

A Maximal Inequality for p th Power of Stochastic Convolution Integrals

Erfan Salavati and Bijan Z. Zangeneh

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, Iran

Abstract

An inequality for the p th power of the norm of a stochastic convolution integral in a Hilbert space is proved. The inequality is stronger than analogues inequalities in the literature in the sense that it is pathwise and not in expectation.

An application of this inequality is provided for the semilinear stochastic evolution equations with Lévy noise and monotone nonlinear drift. The existence and uniqueness of the mild solutions in L^p for these equations is proved and a sufficient condition for exponential asymptotic stability of the solutions is derived.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H10, 60H15, 60G51, 47H05, 47J35.

Keywords: Stochastic Convolution Integral, Itô type inequality, Stochastic Evolution Equation, Monotone Operator, Lévy Noise.

1 Introduction

Stochastic convolution integrals appear in many fields of stochastic analysis. They are integrals of the form

$$X_t = \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s$$

where M_t is a martingale with values in a Hilbert space. Although they are generalization of stochastic integrals but they are different in many ways. For example they are not semimartingales in general and hence the usual results on semimartingales, such as maximal inequalities (i.e. inequalities for $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_s\|$) and existence of càdlàg versions could not be applied directly to them.

Among first studies in this field one can note the works of Kotelenetz [7] and Ichikawa [5] where they consider stochastic convolution integrals with respect

to general martingales. They prove a maximal inequality in L^2 for stochastic convolution integrals (Theorem 1).

Stochastic convolution integrals arise naturally in proving existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solutions of semilinear stochastic evolution equations,

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_t)dM_t$$

where A is the generator of a C_0 semigroup of linear operators on a Hilbert space and M_t is a martingale. The case that the coefficients are Lipschitz operators is studied well and the theorems of existence, uniqueness and continuity with respect to initial data for the solutions in L^2 is proved, see e.g Kotelenetz [8]. The proofs are based on the maximal inequality for stochastic convolution integrals, that is Theorem 1.

These results have been generalized in several directions. One is the maximal inequality for p th power of the norm of stochastic convolution integrals. Tubaro has proved an upper estimate for $E[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^p]$ with $p \geq 2$ in the case that M_t is a real Wiener process. Ichikawa [5] has proved maximal inequality for p th power, $p \geq 2$ in the special case that M_t is a Hilbert space valued continuous martingale. The case of general martingale is proved by Zangeneh [18] for $p \geq 2$ (see Theorem 5). Hamedani and Zangeneh [4] have generalized the maximal inequality to $0 < p < \infty$.

Brzezniak, Hausenblas and Zu [2] have derived a maximal inequality for p th power of the norm of stochastic convolutions driven by Poisson random measures.

As far as we know, the maximal inequalities proved for stochastic convolution integrals in the literature all involve expectations. The only result that provides a pathwise (almost sure) bound is Zangeneh [?] in which is proved Theorem 2 called Itô type inequality. This inequality provides a pathwise estimate for the square of the norm of stochastic convolution integrals and is the generalization of the Itô formula to stochastic convolution integrals.

In Section 2 we define and state some results about stochastic convolution integrals that will be used in the sequel.

In Section 3 we state and prove the main result of this article, i.e. Theorem 6, which provides a pathwise bound for the p th power of stochastic convolution integrals with respect to general martingales. The special case that the martingale is an Itô integral with respect to a Wiener process has been proved by Jahanipour and Zangeneh [6].

The pathwise nature of Theorem 6 enables one to apply it to semilinear stochastic evolution equations with non Lipschitz coefficients. We consider the drift term to be a monotone nonlinear operator and the noise term to be a compensated Poisson random measure and prove the existence of the mild solution in L^p in Theorem 15. The precise assumptions on coefficients will be stated in Section 4. An auxiliary result is a Bichteler-Jacod inequality in Hilbert spaces proved in Theorem 9. This result has been stated and proved before in the literature, for example in [10], but we give a new proof for it. We also show the exponential stability of the mild solutions under certain conditions in Theorem 19.

