

More Is Different: Reconciling eV Sterile Neutrinos with Cosmological Mass Bounds

Yong Tang

School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, South Korea

(Dated: November 6, 2021)

Abstract

It is generally expected that adding light sterile species would increase the effective number of neutrinos, N_{eff} . In this paper we discuss a scenario that N_{eff} can actually decrease due to the neutrino oscillation effect if sterile neutrinos have self-interactions. We specifically focus on the eV mass range, as suggested by the neutrino anomalies. With large self-interactions, sterile neutrinos are not fully thermalized in the early Universe because of the suppressed effective mixing angle or matter effect. As the Universe cools down, flavor equilibrium between active and sterile species can be reached after big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) epoch, but leading to a decrease of N_{eff} . In such a scenario, we also show that the conflict with cosmological mass bounds on the additional sterile neutrinos can be relaxed further when more light species are introduced. To be consistent with the latest *Planck* results, at least 3 sterile species are needed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although most neutrino experiments can be well described by the standard three light species paradigm, there have been several anomalies that indicate a new sterile state [1–6]. The new state should have an eV scale mass and a mixing angle with $\sin^2 2\theta_0 \sim 0.01$ [7, 8]. With such parameters, sterile neutrinos can be copiously produced from oscillation with each species increasing the effective number of neutrinos N_{eff} almost by one unit. This would be in tension with the cosmological bounds from cosmic microwave background (CMB) data [9], $N_{\text{eff}} < 3.91$ and $m_\nu^{\text{eff}} < 0.59\text{eV}$.

The conflict can be resolved if the sterile species is only partially thermalized with $N_{\text{eff}} \ll 1$. Partial thermalization can be realized in particle physics models where there are secret self-interactions¹ in the sterile neutrino sector [10–14]. These self-interactions can induce large matter potentials, effectively suppress the mixing angle, and block sterile neutrino’s production from oscillation efficiently [10, 11].

However, the situation changed recently when authors in [15] argued that even if sterile neutrinos’ production are blocked at BBN time, as the Universe cools down, the new self-interaction will eventually equilibrate sterile neutrinos with the active ones. Then, it can be easily shown that in 3+1 scenario, 1/4 of the cosmic background neutrinos would be the heavy sterile ones, which is still in conflict with the above cosmological bounds.

The novelty in this paper is that, we numerically solve the quantum kinetic equations for neutrino mixing, show and confirm that flavor equilibrium is indeed reached after BBN, see Fig. 2. We also propose that the above mentioned conflict can be reconciled easily in an extension that more than one sterile states are introduced. Of the n introduced self-interacting sterile species, only one has eV scale mass and mixes with the active neutrinos. In the early Universe, all of them are out of equilibrium, but can approach flavor equilibrium with active neutrinos after BBN era. So the current relic neutrinos are composed of 3+n species with equal flavors. As we shall show that N_{eff} in the late Universe can actually decrease and the cosmological bounds can be evaded. To be consistent with the latest *Planck* results, we found that $n = 3$ is the minimal number of the introduced sterile species.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we briefly review the effective number of neutrinos, N_{eff} . In section III, we discuss the scenario that how N_{eff} in BBN epoch can be

¹ In this paper, we do not discuss the case that large lepton asymmetry exists in the active neutrino sector.

different from its value in CMB time when there are secret self-interactions among sterile neutrinos. In section [IV](#), we show how the cosmological mass bounds on sterile neutrino can be relaxed if more than one light species are introduced. Finally, we give our conclusion.

II. OVERVIEW OF N_{eff}

In this section, we shall review N_{eff} briefly and establish the related conventions and definitions.

First, let us recall the thermal history of neutrinos in the standard model (SM) at the early Universe. When the temperature of the thermal bath, T_γ , is much higher than MeV, active neutrinos, $\nu_a (a = e, \mu, \tau)$, are in thermal equilibrium with other SM particles through electroweak processes and have the same temperature as T_γ . Around 2 MeV, active neutrinos are decoupled because electroweak interaction is not strong enough to keep them in equilibrium. Later, electrons/positrons annihilate but heat only the photons. Using the conservation of entropy density, one can obtain the temperature ratio after e^\pm annihilation,

$$\frac{T_{\nu_a}}{T_\gamma} = \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3}. \quad (2.1)$$

Afterwards, T_{ν_a}/T_γ is constant in the standard cosmology.

N_{eff} is defined by the energy density ratio,

$$\frac{\rho_R}{\rho_\gamma} = \frac{\rho_\gamma + \sum_a \rho_{\nu_a}}{\rho_\gamma} = 1 + \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{T_{\nu_a}}{T_\gamma}\right)^4 N_{\text{eff}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where ρ_R stands for the total energy density of radiations. In the standard model, we have 3 species of active neutrinos, ν_e, ν_μ and ν_τ , so $N_{\text{eff}} = 3$, or 3.046 precisely if instantaneous neutrino decoupling is relaxed. If there is some new physics, it might modify T_{ν_a}/T_γ and/or contribute to ρ_R as extra radiation. For example, dark matter may affect T_{ν_a} [\[16, 17\]](#) or T_γ [\[18\]](#), and new particles can decay to or contribute as equivalent neutrinos [\[19–21\]](#). In this paper, we only consider the extended models with sterile neutrinos.

