

# Graded gamma rings

Emil Ilić-Georgijević

## Abstract

We introduce graded gamma rings from a more general point of view via methods developed by Krasner and Halberstadt for graded rings. We propose three equivalent aspects of studying graded gamma rings, nonhomogeneous, semihomogeneous and homogeneous. The graded Jacobson radical of a graded gamma ring is introduced and its elementwise description is given. Also, a relation between the graded Jacobson radical and the Jacobson radical of a graded gamma ring is examined.

*Keywords:* Graded gamma rings and modules, Gamma anneids and modules, Jacobson radical

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* Primary 16Y99, Secondary 16W50, 16N20

## 1 Introduction

Gamma rings were introduced by Nobusawa [16] as an algebraic tool for observing the relationship between the groups of homomorphisms  $\text{hom}(B, C)$  and  $\text{hom}(C, B)$  of commutative groups  $B$  and  $C$ . Let us recall the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring and a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa, following [1].

If  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  are abelian additive groups, then  $R$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring if, for all  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $x\alpha y \in R$ ;
- ii)  $(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ,  $x(\alpha+\beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z$ ,  $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ ;
- iii)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta)z$ .

It is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if moreover we have:

- i')  $\alpha x \beta \in \Gamma$ ;
- ii')  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x(\alpha y \beta)z = x\alpha(y\beta)z$ ;
- iii') if  $x\alpha y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ .

Later on, many mathematicians gave their contributions to the theory of gamma rings, and mainly concerning radical theory (see e.g. [2, 4, 18, 15, 20] and references therein). In [5] a group graded gamma ring is defined to be a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $R$  which is the direct sum of additive subgroups  $R_g$ ,  $g \in K$ , such that  $R_g \Gamma R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ , for all  $g, h \in K$ , where  $K$  is a group. However, the following example motivates us to generalize the previous notion. Let us suppose we

have graded  $S$ -modules  $B$  and  $C$ ,  $B = \bigoplus_{x \in K} B_x$ ,  $C = \bigoplus_{x \in K} C_x$ , where  $S$  is a  $K$ -graded ring,  $K$  a group and let

$$R = \text{HOM}_S(B, C) = \bigoplus_{x \in K} \text{HOM}_S(B, C)_x,$$

where  $\text{HOM}_S(B, C)_x$  is a group of graded morphisms of degree  $x$  [17], that is, of  $S$ -linear mappings  $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$  such that  $\varphi(B_g) \subseteq C_{xg}$ , for all  $g \in K$ . Similarly, observe  $\Gamma = \text{HOM}_S(C, B)$ . Clearly,  $R$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $\varphi_1 \in R_x$ ,  $\varphi_2 \in R_z$  and  $\psi \in \Gamma_y$ , then  $\varphi_1 \psi \varphi_2(B_g) \subseteq \varphi_1(\psi(C_{zg})) \subseteq \varphi_1(B_{yzyg}) \subseteq C_{xyzyg}$ , and hence,  $\varphi_1 \psi \varphi_2 \in R_{xyzy}$ . So, one way to extend the notion of a group graded gamma ring is to require

$$R_g \Gamma_f R_h \subseteq R_{gfh}, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $f, g, h \in K$ , if  $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{g \in K} \Gamma_g$ . However, if we replace (1.1) with

$$(\exists k \in K) R_g \Gamma_f R_h \subseteq R_k, \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $f, g, h \in K$ , the additive gradings  $R = \bigoplus_{g \in K} R_g$  and  $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{g \in K} \Gamma_g$  of  $R$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively, and the structure of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $R$  will imply operation (generally partial) in  $K$ . Indeed, if  $R_g \Gamma_f R_h \neq \{0\}$ , then  $k \in K$ , for which  $R_g \Gamma_f R_h \subseteq R_k$ , is unique, and is arbitrary if  $R_g \Gamma_f R_h = \{0\}$ . So, if  $R_g \Gamma_f R_h \neq \{0\}$ , we may define  $gfh := k$ . Of course, for those  $g, f, h \in K$  for which  $R_g \Gamma_f R_h = \{0\}$ , this ternary operation may be defined arbitrarily in order to make it defined everywhere on  $K$ . So, there is no need to assume anything of  $K$  except of being a nonempty set. We do not stop here, but go further by assuming different grading sets of  $R$  and  $\Gamma$ , namely  $R = \bigoplus_{g \in K} R_g$  and  $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \Gamma_h$ . In that case, condition

$$(\forall g, g' \in K)(\forall h \in H)(\exists k \in K) R_g \Gamma_h R_{g'} \subseteq R_k \quad (1.3)$$

will make  $K$  a partial  $\Gamma$ -ring, where  $\Gamma = H$ , which of course, can be extended to a  $\Gamma$ -ring arbitrarily.

Let us call the unique  $\sigma \in K$ , for which  $0 \neq x \in \bigcup_{g \in K} R_g$  belongs to  $R_\sigma$ , the *degree* of  $x$  and denote it by  $\delta(x)$ . The degree of  $0 \neq \gamma \in \bigcup_{h \in H} \Gamma_h$  will be denoted by  $d(\gamma)$ . Sets  $A = \bigcup_{g \in K} R_g$  and  $G = \bigcup_{h \in H} \Gamma_h$  are called *homogeneous parts* of  $R$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. Now, (1.3) may be interpreted as  $\delta(x\gamma y) = ghg'$ , where  $\delta(x) = g$ ,  $d(\gamma) = h$ ,  $\delta(y) = g'$  if  $R_g \Gamma_h R_{g'} \neq \{0\}$ , since, we will prove that  $\delta(x\gamma y)$  depends only on  $\delta(x)$ ,  $d(\gamma)$  and  $\delta(y)$  if  $x\gamma y \neq 0$ .

This discussion is inspired by the similar observations made for graded groups, rings and modules from [13] (see also [3, 7]).

There are many important examples of graded gamma rings in our sense which are not necessarily group graded gamma rings. Among them are the following ones.

1. Set of rectangular matrices over a division ring.

Let  $D$  be a division ring and  $M = M_{m,n}(D)$  the set of all matrices of type  $m \times n$ .  $M$  is a commutative group with respect to addition of matrices. We know that  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring with  $\Gamma = M$  if for  $x, y, \alpha \in M$  we define  $x\alpha y = x\alpha^T y$ , where  $\alpha^T$  is the transpose of a matrix  $\alpha$  [16, 18]. However,  $M$  is also a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring in our sense. Indeed,  $M = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in I_m \times I_n} M_{i,j}$ ,  $I_m = \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $M_{i,j}$  is the set of matrices over  $D$  whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is from  $D$  and all other entries are zero. Also, for all pairs  $(i, j)$ ,  $(k, l)$  and  $(p, q)$  we have  $M_{i,j}M_{k,l}^T M_{p,q} \subseteq M_{r,s}$ , for some pair  $(r, s)$ .

## 2. Generalized matrix rings.

Let  $R, S$  be rings and  $V, W$  be an  $(R, S)$ -bimodule and a  $(S, R)$ -bimodule, respectively. Also, let us assume that products  $V \times W$  to  $R$  and  $W \times V$  to  $S$ , denoted by  $vw$  and  $wv$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ , are defined in such a way that the system  $M = (R, V, W, S)$  with these products forms a Morita context.

Let  $R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} R & V \\ W & S \end{pmatrix}$  be the set of all  $2 \times 2$ -matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} r & v \\ w & s \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $w \in W$ .

The set  $R(M)$  forms a ring under the usual definitions of matrix addition and multiplication, which is called *generalized matrix ring* determined by the Morita context  $M$ .

Note that  $R(M)$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring in our sense if we put  $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ . Indeed, if  $R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $R(M) = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3 \oplus R_4$  and  $\Gamma = R_1 \oplus R_4$ , and for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $k \in \{1, 4\}$  there exists  $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $R_i R_k R_j \subseteq R_l$ .

## 3. Semidirect sums of rings.

If  $R$  is a ring which contains a subring  $S$  and ideal  $I$  such that  $R = S \oplus I$ , then  $R$  is called the *semidirect sum* of  $S$  and  $I$  (see e.g. [10]).

Let  $R_1 = S$  and  $R_2 = I$ . Then, by putting  $\Gamma = S$ , we get that  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring since  $RSR \subseteq R$ ,  $R_2 \Gamma R_2 = ISI \subseteq I = R_2$ ,  $R_2 \Gamma R_1 = ISS \subseteq I = R_2$ ,  $R_1 \Gamma R_2 = SSI \subseteq I = R_2$ ,  $R_1 \Gamma R_1 = SSS \subseteq S = R_1$ .

In particular, the Dorroh extension may be regarded as a graded gamma ring in our sense.