2 Stochastic Convolution Integrals

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let S_t be a C_0 semigroup on H with infinitesimal generator $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$. Furthermore we assume the exponential growth condition on S_t , i.e. there exists a constant α such that $\|S_t\| \leq e^{\alpha t}$. If $\alpha = 0$, S_t is called a contraction semigroup.

In this section we review some properties and results about convolution integrals of type $X_t = \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s$ where M_t is a martingale. These are called stochastic convolution integrals. Kotelenetz [8] gives a maximal inequality for stochastic convolution integrals.

Theorem 1 (Kotelenetz, [8]). *Assume $\alpha \geq 0$. There exists a constant \mathbf{C} such that for any H -valued càdlàg locally square integrable martingale M_t we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s \right\|^2 \leq \mathbf{C} e^{4\alpha T} \mathbb{E}[M]_T.$$

Remark. Hamedani and Zangeneh [4] generalized this inequality to a stopped maximal inequality for p -th moment ($0 < p < \infty$) of stochastic convolution integrals.

Because of the presence of monotone nonlinearity in our equation, we need a pathwise bound for stochastic convolution integrals. For this reason the following pathwise inequality for the norm of stochastic convolution integrals has been proved in Zangeneh [18].

Theorem 2 (Itô type inequality, Zangeneh [18]). *Let Z_t be an H -valued càdlàg locally square integrable semimartingale. If*

$$X_t = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} dZ_s,$$

then

$$\|X_t\|^2 \leq e^{2\alpha t} \|X_0\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t e^{2\alpha(t-s)} \langle X_{s-}, dZ_s \rangle + \int_0^t e^{2\alpha(t-s)} d[Z]_s,$$

where $[Z]_t$ is the quadratic variation process of Z_t .

We state here the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality and a corollary to it, for future reference.

Theorem 3 (Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality). *For every $p \geq 1$ there exists a constant $\mathcal{C}_p > 0$ such that for any real valued square integrable cadlag martingale M_t with $M_0 = 0$ and for any $T \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t|^p \leq \mathcal{C}_p \mathbb{E}[M]_T^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Proof. See [14], page 37, and the reference there. □

Corollary 4. *Let $p \geq 1$ and C_p be the constant in the BDG inequality and M_t be an H -valued square integrable cadlag martingale and X_t an H -valued adapted process and $T \geq 0$. Then for $K > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \langle X_s, dM_s \rangle \right|^p &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left((X_t^*)^p [M]_t^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_p}{2K} \mathbb{E} (X_t^*)^{2p} + \frac{C_p K}{2} \mathbb{E} [M]_t^p. \end{aligned}$$

where $X_t^* = \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t\|$.

Proof. See [18], Lemma 4, page 147. \square

We will need also the following inequality which is an analogous of Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality for stochastic convolution integrals.

Theorem 5 (Burkholder Type Inequality, Zangeneh [18], Theorem 2, page 147). *Let $p \geq 2$ and $T > 0$. Let S_t be a contraction semigroup on H and M_t be an H -valued square integrable càdlàg martingale for $t \in [0, T]$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s \right\|^p \leq K_p \mathbb{E} ([M]_T^{\frac{p}{2}})$$

where K_p is a constant depending only on p .

3 Itô Type Inequality for p th Power

We use the notion of semimartingale and Itô's formula as is described in Metivier [?].

Theorem 6 (Itô type Inequality for p th power). *Let $p \geq 2$. Assume $Z(t) = V(t) + M(t)$ is a semimartingale where $V(t)$ is an H -valued process with finite variation $|V|(t)$ and $M(t)$ is an H -valued square integrable martingale with quadratic variation $[M](t)$. Assume that*

$$\mathbb{E}[M](T)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty \quad \mathbb{E}|V|(T)^p < \infty$$

Let $X_0(\omega)$ be \mathcal{F}_0 measurable and square integrable. Define $X(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)dZ(s)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t)\|^p &\leq e^{p\alpha t} \|X_0\|^p + p \int_0^t e^{p\alpha(t-s)} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), dZ(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \int_0^t e^{p\alpha(t-s)} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} d[M]^c(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{p\alpha(t-s)} (\|X(s)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p - p \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), \Delta X(s) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Remark. 1. For $p = 2$ the theorem implies the Ito type inequality (Theorem 2).