In the following discussions, we shall use $\nu_a (a = e, \mu, \tau)$ to denote the 3 active neutrinos, ν_s for the sterile species, and ν_β or ν for all of them if not otherwise specified. And ν_i will be referred as the i -th mass eigenstate with a mass m_{ν_i} . For the parameter space we focus on, $\nu_{1,2,3}$ are mainly mixed states of active neutrinos ν_a and ν_i s ($i > 3$) are mainly mixed sterile species. We shall also neglect the masses of $\nu_{1,2,3}$ when considering the mass constraints on sterile neutrinos.




FIG. 1. Thermal history of active/sterile neutrinos. When the temperature is high, ν_s s are not in thermal equilibrium with ν_a s because of the suppression from a large matter potential. As the Universe cools down, equilibrium between active and sterile neutrinos could be reached.

In principle, N_{eff} can be a function of time or photon temperature. We define δN_{eff} as the deviation from the standard value, with explicit time/temperature dependence,

$$\frac{\rho_R - \rho_\gamma}{\rho_\gamma} = \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{T_{\nu_a}^0}{T_\gamma} \right)^4 [3 + \delta N_{\text{eff}}(t)], \quad (2.3)$$

or

$$\delta N_{\text{eff}}(t) = \sum_{\beta} \left(\frac{T_{\nu_\beta}(t)}{T_{\nu_a}^0} \right)^4 - 3, \quad (2.4)$$

where $T_{\nu_a}^0$ stands for the neutrino temperature in the standard cosmology without new physics, $T_{\nu_a}^0 = T_\gamma$ before e^\pm annihilation and $T_{\nu_a}^0 = (4/11)^{1/3} T_\gamma$ afterwards, and β runs through all active/sterile neutrinos.

We shall keep in mind that 3 in Eqs. 2.3 and 2.4 is actually 3.046 precisely. But this little difference would not affect our later discussions and we shall use 3 throughout the paper.

III. $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ VS $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$

In this section, we discuss how self-interaction can affect the thermalization of sterile neutrinos. The essential picture is described in Fig. 1 where sterile neutrinos are only partially thermalized at/before BBN time, but flavor equilibrium, $\rho_{\nu_s} = \rho_{\nu_a}$, is reached at later time. To be as general as possible, we introduce n sterile species and do not discuss the

specific particle physics models, but emphasize that new interaction for the sterile neutrinos is required.

It should be pointed out that in the present discussion for illustrating our points, the minimal setup we need is that *one* of the n sterile species is mixed with active neutrinos and has eV-scale mass, and the rest may be massless and have negligible mixing to affect current neutrino experimental results. Moreover, sterile neutrinos do not have to mix with each other since all sterile neutrinos can be in flavor equilibrium through new interactions. Therefore, let us just simply assume there is only mixing between a 4-th neutrino and the active species, namely the mixing matrix has the following form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \\ \nu_s \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} & U_{e4} & \cdots \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} & U_{\mu4} & \cdots \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} & U_{\tau4} & \cdots \\ U_{s1} & U_{s2} & U_{s3} & U_{s4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Further assume only 1-4 mixing and CP conservation, then the complete 4×4 mixing matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} U_{4 \times 4} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13} & 0 \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{13}s_{23}c_{12} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{13}s_{23}s_{12} & c_{13}s_{23} & 0 \\ s_{23}s_{12} - s_{13}c_{23}c_{12} & -s_{23}c_{12} - s_{13}c_{23}s_{12} & c_{13}c_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{14} & 0 & 0 & s_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{14} & 0 & 0 & c_{14} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12}c_{14} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13} & c_{13}c_{12}s_{14} \\ -c_{23}s_{12}c_{14} - s_{13}s_{23}c_{12}c_{14} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{13}s_{23}s_{12} & c_{13}s_{23} & -c_{23}s_{12}s_{14} - s_{13}s_{23}c_{12}s_{14} \\ s_{23}s_{12}c_{14} - s_{13}c_{23}c_{12}c_{14} & -s_{23}c_{12} - s_{13}c_{23}s_{12}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} & s_{23}s_{12}s_{14} - s_{13}c_{23}c_{12}s_{14} \\ -s_{14} & 0 & 0 & c_{14} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where e.g. $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, etc. Complete investigations with the above multiple-flavor mixing are quite involved numerically when solving the full quantum kinetic equations (QKEs) [22–25] and we refer to Refs. [26–28] for multiple-flavor analysis with non-interacting sterile neutrinos. For simplicity and without loss of generality, we work with only two neutrino states, ν_e - ν_s mixing with mass difference δm^2 and mixing angle $\theta_0 \equiv \theta_{14}$, and shall pay our attention to eV sterile neutrinos with the parameter space suggested by

neutrino anomalies, $\delta m^2 \simeq 1\text{eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_0 \simeq 0.01$. We shall keep in mind that ν_s produced from ν_μ and ν_τ 's oscillation could be equally important since $|U_{\mu 4}| \simeq |U_{\tau 4}| \simeq 0.46|U_{e 4}|$.

