = y'_1^{-N+O(1)} (y'_1 / y'_2)^{O(1)} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} m_1^{-N+O(1)},
\]

which, using \( y'_2 \gg 1 \), is at most \( y'_1^{-N+O(1)} \). We treat the first sum by breaking it up into dyadic pieces, as

\[
y'_2^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} m_1^{O(1)} \sum_{m_2 \geq 0} m_2^{O(1)} m_1 y'_1 / y'_2 m_1^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

This is then bounded by

\[
y'_1^{-N+O(1)} (y'_1 / y'_2)^{O(1)} \sum_{m_1 \geq 1} m_1^{-N+O(1)} \sum_{M \geq 0} 2^M (y'_1 / y'_2)^{O(1)} \ll y'_1^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

A similar argument applies when \( y'_2 \geq y'_1 \). This proves the claim, and we get

\[
f(g) \ll_N t^N \sum_{[\gamma]} \max(y'_1, y'_2)^{-N+O(1)}.
\]

We distinguish two ranges, according to the size of the roots of \((\gamma \gamma')\) in the \(A\)-part of its Iwasawa decomposition. We label these roots as \( y_1(\gamma), y_2(\gamma) \), and note that, unlike \( y'_1, y'_2 \), they do not depend on \( g \). In the first range we consider the set of \([\gamma]\) such that \( \max(y_1(\gamma), y_2(\gamma)) \leq \max(y_1, y_2)^M \), for a real \( M > 0 \) to be chosen below. This is a finite set of cardinality at most \( \max(y_1, y_2)^{c_1 M} \), for some constant \( c_1 \). Now \( \max(y'_1, y'_2) \gg \max(y_1, y_2) \), uniformly for all \( \gamma \), by Lemma 4.2. Thus the sum over all elements \( \gamma \) in this range is less than \( \max(y_1, y_2)^{c_1 M - N+O(1)} \).

In the second range we consider the tail of the sum, consisting of elements \([\gamma]\) such that \( \max(y_1(\gamma), y_2(\gamma)) \geq \max(y_1, y_2)^M \). We apply an Iwasawa decomposition of the element \((\gamma \gamma')\) \( g \) and find that its \(A\)-part is the product of the \(A\)-part of \((\gamma \gamma')\) times the \(A\)-part of \(kg\) for some
element $k \in K$ (specifically $k$ is the $K$-part of $\gamma$). The roots of the $A$-part of $kg$ are greater than $\max(y_1, y_2)^{-c_2}$, for some constant $c_2$, independent of $\gamma$. The contribution of this second range is thus bounded by

$$\max(y_1, y_2)^{c_2N} \sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N \cap \mathbb{Z}^k \geq \max(y_1, y_2)^M}} \max(y_1, y_2)^{-N+O(1)}.$$ 

Breaking up this last sum into dyadic intervals, we obtain

$$\sum_{2^n \geq \max(y_1, y_2)^{M}} \sum_{\max(y_1, y_2)^{2n}} \max(y_1, y_2)^{-N+O(1)} \ll \sum_{2^n \geq \max(y_1, y_2)^M} 2^{nc_1} 2^{n(-N+O(1))},$$

where $2^{nc_1}$ is a bound on the total number of $[\gamma]$ in the dyadic interval. As long as $N > c_1 + O(1)$, this geometric series converges. The total contribution from this range is then

$$\max(y_1, y_2)^{c_2N+M(c_1-N+O(1))}.$$ 

By choosing $M$ large enough so that the exponent is negative, this is of the desired form.

We have obtained a rapid decay bound for both ranges, completing the proof of (4.2).

– Proof of (1.1): The proof of (1.1) is based on an explication of the dependence of the implied constant on the injectivity radius of $\Gamma \backslash S$ in Sarnak’s bound (2.2).

For the next few paragraphs, we take $G$ to be a connected split semi-simple Lie group without compact factors, and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Then the associated globally Riemannian symmetric space $S = G/K$ is of non-compact type. Let $\Gamma$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Then the associated globally Riemannian symmetric space $S = G/K$ is of non-compact type. Let $\Gamma$ be an arithmetic non-uniform lattice in $G$, so that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash S$ is non-compact. (We will specialize to $\Gamma \backslash S_2$ momentarily.) For $p \in \Gamma \backslash S$, and $R > 0$ smaller than the local injectivity radius about $p$, let $B(p, R)$ denote the geodesic ball of radius $R$. When $(\Delta + \lambda)f = 0$, a direct inspection of the proof of Sarnak [65] yields

$$|f(p)| \leq C \left( \int_{B(p, R)} |\omega_\lambda(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{-1/2} \left( \int_{B(p, R)} |f(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\omega_\lambda$ is the unique spherical function on $G$ about $p$ having the same $\mathcal{R}_G(S)$-eigenvalues as $f$ (and thus of eigenvalue $\lambda$) and normalized so that $\omega_\lambda(p) = 1$. Going high in the cusp, we can find $p \in \Gamma \backslash S$ with arbitrarily small injectivity radius; in particular we can take $0 < R < 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$. On such balls, the spherical function $\omega_\lambda$ is $\asymp 1$ and one has

$$\int_{B(p, R)} |\omega_\lambda(x)|^2 \, dx \asymp \text{vol}(B(p, R)) \asymp R^d \quad (0 < R < 1/\sqrt{\lambda}),$$

where $d$ is the dimension of $S$.

We shall now describe a truncation of $\Gamma \backslash S$ up to height $T$. Recall the notation from §3.1. We assume that $B$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. A Siegel set with respect to $B$ is a subset of $G$ of the form $S = \omega A_c K$, where $\omega$ is a relatively compact subset of $U$ and $A_c = \{a \in A : \alpha(a) > \frac{1}{c} \forall \alpha \in \Pi\}$. By reduction theory, there is $c > 1$ and a finite subset $\Xi$ of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $G = \Gamma \Xi \Xi$. For $T > c$, let $A_c^T = \{a \in A : \frac{1}{c^T} < \alpha(a) < T \forall \alpha \in \Pi\}$. By then $\Xi \Xi^T = \omega A_c^T K$. Then we denote by $(\Gamma \backslash S)^{\leq T}$ the image of $\Gamma \Xi \Xi^T$ in $\Gamma \backslash S$.

We claim that the injectivity radius of $(\Gamma \backslash S)^{\leq T}$ is at least $1/T^r$, where $r = \#\Pi$ is the rank of $G$. To see this, let $p$ lie in the truncation $(\Gamma \backslash S)^{\leq T}$, so that $p$ is the image of $\omega a_k x \in G$ under the natural projection map, where $x \in \Xi$, $u \in \omega$, $a \in A_c^T$, $k \in K$. Suppose that there is $g \in G$ with $\text{dist}(e, g) \ll 1/T^r$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $pg = \gamma p$. Our goal is to prove that $\gamma = e$. We write the equality $pg = \gamma p$ as $a_k g k^{-1} a_k^{-1} = (xu)^{-1} x x u$ and observe that $\text{dist}(e, g k^{-1}) \ll 1/T^r$ since $k \in K$ varies in a compact. The conjugation by $a_k$ is described
by its roots $\alpha(a)$ for $a \in \Pi$; since $a \in A_c^\circ$, the largest dilation is $T^r$. Since $xu$ also varies in a compact this implies $\text{dist}(e, \gamma) \ll 1$. Thus if the constant is chosen small enough, $\gamma = e$ as desired.

We may therefore bound the value at any point $p \in (\Gamma \backslash S)^{\leq T}$ by its $L^2$-norm over the geodesic ball of radius $1/T^r$ about $p$. In particular, it follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that for any $p \in (\Gamma \backslash S)^{\leq T}$, with $T = \lambda^{1/2+\epsilon}$, we have

$$|f(p)| \ll_\epsilon \lambda^{dr/4+\epsilon} \|f\|_2.$$  

We now specialize to the case $S = S_3$, $\Gamma$ a congruence subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f$ a Hecke-Maass cusp form. Since by the first half of Proposition 1.7 the size of $f$ on the complement of $\Gamma \backslash S_3^{\leq T}$, where $T = \lambda^{1/2+\epsilon}$, is smaller than any power of $\lambda$, the bound (1.1) is proved, where we use $d = \dim S_3 = 5$ and $r = 2$.

**Remark 4.3.** It would be interesting to investigate more the essential support of cusp forms in higher rank, and for general groups. Although Theorem 1.6 is valid for arbitrary $n$, it requires additional work to extend Proposition 1.7 to all $n$. The Fourier expansion of $f$ on $\Gamma \backslash S_n$ still holds, but Lemma 4.2 is not true for $n \geq 4$. This can be seen in the following example. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & N & -1 \\ 0 & N & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $g = a \in A$ with roots $y_i$. It can be verified that

$$y'_1 = y_1^{-1}(1+N^2 y_3^2)^{-1/2}, \quad y'_2 = y_1(N^2 + y_2^{-2})^{-1/2}, \quad y'_3 = y_3(1+N^2 y_3^2)^{1/2}.$$  

Letting $y_2 = y_3 = 1$ and $y_1$ large of size about $N^2$ we see that Lemma 4.2 is not valid in this case.

## 5. Rapid decay estimates

In this section we establish several estimates for Whittaker functions with large eigenvalue. In the first two subsections, we give quantitative information on the rapid decay regime of spherical Whittaker functions in the general setting of split semisimple real Lie groups. In the third subsection, we use these results to prove Theorem 1.4 in the case of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

### 5.1. Rapid decay

Let $W_\nu$ be a spherical Whittaker function on a split semisimple real Lie group. The following proposition gives the rapid decay of $W_\nu(a)$ for $a$ large with respect to $\nu$. The proof is through repeated integration by parts and a convolution identity. This kind of argument is relatively standard, e.g., in estimates of Eisenstein series (see [5, §4]).

**Proposition 5.1.** For every $\nu \in i\mathbb{N}^*$, $N \geq 0$, and $a \in A$,

$$|W_\nu(a)| \ll_{N, \epsilon} \delta(a)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|^{\nu} O(1)^{\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} \delta(a)} = N.$$  

**Proof.** For any $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(K \backslash G/K)$ we have $\tilde{\varphi}(\nu) W_\nu = W_\nu \ast \varphi$, where $\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(\nu)$ is the spherical transform. We decompose the following integral using the Iwasawa coordinates to get

$$(W_\nu \ast \varphi)(a) = \int_G W_\nu(ua) \varphi(g)dg = \int_A \int_U W_\nu(aa_1) \varphi(aa_1) \delta(a_1)^{-1} du \, da_1$$

$$= \int_A \int_U \psi_a(u) W_\nu(aa_1) \varphi(aa_1) \delta(a_1)^{-1} du \, da_1,$$

where $\psi_a(u) = \psi(aa^{-1})$. Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, and let $A_0 = A^c_\circ \subset A$ be defined by inequalities $c^{-1} \leq \alpha(a) \leq c$ for $\alpha \in \Pi$. We choose $\varphi$ of sufficient small compact support, such that $\varphi(aa_1)$ vanishes for all $u \in U$ and $a_1 \in A - A_0$. Then

$$(5.1) \quad (W_\nu \ast \varphi)(a) = \int_{A_0} W_\nu(aa_1) \delta(a_1)^{-1} \int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(aa_1) du \, da_1.$$
For $G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (5.1) yields

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 |W_{\nu}(a)|^2 \leq \int_{A_0} |W_{\nu}(aa_1)|^2 \delta(a_1)^{1-\epsilon} da_1 \cdot \int_{A_0} \left| \int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(ua_1) du \right|^2 \delta(a_1)^{-1-\epsilon} da_1.$$ 

We change variables to find that the first integral is

$$\delta(a)^{1-\epsilon} \int_{aA_0} |W_{\nu}(a_1)|^2 \delta(a_1)^{1-\epsilon} da_1 = \delta(a)^{1-\epsilon} \int_{aA_0} W_{\nu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \delta(a_1)^{1-\epsilon} \det(a_1)^{1-\epsilon} da_1.$$ 

We write $\delta(a_1) = ||a||^{O(1)}$, so that the integral is

$$\leq \delta(a)^{1-\epsilon} ||a||^{O(\epsilon)} \int_{A} W_{\nu}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \delta(a_1)^{-1} \det(a_1)^{-1} da_1 \leq \delta(a)||a||^{O(\epsilon)}||\nu||^{O(1)},$$

by the Stade formula (5.6) applied to $s = \epsilon$. Hence,

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\nu)|^2 |W_{\nu}(a)|^2 \ll \delta(a)||a||^{O(\epsilon)}||\nu||^{O(1)} \int_{A_0} \left| \int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(ua_1) du \right|^2 \delta(a_1)^{-1} da_1.$$

For general $G$, we apply absolute values to (5.1) and treat the integral over $A_0$ by bounding $W_{\nu}(aa_1)$ pointwise as follows. Using the integral representation (3.3) we have

$$|W_{\nu+\epsilon\rho}(a)| \leq \int_U \delta(wua)^{1+\epsilon} du = \delta(a)^{1/2+\epsilon} \int_U \delta(wu)^{1+\epsilon} du.$$ 

The Gindikin-Karpelevič formula implies the convergence of the above integral (see [40, Thm. 2.8] or [26, (3.57)]), from which one deduces $W_{\nu+\epsilon\rho}(a) \ll \delta(a)^{1/2-\epsilon}$. The functional equation [40, Prop. 3.3 and (4.2.3)], combined with the Phragmén-Lindelöf maximum principle imply that $|W_{\nu}(a)| \ll \delta(a)^{1/2}||a||^{\epsilon}||\nu||^{\epsilon}$. To apply the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, we need that $W_{\nu}$ be of finite order, which is established in [52]. Inserting this bound, we obtain

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\nu)| \ll \delta(a)^{1/2}||a||^{\epsilon}||\nu||^{\epsilon} \int_{A_0} \left| \int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(ua_1) du \right| da_1.$$

In either (5.2) or (5.3), we must still bound $\int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(ua_1) du$, which we do for a specific choice of the function $\varphi$. For any $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty_c(A)\text{reg}$, put

$$\varphi_\nu(k_1ak_2) = \varphi_0(a) \sum_{w \in W} \nu(waw^{-1}), \quad k_1, k_2 \in K, \ a \in A.$$ 

From [26, Lem. 6.3 and Prop. 6.9], there exists $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty_c(A)\text{reg}$ of arbitrarily small support such that $|\hat{\varphi}_\nu(\lambda)| \gg ||\nu||^{-O(1)}$ for all $\lambda, \nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $||\lambda - \nu|| \leq 1$. In particular $|\hat{\varphi}_\nu(\nu)| \gg ||\nu||^{-O(1)}$. We claim that for any $a \in A$ and any integer $N \geq 1$,

$$\int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi_\nu(ua_1) du \ll_N ||\nu||^N (\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha(a))^{-N},$$

where the implied constant depends only on $N$ and the choice of $\varphi$. This will finish the proof.

For any $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $0 \neq X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ then the first derivative of the additive character is

$$X_\alpha \cdot \psi_a(u) = i\alpha(a) \psi_a(u).$$

For $a \in A$ let $\alpha_{\text{max}}$ be such that $\alpha_{\text{max}}(a) = \max_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha(a)$ and let $X_{\text{max}}$ be an element of unit norm in the root space $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_{\text{max}}}$. Integrating by parts $N$ times the integral is, up to a sign, equal to

$$\alpha_{\text{max}}(a)^{-N} \int_U \psi_a(u) \varphi(X_{\text{max}}; ua_1) du,$$
where $X_{\max}^N$ is viewed as an element in $\mathcal{U}(g)$. Now using the definition of $\varphi_\nu$ we have $\varphi_\nu(X_{\max}^N; u a_1) \ll \|\nu\|^N$ where the implied constant depends only on $\varphi$. 

5.2. Precise decay regime. In this paragraph we give an alternative description of the rapid decay regime of the Whittaker function relative to Proposition 5.1. We are again assuming here that $G$ is an arbitrary split semisimple real Lie group.

The idea here is standard: the Whittaker function is given as an oscillatory integral, and where there are no critical points one has rapid decay (again by integration by parts). To make the link with later sections, we express the rapid decay regime in terms of the fibers of an associated Lagrangian mapping $\Lambda_\nu \to S$ introduced in §3.5.

We begin with the following lemma which establishes (2.1). Recall our conventions that

$$\nu(a) = e^{\langle \nu, \log a \rangle} \text{ and } \ell_a(u) = \text{Ad}_a^\#(u) = \ell_1(aua^{-1}).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** For any $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ and $a \in A$ we have

$$W_\nu(a) = \delta(a)^{1/2} \nu(wa) \int_U \delta(wu)^{1/2} e^{i(B(H_\nu, H(wu)) - \ell_a(u))} du.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$H(wua) = H(waw \cdot w \cdot a^{-1}ua) = H(waw) + H(wa^{-1}ua).$$

Since $\delta(waw) = \delta(a)^{-1}$, it follows that $\delta(wua) = \delta(a)^{-1} \delta(wa^{-1}ua)$. In view of (3.3), we deduce

$$W_\nu(a) = \delta(a)^{-1/2} \nu(wa) \int_U \delta(wa^{-1}ua)^{1/2} e^{i(B(H_\nu, H(wa^{-1}ua)) - \ell_1(u))} du.$$

Applying the automorphism $u \mapsto a^{-1}ua$, whose Jacobian is $\delta(a)$, we deduce the result. 