2. If M is a continuous martingale then the inequality takes the simpler form

$$\begin{aligned}\|X(t)\|^p &\leq e^{p\alpha t}\|X_0\|^p + p \int_0^t e^{p\alpha(t-s)}\|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}\langle X(s^-), dZ(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \int_0^t e^{p\alpha(t-s)}\|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}d[M](s)\end{aligned}$$

Before proceeding to the proof of theorem we state and prove some lemmas.

Lemma 7. *It suffices to prove theorem 2 for the case that $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}(t) &= e^{-\alpha t}S(t), & \tilde{X}(t) &= e^{-\alpha t}X(t), \\ d\tilde{Z}(t) &= e^{-\alpha t}dZ(t)\end{aligned}$$

Now we have $d\tilde{X}(t) = \tilde{S}(t)X_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)d\tilde{Z}(s)$. Note that \tilde{S}_t is a contraction semigroup. It is easy to see that the statement for \tilde{X}_t implies the statement for $X(t)$. \square

Hence from now on we assume $\alpha = 0$.

Lemma 8 (Ordinary Itô's formula for p th power). *Let $p \geq 2$ and assume that $Z(t)$ is an H -valued semimartingale. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z(t)\|^p &\leq \|Z(0)\|^p + p \int_0^t \|Z(s^-)\|^{p-2}\langle Z(s^-), dZ(s) \rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t \|Z(s^-)\|^{p-2}d[M]^c(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|Z(s)\|^p - \|Z(s^-)\|^p - p\|Z(s^-)\|^{p-2}\langle Z(s^-), \Delta Z(s) \rangle)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use Itô's formula (Metivier [?], Theorem 27.2, Page 190) for $\varphi(x) = \|x\|^p$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi'(x)(h) &= p\|x\|^{p-2}\langle x, h \rangle, \\ \varphi''(x)(h \otimes h) &= \frac{1}{2}p(p-2)\|x\|^{p-4}\langle x, h \rangle\langle x, h \rangle + \frac{1}{2}p\|x\|^{p-2}\langle h, h \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)\|x\|^{p-2}\|h\|^2\end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 9. *Assume $v : [0, T] \rightarrow D(A)$ is a function with finite variation (with respect to the norm of $D(A)$) denoted by $|v|(t)$. Assume that $u_0 \in D(A)$. Let $u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)dv(s)$. Then $u(t)$ is $D(A)$ -valued and satisfies*

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t Au(s)ds + v(t)$$

Proof. (see also Curtain and Pritchard page 30 Theorem 2.22 for the special case $dv(t) = f(t)dt$.) Let $q(t)$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $v(t)$ with respect to $|v|(t)$, i.e, $q(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued function which is Bochner measurable with respect to $d|v|(t)$ and $v(t) = \int_0^t q(s)d|v|(s)$. We know that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\|q(t)\| \leq 1$.

Recall from semigroup theory that one can equip $D(A)$ with an inner product by defining $\langle x, y \rangle_{D(A)} := \langle x, y \rangle + \langle Ax, Ay \rangle$. By closedness of A it follows that under this inner product $D(A)$ is a Hilbert space and $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ is a bounded linear map. Note that $S(t)$ is also a semigroup on $D(A)$. Hence $u(t)$ is a convolution integral in $D(A)$ and hence has its value in $D(A)$. We use the following two simple identities that hold in $D(A)$:

$$S(t)x = x + \int_0^t AS(r)xdr, \quad S(t-s)x = x + \int_s^t S(r-s)Axd r$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)dv(s) \\ &= S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)q(s)d|v|(s) \\ &= u_0 + \int_0^t AS(r)u_0dr + \int_0^t \left(q(s) + A \int_s^t S(r-s)q(s)dr \right) d|v|(s) \end{aligned}$$