In most of the previous discussions in the literature, sterile neutrinos are assumed to have no interaction, so they can only be produced by oscillations from the active ones. And the production rate and total amount depend on the mass difference, mixing angle and lepton asymmetry [29–40].

In case of sterile species having a secret self-interaction, parametrized by $G_X \equiv g_X^2/M_X^2$ (similar to the Fermi's constant G_F in SM),

$$G_X \bar{\nu}_s \Gamma_i \nu_s \bar{\nu}_s \Gamma_j \nu_s, \Gamma_{i,j} \text{ are products of } \gamma_\mu, \gamma_5, \dots, \quad (3.3)$$

the production will also depend on the strength of G_X . Models that can give rise to the above types of self-interactions can be found in Refs. [10–14, 41, 42]. To calculate the $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$, we use the modified version of LASAGNA [10, 43, 44] with $g_X = 0.1$.

For 1+1 scenario, we can parametrize the system with 2×2 Hermitian density matrix in terms of Pauli matrices,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} f_0 \left(P_0 + \vec{P} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where $f_0 = 1/(e^{E/T} + 1)$ and $\vec{P} = (P_x, P_y, P_z)$. And the densities of active and sterile neutrino are given by

$$P_a \equiv P_0 + P_z = 2 \frac{\rho_{\nu_a}}{f_0}, P_s \equiv P_0 - P_z = 2 \frac{\rho_{\nu_s}}{f_0}. \quad (3.5)$$

The kinetic equations governing P_i 's time evolution are

$$\dot{P}_a = V_x P_y + \Gamma_a \left[2 \frac{f_{eq,a}}{f_0} - P_a \right], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\dot{P}_s = -V_x P_y + \Gamma_s \left[2 \frac{f_{eq,s}}{f_0} - P_s \right], \quad (3.7)$$

$$\dot{P}_x = -V_z P_y - D P_x, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{P}_y = V_z P_x - \frac{1}{2} V_x (P_a - P_s) - D P_y, \quad (3.9)$$

where V_i and Γ_i are the potentials and scattering kernels [10], respectively,

$$V_x = \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \sin 2\theta_0, \quad V_y = 0, \quad V_z \simeq -\frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta_0 - \frac{14\pi^2}{45\sqrt{2}} \frac{G_F}{M_Z^2} E T_{\nu_a}^4 + \frac{14\pi^2}{45\sqrt{2}} \frac{G_X}{M_X^2} E T_{\nu_s}^4, \\ \Gamma_a \simeq G_F^2 E T_{\nu_a}^4, \quad \Gamma_s \simeq G_X^2 E T_{\nu_s}^4, \quad D \simeq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_s).$$




FIG. 2. Evolution of δN_{eff} as temperature T_γ decreases. $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ depends on the self-interaction strength G_X . Black curve shows the non-interacting case, $G_X = 0$. The self-interaction can suppress the production of sterile neutrino at high temperature, but lead to flavor equilibrium at later time. Increasing the strength of self-interacting would delay the equilibrium time.

From the QKEs, we can recover neutrino oscillation in vacuum if we take the non-interacting limits, $G_F \rightarrow 0$, $G_X \rightarrow 0$,

$$\dot{P}_0 = 0, \quad \dot{\vec{P}} = \vec{V} \times \vec{P},$$

which describe the precesses of \vec{P} around \vec{V} . Non-zero Γ_i 's effects are to repopulate different momentum modes to reach thermal distribution and D -terms would damp and shrink \vec{P} . As we can see in the above equations, the introduced new self-interaction leads to $\Gamma_s \neq 0$ and contributes to V_z and D in the above QKEs.

The introduced self-interactions for sterile neutrinos have two effects. One is to block the thermalization at high temperature. The other effect is the collisions that lead a scattering-induced decoherent production at later time. In Fig. 2, we show the non-interacting case with a black curve and compare it with two interacting cases, $G_X = 10G_F$ and $G_X = 10^4G_F$. If G_X is large, for instance $G_X = 10^4G_F$, ν_s can only be partially thermalized with $T_{\nu_s} < T_{\nu_a}$ when $T_\gamma > 2\text{MeV}$. However, if G_X is not large enough, for instance $G_X = 10G_F$, it will block the thermalization first at high temperature but enhance the production of sterile neutrino at a later time even before BBN epoch, $T_\gamma \simeq 5\text{MeV}$. The $G_X = 10^4G_F$ case, however,

has shown that the equilibrium time is later than the $G_X = 10G_F$ case, less than 1MeV. Generally, increasing G_X would delay the equilibrium time.

