

A modular invariance property of multivariable trace functions for regular vertex operator algebras

Matthew Krauel*

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne, Germany

and

Masahiko Miyamoto†

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Japan

Abstract

We prove an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance property of multivariable trace functions on modules for a regular VOA. Applying this result, we provide a proof of the inversion transformation formula for Siegel theta series. As another application, we show that if V is a simple regular VOA containing a simple regular subVOA U whose commutant U^c is simple, regular, and satisfies $(U^c)^c = U$, then all simple U -modules appear in some simple V -module.

1 Introduction

The concept of a vertex operator algebra (VOA) was introduced by Borcherds [2] to explain a mysterious relation between the Monster simple group and the elliptic modular function $J(\tau)$. In the years since, this connection has been elucidated further and generalized to encompass a wide class of VOAs and elliptic modular forms. In the heart of this developing theory reside trace functions over modules of endomorphisms associated with the VOA. In particular, these functions include an operator formed from a matching of a distinguished element from the VOA, and a single variable in the complex upper half-plane. Meanwhile, the element resulting from this pairing resides in a one-dimensional Jordan subalgebra of the VOA, and begs the question whether trace functions exist which instead incorporate elements from larger Jordan subalgebras. The primary aim of this paper is to study such multivariable trace functions and establish functional equations for them with respect to the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

*e-mail: matthew.krauel@gmail.com. Supported by the Japan Society of the Promotion of Science (JSPS), No. P13013, and the European Research Council (ERC) Grant agreement n. 335220 - AQSER.

†e-mail: miyamoto@math.tsukuba.ac.jp. Partially supported by the Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research, No. 22654002, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

The development of these equations utilizes a seminal result of Zhu [12], which establishes that the space of trace functions on simple modules of a regular VOA is invariant under the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, Zhu shows that the action of an element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on a single-variable trace function on a simple module is a linear combination of the trace functions for all simple modules of the VOA with coefficients dependent on the representation of the element in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. As we lift Zhu's theory to the multivariable case below, we find that we recover these same coefficients. Using Verlinde's formula, we exploit this fact to show that every simple module of a regular subVOA whose commutant satisfies certain conditions is contained in a simple module of the VOA (see Theorem 2 below).

Beyond considering such regular subVOAs and their commutants, a number of important classes of VOAs are known to contain appropriate Jordan subalgebras and fit the framework presented here to construct multivariable trace functions. We discuss some of these below and look more closely at an application to lattice VOAs, where we formulate another proof of the transformation properties for Siegel theta functions. To explain our results in more detail, we first review the relevant theory and notation pertaining to VOAs.

A VOA is a quadruple $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbf{1}, \omega)$, which we simply denote by V , consisting of a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, a linear map $Y(\cdot, z) : V \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)[[z^{-1}, z]]$, and two notable elements $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ and $\omega \in V_2$ called the Vacuum and Virasoro elements, respectively. We say v has weight n if $v \in V_n$ and denote the weight of v by $\mathrm{wt}(v)$ if it is not specified. An image $Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}$ of $v \in V$ is called a vertex operator of v , and it can be shown that $v_{\mathrm{wt}(v)-1}$ is a weight-preserving operator for a homogeneous element v . We denote this unique operator by $o(v)$ and extend it linearly. Meanwhile, the operators $L(n)$ defined by $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ satisfy a Virasoro algebra bracket relation

$$[L(n), L(m)] = (n - m)L(n + m) + \delta_{n+m,0} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} c$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, called the central charge of V . The eigenvalues of $L(0)$ provide the weights on V , that is, $V_n = \{v \in V \mid L(0)v = nv\}$.

In this paper, we assume that V is a regular VOA of CFT-type (i.e. C_2 -cofinite, rational, and \mathbb{N} -graded with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$) of central charge c . A number of important consequences can be drawn from these assumptions. For one, such a V has only finitely many isomorphism classes of simple V -modules $\{W^1, \dots, W^r\}$, and all of them are \mathbb{N} -gradable. We refer the reader to [5] for a further discussion on the definition of regular VOAs and implications of this definition on the structure of V -modules. Another consequence of a regular VOA which stems from the CFT-type assumption, is a refinement on the classification of symmetric invariant bilinear forms on V . Indeed, in [9] it is shown that the space of bilinear forms for such a VOA is isomorphic to the dual of $(V_0/L(1)V_1)$. Therefore, if $L(1)V_1 = 0$, the choice of an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \alpha$ uniquely defines a bilinear form on V . If α is chosen to be -1 , then for any $a, b \in V_1$ we have $a_1 b = \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{1}$. See [9] for details and proofs concerning bilinear forms on V .

Additionally, for a regular VOA V we may invoke Zhu's [12] (see also [4]) modular-invariance results for single-variable trace functions mentioned above. Specifically, Zhu defines a formal trace function $\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}_{W^\ell}(* : \tau)$ on W^ℓ by

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}_{W^\ell}(v : \tau) := \mathrm{Tr}_{W^\ell} o(v) e^{2\pi i \tau (L(0) - c/24)}, \quad (1.1)$$

and proves that these functions are well-defined as analytic functions on the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$. He then shows that for each $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ there exists a complex matrix (A_{ij}^γ) such that

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} \left(v : \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^{\text{wt}[v]} \sum_{k=1}^r A_{\ell k}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^k}(v : \tau) \quad (1.2)$$

for any $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\text{wt}[\cdot]$ -homogeneous element $v \in V$. Here $\text{wt}[\cdot]$ is the weight given by the Virasoro element $\tilde{\omega}$ of the coordinate transformation VOA structure $(V, Y[\cdot], \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\omega})$ on V , which is given by setting

$$Y[v, z] = Y(v, e^{2\pi iz} - 1) e^{2\pi iz \text{wt}(v)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v[n] z^{-n-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = (2\pi i)^2 \left(\omega - \frac{c}{24} \mathbf{1} \right) \in V_{[2]}.$$

We note that the action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathcal{H} is generated by an inversion $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \tau \rightarrow \frac{-1}{\tau}$ and a parallel translation $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$. The invariance property of trace functions for T follows easily from the structure of simple modules. Meanwhile, the matrix (A_{ij}^S) in (1.2) produced by the matrix S contains interesting and exploitable information about V and its modules. It is often called the S -matrix of V and is denoted by (s_{ij}) rather than (A_{ij}^S) .

