

Low loss light field modes in nano planar waveguide: An analytical approach based on the Rytov-Leontovich boundary conditions

N.M.Arslanov¹, Ali A.Kamli², and S.A.Moiseev^{1,3*}

¹Kazan Quantum Center, Kazan National Research Technical University, 10 K. Marx, Kazan, 420111, Russia

²Department of Physics, Jazan University, Jazan 28824 P O Box 144 Saudi Arabia and

³Zavoisky Physical-Technical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kazan, Russia

(Dated: December 17, 2021)

We develop an analytical approach based on the Rytov-Leontovich boundary conditions to study light field modes in the nano planar waveguides. The analytical results thus obtained demonstrate new conditions for optical low loss surface plasmon-polariton modes in the planar waveguides with dielectric-metamaterial interfaces. The obtained results are confirmed with existing numerical calculations. More general conditions for using the proposed analytical approach to study light field in the nano waveguides are also considered.

PACS numbers: 41.20.Jb, 42.25.Bs, 78.20.Ci, 78.67.-n

Keywords: light modes in planar waveguides, surface plasmon polaritons, metamaterials

Introduction. Modern nano electronic systems have reached spatial sizes which are comparable with few nanometers. Propagation of the signal pulses in such systems are characterized by considerable losses that significantly reduce the transfer rate and data processing. Nano optical systems can speed up the processing rate, but its large spatial sizes cause fundamental restrictions in matching with nano electronic systems. Some of these problems can be resolved with transfer to nano SPP (surface plasmon-polariton) waves at the interface between metal and dielectric media [1–4].

SPP waves [5] demonstrate unique properties: they are highly confined near the interface and significantly slowed down compared with the electromagnetic waves. However, strong spatial confinement of SPP waves is accompanied by considerable ohmic losses that lead to the experimental constraints for deterministic manipulations of the SPP fields [6, 7] and whence hinders its applications in quantum information technologies [7, 8]. Strong suppression of decoherence effects in SPP evolution requires searching for the most appropriate structure of the nano optical waveguides and its physical parameters for the implementation of SPP fields with sufficiently strong spatial confinement while at the same time suffer less losses.

Using new metamaterial media [9, 10] opens new unusual properties of SPPs. Due to the destructive interference of magnetic and electric effects, the surface plasmon-polaritons can propagate over long distances [11] on the metamaterial-dielectric interface with highly confined fields in the nanoscale spatial domain. Recently, it was shown that SPPs excited at waveguide structure (2D-interface of two media) can be used for considerable enhancement of usually weak photon-photon interactions [12] that seem promising for the implementation of two-photon qubit gates [13], [14] and for enhancements of various nonlinear light wave interactions [15], [16].

So, it is of great interest to study the properties of

SPPs in the various nano-sized waveguide structures to explore new opportunities in strengthening and controlling highly localized low loss light fields. This line of research was the subject of numerical simulations in recent works [17], [18] devoted to SSP modes in the nano planar and cylindrical waveguides.

In this paper we develop an analytical approach for studying these light fields in nano planar waveguides by using the Rytov-Leontovich boundary conditions. We show that this approach allows the complicated mathematical expressions that appear in such complex spatial structures as planar nano waveguides to be reduced into more manageable form that lends itself to approximate analytical description of SPP light field modes in such spatial structures with high accuracy in the broadband spectral range. Finally based on the obtained results, we explore the appropriate parameters of nano optical planar waveguides with dielectric and metamaterial layers for the implementation of low loss SPP modes suitable for deterministic control.

The asymmetric planar waveguide (slab) basic equations. The planar waveguide under consideration consists of three layers: a dielectric slab situated in the layer ($-a < x < b$) and two asymmetric metamaterial claddings are in the two half spaces $x < -a$ and $x > b$ as depicted in Fig. 1. Both transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) SPP modes field can exist in such structure. The field components can be expressed through the Hertz potentials [19]:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{p=\pm 1} &= A_p e^{-pk_p x + i\theta}, \\ \psi_d &= (A_d \sin gx + B_d \cos gx) e^{i\theta},\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where $p (= \pm 1)$ is an index that describes the metamaterial medium (the subscript of the upper and lower layers), the index d refers to the dielectric medium, k_p is the transverse wavenumber in the layers of the metamaterial $k_p^2 = h^2 - k_0^2 \epsilon_p \mu_p$, h is the wavenumber, parallel to the




FIG. 1. (Color online) The three-layer planar waveguide with walls made of a metamaterial and the dielectric inner layer, $a + b$ - the transverse dimension of the dielectric core. SPP modes propagate along the waveguide axis z .

interface, g is the transverse wavenumber in the dielectric, $h^2 + g^2 = k_0^2 \epsilon_d \mu_d$, $\theta = hz - \omega t$, $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$, c is the speed of light, and λ is its wavelength in the vacuum.