A simplified picture to understand these two effects is to use the effective mixing angle,

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_0}{\left(\cos 2\theta_0 - \frac{2E}{\delta m^2} V_{\text{eff}}\right)^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_0}, \quad (3.10)$$

where E is the energy of oscillating neutrino, $\frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta_0$ is usually called the vacuum oscillation term [45], and $V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{m}}^{\text{SM}} - V_{\text{m}}^{\text{NEW}}$ is the matter potential. Simple analysis would give

$$V_{\text{m}}^{\text{SM}} \sim \frac{G_F}{M_Z^2} E T_{\nu_a}^4, \quad V_{\text{m}}^{\text{NEW}} \sim \frac{G_X}{M_X^2} E T_{\nu_s}^4, \quad (3.11)$$

which highly depend on the temperature. A familiar case, MSW effect [46–48], happens when $V_{\text{eff}} = \cos 2\theta_0 \delta m^2 / 2E$, leading to a maximal mixing angle $\theta_{\text{eff}} = \pi/4$. However, when the matter potential is much larger than the vacuum term, the mixing angle is effectively suppressed,

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} \ll \sin^2 2\theta_0, \quad \text{when } |V_{\text{eff}}| \gg \left| \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta_0 \right|, \quad (3.12)$$

which can efficiently block the production of sterile neutrinos. The value of T_{ν_s} to block production at BBN time can be roughly estimated as follows:

$$V_{\text{eff}} \sim \frac{G_X}{M_X^2} E T_{\nu_s}^4 > \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \Rightarrow \frac{T_{\nu_s}}{\text{MeV}} > \left(\frac{\delta m^2 M_X^2}{2E^2 G_X} \right)^{1/4}.$$

Take $G_X \sim 10^4 G_F$ and $M_X \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$, we get $T_{\nu_s} \sim 10^{-3} \text{ MeV}$ around BBN time. This is what we see the smallness of T_{ν_s} all the way to BBN time from the dot-dashed line in Fig. 2.

As the Universe cools down, the matter potential V_{eff} gets smaller very quickly. When $|V_{\text{eff}}| < \left| \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta_0 \right|$, matter effect can be neglected and $\theta_{\text{eff}} \simeq \theta_0$. Again, we discuss in the simplified framework of two-flavor case, ν_a - ν_s or ν_1 - ν_2 in the mass eigenstates,

$$\nu_a = \cos \theta_{\text{eff}} \nu_1 - \sin \theta_{\text{eff}} \nu_2, \quad \nu_s = \sin \theta_{\text{eff}} \nu_1 + \cos \theta_{\text{eff}} \nu_2.$$

Before BBN, because of the highly suppressed θ_{eff} , there are mostly ν_a or ν_1 neutrinos in the thermal bath, and a small amount of ν_s or ν_2 states. After θ_{eff} is not suppressed any more, ν_1 has the scattering process to produce ν_2 through $\nu_1 + \nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_2 + \nu_2$ with rate $\Gamma \sim G_X^2 T_{\nu_s}^5 \sin^2 2\theta_0$, and $\nu_1 + \nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2 + \nu_2$ with rate $\Gamma \sim G_X^2 T_{\nu_a}^5 \sin^4 2\theta_0$. If Γ is larger than




FIG. 3. $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ vs $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$. (Left panel) We choose several cases for the number of sterile species, as indicated by n . For each case, the solid curve shows $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ while the dashed one gives $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$. Sizable differences can arise in the low $T_{\nu_s}/T_{\nu_a}^0$ region. (Right panel) $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$ as function of $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$. The solid black line is for $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} = \delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ in non-interacting case, and from up to down, dashed lines correspond to $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$. Dot-dashed orange lines mark the current bounds from *Planck* [49].

Hubble parameter $H = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}} \rho_R$, then ν_1 and ν_2 , or ν_a and ν_s , will reach equal numbers quickly. Since the sterile neutrinos' self-interaction will induce rapidly elastic scattering, $\nu_s \nu_s \rightarrow \nu_s \nu_s$, it can redistribute momenta among sterile neutrinos. As long as the scattering rate $\Gamma_X \sim G_X^2 T_{\nu_s}^5$ is larger than the Hubble parameter H (G is the Newton's constant), sterile neutrinos will soon reach the Fermi-Dirac distribution, leading to the flavor equilibrium, $n_{\nu_s} = n_{\nu_a}$. For $G_X = 10^8 G_F$ and $M_X = 1.2 \text{ MeV}$ it was estimated that the equilibrium would be approached around $T_\gamma \sim 40 \text{ KeV}$ [15], although numerically being a formidable challenge for such a large interaction.

Before a detailed discussion on N_{eff} at or after CMB time, we should note that the above investigation only took into account the sterile neutrinos produced from oscillations. However, oscillation is not the only contributing process. Generally, we expect there is a whole dark sector accompanying with self-interacting sterile neutrinos. Once the whole dark sector is decoupled from the SM thermal both, entropy is transferred to sterile neutrinos (and

other particles in thermal equilibrium with sterile neutrinos). We may call this part as the “primordial” portion (denoted as δN_{eff}^0) which depends on the physical degrees of freedom in the dark sector and decoupling temperature, therefore model-dependent, see, for example, Refs. [12, 13] for concrete models. Since at high temperature oscillation is effectively blocked by the large matter potential, the effects of these primordial sterile neutrinos are to change the initial condition for QKEs and lift up the curves at high temperature by δN_{eff}^0 in Fig. 2. Hence, if not stated explicitly, we shall treat T_{ν_s} at BBN time as a free parameter in the rest of our discussion.