Now using Fubini's theorem we find

$$\begin{aligned} &= u_0 + \int_0^t q(s)d|v|(s) + \int_0^t AS(r)u_0dr + \int_0^t A \int_0^r S(r-s)q(s)d|v|(s)dr \\ &= u_0 + v(t) + \int_0^t A \left(S(r)u_0dr + \int_0^r S(r-s)dv(s)dr \right) \\ &= u_0 + v(t) + \int_0^t Au(r)dr. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10. Assume $V(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued process with finite variation in $D(A)$ and $M(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued square integrable martingale and $V(0) = M(0) = 0$. Let $Z(t) = V(t) + M(t)$ and let X_0 be $D(A)$ -valued and \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable and define $X(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)dZ(s)$. Then $X(t)$ is $D(A)$ -valued and satisfies the following stochastic integral equation in H :

$$X(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t AX(s)ds + Z(t)$$

Proof of Lemma. Note that $S(t)$ is also a semigroup on $D(A)$. Hence $X(t)$ is a stochastic convolution integral in $D(A)$ and hence has its value in $D(A)$. Write $\bar{Y}(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)dV(s)$ and $Y(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)dM(s)$. Hence $X(t) = \bar{Y}(t) + Y(t)$. We can apply lemma 9 to term $\bar{Y}(t)$ and deduce $\bar{Y}(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t A\bar{Y}(s)ds + V(t)$. Hence it suffices to prove $Y(t) = \int_0^t AY(s)ds + M(t)$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ be a basis for Hilbert space $D(A)$. Define $\bar{M}^j(t) = \langle M(t), e_j \rangle$ and $M^k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \bar{M}^j(t)$. Let $Y^k(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)dM^k(s)$. We use the following two simple identities that hold in $D(A)$:

$$S(t)x = x + \int_0^t AS(r)xdr, \quad S(t-s)x = x + A \int_s^t S(r-s)xdr$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^k(t) &= \int_0^t S(t-s) dM^k(s) \\
&= \sum_1^k \int_0^t S(t-s) e_j d\overline{M}^j(s) \\
&= \sum_1^k \int_0^t \left(e_j + \int_s^t S(r-s) A e_j dr \right) d\overline{M}^j(s) \\
&= M^k(t) + \int_0^t \int_s^t S(r-s) A e_j dr d\overline{M}^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

Now using stochastic Fubini theorem (see [14] Theorem 8.14 page 119) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&= M^k(t) + \int_0^t \int_0^r S(r-s) A e_j d\overline{M}^j(s) dr \\
&= M^k(t) + \int_0^t A \left(\int_0^r S(r-s) d\overline{M}^j(s) \right) dr \\
&= M^k(t) + \int_0^t AY^k(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we find

$$Y^k(t) = M^k(t) + \int_0^t AY^k(s) ds \quad (1)$$

We have $\mathbb{E} \|M(T) - M^k(T)\|_{D(A)}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and by Theorem 1

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Y(t) - Y^k(t)\|_{D(A)}^2 \leq \mathbf{C} \mathbb{E} \|M(T) - M^k(T)\|_{D(A)}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

and since $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ is continuous $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|AY(t) - AY^k(t)\|_H^2 \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t AY(s) ds - \int_0^t AY^k(s) ds \right\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence by taking limits from both sides of (1) we get

$$Y(t) = M(t) + \int_0^t AY(s) ds.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 6. By using Lemma 7, we need only to prove for the case $\alpha = 0$. In this case we have to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X(t)\|^p &\leq \|X_0\|^p + p \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), dZ(s) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} d[M]^c(s) \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|X(s)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p - p \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), \Delta X(s) \rangle \right). \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

The main idea is that we approximate $M(t)$ and $V(t)$ by some $D(A)$ valued processes, and for $D(A)$ valued processes we use ordinary Itô's formula. This is done by Yosida approximations. We recall some facts from semigroup theory in the following lemma. For proofs see Pazy [12].