As alluded to above, our motivation stems from observing that the power of e in (1.1), i.e. $2\pi i \tau(L(0) - c/24)$, is the grade-preserving operator $o(\tau \tilde{\omega}/2\pi i)$ of an element in a one-dimensional Jordan subalgebra $\mathbb{C} \tilde{\omega}$ of $V_{[2]}$. We therefore treat cases where $V_{[2]}$ (and also V_2) contain a larger Jordan subalgebra \mathcal{G} . Then, for $u \in \mathcal{G}$ and $v \in V$, we define a multivariable formal trace function $\text{Tr}_{W^\ell} o(v) e^{o(u)/2\pi i}$ and establish a new $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance property for these functions.

The first case we consider is that of an associative Jordan subalgebra. Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a regular VOA and $\omega = e^1 + \dots + e^g$, where e^j are conformal vectors that are mutually orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form discussed above. Here, an element $e \in V_2$ is called a conformal vector if e is a Virasoro element of the subVOA generated by e , which we denote $\text{VOA}(e)$. In this case, $\bigoplus_{j=1}^g \mathbb{C} e^j$ is an associative Jordan subalgebra of V_2 . Set $\tilde{e}^j = (2\pi i)^2 (e^j - \frac{c_j}{24} \mathbf{1})$, where c_j is the central charge of e^j . Under this setting, for a grade-preserving operator α and $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) \in \mathcal{H}^g$, we define a multivariable formal trace function by

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(\alpha : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) := \text{Tr}_{W^h} \alpha e^{o(\sum_{j=1}^g \tau_j \tilde{e}^j / 2\pi i)}. \quad (1.3)$$

If an element $u \in V$ is homogeneous with respect to the grading induced by the operator $L_j[0] := \tilde{e}^j[1]$, we denote its weight under this operator by $\text{wt}_j[u]$. We say an element of V is multi- $\prod \text{wt}_j[\cdot]$ -homogeneous if it is homogeneous with respect to $L_j[0]$ for all j . For a multi- $\prod \text{wt}_j[\cdot]$ -homogeneous element $w \in V$, let $\bigotimes_{j=1}^g \text{VOA}(e^j)w$ denote the $\bigotimes_{j=1}^g \text{VOA}(e^j)$ -submodule generated by w . Then we have the following theorem, which is proved in Section 2.

Theorem 1 *Let V be a regular VOA and $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^g e^j$ be a decomposition of the Virasoro element ω by mutually orthogonal conformal vectors e^j . Let $w \in V$ be a multi- $\prod \text{wt}_j$ -homogeneous element and assume the functions $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ are well-defined as analytic functions on \mathcal{H}^g for $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^g \text{VOA}(e^j)w$. Then*

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^e} \left(o(v) : \frac{a\tau_1 + b}{c\tau_1 + d}, \dots, \frac{a\tau_g + b}{c\tau_g + d} \right) = \prod_{p=1}^g (c\tau_p + d)^{\text{wt}_p[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$$

for $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) \in \mathcal{H}^g$, where (A_{ij}^γ) is the matrix given in (1.2). Additionally, if $e^j(n)w = 0$ for $n > 1$, $1 \leq j \leq g$, and the functions $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(w) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ are well-defined as analytic functions on \mathcal{H}^g , then so are the functions $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ for any $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^g \text{VOA}(e^j)w$.

One important instance when ω decomposes as stated in the previous theorem is when V contains a simple, regular subVOA $U = (U, Y, \mathbf{1}, e)$, and we additionally consider the commutant of U in V given by $U^c = (U^c = \text{Com}_V(U), Y, \mathbf{1}, f = \omega - e)$, where $\text{Com}_V(U) := \{v \in V \mid u_n v = 0 \text{ for } u \in U, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. As an application of Theorem 1, we prove the following theorem in Section 3.

Theorem 2 *Let V be a simple regular VOA and U a simple regular subVOA of V . Suppose also that the commutant U^c of U is simple, regular, and satisfies $(U^c)^c = U$, and that U , U^c , and V are all of CFT-type and self-dual. Then all simple U -modules appear in some simple V -module.*

In the second case, we consider a Jordan algebra of type B_g . That is, a Jordan algebra isomorphic to the space $\mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all symmetric complex matrices of degree g . More specifically, we have V_2 contains a Griess subalgebra $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq g} \mathbb{C}\omega^{ij}$ and there exists an algebra isomorphism $\mu : \mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\mu(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) = 2\omega^{ij}$ ($= \omega^{ij} + \omega^{ji}$) and $\mu(I_g) = \omega$, where E_{ij} denotes an elementary matrix which has 1 in the (i, j) -entry and zeros elsewhere. Here we call a subalgebra \mathcal{G} of (V_2, \times_1) a Griess subalgebra if $v_2 u = 0$ for $v, u \in \mathcal{G}$, where a 1-product $u \times_1 v$ is given by $u_1 v$. Such a subalgebra is commutative, but not necessarily associative. We note that the product (V_2, \times_1) is a \mathbb{C} -algebra. If $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = 0$, then V_2 becomes a Griess (sub)algebra of V (see, for example, [1]). Such Griess algebra structures are generalizations of the original Griess algebra, which is the commutative non-associative algebra on a real vector space of dimension 19886 whose automorphism group is the Monster group. Trace functions of many variables associated with Griess algebras additionally appear in [11]. We also mention that in our setting we have $u_3 v$ is the bilinear form discussed above, that is, $u_3 v = \langle u, v \rangle \mathbf{1}$.