Now, we are in a position to discuss the effect on N_{eff} at or after CMB time. Assume there are n sterile species with common temperature T_{ν_s} , if sterile and active neutrinos reach the equilibrium when they are still relativistic, they would have the same temperature T_ν determined by the conservation of neutrino number or entropy density,

$$3 \times (T_{\nu_a}^0)^3 + n \times T_{\nu_s}^3 = (3 + n) \times T_\nu^3, \quad (3.13)$$

where n is the number of sterile species that have self-interactions ².

With the new temperature T_ν , we can calculate δN_{eff} at CMB time with Eq. 2.4,

$$\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} = (3 + n)^{-1/3} \times \left[3 + n \times \left(\frac{T_{\nu_s}}{T_{\nu_a}^0} \right)^3 \right]^{4/3} - 3, \quad (3.14)$$

in comparison with

$$\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}} = n \times \left(\frac{T_{\nu_s}}{T_{\nu_a}^0} \right)^4. \quad (3.15)$$

If sterile neutrinos were fully thermalized at BBN time, we would have $T_{\nu_s} = T_{\nu_a}^0$, and Eq. 3.14 gives the same result as Eq. 3.15 does. However, for partially thermalized ν_s , $T_{\nu_s} < T_{\nu_a}^0$. As shown in Fig. 3, it is evident that $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} \leq \delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$, and that the difference can be significant in the low $T_{\nu_s}/T_{\nu_a}^0$ region and it increases as n gets bigger. An interesting observation is that $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$ can even be negative for small values of $T_{\nu_s}/T_{\nu_a}^0$. If future experiment data indicate a deficit of N_{eff} , it would be natural to consider the scenario that active neutrinos are mixing with self-interacting sterile species.

In the right panel of Fig. 3, we show $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$ as functions of $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ for different n . The solid black line is for $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} = \delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}}$ in non-interacting case, and from up to down, dashed

² We note that $n=1$ case has been discussed in the latest version of Ref. [15] whose results agree with ours.

lines correspond to $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$, respectively. Dot-dashed orange lines mark the current 95% CL preferred ranges for N_{eff} from *Planck* [49],

$$N_{\text{eff}} = 3.11^{+0.59}_{-0.57} \quad \text{He} + \text{Planck TT} + \text{lowP}. \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{bbn}} \geq 0$ in our scenario, the available parameter space is inside the region with arrows indicated.

IV. COSMOLOGICAL NEUTRINO MASS BOUNDS

In this section, we show how the conflict between eV sterile neutrino and cosmological mass bounds can be relaxed when more than one light sterile species are introduced.

Cosmological bounds on the neutrino masses from the combination of CMB, large scale structure and distance measurements are constraining the following effective quantity [9],

$$m_{\nu}^{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{\sum_i n_{\nu_i} m_{\nu_i}}{n_{\nu_a}^0} = \sum_i \left(\frac{T_{\nu_i}}{T_{\nu_a}^0} \right)^3 m_{\nu_i} \simeq 94.1 \text{eV} \times \Omega_{\nu} h^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where n_{ν_i} stand for ν_i 's number density, $n_{\nu_a}^0$ for the value of active neutrino in standard cosmology, $\Omega_{\nu} h^2$ accounts for its energy density fraction in the Universe. After the later flavor equilibrium discussed above, all neutrinos share the same temperature, $T_{\nu_i} = T_{\nu}$. Using the same minimal setup as we did in last section, we assume only one sterile state has eV-scale mass and all the others are almost massless. So we can reduce the above summation only over the heaviest one, $i = 4$,

$$m_{\nu}^{\text{eff}} \simeq \left(\frac{T_{\nu}}{T_{\nu_a}^0} \right)^3 m_{\nu_4}. \quad (4.2)$$

In Fig. 4, we plot how m_{ν}^{eff} changes with $T_{\nu_i}/T_{\nu_a}^0$ in four cases, $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$. When more light states are added with but fixed δN_{eff} , the individual number density of each species is decreased. Therefore, the total number of the heaviest state ν_4 is reduced and m_{ν}^{eff} then gets smaller correspondingly. Other light states are just radiations and red-shifted, contributing only negligibly in late Universe.

Now, we compare with the cosmological bounds. We should note that cosmological bounds on sterile neutrino mass and abundance depend on the cosmological models and the chosen data set [50–58]³. Varying $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$ and m_{ν}^{eff} only, and using the *Planck*+WP+HighL

³ Currently, there is a very loose constraint on self-interaction of active neutrinos from CMB data [58], around $\sim 10^8 G_F$. The bound on self-interaction of sterile neutrino can be inferred and should be similar.




