

MINIMAL GENUS FOR 4-MANIFOLDS WITH $b^+ = 1$

BO DAI & CHUNG-I HO & TIAN-JUN LI

ABSTRACT. We derive an adjunction inequality for any smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold X with $b^+ = 1$. This inequality depends only on the cohomology algebra and generalizes the inequality of Strle in the case of $b_1 = 0$. We demonstrate that the inequality is especially powerful when $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$, where $\tilde{\chi}$ is the modified Euler number taking account of the cup product on $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Cohomology algebras of $b^+ = 1$ type and properties of h	4
2.1. Cohomology algebras and quadratic forms	4
2.2. The adjunction classes, $Aut(\Gamma)^T$ and h	6
2.3. Cohomology algebras with $b^+ = 1$ and $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$	7
3. Proof of Theorem 1.4	12
3.1. Seiberg-Witten invariants	12
3.2. The case $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma \leq \langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle $	15
3.3. $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma > \langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle $	16
3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.5	16
4. Applications	18
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5	18
4.2. Sphere representability	20
Appendix A. Proofs of Lemmas 2.12 and 2.14	21
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold.

Definition 1.1. For any class $A \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$, let

$$mg_X(A) = \min\{g(\Sigma) \mid \Sigma \text{ is a connected, smoothly embedded surface with } [\Sigma] = A\}.$$

The minimal genus function mg_X is a basic invariant of X . For rich history on this function see the excellent surveys of Lawson, [9], [8]. For manifolds with $b^+(X) = 1$, in this paper we will provide a general adjunction type bound for mg_X . Unlike the well known adjunction bounds in [7], [21], [23], our bound only depends on the cohomology algebra of X .

To state our bound we need to introduce the modified Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}$. Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 \Lambda^i$ be a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type. We refer the readers to Section 2.1 for precise definition. Consider the skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$(1) \quad T : \Lambda^1 \times \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2.$$

Let $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ be the rank of T . $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ is always an even number and the image of T is at most one dimensional (see Subsection 2.1.1). Let $\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) = 2 + b_2(\Lambda) - 2\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$, where $b_i(\Lambda)$ is the rank of Λ^i . Let $\sigma(\Lambda)$ be the signature of the symmetric bilinear form $\Gamma : \Lambda^2 \times \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.2. *Let Λ be a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type. A class $c \in \Lambda^2$ is called an adjunction class if it is characteristic and either of the following conditions is satisfied,*

- (I) $c \cdot c > \sigma(\Lambda)$,
- (II) $c \cdot c \geq 2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda)$ and c pairs non-trivially with $\text{Im} T$ when T is non-trivial.

We make a few remarks for the two types of adjunction classes. A class c is characteristic if $c \cdot A \equiv A \cdot A \pmod{2}$ for all $A \in \Lambda^2$. The conditions for adjunction classes come from dimension formula and wall crossing formulae in Seiberg-Witten theory for 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$ as explained in Section 3. If T is trivial, there are only type I adjunction classes. A well-known result says that characteristic classes satisfy $c \cdot c \equiv \sigma(\Lambda) \pmod{8}$. So $c \cdot c > \sigma(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad c \cdot c \geq \sigma(\Lambda) + 8.$$

By direct computation, we also have

$$(3) \quad 2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) = \sigma(\Lambda) + 8 - 4\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda).$$

When T is non-trivial, $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ is at least 2. Thus it follows from (2) and (3) that it is possible that some type II adjunction classes are not of type I, and a type I adjunction class is also of type II if and only if it pairs non-trivially with $\text{Im} T$.

Definition 1.3. *Let $A \in \Lambda^2$. For any class c of adjunction type, the c -genus of A is defined as*

$$(4) \quad h_c(A) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{A \cdot A - |c \cdot A|}{2} & \text{if } A \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } A = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $h(A) = \max h_c(A)$, where the maximum is taken among all adjunction classes of Λ .

Notice that $h_c(A)$ is always an integer since c is characteristic. Notice also that $h_c(A) = h_c(-A)$, hence $h(A) = h(-A)$. We remark that the minimal genus function mg_X also has this symmetry.

More generally, h is invariant under the group of automorphisms of Λ^2 that preserve the bilinear form Γ and $\text{Im}T$. This property will be used to calculate h explicitly when $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$.

For X a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, let $\Lambda(X) = H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$. Then $\Lambda(X)$ is a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type. For any cohomology class $A \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$, let $mg_X(A) = mg_X(\text{PD}(A))$, where $\text{PD}(A) \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$ is the Poincaré dual of A . For convenience, we will identify $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ by Poincaré duality whenever necessary. Our bound of the minimal genus function is

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+(X) = 1$. Then for any $A \in \Lambda^2(X)$ with $A \cdot A \geq 0$, we have*

$$mg_X(A) \geq h(A).$$

Notice that the bound of mg_X in Theorem 1.4 does not involve any Seiberg-Witten invariant. This inequality is motivated by the one given by Strle in [26] for classes with positive square in 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$ and $b_1 = 0$, which is proved via L^2 moduli spaces of manifolds with cylindrical ends. Our proof uses the wall crossing formulae for Seiberg-Witten invariants.

When $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$, h is easier to calculate and offers a sharp bound in the following sense.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Λ be a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type, and suppose $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$. Then $h(A) \geq 0$ for any $A \in \Lambda^2$ with $A \cdot A > 0$, or $A \cdot A = 0$ and A is primitive.*

Moreover, there exists a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold X_Λ and an isomorphism $H^(X_\Lambda; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor} \cong \Lambda$ such that*

$$h(A) = mg_{X_\Lambda}(A)$$

whenever $h(A) \geq 0$. X_Λ can be chosen to be of the form $Y \# l(S^1 \times S^3)$, where $l = b_1(\Lambda) - \tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$, and Y is an appropriate symplectic manifold with Kodaira dimension $= -\infty$ or 0 from the list below.

- a) $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ connected sum with up to 9 $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$,
- b) $S^2 \times S^2$,
- c) Enriques surface,
- d) S^2 -bundle over T^2 ,

As a consequence of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, when restricted to classes with non-negative square, X_Λ has the smallest minimal genus function among 4-manifolds with the same cohomology algebra (modulo torsion).

In the last section, we apply our bound and give constraints on classes with non-negative square and which are represented by spheres. In a future paper we will make some explicit calculations of h when $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) < 0$ and discuss further properties of the function h . In particular, we hope to apply these calculations, together with Theorem 1.4, to completely constrain classes represented by spheres.

We end the introduction with a number of remarks.

$h(A)$ only depends on the cohomology algebra of X . In this sense, it is similar to the Rokhlin ([24]) and Hsiang-Szczarba ([5]) bounds via branched covering and Atiyah-Bott G -signature theorem. When $b^+(X) = 1$, the bound given by h is generally stronger.

When Γ is indefinite and $b^+ \geq 2$, there also exists adjunction type inequality which depends only on the cohomology algebra for a few manifolds of small even intersection forms on the 11/8 line $l(-2E \oplus 3U)$, $l = 1, 2, 3$ ([20], [3]). In these cases, $c = 0$ is the only adjunction class. Beyond the 11/8 line, no such adjunction inequality could exist, since Wall showed in [29] that there exist manifolds such that every primitive ordinary class is represented by spheres. This is also true for any odd and strongly indefinite intersection form: $m\langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle$ with $m, n \geq 2$.

Similar to the $b^+ > 1$ Seiberg-Witten adjunction inequalities in [21], [23], the bounds h_c involve the absolute value $|c \cdot A|$. The absolute value cannot be removed by checking the case of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.

Acknowledgment. The research for the first named author is partially supported by NSFC Grants 11371211 and 11431001. The research for the second named author is partially supported by MST postdoctoral fellowship. The research for the third named author is partially supported by NSF. The authors would like to thank the referees for carefully reading the paper and for helpful comments and suggestions.

2. COHOMOLOGY ALGEBRAS OF $b^+ = 1$ TYPE AND PROPERTIES OF h

2.1. Cohomology algebras and quadratic forms.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 \Lambda^i$ be a finitely generated, graded, commutative, associative algebra over $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ with each summand Λ^i a free abelian group, and a group isomorphism $p : \Lambda^4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (Λ, p) , or simply Λ , is called a cohomology algebra if, with respect to p , the products $\Lambda^i \times \Lambda^{4-i} \rightarrow \Lambda^4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ are duality pairings, in the sense that

$$\Lambda^i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda^{4-i}, \mathbb{Z})$$

are isomorphisms of groups.

Denote the rank of Λ^i by $b_i(\Lambda)$. Let $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ be the rank of the skew-symmetric pairing $T : \Lambda^1 \times \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$. Let $\chi(\Lambda) = \sum (-1)^i b_i(\Lambda)$ be the Euler number, and $\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) = 2 + b_2(\Lambda) - 2\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ the modified Euler number. Denote the signature type of $\Gamma : \Lambda^2 \times \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by $(b^+(\Lambda), b^-(\Lambda))$, and let $\sigma(\Lambda) = b^+(\Lambda) - b^-(\Lambda)$ denote the signature. Λ is called a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = k$ type if $b^+(\Lambda) = k$.

