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Abstract.
In this paper we obtain the non-asymptotic inequalities of Poincaré type between function and its weak gradient belonging the so-called Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces over general metric measurable space. We also prove the sharpness of these inequalities.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(X, M, \mu, d)$ be metric measurable space with finite non-trivial measure $\mu$ : $0<$ $\mu(X)<\infty$ and also with finite non-trivial distance function $d=d(x, y)$ :

$$
0<\operatorname{diam}(X):=\sup _{x, y \in X} d(x, y)<\infty
$$

Define also for arbitrary numerical measurable function $u: X \rightarrow R$ the following average

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{X}=\frac{1}{\mu(X)} \int_{X} u(x) d \mu(x) \\
\|u\|_{p}=\left[\int_{X}|u(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)\right]^{1 / p}, p=\mathrm{const} \in[1, \infty]
\end{gathered}
$$

$g(x)=\nabla[u](x)$ will denote a so-called minimal weak upper gradient of the function $u(\cdot)$, i.e. the (measurable) minimal function such that for any rectifiable curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow X$

$$
|u(\gamma(1))-u(\gamma(0))| \leq \int_{\gamma} g(s) d s
$$

Note that if the function $u(\cdot)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

$$
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq L \cdot d(x, y), \quad 0 \leq L=\text { const }<\infty,
$$

then the function $g(x)=\nabla[u](x)$ there exists and is bounded: $g(x) \leq L$.
"The term Poincaré type inequality is used, somewhat loosely, to describe a class of inequalities that generalize the classical Poincaré inequality"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D}|u(z)|^{p} d x \leq\left. A_{m}(p, D) \int_{D}| | \operatorname{grad} u(z)\right|^{p} \mid d z, A_{m}(p, D)=\mathrm{const}<\infty \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [1], chapter 8, p.215, and the source work of Poincaré 36.
A particular case done by Wirtinger: "an inequality ascribed to Wirtinger", see [25], p. 66-68; see also [33, [38], 39].

In the inequality (1.0) $D$ may be for instance open bounded non empty convex subset of the whole space $R^{m}$ and has a Lipschitz or at last Hölder boundary, or consists on the finite union of these domains, and $|\operatorname{grad} u(z)|$ is ordinary Euclidean $R^{m}$ norm of "natural" distributive gradient of the differentiable a.e. function $u$.

The generalized Sobolev's norm, more exactly, semi-norm $\|f\| W_{p}^{1}$ of a "weak differentiable" function $f: f: X \rightarrow R$ may be defined by the formula

$$
\|f\| W_{p}^{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left[\int_{X}|\nabla f|^{p} d \mu(x)\right]^{1 / p}=\|\nabla f\|_{p}
$$

We will call "the Poincaré inequality", or more precisely "the Poincaré $(L(p), L(q))$ inequality" more general inequalities of the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu(X)^{-1 / q}\left\|u-u_{X}\right\|_{q} \leq K_{P}(p, q) \operatorname{diam}(X) \mu(X)^{-1 / p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}= \\
K_{P}(p, q) \operatorname{diam}(X) \mu(X)^{-1 / p}\|u\| W_{p}^{1} \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq p<s=\text { const }>1,1 \leq q<\frac{p s}{s-p}- \tag{1.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Poincaré-Lebesgue-Riesz version; or in the case when $p>s$ and after (possible) redefinition of the function $u=u(x)$ on a set of measure zero

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq K_{L}(s, p) d^{1-s / p}(x, y) \mu(X)\|\nabla u\|_{p}= \\
K_{L}(s, p) \mu(X)\|u\| W_{p}^{1}- \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

the Poincaré-Lipshitz version; the case $p=s$ in our setting of problem, indeed, in the terms of Orlicz's spaces and norms, is considered in [19].

The last inequality (1.2) may be reformulated in the terms of the module of continuity of the function $u$ :

$$
\omega(u, \tau):=\sup _{x, y: d(x, y) \leq \tau}|u(x)-u(y)|, \tau \geq 0 .
$$

Namely,

$$
\omega(u, \tau) \leq K_{L}(s, p) \tau^{1-s / p} \mu(X)\|u\| W_{p}^{1} \| .
$$

We will name following the authors of articles [13], [19] etc. all the spaces $(X, M, \mu, d)$ which satisfied the inequalities (1.1) or (1.2) for each functions $\{u\}$ having the weak gradient correspondingly as a Poincaré-Lebesgue spaces or Poincaré-Lipshitz spaces.