Theory we are about to establish covers the theory of ordinary  $\Gamma$ -rings and of group graded  $\Gamma$ -rings. Also, as  $\Gamma$ -rings generalize the concept of a ring, graded  $\Gamma$ -rings will provide a generalization of a graded ring. Before observing graded  $\Gamma$ -rings, we deal with preliminaries regarding Krasner's definition of a grading for groups, rings and modules [3, 7, 13]. Kelarev [9] and Kelarev and Plant [11] also studied this approach to gradings of rings, namely,  $S$ -graded rings inducing  $S$ . If  $R$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $A$  the homogeneous part

of  $R$  and  $G$  the homogeneous part of  $\Gamma$ , we prove that a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $R$  is determined by  $A$  and  $G$ . We also define and give an elementwise description of the graded Jacobson radical of a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring  $R$  in terms of  $G$  and  $A$ . Finally, the comparison between graded Jacobson and Jacobson radicals of graded  $\Gamma$ -rings is given.

## 2 Preliminaries

Our notion of a graded gamma ring is inspired by the notion of a grading due to Krasner, the origin of which goes back to [12]. This notion in case of rings coincides with the notion of an  $S$ -graded ring inducing  $S$ , studied by Kelarev in [9] and Kelarev and Plant in [11]. In this section, we gather up all notions and results due to Krasner which are essential for the rest of the article. More on this theory can be found in [13] and references therein (in particular, [3] and [7]) or [14, 19]. Throughout this section,  $G$  denotes a multiplicative group with the neutral element 1 and  $\Delta$  is a nonempty set. Even when the operation is denoted multiplicatively, we will use  $\bigoplus$  to denote the (restrictive) direct product of groups as if it were a (restrictive) direct sum in question.

**Definition 2.1** ([13]). Every mapping

$$\gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sg}(G), \quad \gamma(\delta) = G_\delta \quad (\delta \in \Delta), \quad (2.1)$$

such that  $G = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} G_\delta$ , where  $\text{Sg}(G)$  is the set of all subgroups of  $G$ , is called a *grading*. A group with a grading is called a *graded group*. A grading is called *strict* if  $G_\delta \neq \{1\}$ , for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ . If  $\Delta^* = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid G_\delta \neq \{1\}\}$ , then  $\gamma^* = \gamma|_{\Delta^*}$  is a strict grading of  $G$  and is called a *strict kernel* of grading (2.1). Elements  $\delta \in \Delta$  are called *degrees* and the corresponding  $G_\delta$  are called *homogeneous components*. The set  $H = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} G_\delta$  ( $= \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta^*} G_\delta$  if  $G \neq \{1\}$ ) is called the *homogeneous part* of a graded group  $G$  and elements  $x \in H$  are called *homogeneous*. The unique  $\xi \in \Delta^*$  for which  $1 \neq x \in H$  belongs to  $G_\xi$  is called the *degree of  $x$*  and is denoted by  $\delta(x)$ .

Element 1 generally speaking does not have a degree and  $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta^*$  are called *empty degrees* [13]. However, we may associate a degree from  $\Delta \setminus \Delta^*$  to 1, which we denote by 0 and call it a *zero degree* [13]. If  $\Delta = \Delta^* \cup \{0\}$ , and if we put  $\delta(1) = 0$ , the grading is called *proper* [13]. Throughout this paper we assume all gradings to be proper.

Let  $G$  be a graded group with grading (2.1) and let

$$\gamma' : \Delta' \rightarrow \text{Sg}(G), \quad \gamma'(\delta') = G_{\delta'} \quad (\delta' \in \Delta') \quad (2.2)$$

be another grading of  $G$ . We say that gradings (2.1) and (2.2) are *equivalent* [13] if there exists a bijective mapping  $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  such that  $\gamma(\delta) = \gamma'(\varphi(\delta))$ ,

for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ . These gradings are *weakly equivalent* [13] if their strict kernels are equivalent. It is clear that strict gradings are determined up to an equivalence by the set  $\{G_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ , while gradings are determined up to a weak equivalence by  $\{G_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta^*\}$ . The homogeneous part  $H$  of a graded group  $G$ , together with the group structure of  $G$ , determines a grading of  $G$  up to a weak equivalence, for if  $1 \neq a, b \in H$  and  $a \in G_\delta$ , then  $b \in G_\delta$  if and only if  $ab \in H$ , which is to say that the set  $\{G(a) := \{x \in H \mid ax \in H\} \mid a \in H^* = H \setminus \{1\}\}$  coincides with the set  $\{G_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta^*\}$ . So, it is natural to define a graded group  $G$  from the so-called *semihomogeneous aspect* as an ordered pair  $(G, H)$ , where  $H \subseteq G$  is the homogeneous part with respect to some grading of  $G$ , see [13]. However, in order to do that, the following characterization of that homogeneous part is given.

**Theorem 2.2** ([13]). *A nonempty subset  $H$  of a group  $G$  is the homogeneous part of  $G$  with respect to some grading of  $G$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- i)  $1 \in H$ ;
- ii)  $x \in H \Rightarrow x^{-1} \in H$ ;
- iii)  $x, y, z, xy, yz \in H \wedge y \neq 1 \Rightarrow xz \in H$ ;
- iv)  $x, y \in H \wedge xy \notin H \Rightarrow xy = yx$ ;
- v)  $H$  generates  $G$ ;
- vi) If  $n \geq 2$  and if the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$  are such that for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $x_i x_j \notin H$ , then  $x_1 \dots x_n \neq 1$ .

Multiplicative operation on  $G$  induces a partial operation in  $H$ . Namely, if  $x, y \in H$ , then  $xy$  is defined in  $H$  if and only if  $xy \in G$  is the element from  $H$ , and in that case the result is the same and we write it the same way. If this situation occurs, we say that elements  $x, y$  are *composable* (*addable* in case of an additive operation) and we write  $x\#y$ . Clearly,  $x\#y$  if and only if  $x, y$  come from the same subgroup  $G(a) = \{x \in H \mid ax \in H\}$ ,  $a \in H^*$ , see [13].

In case when  $H$  with the induced operation from  $G$  is given, we may reconstruct  $G$  up to  $H$ -isomorphism. Indeed, if  $a \in H^*$ , then  $G(a)$  may be defined as  $G(a) = \{x \in H \mid a\#x\}$ .  $G$  is then the direct sum of different subgroups  $G(a)$  and  $H$  is obviously the homogeneous part of  $G$ . The group  $G$  which is obtained in this way is called *the linearization* of the structure  $(H, \cdot)$  and we denote it by  $\overline{H}$ , see [13]. Hence,  $\overline{H} = \bigoplus_{a \in H^*} G(a)$ .

There is a natural idea now to define the graded group from the so called *homogeneous aspect* using the corresponding homogeneous part, at least up to isomorphism, see [13]. We need to characterize the structure  $(H, \cdot)$ , which is the homogeneous part of some graded group, with operation induced from that group. This characterization is the subject of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** ([13]). *The structure  $(H, \cdot)$  is the homogeneous part of some graded group  $G$ , with operation induced from that group, if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- i)  $(\exists 1 \in H)(\forall x \in H) x \# 1 \wedge x 1 = x$ ;
- ii)  $(\forall x \in H) x \# x$ ;
- iii)  $(\forall x, y, z \in H) x \# y \wedge y \# z \wedge y \neq 1 \Rightarrow x \# z$ ;
- iv) *For all  $a \in H$  for which  $a \neq 1$ ,  $H(a) = \{x \in H \mid a \# x\}$  is a group, called an addibility group of  $H$ .*

**Definition 2.4** ([13]). The structure  $(H, \cdot)$  which satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.3 is called a *homogroupoid*.

Let  $H$  be a homogroupoid and  $\Delta^*$  the set of groups  $H(a) = \{x \in H \mid ax \in H\}$ ,  $a \in H^* = H \setminus \{1\}$ , and let us denote elements of  $\Delta^*$  by  $\delta, \xi, \eta, \dots$ . If  $\delta \in \Delta^*$ , we denote by  $H(\delta)$  or by  $G_\delta$  or by  $H_\delta$  the corresponding group  $H(a)$  which defines  $\delta$ . Hence, if  $a \in H^*$ , then we define its degree as  $\delta(a) := \xi$  if  $a \in H(\xi) = G_\xi$ , and so, writing  $H(a)$  has the same meaning as writing  $H(\delta(a))$ , see [3, 7, 13]. Thus, the linearization  $\overline{H}$  of  $H$  is  $\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta^*} H_\delta = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} H_\delta$  if we put  $\Delta = \Delta^* \cup \{0\}$ , where 0 corresponds to a trivial subgroup  $\{1\}$ ;  $\delta(1) = 0$ . These situations will occur in the sequel, and after this discussion, these should not cause any confusion.

**Definition 2.5** ([13]). A subgroup  $S$  of a graded group  $G = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} G_\delta$  is called a *homogeneous subgroup* of  $G$  if  $S = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S \cap G_\delta$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([13]). A nonempty subset  $K$  of a homogroupoid  $H$  is called a *subhomogroupoid* if  $K$  is the homogeneous part of a homogeneous subgroup of  $\overline{H}$ .