We now turn toward the estimation of the integral in Lemma 5.2 by means of an integration by parts. Contrary to the integration in (5.4), the $U$-integral in Lemma 5.2 does not involve a compactly supported amplitude function. To deal with the subtle issues of convergence inherent in such a non-compact setting, we introduce the following hypothesis. Recall from §3.4 that for $t > 0$ and $a \in A$ we denote by $ta$ the unique element in $A$ whose simple roots are those of $a$ scaled by $t$.

**Hypothesis 5.3.** For every $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ and $a \in A$, there exists a smooth compactly supported function $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ such that for every $N \geq 1$,

$$\int_U \delta(wu)^{1/2}(1 - \alpha(u)) e^{itF_\nu(u,a)} du \ll_{N,\nu,a} t^{-N},$$

where the multiplicative constant depends continuously on $\nu, a$.

It would take us too far afield to verify this hypothesis in the present article; we hope to address this question in a subsequent work.

**Proposition 5.4.** Assume Hypothesis 5.3. Let $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ be non-zero and $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ be such that $e^X \in S$ lies outside the image of $\Lambda_\nu \to S$. Then for $t > 0$ large enough we have

$$W_{\nu}(te^X) \ll_{N,\nu,X} t^{-N}$$

for every $N \geq 1$.

**Proof.** We have $\ell_{te^X}(u) = t\ell_{e^X}(u)$ and $H_{te^X} = tH_\nu$ so that

$$B(H_{te^X}, H(wu)) - \ell_{te^X}(u) = t(B(H_\nu, H(wu)) - \ell_{e^X}(u)) = tF(u, e^X).$$
From the preceding lemma, and the scaling relation (3.2), we have
\[ |W_{tu}(te^X)| = e^{it(G)/2} \delta(e^X)^{1/2} \left| \int_U \delta(wu)^{1/2} e^{itF(u,a)} du \right|. \]
Since \( e^X \) lies outside the image of \( \Lambda_\nu \), the phase function \( u \mapsto F(u, e^X) \) has no critical points. Rapid decay follows from Hypothesis 5.3 and repeated integration by parts. \( \square \)

We shall see in §7.2 that (under a regularity assumption on \( \nu \)) for every \( X \in a \) satisfying
\[ \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} e^{2\langle \alpha, X \rangle} > \| \nu \|^2, \]
we have that \( e^X \) lies outside the image of \( \Lambda_\nu \rightarrow S \). This allows one to compare Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.4.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4 for \( G = GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \). In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.4 in the special case of \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \), with the loss of \( \varepsilon \) in the exponent. Fix an open bounded subset \( \Omega \subset i a_*^{\text{reg}} \). Then \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Omega \) is an open cone of regular spectral parameters. For \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Omega \), we recall from §3.4 that \( \lambda_\nu \) is the associated Laplacian eigenvalue. We want to prove that
\[ \| W_\nu \|_\infty = \sup_{g \in G} |W_\nu(g)| = \sup_{a \in A} |W_\nu(a)| \gg \varepsilon \lambda_\nu^{1/2 - \varepsilon}. \]
The argument will combine Proposition 5.1 (specialized to \( \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \)) with the Stade formula (see (5.6) below).

The unramified principal series representation \( \pi_\nu \) and the Whittaker model \( W(\pi_\nu, \psi) \) come equipped with canonically normalized \( G \)-invariant inner products (see §4.1). The Jacquet-Whittaker function \( W_\nu \) is the image of a unitary intertwining of the \( L^2 \)-normalized \( K \)-fixed vector in \( \pi_\nu \). We deduce that \( \| W_\nu \|_2 = 1 \).

Let \( \Psi(s, W_\nu, W_\nu) \) denote the integral
\[ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(ns) \int_{T_{n-1}} \left| W_\nu \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \det(a)^{s-1} \delta(a)^{-1} da. \]
By the Stade formula [69], we have for some \( \eta \) depending on \( n \),
\[ (5.6) \quad \Psi(s, W_\nu, W_\nu) = 2^n L_{\mathbb{R}}(s, \pi_\mu \times \pi_\mu) L_{\mathbb{R}}(1, \pi_\mu \times \pi_\mu). \]
Here, the Rankin-Selberg local \( L \)-function is
\[ (5.7) \quad L(s, \pi_\mu \times \pi_\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \mu_i - \mu_j), \]
for certain \( \mu_i \in i\mathbb{R} \), sometimes called the Langlands parameters of \( \pi \). Since the central character of \( \pi \) is trivial, we have \( \sum_i \mu_i = 0 \). Applying Stirling’s formula to the quotient of Gamma factors we obtain
\[ \Psi(s, W_\nu, W_\nu) \asymp \prod_{i \neq j} (1 + |\mu_i - \mu_j|)(s-1)/2. \]
Since \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Omega \subset i a_*^{\text{reg}} \), each of the latter factors is of size \( \lambda_\nu^{1/2} \), yielding \( \Psi(s, W_\nu, W_\nu) \asymp \lambda_\nu^{(\sigma-1) \dim U/2} \).
Indeed Proposition 5.1 (as well as Proposition 5.4) are valid for irregular \( \nu \) as power of \( n \), Remark 5.5.

The relative volume of \( S \), is given by \( \| W_\nu \|_2^2 \), where the integral \( W_\nu \) is taken over the range \( \max_i y_i \ll \lambda_\nu^{1/2+\epsilon} \) and the integral \( I_2 \) over the complementary range. By Proposition 5.1, after passing to a one-dimensional integral, we have

\[
I_2 \ll \int_{r \geq \lambda_\nu^{1/2+\epsilon}} r^{-N} \frac{dr}{r} \ll \lambda_\nu^{-N/2}
\]

for \( N > 1 \) large enough. On the other hand, if \( \sigma > n-1 \), then we have \( I_1 \ll V \| W_\nu \|_2^2 \), where for a large enough constant \( C > 1 \) we have put

\[
V = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{C \lambda_\nu^{1/2+\epsilon}} y_i^{i \sigma + i - n - 1} \frac{dy_i}{y_i}.
\]

We deduce \( \| W_\nu \|_2^2 \gg V^{-1} \lambda_\nu^{\sigma - 1} \dim U/2 \), and also

\[
V \gg \lambda_\nu^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(i + \sigma - n) \lambda_\nu^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} = \lambda_\nu^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \cdot \left( \sigma - 1 - \frac{n-2}{2} \right) \dim U.
\]

Thus \( \| W_\nu \|_2^2 \gg \lambda_\nu^{(n-2) \dim U - \epsilon} = \lambda_\nu^{(n-\epsilon)} \), which concludes the argument.

The above argument can be refined to give lower bounds on \( W_\nu \) even when \( \nu \) is irregular. Indeed Proposition 5.1 (as well as Proposition 5.4) are valid for irregular \( \nu \), as in the Stade formula. We have included the regularity assumption in Theorem 1.4 to simplify notation and bring the idea of the proof to the forefront.

**Remark 5.5.** We speculate on the geometric significance of the exceptionally large exponent \( c(n) \) in Theorem 1.1. For convenience, we restrict to the case \( \Gamma = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) in this paragraph.

Using standard notation for Siegel sets we consider the collar \( \mathcal{S}^{\infty} := \omega A^{\infty} K \), where \( \omega \subset U \) is a compact subset of \( U \) and

\[
A^{\infty} = \{ a = \text{diag}(y_1 \cdots y_n) \in A : y_i \times Y \forall i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \},
\]

for some parameter \( Y \geq 1 \). The right \( G \)-invariant measure, when expressed in the Iwasawa \( UAK \) coordinates, is given by \( dg = \delta(a)^{-1} duda \). Then the volume of this collar is

\[
\int_{\mathcal{S}^{\infty}} dg \asymp \int_{A^{\infty}} \delta(a)^{-1} da \asymp Y^{\text{ht}(\text{PGL}_n)}.
\]

The relative volume of \( \mathcal{S}^{\infty} \) is therefore seen to decrease as \( n \) gets large, and this by a cubic power of \( n \). In other words, the cuspidal regions of \( \Gamma \setminus S_n \) become dramatically more "pinched" as \( n \) gets large. The narrower cusps of the higher rank spaces \( \Gamma \setminus S_n \) create a bottleneck as the
cusp forms transition from the oscillatory to the decay regime. With so little space to do so
they get exceedingly large.

As mentioned in the introduction, Kleinbock and Margulis proved in [42] that almost all
godesics penetrate the cusp at logarithmic speed $1/\text{ht}(G)$. There, the collar plays the role of
a moving target for the geodesic flow.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

The goal in this section is to study the critical points of the Whittaker phase function and
to deduce Theorem 1.6 from the introduction.

6.1. The Kostant-Toda lattice. Since it figures prominently in the statement of Theorem
1.6, we now review some of the basic structures involved in the Kostant-Toda lattice, exten-
sively studied in [44, 1]. This is the generalization to arbitrary split semisimple Lie groups
of the classical Toda lattice, the latter being a totally integrable physical system of $n-1$
points of unit mass on the real line with nearest-neighbor exponentially attractive particle
interaction. The classical Toda system can be represented by the Dynkin diagram of $\text{SL}_n$.

We begin by writing, in the notation of §3.1, $\mathcal{T} := a \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi}(g_\alpha + g_{-\alpha})$. For example, if
$G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ then $\mathcal{T}$ is the space of tridiagonal matrices. Furthermore we write $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{T} \cap p$. We have a projection map $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{Y}$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{Y} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi}(g_\alpha + g_{-\alpha}) \cap p$ of $\mathcal{J}$. In
particular, for $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, the map $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is just the extraction of the off-diagonal entries.

Let $\mathcal{T}_+ \subset \mathcal{T}$ denote the open subset of those elements having positive $(g_\alpha + g_{-\alpha})$-coordinates.
The elements of $\mathcal{T}_+$ are called generalized Jacobi matrices (or elements). We let $\mathcal{J}_+ := \mathcal{T}_+ \cap p$ be
the space of generalized symmetric Jacobi matrices (or elements) [44, (5.4.2)]; it is a closed
connected $2r$-dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{T}_+$, where $r$ is the rank of $G$. We may write an
arbitrary element in $\mathcal{J}_+$ as

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} p_\alpha H_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha(a)(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha}), \quad p_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, a \in A,
\end{equation}

referred to as Flaschka coordinates. We have a map $\Upsilon : A \to \mathcal{J}_+$, given by sending $a$ to the
element $\Upsilon(a)$ having Flaschka coordinates $p_\alpha = 0$. The image of $\Upsilon$ is $\mathcal{Y}_+ = \mathcal{T}_+ \cap \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{J}_+ \cap \mathcal{Y}$.

We have a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. We let $X \mapsto X_s$ denote the corresponding projection
onto $\mathfrak{p}$. We can define a left-action of $B$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ by setting $(b, X) \mapsto (\text{Ad}_b X)_s$. We have that $\mathcal{J}_+$
is the orbit of $B^o$ of $\Upsilon(e)$, where $B^o = UA$ is the identity component of $B$ (see [61, §4.2.2]
for the case $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and the next §6.2 for how to deduce it from a result of Kostant for
general $G$).

We may endow $\mathcal{J}_+$ with the symplectic form $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} dp_\alpha \wedge d\alpha(a)/\alpha(a)$. The projection map $\mathcal{J}_+ \to \mathcal{Y}_+$ is a Lagrangian fibration, with a canonical Lagrangian section $\mathcal{Y}_+ \subset \mathcal{J}_+$ given
by inclusion. As $H$ varies through the positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}_+$, the isospectral manifolds
$\text{Ad}_K(H) \cap \mathcal{J}_+$ form a Lagrangian foliation of $\mathcal{J}_+$ [44, §4.1].

The Kostant-Toda lattice [61, (4.1.10)], is given by the Hamiltonian

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} p_\alpha^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha(a)^2
\end{equation}
on the phase space $\mathcal{J}_+$. For example, for $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, since $\alpha_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}$, one has $\alpha_i(\exp(\frac{1}{2}\text{diag}(H_1, \ldots, H_n))) = e^{(H_i - H_{i+1})/2}$, recovering the nearest-neighbor exponential repulsion. It is an integrable system, and the flow preserves the above Lagrangian foliation. The Hamiltonian (6.2) is the restriction to $\mathcal{J}_+$ of the Killing form (appropriately normalized) on $\mathfrak{g}$. 
6.2. The dual setting. From the Iwasawa decomposition \( g = b \oplus \mathfrak{k} \), we may deduce a corresponding decomposition

\[
\mathfrak{g}^* = \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*) \oplus \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to b^*),
\]

into the spaces of linear functionals vanishing on \( \mathfrak{k} \) and \( b \), respectively. The Cartan decomposition \( g = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k} \) induces an identification \( \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*) \cong \mathfrak{p}^* \) via \( \xi \mapsto \xi|_{\mathfrak{p}} \), which will be in place throughout this entire §6. In particular we view \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) as a subspace of \( \mathfrak{g}^* \).

Through the use of a non-degenerate \( \text{Ad} \)-invariant symmetric bilinear form \( B(\cdot, \cdot) \) on \( g \), we may transport the structures of the previous subsection into similar ones for the dual space \( \mathfrak{g}^* \) using the induced isomorphism \( \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g} \). We write \( X_\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \) for the image of \( \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \) under this identification. This yields an identification \( \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{k}^*) \cong \mathfrak{p}^* \), since \( \mathfrak{p} \) and \( \mathfrak{k} \) are orthogonal, and \( \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to b^*) \cong \mathfrak{u} \), which is a standard result in Lie theory. In this way, the decomposition (6.3) corresponds to the previous decomposition \( g = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u} \). Continuing, we see that:

- \( \mathcal{J} \subset \mathfrak{p} \) corresponds to the subspace \( \mathcal{J}^{\ast} \subset \mathfrak{p}^* \) of functionals vanishing on \([u, u] \oplus \mathfrak{k};
- \( a \subset \mathcal{J} \) corresponds to the subspace \( a^* \subset \mathcal{J}^{\ast} \) of functionals vanishing on \( u \oplus \mathfrak{t} \);
- \( \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{J} \) corresponds to the subspace \( u^*_{ab} \subset \mathcal{J}^{\ast} \) of functionals vanishing on \([u, u] \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{t} \);
- \( \mathcal{J}^{\ast} = a^* \oplus u^*_{ab} \) and the projection \( J \to \mathcal{Y} \) corresponds to \( \mathcal{J}^{\ast} \to u^*_{ab} ;
- \( \mathcal{J}^{\ast}_+ \) corresponds to \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ = \{ v \in \mathcal{J}^* : v(X_\alpha) > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Pi \} \), and \( \mathcal{Y}_+ \) to \( u^*_{ab,+} = u^*_{ab} \cap \mathcal{J}^{\ast}_+ ;
- the Borel subgroup \( B \) acts on \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) by transport of the \( B \)-action on \( \mathfrak{p} \), via the above isomorphism \( \mathfrak{p}^* \cong \mathfrak{p} \). More precisely, \((b, \xi)\) is sent to the linear form \( Y \mapsto B(b, X_\xi, Y) \). This indeed lies in \( \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*) \) from the orthogonality of \( \mathfrak{p} \) and \( \mathfrak{t} \);
- the Lagrangian fibration \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ \to \mathcal{Y}_+ \) corresponds to \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ \to u^*_{ab,+} ;
- the Lagrangian section \( \mathcal{Y}_+ \subset \mathcal{J}^+_+ \) corresponds to \( u^*_{ab,+} \subset \mathcal{J}^+_+ ;
- the isomorphism \( \mathcal{Y} : A \to \mathcal{Y}_+ \) corresponds to the isomorphism \( A \to u^*_{ab,+} , \) given by \( a \mapsto \ell_a = \text{Ad}^*_a(\ell_1) \), where the standard functional \( \ell_1 \in u^*_{ab} \) is introduced in §3.5.

From the \( \text{Ad} \)-equivariance of the map \( \xi \mapsto X_\xi \), the left-action of \( B \) on \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) can alternatively be described as

\[
(b, \xi) \mapsto \text{Ad}_b^*(\xi)|_p.
\]

As above, we view the functional \( \ell_1 \in u^*_{ab} \) as an element of \( \mathcal{J}^{\ast} = a^* \oplus u^*_{ab} \subset \mathfrak{p}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \), trivial on \( \mathfrak{a} \). Then \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ \) is the \( B^2 \)-orbit of \( \ell_1 \) as a consequence of Kostant’s result to be recalled below. An arbitrary element in \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ \) may be written as \( \text{Ad}_b^*(\ell_1)|_p \), where the element \( b \in B^2 \) is well-defined up to right-multiplication by \([U, U] \).

The Lagrangian fibration \( \mathcal{J}^+_+ \to u^*_{ab,+} \) is given by

\[
\text{Ad}_b^*(\ell_1)|_p \longmapsto \text{Ad}_b^*(\ell_1)|_u = \ell_a,
\]

where \( a = e^{H(b)} \) in the Iwasawa decomposition, that is \( b \in aU = Ua \).