Example 2.2. The following cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 0$ type Λ_S is modeled on $S^1 \times S^3$: $\Lambda_S^2 = 0$, $\Lambda_S^1 = \Lambda_S^3 = \mathbb{Z}$ with trivial T pairing.

Given two cohomology algebras (Λ_a, p_a) and (Λ_b, p_b) , their direct sum $\Lambda_a \oplus \Lambda_b$ is defined as the following cohomology algebra (Λ, p) with $\Lambda^i =$

$\Lambda_a^i \oplus \Lambda_b^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $\Lambda^0 = \mathbb{Z}$. To describe Λ^4 and $p : \Lambda^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, consider the group homomorphism

$$cs : \Lambda_a^4 \oplus \Lambda_b^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

sending $x = x_a + x_b \in \Lambda_a^4 \oplus \Lambda_b^4$ to $p_a(x_a) + p_b(x_b)$. Then Λ^4 is the quotient of $\Lambda_a^4 \oplus \Lambda_b^4$ by the kernel of cs , and p is the corresponding quotient homomorphism.

With the product structure defined in the obvious way, it is not hard to verify that Λ is a cohomology algebra. Notice that the b^+ type is additive with respect to this operation.

A simple but useful fact is that the sum $\Lambda \oplus l\Lambda_S$ and Λ have the same b^+ , \tilde{b}_1 , $\tilde{\chi}$, Λ^2 and Γ for any non-negative integer l .

2.1.1. Special features of $b^+ = 1$ type. Suppose that Λ is a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type. We prove here the special features mentioned in the introduction.

- The image of T is either 0- or 1- dimensional. We explain this conclusion by contradiction, following the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [14]. Suppose $\omega_1 = y_1y_2 \in \text{Im } T$, and $\omega_2 = y_3y_4 \in \text{Im } T$, where $y_1, \dots, y_4 \in \Lambda^1$, and ω_1, ω_2 are linearly independent in Λ^2 . It is easy to see that $\omega_1^2 = 0 = \omega_2^2$. Since $b^+ = 1$, and ω_1, ω_2 are linearly independent, by the light cone lemma in [15], $\omega_1\omega_2 \neq 0 \in \Lambda^4$. This implies that $y_1, \dots, y_4 \in \Lambda^1$ are linearly independent and generate an exterior algebra. Moreover, y_iy_j , $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, are in $\text{Im } T$, and are linearly independent in Λ^2 . The restriction of the bilinear form Γ to the linear span of $\{y_iy_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$ has vanishing signature. This implies $b^+(\Lambda) \geq 3$, a contradiction.
- Since T is skew symmetric, $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$ is always an even number.

Definition 2.3. A cohomology algebra Λ is called Lefschetz if T is non-degenerate, i.e. $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = b_1(\Lambda)$. A cohomology subalgebra Λ' is called a Lefschetz reduction of Λ if Λ' is Lefschetz and $\Lambda \cong \Lambda' \oplus l\Lambda_S$ for some l .

By Lemma 2.4 below, Lefschetz reductions always exist, and are unique up to isomorphism when Λ is of $b^+ = 1$ type. We will denote any Lefschetz reduction of Λ by Λ_{red} .

Lemma 2.4. Any cohomology algebra Λ of $b^+ = 1$ type has Lefschetz reductions, and all Lefschetz reductions are isomorphic as cohomology algebras.

Proof. If T is trivial, then $\Lambda' = \Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^2 \oplus \Lambda^4$ is the unique Lefschetz reduction of Λ . Suppose T is non-trivial. Let $\Lambda_0^1 = \ker T = \{x \in \Lambda^1 | xy = 0, \forall y \in \Lambda^1\}$. It is clear that $\text{rank}(\Lambda_0^1) = b_1 - \tilde{b}_1$. Choose a complementary subspace $\tilde{\Lambda}^1$ such that $\Lambda^1 = \tilde{\Lambda}^1 \oplus \Lambda_0^1$. Λ^3 is also decomposed as $\Lambda^3 = \tilde{\Lambda}^3 \oplus \Lambda_0^3$ where $\tilde{\Lambda}^3 = (\Lambda_0^1)^\perp$, $\Lambda_0^3 = (\tilde{\Lambda}^1)^\perp$. Note that $\tilde{\Lambda}^3$ is independent of choice of $\tilde{\Lambda}^1$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \times \tilde{\Lambda}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\Lambda_0^1 \times \Lambda_0^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are duality pairings.

Let $\Lambda' = \Lambda^0 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}^1 \oplus \Lambda^2 \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}^3 \oplus \Lambda^4$ and $\Lambda'' = \Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda_0^1 \oplus 0 \oplus \Lambda_0^3 \oplus \Lambda^4$. We claim that Λ' is a Lefschetz reduction of Λ and $\Lambda \cong \Lambda' \oplus \Lambda'' \cong \Lambda' \oplus (b_1 - \tilde{b}_1)\Lambda_S$. It is enough to verify that $\text{Im}(\Lambda_0^1 \times \Lambda^2) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \times \Lambda^2) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}^3$.

If $\text{Im}(\Lambda_0^1 \times \Lambda^2) \neq 0$, there exist $x \in \Lambda_0^1, z \in \Lambda^2$ such that $xz \neq 0 \in \Lambda^3$. Then $xzy \neq 0$ for some $y \in \Lambda^1$. This implies $xy \neq 0, x \notin \Lambda_0^1$, a contradiction.

For any $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}^1, z \in \Lambda^2, y \in \Lambda_0^1$, we have $xzy = xyz = 0$. So $xz \in (\Lambda_0^1)^\perp = \tilde{\Lambda}^3$. Hence $\text{Im}(\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \times \Lambda^2) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}^3$. In fact, $\text{Im}(\tilde{\Lambda}^1 \times \Lambda^2)$ contains $\tilde{b}_1 = \text{rank}(\tilde{\Lambda}^3)$ linearly independent vectors in $\tilde{\Lambda}^3$. Suppose $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\tilde{b}_1}\}$ is an integral basis for $\tilde{\Lambda}^1$, F is a generator for $\text{Im}T$, and $B \in \Lambda^2$ such that $F \cdot B = 1$. Such a B exists since Γ is unimodular. Then one can verify that $x_i B, i = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{b}_1$, are linearly independent in $\tilde{\Lambda}^3$.

Suppose M' is another Lefschetz reduction of Λ , and $\Lambda \cong M' \oplus M'' \cong M' \oplus (b_1 - \tilde{b}_1)\Lambda_S$. Suppose $M' = \Lambda^0 \oplus \tilde{M}^1 \oplus \Lambda^2 \oplus \tilde{M}^3 \oplus \Lambda^4$ and $M'' = \Lambda^0 \oplus M_0^1 \oplus 0 \oplus M_0^3 \oplus \Lambda^4$. It is easy to see that $M_0^1 = \ker T = \Lambda_0^1$, and \tilde{M}^1 is another complement of $\ker T$ in Λ^1 . We also have $\tilde{M}^3 \supset \text{Im}(\tilde{M}^1 \times \Lambda^2) = \text{Im}(\Lambda^1 \times \Lambda^2)$. The argument in the previous paragraph implies that $\tilde{M}^3 = (\ker T)^\perp = \tilde{\Lambda}^3$.

Notice that T reduces to a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\Lambda^1/\ker T$, and $\tilde{\Lambda}^1$ and \tilde{M}^1 are both isomorphic to $\Lambda^1/\ker T$. Now we see that $\Lambda' \cong M'$ as cohomology algebras. \square

2.1.2. Unimodular quadratic forms. Notice that the symmetric bilinear form Γ is unimodular. We will often abbreviate $\Gamma(x, y)$ as $x \cdot y$. It induces a unimodular quadratic form $Q : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as $Q(x) = \Gamma(x, x)$. $Q(x)$ is called the norm of x . Γ is of even type if $Q(x)$ is even for any vector $x \in \Lambda^2$. Otherwise, Γ is called of odd type. Γ is called *definite*, or *indefinite* if $\min\{b^+, b^-\} = 0$ or ≥ 1 respectively.

The following classification is well known (eg. [27], Theorem 5): indefinite unimodular symmetric forms are classified by their rank, signature and type.

Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and E be the hyperbolic lattice and the (positive definite) E_8 lattice respectively. The list of indefinite unimodular symmetric forms is

$$m\langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle, \quad pU \oplus qE, \quad \text{where } m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, when $b^+ = 1$, the list of unimodular symmetric forms is

$$\langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle, \quad U \oplus q(-E), \quad \text{where } n, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

2.2. The adjunction classes, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ and h . Let Λ be a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type.

Let \mathcal{C}_Λ be the set of adjunction classes of Λ . We discuss properties of this set and their consequences for the function h .

Let $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ be the group of automorphisms of Λ^2 that preserve the bilinear form Γ . We shall concern with a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ which preserves the set of adjunction classes.

Definition 2.5. Let $Aut(\Gamma)^T$ consist of elements $\phi \in Aut(\Gamma)$ such that $\phi(ImT) \subseteq ImT$ (Actually, $\phi(ImT) = ImT$).

Lemma 2.6. \mathcal{C}_Λ is preserved by $Aut(\Gamma)^T$. Consequently, h is invariant under $Aut(\Gamma)^T$.