As for the constants $s, K_{P}(s, p), K_{L}(s, p)$. The value $s$ may be defined from the following condition (if there exists)

$$
\inf _{x_{1}, x_{2} \in X}\left\{\frac{\mu\left(B\left(x_{1}, r_{1}\right)\right)}{\mu\left(B\left(x_{2}, r_{2}\right)\right)}\right\} \geq C \cdot\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right]^{s}, C=\text { const }>0
$$

where as ordinary $B(x, r)$ denotes a closed ball relative the distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ with the center $x$ and radii $r, r>0$ :

$$
B(x, r)=\{y, y \in X, d(x, y) \leq r\}
$$

This condition is equivalent to the so-called double condition, see [13], [19] and is closely related with the notion of Ahlfors $Q$ - regularity

$$
C_{1} r^{Q} \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq C_{2} r^{Q}, C_{1}, C_{2}, Q=\text { const }>0
$$

see [19], [26].
Further, we will understand as a capacity of the values $K_{P}(s, p), K_{L}(s, p)$ its minimal values, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{P}(p, q) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup _{0<\|\nabla u\|_{p}<\infty}\left\{\frac{\mu(X)^{-1 / q}\left\|u-u_{X}\right\|_{q}}{\operatorname{diam}(X) \mu(X)^{-1 / p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}}\right\},  \tag{1.3a}\\
& K_{L}(s, p) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup _{0<\|\nabla u\|_{p}<\infty}\left\{\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{d^{1-s / p}(x, y) \mu(X)\|\nabla u\|_{p}}\right\} . \tag{1.3b}
\end{align*}
$$

We will denote for simplicity

$$
s=\operatorname{order} X=\operatorname{order}(X, M, \mu, d)
$$

There are many publications about grounding of these inequalities under some conditions and about its applications, see, for instance, in articles [6], [7], [11, [13], [14], [19], [20], [23], [30], [34], [37], [40] and in the classical monographs [3], [12]; see also reference therein.

Our aim is a generalization of the estimation (1.1) and (1.2) on the so-called Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces $B G L=B G L(\psi)=G(\psi)$, i.e. when $u(\cdot) \in G(\psi)$ and to show the precision of obtained estimations.

We recall briefly the definition and needed properties of these spaces. More details see in the works [9], [10, [15], [16], [28, [29], [21], [17], 18] etc. More about rearrangement invariant spaces see in the monographs [4], [22].

For $b=$ const, $1<b \leq \infty$, let $\psi=\psi(p), p \in[1, b)$, be a continuous positive function such that there exists a limits (finite or not) $\psi(1+0)$ and $\psi(b-0)$, with conditions $\inf _{p \in(1, b)} \psi(p)>0$ and $\min \{\psi(1+0), \psi(b-0)\}>0$. We will denote the set of all these functions as $\Psi(b)$ and $b=\operatorname{supp} \psi$.

The Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Space (in notation BGLS) $G(\psi ; a, b)=G(\psi)$ is the space of all measurable functions $f: R^{d} \rightarrow R$ endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\| G(\psi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sup _{p \in(a, b)}\left[\frac{|f|_{p}}{\psi(p)}\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if it is finite.
In the article [29] there are many examples of these spaces.
The $G(\psi)$ spaces over some measurable space $(X, M, \mu)$ with condition $\mu(X)=1$ (probabilistic case) appeared in [21].

The BGLS spaces are rearrangement invariant spaces and moreover interpolation spaces between the spaces $L_{1}\left(R^{d}\right)$ and $L_{\infty}\left(R^{d}\right)$ under real interpolation method [2], [5], [17], [18].

It was proved also that in this case each $G(\psi)$ space coincides only under some additional conditions: convexity of the functions $p \rightarrow p \cdot \ln \psi(p), b=\infty$ etc. [29] with the so-called exponential Orlicz space, up to norm equivalence.

In others quoted publications were investigated, for instance, their associate spaces, fundamental functions $\phi(G(\psi ; a, b) ; \delta)$, Fourier and singular operators, conditions for convergence and compactness, reflexivity and separability, martingales in these spaces, etc.