In this situation, for $A = (\tau_{ij}) \in \mathcal{H}_g$ we define a multivariable trace function

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^e}(o(v) : A) = \text{Tr}_{W^e} o(v) e^{o(2\pi i(\mu(A) - \frac{\text{tr}(A)c}{24g}))}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_g = \{X + Yi \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{R}), Y \text{ is positive definite}\}$ is the Siegel upper half-space. The action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle T, S \rangle$ on \mathcal{H}_g is given by $T(Z) = Z + E_g$ and $S(Z) = -Z^{-1}$ for $Z \in \mathcal{H}_g$, where E_g is the $g \times g$ identity matrix. Our next result, which is found in Section 4, establishes the invariance for a Siegel-type inversion.

Theorem 3 *Suppose $\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}_{W^j}(o(\mathbf{1}) : A)$ is a well-defined analytic function on \mathcal{H}_g for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}_{W^j}(o(\mathbf{1}) : -A^{-1}) = \sum_{h=1}^r s_{jh} \widehat{\mathrm{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(\mathbf{1}) : A),$$

where (s_{jh}) is the S -matrix given for $\gamma = S$ in (1.2). ■

There are many known VOAs containing a Jordan algebra of type B_g . For example, a VOA $M(1)^{\otimes g}$ of free boson type constructed from a g -dimensional vector space \mathbb{C}^g and its fixed point subVOA $(M(1)^{\otimes g})^+$ by an automorphism -1 on \mathbb{C}^g contain a Griess subalgebra isomorphic to a Jordan algebra of type B_g (that is, a Jordan algebra consisting of all symmetric complex matrices of degree g). The famous moonshine VOA V^\natural also contains a Griess subalgebra isomorphic to a Jordan algebra of type B_{24} . Moreover, V^\natural has only one simple module and its S -matrix is $(s_{ij}) = I_1$. We also note that the second author and Ashihara have shown in [1] that for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a VOA $AM(g, c)$ with central charge c whose Griess algebra is a Jordan algebra of type B_g .

We conclude this paper with Section 5, where we apply the above results to prove the inversion transformation property and convergence for ordinary Siegel theta series. See Proposition 8 below for a detailed statement of this result.

2 Preliminaries and simultaneous transformations

We first recall the following notation and results from [12]. Since we will treat power series of $e^{2\pi i\tau_j}$ for various $\tau_j \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote the q -power expansion of Eisenstein series $G_{2k}(\tau)$ by $\tilde{G}_{2k}(\tau)$, where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Namely,

$$\tilde{G}_{2k}(\tau) = 2\zeta(2k) + \frac{2(2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n. \quad (2.1)$$

Under the the action of a matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, these transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_2\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d)^2 \tilde{G}_2(\tau) - 2\pi ic(c\tau+d) \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{G}_{2k}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d)^{2k} \tilde{G}_{2k}(\tau) \quad \text{for } k > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

One of the most important results in [12] is the following, which we will often use.

Lemma 4 For any VOA V and any $L(0)$ -gradable module M whose grading is bounded below, we have

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(a[0]b) : \tau) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(a)o(b) : \tau) = \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(a[-1]b) : \tau) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(a[2k-1]b) : \tau). \quad (2.4)$$

as formal complex power series of $e^{2\pi i\tau}$ for $a, b \in V$.

In this section, we let $V = (V, Y(*, z), \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a regular VOA of CFT-type and assume that V has a set $\{e^1, \dots, e^g\}$ of mutually orthogonal conformal vectors such that $\omega = e^1 + \dots + e^g$. Then \widetilde{e}^j are mutually orthogonal conformal vectors of the coordinate transformation VOA $(V, Y[*], z, \mathbf{1}, \widetilde{\omega})$ and $\widetilde{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^g \widetilde{e}^j$, where c_j is the corresponding central charges of e^j and $\widetilde{e}^j = (2\pi i)^2 (e^j - \frac{c_j}{24}\mathbf{1})$. Let M be a V -module and recall the multivariable functions (1.3). Clearly, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(\widetilde{e}^j)o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g). \quad (2.5)$$

Since e^j are mutually orthogonal, $[o(\widetilde{e}^j), o(\widetilde{e}^h)] = 0$ and so we have the commutativity of partial differentials,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_h} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g), \quad (2.6)$$

for any j and h . We also note that

$$\lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(v) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) = \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(v : \tau).$$

Using arguments as in [10], we obtain the following result.

Lemma 5 We have

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(e^j[0]b) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(e^j)o(b) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) &= \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(e^j[-1]b) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_j) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(e^j[2k-1]b) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

[Proof] For any $b \in V$, $o_k(b) := b_{\text{wt}(b)-1-k}$ and b_m are given by the vertex operator $Y^M(b, z)$ of V on M . We note that all of the forthcoming actions are given by Y^M of V on M . For formal variables z_1 and z_2 we consider functions F_M defined by

$$F_M((v^1, z_1), (v^2, z_2), q_1, \dots, q_g) = \text{Tr}_M z_1^{\text{wt}(v^1)} z_2^{\text{wt}(v^2)} Y^M(v^1, z_1) Y^M(v^2, z_2) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)},$$

where $q_j = e^{2\pi i \tau_j}$. See [10] for more about such functions as well as many of the ideas we will use here (though note that our notation $o_k(b)$ equals $o_{-k}(b)$ there). In fact, since $o(e^1)$ commutes with each $o(e^r)$ for $1 \leq r \leq g$, we obtain (2.7) just as in Proposition 3.1 of [10].