Proof. We first show that \mathcal{C}_Λ is preserved by $Aut(\Gamma)^T$. This is clear for type I adjunction classes, which are preserved by the larger group $Aut(\Gamma)$. For type II adjunction classes, the first condition is also preserved by $Aut(\Gamma)$ and the second condition is why we introduce $Aut(\Gamma)^T$.

We now establish the invariance of h under the action of $Aut(\Gamma)^T$. Let $A \in \Lambda^2$ and $\alpha \in Aut(\Gamma)^T$. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$, $h_c(A) = h_{\alpha(c)}(\alpha(A))$. Since $\alpha(c)$ is also in \mathcal{C}_Λ , we have $h_c(A) \leq h(\alpha(A))$ for any $c \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ and hence $h(A) \leq h(\alpha(A))$. Similarly, we also have $h(\alpha(A)) \leq h(A)$. Hence we have the desired equality. \square

By this invariance property, to determine the function h , it suffices to pick an element, called reduced element, in each orbit of $Aut(\Gamma)^T$ and calculate the value of h . We are able to carry this out completely when $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$.

The following simple fact will be useful in Section 4.

Lemma 2.7. Under the natural isomorphism between Λ^2 and Λ_{red}^2 , $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{red}} = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$. Consequently, $h_\Lambda = h_{\Lambda_{red}}$.

2.3. Cohomology algebras with $b^+ = 1$ and $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$. Observe that conditions $b^+ = 1$ and $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$ imply that $1 \geq \sigma \geq 4\tilde{b}_1 - 8$, so either $\sigma \geq -8$, $\tilde{b}_1 = 0$ or $\sigma = 0$, $\tilde{b}_1 = 2$. We point out that there can be adjunction classes of type II that are not of type I only when $\tilde{b}_1 = 2$. The list of Γ in this case is

$$\langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle, 0 \leq n \leq 9, \quad U \oplus q(-E), q = 0, 1$$

The algebra Λ is divided into 5 cases according to T and Γ :

- (1) T trivial and $\Gamma = U$,
- (2) T trivial and $\Gamma = U \oplus (-E)$,
- (3) $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = 2$ and $\Gamma = U$,
- (4) T trivial and $\Gamma = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle, 0 \leq n \leq 9$,
- (5) $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = 2$ and $\Gamma = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$.

2.3.1. $Aut(\Gamma)^T$ and reduced classes. We now introduce the notion of reduced classes (under a choice of basis) in the five cases. We also describe $Aut(\Gamma)^T$ explicitly in **Cases (1), (3), (4), (5)**. For this purpose, notice that $-Id \in Aut(\Gamma)^T$, and $Aut(\Gamma)^T = Aut(\Gamma)$ whenever T is trivial. Notice also that $\Gamma|_{ImT} = 0$.

Case (1) Take a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{F, B\}$ of Λ^2 with $F \cdot F = B \cdot B = 0$, $F \cdot B = 1$. The automorphism group $Aut(\Gamma)^T = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by $-Id$ and switching of F and B .

Reduced classes: $aF + bB$, $a \geq |b| \geq 0$.

Case (2) Consider a decomposition $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_U^2 \oplus \Lambda_{-E}^2$ such that $\Gamma|_{\Lambda_U^2} \cong U, \Gamma|_{\Lambda_{-E}^2} \cong -E$. Take a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{F, B\}$ of Λ_U^2 with $F \cdot F = B \cdot B = 0, F \cdot B = 1$.

Reduced classes: aF with $a \geq 0$, or $aF + bB + \xi, \xi \in \Lambda_{-E}^2$ with $a \geq |b| > \frac{\sqrt{-\xi \cdot \xi}}{2} \geq 0$.

Case (3) Assume $\text{Im} T$ is generated by F . In particular, $F \cdot F = 0$. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{F, B\}$ of Λ^2 with $B \cdot B = 0$ and $F \cdot B = 1$.

The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by $-Id$ since F is preserved up to sign.

Reduced classes: $aF + bB$ with $a > 0$, or $a = 0, b \geq 0$.

Case (4) Let $n = b^- \leq 9$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{H, E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be an orthogonal basis for Λ^2 such that $H \cdot H = 1 = -E_i \cdot E_i$.

According to Wall [28] 1.6., $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ is generated by reflections along the classes $H, E_i, H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3, E_i - E_j, i \neq j$.

Reduced classes: $aH - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i$ with $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq 0$ and

$$a \geq \begin{cases} b_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ b_1 + b_2 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ b_1 + b_2 + b_3 & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Case (5) In this case $\sigma = 0$ and the intersection form is equivalent to $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose $\text{Im} T$ is generated by F . Notice that $F \cdot F = 0$. We choose a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{F, B\}$ of Λ^2 with $B \cdot B = F \cdot B = 1$.

The only non-trivial element of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ is $-Id$, since F is preserved up to sign.

Reduced classes: $aF + bB$ with $a > 0$, or $a = 0, b \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.8. *In each of the five cases, for any class $A \in \Lambda^2$ with $A \cdot A \geq 0$, there exists a reduced class lying in the $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ -orbit of A . Actually, such class is unique except in **Case (2)**.*

Proof. This is straightforward to see using the description of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ above for **Cases (1), (3), (5)**. For **Case (4)** this was established by [11], Proposition 1 (This is still true for arbitrary n by [11] and [31]).

It remains to deal with **Case (2)**. Let $A = aF + bB + \xi$ with $A \cdot A = 2ab + \xi \cdot \xi \geq 0$. We may assume A is primitive and $a \geq b > 0$ because $\xi \cdot \xi \leq 0$. To find a reduced class in the $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ -orbit of A , we will make induction on $m(A) := \min(a, b)$.

Let us recall the following fact.

Lemma 2.9 ([28], 5.10). *For all $\xi \in \Lambda_{-E}^2$ and nonzero integers b , we can find $\omega \in \Lambda_{-E}^2$ such that either $\xi + b\omega = 0$, or*

$$0 < |(\xi + b\omega) \cdot (\xi + b\omega)| < 2b^2.$$

We also need the automorphism $E_\omega \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega(F) &= F, \\ E_\omega(B) &= B + \omega - N(\omega)F, \\ E_\omega(\xi) &= \xi - (\xi \cdot \omega)F, \forall \xi \in \Lambda_{-E}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $N(\omega) = \frac{\omega \cdot \omega}{2}$.

If A is not reduced, apply Lemma 2.9 to ξ and b , there exists $\omega \in \Lambda_{-E}^2$ such that $\xi + b\omega = 0$ or

$$0 < |(\xi + b\omega) \cdot (\xi + b\omega)| < 2b^2$$

Let $A' = E_\omega(A) = (\xi + b\omega) + (a - bN(\omega) - \xi \cdot \omega)F + bB$. A' can be non-reduced only when $a - bN(\omega) - \xi \cdot \omega < b$ and $|(\xi + b\omega) \cdot (\xi + b\omega)| \geq 2(a - bN(\omega) - \xi \cdot \omega)^2$. In this case, $m(A') = a - bN(\omega) - \xi \cdot \omega < m(A)$. We may now interchange the role of F, B and repeat the process by induction. \square

Remark 2.10. *The proof for Case (2) can be generalized to classes with negative square and to $\Gamma = U \oplus kE$. It partially demonstrates a fact mentioned in [27]: If Γ is nearly definite ($\min\{b^+, b^-\} = 1$) and of even type, there are only finitely many equivalence classes of vectors of given norm, divisor and type.*

2.3.2. *Calculation of h for reduced classes.* Let us first make a few observations.

1. Given A , we want to minimize $|c \cdot A|$ among all adjunction classes.
2. When T is trivial there are only type I adjunction classes.
3. Notice that $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma = \sigma + 8 - 4\tilde{b}_1$. So we need to consider both type I and type II adjunction classes when $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 2$.

We assume A is a nonzero class of non-negative square.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$ and Λ is as in Cases (1), (2), (3), (5). Suppose a basis \mathcal{B} is given as in Section 2.3.1 and $A \in \Lambda^2$ is a reduced class with $A \cdot A \geq 0$. There is an adjunction class c_0 , independent of A , such that $h(A) = h_{c_0}(A)$. Explicitly, with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} , c_0 is given in each case as follows.*

- **Case (1)** $c_0 = 2F + 2B$,
- **Case (2)** $c_0 = 0$,
- **Case (3)** $c_0 = 2B$,
- **Case (5)** $c_0 = F - 2B$.

Proof. Case (1). Suppose $A = aF + bB$ is reduced, namely, $a \geq |b| \geq 0$. Then $A \cdot A = 2ab \geq 0$ implies $b \geq 0$.

Any characteristic class is of the form $c = 2kF + 2lB$. We also assume that $k \geq 0$ since we try to minimize the absolute value $|c \cdot A|$.

Since T is trivial, there are only type I adjunction classes. Such a class c should satisfy

$$c \cdot c = 8kl > \sigma = 0,$$

so $k, l > 0$. Since $a, b \geq 0$ and $k, l > 0$,

$$|c \cdot A| = |2al + 2bk| = 2al + 2bk,$$

and it reaches the minimum when $k = l = 1$.