FIG. 4. (Left panel) m_ν^{eff} vs n . m_ν^{eff} is a model-dependent quantity. We show how it changes as $T_{\nu_s}/T_{\nu_a}^0$ varies in four cases with different n . $m_{\nu_4} = 1\text{eV}$ is assumed to be dominant on the mass. (Right panel) m_ν^{eff} as functions of $\delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}}$ and n . Parameter space inside region marked with arrows is still allowed.

data combination, the latest result from *Planck* collaboration was able to give bounds with 95% CL [49],

$$2.53 < N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} < 3.7, \quad m_\nu^{\text{eff}} < 0.52\text{eV}. \quad (4.3)$$

As we show in right panel of Fig. 4, if we take the face value of the above constraint⁴, then $n = 3$ is on the intersect point with marginal status and is the minimal number of introduced sterile species. This amusing accidental agreement recovers the symmetry between active and sterile neutrinos. If future experimental pushed the upper limit further stringent, from the trend shown in the four cases of Fig. 4, it is easy to introduce more light sterile states in the discussed scenario to relax the cosmological bounds. When putting the lower bounds on N_{eff} , we should be aware of the assumption that no other relativistic particles contribute as radiations. In cases where there are quite a mount of massless particles such as Goldstone or Majoron particles, the lower bounds on N_{eff} then do not apply and $n < 3$ will be allowed.

⁴ Note that the above constraint is only intended for non-interacting sterile neutrinos. Self-interactions may change the “free-streaming” scale, see Ref. [59] for example. Since no analysis with self-interacting sterile neutrino is available, here we only took the face value from *Planck* [49].

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have discussed a scenario that eV sterile neutrinos are partially thermalized before BBN era but equilibrated with active ones in later time. A mechanism to realize such a scenario is to introduce secret self-interactions for sterile neutrinos. The self-interactions can induce large matter potentials at high temperature, suppress the mixing angle and block the production of sterile neutrinos from oscillations. They can also lead to a rapid scattering-induced decoherent production of sterile neutrinos at later times before CMB. When flavor equilibrium between active and sterile species is approached, it surprisingly leads to a decrease of N_{eff} .

We also discussed how the conflict with cosmological neutrino mass bounds can be relaxed in this scenario. We have found that, the more light sterile species we add, the less constrained they would be. If we take the latest Planck bounds [49], $N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{cmb}} < 3.7$ and $m_\nu^{\text{eff}} < 0.52\text{eV}$, at least three sterile species are needed to evade such a constraint.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Rasmus Hansen for helpful discussions and sharing his code. This work is supported in part by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Research Grant 2012R1A2A1A01006053.

-
- [1] **LSND Collaboration**, C. Athanassopoulos et al., *Evidence for anti-muon-neutrino \rightarrow anti-electron-neutrino oscillations from the LSND experiment at LAMPF*, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](#) **77** (1996) 3082–3085 [[nucl-ex/9605003](#)].
 - [2] **LSND Collaboration**, A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., *Evidence for neutrino oscillations from the observation of anti-neutrino(electron) appearance in a anti-neutrino(muon) beam*, [Phys.Rev.](#) **D64** (2001) 112007 [[hep-ex/0104049](#)].
 - [3] G. Mention, M. Fechner, T. Lasserre, T. Mueller, D. Lhuillier, et al., *The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly*, [Phys.Rev.](#) **D83** (2011) 073006 [[arXiv:1101.2755](#)].
 - [4] J. Abdurashitov, V. Gavrin, S. Girin, V. Gorbachev, P. Gurkina, et al., *Measurement of the*

- response of a Ga solar neutrino experiment to neutrinos from an Ar-37 source, [Phys.Rev. C73](#) (2006) 045805 [[nucl-ex/0512041](#)].
- [5] **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, *Event Excess in the MiniBooNE Search for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ Oscillations*, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](#) **105** (2010) 181801 [[arXiv:1007.1150](#)].
 - [6] **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, *Improved Search for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ Oscillations in the MiniBooNE Experiment*, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](#) **110** (2013) 161801 [[arXiv:1207.4809](#)].
 - [7] J. Kopp, P. A. N. Machado, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, *Sterile Neutrino Oscillations: The Global Picture*, [JHEP](#) **1305** (2013) 050 [[arXiv:1303.3011](#)].
 - [8] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. Li, and H. Long, *Pragmatic View of Short-Baseline Neutrino Oscillations*, [Phys.Rev.](#) **D88** (2013) 073008 [[arXiv:1308.5288](#)].
 - [9] **Planck Collaboration** , P. Ade *et al.*, *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters*, [Astron.Astrophys.](#) **571** (2014) A16 [[arXiv:1303.5076](#)].
 - [10] S. Hannestad, R. S. Hansen, and T. Tram, *How Self-Interactions can Reconcile Sterile Neutrinos with Cosmology*, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](#) **112** no. 3, (2014) 031802 [[arXiv:1310.5926](#)].
 - [11] B. Dasgupta and J. Kopp, *Cosmologically Safe eV-Scale Sterile Neutrinos and Improved Dark Matter Structure*, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](#) **112** no. 3, (2014) 031803 [[arXiv:1310.6337](#)].
 - [12] T. Bringmann, J. Hasenkamp, and J. Kersten, *Tight bonds between sterile neutrinos and dark matter*, [JCAP](#) **1407** (2014) 042 [[arXiv:1312.4947](#)].
 - [13] P. Ko and Y. Tang, *$\nu\Lambda$ MDM: A Model for Sterile Neutrino and Dark Matter Reconciles Cosmological and Neutrino Oscillation Data after BICEP2*, [Phys.Lett.](#) **B739** (2014) 62–67 [[arXiv:1404.0236](#)].
 - [14] M. Archidiacono, S. Hannestad, R. S. Hansen, and T. Tram, *Cosmology with self-interacting sterile neutrinos and dark matter - A pseudoscalar model*, [[arXiv:1404.5915](#)].
 - [15] A. Mirizzi, G. Mangano, O. Pisanti, and N. Saviano, *Collisional production of sterile neutrinos via secret interactions and cosmological implications*, [[arXiv:1410.1385](#)].
 - [16] C. Boehm, M. J. Dolan, and C. McCabe, *Increasing N_{eff} with particles in thermal equilibrium with neutrinos*, [JCAP](#) **1212** (2012) 027 [[arXiv:1207.0497](#)].
 - [17] K. M. Nollett and G. Steigman, *BBN And The CMB Constrain Neutrino Coupled Light WIMPs*, [[arXiv:1411.6005](#)].
 - [18] C. M. Ho and R. J. Scherrer, *Sterile Neutrinos and Light Dark Matter Save Each Other*,