Next, we have a canonical isomorphism between \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) and \( b^* \) given by \( \xi \mapsto \xi|_b \), where we recall that we identify \( \xi \in \mathfrak{p}^* \) with a functional on \( g \) vanishing on \( \mathfrak{k} \). Equivalently, this isomorphism between \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) and \( b^* \) is obtained by duality from \( c : b \subset g \to \mathfrak{p} \), where the second map is Cartan symmetrization map. Indeed, \( \xi|_b = c^*(\xi) \) because for every \( Y \in \mathfrak{b} \), we have

\[
\langle \xi|_b, Y \rangle = \langle \xi, Y \rangle = \langle \xi, c(Y) \rangle = \langle c^*(\xi), Y \rangle,
\]

since \( \xi \) is trivial on \( \mathfrak{t} \). Similarly, the inverse isomorphism from \( b^* \) to \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) is obtained by duality from \( \mathfrak{p} \subset g \to \mathfrak{b} \), where the second map is Iwasawa projection. Hence the isomorphism intertwines the co-adjoint \( B \)-action on \( b^* \), and the \( B \)-action on \( \mathfrak{p}^* \) given by (6.4). Let \( v_b \in b^* \) be the element that corresponds to \( \text{Ad}_b^*(\ell_1)|_p \in \mathcal{J}^+_+ \subset \mathfrak{p}^* \) under the isomorphism.
We deduce from the definition (3.5) of the map $\Sigma_\nu$ with the Lagrangian fibration (6.8) $\text{Ad}^*_{L^+}$ in general, the image of $\mathcal{J}^*_+ \in b^*$ can be realized as the co-adjoint orbit of $B^o$ acting on $v_1 \in b^*$ (see also [13, Thm. 5.1]). One may then use the Lie-Poisson structure on $b^*$ to define a $B^o$-invariant symplectic form on $\mathcal{J}^*_+$ (the basic formula is described in §2.2). It is a key result of Kostant [44, Prop. 6.4], generalizing an earlier work of Flashka for $G$ of type $A$, that the resulting symplectic form on $\mathcal{J}^*_+$ corresponds to the one defined on $\mathcal{J}_+$ in §6.1. The same result is also established in [13, Theorem 5.4] (to see this, use Prop. 5.2 in loc. cit. to show that the Flashka map denoted $F$ in loc. cit. coincides with the isomorphism between $\mathcal{J}^*_+$ and $\mathcal{J}_+$ composed with the coordinate map (6.1)). In particular, the composition $\mathcal{J}^*_+ \subset \mathfrak{p}^* \rightarrow b^*$ is a moment map for the action (6.4) of $B^o$.

With these symplectic structures in place, we may form the *Toda isospectral manifold*

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu := \text{Ad}_K^*(\text{Im} \nu) \cap \mathcal{J}^* \quad (\nu \in ia^*),$$

which appears in the statement of Theorem 1.6. When $\nu$ is regular, $\mathcal{L}^*_\nu := \text{Ad}_K^*(\text{Im} \nu) \cap \mathcal{J}^*_+$ is a Lagrangian leaf of $\mathcal{J}^*$ [44, Theorem 6.7.1]. Composing the Lagrangian immersion $\mathcal{L}^*_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*_+$ with the Lagrangian fibration $\mathcal{J}^*_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{ab,+}$ yields the Lagrangian mapping

$$\mathcal{L}^*_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{ab,+}.$$  

The next paragraphs explore the relation of this mapping with similar structures coming from our stationary phase analysis of the Jacquet integral.

### 6.3. An explicit description of $\Lambda_\nu$

We now return to the Lagrangian $\Lambda_\nu$, where $\nu \in ia^*$, which is the image of the map $\Sigma_\nu \rightarrow T^*(S)$ of (3.5). We would like to calculate defining equations for $\Lambda_\nu$. In this subsection, we do not assume that $\nu$ is regular. The main tool is the moment map for the Hamiltonian action of $G$ on the cotangent bundle $T^*(S)$, endowed with its canonical $G$-invariant symplectic form $\omega_S$.

We begin by recalling the definition of the moment map. The symmetric space $S = G/K$ admits a left isometric action by $G$, which extents canonically to a Hamiltonian left-action of $G$ on $T^*(S)$. When $T^*(S)$ is trivialized as the fiber product $G \times_K \mathfrak{p}^*$, the $G$-action is given by $g'[g, \xi] = [g'g, \xi]$. Recall that $\mathfrak{p}^*$ is the space of functionals on $\mathfrak{g}$ which vanish on $\mathfrak{k}$, and thus the trivialization includes the natural identification $\xi \mapsto [1, \xi]$ of $\mathfrak{p}^*$ with $T^*_e(S)$. The moment map is

$$m_G : T^*(S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad [g, \xi] \mapsto \text{Ad}_g^*(\xi).$$

As should be the case for a moment map, note that $m_G$ is $G$-equivariant with respect to the translation action on $T^*(S)$ and the $\text{Ad}^*$-action on $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $\nu \in ia^*$ be arbitrary. Then $\Lambda_\nu$ consists of $[g, \xi] \in T^*(S)$ such that

(6.7) \[ \text{Ad}_{k(g)}^*(\xi) \in \text{Ad}_{S_\nu}^*(\text{Im} \nu) \]

and

(6.8) \[ \text{Ad}_{k(g)}^*(\xi)|_u = \ell_1. \]

**Proof.** We use Lemma 3.1 to evaluate the partial derivative of the Whittaker phase function (3.4), in the second variable $x \in S$, with respect to $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$. We obtain

(6.9) \[ F_{\nu}(u, g; Y) = B(h_\nu, \text{Ad}_{k(wug)}(Y)) = \langle \text{Ad}_{k(wug)}^{-1}(\text{Im} \nu), Y \rangle. \]

We deduce from the definition (3.5) of the map $\Sigma_\nu \rightarrow T^*(S)$ that

$$\Lambda_\nu = \{ [g, \text{Ad}_{k(wug)}^{-1}(\text{Im} \nu)] : (u, x) \in \Sigma_\nu, x = gK \}.$$
Recall the notation \( \tau(g) = g \kappa(g)^{-1} \) from §3.2. For \( u \in U \) and \( g \in G \), set \( v = e^{-H(g)u} \tau(g) \in U \). By the left-\( A \)-invariance of \( \kappa : G \to K \), we have \( \kappa(wu\tau(g)) = \kappa(wv) \). Thus
\[
\Ad_{\kappa(g)}^* \Ad_{\kappa(wu)}^* \cdot (\Im \nu) = \Ad_{\kappa(wu\tau(g))}^* (\Im \nu) \in \Ad_{\kappa(wu\tau(g))}^* (\Im \nu),
\]
and condition (6.7) is thus satisfied. Then, we use again Lemma 3.1 to evaluate the partial derivative of \( F_\nu(u, g) \) with respect to \( Z \in u \). We deduce that the fiber critical set \( \Sigma_\nu \)
\[
\text{Symplectic reduction.}
\]
\begin{equation}
\label{eq:6.10}
F_\nu(u, g) = \langle \Ad_{\kappa(wu)}^* (\Im \nu), Z \rangle - (\ell_1, Z).
\end{equation}
We deduce that the fiber critical set \( \Sigma_\nu \) consists of pairs \( (u, x) \), with \( x = gK \), such that for all \( Z \in u \)
\[
\langle \Ad_{\kappa(wu)}^* (\Im \nu), Z \rangle = (\ell_1, Z),
\]
showing that the condition (6.8) is also met.

Conversely let \( [g, \xi] \in G \times K \mathfrak p^* \) satisfy (6.7) and (6.8). We need to show that there exists \( u \in U \) such that \( \xi = \Ad_{\kappa(wu)}^* (\Im \nu) \); indeed, assuming this, then it follows from (6.8) and (6.10) that \( (u, gK) \in \Sigma_\nu \). Using (6.7) there is \( k \in M \cdot S_w^+ \subset K \) such that \( \Ad_{\kappa(g)}^* (\xi) = \Ad_k^* (\Im \nu) \); indeed recall that since \( \omega^2 = 1 \), the big Bruhat cell is invariant under \( k \to k^{-1} \). By Lemma 3.2 there is \( v \in U_w \) such that \( k = \kappa(wv) \). Furthermore letting \( u = e^{H(g)v} \tau(g)^{-1} \in U \), we see that \( k = \kappa(wv) = \kappa(wu\tau(g)) \) and therefore \( \Ad_{\kappa(g)}^* (\xi) = \Ad_{\kappa(wu\tau(g))}^* (\Im \nu) \) as desired. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.2.** Equation (6.8) is essentially the definition of the Peterson variety, see [45].

### 6.4. Symplectic reduction.

We now restrict the translation \( G \)-action on \( T^*(S) \) to the subgroup \( U \) and symplectically reduce the tangent space \( T^*(S) \) with respect to this restricted action. Let
\[
m_U : T^*(S) \to u^*, \quad [g, \xi] \mapsto \Ad_g^* (\xi)|_u
\]
denote the corresponding moment map.

Any abelian functional on \( u \) is fixed under the adjoint action of \( U \) on \( u^* \) and, if non-degenerate, is a regular value under \( m_U \). Thus the Hamiltonian action of \( U \) on \( T^*(S) \) preserves \( m_U^{-1}(\ell_1) \), and on this fiber the action is free and proper. Let
\[
T^*(S)_1 = U \setminus \ell_1 T^*(S)
\]
be the symplectic reduction of the \( U \)-action on \( T^*(S) \) over \( \ell_1 \). In other words, \( T^*(S)_1 \) is the orbit space of \( U \) acting on \( m_U^{-1}(\ell_1) \). By the Marsden-Weinstein theorem, \( T^*(S)_1 \) is endowed with a natural symplectic structure. Namely, there is a unique symplectic form \( \omega_S^{\text{red}} \) on \( T^*(S)_1 \) whose pullback under the quotient map to \( m_U^{-1}(\ell_1) \) coincides with \( \omega_S \).

To facilitate the study of \( T^*(S)_1 \) here and in the next subsection, we observe that \( B^\circ \) acts simply transitively by left-multiplication on \( S \). The map \( (T^*(S), \omega_S) \to (T^*(B^\circ), \omega_{B^\circ}) \) given in their respective left-trivialisations by
\[
G \times_K \mathfrak p^* \to B^\circ \times \mathfrak b^*, \quad [g, \xi] \mapsto (\tau(g), \Ad_{\kappa(g)}^* (\xi)|_b)
\]
is a symplectomorphism. Here and in what follows we shall continue to identify \( T^*(S) \) with \( G \times_K \mathfrak p^* \) and \( T^*(B^\circ) \) with \( B^\circ \times \mathfrak b^* \) in writing down formulas.

**Proposition 6.3.** The map \( (T^*(S)_1, \omega_S^{\text{red}}) \to A \) sending the \( U \)-orbit of \( [g, \xi] \in m_U^{-1}(\ell_1) \) to \( e^{H(g)} \) is a Lagrangian fibration. It admits a (non-unique) lift to a symplectomorphism \( (T^*(S)_1, \omega_S^{\text{red}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} (T^*A, \omega_A) \).
Proof. The left-action of $U$ on $B^\circ$ given by left-multiplication lifts to an action of $U$ on $T^*(B^\circ)$ by the rule $u.(b,v) = (ub, v)$. The induced moment map
\[ T^*(B^\circ) \to u^*, \quad (b,v) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_b^*(v)|_u \]
agrees, under the identification (6.12), with the map $m_U$ from (6.11), and we shall denote it by the same letter $m_U$. Letting
\[ T^*(B^\circ)_1 := U\backslash_t \ell_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^*(B^\circ) = U\backslash m_U^{-1}(\ell_1), \]
we must therefore prove the statement of the proposition for the map $T^*(B^\circ)_1 \to A$ sending the $U$-orbit of $(b,v) \in m_U^{-1}(\ell_1)$ to $e^{H(b)}$. We shall do so by identifying the reduced space $T^*(B^\circ)_1$ as the cotangent bundle over $A$.

According to the theory of cotangent bundle reduction [59, §6], any choice of a left-$U$-invariant 1-form $\alpha_1$ taking values in $m_U^{-1}(\ell_1)$ gives rise to a symplectomorphism
\[ (T^*(B^\circ)_1, \omega^\text{red}_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (T^*(U\backslash B^\circ), \omega^\text{mod}_{U\backslash B^\circ}), \]

extending the map $T^*(B^\circ)_1 \to U\backslash B^\circ$ which sends $U.(b,v)$ to $U.b$. Here, $\omega^\text{mod}_{U\backslash B^\circ}$ is the modified symplectic form given by the shift of $\omega_{U\backslash B^\circ}$ by a magnetic term induced by the choice of $\alpha_1$. It is shown in [13, Lemma 5.3] that one can chose $\alpha_1$ with vanishing magnetic term, so that $\omega^\text{mod}_{U\backslash B^\circ} = \omega_{U\backslash B^\circ}$. (This identification is non-unique, as it depends on the choice of a 1-form $\alpha_1$ whose associated magnetic term vanishes. However in our situation there is a standard choice [13, p.487], see also the discussion at §6.6.2.)

Using the decomposition $B^\circ = UA$, we may identify $(T^*(U\backslash B^\circ), \omega_{U\backslash B^\circ})$ with $(T^*(A), \omega_A)$. The composite Lagrangian fibration
\[ T^*(S)_1 \simeq T^*(B^\circ)_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*(U\backslash B^\circ) = T^*(A) \to A \]
sends $[g,\xi]$ to $e^{H(g)}$. \hfill $\Box$

We now symplectically reduce $\Lambda_\nu$ with respect to the $U$-action. Note that (6.8) states that $\Lambda_\nu$ lies in $m_U^{-1}(\ell_1)$. Moreover, both formulae in Proposition 6.1 are $U$-invariant, showing that $\Lambda_\nu$ is invariant under the $U$-action. Finally, we deduce from (6.9) that the immersion $\Sigma_\nu \to T^*(S)$ of (3.5) is injective, hence a diffeomorphism onto its image $\Lambda_\nu$. Indeed, since $\nu$ is regular, $\mathrm{Ad}^*_b(\mathrm{Im}\,\nu)$ determines $\kappa = \kappa(wu)\nu^{-1}$ and then $u$ under the Bruhat decomposition.

Let $\Lambda^\text{red}_\nu$ be the quotient $U\backslash \Lambda_\nu$ inside $T^*(S)_1$.

**Proposition 6.4.** If $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is regular, then $\Lambda^\text{red}_\nu$ is Lagrangian inside $T^*(S)_1$ and
\[ \Lambda^\text{red}_\nu \longrightarrow T^*(S)_1 \longrightarrow A \]
defines a Lagrangian mapping.

**Proof.** Recall that for $\nu$ regular $\Lambda_\nu$ is Lagrangian in $T^*(S)$. The reduction of an invariant Lagrangian is again Lagrangian, see e.g. [29, Thm. 3.2]. It follows that $\Lambda^\text{red}_\nu$ is Lagrangian inside $T^*(S)_1$. \hfill $\Box$

### 6.5. Lagrangian equivalence.
An equivalence of Lagrangian mappings
\[ L_i : M_i \to N_i \quad (i = 1, 2) \]
is a fiber-preserving symplectomorphism from $M_1$ to $M_2$, sending $L_1$ to $L_2$. We would now like to show that the above two triples (6.6) and (6.13) are equivalent. Theorem 6.5 below accomplishes this, providing a precise version of Theorem 1.6.

Recall that $T^*(B^\circ)$ has, in fact, two moment maps, coming from left and right multiplication of $B^\circ$ on itself. Right-multiplication acts as follows on $T^*(B^\circ) \simeq B^\circ \times b^*$:
\[ (b,v).b' = (bb', \mathrm{Ad}^*_b(v)), \]

and has corresponding $\text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}$-equivariant moment map

$$m^r_B : B^o \times b^* \to b^*, \quad (b, v) \mapsto v.$$  

Using the identification $\xi \mapsto \xi|_b$ of $p^*$ with $b^*$ as in §6.2, we see that $m^r_B$ composes with (6.12) to yield

$$T^*(S) \to p^*, \quad [g, \xi] \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\kappa(g)}^*(\xi).$$  

As the left- and right-actions of $B^o$ on itself commute, we shall see in the proof below that $T^*(S)_1$ admits a right-action by $B^o$, and that the associated reduced moment map is given by the same formula:

$$T^*(S)_1 \to p^*, \quad U|[g, \xi] \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\kappa(g)}^*(\xi).$$  

This is well-defined, since $g \mapsto \kappa(g)$ is left $U$-invariant. It is this last map which will allow us to compare the two triples (6.6) and (6.13).

**Theorem 6.5.** The map (6.15) defines a symplectomorphism

$$T^*(S)_1 \to J^*_+, \quad (6.16)$$

and induces a Lagrangian equivalence between (6.6) and (6.13). In particular, the symplectomorphism (6.16) induces an open embedding of $\Lambda^*_{\nu}$ to $\mathcal{L}^+_\nu$ making the diagram

$$\Lambda^*_{\nu} \longrightarrow T^*(S)_1 \longrightarrow A$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{L}^+_\nu \longrightarrow J^*_+ \longrightarrow u_{ab,+}$$

commutative. The third vertical map is the isomorphism $A \to u_{ab,+}$, given by $a \mapsto \ell_a^{-1}$.

**Proof.** We begin by showing that (6.16) maps onto $J^*_+$. The fiber $m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1)$ is contained in the set of $[g, \xi] \in G \times_k p^*$ satisfying (6.8), that is $\text{Ad}_{g,\xi}^*[u] = \ell_1$. For any such $[g, \xi]$, and any $X \in [u, u]$ we have

$$\langle \text{Ad}_{\kappa(g)}^*(\xi), X \rangle = \langle \text{Ad}_{\tau(g)}^*(\xi), X \rangle = 0,$$

where we wrote $g = \tau(g)\kappa(g)$, and we used the fact that $\text{Ad}_{\tau}^*(X) \in [u, u]$, because $[u, u]$ is stable under the adjoint action for the Borel subgroup $B$. Thus the restriction of the map (6.15) to $m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1)$ takes values in $J^*$, in fact, in $J^*_+$. The map (6.16) is surjective, since the element of $U[b^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{\ell_1}(b^{-1})]_p \in m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1)$ is sent to $\text{Ad}_{\ell_1}(b^{-1})|_p \in J^*_+$.