For $c_0 = 2F + 2B$ and A a reduced class, we obtain

$$(5) \quad h(A) = h_{c_0}(A) = (a-1)(b-1).$$

Case (2). Again there are only type I adjunction classes since T is trivial. The class 0 is characteristic and $\sigma = -8 < 0$, so we take $c_0 = 0$. Clearly, $|c_0 \cdot A| = 0$ reaches its minimum for any A , and thus

$$(6) \quad h(A) = h_{c_0}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A \cdot A + 2).$$

Notice that this formula is in fact valid for an arbitrary class with non-negative square, not just the reduced ones.

Case (3). Let $A = aF + bB$ be a reduced class, namely, $a > 0$, or $a = 0, b \geq 0$. Since $A \cdot A = 2ab \geq 0$, we always have $b \geq 0$.

Any characteristic class is of the form $c = 2qF + 2pB$ with $q \geq 0$ up to the automorphism $-Id$.

We first consider type II adjunction classes. Such a class c satisfies

$$c \cdot c = 8pq \geq 2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot F = 2p \neq 0.$$

Notice that these conditions imply that $p > 0$. Since $a, b, p, q \geq 0$ and $p > 0$, $|c \cdot A| = |2ap + 2bq|$ is minimized by $p = 1$ and $q = 0$. Therefore, we take $c_0 = 2B$ and have

$$(7) \quad h(A) = h_{c_0}(A) = a(b-1) + 1.$$

As for type I adjunction classes, observe that $\sigma = 0$. So any such class also has nonzero p, q coefficients and is of type II. Therefore $h(A) = h_{c_0}(A)$.

Case (5). This case is similar to **Case (3)**. Suppose $A = aF + bB$ is a reduced class, either $a > 0$ or $a = 0, b \geq 0$. Then $A \cdot A = (2a+b)b \geq 0$ implies either $b \geq 0$ or $2a+b \leq 0$.

Any characteristic class is of the form $c = (2q-1)F + 2pB$. And we will assume that $2q-1 \geq 0$. We first consider type II adjunction classes. Such a class satisfies

$$c \cdot c = 4p(p+2q-1) \geq 2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot F = 2p \neq 0.$$

Thus either $p > 0$ or $p+2q-1 \leq 0$. To minimize $|c \cdot A|$, we write

$$|c \cdot A| = |(2a+b)p + (p+2q-1)b|.$$

In each case, we can show that $|c \cdot A|$ is minimized by taking $p+2q-1 = 0$ and $p = -1$.

Therefore, we take $c_0 = F - 2B$ and have

$$(8) \quad h(A) = h_{c_0}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(b-1)(2a+b) + 1.$$

As for type I adjunction classes, observe that $\sigma = 0$. So any such class also has nonzero p, q coefficient and is of type II. Therefore $h(A) = h_{c_0}(A)$.

□

For **Case(4)** we have the following analogous statement. Since the proof is long and elementary, we defer it to the Appendix.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose Λ is as in **Case (4)** and $A = aH - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i$ is a reduced class with $A \cdot A \geq 0$. Then we take $c_0 = 3H - \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ and have*

$$(9) \quad h(A) = h_{c_0}(A) = \frac{(a-1)(a-2) - \sum b_i(b_i-1)}{2} = \binom{a-1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{b_i}{2}.$$

We remark that it is easy to check that c_0 in all five cases is characterized by the following conditions:

- c_0 is characteristic,
- $c_0 \cdot c_0 = 2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma$,
- c_0 itself is reduced,
- c_0 pairs non-trivially with $\text{Im}T$ when T is non-trivial.
- c_0 has smallest coefficients in absolute value among classes satisfying the above four conditions.

2.3.3. *The sign of h .* We explore Proposition 2.11 to determine when h takes positive and non-negative values.

Corollary 2.13. *Suppose $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$ and Λ is in **Case (1), (3), (5)**. If $A = aF + bB \in \Lambda^2$ with $A \cdot A \geq 0$ is reduced and $h(A) \leq 0$, then A is given as follows.*

- **Case (1)** $h(A) < 0$ when $a > 1, b = 0$, and $h(A) = 0$ when $a \geq b = 1$ or $(a, b) = (1, 0), (0, 0)$.
- **Case (3)** $h(A) < 0$ when $a > 1, b = 0$, and $h(A) = 0$ when $(a, b) = (1, 0), (0, 0)$.
- **Case (5)** $h(A) < 0$ when $a > 1, b = 0$, and $h(A) = 0$ when $(a, b) = (1, 0), (0, 0)$.

Proof. The formulae for $h(A)$ are given explicitly in (5)-(8) for the three cases. We can solve $h(A) \leq 0$ and $h(A) = 0$ easily. □

For **Case (2)**, any class $A \neq 0$ with $A \cdot A \geq 0$ has $h(A) > 0$.

For **Case (4)**, we can also use (9) to determine when h takes positive and non-negative values. Again we will defer the proof to the Appendix since it is elementary but complicated.

Lemma 2.14. *For **Case (4)**. $h(A) = 0$ when $A = H - E_1, H, 2H$ or*

$$a = b_1 + 1, b_1 \geq 1, b_2 = 0, 1, b_i = 0, i \geq 3,$$

$h(A) < 0$ when $A = a(H - E_1)$ with $a \geq 2$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

3.1. Seiberg-Witten invariants. Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented four-manifold. Consider the exterior algebra

$$V(X) = \wedge^* H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Tor}.$$

In this section, we review the $V(X)$ -valued Seiberg-Witten invariants. For more details, see [19, 22, 16].

Suppose g is a Riemannian metric on X . Let $P \rightarrow X$ be the $SO(4)$ -frame bundle associated to the tangent bundle. A spin^c structure on X is a lifting \tilde{P} of P to a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -principal bundle. The associated complex spinor bundle $S_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow X$ is decomposed as the direct sum of two rank-2 Hermitian vector bundles $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}(\tilde{P})$. The determinant line bundle of \tilde{P} is defined by $\mathcal{L} = \det(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}(\tilde{P}))$. It is known that $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is characteristic and spin^c structures are parametrized by characteristic classes if $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. For convenience, we also use \mathcal{L} to denote \tilde{P} . A unitary connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ on \mathcal{L} and the Levi-Civita connection on TX induce a Dirac operator

$$D_A : \Gamma(S_{\mathbb{C}}^+(\tilde{P})) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_{\mathbb{C}}^-(\tilde{P})).$$

For any real-valued self-dual 2-form δ on X , the (perturbed) Seiberg-Witten equations for a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and a section $\psi \in \Gamma(S_{\mathbb{C}}^+(\tilde{P}))$ are

$$\begin{cases} D_A \psi = 0, \\ F_A^+ + i\delta = \psi \otimes \psi^* - \frac{|\psi|^2}{2} Id. \end{cases}$$

The gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \text{Map}(X, S^1)$ acts on the configuration space $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{P}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}} \times \Gamma(S_{\mathbb{C}}^+(\tilde{P}))$. A pair (A, ψ) is called reducible if $\psi \equiv 0$ and irreducible otherwise. Let $\mathcal{C}^*(\tilde{P})$ be the subset of irreducible pairs, on which gauge group acts freely. Let $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{P}) = \mathcal{C}(\tilde{P})/\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P}) = \mathcal{C}^*(\tilde{P})/\mathcal{G}$ be the quotient spaces.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{X,g,\delta}(\mathcal{L})$ be the quotient space of elements in $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{P})$ satisfying the Seiberg-Witten equations under the action of gauge group. $\mathcal{M}_{X,g,\delta}(\mathcal{L})$ is always compact and, for generic (g, δ) , it is a smooth oriented manifold of dimension

$$k(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 - (2\chi(X) + 3\sigma(X))}{4}$$

in $\mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P})$ (cf. [7] Lemma 5, [30] Theorem 6.1.1). The orientation of $\mathcal{M}_{X,g,\delta}(\mathcal{L})$ is determined by an orientation of $H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_+^2(X; \mathbb{R})$.

3.1.1. $V(X)$ -valued Seiberg-Witten invariant. There is a natural line bundle over $X \times \mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P})$, defined by $(X \times \mathcal{C}^*(\tilde{P}) \times \mathbb{C})/\mathcal{G}$, where the action is given by $f \cdot (x, (A, \psi), z) = (x, f \cdot (A, \psi), f^{-1}(x)z)$, for any $f \in \mathcal{G}$, $x \in X$, $(A, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}^*(\tilde{P})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This action is free, and the quotient space is a line bundle over $X \times \mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P})$, called the universal line bundle. Its first Chern

class $\mu \in H^2(X \times \mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P}); \mathbb{Z})$ induces a linear map by slant product:

$$\phi : H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2-i}(\mathcal{B}^*(\tilde{P}); \mathbb{Z}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2,$$

where $\phi(a) = \mu/a$, for any $a \in H_i(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

For generic (g, δ) , we introduce the $V(X)$ -valued invariant $\mathbf{SW}(X, g, \delta, \mathcal{L})$. For a collection of homology classes $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_p \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$, the Seiberg-Witten function is defined as (see [16], [22])

$$\mathbf{SW}(X, g, \delta, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p) = \langle \phi(\gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup \phi(\gamma_p) \cup \phi(x_0)^{(k(\mathcal{L})-p)/2}, [\mathcal{M}_{X, g, \delta}(\mathcal{L})] \rangle$$

where x_0 is any point on X . The above invariant is trivial unless $p \equiv k(\mathcal{L}) \pmod{2}$. Note that $\mathbf{SW}(X, g, \delta, \mathcal{L})(1)$, denoted by $SW(X, g, \delta, \mathcal{L})$, is the usual Seiberg-Witten invariant.