Remark 1.1 If we introduce the discontinuous function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}(p)=1, p=r ; \psi_{r}(p)=\infty, p \neq r, p, r \in(a, b) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define formally $C / \infty=0, C=$ const $\in R^{1}$, then the norm in the space $G\left(\psi_{r}\right)$ coincides formally with the $L_{r}$ norm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\| G\left(\psi_{r}\right)=|f|_{r} . \tag{1.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Bilateral Grand Lebesgue spaces are direct generalization of the classical exponential Orlicz's spaces and Lebesgue spaces $L_{r}$.

Remark 1.2. Let $F=\left\{f_{\alpha}(x)\right\}, x \in X, \alpha \in A$ be certain family of numerical functions $f_{\alpha}(\cdot): x \rightarrow R, A$ is arbitrary set, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists b>1, \forall p<b \Rightarrow \psi_{F}(p) \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \sup _{\alpha \in A}\left\|f_{\alpha}(\cdot)\right\|_{p}<\infty . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $p \rightarrow \psi_{F}(p)$ is named ordinary as natural function for the family $F$. Evidently,

$$
\forall \alpha \in A \Rightarrow f_{\alpha}(\cdot) \in G \psi_{F}
$$

and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\alpha \in A}\left\|f_{\alpha}(\cdot)\right\| G \psi_{F}=1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BGLS norm estimates, in particular, Orlicz norm estimates for measurable functions, e.g., for random variables are used in PDE [9], [15], theory of probability in Banach spaces [24], [21], [28], in the modern non-parametrical statistics, for example, in the socalled regression problem [28].

We will denote as ordinary the indicator function

$$
I(A)=I(x \in A)=1, x \in A, I(x \in A)=0, x \notin A
$$

here $A$ is a measurable set.
Recall, see, e.g. [4] that the fundamental function $\phi(\delta, S), \delta>0$ of arbitrary rearrangement invariant space $S$ over ( $X, M, \mu$ ) with norm $\|\cdot\| S$ is

$$
\phi(\delta, S) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\|I(A)\| S, \mu(A)=\delta
$$

We have in the case of BGLS spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\delta, G \psi)=\sup _{1 \leq p<b}\left[\frac{\delta^{1 / p}}{\psi(p)}\right] . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This notion play a very important role in the functional analysis, operator theory, theory of interpolation and extrapolation, theory of Fourier series etc., see again [4]. Many properties of the fundamental function for BGLS spaces with considering of several examples see in the articles [29], [27].

Example 1.1. Let $\mu(X)=1$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(b, \beta)}(p)=(b-p)^{-\beta}, 1 \leq p<b, b=\text { const }>1, \beta=\text { const }>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(G \psi^{(b, \beta)}, \delta\right) \sim\left(\beta b^{2} / e\right)^{\beta} \cdot \delta^{1 / b} \cdot|\ln \delta|^{-\beta} . \tag{1.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.2. Let again $\mu(X)=1$ and let now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{(\beta)}(p)=p^{\beta}, 1 \leq p<\infty, \beta=\text { const }>0, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(G \psi_{(\beta)}, \delta\right) \sim \beta^{\beta}|\ln \delta|^{-\beta} \tag{1.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main result: BGLS estimations for Poincaré-Lebesgue-Riesz version. The case of probability measure.

We suppose in this section without loss of generality that the measure $\mu$ is probabilistic: $\mu(X)=1$ and that the source tetrad $(X, M, \mu, d)$ is Poincaré-Lebesgue space.

Assume also that the function $|\nabla u(x)|, x \in X$ belongs to certain BGLS $G \psi$ with $\operatorname{supp} \psi=s=$ order $X>1$; the case when order $\psi=b \neq s$ may be reduced to considered here by transfiguration $s^{\prime}:=\min (b, s)$.

The function $\psi(\cdot)$ may be constructively introduced as a natural function for one function $|\nabla u|$ :

$$
\psi_{(0)}(p):=\|u\| W_{p}^{1},
$$

if there exists and is finite for at least one value $p$ greatest than one.
Define the following function from the set $\Psi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(q):=\inf _{p \in(q s /(q+s), s)}\left\{K_{P}(p, q) \cdot \psi(p)\right\}, 1 \leq q<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{X}\right\| G \nu \leq \operatorname{diam}(X) \cdot\|\nabla u\| G \psi \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the "constant" $\operatorname{diam}(X)$ is the best possible.
Proof. We can suppose without loss of generality $\|\nabla u\| G \psi=1$, then it follows by the direct definition of the norm in BGLS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p} \leq \psi(p), 1 \leq p<s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1.1) may be rewritten in our case as follows:

$$
\left\|u-u_{X}\right\|_{q} \leq K_{P}(p, q) \operatorname{diam}(X) \cdot\|\nabla u\|_{p},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{X}\right\|_{q} \leq K_{P}(p, q) \operatorname{diam}(X) \cdot \psi(p), 1 \leq p<s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the value $p$ is arbitrary in the set $1 \leq p<s$, we can take the minimum of the right - hand side of the inequality (2.4):

$$
\left\|u-u_{X}\right\|_{q} \leq \operatorname{diam}(X) \inf _{1 \leq p<s}\left[K_{P}(p, q) \cdot \psi(p)\right]=\operatorname{diam}(X) \cdot \nu(q)
$$

which is equivalent to the required estimate

$$
\left\|u-u_{X}\right\| G \nu \leq \operatorname{diam}(X)=\operatorname{diam}(X)\|\nabla u\| G \psi
$$

The exactness of the constant diam $(X)$ in the inequality (2.2) follows immediately from theorem 2.1 in the article [32].

## 3. Main result: BGLS estimations for Poincaré-Lebesgue-Riesz version. The general case of arbitrary measure.

The case when the value $\mu(X)$ is variable, is more complicated. As a rule, in the role of a sets $X$ acts balls $B(x, r)$, see [13], [19].

Definition 3.1. We will say that the function $K_{P}(p, q), 1 \leq p<s, 1 \leq q<\infty$ allows factorable estimation, symbolically: $K_{P}(\cdot, \cdot) \in A F E$, iff there exist two functions $R=R(p) \in \Psi(s)$ and $V=V(q) \in G \Psi(\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{P}(p, q) \leq R(p) \cdot V(q) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the source tetrad $(X, M, \mu, d)$ is again Poincaré-Lebesgue space such that $K_{P}(\cdot, \cdot) \in A F E$. Let $\zeta=\zeta(q)$ be arbitrary function from the set $\Psi(\infty)$.

Assume also as before in the second section that the function $|\nabla u(x)|, x \in X$ belongs to certain BGLS $G \psi$ with $\operatorname{supp} \psi=s=$ order $X>1$; the case when order $\psi=b \neq s$ may be reduced to considered here by transfiguration $s^{\prime}:=\min (b, s)$.

Our statement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u-u_{X}\right\| G(V \cdot \zeta)}{\phi(G \zeta, \mu(X))} \leq \operatorname{diam}(X) \cdot \frac{\|\nabla u\| G \psi}{\phi(R \cdot \psi, \mu(X))} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the "constant" $\operatorname{diam}(X)$ in (3.2) is as before the best possible.
Proof. Denote and suppose for brevity $u^{(0)}=u-u_{X}, \mu=\mu(X), \operatorname{diam}(X)=$ $1,\|\nabla u\| G \psi=1$. The last equality imply in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p} \leq \psi(p), 1 \leq p<s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1.1) may be reduced taking into account (3.3) as follows

$$
\mu^{-1 / q}\left\|u^{(0)}\right\|_{q} \leq R(p) V(q) \mu(X)^{-1 / p} \psi(p)
$$

and after dividing by $\zeta(q)$ and by $\mu^{-1 / q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u^{(0)}\right\|_{q}}{V(q) \zeta(q)} \leq R(p) \psi(p) \mu^{-1 / p} \frac{\mu^{1 / q}}{\zeta(q)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the supremum from both the sides of (3.4) over $q$ using the direct definition of the fundamental function and norm for BGLS:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{(0)}\right\| G(V \cdot \zeta) \leq \frac{R(p) \psi(p)}{\mu^{1 / p}} \cdot \phi(G \zeta, \mu) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left-hand side of relation (3.5) does not dependent on the variable $p$, we can take the infinum over $p$. As long as

$$
\inf _{p}\left[\frac{R(p) \psi(p)}{\mu^{1 / p}}\right]=\left[\sup _{p} \frac{\mu^{1 / p}}{R(p) \psi(p)}\right]^{-1}=[\phi(G(R \cdot \psi), \mu)]^{-1}
$$

we deduce from (3.5)

$$
\frac{\left\|u^{(0)}\right\| G(V \cdot \zeta)}{\phi(G \zeta, \mu)} \leq \frac{1}{\phi(G(R \cdot \psi), \mu)}=\operatorname{diam} X \cdot \frac{\|\nabla u\| G \psi}{\phi(G(R \cdot \psi), \mu)}
$$

Q.E.D.