Next we show that (6.16) defines a symplectomorphism. We again use the identification $T^*(S) \cong T^*(B^o)$ from (6.12). Also recall the notation $T^*(B^o)_1 = U \setminus_{\ell_1} T^*(B^o) = U \setminus m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1)$ from the proof of Proposition 6.3. Under this identification, the map (6.16) becomes

$$T^*(B^o)_1 \to J^*_+, \quad U(b^{-1}, v_b) \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\ell_1}^*(b^{-1})|_p.$$  

We now show that it is a symplectomorphism.

1. The moment map $m^l_B : T^*(B^o) \to b^*$ for the left $B^o$-action on $T^*(B^o)$ is given by $m^l_B(b, v) = \text{Ad}_b^*(v)$. Note that $[U, U]$ is the isotropy subgroup $\{ b \in B^o : \text{Ad}_b^*(v_1) = v_1 \}$ of $v_1$ under the co-adjoint action $B^o$. Let $B^o \setminus v_1 T^*(B^o)$ denote the reduction $[U, U] \setminus (m^l_B)^{-1}(v_1)$ of $T^*(B^o)$ over $v_1 \in b^*$. We have

$$(m^l_B)^{-1}(v_1) = \{ (b^{-1}, v_b) : b \in B^o \} \subset \{ (b^{-1}, \text{Ad}_b^*(v_1 + v)) : b \in B^o, \ v \in a^* \} = m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1).$$

The map

$$m^r_{U^{-1}}(\ell_1) \to (m^l_B)^{-1}(v_1), \quad (b^{-1}, \text{Ad}_b^*(v_1 + v)) \mapsto (b^{-1}, v_b)$$
descent to the respective quotients; indeed if \( u = u_1 u' \), where \( u_1 \in [U,U] \), then
\[
(b^{-1}u^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_b(v_1 + v)) = (b^{-1}u^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_u(v_1 + v'))
\]
is sent to
\[
(b^{-1}u^{-1}, v_{ub}) = (b^{-1}u^{-1}u_1^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_{u_1}u'b(v_1))
\]
\[
= u_1^{-1}.(b^{-1}u^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_v, \text{Ad}^*_u(v_1)) = u_1^{-1}.(b^{-1}u^{-1}, v_{ub}).
\]
The resulting map
\[
T^*(B^o)_1 \longrightarrow B^o \backslash v_1 T^*(B^o)
\]
is a symplectomorphism.

(2) Let \( T^*(B^o)/v_1 B^o \) be the reduction \( (m^r_B)^{-1}(v_1)/[U,U] \) of \( T^*(B^o) \) over \( v_1 \) under the right action of \( B^o \) on \( T^*(B^o) \), as described in (6.14). Note that the fiber of \( m^r_B \) over \( v_1 \) is \( B^o \times \{v_1\} \). One can pass from the left and right reductions via the symplectomorphism \( T^*(B^o) \to T^*(B^o) \) sending \((b,v) \mapsto (b^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_b(v))\). This map preserves the respective fibrers over \( v_1 \) and descends to a symplectomorphism on the reduced spaces
\[
B^o \backslash v_1 T^*(B^o) \cong T^*(B^o)/v_1 B^o, \quad [U,U].(b^{-1}, v_b) \mapsto (b^{-1}, v_1).[U,U].
\]
This map is well-defined, since \( u.(b,v_b) = (ub,v_b) \in [U,U].(b,v_b) \) is sent to
\[
(b^{-1}u^{-1}, v_1) = (b^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_{u^{-1}}(v_1)).u^{-1} = (b^{-1}, v_1).u^{-1} \in (b^{-1}, v_1).[U,U].
\]

(3) Finally we observe that \( J^*_+ = \{ \text{Ad}^*_b(\ell_1) \} \) is the symplectic reduction of the right \( B^o \)-action on \( T^*(S) \) over \( v_1 \). Indeed, quotienting the fiber \( B^o \times \{v_1\} \) by the centralizer subgroup yields a symplectomorphism
\[
T^*(B^o)/v_1 B^o \to J^*_+, \quad (b^{-1}, v_1).[U,U] \mapsto \text{Ad}^*_b(\ell_1)|_p.
\]
The composition of these maps yields (6.18).

Let us now show that (6.16) is fiber preserving, that is, that the right square of (6.17) is commutative. On one hand, we see from Proposition 6.3 that the preimage of \( a \in A \) under \( T^*(B)_1 \to A \) is \( \{U, (a, v_{a^{-1}} + v), v \in a^*\} \). On the other hand, it follows from (6.5) that the preimage of \( \ell_a \in u^{-1}_{ab} \) under the map (6.16) is \( \{U, [a^{-1}u^{-1}, \text{Ad}^*_u(\ell_a)] \} \). We deduce that (6.16) respects fibers, and the induced map \( A \to u^{-1}_{ab} \) is given by \( a \to \ell_a^{-1} \).

Now let \( [g, \xi] \in \Lambda_\nu \). Since \( \xi \) lies in \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im}\nu) \) by (6.7) then so does \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\xi) \), whence \( \Lambda_\nu \) is mapped to \( \mathcal{J}^+_\nu = \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im}\nu) \cap J^* \). The same is therefore true of \( \Lambda^\nu_\text{red} \). The assertion that the left square of (6.17) is commutative is a reformulation of Proposition 6.1. Also as a consequence the map \( \Lambda^\nu_\text{red} \to \mathcal{J}^+_\nu \) is an open embedding.

\[\square\]

6.6. Remarks on the constructions in this section.

6.6.1. Olshanetsky–Perelomov [58, §9] showed that \( T(S)_1 \) is symplectomorphic to the Toda lattice \( J_+ \). They also proved that the Hamiltonian of the geodesic flow on \( S \) reduces to the Toda Hamiltonian. The book [61, §4.4] contain further exposition of some of these results, and [61, §4.4.3] is essentially step (1) of our proof above. Since the Lagrangian submanifolds \( \Lambda_\nu \), and \( \mathcal{J}^+_\nu \) are determined by the corresponding Hamiltonians, their result should imply that the two Lagrangian mappings (6.6) and (6.13) are equivalent. We have opted to provide an independent proof of Theorem 6.5 for completeness, and because our emphasis is less on the Hamiltonian and more on the Lie-theoretic constructions.
6.6.2. Our approach is largely inspired by modern geometric treatments such as [13]. Specifically, the symplectomorphism in step (3) of the proof above is a special case of the symplectomorphism \( J^R_0 \) of [13, Thm. 4.5] for the choice on p.487 of loc. cit. of the section \( s_{\mu_0} \) and associated one-form \( \alpha_{\mu_0} \) in the notation of loc. cit. The Flashka map \( F \) in loc. cit. is the symplectomorphism \( J^+_+ \rightarrow T^*A \). In summary, if we combine this with the construction of (6.16) in the proof above, and Kostant’s result recalled in §6.2, we have the following commutative diagram of symplectomorphisms:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T^*(S)_1 \\
\downarrow_{\text{Prop.6.3}} \\
T^*(A)_1 \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
J^R_0 \\
\downarrow F \\
J^*_+ \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\leftarrow \mathfrak{p}^* \\
\uparrow_{\text{Kostant}} \\
\end{array}
\]

6.6.3. For \( G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \mathcal{L}_\nu = \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \) is a circle. For general \( G \) and regular \( \nu \), \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) is an aspherical compact manifold of dimension the rank of \( G \); see [23, 72]. For example for \( G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) it is known that \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) is a genus 2 surface.

6.6.4. A similar symplectic reduction to that in §6.4 occurs in the quantum cohomology of flag manifolds [31, 32].

6.6.5. It is also possible to reduce the fiber critical set \( \Sigma_\nu \subset U \times G \) under the \( U \)-action. Indeed, note that for any \( v \in U \), we have \( F_\nu(uv, v^{-1}g) = F_\nu(u, g) - (\ell_1, v) \). Reducing under this action is equivalent to restricting the second parameter to \( A \). We denote by \( \Sigma^\text{red}_\nu \subset U \times A \) the set of pairs \((u, a)\) which are critical for \( u \mapsto F_\nu(u, a) \).

There is a natural section \( \Lambda^\text{red}_\nu \rightarrow \Lambda_\nu \) obtained by taking the Iwasawa \( A \)-part of \( g \). Composing with the reduction map \( \Lambda_\nu \rightarrow \Lambda^\text{red}_\nu \) and then the open embedding to \( \mathcal{L}^+_\nu \), we obtain a map \( \Sigma^\text{red}_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^+_\nu \) given by \((u, a) \mapsto \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \). The diagram (6.17) being a Cartesian square implies that for any \( a \in A \) the set \((u, a)\) of critical points in \( \Sigma^\text{red}_\nu \) lying over \( a \) is sent bijectively to the \( \nu \)-isospectral fiber over \( \ell_\alpha^{-1} \).

6.6.6. The method of co-adjoint orbits described in §2.2 yields a natural interpretation of the construction of \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) and the Lagrangian mapping. Indeed, the intersection of the coadjoint orbit \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \) with \( \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*) \) captures the spherical vector of the representation \( \pi_\nu \). This intersection is simply \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \), which itself is the zero level set of the moment map of the \( K \)-action on \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \). To investigate the analytic behavior of the associated spherical Whittaker function, relative to non-degenerate additive characters of \( U \), we consider the intersection \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \cap \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*_\text{der}) \), where \( \mathfrak{u}^*_\text{der} = [\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}] \). This intersection turns out to coincide with \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \). The resulting Lagrangian fibration

\[
\mathcal{L}_\nu \rightarrow \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*_\text{der}) \cap \ker(\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*_\text{ab}
\]

contains the fibration (6.6) as an open embedding. It is also natural from this point of view that the variation of its fibers relates to the asymptotic behavior of \( W_\nu \).

6.6.7. Note that \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \) is Lagrangian inside \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \), see [7], and that the projection of \( \text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im} \nu) \) onto \( \mathfrak{a}^* \) is, by the Kostant convexity theorem, the convex hull of the Weyl group orbit of \( \text{Im} \nu \).
7. Lagrangian singularities

In §6 we defined, under a regularity assumption on $\nu$, three Lagrangian mappings

\begin{equation}
\Lambda_\nu \to S, \quad \Lambda^\text{red}_\nu \to A, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_+^\nu \to u^*_{ab}.
\end{equation}

We described their precise relationship in Theorem 6.5 and the discussion preceding it. In this section, as a way of preparing the proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9, we discuss Lagrangian mappings in a more general context. In particular, we shall recall some facts related to numerical invariants and associated asymptotics of singularities, quoting from the extensive literature on this subject.

7.1. Stratification by singularity type. Let $E \to B$ be a Lagrangian fibration and $L \to E$ a Lagrangian immersion. Then the composition $\pi : L \to B$ is a Lagrangian mapping to the base space $B$. We write

\begin{equation}
B = C \sqcup L \sqcup S
\end{equation}

where, by definition, the caustic locus $C$ is the set of critical values of $\pi$, the light zone $L$ is the complement of $C$ in $\pi(L)$, and the shadow zone $S$ is the complement of $\pi(L)$ in $B$.

One could refine $C$ according to singularity types, which would lead to a stratification of the Lagrangian $L$ via the fibers of $\pi$. See [4, §2] for the general theory of stratifications via coranks of the first differential of a smooth mapping restricted to singular loci, and [loc. cit., §21] for that same theory applied to the special case of Lagrangian mappings. We have carried this out for the examples in (7.1) when $n = 3$ (and under a self-dual assumption), where it already exhibits a rich structure.

7.2. Associated asymptotics. We highlight two ways in which the above decomposition of the base $B$ yields information about the corresponding oscillatory integrals. We specialize to the case of the mappings in (7.1) associated with Whittaker functions and refer the reader to [25, 37] for the more general passage from Lagrangian mappings to Fourier integral operators.

– Shadow zone and rapid decay: The image of either of the first two Lagrangian mappings in (7.1) should be thought of as the “essential support” of the Whittaker function. For example, we showed in Proposition 5.4 that the Whittaker function decays rapidly in the shadow zone. By comparison, viewing the Whittaker function as an eigenfunction of the quantum Toda lattice, $\mathcal{L}_\nu$ is the characteristic variety of the corresponding system of linear partial differential equations (see e.g. [71]). The image of $\mathcal{L}_\nu \to u^*_{ab}$ corresponds to the classically allowed region.

Now $\mathcal{L}_\nu$ is closed in $\text{Ad}^*_K(\text{Im } \nu)$ and so is compact. It follows that the classically allowed region is also compact. In fact, since the projection $\mathfrak{g}^* \to u^*_{ab}$ is orthogonal for the invariant scalar product (see §3.1), we see that the image of $\mathcal{L}_\nu \to u^*_{ab}$ is included in the ball of radius $\|\nu\|$. In light of Theorem 6.5, the same inclusion holds for the image of $\Lambda^\text{red}_\nu \to A$. This proves inequality (5.5).

– Singularities and degenerate critical points: Let $Q_\nu(u,x) = \nabla^2_{u,x} F_\nu(u,x)$ denote the fiber Hessian of $F_\nu$ at $(u,x) \in \Sigma_\nu$ and $d_\nu([g,\xi])$ denote the differential of the mapping $\Lambda_\nu \to S$ at $[g,\xi]$. In view of the non-degeneracy assumption on $\nu$, the map $\Sigma_\nu \to \Lambda_\nu$ of (3.5), sending $(u,x)$ to $[g,\xi]$, induces an isomorphism

\begin{equation}
\ker Q_\nu(u,x) \cong \ker d_\nu([g,\xi]).
\end{equation}

(See e.g. [4, §19.3] or [37, Theorem 3.14].) In particular, $(u,x)$ is a degenerate critical point for $u \mapsto F_\nu(u,x)$ if and only if $[g,\xi]$ is singular for the mapping $\Lambda_\nu \to S$. In other words, $(u,x)$ is non-degenerate if and only if the tangent space of $\Lambda_\nu$ at $[g,\xi]$ is transversal to the
fiber of the projection \([g, \xi] \mapsto x\), where \(x = gK\). This correspondence remains true for the reduced mapping \(\Lambda^\text{red} \to A\), because the \(U\)-orbits are transverse to the fibers.

7.3. Numerical invariants of Lagrangian singularities. Let \(\pi : L \to B\) be a Lagrangian mapping. In this subsection we discuss several of the numerical invariants one may associate with Lagrangian singularities, which are the map germs of such singular points, viewed up to Lagrangian equivalence. For more information on the theory of singularities, the reader is referred to the classic book by Arnol’d, Gusein-Zade, and Varchenko [4].

After the corank -- the codimension of the image of \(d\pi_p\) -- the first numerical invariant one typically encounters is the multiplicity (or Milnor number) of a singularity \(\mu\). Roughly speaking, \(\mu\) is the (maximum) number of non-degenerate critical points into which a singularity splits under a small perturbation. Indeed, a function having a critical point of finite multiplicity splits under a small perturbation. For more information on the theory of singularities, the reader is referred to the classic book by Arnol’d, Gusein-Zade, and Varchenko [4].

The modality of a singularity is a non-negative integer which counts the number of continuous parameters (or moduli) that enter into the definition of the associated normal form. We refer to [33, §2.4] or [4, p.184] for the exact definition. A singularity of modality 0 is called simple. Simple singularities, having no moduli, appear discretely.

Arnol’d has classified stable simple singularities. (Stable singularities are those which persist under small perturbations; they are the only ones visible “with the naked eye.”) Below is a list of the simple stable singularities, along with function germs representing each class:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A) & \quad A_k: \pm x_1^{k+1} + x_2^3 + \cdots + x_n^2 \quad (k \geq 2); \\
(D) & \quad D_k: \quad x_2(x_1^2 \pm x_2^{k-2}) + x_2^3 + \cdots + x_2^2 \quad (k \geq 4); \\
(E) & \quad E_6: \quad x_1^4 + x_2^4 + \cdots + x_n^2; \\
& \quad E_7: \quad x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_n^2; \\
& \quad E_8: \quad x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Singularities of type \(A\) are of corank 1 and those of type \(D\) and \(E\) are of corank 2. Thus any simple singularity is of corank at most 2 (see [2, Lemma 4.2]). Moreover, any corank 1 singularity of finite multiplicity is necessarily simple. Thus the type \(A\) singularities (also called Morin singularities) can be characterized as those having corank 1 and finite multiplicity; these facts are summarized in [4, §11.1] or [33, Theorem 2.48]. The multiplicity of an ADE singularity is indicated in its subscript.

We shall be primarily interested in \(A_2\) and \(A_3\) singularities. An \(A_2\)-type singularity is sometimes referred to as a fold singularity, and an \(A_3\)-type singularity as a cusp singularity. As an example of a fold singularity, consider the projection of the sphere to the horizontal plane touching the south pole. The singular points are the points of the equator; they are all fold singularities. They arise from a coalescence of two critical points. One can realize a cusp singularity from the projection of the surface \(z = x^3 + xy\) to the \((y, z)\)-plane; the warp on one half of the surface is known as a Whitney pleat. For visualizations of both of these fundamental examples, see Figures 7 and 8 in Section 1 of [4]. A theorem of Whitney (see [4, §1.5]) states that the stable singularities of a differentiable map between surfaces are either non-degenerate, or of type \(A_2\) or \(A_3\).