When $b^+ > 1$, \mathbf{SW} is independent of the choice of generic (g, δ) and is denoted by $\mathbf{SW}(X, \mathcal{L})$.

When $b^+ = 1$, \mathbf{SW} depends on the choice of a chamber. For a 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, the set of nonzero real second cohomology classes of non-negative square has two components. The orientation of $H_+^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ picks one component \mathcal{C} of them and is called the forward cone. In this case, each metric g induces a unique self-dual harmonic 2-form $\omega_g \in \mathcal{C}$ with $[\omega_g] \cdot [\omega_g] = 1$. The Seiberg-Witten invariant only depends on the sign of $(2\pi c_1(\mathcal{L}) - \delta) \cdot [\omega_g]$ rather than the choice of (g, δ) .

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$ and a choice of cohomology orientation. For any $Spin^c$ structure \mathcal{L} with $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$, we define*

$$\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{SW}(X, g, \delta, \mathcal{L})$$

where (g, δ) is generic with $(2\pi c_1(\mathcal{L}) - \delta) \cdot [\omega_g] > 0$. $\mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})$ is defined similarly.

Notice that $\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})$ depend on the choice of the forward cone. They are switched if we change the forward cone.

We will study the minimal genus problem for a cohomology class A with non-negative square. Since A and $-A$ have the same minimal genus, we can assume that A lies in the closure of the forward cone \mathcal{C} .

We recall the symmetry and the blow up formulas for \mathbf{SW}_\pm .

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, and \mathcal{L} a $spin^c$ structure on X with formal dimension $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$. Let E be the exceptional class in $H^2(X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}; \mathbb{Z})$. The forward cone $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of $X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Then we have*

- *Complex conjugation ([30],[19])*

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p) = (-1)^{j(p)} \mathbf{SW}_-(X, -\mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p)$$

where $j(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(p - b_1)$.

- *Blow-up formula* ([1], [16])

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{SW}_\pm(X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \mathcal{L} \pm E) = \mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

We explain (10) briefly since it is only slightly different from Corollary 6.8.4 in [19]. Looking at the definition of \mathbf{SW} above and the proof of Corollary 6.8.4 in [19], we infer that the total effect of complex conjugation on the invariant is $(-1)^{\delta + \frac{k(\mathcal{L})+p}{2}}$, where $\delta = 1 + b_1 + b^+ + \text{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(D_A)$ counts the change of orientation of moduli space, and $\frac{1}{2}(k(\mathcal{L}) + p) = \frac{1}{2}\{(b_1 - 1 - b^+) + 2\text{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(D_A) + p\}$ counts the number of changes of $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$, since complex conjugation changes the first Chern class of the universal line bundle $\mu \rightarrow -\mu$. So the total effect is $(-1)^{j(p)}$, where we have used $b^+ = 1$.

3.1.2. *Two types of invariants.* For type I adjunction classes, we need the following version of invariants,

$$\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1})$$

where

$$\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{b_1}\}$$

is an integral basis for $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$ compatible with the orientation of $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$. This invariant is defined if $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq b_1(X)$. Let \mathcal{L} be a spin^c structure with $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c$. Observe that

$$k(\mathcal{L}) - b_1 = \frac{c^2 - \sigma(X)}{4} - 2$$

where we have used $b^+ = 1$. $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq b_1$ is equivalent to $c^2 - \sigma(X) \geq 8$, or $c^2 > \sigma(X)$ since $c^2 \equiv \sigma(X) \pmod{8}$.

For type II adjunction classes, we need the following version of invariants:

$$\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_{\tilde{b}_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1})$$

where the set of homology classes

$$\{\gamma_{\tilde{b}_1+1}, \dots, \gamma_{b_1}\} \subset H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$$

is chosen as follows. Take an integral basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{b_1}\}$ for $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 such that T is non-degenerate on the linear span of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\tilde{b}_1}\}$ and $\{x_{\tilde{b}_1+1}, \dots, x_{b_1}\}$ is a basis for $\ker T$. We further assume that this basis is compatible with the orientation of $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$.

Let $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{b_1}\}$ be the dual basis for $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$, i.e. $\langle x_i, \gamma_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. This version of invariant is defined if $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq b_1(X) - \tilde{b}_1(X)$. Similarly observe that

$$k(\mathcal{L}) - b_1 + \tilde{b}_1 = \frac{1}{4}(c^2 - 2\tilde{\chi} - 3\sigma).$$

When $b_1 = \tilde{b}_1$, the invariants are simply $\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})$.

The wall crossing numbers for these two types of invariants are given below.

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1}) - \mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1}) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad & \mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_{\tilde{b}_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1}) - \mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})(\gamma_{\tilde{b}_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1}) \\
&= k_1 \langle \gamma_{\tilde{b}_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_{b_1} \wedge u_c^{\frac{\tilde{b}_1}{2}}, x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{b_1} \rangle \\
&= k_2 (c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot F)^{\frac{\tilde{b}_1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $k_1 = \pm \frac{1}{(b_1/2)!}$, k_2 is a nonzero constant, $u_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq b_1} c_{ij} \gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j$, $c_{ij} = \langle c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cup x_i \cup x_j, [X] \rangle$, and F is a generator for $\text{Im}T$. Formula (12) follows from [7, 14], and the first equality in (13) follows from Theorem 16 in [22]. The second equality in (13) follows from direct computation. Assume $x_i x_j = d_{ij} F$. Then $c_{ij} = d_{ij} c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot F$. We have $k_2 \neq 0$ since T is non-degenerate on the linear span of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\tilde{b}_1}\}$.

In light of the SW dimension calculation above and wall crossing formulas (12) and (13), Theorem 1.4 follows from the following more general result which involves the wall crossing value of the full \mathbf{SW} .

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+(X) = 1$, and $\Sigma \subset X$ a connected, smooth, embedded surface with $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma \geq 0$. Assume \mathcal{L} is a spin^c structure such that its SW dimension $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$, and the wall crossing value*

$$\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) - \mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})$$

is nonzero (in $\wedge^ H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$). Then*

$$2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq \Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle|.$$

For convenience, we abbreviate $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ to c .

3.2. The case $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma \leq |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$. Notice that $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$ is an even number since c is a characteristic class. So if $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle| < 0$, $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle| \leq -2$ and the adjunction inequality holds trivially.

In the case $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$, we use the following result of Morgan-Szabó-Taubes (it is stated for SW but certainly works for the full \mathbf{SW}):

Lemma 3.4 ([21], Lemma 10.2). *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, and $\Sigma \subset X$ a smooth embedded sphere with $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$ and $[\Sigma]$ of infinite order. Let \mathcal{L} be a spin^c structure and use the forward cone \mathcal{C} of $[\Sigma]$ to define $\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})$. Then*

$$\mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

whenever $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle = 0$.

Suppose that $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma > 0$ and $\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$. Blowup X at $n = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$ points. Let \tilde{X} be the resulting manifold, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ the resulting surface, which has the same genus as Σ , and E_1, \dots, E_n the exceptional classes in $H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then $[\tilde{\Sigma}] = [\Sigma] - \sum \text{PD}(E_i)$. Consider the class

$$\tilde{c} = c - \sum E_i.$$

\tilde{c} is still characteristic and has the same SW dimension as c . Moreover, $\tilde{\Sigma} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} = \langle \tilde{c}, [\tilde{\Sigma}] \rangle = 0$. Thus we are reduced to the case that

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0, \quad \langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle = 0.$$

In this case, we will show that Σ is not a sphere by applying Lemma 3.4 to both c and $-c$.

If Σ is a sphere and $[\Sigma]$ is of infinite order, by Lemma 3.4 applied to $\pm c$, $\mathbf{SW}_-(X, \pm c) = 0$.

By the assumption on the non-trivial wall crossing for c , there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, c)(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p) \neq 0$.

By formula (10) in Lemma 3.2,

$$\mathbf{SW}_-(X, -c)(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p) = \pm \mathbf{SW}_+(X, c)(\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_p) \neq 0.$$

This contradicts $\mathbf{SW}_-(X, -c) = 0$.

3.3. $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma > |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$. Since c is characteristic, we actually have $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle| \geq 2$ in this case.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, and $\Sigma \subset X$ a connected smooth embedded surface with*

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma > 0 \text{ and } g(\Sigma) > 0.$$

Suppose \mathcal{L} is a spin^c structure such that its SW dimension $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$, the wall crossing value $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) - \mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})$ is nonzero (in $\wedge^ H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$), and*

$$|\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle| < \Sigma \cdot \Sigma.$$

Then

$$2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq \Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle|.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3 assuming Proposition 3.5. The case $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma \leq |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$ has been dealt with in Section 3.2.

So we assume that $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma > |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle|$ as in Proposition 3.5. If we also assume that Σ has positive genus, then Theorem 3.3 follows from Proposition 3.5.