The key point in establishing (2.8) is that $o_k(e^1)$ commutes with $o(e^r)$ for $r \geq 2$ and $[o_k(e^1), o(e^1)] = -k o_k(e^1)$. Recall our Virasoro notation, $L_j(n) := e_{n+1}^j$, which implies $o_k(e^1) = L_1(-k)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_M o_k(e^1) o_{-k}(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} \\ &= \text{Tr}_M [o_k(e^1), o_{-k}(b)] q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} + \text{Tr}_M o_{-k}(b) o_k(e^1) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} \\ &= \text{Tr}_M [e_{1-k}^1, b_{\text{wt}(b)-1+k}] q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} + \text{Tr}_M o_{-k}(b) q_1^{o(e^1)-k} q_2^{o(e^2)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} o_k(e^1) \\ &= \text{Tr}_M \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{1-k}{j} o(e_j^1 b) \right) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} + \text{Tr}_M o_k(e^1) o_{-k}(b) q_1^{o(e^1)-k} q_2^{o(e^2)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we find

$$(1 - q_1^{-k}) \text{Tr}_M o_k(e^1) o_{-k}(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} = \text{Tr}_M \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{1-k}{j} o(e_j^1 b) \right) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)},$$

and for $k \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_M \left\{ o_k(e^1) o_{-k}(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \right\} q_2^{o(e^2)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} \\ &= \text{Tr}_M \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - q_1^{-k}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{1-k}{j} o(e_j^1 b) \right) q_1^{o(e^1)} \right\} q_2^{o(e^2)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Again, the important fact is that $o_k(e^1)$ commutes with $o(e^r)$ ($r \neq 1$) so that only q_1^{-k} arises. We note that the expression contained in $\{\dots\}$ of (2.9) is the same as in Proposition 3.2 of [10] by viewing $q_1^{o(e^1)}$ as $q^{L(0)}$. Therefore, by the same argument found there, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_M((e^1, x), (b, z), q_1, \dots, q_g) \\ &= \text{Tr}_M o(e^1) o(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m+1} \left(\frac{z}{x}, q \right) \text{Tr}_M o(e^1 [m] b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & F_M((b, z), (e^1, x), q_1, \dots, q_g) \\ &= \text{Tr}_M o(e^1) o(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ P_{m+1} \left(\frac{zq}{x}, q \right) - \delta_{m,0} \right\} \text{Tr}_M o(e^1 [m] b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $P_k(z, \tau)$ are defined in [10] and are also the similarly denoted functions in [12] multiplied by $(2\pi i)^{-k}$. By using the associativity of endomorphisms for Y^M , we find

$$\begin{aligned} o(e_k^1 b) &= (e_k^1 b)_{\text{wt}(b)-k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \{ e_{k-j}^1 b_{\text{wt}(b)-k+j} - (-1)^k b_{\text{wt}(b)-j} e_j^1 \} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \{ o_{-k+j+1}(e^1) o_{k-j-1}(b) - (-1)^k o_{j-1}(b) o_{-j+1}(e^1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $q^{L(0)}$ in Equation (3.7) of Proposition 3.3 in [10] by $q_1^{o(e^1)}$ and multiplying by $q_2^{o(e^2)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)}$, we obtain a similar result. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_M o(e^1) o(b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} \\ &= \text{Tr}_M o(e^1[-1]b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1) \text{Tr}_M o(e^1[2k-1]b) q_1^{o(e^1)} \dots q_g^{o(e^g)}, \end{aligned}$$

giving (2.8). ■

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.

[Proof of Theorem 1] In this proof, $L_k[m]$ denotes $\widetilde{e}^k[m+1]$. To simplify the notation, we will write the proof for the case $g = 2$, but there is no difference for $g \geq 3$.

We first prove the statement that $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is a well-defined analytic function on \mathcal{H}^2 , so long as $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(w) : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ is, and $L_j(n)w = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, $j = 1, 2$. We do so by induction on $\text{wt}_1[v] + \text{wt}_2[v]$, after assuming this is true for the base case $v = w$. More generally, any $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^2 \text{VOA}(e^j)w$ is of the form $v = \otimes_{j=1}^2 L_j[-m_{1_j}] \dots L_j[-m_{d_j}]w$ for $m_{i_j} \geq 1$. Since $L_j[-n]$ is generated by $L_j[-1]$ and $L_j[-2]$, we may take $m_{i_j} = 1, 2$. Moreover, by (2.7) we may assume $m_{1_j} = 2$. Since $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(\otimes_{j=1}^2 L_j[-m_{1_j}] L_j[-m_{2_j}] \dots L_j[-m_{d_j}]w) : \tau_1, \tau_2)$, where $L_j[\widehat{-m_{1_j}}]$ denotes the omission of one or both of these terms, is analytic by our induction hypothesis, then (2.5) and (2.8) imply $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is also analytic.

We now turn to proving the functional equation. Set $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We will prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} \left(o(v) : \frac{a\tau_1 + b}{c\tau_1 + d}, \frac{a\tau_2 + b}{c\tau_2 + d} \right) \\ &= (c\tau_1 + d)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} (c\tau_2 + d)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For ease of notation, we set $\gamma\tau_i := \frac{a\tau_i + b}{c\tau_i + d}$ and $j(\gamma, \tau_i) := (c\tau_i + d)$. To begin, we consider $(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\tau, \tau)$ as a base point. Since $\lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell}(o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) = \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell}(v : \tau)$, Zhu's theorem (cf. (1.2)) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell}(o(v) : \gamma\tau_1, \gamma\tau_2) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Namely, (2.10) is true for the base point (τ, τ) . We will next show that higher order partial derivatives on both sides of (2.11) by τ_1 and τ_2 still coincide with each other when evaluated at (τ, τ) . This in turn implies the Taylor series expansions about (τ, τ) of the analytic left and right hand sides of (2.10) are equal on a neighborhood about (τ, τ) , and thus on all of \mathcal{H}^2 .