Finally, there is yet another numerical invariant of a critical point, called the singularity index and denoted \(\beta\). The singularity index is defined by the asymptotic behavior of associated oscillatory integrals [3, Definition 4.2.1]. The index of singularity is \(\beta\) if the integral is of size \(t^{-\frac{\beta}{2} + \beta}\) for generic choice of amplitude function. Arnol’d [3] has calculated the singularity index for all simple singularities and many others; they turn out to be rational numbers. For the simple singularities one has \(\beta = 1/2 - 1/N\), where \(N\) is the corresponding Coxeter number \(N(A_k) = k + 1\), \(N(D_k) = 2k + 2\), \(N(E_6) = 12\), \(N(E_7) = 18\), \(N(E_8) = 30\). 
8. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let \( \nu \in i a^*_\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}} \) be an arbitrary regular spectral parameter and recall from §1 the value of the constant \( c(G) := \frac{\text{ht}(G) - \text{dim}(L)}{2} \). We shall establish in this section that

\[
\| W_{1\nu} \|_\infty \gg t^{c(G)}, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. 
\]

The idea is to test the Whittaker function against a symbol localized in phase-space inside a single sheet of \( \Lambda_\nu \cap T^* V \), where \( V \subset A \) is an open set over which the cover \( \Lambda_\nu \to A \) is unramified. We then apply a stationary phase argument for a Morse-Bott function. Our argument is inspired from [25, §1.2], except that there the symbol was chosen instead transversal to \( \Lambda_\nu \cap T^* V \), and the singularity was then Morse.

8.1. Non-degenerate critical points. In this preliminary subsection, we show the existence of an appropriate open set \( V \subset A \) and testing function \( \phi \). We keep the same notation as in §6.

Lemma 8.1. The origin \( 0 \in u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \) is not a critical value of \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \to u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \).

Proof. Inside \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) the fiber above \( 0 \in u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \) consists of \( \{ \text{Im} \nu^w, w \in W \} \). In a neighborhood of any of these points we have that \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \to u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \) is a local diffeomorphism. Indeed we compute that the tangent space of \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) at \( \text{Im} \nu^w \) is \( [\mathfrak{k}, \text{Im} \nu^w] \cap J^* \) which surjects onto \( u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \) because \( \nu \) is regular, and \( [H_{\nu^w}, X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}] = \alpha(H_{\nu^w})(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha}) \) for every \( \alpha \in \Pi \).

Another way to approach Lemma 8.1 is to remark that we are computing the critical points of the Iwasawa projection \( u \mapsto \langle \nu, H(wu) \rangle \). These are known [27] to be non-degenerate if \( \nu \) is regular; see also the discussion following Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 8.2. The light zone \( L \subset A \) contains a translate of the negative Weyl chamber

\[
\exp(-a_+) = \{ a \in A, \, \alpha(a) < 1 \, \forall \alpha \in \Pi \}.
\]

Proof. Under the map \( A \to u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b, a \mapsto \ell_a \), the preimage of a neighborhood of \( 0 \in u^*_\mathfrak{a}_b \) contains a translate of the negative Weyl chamber. Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 8.1 and Theorem 6.5.

This corollary implies that for certain \( g \in S \), the phase function \( u \mapsto F_\nu(u, g) \) is Morse and has \( |W| \) distinct critical points. In particular we can choose a bounded connected open set \( V \subset A \) inside the translate of the negative Weyl chamber, which is small enough so that the covering \( \Lambda_\nu \to A \) becomes unramified over \( V \).

Since \( \Lambda_\nu \) is transverse to the vertical fibers of \( T^* V \to V \), we may choose a real-valued function \( \phi \in C^\infty(V) \), depending only on \( \nu \), such that the graph \( \{ (a, d\phi(a)) \in T^*(V) ; a \in V \} \) of \( d\phi \) is entirely inside \( \Lambda_\nu \). This graph then picks out a single sheet in \( \Lambda_\nu \cap T^*(V) \). Let \( \beta \in C_{c}^\infty(A) \) have support contained in \( V \).

8.2. Stationary phase approximation for Morse–Bott functions. The proof of Theorem 1.4 involves oscillatory integrals of the form

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(x)e^{itG(x)}dx,
\]

where \( \alpha, G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with \( \alpha \) of compact support. The following is a known generalization of the stationary phase approximation to the case of Morse–Bott functions, see e.g. [18].
Proposition 8.3. Suppose that $G$ is Morse–Bott and that the set of critical points of $G$ contained in the support of $\alpha$ form a connected submanifold $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the oscillatory integral (8.2) is asymptotic as $t \to \infty$ to

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\frac{d\alpha}{2}} e^{itG(W)-\frac{it}{4}} \int_W \alpha(x) |\det_W G''(x)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

where $e = \dim W$, $G(W)$ is the value of $G(x)$ at any point $x \in W$, and $\sigma$ (resp. $\det_W G''$) is the signature (resp. determinant) of the Hessian of $G$ in the directions transverse to $W$.

8.3. Proof of (8.1), conditional on Hypothesis 5.3. We now proceed to prove Theorem 1.4, using Hypothesis 5.3. Our approach is inspired by that of [25, (1.2.6)], where the other extreme case of the graph of $d\phi$ being transverse to $\Lambda_u \cap T^*V$ is treated.

With $\phi$ and $\beta$ as above, we now form the integral

$$\int_A W(ta)\delta(ta)^{-1/2} \nu(twaw)^{-1} e^{-it\phi(a)} \beta(a)da. \quad (8.3)$$

Using the integral representation of $W_\nu$ in (2.1), and Hypothesis 5.3, this is equal to

$$\int_A \int_U \delta(ww)^{1/2} e^{it(\sigma(u,a)-\phi(a))} \beta(a)\alpha(u)duda + O(t^{-N}),$$

where we have set $\sigma(u,a) := B(H_\nu, H(ww)) - \langle t_1, au \cdot a^{-1} \rangle$.

We wish to show that the new phase function $(u,a) \mapsto \sigma(u,a) - \phi(a)$ is Morse-Bott. Let

$$S_{\nu,\phi} = \{(u,a) \in U \times V : d_u \sigma(u,a) = 0, d_a \sigma(u,a) = d\phi(a)\}$$

be its critical set, a connected submanifold of $U \times V$. The equation $d_u \sigma(u,a) = 0$ is that of $\Sigma_\nu$, see (3.4). Note that $\phi$ and $V$ were chosen so that $d_a \sigma(u,a) = d\phi(a)$ holds throughout a certain sheet of $\Sigma_\nu$; the solution locus for $S_{\nu,\phi}$ therefore reduces locally to the single equation $d_u \sigma(u,a) = 0$, and in particular, $\dim S_{\nu,\phi} = \dim A$. From this it follows that the tangent space $T_{(u,a)}S_{\nu,\phi}$ is the kernel of the differential of $d_u \sigma$. Explicitly, $T_{(u,a)}S_{\nu,\phi}$ is equal to

$$\{(X,Y) \in T_u U \times T_a V : d_u^\nu \sigma(u,a) \cdot X + d_a d_u \sigma(u,a) \cdot Y = 0\}. \quad (8.4)$$

On the other hand, the Hessian of $\sigma(u,a) - \phi(a)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
    d_u^2 \sigma(u,a) & d_a d_u \sigma(u,a) \\
    d_a d_u \sigma(u,a) & d_a^2 \sigma(u,a) - d^2 \phi(a)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $d_a \sigma(u,a) = d\phi(a)$ for every $(u,a) \in S_{\nu,\phi}$, the bottom row vanishes along $T_{u,a}S_{\nu,\phi}$. Thus the kernel of the Hessian is again given by (8.4). We deduce that the Hessian of $\sigma(u,a) - \phi(a)$ is non-degenerate in directions transverse to $T_{(u,a)}S_{\nu,\phi}$, so that $(u,a) \mapsto \sigma(u,a) - \phi(a)$ is Morse-Bott.

As $u$ is a non-degenerate critical point of $u \mapsto \sigma(u,a)$, the Hessian $d_u^2 \sigma(u,a)$ is invertible, which implies that $X$ is uniquely determined by $Y$ in (8.4). Thus, under the natural projection $T_u U \times T_a V \to T_a V$ the tangent space $T_{(u,a)}S_{\nu,\phi}$ is sent isomorphically to $T_a V$.

In view of the above considerations, Proposition 8.3 above then shows that, up to a non-zero multiplicative constant, the integral in (8.3) is asymptotic to $t^{-(\dim(U)/2)}$ as $t \to \infty$. Applying the triangle inequality we deduce that for each $t \geq 1$ there exists $a \in V$ such that $W_{t\nu}(ta)$ is asymptotically greater than $t^{(\dim(G) - \dim(U)/2)} = t^{\epsilon(G)}$. 

8.4. The Whittaker function as superposition of plane waves. We end this section with some remarks on the proof of Theorem 1.4.

(i) The method of §8.3 can be used to show the more precise result that there exists \(a \in V\) such that \(W_\nu(ta)\) is asymptotically greater than \(t^{\nu(G)}\), as soon as \(V\) intersects the image \(\text{Im}(\Lambda_\nu \to A)\), regardless on whether or not the points in the fiber are non-degenerate. The important property is that the phase function \(F_\nu(u, a)\) with parameters be non-degenerate in the sense of [25], and that the symbol of the amplitude is non-vanishing.

(ii) For \(a\) in a negative translate of the Weyl chamber inside the light zone \(L\), the Whittaker function \(a \mapsto W_\nu(a)\) is asymptotically a linear superposition of \(|W|\) plane waves, where \(W = W(g, a)\) is the Weyl group. This is because \(F_\nu(u, a)\) is Morse, allowing for an application of the stationary phase approximation in its uniform version with parameters (see [38, Theorem 7.7.6] and [75, Theorem 2.9]). The fibers of the Lagrangian mapping \(\Lambda_\nu \to A\), correspond to the momentum of the plane waves. Since by construction the momenta are distinct, these plane waves are linearly independent which implies the lower bound of Theorem 1.4. The argument in §8.3 above amounts to directly testing \(W_\nu(a)\) against one of the plane waves. Some of the plane waves coalesce when \(a\) approaches the caustic \(C_\nu\), which will be studied in the next section for \(G = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})\).

9. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we impose a self-duality assumption on the spectral parameter \(\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}_+^*\). This allows us to give a precise description of the critical set for \(G = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})\). More precisely, we shall provide explicit equations defining the shadow zone, the light region, and the caustic locus defined in §7.1.

Now a uniform description of the asymptotic behavior of the Jacquet-Whittaker function depends on more than just this partition. One also needs information on the configuration of the critical points, which is encoded in the singularities of the Lagrangian mapping \(\Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \to A\). Thus, in the main result of this section, Proposition 9.1, we shall decompose the caustic locus \(C\) into strata according to the degeneracy type, and decompose the light region \(L\) according to the size of the fibers.

All of this information will determine the asymptotic size of \(W_\nu(a)\), uniformly in \(\nu\) and \(a\).

9.1. Notation and hypotheses. Let

\[
i\mathfrak{a}_+^{sd} = \{\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}_+^* : \langle\nu, H_1\rangle = \langle\nu, H_2\rangle\}
\]

be the center of the positive Weyl chamber \(i\mathfrak{a}_+^*\), where \(H_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0)\), \(H_2 = \text{diag}(0, 1, -1)\) are the standard co-roots. Unramified tempered principal series representations \(\pi_\nu\) are self-dual precisely for \(\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}_+^{sd}\), whence the notation. Note that \(\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}_+^{sd}\) is the positive ray generated by \(\nu_0 = 2\pi i(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)\), where \(\varpi_1\) are the fundamental weights (the dual basis of the co-roots \(H_i\)). When studying the Lagrangian \(\Lambda_{H_{\text{reg}}}^{\text{red}}\) we can, without loss of generality, restrict to \(t = 1\); this follows from the scale invariance of the phase function in the \((\nu, a)\) parameters.

For notational simplicity, we shall work with Lie algebra structures rather than their duals. Thus instead of \(i\mathfrak{a}^*\) we work with \(\mathfrak{a}\), using the identification between the two given by the form \(B(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY)\). Thus, the matrix in \(\mathfrak{a}\) corresponding to \(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2 \in \mathfrak{a}^*\) is \(H := \text{diag}(1, 0, -1)\), and we shall work with \(\text{Ad}_K(H)\) rather than \(\text{Ad}_K^\nu(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)\).

There is a Lagrangian mapping \(\Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \to A\) as described in §6.5. Let

\[
\mathcal{F}(a) \subset \Lambda_H^{\text{red}}
\]
denote the fiber over \( a \in A \). Implementing (7.2) we have \( A = S \sqcup L \sqcup C \), according to whether \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) is empty, consists entirely of non-singular points, has at least one singular point, respectively.

9.2. **Statement of result.** We begin by defining certain subsets of \( A \) which are represented graphically in Figure 1. Let

\[
L_1 = \{ 27y_1^4y_2^4 - 18y_1^2y_2^2 + 4y_2^2 + 4y_1^2 < 1 \}
\]

and

\[
L_2 = \{ 27y_1^4y_2^4 - 18y_1^2y_2^2 + 4y_2^2 + 4y_1^2 > 1 \ \text{and} \ y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \}.
\]

Let \( a_{\text{cusp}} \) be the unique point in \( A \) given by

\[
(y_1, y_2) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right).
\]

Finally put

\[
C_1 = \{ y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1 \},
\]

and

\[
C_2 = \left\{ (y_1, y_2) \neq \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) : 27y_1^4y_2^4 - 18y_1^2y_2^2 + 4y_2^2 + 4y_1^2 = 1 \right\}.
\]

In this section we prove the following result.

**Theorem 9.1.** We have

\[
S = \{ y_1^2 + y_2^2 > 1 \}, \quad L = L_1 \sqcup L_2, \quad C = C_1 \sqcup \{ a_{\text{cusp}} \} \sqcup C_2.
\]

Moreover, we have the following critical point configurations:

1. for all \( a \in L_1 \) we have \( |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 6 \);
2. for all \( a \in L_2 \) we have \( |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 2 \);
3. for all \( a \in C_1 \) we have \( |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 1 \), consisting of a point of fold type;
4. for all \( a \in C_2 \) we have \( |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 4 \), two of which are non-degenerate, and two of which are degenerate of fold type;
We note the above varieties and equations are invariant under involution \((y_1, y_2) \mapsto (y_2, y_1)\) which is the reflection across the diagonal. This is explained by the equivariant action of \(\text{Ad}_\psi\) on \(\Lambda_{\text{red}}^\text{red}\) → \(A\).

### 9.3. Idea of proof.

We shall work with the traceless symmetric matrices \(p\) and the 4-dimensional subspace of tridiagonals \(\mathcal{J}\), rather than \(p^*\) and \(\mathcal{J}^*\). We denote by \(\mathcal{J}_+\) the open cone with positive entries on the first diagonal and we coordinatize \(\mathcal{J}_+\) as

\[
\mathcal{J}_+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} (2x_1 + x_2) & y_1 & 0 \\
y_1 & \frac{1}{2} (x_2 - x_1) & y_2 \\
0 & y_2 & -\frac{1}{2} (x_1 + 2x_2)
\end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}.
\]

Define the natural map \(\mathcal{J}_+ \to A\) by \(a = \text{diag}(y_1y_2, y_2, 1)\). We systematically use the coordinates on \(A\) given by the positive simple roots \(y_1 = \alpha_1(a)\) and \(y_2 = \alpha_2(a)\), i.e. \(a = \text{diag}(y_1y_2, y_2, 1)\). In particular \(e^{\langle \pi_1, H(a) \rangle} = y_1^\frac{3}{2} y_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\) and \(e^{\langle \pi_2, H(a) \rangle} = y_1^\frac{1}{2} y_2^\frac{3}{2}\). According to Theorem 6.5 there is a canonical bijection between \(\text{Ad}_K(H) \cap \mathcal{J}_+\) and \(\Lambda_{\text{red}}^\text{red}\), and this bijection commutes with the two projection maps onto \(A\).

Let \(\mathcal{A}(a)\) denote the fiber over \(a \in A\) under the above map \(\mathcal{J}_+ \to A\) (it is a 2-dimensional affine space). Moreover Theorem 6.5 provides an explicit description of \(\mathcal{F}(a)\) inside \(\mathcal{A}(a)\). Namely, if

\[
\chi_{\det}(a) = \{ s \in \mathcal{A}(a) : \det(s) = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) = \{ s \in \mathcal{A}(a) : \text{Tr}(s^2) = 2 \},
\]

then

\[
\mathcal{F}(a) = \chi_{\det}(a) \cap \chi_{\text{tr}}(a).
\]

This is the starting point for studying \(\mathcal{F}(a)\) and the partition \(A = S \cup L \cup C\).