So to prove Theorem 3.3, it remains to show that Σ cannot be a sphere if $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle| \geq 2$.

Assume Σ is a sphere and satisfies $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c, [\Sigma] \rangle| \geq 2$. We can attach a trivial handle to Σ and construct a torus T , which is homologous to Σ . Observe that $2g(T) - 2 = 0$, which is less than $T \cdot T - |\langle c, [T] \rangle| \geq 2$. So this is impossible by Proposition 3.5.

Therefore we do obtain the adjunction inequality. \square

3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.5. We start with the following inequality in the case $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$, which is essentially the combination of Proposition 8, Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 of [7]. The arguments are the same with the ordinary SW invariants replaced by the $V(X)$ -valued invariants \mathbf{SW} .

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a smooth, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold with $b^+ = 1$, and $\Sigma \subset X$ a connected smooth embedded surface with $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$ and $g(\Sigma) > 0$. Let \mathcal{L} be a Spin^c structure and use the forward cone \mathcal{C} of $[\Sigma]$ to define $\mathbf{SW}_\pm(X, \mathcal{L})$.*

If $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle > 0$ and $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, then

$$2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle|.$$

The inequality also holds if $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle < 0$ and $\mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle > 0$ and $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$. Assume H is an integral class in \mathcal{C} with $H^2 = 1$ and U is a tubular neighborhood of Σ diffeomorphic to $\Sigma \times D$, where D is a 2-dimensional disk. Let $Y = \partial U$, which is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma \times S^1$. Choose a metric g on X such that it has product form $g_\Sigma + d\theta^2 + dt^2$ in a collar $Y \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, where g_Σ is a metric on Σ with constant scalar curvature $-4\pi(2g - 2)$ and unit area, $d\theta^2$ is the metric on S^1 , and dt^2 is the metric on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Let g_R be the metric given by attaching a cylinder $Y \times [-R, R]$ with product metric $g_\Sigma + d\theta^2 + dt^2$ between $X - U$ and U . Let ω_{g_R} be the unique self-dual harmonic form in \mathcal{C} normalized by $H \cdot [\omega_{g_R}] = 1$. Take a sequence $\{R_i\}$ increasing to infinity. The corresponding sequence of self-dual harmonic forms $\{\omega_{g_{R_i}}\}$ has a subsequence (also called $\{\omega_{g_{R_i}}\}$) converging to a limit, called ω_{LN} . By the proof of Lemma 10 in [7], $\langle [\omega_{g_{R_i}}], [\Sigma] \rangle \rightarrow 0$. By the light cone lemma ([15] Lemma 2.6), $[\omega_{LN}] = \frac{1}{\langle H, [\Sigma] \rangle} \text{PD}([\Sigma])$. In particular, $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\omega_{g_{R_i}}] > 0$ as $i \gg 0$. Since $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{X, g_{R_i}}(\mathcal{L})$ is non-empty when $i \gg 0$. By [7] Proposition 8 and Lemma 9, $2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle|$ as required.

The proof for $\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle < 0$ and $\mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ case is the same. \square

Under the conditions of this lemma, we call g_{R_i} the long neck metric. We also call the chamber containing ω_{R_i} with $i \gg 0$ the long neck chamber and define $\mathbf{SW}_{LN}(X, \mathcal{L})$ as the \mathbf{SW} invariant of X with respect to \mathcal{L} and the chamber.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. If we blow up X at $n = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$ points on Σ , the blowup surface $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset X \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ satisfies

$$g(\tilde{\Sigma}) = g(\Sigma), \quad [\tilde{\Sigma}] = [\Sigma] - \sum \text{PD}(E_i), \quad \tilde{\Sigma} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} = 0,$$

with E_1, \dots, E_n the exceptional classes. Suppose \mathcal{L} is a spin^c structure such that its SW dimension $k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$, and the wall crossing value $\mathbf{SW}_+(X, \mathcal{L}) - \mathbf{SW}_-(X, \mathcal{L})$ is nonzero (in $\wedge^* H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$), and $|\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle| < \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$.

Notice that in the formulae of Lemma 3.2, the forward cone $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of $X \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence $\text{PD}([\tilde{\Sigma}]) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be the spin^c structures on $X \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ with

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \sum E_i,$$

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_2) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) - \sum E_i.$$

Then $k(\mathcal{L}_1) = k(\mathcal{L}_2) = k(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ and

$$\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_1), [\tilde{\Sigma}] \rangle = \langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle + \Sigma \cdot \Sigma > 0,$$

$$\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_2), [\tilde{\Sigma}] \rangle = \langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle - \Sigma \cdot \Sigma < 0.$$

So $\text{PD}([\tilde{\Sigma}])$ is in the positive (resp. negative) chamber of $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1)$ (resp. $c_1(\mathcal{L}_2)$).

Consider the long neck metric \tilde{g}_{R_i} on $X \sharp n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ related to $\tilde{\Sigma}$, we know that the class of limit 2-form is $[\tilde{\omega}_{LN}] = \frac{1}{\langle H, [\tilde{\Sigma}] \rangle} \text{PD}([\tilde{\Sigma}])$. So $\tilde{\omega}_{LN}$ is in the positive (resp. negative) chamber of $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1)$ (resp. $c_1(\mathcal{L}_2)$) as well.

By the blowup formula (Lemma 3.2), we have

$$\mathbf{SW}_{\pm}(X \sharp n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \mathcal{L}_i) = \mathbf{SW}_{\pm}(X, \mathcal{L}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By the assumption of non-trivial wall crossing, at least one of

$$\mathbf{SW}_{\pm}(X, \mathcal{L})$$

is nonzero. Hence either

$$\mathbf{SW}_{+}(X \sharp n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \mathcal{L}_1) \neq 0$$

or

$$\mathbf{SW}_{-}(X \sharp n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \mathcal{L}_2) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.6,

$$2g(\Sigma) - 2 = 2g(\tilde{\Sigma}) - 2 \geq |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}_i), [\tilde{\Sigma}] \rangle| = |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle \pm \Sigma \cdot \Sigma|.$$

In either case, $2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq \Sigma \cdot \Sigma - |\langle c_1(\mathcal{L}), [\Sigma] \rangle|$. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

We will apply Theorem 1.4 to obtain explicit genus bound for cohomology classes with non-negative square.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let us make a simple observation.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose Y is a closed 4-manifold and $X = Y \# (S^1 \times S^3)$. Then under the natural isomorphism $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$mg_X(A) \leq mg_Y(A) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\Lambda(X)}(A) = h_{\Lambda(Y)}(A).$$

Proof. The inequality about mg is clear since any smooth surface in Y can be considered as a surface in X representing the same class.

The equality about h follows from Lemma 2.7. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that Λ is a cohomology algebra of $b^+ = 1$ type. When $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$ and Λ is Lefschetz, there exists a closed, connected, symplectic 4-manifold X_{Λ} and an algebra isomorphism $H^*(X_{\Lambda}; \mathbb{Z})/T \cong \Lambda$ such that*

$$h(A) = mg_{X_{\Lambda}}(A)$$

whenever $h(A) \geq 0$. Furthermore, X_Λ can be chosen from the list in Theorem 1.5.

Proof. We still divide the discussion into five cases as in the beginning of Section 2.3 and choose X_Λ in each case.

Case (1) T trivial and $\Gamma = U$. In this case, let $X_\Lambda = S^2 \times S^2$.

Case (2) T trivial and $\Gamma = U \oplus (-E)$. In this case, choose X_Λ to be the Enriques surface.

Case (3) $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = b_1(\Lambda) = 2$ and $\Gamma = U$. In this case, let $X_\Lambda = S^2 \times T^2$.

Case (4) T trivial and $\Gamma = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle, 0 \leq n \leq 9$. In this case, let $X_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Case (5) $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = b_1(\Lambda) = 2$ and $\Gamma = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$. In this case, choose X_Λ to be the non-trivial S^2 -bundle over T^2 .

We will need the following facts about these symplectic manifolds X_Λ .

Lemma 4.3. *For those symplectic manifolds $X = X_\Lambda$,*

- $mg_X(A)$ has been computed for any class A with $A \cdot A \geq 0$.
- $D(X) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$, where $D(X)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ induced by orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of X .

Proof. The fact that $D(X) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ for $S^2 \times S^2$, S^2 -bundles over T^2 is straightforward (see e.g [12] Remark 3). The equality for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, n \leq 9$ was due to Wall [29] Theorem 2 and the following corollary, and for Enriques surface due to Lönne [18] Theorem 8 (extending Friedman-Morgan [2]).

The minimal genus function mg was computed in [13], [25] for $S^2 \times S^2$, in [12] for S^2 -bundles over T^2 , and in [13], [11] for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, n \leq 9$. For the Enriques surface, the generalized Thom conjecture in [21] implies that the genus bound (6) is valid for any class with non-negative square. Moreover, the minimal genus of A with $A \cdot A \geq 0$ is given precisely by $\frac{1}{2}(A \cdot A + 2)$, which can be seen from symplectic Seiberg-Witten theory as in [17]. □

By Lemma 4.3, for any class of non-negative square A of X_Λ , there is a geometric automorphism $\phi \in D(X_\Lambda)$ such that $A' = \phi(A)$ is reduced. Since $mg_X(A') = mg_X(A)$ and $h(A') = h(A)$, it suffices to show that $h = mg_X$ for reduced classes with non-negative square and non-negative h .