A subhomogroupoid  $K$  of a homogroupoid  $H$  is normal if each addibility group  $K(a)$  of  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $H(a)$ , where  $a \in H^*$ . If  $K$  is a normal subhomogroupoid of  $H$ , then the homogeneous part of  $\overline{H}/\overline{K}$  is  $\bigcup_{a \in H^*} H(a)/H(a) \cap K$  [13].

**Definition 2.7** ([13]). A homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  of graded groups with homogeneous parts  $H$  and  $H'$ , respectively, is called *quasihomogeneous* if  $f(H) \subseteq H'$ . A quasihomogeneous homomorphism  $f$  is called a *homogeneous homomorphism* if for  $x, y \in H$  with  $f(x) \neq 1'$ ,  $f(y) \neq 1'$ , we have

$$\delta(f(x)) = \delta(f(y)) \Rightarrow \delta(x) = \delta(y).$$

The homogeneous counterpart of the above definition follows.

**Definition 2.8** ([13]). Let  $H$  and  $H'$  be homogroupoids. The mapping  $f : H \rightarrow H'$  is called *quasihomomorphism* if  $x\#y$  implies  $f(x)\#f(y)$  and  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ ,  $x, y \in H$ . A quasihomomorphism is called *homomorphism* if the composability of nonidentity images implies the composability of the originals.

As we have seen, homogroupoids are homogeneous parts of graded groups with induced partial operation. Analogously, an *anneid* and a *moduloid* represent homogeneous parts of a graded ring and a graded module, respectively, with induced operations [3, 7, 13]. A ring  $R$  is called *graded* [3, 7, 13, 11, 9] if  $(R, +)$  is a commutative graded group in the above sense with grading  $\gamma_R : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sg}(R, +)$ ,  $\gamma_R(\delta) = R_\delta$ , and if for all  $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$ ,  $R_\xi R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta$ , for some  $\zeta \in \Delta$ , while a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is *graded* [3, 7, 13] if  $R = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} R_\delta$  is a graded ring and  $M$  a commutative graded group with grading  $\gamma_M : D \rightarrow \text{Sg}(M, +)$ ,  $\gamma_M(d) = M_d$ , and if for all  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $d \in D$  there exists  $t \in D$  such that  $M_d R_\delta \subseteq M_t$ . As an example of a graded ring one can take, for instance, every group graded ring in the usual sense. However, there are important types of rings which are graded in Krasner's sense, but not necessarily group graded, such as the already mentioned semidirect sums of rings (see also [10]).

If  $M$  and  $M'$  are  $A$ -moduloids, then the mapping  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  is called a *quasihomomorphism* [3, 7, 13] if for all  $x, y \in M, a \in A$ ,  $f(xa) = f(x)a$  and if  $x\#y$  implies  $f(x)\#f(y)$  and  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ . A quasihomomorphism is called a *homomorphism* [3, 7, 13] if moreover we have that the addibility of nonzero images implies the addibility of originals. A right  $A$ -moduloid  $M$  is called *right regular* [3, 7, 13] if the mapping  $a \rightarrow xa$  ( $x \in M$ ) from  $A$  to  $M$  is a homomorphism. An  $A$ -moduloid  $M$  is called *small* [7] if it is regular and if there exists  $w$  such that  $M = M(w)A$ .

### 3 Graded $\Gamma$ -rings

We study three equivalent aspects of graded  $\Gamma$ -rings, nonhomogeneous, semi-homogeneous and homogeneous, by analogy with [13] for graded groups, rings and modules.

#### 3.1 Nonhomogeneous aspect

Let  $R$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa and let  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  be graded groups in the sense of Krasner with gradings  $\gamma_R : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sg}(R, +)$ ,  $\gamma_R(\delta) = R_\delta$ ,  $R = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} R_\delta$ , and  $\gamma_\Gamma : D \rightarrow \text{Sg}(\Gamma, +)$ ,  $\gamma_\Gamma(d) = \Gamma_d$ ,  $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \Gamma_d$ , respectively. Also, let  $A$  be the homogeneous part of  $R$  and  $G$  the homogeneous part of  $\Gamma$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\forall \xi, \eta \in \Delta)(\forall d \in D)(\exists \zeta \in \Delta) R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta, \quad (3.1)$$

$$(\forall s, t \in D)(\forall \delta \in \Delta)(\exists d \in D) \Gamma_s R_\delta \Gamma_t \subseteq \Gamma_d, \quad (3.2)$$

then  $R$  is called a *graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa of type  $(\Delta, D)$* , where  $\Delta$  and  $D$  are sets.  $R$  is called a *graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of type  $(\Delta, D)$*  if  $R$  is observed as a  $\Gamma$ -ring and if only (3.1) is satisfied.

The following lemma represents an analogous result obtained for graded rings, see, for example, [13].

**Lemma 3.1.** *The condition (3.1) is satisfied if and only if the following conditions hold:*

i)  $AGA \subseteq A$ ;

ii) *If  $x, y \in A$  and  $\alpha \in G$  with  $x\alpha y \neq 0$ , then  $\delta(x\alpha y)$  depends only on  $\delta(x)$ ,  $\delta(y)$  and  $d(\alpha)$ ,*

where  $\delta(x) \in \Delta$  and  $d(\alpha) \in D$  denote degrees of  $x \in A$  and  $\alpha \in G$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Clearly, (3.1) follows from i) and ii). Conversely, suppose that (3.1) holds and let us prove that  $AGA \subseteq A$ . Let  $x, y \in A$  and  $\alpha \in G$ . Then there exist  $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$  and  $d \in D$  such that  $x \in R_\xi$ ,  $y \in R_\eta$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma_d$  which implies  $x\alpha y \in R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta$ , and by (3.1) there exists  $\zeta \in \Delta$  such that  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta \subseteq A$ , and so  $AGA \subseteq A$  and i) holds. Actually, i) implies ii). Indeed, assume that i) holds and let  $x, y, z, u \in A$ ,  $\alpha \in G$ ,  $\delta(x) = \delta(z)$ ,  $\delta(y) = \delta(u)$ ,  $x\alpha y \neq 0$ ,  $z\alpha y \neq 0$ ,  $x\alpha u \neq 0$ ,  $z\alpha u \neq 0$ . Then  $x+z \in A$ ,  $y+u \in A$ , and hence,  $(x+z)\alpha y \in A$ , that is,  $x\alpha y + z\alpha y \in A$ , that is  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(z\alpha y)$ . Similarly,  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x\alpha u)$ . If  $x, x', y, y' \in A$ ,  $\alpha \in G$ ,  $\delta(x) = \delta(x')$ ,  $\delta(y) = \delta(y')$ ,  $x\alpha y \neq 0$ ,  $x'\alpha y' \neq 0$ , then  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x'\alpha y')$ . Indeed, if  $x\alpha y' \neq 0$ , then, according to what we have already proved,  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x\alpha y')$  and  $\delta(x\alpha y') = \delta(x'\alpha y')$ . Analogously,  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x'\alpha y')$  if  $x'\alpha y \neq 0$ . If however  $x\alpha y' = 0$  and  $x'\alpha y = 0$ , then  $x\alpha y + x'\alpha y' = (x+x')\alpha(y+y') \in A$ , and so  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x'\alpha y')$ . If  $x, x', y, y' \in A$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in G$ ,  $\delta(x) = \delta(x')$ ,  $\delta(y) = \delta(y')$ ,  $x\alpha y \neq 0$ ,  $x'\alpha y' \neq 0$ ,  $x\beta y \neq 0$ ,  $x'\beta y' \neq 0$ , and  $d(\alpha) = d(\beta)$ , then  $x\alpha y + x\beta y = x(\alpha + \beta)y \in A$ , and so  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x\beta y)$ . Since, by what we have already proved,  $\delta(x\beta y) = \delta(x'\beta y')$  and  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x'\alpha y')$ , we have  $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(x\beta y) = \delta(x'\beta y') = \delta(x'\alpha y')$ , and so ii) holds.  $\square$

The following lemma can be proved similarly.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The condition (3.2) is satisfied if and only if the following conditions hold:*

i)  $GAG \subseteq G$ ;

ii) *If  $\alpha, \beta \in G$  and  $x \in A$  with  $\alpha x \beta \neq 0$ , then  $d(\alpha x \beta)$  depends only on  $d(\alpha)$ ,  $d(\beta)$  and  $\delta(x)$ ,*



where  $\delta(x) \in \Delta$  and  $d(\alpha) \in D$  denote degrees of  $x \in A$  and  $\alpha \in G$ , respectively.