In this paper we restrict our discussion to TM SPP modes only (TE modes can be studied in a similar way) for which the electric and magnetic components of the SPP modes are written as follows:

$$\vec{E}_p = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_p}{\partial z \partial x}, 0, \frac{\partial^2 \psi_p}{\partial z^2} \right), \vec{H}_p = \left(0, ik_0 \epsilon_p \frac{\partial \psi_p}{\partial x}, 0 \right). \quad (2)$$

From the boundary conditions for the fields at the two interfaces $x = -a$ and $x = b$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_p^{(z)}(-a; b) &= E_d^{(z)}(-a; b), \\ H_p^{(y)}(-a; b) &= H_d^{(y)}(-a; b), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

we get the dispersion relation in the form of transcendental equation

$$\tan(g(a+b) - n\pi) = \frac{-\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{k_1} + \frac{\epsilon_{-1}}{k_{-1}}\right) \frac{\epsilon_d}{g}}{\left(\frac{\epsilon_d}{g}\right)^2 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{k_1} \frac{\epsilon_{-1}}{k_{-1}}}. \quad (4)$$

Solution of this transcendental equation allows determination of the phase and group velocities, transverse shape and propagation length of the TM SPP modes. However, Eq.(4) can not be solved analytically and one must resort to numerical methods. Numerical solutions have been previously obtained for certain parameters [17, 18, 20].

For metamaterial cladding one can use the typical behavior of the frequency dependent electric permittivity and magnetic permeability [17], [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\omega)/\epsilon_d(\omega) &= 1 - \omega_e^2/(\omega(\omega + i\gamma_e)) \\ \mu(\omega)/\mu_d(\omega) &= 1 + F\omega^2/(\omega_0^2 - \omega(\omega + i\gamma_m)) \end{aligned}, \quad (5)$$

where the electric γ_e and magnetic γ_m damping rates are much less than the carrier frequency of interest; $\gamma_{e,m} \ll \omega$, where ω_e is a plasma frequency of the material, ω_0 is a binding frequency, and F is a geometrical factor accounts for the magnetic oscillation strength. Spectral properties of the refractive index $n_p(\omega)$ ($n_p^2(\omega) = \epsilon(\omega)\mu(\omega)$) and impedance $\zeta_p(\omega)$ ($\zeta_p^2(\omega) = \mu(\omega)/\epsilon(\omega)$) are presented in Fig. 2:




FIG. 2. (Color online) Spectral behavior of the refractive index $|n_p|$ (blue line), and $|\zeta_p|$ (red dashed line) of the metamaterial as the functions of ω/ω_e .

There are a series of interesting spectral domains for the metamaterial medium of interest characterized by its permittivity ϵ and permeability μ [9],[10] (Fig. 2):

1) $\epsilon(\omega) \approx |\epsilon|$, $\mu(\omega) \approx |\mu|$, the refractive index $\text{Re}(n(\omega)) > 0$, for $(\omega > \omega_e)$; here the metamaterial medium demonstrates the properties of a conventional dielectric medium.

2) $\epsilon(\omega) \approx -|\epsilon|$, $\mu(\omega) \approx -|\mu|$, the refractive index $\text{Re}(n(\omega)) < 0$. Here the light has a negative refraction angle in the metamaterial.

3) $\epsilon \approx -|\epsilon|$, $\mu \approx |\mu|$, $k \sim ik_0 \sqrt{|\epsilon||\mu|} - \epsilon_{NM}$ - *permittivity negative material*. This indicates that only evanescent light modes can propagate in the metamaterial similar to light propagation in the usual metal.

4) $\epsilon(\omega) \approx |\epsilon|$, $\mu(\omega) \approx -|\mu|$, μ_{NM} - *permeability negative material*. In this case, the light field will also be evanescent modes in the metamaterial.

The cases 3) and 4) demonstrate the intermediate properties in comparison to usual dielectric or left-handed materials where $\mu(\omega) \approx 0$ or $\epsilon(\omega) \approx 0$.

As it is seen in Fig. 2, there is a frequency area $\omega < 0.25\omega_e$ where $\epsilon\mu \gg 1$ is satisfied that makes applicable the Rytov-Leontovich boundary conditions

$$\vec{E}_{(d)} \cong \zeta_p [\vec{n} \times \vec{H}_{(d)}], \quad (6)$$

where \vec{n} is the unit vector normal to the interface, instead of direct use of boundary conditions given in (3) (see, for example [21]).