This equality is clear from comparing (5)-(8), (9) and the references in Lemma 4.3. □

We remark that there are actually connected symplectic surfaces in the class A for some symplectic forms, whenever $A \cdot A > 0$, or $A \cdot A = 0$ and A is primitive. Another fact is that when the symplectic form is reduced, the symplectic canonical class is the same as c_0 .

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let Λ_{red} be the reduction of Λ . Then

$$2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda_{red}) + 3\sigma(\Lambda_{red}) = 2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0.$$

For $A \in \Lambda^2$ with $A \cdot A > 0$, under the natural isomorphism between Λ^2 and Λ_{red}^2 , Lemma 2.7, Corollary 2.13 and Lemma 2.14 imply $h(A) \geq 0$.

Let $Y = X_{\Lambda_{red}}$ as in Proposition 4.2. Then under an isomorphism (in fact under any isomorphism) $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})/Tor \cong \Lambda_{red}$, we have

$$h(A) = mg_Y(A)$$

whenever $h(A) \geq 0$.

Let $X_\Lambda = Y \# l(S^1 \times S^3)$, where $l = b_1(\Lambda) - \tilde{b}_1(\Lambda)$. By Theorem 1.4, Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$h_{\Lambda(X_\Lambda)}(A) \leq mg_{X_\Lambda}(A) \leq mg_Y(A) = h_{\Lambda(Y)}(A) = h_{\Lambda(X_\Lambda)}(A),$$

whenever $h(A) \geq 0$.

This implies the desired equality. □

4.2. Sphere representability. In light of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, when $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$, Corollary 2.13 and Lemma 2.14 provide strong constraints for classes represented by embedded spheres. We summarize the constraints as follows.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $2\tilde{\chi}(\Lambda) + 3\sigma(\Lambda) \geq 0$ and $A \in \Lambda^2$ is a nonzero reduced class with $A \cdot A \geq 0$ and represented by a sphere.*

If $A \cdot A > 0$, then $\tilde{b}_1(\Lambda) = 0$. And either

- Λ is in **Case (1)** and $A = aF + B$ with $a \geq 1$, or
- Λ is in **Case (4)** and A is one of the following classes

$$H, \quad 2H, \quad aH - (a-1)E_1, \quad aH - (a-1)E_1 - E_2, \quad a \geq 2.$$

If $A \cdot A = 0$, then either

- Λ is in **Cases (1), (3), (5)** and $A = aF$ with $a \geq 1$, or
- Λ is in **Case (4)** and $A = a(H - E_1)$ with $a \geq 1$.

When $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma < 0$, the calculation of h is harder. Nevertheless, we still hope to show: the only possible classes (with non-negative square) represented by spheres are the same as in the case $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma \geq 0$.

In the 80s and 90s using Donaldson's diagonalization of definite smooth manifolds, there have been many applications to representing classes by spheres in manifolds with $b^+ = 1$. The most general one is due to Kikuchi (Theorem 1 in [6]), which is reformulated for the trivial T case as follows:

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose X is a smooth, closed, oriented 4-manifold with trivial $T(X)$. Suppose A is a class with $A \cdot A > 0$ and represented by an embedded sphere. Then A is equivalent under $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ to one of the classes in the first part of Proposition 4.4.*

When T is non-trivial, we have the following simple observation.

Lemma 4.6. *When T is non-trivial, there are no classes with positive square and representable by spheres.*

Proof. Suppose A is a class with $A \cdot A = s > 0$ and represented by an embedded sphere S in X . Let (X', S') be the connected sum of the pair (X, S) and $s-1$ copies of the pairs $(\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1})$. Notice that S' is a sphere with self-intersection 1 whose neighborhood has S^3 as boundary. Thus (X', S') can be decomposed into the connected sum $(Z, \emptyset) \# (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$, where Z has negative-definite intersection form. However, $H^1(Z; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and hence F is in $H^2(Z; \mathbb{Z})$ and non-trivial. But this is impossible since $F \cdot F = 0$ and Z is negative definite. \square

So the remaining case is $A \cdot A = 0$.

Conjecture 4.7. *Suppose $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma < 0$. Suppose A is a class with $A \cdot A = 0$ and represented by an embedded sphere. Then A is equivalent under $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)^T$ to one of the classes in the last part of Proposition 4.4.*

Remark 4.8. *For rational or ruled 4-manifolds, all the classes in Conjecture 4.7 and Theorem 4.5 are indeed represented by embedded spheres.*

So far we can verify Conjecture 4.7 for the following three cases.

Case 1. $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 4$, $\Gamma = U$ as **Case (3)** in Section 2.3. The only difference is $2\tilde{\chi} + 3\sigma = 8 - 4\tilde{b}_1 < 0$. $A = aF + bB$ is reduced with non-negative square if $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$. Again $c_0 = 2B$ is a type II adjunction class. The only possible spherical classes are aF according to formula (7).

Case 2. $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 4$, $\Gamma = V$ as **Case (5)** in Section 2.3. $A = aF + bB$ is reduced with non-negative square if $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, or $a > 0$, $b \leq -2a$. $c_0 = 2B - F$ is a type II adjunction class. The only possible spherical classes are aF according to formula (8).

Case 3. $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 2$, $\Gamma = U \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$. The verification in this case is elementary but a bit long, so we omit the details.

The remaining cases for Conjecture 4.7 are: T trivial, $\Gamma = \langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle$ with $n \geq 10$, and $\tilde{b}_1 \geq 2$, $\Gamma = U \oplus (n-1)\langle -1 \rangle$ with $n \geq 3$.

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF LEMMAS 2.12 AND 2.14

Proof of Lemma 2.12. Recall that $A = aH - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i$ is reduced means that $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq 0$, and $a \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3$. We also have $A \cdot A = a^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \geq 0$.

Let $c = kH - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i E_i$ be a characteristic class. Then k and e_i are odd. We may assume $k > 0$. Since T is trivial, there are only type I adjunction classes. Such a class c satisfies

$$c \cdot c = k^2 - \sum e_i^2 \geq \sigma + 8 = 9 - n \geq 0.$$

Since $e_i \neq 0$ for any i , we must have $k \geq 3$. Let $c_0 = 3H - \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$. Then

$$c_0 \cdot A = 3a - \sum b_i \geq 3(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) - \sum b_i \geq 0.$$

So $|c_0 \cdot A| = c_0 \cdot A$ and we obtain the formula for h_{c_0} as in (9).

We will show $h(A) = h_{c_0}(A)$ by verifying that $c \cdot A \geq c_0 \cdot A$ among all type I adjunction classes with positive k coefficient. That is, we will prove that

$$(14) \quad ka - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \geq 3a - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i,$$

where $(k; e_1, \dots, e_n)$ and $(a; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ satisfy the said conditions. Observe that

$$ka - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \geq ka - \sum_{i=1}^n |e_{\tau(i)}| b_i$$

by a rearrangement, where τ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|e_{\tau(1)}| \geq |e_{\tau(2)}| \geq \dots \geq |e_{\tau(n)}| \geq 1$. So it suffices to prove (14) under an extra condition

$$e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_n \geq 1.$$

Since $a \geq 1$ for nonzero reduced classes, let $x_i = b_i/a$ for all i . Then

$$(k-3)a - \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i - 1)b_i = a[k-3 - \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i - 1)x_i].$$

If $n < 9$, we may extend to $n = 9$ case by setting $e_{n+1} = \dots = e_9 = 1$ and $x_{n+1} = \dots = x_9 = 0$. So we need to prove that

$$(15) \quad k - 3 - \sum_{i=1}^9 (e_i - 1)x_i \geq 0$$

under conditions

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} k > e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_9 \geq 1, & \text{all odd,} \\ k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^9 e_i^2 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^9 x_i^2 \leq 1, \\ x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_9 \geq 0, \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the second and third inequalities in (17) imply that

$$1 \geq (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^9 x_i^2.$$

So the first inequality in (17) is redundant and will be ignored.

If $e_1 = e_2 = \dots = e_9 = 1$, then $k \geq 3$, and inequality (14) or (15) holds obviously. In any other cases, $e_1 \geq 3$. For fixed $(k; e_1, \dots, e_9)$ satisfying

(16) and $e_1 \geq 3$, we will try to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^9 (e_i - 1)x_i \leq k - 3$$

for any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9 satisfying constraints (17). It is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^9 (e_i - 1)x_i \leq (e_1 - 1)x_1 + (e_2 - 1)x_2 + \left(\sum_{i=3}^9 (e_i - 1) \right) x_3 =: P(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Hence it is enough to find the maximum value of $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ when $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 1, x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq 0$, and show that it is not greater than $k - 3$. Since $1 - x_2 - x_3 \geq x_1$, we have $P(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq P(1 - x_2 - x_3, x_2, x_3)$ and can assume $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$.