From (9.1) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\det}(a) &= \left\{ 9y_1^2(x_1 + 2x_2) - 9y_2^2(2x_1 + x_2) = 2(x_2^3 - x_1^3) + 3(x_1x_2^2 - x_1^2x_2) \right\} \\
\chi_{\text{tr}}(a) &= \left\{ x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 = 3(1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2) \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

The idea of the proof of Theorem 9.1 is to study the “intersection configuration” of \(\chi_{\det}(a)\) with \(\chi_{\text{tr}}(a)\) – affine curves in \(\mathcal{A}(a)\) of degree 3 and 2, respectively – as \(a\) varies throughout \(A\). For \(a\) near the origin they will intersect (transversally) in 6 points, and for \(a\) large they will not intersect at all (for \(\chi_{\text{tr}}(a)\) will in fact be empty); these two extremal situations correspond to the regions \(L_1\) and \(S\). For intermediate ranges of \(a\), transversal intersections will coalesce into points of tangency, before disappearing. This can happen in a few different ways, roughly corresponding to the ways in which a degree 6 polynomial can factorize over the reals. On the other hand, symmetry constraints will limit which combinations can arise. Once the intersection configuration has been mapped out, one can then read off the underlying singularity type by the numerical invariants recalled in §7.3.

In Figure 2, we show five different intersection configurations corresponding to the five cases of Theorem 9.1. They can be mapped onto the corresponding strata of Figure 1. Note that in the configuration (C) representing the outer caustic \(C_1\), the ellipse in (B) has collapsed to a single point; in the shadow zone \(S\) (not pictured) this point has disappeared. Compare Figure 2 to the classical bifurcation diagram of cuspidal singularities, as given, for example, in [21, Figure 4].

We illustrate the argument by carrying it out along the ray \(y_1 = y_2 = y\) with \(y > 0\). In this case the equation for \(\chi_{\det}(a)\) simplifies. Indeed the linear term \(x_1 - x_2\) factors, making
\( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \) the union of the line \( x_1 = x_2 \) and the quadric hyperbola with equation
\[
9y^2 = 2x_1^2 + 5x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2.
\]
The intersection with \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) can be easily computed and we find that the different zones \( a \in L_1, a \in C_2, a \in L_2, a \in C_1, a \in S \) are given by the intervals
\[
0 < y < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < y < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad y > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},
\]
respectively, a result which agrees with Figure 1 and Theorem 9.1.

9.4. The shadow zone. In this section we establish the first statement in Theorem 9.1 regarding shadow zone. We also establish the cardinality of the fibers in \( C_1 \) and a lower bound in the fibers in the other regions.

**Proposition 9.2.** We have
\[
S = \{ y_1^2 + y_2^2 > 1 \}.
\]
Moreover, when \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1 \) there is one unique critical point, and if \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \) there are at least two distinct critical points.

**Proof.** By (5.5), it suffices to prove that \( S \subseteq \{ y_1^2 + y_2^2 > 1 \} \). According to (9.2), we must show that if \( a \in A \) verifies \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \leq 1 \) then \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \cap \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \neq \emptyset \). Any element in \( \mathcal{A}(a) \) has norm-squared \( 2y_1^2 + 2y_2^2 + d^2 + e^2 + f^2 \), for some diagonal entries \( d, e, f \). From the hypothesis \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 \leq 1 \) we deduce that \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) is not empty. It contains, say, the elements
\[
s_\pm = \pm \text{diag}(\alpha, -\alpha, 0) + \Upsilon(a), \quad \text{where} \quad \Upsilon(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 & 0 \\ y_1 & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
for some \( \alpha \geq 0 \).
Note that \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1 \) if and only if \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) = \{ \Upsilon(a) \} \). As \( \Upsilon(a) \) has determinant 0, we have \( \chi(a) \in \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \) as required.

If \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \) then the points \( s_\pm \) are distinct and \( \det(s_\pm) = \pm \alpha y_2^2 \) are of opposite sign. Now \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \), being an ellipse, is connected. By the intermediate value theorem, there is \( s \in \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) such that \( \det(s) = 0 \). As the same is true of the antipode of \( s \), there are at least two district points lying in \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \cap \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \). \( \square \)

We illustrate the argument of the proof above with a graph in the \((x_1, x_2)\)-plane of the two curves \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \) and \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \). Let \( s_i := ad_i + \Upsilon(a) \) where
\[
\begin{align*}
d_1 &:= \text{diag}(-1, 1, 0) & d_2 &:= \text{diag}(0, 1, -1) & d_3 &:= \text{diag}(1, 0, -1) \\
d_4 &:= \text{diag}(1, -1, 0) & d_5 &:= \text{diag}(0, -1, 1) & d_6 &:= \text{diag}(-1, 0, 1).
\end{align*}
\]
Thus in particular \( s_1 = s_+ \) and \( s_4 = s_- \). We have the property that \( s_i \in \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \) and the points are cyclically ordered. Suppose by symmetry that \( y_1 > y_2 \). Then it can be verified that \( \det(s_i) > 0 \) if \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) while \( \det(s_i) < 0 \) if \( i \in \{4, 5, 6\} \). Thus there are at least two intersection points in \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \cap \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) which was how we established Proposition 9.2. We consider the following numerical values \( y_1 = .614 \) and \( y_2 = .573 \) in Figure 3.
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**Figure 3.** The curves \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \) and \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) for \( a \in L_2 \).

The case \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1 \) is even simpler since the ellipse collapses into a single point \( \{ \Upsilon(a) \} \) and the resulting configuration is shown in Figure 2 on Page 39 with the numerical values \( y_1 = .739 \) and \( y_2 = .673 \).

### 9.5. Determination of the caustic locus.

For \( a \in A \) consider the polynomials
\[
\begin{align*}
C_a(x) &= x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 - 3(1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2), \\
E_a(x) &= 2x_1^3 + 3x_1^2 x_2 + 9x_1 y_1^2 + 18x_2 y_1^2 - 2x_2^2 - 3x_1 x_2^2 - 9x_2 y_2^2 - 18x_1 y_2^2, \\
D_a(x) &= x_1 y_1^2 + x_2 y_2^2 - x_1^2 x_2 - x_1 x_2^2.
\end{align*}
\]
The first two are the defining equations for the curves \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) and \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \), respectively. The role of the last one will be explained presently.

**Proposition 9.3.** We have \( a \in C \) if and only if there exists \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) satisfying
\[
C_a(x) = E_a(x) = D_a(x) = 0.
\]
Moreover, any singularity for \( \Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \to A \) (and hence any degenerate critical point of the Whittaker phase function \( F_H \)) is of corank 1.

Proof. Using the coordinates (9.1), the existence of a solution to \( C_a(x) = E_a(x) = 0 \) is equivalent to the fiber \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) being non-empty. To characterize \( a \in C \) we must then, in view of (7.3), determine the \( a \) for which there is \( s \in \mathcal{F}(a) \) whose tangent space along \( \Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \) fails to be transverse to the vertical fiber \( T^*_aA \simeq a \). If \( t(s) \) denotes this tangent space, then this condition is equivalent to \( a \cap t(s) \neq \emptyset. \) We shall show, again using the coordinates (9.1), that this is the same as the existence of a solution to \( D_a(x) = 0 \).

Let \( s \in \text{Ad}_K(H) \) and write \( T(s) \) for the tangent space of \( s \) along the whole adjoint orbit \( \text{Ad}_K(H) \). Then we may identify \( T(s) \) with \( \{ [k, s] : k \in \mathfrak{k} \} \). Now if \( s \in \text{Ad}_K(H) \cap J \) then \( t(s) \) may be identified with \( T(s) \cap J \). To compute this intersection explicitly we denote matrices in \( \mathfrak{k} \) as

\[
  k = \begin{pmatrix}
    0 & b & c \\
    -b & 0 & a \\
    -c & -a & 0
  \end{pmatrix},
\]

\( (a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}) \).

Taking \( s \in \Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \), viewed as an element of \( \text{Ad}_K(H) \cap J \) via the diagram (6.17) and with the coordinates of (9.1), and setting the upper right-hand entry of \( [k, s] \) to zero, we find that \( t(s) \) is the subspace of \( T(s) \) cut out by the equation \(-ay_1 + by_2 - c(x_1 + x_2) = 0\). Having computed \( t(s) \), one then finds \( a \cap t(s) \) by setting the off-diagonals of \( t(s) \) to zero. This produces two extra linear constraints \( cy_2 - bx_1 = 0, ax_2 + cy_1 = 0 \). The determinant of this linear system is \( D_a(x) \), which establishes the first claim.

To see the second claim, note that solutions to the above matrix equation precisely describe the kernel of the differential of the map \( \Lambda_H^{\text{red}} \to A \) at \( s \) because it can be identified as the intersection \( t(s) \cap a \) of the tangent space and the vertical fiber. The corank 1 property of singularities for this map is then evident since \( y_1 y_2 \neq 0 \). The link to the Whittaker phase function is made via (7.3). \( \Box \)

Lemma 9.4. We have \( C_1 \cup \{ a_{\text{cusp}} \} \subset C \).

Proof. From Proposition 9.2 it follows that every point of \( C_1 \) is critical. To show that every \( a \in C_1 \) is in fact degenerate, we note that the corresponding \( s \in \mathcal{F}(a) \) has vanishing diagonal elements, so that equation \( D_a(x) = 0 \) is trivially true.

Note that the symmetric matrices

\[
  s^+_{\text{cusp}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 1 & 0 \\
    1 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 & 1 & -1
  \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad s^-_{\text{cusp}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix}
    -1 & 1 & 0 \\
    1 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 & 1 & 1
  \end{pmatrix}
\]

lie in \( \text{Ad}_K(H) \), for their characteristic polynomial \( x - x^3 \) is the same as that of \( H \). Moreover, both \( s^+_{\text{cusp}} \) and \( s^-_{\text{cusp}} \) lie in the affine subspace \( \mathcal{A}(a_{\text{cusp}}) \). Thus both \( s^+_{\text{cusp}} \) and \( s^-_{\text{cusp}} \) are critical points of \( F_H \) over \( a_{\text{cusp}} \). Finally, \( s^+_{\text{cusp}} \) and \( s^-_{\text{cusp}} \) verify the equation \( D_a(x) = 0 \), which shows that they are degenerate. \( \Box \)

Now observe that the equation \( C_a(x) = 0 \) is that of a conic, which, if \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \), is not reduced to a point. We may therefore choose a birational map from \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \) to its solution locus. We make the substitution

\[
  (9.3) \quad x_1 = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t + t^2} \sqrt{3(1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2)}, \quad x_2 = \frac{t(t + 2)}{1 + t + t^2} \sqrt{3(1 - y_1^2 - y_2^2)}. \]
With this parametrization, the polynomials \( E_a(x) \) and \( D_a(x) \) become
\[
E_a(t) = y_1^2(t^2 + 4t + 1)^2 + y_2^2(t^2 - 2t - 2)^2 + 2t^6 + 6t^5 - 15t^4 - 40t^3 - 15t^2 + 6t + 2,
\]
\[
D_a(t) = y_1^2((1 - t)(t^2 + 4t + 1)^2 + y_2^2(2t + t^2)(t^2 - 2t - 2)^2 + 6t^5 + 15t^4 - 15t^2 - 6t,
\]
again under the hypothesis that \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \).

**Proposition 9.5.** We have \( C \subset C_1 \sqcup \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show that if \( a \) is in \( C \) but not in \( C_1 \) then \( a \) is in \( \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \).

We see that \( a \in C - C_1 \) satisfies \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \) and moreover there is \( t \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( E_a(t) = D_a(t) = 0 \). This system has a complex solution \( t \in \mathbb{P}^1(C) \) if, and only if, the resultant
\[
R(a) = \text{Res}(E_a(t), D_a(t)) \text{ vanishes.}
\]
One computes
\[
R(a) = (y_1^2 + y_2^2 - 1)^4(27y_1^4y_2^4 - 18y_1^2y_2^2 + 4y_2^2 + 4y_1^2 - 1)^2.
\]
The set of \( a \in A \) such that \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 < 1 \) and \( R(a) = 0 \) is precisely \( \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \). \( \square \)

We have the following critical point configurations:
\[
(1) \text{ for any } a \in L_1 \text{ one has } |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 6;
(2) \text{ for any } a \in L_2 \text{ one has } |\mathcal{F}(a)| = 2.
\]

**Proof.** Another way to state the proposition is that for \( a \in L_1 \) (resp., \( a \in L_2 \)) there are 6 (resp., 2) distinct real solutions to \( E_a(t) = 0 \).

Note that, for \( i = 1, 2 \), it is enough to show the stated root configuration for some value of \( a \in L_i \). Indeed, the root configuration cannot change within \( L_i \), since changing to any other root configuration would require hitting the caustic \( C \). By Proposition 9.5, this is impossible.

For (1) we can, for example, use \( (y_1, y_2) = (1/2, 1/2) \). In this case, equation \( E_a(t) = 0 \) becomes \( 10t^6 + 30t^5 - 3t^4 - 56t^3 - 21t^2 + 12t + 1 = 0 \), which has 6 distinct real roots. For (2) we can use the point \( (y_1, y_2) = (\sqrt{3}/2, \sqrt{3}/2) \). In this case, we obtain \( (2t^2 + 2t - 1)(11t^4 + 22t^3 + 9t^2 - 2t + 5) = 0 \), which has two distinct real roots. \( \square \)

As a corollary, we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 9.7.** If \( a \in \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \), then any solution \( t \) to \( E_a(t) = 0 \) is real. In particular, we have \( C = C_1 \sqcup \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \).
Proof. Suppose that for some \( a \in \{a_{\text{cusp}}\} \sqcup C_2 \) there is a pair of non-real, complex conjugate roots of \( E_a(t) = 0 \). Then there exists a neighborhood \( U \) of \( a \) such that the same is true for every \( a' \in U \). But this neighborhood necessarily intersects \( L_1 \), where Proposition 9.6 assures us that there are no complex roots. Contradiction.

The second statement follows from the proof of Proposition 9.5. \( \square \)

We note that \(|\mathcal{F}(a)|\) is even for \( a \in L_1 \sqcup L_2 \). This is explained by the involution \((x_1, x_2) \mapsto (-x_1, -x_2)\) which preserves \( \chi_{\text{det}}(a) \) and \( \chi_{\text{tr}}(a) \) above, and thus acts on the fibers \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) for any \( a \in A \). The only fixed points of the involution are \( x_1 = x_2 = 0 \) which project to the caustic \( C_1 \). In fact we shall see below that \(|\mathcal{F}(a)| = 1\) for every \( a \in C_1 \) which is the only case where the multiplicity is odd.

9.7. Degeneracy types. Having obtained the caustic locus in Corollary 9.7, we now look at the fibers \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) over caustic points. We first determine their multiplicities, which will be of great help in identifying their degeneracy type.

Proposition 9.8. We have the following critical point configurations:

1. for any \( a \in C_1 \) one has \(|\mathcal{F}(a)| = 1\), of multiplicity 2;
2. one has \(|\mathcal{F}(a_{\text{cusp}})| = 2\), each of multiplicity 3;
3. for any \( a \in C_2 \) one has \(|\mathcal{F}(a)| = 4\), two of multiplicity 2, two of multiplicity 1.

Proof of (1): We have already proved (1) in Proposition 9.2.

Proof of (2): By (9.4) we must show that \( E_{a_{\text{cusp}}}(t) = 0 \) admits two distinct real roots, each of multiplicity 3. Inserting \((y_1, y_2) = (1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})\) into the formula for \( E_a(t) = 0 \) we obtain \((2t^2 + 2t - 1)^3 = 0\).

Proof of (3): Let \( a \in C_2 \). By (9.4) we must show that \( E_a(t) = 0 \) admits four distinct real roots, of which two are double and two are simple.

We will make use of the symmetry of the solution locus \( C_a(x) = E_a(x) = D_a(x) = 0 \) given by \( x \mapsto -x \). In the parametrization (9.3), this corresponds to \( \sigma(t) = (t + 2)/(-2t - 1) \). We deduce that if \( t \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) satisfies \( E_a(t) = D_a(t) = 0 \), then so does \( \sigma(t) \). We deduce from (9.4) that the system \( E_a(t) = E_a'(t) = 0 \) is also invariant under \( \sigma \). In other words, \( \sigma \) sends roots of \( E_a(t) \) to roots of \( E_{a}(t) \), and conserves their multiplicities.

By Corollary 9.7, \( E_a(t) \) admits 6 real roots, when counted with multiplicity. Since \( C_2 \subset C \), one of these roots must have multiplicity strictly greater than 1. Since \( a \notin C_1 \), any solution \( x \) to \( E_a(x) = 0 \) is non-zero, so that the map \( x \mapsto -x \), and hence \( \sigma \), has no fixed points. From these observations we deduce that either two roots are of multiplicity 2 and the others are non-degenerate (as is stated in the proposition) or that there are 2 distinct real solutions, each with multiplicity 3. We must show that for \( a \in C_2 \) the latter cannot occur.

Recall from [22] the notion of the principal subresultant coefficients \( \text{PSPC}_\ell(P, Q) \). These can be used to characterize the exact number of roots a given polynomial has. For example, a degree 6 polynomial \( P \) has exactly 2 distinct complex roots if, and only if, \( \text{PSPC}_4(P, P') \neq 0 \) and \( \text{PSPC}_\ell(P, P') = 0 \) for \( \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). If we show that the vanishing locus of \( \text{PSPC}_3(E_a, E_a') \) does not intersect \( C_2 \), then this effectively eliminates this root configuration.