These lemmas allow us to define ternary operations  $\Delta \times D \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $D \times \Delta \times D \rightarrow D$ . Let  $R$  be a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa of type  $(\Delta, D)$ . Let us prove that  $\zeta$  from condition (3.1) is unique if  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \neq \{0\}$ . Suppose that there is another  $\zeta' \in \Delta$  such that  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq R_{\zeta'}$ . Then  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta \cap R_{\zeta'} = \{0\}$  which implies  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta = \{0\}$ , contrary to assumption. Hence  $\zeta$  from (3.1) is unique. A similar argument shows that  $d \in D$  from (3.2) is unique if  $\Gamma_s R_\delta \Gamma_t \neq \{0\}$ . Thus for  $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$  and  $d \in D$  such that  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \neq \{0\}$  we may define  $\xi d \eta \in \Delta$  to be that unique  $\zeta$  for which  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta$ . In that case we say that  $\xi, d$  and  $\eta$  are *composable*. However, since we assume that the gradings in hand are proper, we also define  $\xi d \eta = 0$  if  $R_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta = \{0\}$ . We similarly define the composability of  $s, \delta$  and  $t$ .

**Example 3.3.** 1. Let  $R$  be a graded ring in Krasner's sense [3, 7, 13], i.e.,  $R$  is the direct sum of its additive subgroups  $R_\delta$ , where  $\delta$  runs through a nonempty set  $\Delta$ , and for all  $\xi, \eta \in \Delta$  there exists  $\zeta \in \Delta$  such that  $R_\xi R_\eta \subseteq R_\zeta$  (see also [11]). Then  $R$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring if we put  $\Gamma = R$ . Indeed, let  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Delta$ . Then, if  $R_\xi R_\eta R_\zeta \neq \{0\}$ , we have

$$R_\xi R_\eta R_\zeta = (R_\xi R_\eta) R_\zeta \subseteq R_\lambda R_\zeta \subseteq R_\delta,$$

for some  $\lambda, \delta \in \Delta$  according to the definition of a graded ring. If, however,  $R_\xi R_\eta R_\zeta = \{0\}$ , then  $R_\xi R_\eta R_\zeta \subseteq R_\delta$ , for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

2. Let  $D$  be a division ring and  $M = M_{m,n}(D)$  the group of rectangular matrices of type  $m \times n$  over  $D$ . Then  $M = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in I_m \times I_n} M_{i,j}$ , where  $M_{i,j}$  denotes the set of matrices whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is from  $D$  and all other entries are zero,  $I_m = \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Recall from Section 1,  $M$  is a graded  $\Gamma = M$ -ring, if for  $x, y, \alpha \in M$  we define  $x\alpha y = x\alpha^T y$ , where  $\alpha^T$  denotes the transpose of  $\alpha$ . Notice that it is also a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. If  $D$  is a graded division ring in Krasner's sense [13] with the grading set  $\Delta$ , i.e., it is a graded ring in Krasner's sense and every nonzero homogeneous element is invertible, then, for each pair  $(i, j) \in I_m \times I_n$ ,  $M_{i,j} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} (M_{i,j})_\delta$ , where  $(M_{i,j})_\delta$  is the set of matrices from  $M_{i,j}$  whose  $(i, j)$ -entry comes from  $D_\delta$ , which is obviously a subgroup of  $M_{i,j}$ . Then again  $M$  represents a graded  $\Gamma = M$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa since for all  $(i, j), (k, l), (p, q) \in I_m \times I_n$  and  $\delta, \xi, \eta \in \Delta$  there exist  $(r, s) \in I_m \times I_n$  and  $\zeta \in \Delta$  such that  $(M_{i,j})_\delta (M_{k,l})_\xi^T (M_{p,q})_\eta \subseteq (M_{r,s})_\zeta$ .

### 3.2 Semihomogeneous aspect

Let  $R$  be a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa of type  $(\Delta, D)$ . Then  $A$  and  $G$  satisfy known conditions for homogeneous parts of graded groups (see Theorem 2.2). According to lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, there are two more conditions, namely,

$AGA \subseteq A$  and  $GAG \subseteq G$ . Conversely, if all of these conditions for  $A \subseteq R$  and  $G \subseteq \Gamma$  are satisfied, then we know that  $A$  and  $G$  are homogeneous parts of graded groups  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  of type  $\Delta$  and  $D$ , respectively, with gradings  $\gamma_R : A^* \rightarrow \text{Sg}(R, +)$ ,  $\gamma_R(a) = A(a) = \{x \in A \mid a + x \in A\}$ ,  $\gamma_\Gamma : G^* \rightarrow \text{Sg}(\Gamma, +)$ ,  $\gamma_\Gamma(\alpha) = G(\alpha) = \{\xi \in G \mid \alpha + \xi \in G\}$ , and by lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $R$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa of type  $(\Delta, D)$ . Hence, we may define a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa from the semihomogeneous point of view as a quadruple  $(R, \Gamma, A, G)$ , where  $R$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa, and  $A \subseteq R$ ,  $G \subseteq \Gamma$  nonempty subsets such that:

- i)  $0 \in A$ ;
- ii)  $x \in A \Rightarrow -x \in A$ ;
- iii)  $x, y, z, x + y, y + z \in A \wedge y \neq 0 \Rightarrow x + z \in A$ ;
- iv)  $A$  generates  $R$ ;
- v) If  $n \geq 2$  and if elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  are such that for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $x_i + x_j \notin A$ , then  $x_1 + \dots + x_n \neq 0$ ;
- vi)  $AGA \subseteq A$ ;
- i')  $0 \in G$ ;
- ii')  $\alpha \in G \Rightarrow -\alpha \in G$ ;
- iii')  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma \in G \wedge \beta \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha + \gamma \in G$ ;
- iv')  $G$  generates  $\Gamma$ ;
- v') If  $n \geq 2$  and if elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in G$  are such that for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j \notin G$ , then  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \neq 0$ ;
- vi')  $GAG \subseteq G$ .

*Remark 3.4.* Here, we denoted neutral elements of  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  by the same symbol, 0, but there is no fear of confusion.

### 3.3 Homogeneous aspect

Let  $R$  be a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa,  $A$  the homogeneous part of  $R$ ,  $G$  the homogeneous part of  $\Gamma$ . Observe the restriction of addition of  $R$  on  $A$ , and similarly of addition of  $\Gamma$  on  $G$ . Also, let us restrict operations  $R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow R$  and  $\Gamma \times R \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  on  $A \times G \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $G \times A \times G \rightarrow G$ , respectively. Then restricted additions on  $A$  and  $G$  are partial operations since sum of two nonzero homogeneous elements does not have to be a homogeneous element. On the other hand, according to lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, ternary operations  $A \times G \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $G \times A \times G \rightarrow G$  are everywhere

defined. We then call  $A$  a  $G$ -anneid of Nobusawa or a  $\gamma$ -anneid of Nobusawa. If  $R$  were only a  $\Gamma$ -ring, we would obtain a structure called a  $G$ -anneid or a  $\gamma$ -anneid. Notice that if  $A$  is a  $G$ -anneid, then  $A$  and  $G$  are commutative homogroupoids. The set of addibility groups of  $A$  will be denoted by  $\Delta^*$ , while the set of addibility groups of  $G$  will be denoted by  $D^*$ . The corresponding linearizations  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{G}$  of  $A$  and  $G$ , respectively, are hence  $\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta^*} A_\delta = \bigoplus_{a \in A^*} A(a)$  and  $\bigoplus_{d \in D^*} G_d = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G^*} G(\alpha)$ , respectively. Naturally, if we put  $\Delta = \Delta^* \cup \{0\}$  and  $D = D^* \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\overline{A} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} A_\delta$  and  $\overline{G} = \bigoplus_{d \in D} G_d$ .

*Remark 3.5.* Gamma anneid could also be called a  $\gamma$ -ringoid but we kept the term which resembles the original notion of the homogeneous part of a graded ring in Krasner's sense, the *anneid*, see [3, 7, 13].

The following theorem gives us the characterization of gamma anneids.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $G$  be commutative homogroupoids. Then  $A$  is a  $G$ -anneid of Nobusawa if and only if:*

- i)*  $(\forall x, y \in A)(\forall \alpha \in G) x\alpha y \in A$ ;
- ii)*  $(\forall \alpha, \beta \in G)(\forall x \in A) \alpha x \beta \in G$ ;
- iii)*  $(\forall a, a', b, b' \in A)(\forall \gamma, \gamma' \in G) a\#a' \Rightarrow a\gamma b\#a'\gamma b \wedge (a + a')\gamma b = a\gamma b + a'\gamma b, \gamma\#\gamma' \Rightarrow a\gamma b\#a\gamma'b \wedge a(\gamma + \gamma')b = a\gamma b + a\gamma'b, b\#b' \Rightarrow a\gamma b\#a\gamma b' \wedge a\gamma(b + b') = a\gamma b + a\gamma b'$ ;
- iv)*  $(\forall a, b, c \in A)(\forall \gamma, \beta \in G) (a\gamma b)\beta c = a\gamma(b\beta c) = a(\gamma b\beta)c \wedge a\gamma b = 0 (a, b \in A) \Rightarrow \gamma = 0$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the given conditions are necessary. Now, suppose that *i) – iv)* hold. Let  $R = \overline{A} = \bigoplus_{a \in A^*} A(a)$  and  $\Gamma = \overline{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G^*} G(\alpha)$  and let  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y} \in R, i = 1, 2, \bar{\alpha} \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  are of the form  $\sum x_\delta^i, \sum y_\delta$  and  $\sum \alpha_d$ , respectively, where  $x_\delta^i, y_\delta \in A_\delta = \{x \in A \mid x = 0 \vee (x \neq 0 \wedge \delta(x) = \delta)\}, \alpha_d \in G_d = \{\alpha \in G \mid \alpha = 0 \vee (\alpha \neq 0 \wedge d(\alpha) = d)\}, x_\delta^i = y_\delta = 0$  and  $\alpha_d = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\delta$  and  $d$ , respectively. Now put  $\bar{x}_i \bar{\alpha} \bar{y} := \sum_\delta \sum_d \sum_{\delta'} x_\delta^i \alpha_d y_{\delta'}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2) \bar{\alpha} \bar{y} &= \sum_\delta (x_\delta^1 + x_\delta^2) \sum_d \alpha_d \sum_{\delta'} y_{\delta'} \\ &= \sum_\delta \sum_d \sum_{\delta'} x_\delta^1 \alpha_d y_{\delta'} + \sum_\delta \sum_d \sum_{\delta'} x_\delta^2 \alpha_d y_{\delta'} \\ &= \bar{x}_1 \bar{\alpha} \bar{y} + \bar{x}_2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