By using the Rytov-Leontovich boundary conditions (6), we develop the perturbation theory with small pa-




FIG. 3. The behavior of $Re\{h\}$ for TM SPP modes: $TM_{n=1}$, $TM_{n=2}$, depending on the frequency for the analytical (solid curves) and the numerical (dashed curves) solutions. $2a = 4\pi c/\omega_e \approx 275$ nm.




FIG. 4. The behavior of $Im\{h\}$ for TM SPP modes: $TM_{n=1}$, $TM_{n=2}$, depending on the frequency for the analytical (solid curves) and the numerical (dashed curves) solutions; $2a = 4\pi c/\omega_e \approx 275$ nm.

parameter $|\zeta_p| < 1$ for the analytical solution of the wave number in the transcendental equation (4). The condition $|\zeta_p| < 1$ is satisfied for the frequency area $\omega < 0.85\omega_e$ (except small area around $\omega \cong 0.2\omega_e$) (Fig. 2) used below for the analytical calculations.

The analytical approach. The main idea of perturbation method is based on the series expansion of the transverse wavenumber square in the slab region in powers of impedance [22] ($|\zeta_p| < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= g_0^2 + \zeta g_1^2 + \dots + \zeta^n g_n^2 + \dots, \\ \psi &= \psi_{(0)} + \zeta \psi_{(1)} + \dots + \zeta^n \psi_{(n)} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This approach was successfully applied for studying the light fields in the cylindrical tapering metal waveguides with dielectric core [22–24]. Here, we develop this approach by using the mode field presentation (1)–(2) and Rytov-Leontovich boundary equation (6) for the TM SPP modes, which lead to the following analytical expression for the wave number in the asymmetric waveguide:

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= \left(\frac{\pi n}{b+a}\right)^2 - (\zeta_1 + \zeta_{-1}) \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{b+a}, \\ h^2 &= k_0^2 \varepsilon_d \mu_d - \left(\frac{\pi n}{b+a}\right)^2 + (\zeta_1 + \zeta_{-1}) \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{b+a}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For the symmetric waveguide ($\zeta_1 = \zeta_{-1} = \zeta$ and $b = a$) one can find the dispersion relation for even modes:

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= \left(\frac{\pi n}{a}\right)^2 - \zeta \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{a}, \\ h^2 &= k_0^2 \varepsilon_d \mu_d - \left(\frac{\pi n}{a}\right)^2 + \zeta \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and for odd modes:

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2a} + \frac{\pi n}{a}\right)^2 - \zeta \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{a}, \\ h^2 &= k_0^2 \varepsilon_d \mu_d - \left(\frac{\pi}{2a} + \frac{\pi n}{a}\right)^2 + \zeta \frac{2ik_0 \varepsilon_d}{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ defines a set of the waveguide modes.

In Figs. 3, 4, we compare the approximate analytical solutions for TM even modes as given by equation (9) with its exact numerical solutions obtained recently in [17], [18] for the waveguide with transverse size $2a = 4\pi c/\omega_e \approx 275$ nm. It is seen that the difference between the analytical and numerical solutions depends essentially on the frequency that is determined by spectral properties of the impedance and by the slab thickness. Each TM SPP mode has its own spectral domain where the good match between the analytical and numerical solutions occurs. In particular, for the first TM SPP mode ($n=1$), we have found that the analytical solution h_{an} for the longitudinal wave number coincides with the numerical one h_{num} with precision $\frac{|h_{an}| - |h_{num}|}{|h_{num}|} < 10^{-2}$ for the spectral range $\omega < 0.25\omega_e$ (except small spectral range $\approx 0.04\omega_e$ around $\omega \cong 0.2\omega_e$) where the Rytov-Leontovich equation holds. In the spectral range $0.25\omega_e < \omega < 0.85\omega_e$, the precision of the wave number remains still high enough $\frac{|h_{an}| - |h_{num}|}{|h_{num}|} < 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (i.e. only 5 times smaller, except small area around cut-off frequency $0.4\omega_e$).

It is interesting to note the drastic decrease of the attenuation coefficient $Im\{h\}$ around the frequency $0.4\omega_e$. Low loss TM SPP modes can be excited within the spectral domain which lies slightly higher than the cut-off frequency of this mode $\omega > 0.4\omega_e$ that reproduces numerical results of [17, 18]. Similar behaviour of the wave number and attenuation coefficient also occurs for the second TM-mode ($n=2$) close to its cut-off frequency $\omega \approx 0.77\omega_e$ as it is seen in Figs. 3, 4.