To simplify the arguments, we will prove the equality for higher order partial derivatives

by τ_1 . Namely, we will prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \tau_1^p} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \tau_1^p} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v), \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^2 \text{VOA}(e^j)w$ by induction. For the combinations with $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2}$, we can prove the assertion by using (2.5), (2.6), and (2.8). We note that (2.12) is true for $p = 0$, and we next assume that it holds for all $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^2 \text{VOA}(e^j)w$ and $p \leq m$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(L_1[-2]v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and more generally

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2-2k} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

for any $k \geq 0$. Furthermore, applying the Leibniz rule for higher order derivations on the product of $(c\tau_1 + d)^n \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1)$ with the left and right hand sides of (2.14), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[(c\tau_1 + d)^n \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2-2k} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[(c\tau_1 + d)^n \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for any $k, n \geq 0$, where we set $\widetilde{G}_0(\tau_1) := 1$.

Since $L_1[-2] = \widetilde{e}^1[-1]$, (2.8) and direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (2.13)} &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(\widetilde{e}^1) o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\ & \quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widetilde{G}_2(\gamma \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\ & \quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\gamma \tau_1) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(\tilde{e}^1) o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] &= \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} 2\pi i \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial(\gamma \tau_1)} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&= 2\pi i \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \right] \\
&= 2\pi i \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[4\pi i c j(\gamma, \tau_1) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (2.13)} &= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} 2\pi i \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad - \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[4\pi i c j(\gamma, \tau_1) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\tilde{G}_2(\gamma \tau_1) \text{wt}_1[v] \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_{2k}(\gamma \tau_1) \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.5) and (2.8) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{LHS of (2.13)} \\
&= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(\tilde{e}^1) o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \tilde{G}_2(\tau_1) \text{wt}_1[v] \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1) \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} 2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} \left[\sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \tilde{G}_2(\tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \text{wt}_1[v] \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{2k} \tilde{G}_{2k}(\tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2-2k} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2\pi i \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad - 2\pi i \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[c(\text{wt}_1[v] + 2) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+1} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\left(\widetilde{G}_2(\gamma \tau_1) + 2\pi i c j(\gamma, \tau_1) \right) \text{wt}_1[v] j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\gamma \tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2-2k} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&= 2\pi i \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad - \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[4\pi i c j(\gamma, \tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\widetilde{G}_2(\gamma \tau_1) \text{wt}_1[v] j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \tau_1^m} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\gamma \tau_1) j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]+2-2k} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(L_1[2k-2]v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we also used the transformations (2.2).

Equating our calculations for the left and right hand sides of (2.13) and using (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad = \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Meanwhile, using our induction hypothesis (2.12) for $0 \leq p \leq m$, together with the higher

order Leibniz rule, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad - \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^\ell} (o(v) : \gamma \tau_1, \gamma \tau_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\forall \tau_i \rightarrow \tau} j(\gamma, \tau_1)^2 \frac{\partial^{m+1}}{\partial \tau_1^{m+1}} \left[j(\gamma, \tau_1)^{\text{wt}_1[v]} j(\gamma, \tau_2)^{\text{wt}_2[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r A_{\ell h}^\gamma \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h} (o(v) : \tau_1, \tau_2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.16) produces the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \blacksquare

3 Commutant decomposition

In this section we prove Theorem 2.

[Proof of Theorem 2] Set $W = U^c$. Let $\{V = V^1, \dots, V^p\}$ denote the set of simple V -modules and $\{U = U^1, \dots, U^g, \dots, U^s\}$ and $\{W = W^1, \dots, W^h, \dots, W^t\}$ be the sets of simple U -modules and simple W -modules, respectively, where the sets $\{U^1, \dots, U^g\}$ and $\{W^1, \dots, W^h\}$ denote the modules which appear in some simple V -module. We will prove the theorem by contradiction, and assume that $s > g$.

Set $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and let $S = (s_{ij})$, S^U , and S^W denote the matrices (A_{ij}^σ) given in (1.2) of the trace functions on V -modules, U -modules, and W -modules, respectively. Moreover, let $M_{r,s}(F)$ denote the set of $r \times s$ -matrices with entries in F .

Viewing V^k as a $U \otimes W$ -module, we have the existence of a matrix $R^k = (r_{ij}^k) \in M_{s,t}(\mathbb{N})$ such that V^k decomposes as

$$V^k = \bigoplus_{i,j} r_{ij}^k (U^i \otimes W^j),$$

where $r_{ij}^k (U^i \otimes W^j)$ denotes a direct product of r_{ij}^k copies of $U^i \otimes W^j$. By the transformation property of Theorem 1, we have

$$(S^U)R^k({}^t S^W) = \sum_{i=1}^p s_{ki} R^i. \quad (3.1)$$

For variables x_1, \dots, x_p , let \underline{x} denote the set of variables $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$. Set $R(\underline{x}) = R(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \sum_{i=1}^p x_i R^i$, and view $R(\underline{x})$ as a matrix over $K := \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$. Then replacing R^k in (3.1) with $R(\underline{x})$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(S^U)R(\underline{x})({}^t S^W) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^p x_\ell [(S^U)R^\ell({}^t S^W)] = \sum_{\ell=1}^p x_\ell \left(\sum_{i=1}^p s_{\ell i} R^i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^p \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^p s_{\ell i} x_\ell \right) R^i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^p \widehat{x}_i R^i = R(\widehat{\underline{x}}), \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $\hat{x}_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^p s_{\ell j} x_\ell$. Moreover, we extend the transformation $(s_{ij}): x_j \rightarrow \sum_{\ell=1}^p s_{\ell j} x_\ell = \hat{x}_j$ to a \mathbb{C} -automorphism ϕ of K . We also let \underline{x}^ϕ denote the application of ϕ on each x_i . For example,

$$R(\underline{x}^\phi) = R(\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_p)) = R\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^p s_{\ell 1} x_\ell, \dots, \sum_{\ell=1}^p s_{\ell p} x_\ell\right).$$