We analogously prove the other properties which make  $R$  a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nobusawa.  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* It is clear which of stated conditions are necessary and sufficient in order for  $A$  to be a  $G$ -anneid.

Hence, given a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ ,  $R = \overline{A}$ , and  $\Gamma = \overline{G}$ ,  $R$  is a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of type  $(\Delta, D)$ , where,  $\Delta = \Delta^* \cup \{0\}$  is the grading set of  $R$ , and  $D = D^* \cup \{0\}$  the grading set of  $\Gamma$ . Let us recall once again, elements of  $\Delta^*$  are denoted by  $\delta, \xi, \eta, \dots$ , but is actually comprised of addibility groups  $A(a) = \{x \in A \mid a + x \in A\}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . The *degree*  $\delta(a)$  of  $0 \neq a \in A$  is defined to be the element  $\delta \in \Delta^*$  which denotes  $A(a)$ . Conversely, given  $\xi \in \Delta^*$ , the corresponding addibility group will be denoted by  $A(a)$  if  $\delta(a) = \xi$ , or it will be denoted by  $A(\xi)$  or by  $A_\xi$ . So, when there is no danger of confusion, the degree of an element and the element will be denoted the same way. We also keep this convention for the grading set of  $\Gamma$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let us take a look at the set  $M = M_{m,n}(D)$  of rectangular matrices of type  $m \times n$  over a division ring  $D$  once again. As we saw, it can be regarded as a graded  $\Gamma = M$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa (see Example 3.3). Let  $A = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I_m \times I_n} M_{i,j}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $A$  is a  $G = A$ -anneid in the sense of Nobusawa if for  $a, b, \alpha \in A$  we define  $a\alpha b = a\alpha^T b$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be small moduloids over an anneid, and let  $A = \text{hom}(M, M')$  and  $G = \text{hom}(M', M)$  be sets of homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $M'$  and from  $M'$  to  $M$ , respectively. Without the assumption that  $M$  and  $M'$  are small, we would not know whether  $A$  and  $G$  are homogroupoids. However, since  $M$  and  $M'$  are small, both  $A$  and  $G$  are homogroupoids according to [7]. Take  $f, g \in A$  and  $h \in G$ . Then, for all  $x \in M$ , we have  $g(x) \in M'$ ,  $h(g(x)) \in M$  and finally,  $f(h(g(x))) \in M'$ . Thus, we have  $fhg \in A$ . It is easy to verify that  $A$  is a  $G$ -anneid.

We have seen three aspects of graded gamma rings in the sense of Nobusawa and of graded gamma rings which are mutually equivalent. In the rest of the article we chose to work in the frame of the homogeneous aspect. It is justified, since in proving some results concerning homogeneous objects, we need not to think whether some nonhomogeneous elements are really necessary.

## 4 Graded $\Gamma$ -modules

Let  $R$  be a graded  $\Gamma$ -ring of type  $(\Delta, D)$ ,  $V$  a graded additive abelian group of type  $\Delta_V$  and let  $V$  be a right  $R\Gamma$ -module (as defined in [18]). Also,  $M$  will be denoting the homogeneous part of  $V$ ,  $A$  the homogeneous part of  $R$  and  $G$  the homogeneous part of  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 4.1.**  $V$  is called a *graded right  $R\Gamma$ -module* if

$$(\forall \xi \in \Delta_V)(\forall d \in D)(\forall \eta \in \Delta)(\exists \zeta \in \Delta_V) V_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq V_\zeta. \quad (4.1)$$

As in the case of graded  $\Gamma$ -rings, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The condition (4.1) is satisfied if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- i)  $MGA \subseteq M$ ;
- ii) *If  $w \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in A$  with  $w\alpha x \neq 0$ , then  $\delta(w\alpha x)$  depends only on  $\delta(w)$ ,  $d(\alpha)$ , and  $\delta(x)$ .*

We proceed by giving the notion of a graded gamma module from the homogeneous aspect.

**Definition 4.3.** The ordered pair  $(M, A)$ , where  $M$  is an abelian homogroupoid and  $A$  a  $G$ -anneid, is called an *AG-moduloid* (right) if the mapping  $(w, \alpha, x) \rightarrow w\alpha x$  ( $w \in M, \alpha \in G, x \in A$ ) has the following properties:

- i)  $x\#y \Rightarrow w\alpha x\#w\alpha y \wedge w\alpha(x+y) = w\alpha x + w\alpha y$ ;
- ii)  $w\#w' \Rightarrow w\alpha x\#w'\alpha x \wedge (w+w')\alpha x = w\alpha x + w'\alpha x$ ;
- iii)  $w\beta(x\alpha y) = (w\beta x)\alpha y$ ,

for all  $w, w' \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in G$  and  $x, y \in A$ .

We may define external multiplication of degrees as follows. If  $\xi \in \Delta_V$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $\eta \in \Delta$ , then  $\xi d\eta := \zeta \in \Delta_V$  if  $\{0\} \neq V_\xi \Gamma_d R_\eta \subseteq V_\zeta$  and  $\xi d\eta = 0$  otherwise.

*Remark 4.4.* Notice that every  $G$ -anneid  $A$  may be observed as an *AG-moduloid*.

## 5 Homomorphisms and factor structures

Throughout this section,  $A$  denotes a  $G$ -anneid and  $M$  an *AG-moduloid*.

**Definition 5.1.** A nonempty subset  $N$  of an *AG-moduloid*  $M$  is called a *submoduloid* of  $M$  if:

- i)  $(\forall x, y \in N) x\#y \Rightarrow x - y \in N$ ;
- ii)  $(\forall x \in N)(\forall \alpha \in G)(\forall a \in A) x\alpha a \in N$ .

A submoduloid of an *AG-moduloid*  $A$  is called a *right ideal* of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ . We similarly define a *left ideal* and an *ideal* (*two-sided*) of  $A$ .

**Definition 5.2.** An ordered triple  $(\kappa, \varphi, \theta)$  is called a *quasihomomorphism of AG-moduloids*  $M$  and  $M'$  if:

- i)  $\kappa : M \rightarrow M'$  is a quasihomomorphism;
- ii)  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$  is a quasiisomorphism;

- iii)  $\theta : A \rightarrow A$  is a quasiisomorphism;
- iv)  $\kappa(m\alpha a) = \kappa(m)\varphi(\alpha)\theta(a)$  ( $m \in M, \alpha \in G, a \in A$ ).

It is called a *homomorphism* if  $\kappa, \varphi, \theta$  are moreover homomorphisms.

**Definition 5.3.** An ordered pair  $(\theta, \varphi)$  is called a *quasihomomorphism of  $G$ -anneids*  $A$  and  $A'$  if:

- i)  $\theta : A \rightarrow A'$  is a quasihomomorphism;
- ii)  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$  is a quasiisomorphism;
- iii)  $\theta(a\alpha b) = \theta(a)\varphi(\alpha)\theta(b)$  ( $a, b \in A, \alpha \in G$ ).

It is called a *homomorphism* if  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are moreover homomorphisms.

For future purposes, let us mention that, generally, when we write  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ , where  $a_j$  are elements of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , it is assumed that  $a_j$  are mutually addable.

If  $I$  and  $J$  are right (left, two-sided) ideals of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , then we define  $I + J$  to be the set of all  $x \in A$  such that  $x = a + b$ , where  $a \in I, b \in J$ . It is easy to verify that  $I + J$  is also a right (left, two-sided) ideal of  $A$ . It is also an easy exercise to prove that the intersection of right (left, two-sided) ideals of  $A$  is again a right (left, two-sided) ideal of  $A$ .

The smallest right ideal which contains an element  $a$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called the *principal right ideal* generated by  $a$  and is denoted by  $|a\rangle$ . The *principal left*  $\langle a|$  and the *ideal*  $\langle a\rangle$  generated by  $a$  are defined similarly.