Figs. 5, 6 demonstrate the analytical and numerical calculations of the wave number and attenuation coefficient for larger thickness of the dielectric layer. The difference between these analytical and numerical results is negligible in the scale of these figures and precision increases for larger layer thickness. We have found from analysis of the solutions depicted in Fig. 6, that larger thickness of the dielectric core leads to lower losses for the light




FIG. 5. (Color online) The behavior of $Re\{h\}$ for TM SPP modes: $TM_{n=1}$, $TM_{n=2}$, depending on the frequency for the analytical (solid curves) and the numerical (dashed curves) solutions. $2a = 4\pi c/\omega_\varepsilon \approx 800$ nm.




FIG. 6. (Color online) The behavior of $Im\{h\}$ for TM SPP modes: $TM_{n=1}$, $TM_{n=2}$, depending on the frequency for the analytical (solid curves) and the numerical (dashed curves) solutions; $2a = 4\pi c/\omega_\varepsilon \approx 800$ nm.

field modes within quite narrow spectral range near to $\omega = 0.17\omega_e$. The losses suppression is provided by the strong pushing of the field modes into the dielectric core from the metamaterial layers. Thus, this effect observed earlier in [11] for 2D-interface can be also realized in the more complicated nano optical waveguides. It is evident that the discussed complicated spectral properties of the wave number and attenuation coefficient determined by the transcendental equation (4) can be described with high accuracy by the approximate analytical solutions (8)-(10) in large spectral range.

Conclusion. In the present paper, we developed an analytical approach for description of the light field modes in the nano-optical asymmetric waveguide. We predicted new possibilities of low loss light field modes in the nano waveguides at some special parameters of the dielectric and metamaterial layers. The obtained analytical solutions are in good agreement with the recent numerical results [17], [18] obtained for the planar waveguide

only with certain parameters of the metamaterial and dielectric layers. It should be noted that our analytical results hold with high accuracy within wider frequency range than it was assumed initially on the basis of Rytov-Leontovich equation and of the refractive index relation. This observation opens new promising possibilities for the analytical studies of light fields in various diverse nano optical structures with usual and artificial materials.

N.M.A. and S.A.M. thank the Russian Scientific Fund through the grant no. 14-12-01333 for financial support of this work.

* narkis@yandex.ru, samoi@yandex.ru

- [1] S. Maier, *Plasmonics : Fundamentals and Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007).
- [2] H. Raether, *Surface Plasmon on smooth and rough surface and grating* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- [3] C. Genet and T. Ebbesen, *Nature* **445**, 39 (2007).
- [4] V. Agranovich and D. Mills, *Surface Polaritons: Electromagnetic waves at Surfaces and Interfaces* (Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1982).
- [5] R. Ritchie, *Phys.Rev.* **106**, 874 (1957).
- [6] P. Berini, *Advances in Optics and Photonics* **1**, 484 (2009).
- [7] M. S. Tame, K. R. McEnery, S. K. Özdemir, J. Lee, S. Maier, and M. S. Kim, *Nature physics* **9**, 329 (2013).
- [8] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [9] V. Veselago, *Soviet Physics-Uspeski* **92**, 517 (1967).
- [10] J. B. Pendry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3966 (2000).
- [11] A. A. Kamli, S. A. Moiseev, and B. C. Sanders, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 263601 (2008).
- [12] S. A. Moiseev, A. A. Kamli, and B. C. Sanders, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 033839 (2010).
- [13] C. Lee, M. Tame, C. Noh, J. Lim, S. Maier, J. Lee, and D. Angelakis, *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 1 (2013).
- [14] M. Siomau, A. Kamli, S. Moiseev, and B. Sanders, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 050303(R) (2012).
- [15] S. Palomba and L. Novotny, *Physical Review Letters* **101**, 056802 (2008).
- [16] C. Tan and G. Huang, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 033860 (2014).
- [17] B. Lavoie, P. Leung, and B. Sanders, *Photon. Nanostruct: Fundam. Appl.* **10**, 602 (2012).
- [18] B. R. Lavoie, P. M. Leung, and B. C. Sanders, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 023860 (2013).
- [19] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics* (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- [20] M. J. Adams, *An Introduction to Optical Waveguide* (John Wiley and Sons Inc, 1981).
- [21] S. Yuferev and N. Ida, *Surface impedance boundary conditions (A Comprehensive Approach)* (CRC Press, 2008).
- [22] B. Kacelenenbaum, *Doklady AN USSR (In Russian)* **88**, 37 (1953).
- [23] N. Arslanov, *J. Opt. A, Pure Appl. Opt.* **8**, 338 (2006).
- [24] N. Arslanov and S. Moiseev, *J. Opt.Soc.Am. A* **24**, 831 (2007).