- [Phys.Rev. D87](#) no. 6, (2013) 065016 [[arXiv:1212.1689](#)].
- [19] S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, and M. Laveder, *Cosmological Invisible Decay of Light Sterile Neutrinos*, [[arXiv:1404.6160](#)].
 - [20] J. Hasenkamp, *Daughters mimic sterile neutrinos (almost!) perfectly*, [JCAP](#) **1409** no. 09, (2014) 048 [[arXiv:1405.6736](#)].
 - [21] L. A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, and B. J. Vlcek, *Reconciling BICEP2 and Planck results with right-handed Dirac neutrinos in the fundamental representation of grand unified E_6* , [JCAP](#) **1406** (2014) 042 [[arXiv:1404.1825](#)].
 - [22] G. Sigl and G. Raffelt, *General kinetic description of relativistic mixed neutrinos*, [Nucl.Phys. B406](#) (1993) 423–451.
 - [23] B. H. McKellar and M. J. Thomson, *Oscillating doublet neutrinos in the early universe*, [Phys.Rev. D49](#) (1994) 2710–2728.
 - [24] L. Stodolsky, *On the Treatment of Neutrino Oscillations in a Thermal Environment*, [Phys.Rev. D36](#) (1987) 2273.
 - [25] R. Harris and L. Stodolsky, *Two State Systems in Media and ‘Turing’s Paradox’*, [Phys.Lett. B116](#) (1982) 464.
 - [26] A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, S. Palomares-Ruiz, S. Pascoli, A. Slosar, *et al.*, *Sterile Neutrinos in Light of Recent Cosmological and Oscillation Data: A Multi-Flavor Scheme Approach*, [JCAP](#) **0901** (2009) 036 [[arXiv:0810.5133](#)].
 - [27] P. Hernandez, M. Kekic, and J. Lopez-Pavon, *Low-scale seesaw models versus N_{eff}* , [Phys.Rev. D89](#) no. 7, (2014) 073009 [[arXiv:1311.2614](#)].
 - [28] P. Hernandez, M. Kekic, and J. Lopez-Pavon, *N_{eff} in low-scale seesaw models versus the lightest neutrino mass*, [Phys.Rev. D90](#) no. 6, (2014) 065033 [[arXiv:1406.2961](#)].
 - [29] R. Foot and R. Volkas, *Studies of neutrino asymmetries generated by ordinary sterile neutrino oscillations in the early universe and implications for big bang nucleosynthesis bounds*, [Phys.Rev. D55](#) (1997) 5147–5176 [[hep-ph/9610229](#)].
 - [30] X.-D. Shi and G. M. Fuller, *A New dark matter candidate: Nonthermal sterile neutrino*, [Phys.Rev.Lett. 82](#) (1999) 2832–2835 [[astro-ph/9810076](#)].
 - [31] K. Abazajian, G. M. Fuller, and M. Patel, *Sterile neutrino hot, warm, and cold dark matter*, [Phys.Rev. D64](#) (2001) 023501 [[astro-ph/0101524](#)].
 - [32] Y.-Z. Chu and M. Cirelli, *Sterile neutrinos, lepton asymmetries, primordial elements: How*