Lemma 11. *For $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda I - A$ is invertible. Let $R(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ and $A(\lambda) = AR(\lambda)$. We have:*

- (a) $R(\lambda) : H \rightarrow D(A)$ and $A(\lambda) : H \rightarrow H$ are bounded linear maps.
- (b) for every $x \in H$, $\|R(\lambda)x\|_H \leq \|x\|_H$ and $\langle x, A(\lambda)x \rangle \leq 0$.
- (c) $R(\lambda)S(t) = S(t)R(\lambda)$ and for $x \in D(A)$, $R(\lambda)Ax = AR(\lambda)x$.

(d) for every $x \in H$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} R(\lambda)x = x$ in H .

(e) for every $x \in D(A)$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A(\lambda)x = Ax$.

Now for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Define:

$$V^n(t) = R(n)V(t), \quad M^n(t) = R(n)M(t), \quad Z^n(t) = V^n(t) + M^n(t) = R(n)Z(t)$$

$$X_0^n = R(n)X_0, \quad X^n(t) = S(t)X_0^n + \int_0^t S(t-s)dZ^n(s)$$

According to Lemma 11, $V^n(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued finite variation process, $M^n(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued martingale and $Z^n(t)$ is a $D(A)$ -valued semimartingale. Hence by lemma 10, $X^n(t)$ is an ordinary stochastic integral and hence we can apply Lemma 8 to it and find

$$\begin{aligned} \|X^n(t)\|^p &\leq \|X_0^n\|^p + p \int_0^t \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-), AX^n(s)ds + dV^n(s) + dM^n(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} d[M^n]^c(s) + F^n \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F^n = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|X^n(s)\|^p - \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - p\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-), \Delta Z^n(s) \rangle).$$

Since A is the generator of a contraction semigroup, we have $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq 0$, hence we find

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\|X^n(t)\|^p}_{\mathbf{A}^n} &\leq \underbrace{\|X_0^n\|^p}_{\mathbf{B}^n} + \underbrace{p \int_0^t \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-), dV^n(s) \rangle}_{\mathbf{C}^n} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{p \int_0^t \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-), dM^n(s) \rangle}_{\mathbf{D}^n} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} d[M^n]^c(s) + F^n}_{\mathbf{E}^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We claim that the inequality (3) (after choosing a suitable subsequence) converges term by term in to the following inequality and hence the following will be proved:

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\|X(t)\|^p}_{\mathbf{A}} &\leq \underbrace{\|X_0\|^p}_{\mathbf{B}} + \underbrace{p \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), dV(s) \rangle}_{\mathbf{C}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{p \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), dM(s) \rangle}_{\mathbf{D}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} d[M]^c(s) + F}_{\mathbf{E}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|X(s)\|^p - X(s^-)^p - p\|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), \Delta Z(s) \rangle).$$

We prove this claim in several steps.

(Step 1) We claim that $\mathbb{E}|V^n - V|(t)^p \rightarrow 0$. Let $q(t)$ be the Radon-Nykodim derivative of $V(t)$ with respect to $|V|(t)$. We know that for every t , $\|q(t)\| \leq 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}|V^n - V|(t)^p = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \|(R(n) - I)q(s)\| d|V|(s) \right)^p$$

Note that for every s and ω , $\|(R(n) - I)q(s)\| \leq 2$ and tends to zero and since $|V|(t) < \infty$, *a.s.* by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $\int_0^t \|(R(n) - I)q(s)\| d|V|(s) \rightarrow 0$, *a.s.* and is dominated by $2|V|(t)$. Now since $\mathbb{E}|V|(t)^p < \infty$ and using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we find that $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \|(R(n) - I)q(s)\| d|V|(s) \right)^p \rightarrow 0$ and the claim is proved.