If $\sum_{i=3}^9 (e_i - 1) \leq e_1 - 1$, by the condition $k \geq e_1 + 2$,

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq (e_1 - 1)x_1 + (e_1 - 1)x_2 + (e_1 - 1)x_3 = e_1 - 1 \leq k - 3.$$

Assume $\sum_{i=3}^9 (e_i - 1) > e_1 - 1 \geq e_2 - 1$ now. Note that

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq P\left(x_1, \frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}, \frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}\right).$$

So we can assume further that $x_2 = x_3$. Then $x_2 = \frac{1 - x_1}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, x_2, x_2) &= (e_1 - 1)x_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^9 (e_i - 1) \right) \frac{1 - x_1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^9 (e_i - 1) + \frac{x_1}{2} \left[2(e_1 - 1) - \sum_{i=2}^9 (e_i - 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $2(e_1 - 1) \geq \sum_{i=2}^9 (e_i - 1)$, $P(x_1, x_2, x_2)$ attains maximum value at $x_1 = 1$ and $P(1, 0, 0) = (e_1 - 1) \leq k - 3$.

If $2(e_1 - 1) < \sum_{i=2}^9 (e_i - 1)$, $P(x_1, x_2, x_2)$ attains maximum value at $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, and we have

$$P\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 (e_i - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 e_i \right) - 3 \leq k - 3$$

where the last inequality follows $k^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^9 e_i^2$ and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

This completes the proof of inequality (14), hence the Lemma. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.14. By Lemma 2.12 we need to solve

$$2h(A) = a^2 - 3a + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(b_i - 1) \leq 0$$

under constraints:

$$(1) \quad A^2 = a^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \geq 0;$$

- (2) $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_n \geq 0$;
- (3) $a \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3$;
- (4) $1 \leq n \leq 9$.

As noted in the proof of Lemma 2.12, the first inequality is redundant and will be ignored. We follow the method in Section 5 of [11]. Let $a = b_1 + t$. Constraints (2) and (3) imply that $t \geq b_2 + b_3 \geq 2b_3$. If $t = 0$, then $b_2 = b_3 = \cdots = b_n = 0$, and $a = b_1 \geq 1$. So $A = a(H - E_1)$, and $2h(A) = (a - 1)(a - 2) - a(a - 1) = -2(a - 1) \leq 0$.

If $t = 1$, then $b_2 + b_3 \leq 1$. So either $b_2 = 1, b_3 = \cdots = b_n = 0$, or $b_2 = b_3 = \cdots = b_n = 0$. In either case, we have $h(A) = 0$.

Now assume $t \geq 2$. We want to show that $\min h(A) = 0$ in this case. Substitute $b_1 = a - t$, and use constraints (2) and (4) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
2h(A) &= a^2 - 3a + 2 - (a - t)^2 + (a - t) - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i(b_i - 1) \\
&= 2(t - 1)a - t^2 - t + 2 - b_2^2 + b_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n (b_i^2 - b_i) \\
&\geq 2(t - 1)(b_2 + t) - t^2 - t + 2 - b_2^2 + b_2 - 7(b_3^2 - b_3) \\
&:= q(b_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the definition of $q(b_2)$, we have fixed t and b_3 . So $b_2 \in [b_3, t - b_3]$. It is clear that q is a quadratic function in b_2 , so it takes minimum value only at end points of the interval $[b_3, t - b_3]$. But

$$q(t - b_3) - q(b_3) = (t - 1)(t - 2b_3) \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$2h(A) \geq q(b_3) = 2(t - 1)(b_3 + t) - t^2 - t + 2 - 8(b_3^2 - b_3) := l_t(b_3),$$

where $0 \leq b_3 \leq \frac{t}{2}$. For fixed t , l_t is a quadratic function in b_3 , and takes minimum value only at end points of the interval $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$. Since $t \geq 2$,

$$l_t(0) = 2(t - 1)t - t^2 - t + 2 = t^2 - 3t + 2 = (t - 1)(t - 2) \geq 0$$

and

$$l_t\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = 2(t - 1) \cdot \frac{3}{2}t - t^2 - t + 2 - 8 \cdot \frac{t}{2} \cdot \frac{t - 2}{2} = 2 > 0.$$

It follows that $2h(A) \geq l_t(b_3) \geq 0$, and equality holds only if $t = 2$ and $b_2 = b_3 = 0$. So the only possible solutions for $h(A) \leq 0$ in this case are $A = (b + 2)H - bE_1$, $b \geq 0$. Compute

$$2h(A) = (b + 1)b - b(b - 1) = 2b \leq 0$$

to see that the only solution is $b = 0$, i.e. $A = 2H$.

Combining the above discussion, we see that all possible solutions to $h(A) \leq 0$ for case (4) are listed in the statement. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Fintushel, R. Stern, *Immersed spheres in 4-manifolds and the immersed Thom conjecture*, Turkish J. Math., **19** (1995), 145–157.
- [2] R. Friedman, J. Morgan, *On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surfaces I*, J. Diff. Geom. **27** (1988), 297–369.
- [3] M. Furuta, Y. Kametani, N. Minami, *Stable-homotopy Seiberg-Witten invariants for rational cohomology $K3\sharp K3$'s*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **8** (2001), no. 1, 157–176.
- [4] H. Gao, *Representing homology classes of almost definite 4-manifolds*, Topology and Its Appl., **52** (1993), 109–120.
- [5] W. C. Hsiang, R. H. Szczarba, *On embedding surfaces in four-manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **22** (1971), 97–103.
- [6] K. Kikuchi, *Positive 2-spheres in 4-manifolds of signature $(1, n)$* , Pacific J. Math. **160** (1993), 245–258.
- [7] P. B. Kronheimer, T. S. Mrowka, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Math. Res. Letters, **1** (1994), 797–808.
- [8] T. Lawson, *Smooth embeddings of 2-spheres in 4-manifolds*, Expos. Math., **10** (1992), 289–309.
- [9] T. Lawson, *The minimal genus problem*, Expos. Math., **15** (1997), 385–431.
- [10] R. Lee, T.-J. Li, *Intersection forms of non-spin four manifolds*, Math. Ann., **319** (2001), 311–318.
- [11] B.-H. Li, *Representing nonnegative homology classes of $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ by minimal genus smooth embeddings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 9, 4155–4169.
- [12] B.-H. Li, T.-J. Li, *Minimal genus embeddings in S^2 -bundles over surfaces*, Math. Research Letters, **4** (1997), 379–394.
- [13] B.-H. Li, T.-J. Li, *Minimal genus smooth embeddings in $S^2 \times S^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ with $n \leq 8$* , Topology **37** (1998), no. 3, 575–594.
- [14] T.-J. Li, A. Liu, *General wall crossing formula*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), no. 6, 797–810.
- [15] T.-J. Li, A. Liu, *Symplectic structure on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction formula*, Math. Research Letters **2** (1995), no. 4, 453–471.
- [16] T.-J. Li, A. Liu, *The equivalence between SW and Gr in the case where $b^+ = 1$* , IMRN (1999), no. 7, 335–345.
- [17] T.-J. Li, A. Liu, *Uniqueness of symplectic canonical classes, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , J. Diff. Geom., **58** (2001), 331–370.
- [18] M. Lönne, *On the diffeomorphism groups of elliptic surfaces*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), no. 1, 103–117.
- [19] J. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Mathematical Notes, 44, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [20] J. Morgan, Z. Szabó, *Homotopy $K3$ surfaces and mod 2 Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 1, 17–21.
- [21] J. Morgan, Z. Szabo, C. Taubes, *A product formula for Seiberg-Witten invariants and the generalized Thom conjecture*, J. Diff. Geom., **44** (1996), 706–788.
- [22] Ch. Okonek, A. Teleman, *Seiberg-Witten invariants for manifolds with $b_+ = 1$ and the universal wall crossing formula*, Int. J. Math., **7** (1996), no. 6, 811–832.
- [23] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, *The symplectic Thom conjecture*, Ann. Math., **151** (2000), 93–124.
- [24] V. A. Rokhlin, *Two-dimensional submanifolds of four-dimensional manifolds*, Func. Analysis Appl., **6** (1971), 39–48.
- [25] D. Ruberman, *The minimal genus of an embedded surface of non-negative square in a rational surface*, Turkish J. Math. **20** (1996), no. 1, 129–133.
- [26] S. Strle, *Bounds on genus and geometric intersections from cylindrical end moduli spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **65** (2003), no. 3, 469–511.

- [27] C. T. C. Wall, *On the orthogonal groups of unimodular quadratic forms*, Math. Ann., **147** (1962), 328–338.
- [28] C. T. C. Wall, *On the orthogonal groups of unimodular quadratic forms. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **213** (1963/1964), 122–136.
- [29] C. T. C. Wall, *Diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds*, J. London Math. Soc., **39** (1964), 131–140.
- [30] E. Witten, *Monopoles and 4-manifolds*. Math. Res. Letters. **1** (1994), 760–796.
- [31] X. Zhao, H. Gao, H. Qiu, *The minimal genus problem in rational surfaces $CP^2 \# n\overline{CP^2}$* , Sci. China Ser. A: Mathematics, **49** (2006), no. 9, 1275–1283.

LMAM, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871,
P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: daibo@math.pku.edu.cn

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN

E-mail address: ciho@math.cts.nthu.edu.tw

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455

E-mail address: tjli@math.umn.edu