Like in the case of gamma rings, it is clear that  $|a\rangle = \mathbb{Z}a + aGA$ ,  $\langle a| = \mathbb{Z}a + AGa$ , and  $\langle a\rangle = \mathbb{Z}a + aGA + AGa + AGaGA$ .

If  $I$  is an ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , then  $A/I$  is a  $G$ -anneid if we put

$$(a + I)\alpha(b + I) = a\alpha b + I, \quad (a + I, b + I \in A/I)$$

and we call this  $G$ -anneid a *factor  $G$ -anneid*.

If  $N$  is an  $AG$ -submoduloid of an  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$ , then we define a *factor  $AG$ -moduloid*  $M/N$  similarly.

**Definition 5.4.** An  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$  is called *regular* if for all  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \neq x\alpha a \# x\beta b \neq 0$  implies  $\alpha \# \beta$  and  $a \# b$ . A gamma anneid  $A$  is called *right regular* (*left regular*) if it is regular as a right  $AG$ -moduloid (left  $AG$ -moduloid). A gamma anneid is called *regular* if it is both left and right regular.

## 6 The Jacobson radical of a gamma anneid

Jacobson radicals of  $\Gamma$ -rings are extensively treated (see e.g. [4] and [18]), and here, we will introduce and observe these radicals for gamma anneids by following the concepts and results obtained for rings in [8] and for anneids in [7] and in the final section we will obtain the relations among these radicals. All results can be easily translated to the language of graded gamma rings. Let  $M$  be an  $AG$ -moduloid,  $N$  an  $AG$ -submoduloid and let  $S$  be a subset of  $M$ . If we define the set  $(N : S)$  to be the set of  $a \in A$  such that  $SGa \subseteq N$ , then  $(N : S)$  is a right ideal of  $A$ . Indeed, let  $b, b' \in (N : S)$ ,  $b \# b'$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in G$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$ . Then  $SG(b - b') = SGb - SGb' \subseteq N$  and  $(s\beta b)\alpha a \in N\alpha a \subseteq N$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $M$  is a regular  $AG$ -moduloid and  $x \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in G$ , then  $A/(0 : x)_\alpha \cong x\alpha A$ , where  $(0 : x)_\alpha = \{a \in A \mid x\alpha a = 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa : A \rightarrow x\alpha A$  be the mapping defined with  $\kappa(a) = x\alpha a$ ,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G$  and  $\theta : A \rightarrow A$  identities. Obviously,  $(\kappa, \varphi, \theta)$  is a homomorphism and  $\ker \kappa = (0 : x)_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** An  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$  is called *irreducible* if  $MGA$  is nonzero and if  $M$  has no nontrivial submoduloids.

The following notion is inspired by the notions of a *primitive* and a *largely primitive anneid* from [7].

**Definition 6.3.** A  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called *primitive* if there exists an irreducible regular and faithful  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$  ( $(0 : M) = \{0\}$ ). It is called *largely primitive* if there exists an irreducible and faithful  $AG$ -moduloid (whether regular or not).

**Definition 6.4.** An ideal  $I$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called *primitive* (*largely primitive*) if a  $G$ -anneid  $A/I$  is primitive (*largely primitive*).

In [7], the *Jacobson* and the *large Jacobson radical of an anneid* are introduced, and we do the same for  $G$ -anneids.

**Definition 6.5.** The *Jacobson radical* (*large Jacobson radical*)  $J(A)$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is the intersection of annihilators of all irreducible regular  $AG$ -moduloids (irreducible  $AG$ -moduloids). A  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called *semisimple* if  $J(A) = \{0\}$ .

As in the case of classical rings, one may prove the following theorem, see [8].

**Theorem 6.6.** *The Jacobson radical of a gamma anneid is the intersection of its primitive ideals.*

In [7], notions of strictly cyclic moduloids and modular right ideals of anneids are introduced in order to describe the Jacobson radical of an anneid. Here we do similarly for  $AG$ -moduloids and  $G$ -anneids.

**Definition 6.7.** An  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$  is called  $\alpha$ -strictly cyclic if there exists an element  $x \in M$  such that  $x\alpha A = M$ , where  $\alpha \in G$ . Such an element  $x$  is called an  $\alpha$ -strict generator of  $M$ . If  $x\alpha A = M$  for every  $\alpha \in G$ , then  $M$  is called strictly cyclic and  $x$  is called a strict generator of  $M$ .

**Definition 6.8.** A right ideal  $I$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called modular if there exist elements  $u \in A$  and  $\alpha \in G$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a$  and  $u\alpha a$  are congruent modulo  $I$ . Such an element  $u$  is called an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I$ .

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -anneid,  $\Delta$  and  $D$  grading sets corresponding to  $A$  and  $G$ , respectively. An element  $\delta \in \Delta$  is called an  $\alpha$ -idempotent, for  $0 \neq \alpha \in G$ , if

$$\delta d(\alpha)\delta = \delta,$$

where  $d(\alpha) \in D$  is the degree of  $\alpha$ . It is called idempotent if it is  $\alpha$ -idempotent for all  $\alpha \in G$ .

*Remark 6.10.* To say that  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I$ , is the same as to say that for all  $a \in A$ , either  $a$  and  $u\alpha a$  belong to  $I$ , or  $a \# u\alpha a$ ,  $a - u\alpha a \in I$ . If moreover  $u \in I$ , we have that  $I = A$ . Note also that if  $I$  is a proper ideal, then  $\delta(u)$  is an  $\alpha$ -idempotent, that is,  $\delta(u) = \delta(u)d(\alpha)\delta(u)$ . Indeed,  $u \notin I$  implies  $u \# u\alpha u$  and  $u - u\alpha u \in I$ . Hence,  $u\alpha u \notin I$  and in particular,  $u\alpha u \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 6.11.** If  $M$  is a strictly cyclic regular  $AG$ -moduloid, then  $M \cong A/I$ , where  $I$  is a right modular ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ . If  $I$  is a right modular ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , then  $I = (0 : x)_\alpha$ , where  $x$  is an  $\alpha$ -strict generator of an  $AG$ -moduloid  $M$ , which is not necessarily regular.

*Proof.* We follow the proof presented for moduloids in [7]. Let  $M$  be a strictly cyclic regular  $AG$ -moduloid with a strict generator  $x$ . Since  $M = x\alpha A \cong A/(0 : x)_\alpha$ , we only need to prove that  $(0 : x)_\alpha$  is modular. Since  $x \in x\alpha A$  and since  $M$  is regular, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $x = x\alpha a$ . Let  $b \in A$ . Then  $x\alpha b = (x\alpha a)\alpha b$ . If  $x\alpha b = x\alpha(a\alpha b) = 0$ , then both  $b$  and  $a\alpha b$  belong to  $(0 : x)_\alpha$ . If  $x\alpha b = x\alpha(a\alpha b) \neq 0$ , then, since  $M$  is regular,  $b \# a\alpha b$  and hence  $x\alpha(b - a\alpha b) = 0$ , so  $b - a\alpha b \in (0 : x)_\alpha$ . Thus,  $(0 : x)_\alpha$  is modular. Conversely, if  $I$  is a right modular ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  with  $a$  as an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I$ , then  $a + I$  is an  $\alpha$ -strict generator of  $A/I$  and  $I = (0 : a + I)_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.12.** If  $I$  is a right modular ideal of  $A$ , then  $I$  contains  $(I : A)$ .

Following propositions can be proved by similar arguments given for the case of classical rings [8].



**Proposition 6.13.** *Every right modular ideal is contained in a maximal right ideal.*

**Proposition 6.14.** *An AG-moduloid  $M$  is irreducible if and only if:*

- a)  $M \neq \{0\}$ ;
- b) *Every nonzero element of  $M$  is a strict generator of  $M$ .*

**Proposition 6.15.** *A regular AG-moduloid  $M$  is irreducible if and only if  $M \cong A/I$  for some right modular maximal ideal  $I$  of  $A$ .*

**Corollary 6.16.** *Every primitive ideal  $J$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  has the form  $(I : A)$  for some right modular maximal ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . Conversely, if  $I$  is a right modular maximal ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , then  $(I : A)$  is a large primitive ideal (primitive if  $A$  is right regular).*

**Proposition 6.17.** *The Jacobson radical of a right regular  $G$ -anneid is the intersection of its right modular maximal ideals.*

## 7 Structure of the Jacobson radical of a regular gamma anneid

Let  $A$  be a regular  $G$ -anneid and  $J(A)$  its Jacobson radical. In [7], it is proved that all left identities modulo a proper right modular ideal of an anneid have the same degree, and here we have the analogous result.

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $I$  is a proper right modular ideal of  $A$ , all left identities modulo  $I$  have the same degree.*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be an  $\alpha$ -identity and  $b$  a  $\beta$ -identity modulo  $I$ . Hence, for all  $x \in A$ , we have  $x+I = a\alpha x+I = b\beta x+I$ . If  $x \notin I$ ,  $a\alpha x+I = b\beta x+I \neq 0+I$ , which implies  $a\alpha x \# b\beta x$ , and since  $A$  is regular,  $a \# b$  and  $\alpha \# \beta$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.2.** The degree of all left identities modulo  $I$  is called the *degree* of  $I$ .