Recall that \( a \in C_2 \) satisfies \( F(x, y) = 0 \), where \( F(x, y) = 27x^2y^2 - 18xy + 4x + 4y - 1 \) in the variables \( x = y_1^2, y = y_2^2 \). We furthermore compute \( \text{PSPC}_3(E_a, E_a') = G(x, y) \), where

\[
G(x, y) = 80(x + y) - 50(x^2 + y^2) + 7(x^3 + y^3) - 51(x^2y + xy^2)
+ 57(x^3y + xy^3) + 249x^2y^2 - 166xy - 25.
\]
The resultant with respect to \( y \) of \( F \) and \( G \) is
\[
27(y + 1)^4(3y - 1)^2(3y^2 - 3y + 1)(1323y^4 - 1809y^3 + 1602y^2 - 765y + 133).
\]
The only real solution in the positive reals is \( y = 1/3 \). We conclude by recalling that \( a \in \mathbb{C}_2 \), by definition, is distinct from \((1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})\).

We may now determine the degeneracy type of each of the degenerate singularities lying over a caustic point.

**Corollary 9.9.** We have the following description of the degeneracy types in the critical locus:

1. For any \( a \in \mathbb{C}_1 \), the unique critical point of \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) is degenerate of type \( A_2 \).
2. The two distinct critical points of \( \mathcal{F}(a_{\text{cusp}}) \) are degenerate of type \( A_3 \).
3. For any \( a \in \mathbb{C}_2 \), the two degenerate critical points of \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) are of type \( A_2 \).

**Proof of (1).** In Proposition 9.8 it was shown that the multiplicity is 2. This is enough to pinpoint \( A_2 \) as the degeneracy type, since a singularity of type \( A_k \) has multiplicity \( k \). ⊓⊔

**Proof of (2).** In Lemma 9.4, we found the two critical points \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \) and showed in Proposition 9.3 that the corresponding Hessians \( \nabla^2 F_H(u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm, a_{\text{cusp}}) \) are both of corank 1. It follows that \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \) are of degeneracy type \( A \). By Proposition 9.8 the multiplicity of both \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \) is 3. Hence the critical points are of type \( A_3 \). ⊓⊔

**Proof of (3).** If \( a \in \mathbb{C}_2 \), then according to Proposition 9.8 among the four distinct critical points of \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) two are non-degenerate and two are degenerate of multiplicity 2. Since \( A_2 \) is the unique singularity class with multiplicity 2, we deduce that the two degenerate critical points in \( \mathcal{F}(a) \) are fold singularities. ⊓⊔

**Remark 9.10.** In the proof of (2) above we could bypass the use of Proposition 9.3 and only use the fact that the multiplicity of the singularity is 3. Indeed this implies that the singularity is simple [2, Lemma 4.2], and the classification theorem of Arnol’d then shows that it is of type \( A_3 \).

### 9.8. Proof of Theorem 1.9, conditional on Hypothesis 5.3.

We derive from the critical point configuration described in Theorem 9.1 a lower bound on the PGL\(_3(\mathbb{R})\) Jacquet-Whittaker functions \( W_y(a) \) in the vicinity of the cuspidal point \( a_{\text{cusp}} = \text{diag}(1, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1) \). Namely, we shall show the existence of a constant \( C > 0 \) and a neighborhood \( V \) of \( a_{\text{cusp}} \), such that the following property holds: for all \( t > 1 \) there is \( a \in V \) such that \( |W_{1\times 0}(ta)| \geq Ct^{3/4} \). The approach described here depends on the verification of Hypothesis 5.3; we give an unconditional proof in the next section.

Denote by \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \) the two singular points of \( u \mapsto \varphi(u, a_{\text{cusp}}) \) given in part (2) of Corollary 9.9. We analyse the oscillatory integrals
\[
I_\pm(t, a) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_U \delta(wu)^{1/2} \alpha_\pm(u)e^{it\varphi(u, a)}du,
\]
where \( \varphi(u, a) = B(H, H(wu)) - \ell_1(aua^{-1}) \), and \( \alpha_+(u) \) and \( \alpha_-(u) \) are smooth functions of disjoint compact support which are identically 1 in a neighborhood of \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \) and \( u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm \), respectively. Recall from §9.1 that \( H = \text{diag}(1, 0, -1) \).

Let \( Q^\pm \) denote the Hessian of \( \varphi \) at \( (u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm, a_{\text{cusp}}) \); if \( (p^\pm, q^\pm) \) is its signature, write \( \sigma^\pm = p^\pm - q^\pm \).

Shrinking the support of \( \alpha_\pm \) if necessary, Lemma 10.3 below provides for non-zero functions \( r_1^\pm, r_2^\pm, \alpha_j^\pm, s_\pm \in C_\infty^\infty(A) \), with \( r_i^\pm(a_{\text{cusp}}) = 0 \) and \( s_\pm(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \varphi(u_{\text{cusp}}^\pm, a_{\text{cusp}}) \), such that
\[
I_\pm(t, a) = e^{i\pi \sigma^\pm/4} e^{it\sigma_\pm(a)} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{j=0, 1, 2} \alpha_j^\pm(a) Pe_j \left( t^{3/4} r_1^\pm(a), t^{1/2} r_2^\pm(a) \right) t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + O(t^{-9/4}),
\]
where \( Pe_j \) denotes the \( j \)-th Jacobi polynomial.
uniformly for all $a$ in a sufficiently small compact $V$ about $a_{\text{cusp}}$ and all $t > 1$. If $a$ is sufficiently close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$, then we have

$$I_{\pm}(t, a) = e^{i\pi\sigma/4}e^{it\sigma(a)}\alpha_0^\pm(a)\Phi_0\left(t^{3/4}r_1^\pm(a), t^{1/2}r_2^\pm(a)\right)t^{-5/4} + O(t^{-3/2}).$$

We note for later use that, using Lemma 10.3, and the definition of $\alpha_\pm$, we have

$$\alpha_0^\pm(a_{\text{cusp}}) > 0.$$  

From (10.1) and (9.5) it follows that $\Phi_0\left(t^{3/4}r_1^\pm(a), t^{1/2}r_2^\pm(a)\right)$ and $\alpha_0^\pm(a)$ are non-vanishing for $a$ sufficiently close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$.

We shall show that there is $C > 0$ such that for every $t > 1$ there is $a \in V$ satisfying

$$|I_+(t, a) + I_-(t, a)| \geq Ct^{-5/4}. \tag{9.6}$$

Let $P(a, t) := e^{i\pi(\sigma_+ - \sigma_-)}\alpha_0^+(a)\Phi_0\left(t^{3/4}r_1^+(a), t^{1/2}r_2^+(a)\right)\alpha_0^-(a)\Phi_0\left(t^{3/4}r_1^-(a), t^{1/2}r_2^-(a)\right)$. For $a$ in a ball of radius $O(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$ about $a_{\text{cusp}}$,

$$|I_+(a, t) + I_-(a, t)| \asymp |1 + e^{iS(a)}P(a, t)|t^{-5/4} + O(t^{-3/2}),$$

where $S(a) := s_+(a) - s_-(a)$. In order to prove (9.6) it suffices to show that for every $t > 1$, there exists $a$ close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$ such that

$$|1 + e^{iS(a)}P(a, t)| \geq 1. \tag{9.7}$$

Write $\theta(a, t)$ for the complex argument of $P(a, t)$, and let $\theta := \theta(a_{\text{cusp}}, t)$ which is independent of $t$. It suffices to show that for every $t \gg 1$, there exists a close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$ such that

$$\theta(a, t) + tS(a) \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \mod 2\pi.$$ 

Indeed this implies that $|1 + e^{i(\theta(a, t) + tS(a))}| \geq 1$, for any $\rho \geq 0$, as desired. If $a$ is sufficiently close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$, then $|\theta(a, t) - \theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus to establish (9.7) it suffices to show that for every $t \gg 1$, there exists $a$ close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$ such that

$$tS(a) \in [-\theta - \pi/4, -\theta + \pi/4] \mod 2\pi. \tag{9.8}$$

We use the fact that the differential of $S$ is non-zero:

$$\nabla S(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \nabla s_+(a_{\text{cusp}}) - \nabla s_-(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \nabla \varphi(u_{\text{cusp}}^+, a_{\text{cusp}}) - \nabla \varphi(u_{\text{cusp}}^-, a_{\text{cusp}}) \neq 0,$$

which is a consequence of Theorem 9.1 which says that $|\mathcal{F}(a_{\text{cusp}})| = 2$. Thus there exists some $X \in a$ for which the directional derivative $\nabla_X S(a_{\text{cusp}})$ is non-zero. The image of the ball of radius $O(t^{-\frac{3}{4}})$ about $a_{\text{cusp}}$ under $a \mapsto S(a)$ contains an interval of length $\gg t^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ around $S(a_{\text{cusp}})$. Thus the image of $tS(a)$ contains an interval of length $\gg t^\frac{1}{4}$. This establishes (9.8).

Recalling (9.6) we obtain $|I(t, a)| \gg t^{-5/4}$ for some $a \in V$. Since $a = \text{diag}(y_1y_2, y_2, 1)$, and $ta = \text{diag}(t^2y_1y_2, ty_2, 1)$ thus $\delta(a)^{1/2} = t^2y_1y_2$, the formula (2.1) reads

$$|W_{t\nu}(ta)| = t^2y_1y_2|I(t, a)|.$$ 

Since we can choose $V$ such that for all $a \in V$ we have $|y_1y_2 - \frac{1}{3}|$ arbitrarily small, for example bounded by $\frac{1}{6}$, the claimed lower bound follows.

**Remark 9.11.** We indicate how to find the difference of critical values $S(a_{\text{cusp}})$, which is useful for obtaining uniform asymptotics in the transition region, as in Theorem 10.9 below.

If $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $u_4 := u_1u_2 - u_3$, and $a = \text{diag}(y_1y_2, y_2, 1)$ then

$$(2\pi)^{-1}\varphi(u, a) = -y_1u_1 - y_2u_2 - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + u_1^2 + u_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + u_2^2 + u_3^2).$$
Then the critical set $\Sigma$ is defined by the system

$$
y_1 = \frac{-u_1 + u_2 u_4}{1 + u_1^2 + u_4^2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{-u_2 + u_1 u_3}{1 + u_2^2 + u_3^2}, \quad \frac{u_3}{1 + u_2^2 + u_3^2} = \frac{u_4}{1 + u_1^2 + u_4^2}.
$$

Recall that $a_{\text{cusp}}$ corresponds to $y_1 = y_2 = 1/\sqrt{3}$. One then verifies that

$$
u_{\text{cusp}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{3}}{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}\right),
$$

are the two critical points, i.e. $(\nu_{\text{cusp}}^\pm, a_{\text{cusp}})$ lie in $\Sigma$. A direct computation then shows that

$$(2\pi)^{-1} S(a_{\text{cusp}}) = (2\pi)^{-1} s^+(a_{\text{cusp}}) - (2\pi)^{-1} s^-(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} - 2\log(2 + \sqrt{3}).$$

10. Proof of Theorem 1.9

We continue to assume that $\nu$ is self-dual and retain the notation from §9.1. Recall that regular self-dual spectral parameters for $\text{GL}_3$ are given by $tv_0$ for $t > 0$ and $v_0 = 2\pi i(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$, and the cuspidal point $a_{\text{cusp}} = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1)$. The following theorem provides a lower bound for $W_{tv_0}(ta)$ for a close to $a_{\text{cusp}}$. Since $\|W_{\nu}\|_2 = 1$, this will complete the proof of Theorem 1.9.

**Theorem 10.1.** There exist a constant $C > 0$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $a_{\text{cusp}}$, such that the following property holds: for all $t > 1$ there is $a \in V$ such that $|W_{tv_0}(ta)| \geq Ct^{3/4}$.

10.1. **Asymptotics associated to cuspidal singularities.** We give here a more precise description of $A_k$ singularities than that given in §7.3.

**Definition 10.2** ([4], Part II, §11.1). Let $x_c$ be a critical point of a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ and $Q$ be the Hessian quadratic form. We say that $x_c$ is an $A_k$ singularity, $k \geq 2$, if

1. $Q$ has corank 1, and
2. in a neighborhood of $x_c$, the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x)$ is equivalent (via a germ of diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^m$) to

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{m-1}^2 + x_m^{k+1}.$$

The Airy function is the first in a series of special functions associated to singularities of type $A_k$. The **generalized Airy function of order $k$** is defined as

$$Ai_k(y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(i \left(\sum_{k-1} y_k x_k^{k-1} + y_1 x_k^{k+1} \right) + \frac{y_k}{k+1}\right) dx,$$

the integral is improper (converges in the limit but not absolutely). For $k = 2$ we recover the Airy function: $Ai_2(y) = (2\pi)Ai(y)$. In general, the Airy function of order $k$ governs the asymptotic behavior of families of oscillatory integrals whose phase functions have $A_k$ type singularities. For more information on generalized Airy functions we refer the reader to [34, §7.9].

In this section we shall be interested in cusp singularities. The Airy function of order 3 bears a special name: one calls

$$Pe(y_1, y_2) = Ai_3(y_1, y_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(i \left(y_2 x + y_1 x_1^2 + x_4^4\right)\right) dx$$

the **Pearcey function**. It was first introduced (and numerically computed) in [60]. Below we shall need the fact that

$$(10.1) Pe(0, 0) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)e^{i\pi/8}/\sqrt{2} \neq 0.$$

Note that unlike the Airy function $Ai(y)$, the Pearcey function (and indeed all higher order Airy functions) is a complex valued function.
The phase function
\[ \varphi(x, y) = y_2 x + y_1 \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, \ y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2), \]
has critical set \( \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 : y_2 + x y_1 + x^3 = 0 \} \). The horizontal projection is singular at \( y = 0 \). The image of the critical set under the map (analogous to that in (3.5)) which sends \((x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \) to \((y, \partial_1 \varphi(x, y)) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^2 \) is the Lagrangian surface \((\{y_1, y_2; x^2/2, x \} \in T^* \mathbb{R}^2 : y_2 + x y_1 + x^3 = 0 \})\.

The following lemma allows one to reduce the asymptotic behavior of an oscillatory integral whose phase function has a cusp singularity to the asymptotic behavior of the Pearcey function. For notational convenience, we set \( \text{Pe}_0 := \text{Pe} \), \( \text{Pe}_1 := \partial_y \text{Pe} \), and \( \text{Pe}_2 := \partial_{y_2} \text{Pe} \).

**Lemma 10.3.** Let \( \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \), and \( y_c \in \mathbb{R}^n \) be such that \( x \mapsto \varphi(x, y_c) \) admits a critical point \( x_c \in \mathbb{R}^m \), which is of singularity type \( A_3 \) according to Definition 10.2. Let \( Q \) denote the Hessian quadratic form, and write \( p = q \), where \( (p, q) \) is the signature of \( Q \). There exist

1. a compact neighborhood \( K' \times K \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \) of \((x_c, y_c)\);
2. real valued functions \( r_1, r_2, s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \), satisfying \( r_1(y_c) = r_2(y_c) = 0 \), \( s(y_c) = \varphi(x_c, y_c) \), and \( \nabla s(y_c) = \nabla y \varphi(x_c, y_c) \);

such that for all \( \alpha \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^m) \) with support in \( K' \) and all \( y \in K \) and \( t \geq 1 \) the integral

\[ \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \alpha(x) e^{it\varphi(x, y)} dx \]

is equal to

\[ e^{i\pi\sigma/4} e^{its(y)} t^{1/2} \sum_{j=0, 1, 2} t^{-\frac{j}{4}} \alpha_j(y) \text{Pe}_j \left( t^{3/4} r_1(y), t^{1/2} r_2(y) \right) + O \left( t^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right), \]

for some functions \( \alpha_j \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Moreover, we have

\[ \alpha_0(y_c) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}(\partial_w W(w_c, y_c))^{-1} |\det' Q|^{-1/2} \alpha(x_c), \]

where \( \det' Q \) is the product of all non-zero eigenvalues of \( Q \), and the function \( W \) is specified in the proof of the lemma.

**Proof.** The proof proceeds in the same way as the analogous result [38, Theorem 7.7.19] for fold singularities.

Let \( V_0 \) denote the orthogonal complement inside \( \mathbb{R}^m \) of \( \ker Q \). For any \((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \) let \( Q_0(x, y) \) denote the matrix of second order partial derivatives of \( \varphi \) relative to a basis of \( V_0 \). One writes the integration domain as \( \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \ker Q \), where \( \mathbb{R}^{m-1} = V_0 \). We write the generic element of \( \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \) as \( v \) and the generic element of \( \ker Q \) as \( w \). The coordinates of the critical point \( x_c \in \mathbb{R}^m \) are denoted \((v_c, w_c) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times \ker Q \).

Keeping \( w \in \ker Q \) fixed, the leading term asymptotic of the integral

\[ \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \alpha(v, w) e^{it\varphi(v, w, y)} dv \]

is given by

\[ e^{i\pi\sigma/4} e^{it\varphi(v, w, y)} |\det Q_0(\varphi(v, w, y), w, y)|^{-1/2} \alpha(v, w, y), \]

where \( \varphi(v, w, y) \) is the unique critical point of \( v \mapsto \varphi(v, w, y) \). (For this, see [38, Theorem 7.7.6] or [74, Theorem 2.9]). One then applies the \( A_3 \) stationary phase lemma to the remaining one-dimensional integral

\[ \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\ker Q} \beta(w, y) e^{it\varphi(w, y)} dw. \]
Here we have written \( \beta(w, y) = |\det Q_0(\varpi(w, y), w, y)|^{-1/2} \alpha(\varpi(w, y), w) \) and \( \phi(w, y) = \varphi(\varpi(w, y), w, y) \). For the \( A_3 \) asymptotic, see [34, §7, Theorem 9.1] or [41, (3.12)]; moreover, one can adapt [38, Theorem 7.7.18] to the case of cusp singularities.