## 4. Main result: BGLS estimations for Poincaré-Lipshitz version.

Recall that we take the number $s, s>1$ to be constant.
We consider in this section the case when $p \in(s, b), s<b=$ const $\leq \infty$.
Theorem 4.1. Suppose the fourth $(X, M, \mu, d)$ is Poincaré-Lipshitz space and that the function $|\nabla u(x)|, x \in X$ belongs to certain BGLS $G \psi$ with supp $\psi=b$. Then the function $u=u(x)$ satisfies after (possible) redefinition on a set of measure zero the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq \mu(X) \cdot \frac{d(x, y)}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), d^{s}(x, y)\right)} \cdot\|\nabla u\| G \psi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(u, \tau) \leq \mu(X) \cdot \frac{\tau}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), \tau^{s}\right)} \cdot\|\nabla u\| G \psi \tag{4.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this time the "constant" $\mu(X)$ is best possible.

Proof. Suppose for brevity $\mu(X)=1,\|\nabla u\| G \psi=1$. The last equality imply in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p} \leq \psi(p), s<p<b \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u(\cdot)$ satisfies the inequality (1.2) after (possible) redefinition of the function $u=u(x)$ on a set of measure zero

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq K_{L}(s, p) d^{1-s / p}(x, y) \mu(X)\|\nabla u\|_{p} \leq \\
K_{L}(s, p) \psi(p) \cdot d^{1-s / p}(x, y) \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The excluding set in (4.3) may be dependent on the value $p$, but it sufficient to consider this inequality only for the rational values $p$ from the interval $(s, b)$.

The last inequality may be transformed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{d(x, y)} \leq \frac{K_{L}(s, p) \cdot \psi(p)}{d^{s / p}(x, y)}=\left[\frac{d^{s / p}(x, y)}{K_{L}(s, p) \cdot \psi(p)}\right]^{-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left - hand side of (4.4) does not dependent on the variable $p$, we can take the infinum from both all the sides of (4.4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{d(x, y)} \leq\left[\sup _{p}\left\{\frac{d^{s / p}(x, y)}{K_{L}(s, p) \cdot \psi(p)}\right\}\right]^{-1}= \\
\frac{1}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), d^{s}(x, y)\right)}=\frac{\mu(X)}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), d^{s}(x, y)\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

or equally

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq \mu(X) \cdot \frac{d(x, y)}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), d^{s}(x, y)\right)}= \\
\mu(X) \cdot \frac{d(x, y)}{\phi\left(G\left(K_{L} \cdot \psi\right), d^{s}(x, y)\right)} \cdot\|\nabla u\| G \psi \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The exactness of the constant $\mu(X)$ may be proved as before, by mention of the article [32].

This completes the proof of theorem 4.1.
Let us consider two examples.
Example 4.1. Suppose in addition to the conditions of theorem 4.1 that $\mu(X)=1$ and

$$
K_{L}(s, p) \cdot \psi(p)=\psi^{(b, \beta)}(p)=(b-p)^{-\beta}, 1 \leq p<b, b=\text { const }>1, \beta=\text { const }>0 .
$$

We deduce taking into account the example 1.1 that for almost everywhere values $(x, y)$ and such that $d(x, y) \leq 1 / e$

$$
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq C_{1}(b, \beta, s) d^{1-1 / b}(x, y)|\ln d(x, y)|^{\beta} \cdot\|\nabla u\| G \psi
$$

Example 4.2. Suppose in addition to the conditions of theorem 4.1 that $\mu(X)=1$ and

$$
K_{L}(s, p) \cdot \psi(p)=\psi_{(\beta)}(p)=p^{\beta}, 1 \leq p<\infty, \beta=\mathrm{const}>0
$$

We deduce taking into account the example 1.2 that for almost everywhere values $(x, y)$ and such that $d(x, y) \leq 1 / e$

$$
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq C_{2}(\beta, s) d(x, y)|\ln d(x, y)|^{\beta}| | \nabla u| | G \psi
$$

## 5. Concluding remarks

A. It may be interest by our opinion to investigate the weights generalization of obtained inequalities, alike as done for the classical Sobolev's case, see for instance [6], [23], [35], [37].
B. The physical applications of these inequalities, for example, in the uncertainty principle. is described in the article of C.Fefferman [8].
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