Let  $e \in \Delta^*$  be an element whose degree  $\delta(e)$  is an  $\alpha$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ , that is,  $\delta(e)d(\alpha)\delta(e) = \delta(e)$ . Notice that  $A(e)$  is then a  $G(\alpha)$ -ring. Indeed, let  $x, y \in A(e)$ . Then  $x \# e$ ,  $y \# e$  and  $e \# e\alpha e$ , hence,  $x\alpha y \# e$  (see Lemma 3.1). For the sake of simplicity, we will denote  $\delta(e)$  also by  $e$  in the sequel.

It is stated in [6] and proved in [7] that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal modular right ideals of a regular anneid  $A$  of degree  $e$  and the maximal modular right ideals of  $A(e)$ . Here, we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $A$  be a regular  $G$ -anneid and  $e$  an  $\alpha$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ . To every right modular maximal ideal  $I$  of  $A$  with degree  $e$ , let us assign  $I_e = I \cap A(e)$ . Also, to every right maximal ideal  $S$  of  $A(e)$ , let us assign the set  $\hat{S}$  of elements  $x \in A$  such that  $xGA \cap A(e) \subseteq S$ . This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the set of right modular maximal ideals of  $A$  with degree  $e$  and the set of right modular maximal ideals of  $A(e)$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of the corresponding theorem for anneids from [7]. Let  $S$  be a right modular maximal ideal of  $A(e)$  and let  $u$  be an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $S$ . It is clear that  $\hat{S}$  is a right ideal of  $A$ . Let  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $\beta \in G$ , and assume that  $0 \neq s\beta a \in A(e)$ . Then

$$ed(\alpha)e = e = \delta(s\beta a) = \delta(s)d(\beta)\delta(a).$$

Since  $\delta(s) = e$ , and since  $A$  is regular, it follows that  $\delta(a) = e$ . Hence,  $s\beta a \in S$ , which means that  $S \subseteq \hat{S} \cap A(e)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in \hat{S} \cap A(e)$  be such that  $x \notin S$ . Since  $S$  is maximal, we have  $A(e) = S + \langle x \rangle$ , and so  $u = s + nx + x\beta a$ , where  $s \in S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\beta \in G$ ,  $a \in A$ . Since  $x\alpha a$ ,  $x\alpha x \in xGA \cap A(e) \subseteq S$  and  $u\alpha x = sax + nx\alpha x + x\beta a\alpha x$ , we have  $u\alpha x \in S$ , and since  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -identity modulo  $S$ ,  $x \in S$ . Thus,  $\hat{S} \cap A(e) \subseteq S$ . We have proved that  $\hat{S} \cap A(e) = S$ .

Next we want to prove that  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $\hat{S}$ . Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $a \in \hat{S}$ . It suffices to show that  $u\alpha a \in \hat{S}$ . Let  $b \in A$  and  $\beta \in G$  such that  $0 \neq (u\alpha a)\beta b \in A(e)$ . Since  $A$  is regular,  $a\beta b \in A(e)$ , and since  $a \in \hat{S}$ , we have  $a\beta b \in S$  which implies  $u\alpha(a\beta b) \in S$ . Assume now that  $a \notin \hat{S}$ . Then there exist  $b \in A$  and  $\beta \in G$  such that  $a\beta b$  belongs to  $A(e)$  but not to  $S$ . Since  $a\beta b - u\alpha(a\beta b) \in S$ ,  $u\alpha(a\beta b) \notin S$  and in particular,  $u\alpha(a\beta b) \neq 0$ . Since  $a\beta b \# u\alpha(a\beta b)$ , by regularity of  $A$  we have  $a \# u\alpha a$ . If  $x \in A$  and  $\gamma \in G$  are elements such that  $0 \neq (a - u\alpha a)\gamma x \in A(e)$ ,  $a\gamma x \in A(e)$ , hence we have  $(a - u\alpha a)\gamma x \in S$  which implies  $a - u\alpha a \in \hat{S}$ .

Now, let  $B$  be a proper right ideal which contains  $\hat{S}$ . Then  $B \cap A(e) \supseteq \hat{S} \cap A(e) = S$ . Since  $B$  is proper and  $u$  an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $\hat{S}$ ,  $A(e)$  is not a subset of  $B$ . Hence,  $B \cap A(e) = S$ . Now it is easy to verify that  $B = \hat{S}$ . Let  $I$  be a right modular maximal ideal of  $A$  of degree  $e$  and let  $u$  be an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I$ . It is easy to verify that  $I_e = I \cap A(e)$  is a right ideal of  $A(e)$ ,  $u$  an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I_e$ , and that  $I = \hat{I}_e$ .  $\square$

As in the case of anneids from [6, 7], we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.4.** *The Jacobson radical of a regular  $G$ -anneid  $A$  consists of elements  $x \in A$  such that  $xGA \cap A(e) \subseteq J(A(e))$ , for every  $\alpha$ -idempotent  $e \in \Delta$ .*

*Proof.* According to the previous theorem,  $x \in A$  is in the intersection of all right modular maximal ideals of  $A$  with degree  $e$  if and only if  $x \in \hat{S}$  for every right modular maximal ideal  $S$  of  $A(e)$ , that is, if  $xGA \cap A(e) \subseteq S \subseteq J(A(e))$ .  $\square$

In [7], the notion of a *quasi-regular element of an anneid* is introduced, and here we do similar for gamma anneids.

**Definition 7.5.** An element  $z$  of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is called  $\alpha$ -right quasi-regular or shortly  $\alpha$ -rqr if there exists no proper right ideal of  $A$  such that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo that ideal. If  $z$  is  $\alpha$ -right quasi-regular for all  $\alpha \in G$ , then  $z$  is called *right quasi-regular*. It is clear how to define a *left quasi-regular element*. An element is called *quasi-regular* if it is both left and right quasi-regular. A right ideal of  $A$  is called *quasi-regular* if all its elements are right quasi-regular. We similarly define left and two-sided quasi-regular ideals.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $A$  be a regular  $G$ -anneid. An element  $z \in A$  is  $\alpha$ -rqr (rqr) if and only if one of the following is satisfied:*

- i)  $\delta(z)$  is not an  $\alpha$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$  (for all  $\alpha \in G$ );*
- ii)  $e = \delta(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$  (for all  $\alpha \in G$ ) and  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -right quasi-regular element (right quasi-regular element) of an  $G(\alpha)$ -ring  $A(e)$  ( $\Gamma$ -ring  $A(e)$ ).*

*Proof.* If *i)* holds, then  $z$  is  $\alpha$ -rqr according to Remark 6.10. If  $e = \delta(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ , it is enough to prove that then  $z$  is  $\alpha$ -rqr in  $A$  if and only if it is  $\alpha$ -rqr in  $A(e)$ , but this follows from Theorem 7.3.  $\square$

By classical means one may prove the following corollary, see [8].

**Corollary 7.7.** *If  $I$  is a right quasi-regular ideal of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$ , then every element  $z \in I$  is quasi-regular.*

**Proposition 7.8.** *The Jacobson radical of a regular  $G$ -anneid  $A$  is a quasi-regular ideal which contains all right quasi-regular ideals of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of the corresponding proposition for anneids given in [7]. Let  $z \in J(A)$  and let us assume that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -left identity modulo  $I$ , where  $I$  is a proper right ideal of  $A$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $I$  is maximal. Since  $J(A)$  is the intersection of all of its right modular maximal ideals, it follows that  $z \in I$ , which would mean that  $I$  is not a proper ideal.