We can then rewrite (3.2) as

$$(S^U)R(\underline{x}) = R(\underline{x}^\phi)({}^tS^W)^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from the assumption $s > g$ that $r_{sj}^k = 0$ for all j, k . Hence, the s -th row of $R(\underline{x})$ is zero, as is the s -th row of $R(\underline{x}^\phi)$. Therefore the s -th row of $R(\underline{x}^\phi)({}^tS^W)^{-1}$ on the right hand side of (3.3) is zero, and thus so is the s -th row of $(S^U)R(\underline{x})$. In particular, the $(s, 1)$ -entry of $(S^U)R(\underline{x})$ is zero. Explicitly, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^s S_{sj}^U R(\underline{x})_{j1} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where S_{ij}^U and $R(\underline{x})_{ij}$ denote the (i, j) -entries of the matrices S^U and $R(\underline{x})$, respectively. Meanwhile, S_{s1}^U is nonzero by the Verlinde formula. Additionally, since $W = U^c$ and $U = W^c$, we have $r_{j1}^1 = 0$ for $j > 1$. This implies $R(\underline{x})_{j1} \in \mathbb{N}[x_2, \dots, x_p]$ for $j > 1$. Finally, noting that $r_{11}^1 = 1$, we have $R(\underline{x})_{11} \in x_1 + \mathbb{N}[x_2, \dots, x_p]$. It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s S_{sj}^U R(\underline{x})_{j1} \in S_{s1}^U x_1 + \mathbb{C}[x_2, \dots, x_p],$$

which cannot equal zero. This contradicts (3.4), and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

4 Jordan algebra of type B_g

Let $\mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of symmetric matrices of degree g and \mathcal{H}_g be the Siegel upper half-space $\{X + Yi \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{R}), Y \text{ is positive definite}\}$. We note that $\mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C})$ is a Jordan algebra of type B_g .

In this section, we assume that there is a Griess subalgebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq V_2$ isomorphic to a Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C})$ such that the identity matrix corresponds to ω , and a primitive idempotent corresponds to a conformal element with a central charge c/g . We denote its ring isomorphism by $\mu: \mathfrak{S}_g(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

For $A \in \text{Mat}_g(\mathbb{C})$, let A^{ss} and A^{nil} denote the semisimple and nilpotent parts, respectively. We note that A^{ss} is also a symmetric matrix.

Lemma 6 *If $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$, then the eigenvalues of A^{ss} are all in \mathcal{H} and there is a complex orthogonal matrix R such that $R^{-1}A^{ss}R$ is a diagonal matrix.*

[Proof] We define an inner product (u, v) by ${}^t uv$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^g$, and view A as an endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^g . If an eigenvalue λ of A is not in \mathcal{H} , then $A - \lambda I_g$ is again in \mathcal{H}_g and thus nonsingular. However, the determinant $\det(A - \lambda I_g)$ is zero, which is a contradiction. This proves the claim on the eigenvalues, and we now assume A is semisimple. If $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^g$ are eigenvectors with different eigenvalues, say λ and μ , respectively, then since $\lambda {}^t uv = {}^t u Av = \mu {}^t uv$, we have ${}^t uv = 0$. Therefore, \mathbb{C}^g is an orthogonal sum of eigenspaces as desired. \blacksquare

We also note that

$$\mathcal{H}_g^{ss} = \{R^{-1}DR \in \mathcal{H}_g \mid R \in O_g(\mathbb{C}), D \text{ is diagonal}\}$$

is a dense subset of \mathcal{H}_g . Recall that every idempotent in \mathcal{G} is a conformal vector. Therefore, for each $A \in \mathcal{H}_g^{ss}$ there are mutually orthogonal conformal vectors e^1, \dots, e^g with central charges c_1, \dots, c_g , respectively, and scalars τ_1, \dots, τ_g such that $\sum_{j=1}^g e^j = \omega$ and $\mu(A) = \tau_1 e^1 + \dots + \tau_g e^g$. We again note that $\tau_i \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\{\tilde{e}^j = (2\pi i)^2(e^j - \frac{c_j}{24}\mathbf{1}) \mid j = 1, \dots, g\}$ are mutually orthogonal conformal vectors for $(V, Y[\cdot, \cdot], \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\omega})$. Let $\text{wt}_j^A[\cdot]$ denote the weight given by \tilde{e}^j . Clearly, $\mu(-A^{-1}) = \frac{-1}{\tau_1}e^1 + \dots + \frac{-1}{\tau_g}e^g$ for $A \in \mathcal{H}_g^{ss}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, we have the following result.