The result is a leading term asymptotic of the form specified in Lemma 10.3, but without the explicit expression for \( \alpha_0(y_c) \). Indeed, in these references no formula for \( \alpha_0(y_c) \) is given. One can, however, extract this value from the proof of [38, Theorem 7.7.18]. We indicate how to do so now.

The Malgrange preparation theorem [38, Theorem 7.5.13], when applied to our phase function \( \phi \), shows the existence of real valued functions

1. \( W \in C^\infty(\ker Q \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying \( W(w_c, y_c) = 0 \), \( \partial_w W(w_c, y_c) > 0 \),
2. \( r_1, r_2, s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying \( r_1(y_c) = r_2(y_c) = 0 \) and \( s(y_c) = \phi(w_c, y_c) \),

such that

\[
\phi(w, y) = \frac{W^4}{4} + r_2(y) \frac{W^2}{2} + r_1(y)W + s(y)
\]

in a neighborhood of \((w_c, y_c)\). We see that \( \nabla s(y_c) = \nabla_y \phi(w_c, y_c) = \nabla_y \varphi(v_c, w_c, y_c) \) because \( \nabla \varphi(v_c, w_c, y_c) = 0 \) since \( v_c \) is a critical point.

Concerning our amplitude function \( \beta \), a slightly different version of the Malgrange preparation theorem [38, Theorem 7.5.6] shows the existence of functions \( q \in C^\infty(\ker Q \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( A_0, A_1, A_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \), verifying

\[
(\partial_w W(w, y))^{-1} \beta(w, y) = (W^3 + r_2(y)W + r_1(y))q(w, y) + A_2(y)W^2 + A_1(y)W + A_0(y)
\]

in a neighborhood of \((w_c, y_c)\). Following the argument of Hörmander in [38, Theorem 7.7.18], one sees that the leading term asymptotics for the \( \mathbb{R}^m \)-integral are given by

\[
\sum_{j=0,1,2} (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i\pi\sigma/4} e^{i\pi s(y)} A_j(y) \int_{\ker Q} W_j e^{it(\frac{W^4}{4} + r_2(y) \frac{W^2}{2} + r_1(y)W)} dW
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0,1,2} (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i\pi\sigma/4} e^{i\pi s(y)} A_j(y) t^{1/4} Pe_j(t^{3/4} r_1(y), t^{1/2} r_2(y)).
\]

The functions \( (2\pi)^{-1/2} A_j \) are the \( \alpha_j \) appearing in the statement of Lemma 10.3. One computes the value of each \( \alpha_j(y_c) \) by evaluating \( \partial_{y_c} \beta(w_c, y_c) \). For example,

\[
(2\pi)^{4/2} \alpha_0(y_c) = \frac{\beta(w_c, y_c)}{\partial_w W(w_c, y_c)} = (\partial_w W(w_c, y_c))^{-1} |\det Q_0(\varpi(w_c, y_c), w_c, y_c)|^{-1/2} \alpha(\varpi(w_c, y_c), w_c)
\]

\[
= (\partial_w W(w_c, y_c))^{-1} |\det Q_0|^{-1/2} \alpha(x_c).
\]

This proves the lemma.

\[\square\]

10.2. Takhtadjan–Vinogradov formula. A formula of Takhtadjan–Vinogradov yields an integral representation of \( W_\nu \) involving the product of two \( \text{GL}(2) \)-Bessel functions. We will use below this integral representation to establish Theorem 10.1. As a first step towards this, we now briefly explain how one can use the Takhtadjan–Vinogradov formula in the self-dual case to recover

1. the description of the shadow zone \( S \) as described in Proposition 9.4;
2. the caustic line \( C_1 \), as described in Theorem 9.1,

both of which were obtained using the \( \text{GL}(3) \) Jacquet integral.

**Proposition 10.4** (Takhtadjan–Vinogradov). For every \( a = \text{diag}(y_1, y_2, 1) \in A \), and \( \nu = i(t_1 \varpi_1 + t_2 \varpi_2) \in i \mathfrak{a}^* \), the spectrally normalized Whittaker function

\[
\Gamma(1 + it_1) \Gamma(1 + it_2) \Gamma(1 + it_1 + it_2) W_\nu(a)
\]
is equal to
\[ \frac{1+ιt_1-ιt_2}{y_1} e^{ιx/6} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{u} K_{ιt_1+ιt_2} \left( 2πy_1 √1+u \right) K_{ιt_1+ιt_2} \left( 2πy_2 √1+u^{-1} \right) \frac{u^{ι(1-ιt_2)}}{u}. \]

If \( t_1 = t_2 \), then the term \( u^{ι(1-ιt_2)} \) is identically 1. Up to a bounded multiplicative constant, we have for every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) and \( a \in A \),
\[ (10.3) \quad W_{ιν_0}(a) \asymp y_1 y_2 e^{ι2πt} \int_0^\infty K_{2πit} \left( 2πy_1 √1+u \right) K_{2πit} \left( 2πy_2 √1+u^{-1} \right) \frac{du}{u}. \]

The integrand is negligible if one of the \( K \)-Bessel functions is in the region of uniform rapid decay. If this happens for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) then \( W_ν(a) \) is also of rapid decay. This motivates the following

**Lemma 10.5.** For every \( y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \), we have
\[ \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} \max\left( y_1 √1+u, y_2 √1+u^{-1} \right) = \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2}. \]

**Proof.** The value on the right-hand side is achieved for \( u := y_2^2/y_1^2 \). Conversely, for any \( u, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \), we apply the inequality \( \max(a, b) \geq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \) for \( a = y_1 √1+u \) and \( b = y_2 √1+u^{-1} \), to find \( \max(a, b) \geq \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2} \). □

We deduce from Lemma 10.5 that
\[ \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} \left\{ 2π |t| \leq 2πy_1 √1+u \right\} \cup \left\{ 2π |t| \leq 2πy_2 √1+u^{-1} \right\} = \left\{ t^2 \leq y_1^2 + y_2^2 \right\}. \]

This is the “essential support” for the integral (10.3). We thus recover the shadow zone \( S \) for \( W_{ιν_0}(a) \), given by \( a \notin \text{Im}(Λ_{ιν_0} → A) \), and previously found in Proposition 9.2.

Next, let us choose \( y_1, y_2 \) such that \( y_1^2 + y_2^2 = t^2 \). It is well-known that the transition range for the Bessel function \( K_ι(y) \) is \( y = t + O(t^{1/2}) \), and that \( K_ι(t) \asymp t^{-1/2} e^{-πt/2} \). By the same reasoning as above, the integrand of (10.3) is negligible unless \( u = y_2^2/y_1^2 + O(t^{-2/3}) \). In this range of \( u \) the integrand is mildly oscillating and the expected size of \( |W_{ιν_0}(a)| \) is
\[ y_1 y_2 e^{2π2t} t^{-2/3} e^{-2π2t} t^{-2/3} \asymp t^{2/3}. \]

This is consistent with the exponent that arises in Theorem 1.8, because \( a \in \text{Im}(Λ_{ιν_0}^{(1)} - Λ_{ιν_0}^{(0)}) \) belongs to the component \( C_1 \) of the caustic set, and is a type \( A_2 \) singularity.

**10.3. Cuspidal points.** We now undertake a more detailed analysis of the Takhtadjan–Vinogradov formula (again, in the self-dual case), using it to provide an independent proof of the existence of a type-\( A_3 \) singularity, as in Theorem 9.1.

From now on, we specialize to \( y_1 = y_2 = y \) in the interval \( (1/2, 2) \). Hence \( a = \text{diag}(y^2, y, 1) \), and recall \( ν_0 = 2πι(ω_1 + ω_2) \).

**Lemma 10.6.** There are smooth compactly supported functions \( α_1, α_2, \text{ resp. } β \), which are constant and non-vanishing in \( [1/2, 2] \), resp. in \( [1/3, 3] \), such that
\[ |W_{ιν_0}(ta)| \asymp t^2 \left| \int_0^∞ \int_0^∞ \int_0^∞ e^{2πιtφ_0(x_1, x_2, u)} α_1(x_1)α_2(x_2) β(u) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \frac{du}{u} \right| + O_N(t^{-N}), \]
where the phase function is
\[ φ_0(x_1, x_2, u) = \log x_1 + \log x_2 + \frac{1}{2} \log u - \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 + u)x_1 - \frac{y^2}{x_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( x_2 - \frac{y^2}{u x_2} \right). \]
Indeed, since $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$, the inequality $y\sqrt{1+u} \leq 1$ implies $u < 3$, and the inequality $y\sqrt{1+u}^{-1} \leq 1$ implies $\frac{1}{3} < u$.

We write the two Bessel functions as follows:

$$K_{2\pi i t} \left( 2\pi ty\sqrt{1+u} \right) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{1+u}}{y\sqrt{1+u}} \right)^{2\pi it} \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi it(i \log x_1 - \frac{1}{2}((1+u)x_1 + \frac{x_1^2}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_1}))} \frac{dx_1}{x_1},$$

$$K_{2\pi i t} \left( 2\pi ty\sqrt{1+u}^{-1} \right) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{u}}{y\sqrt{1+u}} \right)^{2\pi it} \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi it(i \log x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + \frac{(1+u)x_2}{ux_2}))} \frac{dx_2}{x_2}.$$}

We move the contour of integration for both $x_1$ and $x_2$ from the interval $(0, \infty)$ to the interval $(0, i\infty)$. The critical points of the respective integrals are located inside the interval $[\frac{1}{3}, 3]$, as can be seen from elementary manipulations, see also Lemma 10.7 below.

We now analyse the critical points of the phase function $\phi_a$.

**Lemma 10.7.** The phase function $\phi_a(x_1, x_2, u)$ has two degenerate critical points of type $A_3$ when $a = a_{\text{cusps}}$.

**Proof.** The vanishing of the $x_1$-derivative and the $x_2$-derivative yield the quadratic equations

$$(1+u)x_1^2 + y^2 = 2x_1, \quad ux_2^2 + (1+u)y^2 = 2x_2.$$

If $u$ is small enough (resp. large enough), then there are two distinct positive solutions to the first equation (resp. second equation). We find

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^\pm (1+u) - \frac{y^2}{x_1^\pm} \right) = \pm \sqrt{1-y^2(1+u)}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( x_2^\pm - \frac{(1+u)y^2}{ux_2^\pm} \right) = \pm \sqrt{1-y^2(1+1/u)}.$$

Specializing to $u = 1$, we find

$$x_1^\pm = \frac{1 \mp \sqrt{1-2y^2}}{2}, \quad x_2^\pm = 1 \mp \sqrt{1-2y^2}.$$}

The $u$-derivative vanishes for $(x_1^+, x_2^+, 1)$, and for $(x_1^-, x_2^-, 1)$, regardless of the value of $y$.

Specializing to $y = 1/\sqrt{3}$, we obtain two isolated critical points

$$\left( \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}), 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1 \right), \quad \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}), 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1 \right).$$

We compute the Hessian matrices

$$Q^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 6 + 4\sqrt{3} & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -1/2 & \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^- = \begin{pmatrix} 6 - 4\sqrt{3} & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -1/2 & \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $\det(Q^+) = \det(Q^-) = 0$, and

$$\ker(Q^+) = \left( 1, -2\sqrt{3} - 4, 8\sqrt{3} + 12 \right)^T, \quad \ker(Q^-) = \left( 1, 2\sqrt{3} - 4, 12 - 8\sqrt{3} \right)^T.$$}

Hence $(x_1^+, x_2^+, 1)$ are two singularities of type $A$. To complete the proof, we establish that their Milnor numbers are equal to 3 as follows. A Gröbner basis calculation shows that these are all the critical points of $\phi_{a_{\text{cusps}}}$.
Let \( m_{\pm} \) be the maximal ideal of \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, u] \) generated by \((x_1 - x_1^\pm, x_2 - x_2^\pm, u - 1)\). A computer calculation of the Taylor expansion of \( \phi_{\text{cusp}} \) to order three shows that:

\[
(10.4) \quad m_{\pm}^5 \subset m_{\pm}^2(\nabla \phi_{\text{cusp}}) + m_{\pm}^6.
\]

Thus Nakayama’s lemma implies that it is sufficient to consider the truncated Jacobian rings by the ideal \( m_{\pm}^5 \). A computer calculation of the Taylor expansion to order four then gives the dimension of the Jacobian ring:

\[
\dim_\mathbb{C} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, u]/(m_{\pm}^5, \nabla \phi_{\text{cusp}}) = 3. \quad \Box
\]

**Remark 10.8.** The inclusion (10.4) is the hypothesis of the determinacy theorem [33, Thm. 2.23], which implies the stronger statement that the the singularity is 4-determined, in the sense that its germ is determined up to diffeomorphism by the derivatives of order less than four.

### 10.4. Transition region of the Whittaker function.

Having laid the groundwork, we now come to the proof of Theorem 10.1. We wish to analyse the oscillatory integral (compared to Lemma 10.6 we have removed a factor of \( t^{3/2} \), which will be added back in (10.5))

\[
\left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{2\pi i t \phi_{\text{cusp}}(x_1, x_2, u)} \alpha_1(x_1) \alpha_2(x_2) \beta(u) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \frac{du}{u}
\]

of Lemma 10.6. In view of Lemma 10.7, we may apply Lemma 10.3 to find non-zero functions \( r_1^+, r_2^+, \beta_j, s_\pm \in \mathbb{C}_c^\infty(A) \), with \( r_1^+(a_{\text{cusp}}) = 0 \) and \( s_\pm(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \phi_{\text{cusp}}(x_{1c}^\pm, x_{2c}^\pm, 1) \), such that the above integral is asymptotic to

\[
t^{3/2} \sum_{j=0,1,2} e^{2\pi i t s_\pm(a)} \sum_{j=0,1,2} p_j^+(a, t) t^{-3/4} + O(t^{-3/2}),
\]

where we have put

\[
p_j^+(a, t) = e^{i \pi a^4/4} \beta_j^+(a) Pe_j \left( t^{3/4} r_1^+(a), t^{1/2} r_2^+(a) \right),
\]

uniformly for all \( a \) in a sufficiently small compact \( V \) about \( a_{\text{cusp}} \), and all \( t > 1 \).

Next, pick small enough constants \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \) to be chosen later, and restrict to the compact subsets

\[
V_\pm(t) := \{ a \in V : t^{3/4} |r_1^+(a)| \leq c_1 \text{ and } t^{1/2} |r_2^+(a)| \leq c_2 \}.
\]

Write \( V(t) := V_+(t) \cap V_-(t) \). On \( V(t) \), the first term in the asymptotic dominates, so the integral is asymptotic to

\[
t^{3/2} \sum_{j=0,1,2} e^{2\pi i t s_\pm(a)} p_0^+(a, t) + O(1).
\]

Thus, on the whole neighborhood \( a \in V \) we have that \( W_{t\nu_0}(ta) \) is a superposition of Pearcey functions, whereas in the shrinking neighborhood \( a \in V(t) \), the asymptotic of the Whittaker function simplifies as a superposition of plane waves; indeed we have shown

\[
|W_{t\nu_0}(ta)| \asymp t^{3/2} \left| p_0^+(a, t)e^{2\pi i t s_+(a)} + p_0^-(a, t)e^{2\pi i t s_-(a)} \right| + O(t^{1/2}).
\]

This is parallel to \( \S 8.4 \) which discusses the light zone, except there we don’t need to shrink the neighborhood \( V \). Note that \( ta \) for \( a \in V(t) \) contains a ball of width \( O(t^{1/2}) \) around the critical point \( ta_{\text{cusp}} \). By comparison, the width of the transition region for the classical Bessel function is well-known to be \( O(t^{3/4}) \).

The argument below will ensure that the two plane waves in (10.5) have distinct amplitudes, from which we shall deduce the following strengthening of Theorem 10.1.

**Theorem 10.9.** There is an absolute constant \( C > 0 \), such that for every \( t \gg 1 \) and \( a \in V(t) \)

\[
|W_{t\nu_0}(ta)| \geq Ct^{3/4}.
\]
Thus the amplitudes in (10.5) are distinct at every $t > 0$ with Remark 9.11. In particular, we evaluate the function is as follows: following asymptotic as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 10.10. The theorem holds in particular for $a = a_{\text{cusp}}$. In fact we can also write the following asymptotic as $t \to \infty$,

$$W_{\text{tr}_0}(t a_{\text{cusp}}) \asymp t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \beta_0^+(a_{\text{cusp}}) e^{2\pi i t s_+(a_{\text{cusp}})} - \beta_0^-(a_{\text{cusp}}) e^{2\pi i t s_-(a_{\text{cusp}})} \right) + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where the amplitudes $\beta_0^{\pm}(a_{\text{cusp}})$ are non-zero by (10.1), and the critical values of the phase function are

$$s^{\pm}(a_{\text{cusp}}) = \phi_{a_{\text{cusp}}}(x_{1c}^{\pm}, x_{2c}^{\pm}, 1) = \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \log\left(\frac{2}{3} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right).$$

In particular, we evaluate $s^+(a_{\text{cusp}}) - s^-(a_{\text{cusp}})$ to be $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} - 2 \log(2 + \sqrt{3})$, which is in agreement with Remark 9.11.
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