Suppose now that  $I$  is a right quasi-regular ideal of  $A$  and  $z \in I$ . Also, let  $M$  be a regular irreducible  $AG$ -moduloid and  $z \notin (0 : M)$ . Then there exist elements  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $x\gamma z \neq 0$ . Hence,  $x\gamma z$  is a strict generator of  $M$  and so there exist  $a \in A$  and  $\beta \in G$  such that  $x = (x\gamma z)\beta a$ . Thus,  $x\gamma(z\beta a) = x\gamma z\beta a\gamma z\beta a$ . By regularity we have that  $e = \delta(z\beta a)$  is a  $\gamma$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ . Hence,  $z\beta a$  is a  $\gamma$ -right quasi-regular in  $A(e)$ . By [18], we know that there exists  $t \in A(e)$  such that  $z\beta a + t - (z\beta a)\gamma t = 0$ , which implies  $0 = x - x\gamma(z\beta a) - (x - x\gamma(z\beta a))\gamma t = x - x\gamma(z\beta a + t - (z\beta a)\gamma t) = x$ . Hence,  $z \in J(A)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.9.** *The Jacobson radical and the left Jacobson radical of a regular  $G$ -anneid are equal.*

**Corollary 7.10.** *The Jacobson radical of a  $G$ -anneid  $A$  consists of all elements  $x \in A$  such that  $x\alpha a$  is right quasi-regular element for all  $\alpha \in G$ ,  $a \in A$ .*

**Proposition 7.11.** *If  $A$  is a regular  $G$ -anneid and  $e$  a  $\beta$ -idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ , then  $J(A(e)) = J(A) \cap A(e)$ .*

*Proof.* We may follow the proof of the corresponding result for regular anneids from [7]. It is clear that  $J(A) \cap A(e)$  is a quasi-regular ideal of  $A(e)$ , hence  $J(A) \cap A(e) \subseteq J(A(e))$ . Conversely, if  $z \in J(A(e))$ , assume that  $z \notin J(A)$ . Then there exist  $x \in A$ ,  $\delta = \delta(x)$ , and  $\alpha \in G$  such that  $\delta(z\alpha x)$  is an idempotent  $f$  of  $\Delta^*$  and  $z\alpha x \notin J(A(f))$ . Using the regularity, it is easy to verify that  $e$ ,  $\delta$  and  $f$  are mutually distinct. Like in the case of rings, if we observe a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $B$  and a set  $I$  of elements  $b \in B$  such that  $B\Gamma b\Gamma B = \{0\}$ , then  $I$  is an ideal of  $B$ . Moreover,  $I\Gamma I\Gamma I = \{0\}$ , and hence  $I \subseteq J(B)$ . In our case,  $z\alpha x \notin J(A(f))$ , and hence there exist  $t \in A(f)$  and  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $t\gamma z\alpha x \neq 0$ , which implies  $(fd(\gamma)e)d(\alpha)\delta = fd(\gamma)(ed(\alpha)\delta) = fd(\gamma)f = f = ed(\alpha)\delta \neq 0$ , and then regularity implies  $fd(\gamma)e = e = ed(\beta)e$ , hence  $f = e$ , again by regularity.  $\square$

The following proposition is an analogue of the similar result for regular anneids from [7].

**Proposition 7.12.** *Let  $A$  be a regular  $G$ -anneid and  $I$  a right ideal of  $A$ . Then  $J(I) = \{x \in I \mid xGI \subseteq J(A)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = \{x \in I \mid xGI \subseteq J(A)\}$  and  $x \in K$ ,  $\alpha \in G$ ,  $a \in I$ . We claim that  $x\alpha a$  is right quasi-regular in  $I$ . According to Proposition 7.6, we may assume that  $(x\alpha a)\gamma(x\alpha a) \neq 0$  and addable with  $x\alpha a$ , for all  $\gamma \in G$ , since every nilpotent element is right quasi-regular (nilpotent element is defined as in the case of ordinary gamma rings). Hence,  $e = \delta(x\alpha a)$  is an idempotent of  $\Delta^*$  and  $x\alpha a \in J(A)$  is right quasi-regular in  $A(e)$ . This means that there exists  $z \in A(e)$  such that  $x\alpha a + z - (x\alpha a)\gamma z = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in G$ , and hence,  $z \in I$ . Thus,  $x\alpha a$  is rqr in  $I$ , and according to Corollary 7.10,  $x \in J(I)$ . Hence,  $K \subseteq J(I)$ . Conversely, it suffices to show that  $J(I)GI \subseteq J(A)$ , and since  $J(I)GI$  is a right ideal of  $A$ , it is enough to prove that every  $x \in J(I)GI$  is rqr in  $A$ . Again, we may assume that  $x\gamma x \neq 0$  and addable with  $x$ , for all  $\gamma \in G$ . Then  $e = \delta(x)$  is an idempotent of  $\Delta^*$ . Element  $x$  is rqr in  $I(e)$ , and hence for all  $\gamma \in G$ , there exists  $y \in I(e)$  such that  $x + y - x\gamma y = 0$ , and hence,  $x$  is rqr in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.13.** *For an ideal  $I$  of a regular  $G$ -anneid  $A$ ,  $J(I) = I \cap J(A)$ .*

## 8 Relation between the large Jacobson radical and the Jacobson radical

Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -anneid and  $R$  the corresponding graded  $\Gamma$ -ring. In the next proposition we give some connections between the Jacobson radical of  $A$ , the large Jacobson radical of  $A$ , and the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . For the corresponding relations for anneids, see [7]. By the Jacobson radical of  $R$  we mean the notion as defined in [18].

**Proposition 8.1.** *If  $J(A)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A$ ,  $J_l(A)$  the large Jacobson radical of  $A$  and  $J(R)$  the Jacobson radical of  $R$ , then:*

$$i) J_l(A) = J(R) \cap A;$$

$$ii) J_l(A) \subseteq J(A).$$

*Proof.* *i)* Every simple  $R\Gamma$ -module  $V$  can be viewed as an irreducible  $AG$ -moduloid, and hence  $J_l(A) \subseteq J(R)$ . Thus,  $J_l(A) \subseteq J(R) \cap A$ . Conversely, suppose  $a \in J(R) \cap A$ . It is enough to prove that  $a \in (0 : M)$  if  $M$  is an irreducible  $AG$ -moduloid. Assume that  $a \notin (0 : M)$ . Then there exist  $x \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in G$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $x\alpha a \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is an irreducible  $AG$ -moduloid,  $x\alpha a$  is a strict generator and it follows that  $\overline{M} = x\alpha a\gamma R$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $m \in R$  be such that  $x = x\alpha a\alpha m$ . Then, for all  $y \in R$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  we have  $x\beta y = x\alpha a\alpha m\beta y$ , and particularly,  $x\alpha(y - a\alpha m\alpha y) = 0$ , that is  $y - a\alpha m\alpha y \in (0 : x)_\alpha$ . Since  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $a\alpha m$  also belongs to  $J(R)$ . For all  $\beta \in \Gamma$  there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $a\alpha m + z - a\alpha m\beta z = 0$  which implies  $z - a\alpha m\beta z = -a\alpha m$ , and since  $y - a\alpha m\alpha y \in (0 : x)_\alpha$ , for all  $y \in R$ , we have  $a\alpha m \in (0 : x)_\alpha$ . Thus,  $x = x\alpha a\alpha m = 0$ , a contradiction.

*ii)* It is obvious that  $J_l(A) \subseteq J(A)$ . □

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his big gratitude to Professor Mirjana Vuković who introduced him to the theory of general graded rings and for providing him with the substantial amount of the literature, in particular, with [7].

### References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 411–422.
- [2] G. L. Booth, N. J. Groenewald,  $\Gamma$ -rings and normal radicals, Period. Math. Hung. **31** (1) (1995), 5–10.

- [3] M. Chadeyras, Essai d'une théorie noetherienne pour les anneaux commutatifs, dont la graduation est aussi générale que possible, *Bull. de la S.M.F.*, Supplément, Mémoire No. **22**, Paris 1970.
- [4] W. E. Coppage, J. Luh, Radicals of gamma rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **21** (1971), 40–52.
- [5] F. Fusheng, G. Lingzhong, Group graded gamma rings, *Northeast. Math. J.* **14** (2) (1998), 177–186.
- [6] E. Halberstadt, Le radical d'un anneau régulier, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, Paris **270** (1970), 361–363.
- [7] E. Halberstadt, Théorie artinienne homogène des anneaux gradués à grades non commutatifs réguliers, Thèse doct. sci. math., Arch. orig. Cent. Doc. C.N.R.S., no **5962**, Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 182 p, 1971.
- [8] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. **37**, 1964.
- [9] A. V. Kelarev, On groupoid graded rings, *J. Algebra* **178** (1995), 391–399.
- [10] A. V. Kelarev, *Ring constructions and applications*, Series in Algebra, Vol. **9**, World Scientific, 2002.
- [11] A. V. Kelarev, A. Plant, Bergman's lemma for graded rings, *Comm. Algebra* **23**(12) (1995), 4613–4624.
- [12] M. Krasner, Une généralisation de la notion de corps-corpoïde. Un corpoïde remarquable de la théorie des corps valués, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **219** (1944), 345–347.
- [13] M. Krasner, Anneaux gradués généraux, Colloque d'Algèbre Rennes (1980), 209–308.
- [14] M. Krasner, M. Vuković, Structures paragruguées (groupes, anneaux, modules), *Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. **77**, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada 1987.
- [15] S. Kyuno, Prime ideals in gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **98** (2) (1982), 375–379.
- [16] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, *Osaka J. Math.* **1** (1964), 81–89.
- [17] C. Năstăsescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, Methods of graded rings, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1836**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

- [18] T. S. Ravisankar, U. S. Shukla, Structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **80** (2) (1979), 537–559.
- [19] M. Vuković, Structures graduées et paragraduées, *Prepublication de l'Institut Fourier*, Université de Grenoble I, **536** (2001), pp. 1–40.
- [20] D. Wang, On the Brown-McCoy property for  $\Gamma$ -rings, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (2) (1996), 477–486.

Emil Ilić-Georgijević  
Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Sarajevo  
Patriotske lige 30, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina  
E-mail: emil.ilic.georgijevic@gmail.com