Lemma 7 *Let $A \in \mathcal{H}_g^{ss}$ and $w \in V$ be a multi- $\text{wt}_i^A[\cdot]$ -homogeneous element. If $\widehat{\text{Tr}}(w : A)$ is an analytic function on \mathcal{H}_g , then for any $v \in \otimes_{j=1}^g \text{VOA}(e^j)w$, we have*

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^j}(o(v) : -A^{-1}) = \prod_{p=1}^g (\tau_p)^{\text{wt}_p[v]} \sum_{h=1}^r s_{jh} \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(v) : A).$$

Since $\text{wt}_j^A[\mathbf{1}]$ is zero for all $A \in \mathcal{H}_g^{ss}$, Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7 for such A . Meanwhile, because \mathcal{H}_g^{ss} is a dense set of \mathcal{H}_g and $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^j}(o(\mathbf{1}), A)$ and $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^j}(o(\mathbf{1}), -A^{-1})$ are analytic for all $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$, Theorem 3 holds as claimed. \blacksquare

5 Applications

As a corollary to Theorem 3, we will recover the inversion transformation formula of matrices $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$ for Siegel theta series. To do so we must introduce the lattice VOA V_L for an even positive lattice L of rank g .

We begin by first defining the VOA $M(1)$ of free boson type. Viewing $\mathbb{C}L$ as a g -dimensional vector space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we consider an affine Lie algebra

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}L} := \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^g \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}a_j(n) \right) \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

where $\{a_j \mid j = 1, \dots, g\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}L$ and the Lie products are given by $[a(n), b(m)] = \delta_{n+m,0}n\langle a, b \rangle$. We note $\widehat{\mathbb{C}L}$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis. Clearly, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}L}_{\geq 0} := (\oplus_{j=1}^g \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}a_j(n)) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is a subring. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}L$, we define a one-dimensional $\widehat{\mathbb{C}L}_{\geq 0}$ -module $\mathbb{C}e^\alpha$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(n)e^\alpha &= 0 \text{ for } n > 0, \text{ and} \\ a(0)e^\alpha &= \langle a, \alpha \rangle e^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We also consider the induced module

$$M^g(1)e^\alpha := U(\widehat{\mathbb{C}L}) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathbb{C}L}_{\geq 0})} \mathbb{C}e^\alpha,$$

where $U(R)$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of R . Among these modules, $M^g(1)e^0$ has a VOA structure of central charge g which we denote by $M^g(1)$. Furthermore, $M^g(1)e^\alpha$ is an $M^g(1)$ -module for each α . Often $M^g(1)$ is called the VOA of g bosons. Then

$$V_L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} M^g(1)e^\alpha$$

becomes a VOA of central charge g , which is called a lattice VOA. (See [7] for more details on lattice VOAs.) We note that $\mathbf{1} := 1 \otimes e^0$ and $\omega := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^g a_i(-1)a_i(-1)\mathbf{1}$ are the Vacuum and Virasoro elements, respectively, of both V_L and $M^g(1)$.

It is known that V_L is a regular VOA, and its simple modules are given by $V_{L+\beta} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} M^g(1)e^{\alpha+\beta}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}L$ with $\langle \beta, L \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ (see [3]). We will use the vertex operators

$$\begin{aligned} Y(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)z^{-n-1} \quad \text{and} \\ Y(a(-1)b(-1)\mathbf{1}, z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a(-1-n)b(m+n) + b(-1+m-n)a(n) \right) z^{-m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.1), we have $o(a(-1)b(-1)\mathbf{1})e^\beta = \langle a, \beta \rangle \langle b, \beta \rangle e^\beta$ and $\text{wt}(a(-i_k) \cdots a(-i_1)e^\alpha) = i_1 + \cdots + i_k + \frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{2}$. Therefore, the character $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{M^g(1)}(o(\mathbf{1}) : \tau)$ of $M^g(1)$ is $1/\eta(\tau)^g$ and the character of V_L is $\theta_L(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^g$, where $\theta_L(\tau)$ is the theta series associated to the lattice L and $\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ is the eta-function, where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

By using an orthonormal basis $\{a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, g\}$ of $\mathbb{R}L$, we define $\omega^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}a_i(-1)a_j(-1)\mathbf{1}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, g$. We note $\omega^{ij} = \omega^{ji}$, $\{\omega^{ii} \mid i = 1, \dots, g\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal conformal vectors of central charge 1, and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^g \omega^{ii}$ is a Virasoro element of $M^g(1)$.

From the construction, $M^g(1)$ has an automorphism σ induced from -1 on $\mathbb{C}L$, that is, $\sigma(a_{j_k}(-i_k) \cdots a_{j_1}(-i_1)\mathbf{1}) = (-1)^k a_{j_k}(-i_k) \cdots a_{j_1}(-i_1)\mathbf{1}$. Let $M^g(1)^+$ denote the fixed point subVOA of $M^g(1)$ by σ . Then by direct calculations, we have $(M^g(1)^+)_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \otimes^g$, $(M^g(1)^+)_1 = 0$, and $(M^g(1)^+)_2 = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq g} \mathbb{C}\omega^{ij}$ is isomorphic to a Jordan algebra of type B_g by the 1-products.

We now introduce a multivariable trace function on the Siegel upper half-space \mathcal{H}_g . For $A = (\tau_{ij}) \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and a V_L -module M , we recall the function (1.4), and in particular

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(\mathbf{1}) : A) = \text{Tr}_M e^{o(2\pi i(\mu(A) - \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{24}))},$$

where in this case, $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^g \tau_{ij} \omega^{ij} \in M^g(1)_2^+$.

In order to pick out the lattice parts, we define

$$\gamma_M(A) = \widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(\mathbf{1}) : A) \prod_{i=1}^g \eta(\mu_i)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$, where the μ_i are the numbers satisfying $\det(xE_g - A) = \prod_{i=1}^g (x - \mu_i) = 0$. We note $\mu_i \in \mathcal{H}$.

We now prove the following result.