- much of each?*, [Phys.Rev. D74](#) (2006) 085015 [[astro-ph/0608206](#)].
- [33] S. Dodelson and L. Widrow, *Sterile neutrinos as dark matter*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. 72](#) (Jan, 1994) 17–20. <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.17>.
 - [34] R. Barbieri and A. Dolgov, *Neutrino oscillations in the early universe*, [Nucl.Phys. B349](#) (1991) 743–753.
 - [35] N. F. Bell, R. R. Volkas, and Y. Y. Wong, *Relic neutrino asymmetry evolution from first principles*, [Phys.Rev. D59](#) (1999) 113001 [[hep-ph/9809363](#)].
 - [36] K. Enqvist, K. Kainulainen, and J. Maalampi, *Refraction and Oscillations of Neutrinos in the Early Universe*, [Nucl.Phys. B349](#) (1991) 754–790.
 - [37] K. Enqvist, K. Kainulainen, and M. J. Thomson, *Stringent cosmological bounds on inert neutrino mixing*, [Nucl.Phys. B373](#) (1992) 498–528.
 - [38] K. Kainulainen and A. Sorri, *Oscillation induced neutrino asymmetry growth in the early universe*, [JHEP 0202](#) (2002) 020 [[hep-ph/0112158](#)].
 - [39] T. D. Jacques, L. M. Krauss, and C. Lunardini, *Additional Light Sterile Neutrinos and Cosmology*, [Phys.Rev. D87](#) no. 8, (2013) 083515 [[arXiv:1301.3119](#)].
 - [40] M. Y. Khlopov and S. Petcov, *Possible cosmological effect of CP violation in neutrino oscillations*, [Phys.Lett. B99](#) (1981) 117.
 - [41] J. F. Cherry, A. Friedland, and I. M. Shoemaker, *Neutrino Portal Dark Matter: From Dwarf Galaxies to IceCube*, [[arXiv:1411.1071](#)].
 - [42] B. Bertoni, S. Ipek, D. McKeen, and A. E. Nelson, *Reducing cosmological small scale structure via a large dark matter-neutrino interaction: constraints and consequences*, [[arXiv:1412.3113](#)].
 - [43] S. Hannestad, I. Tamborra, and T. Tram, *Thermalisation of light sterile neutrinos in the early universe*, [JCAP 1207](#) (2012) 025 [[arXiv:1204.5861](#)].
 - [44] S. Hannestad, R. S. Hansen, and T. Tram, *Can active-sterile neutrino oscillations lead to chaotic behavior of the cosmological lepton asymmetry?*, [JCAP 1304](#) (2013) 032 [[arXiv:1302.7279](#)].
 - [45] E. K. Akhmedov, *Neutrino physics*, [[hep-ph/0001264](#)].
 - [46] L. Wolfenstein, *Neutrino Oscillations in Matter*, [Phys.Rev. D17](#) (1978) 2369–2374.
 - [47] S. Mikheev and A. Y. Smirnov, *Resonance Amplification of Oscillations in Matter and Spectroscopy of Solar Neutrinos*, [Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 42](#) (1985) 913–917.

- [48] S. Mikheev and A. Y. Smirnov, *Resonant amplification of neutrino oscillations in matter and solar neutrino spectroscopy*, [Nuovo Cim. C9](#) (1986) 17–26.
- [49] **Planck Collaboration**, P. Ade *et al.*, *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, [[arXiv:1502.01589](#)].
- [50] J. Hamann, S. Hannestad, G. G. Raffelt, and Y. Y. Wong, *Sterile neutrinos with eV masses in cosmology: How disfavoured exactly?*, [JCAP 1109](#) (2011) 034 [[arXiv:1108.4136](#)].
- [51] R. J. Wilkinson, C. Boehm, and J. Lesgourgues, *Constraining Dark Matter-Neutrino Interactions using the CMB and Large-Scale Structure*, [JCAP 1405](#) (2014) 011 [[arXiv:1401.7597](#)].
- [52] E. Giusarma, E. Di Valentino, M. Lattanzi, A. Melchiorri, and O. Mena, *Relic Neutrinos, thermal axions and cosmology in early 2014*, [Phys.Rev. D90](#) (2014) 043507 [[arXiv:1403.4852](#)].
- [53] C. Dvorkin, M. Wyman, D. H. Rudd, and W. Hu, *Neutrinos help reconcile Planck measurements with both the early and local Universe*, [Phys.Rev. D90](#) no. 8, (2014) 083503 [[arXiv:1403.8049](#)].
- [54] J.-F. Zhang, Y.-H. Li, and X. Zhang, *Sterile neutrinos help reconcile the observational results of primordial gravitational waves from Planck and BICEP2*, [Phys.Lett. B740](#) (2015) 359–363 [[arXiv:1403.7028](#)].
- [55] M. Archidiacono, N. Fornengo, S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, S. Hannestad, *et al.*, *Light sterile neutrinos after BICEP-2*, [JCAP 1406](#) (2014) 031 [[arXiv:1404.1794](#)].
- [56] B. Leistedt, H. V. Peiris, and L. Verde, *No new cosmological concordance with massive sterile neutrinos*, [Phys.Rev.Lett. 113](#) (2014) 041301 [[arXiv:1404.5950](#)].
- [57] S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, and M. Laveder, *Light Sterile Neutrinos and Inflationary Freedom*, [[arXiv:1412.7405](#)].
- [58] F.-Y. Cyr-Racine and K. Sigurdson, *Limits on Neutrino-Neutrino Scattering in the Early Universe*, [[arXiv:1306.1536](#)].
- [59] X. Chu, B. Dasgupta, and J. Kopp, *Sterile Neutrinos with Secret Interactions - Lasting Friendship with Cosmology*, [[arXiv:1505.02795](#)].