Proposition 8 *For a lattice VOA V_L with inequivalent simple V_L -modules $V_L = W^1, \dots, W^r$, we have $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^h}(o(\mathbf{1}) : A)$ is an analytic function on \mathcal{H}_g for all $h = 1, \dots, r$. Furthermore, $\gamma_{W^j}(A)$ are ordinary Siegel theta series and*

$$\left(-i \frac{1}{\det(A)}\right)^{g/2} \gamma_{W^j}(-A^{-1}) = \sum_{h=1}^r s_{jh} \gamma_{W^h}(A),$$

where the s_{jh} are the coefficients A_{jh}^S in (1.2) for the matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows that the functions $\gamma_{W^j}(A)$ satisfy the transformation laws of Siegel modular forms (see, for example, [6] for these equations).

[Proof] As discussed above, a simple V_L -module M is of the form $M = V_{L+\kappa}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}L$. If $A = (\tau_{ij})$ is semisimple, then there is an orthogonal complex matrix $P \in O_g(\mathbb{C})$ and scalars μ_1, \dots, μ_g such that $P^{-1}(\tau_{ij})P = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_g)$. Set $(b_1, \dots, b_g) = (a_1, \dots, a_g)P$ and $e^i = \frac{1}{2}b^i(-1)b^i(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Then $\{b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}L$, and $\{e^i \mid i = 1, \dots, g\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal conformal vectors of V_L such that $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^g \mu_i e^i$. Since

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_M(o(\mathbf{1}) : A) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^g \eta(\mu_i)} \sum_{\beta \in L+\kappa} e^{\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \mu_j \langle \beta, b^j \rangle^2},$$

it follows that

$$\gamma_M(A) = \sum_{\beta \in L+\kappa} e^{\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \mu_j \langle \beta, b^j \rangle^2}.$$

Moreover, because $\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \mu_j \langle \beta, b^j \rangle^2$ is an eigenvalue of $o(\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \mu_j b^j(-1)b^j(-1)\mathbf{1})$ for e^β , it is equal to an eigenvalue of $o(\sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{h=1}^g \tau_{jh} a^j(-1)a^h)$ for e^β , that is,

$$\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \mu_j \langle \beta, b^j \rangle^2 = \pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{h=1}^g \tau_{jh} \langle a^j, \beta \rangle \langle a^h, \beta \rangle.$$

Therefore $\gamma_M(A)$ is an ordinary Siegel theta series of $L + \kappa$. Explicitly, we have

$$\gamma_M(A) = \sum_{\beta \in L+\kappa} e^{\pi i \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{h=1}^g \tau_{jh} \langle a^j, \beta \rangle \langle a^h, \beta \rangle} = \sum_{\beta \in L+\kappa} e^{\pi i \tilde{\beta} A \tilde{\beta}}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\tilde{\beta} = (\langle a^1, \beta \rangle, \dots, \langle a^g, \beta \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^g$. Since $\text{Im}(A)$ is positive definite, there is a number $\epsilon(A) > 0$ such that ${}^t\tilde{\beta}\text{Im}(A)\tilde{\beta} \geq \epsilon(A)\langle \beta, \beta \rangle$ for all $\beta \in L + \kappa$. It follows that

$$|\gamma_M(A)| \leq \sum_{\beta \in L + \kappa} |e^{-\pi\epsilon(A)\langle \beta, \beta \rangle}| < \infty.$$

This implies $\gamma_M(A)$ is an analytic function for any symmetric matrix $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$. Furthermore, (5.2) is well-defined for any $A \in \mathcal{H}_g$, and so $\gamma_M(A)$ is an analytic function on \mathcal{H}_g . ■

Remark 9 (i) Although we have been treating the cases where the rank g of a lattice coincides with the genus of the Siegel upper half-space, by viewing $\mathcal{H}_h \otimes I_{g/h} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_g$ for $h|g$, we may treat a Siegel upper half-space of genus $h < g$.

(ii) Let $\{W^1, \dots, W^r\}$ be the set of simple inequivalent V -modules. Then as Huang has proved in [8], $\sum_{i=1}^r \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^i \otimes W^{i'}}(v : \tau)$ is invariant for an inversion $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau}$, where $W^{i'} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(W_p^i, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the restricted dual of W^i . Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^r \widehat{\text{Tr}}_{W^i \otimes W^{i'}}(o(\mathbf{1}) : A)$ is invariant for an inversion $A \mapsto -A^{-1}$ by viewing $\mathcal{H}_g \otimes I_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{2g}$.

References

- [1] Ashihara, T. and Miyamoto, M.: *Deformation of central charges, vertex operator algebras whose Griess algebras are Jordan algebras*, J. Algebra, **321** (2009), no. 6, 1593-1599.
- [2] Borcherds, R.: *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83**, (1986), 3068-3071.
- [3] Dong, C.: *Vertex algebras associated with even lattices*, J. Algebra, **161** (1993), no. 1, 245-265.
- [4] Dong, C., Li, H., and Mason, G.: *Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), no. 1, 1-56.
- [5] Dong, C., Li, H., Mason, G.: *Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras*, Adv. Math., **132** (1997), no. 1, 148-166.
- [6] Freitag, E.: *Siegelsche Modulformen, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **254** (1983), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [7] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J., and Meurman, A.: *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., **134** (1988), Academic Press.
- [8] Huang, Y.: *Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture*, Commun. Contemp. Math., **10** (2008), no. 1, 103-154.
- [9] Li, H.: *Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **96**(3) (1994), 279-297.
- [10] Miyamoto, M.: *Intertwining operators and modular invariance*, [arXiv:math/0010180](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0010180)v1 (2000).

- [11] Miyamoto, M.: *Modular invariance of trace functions on VOAs in many variables*, Proceedings on Moonshine and related topics (Montreal, QC, 1999), 131-137, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **30** (2001), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [12] Zhu, Y.: *Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **9** (1996), 237-302.