(Step 2) We claim that $\mathbb{E}[M^n - M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Note that $[M^n - M](t) \leq 2[M^n](t) + 2[M](t) \leq 4[M](t)$ and hence $[M^n - M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is dominated by $4^{\frac{p}{2}}[M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$. On the other hand $\mathbb{E}[M^n - M](t) = \mathbb{E}\|M^n(t) - M(t)\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence $[M^n - M](t)$ and consequently $[M^n - M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ tend to 0 in probability and therefore by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it's expectation also tends to 0.

(Step 3) We claim that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s) - X(s)\|^p \rightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|X^n(s) - X(s)\|^p &\leq \underbrace{3^p \|S(s)(X_0^n - X_0)\|^p}_{\mathbf{A}_1} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{3^p \left\| \int_0^s S(s-r) d(V^n(r) - V(r)) \right\|^p}_{\mathbf{A}_2} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{3^p \left\| \int_0^s S(s-r) d(M^n(r) - M(r)) \right\|^p}_{\mathbf{A}_3}. \end{aligned}$$

For \mathbf{A}_1 we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{A}_1 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0^n - X_0\|^p \rightarrow 0.$$

For \mathbf{A}_2 we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{A}_2 \leq \mathbb{E} |V^n - V|(t)^p \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have used Step 1. For \mathbf{A}_3 , we use Burkholder type inequality (Theorem 5) for $\alpha = 0$ and find

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{A}_3 \leq K_p \mathbb{E} \left([M^n - M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have used Step 2. Hence (4) is proved.

(Step 4) We claim that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s)\|^p \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s)\|^p \quad (5)$$

By triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right| \leq \\ & \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s)\| - \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s)\| \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\ & \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| \|X^n(s)\| - \|X(s)\| \|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\ & \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s) - X(s)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used Step 3. Hence (5) is proved and in particular the sequence $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s)\|^p$ is bounded for each t .

(Step 5) We claim that $\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{C}^n - \mathbf{C}| \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{C}^n - \mathbf{C}| & \leq \mathbb{E} \underbrace{\left| \int_0^t (\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}) \langle X^n(s^-), dV^n(s) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{C}_1^n} \\ & + \mathbb{E} \underbrace{\left| \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-) - X(s^-), dV^n(s) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{C}_2^n} \\ & + \mathbb{E} \underbrace{\left| \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), d(V^n(s) - V(s)) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{C}_3^n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term \mathbf{C}_1^n we have,

$$\mathbf{C}_1^n \leq \mathbb{E} \left((\sup \| \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \|) (\sup \|X^n(s^-)\|) |V^n|(t) \right)$$

Now using the simple inequality $|a - b|^r \leq |a^r - b^r|$ for $r \geq 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $\| \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \| \leq \| \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p \|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$. Substituting and using the Holder inequality we find

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup \| \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p \| \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup \|X^n(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E} |V^n|(t)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

The second term above is bounded (according to step 4) and the third term is bounded by $(\mathbb{E}|V|(t)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ since $|V^n|(t) \leq |V|(t)$. We claim that the first term, after choosing a subsequence, converges to zero. We know from Step 3 that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s) - X(s)\|^p \rightarrow 0$. Hence we can choose a subsequence n_k for which $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^{n_k}(s) - X(s)\|^p \rightarrow 0, a.s.$ We have also $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s)\| < \infty, a.s.$, hence

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\|X^{n_k}(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p| \rightarrow 0, \quad a.s$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\|X^{n_k}(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p| &\leq \\ &2^p \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^{n_k}(s^-) - X(s^-)\|^p + (2^p + 1) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\|X^{n_k}(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p| \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore for the same subsequence $\mathbf{C}_1^n \rightarrow 0$.

For the term \mathbf{C}_2^n we have,

$$\mathbf{C}_2^n \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \right) \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s^-) - X(s^-)\| \right) |V^n|(t) \right)$$

By Holder inequality we have

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s^-) - X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\mathbb{E}|V^n|(t)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The first and third terms are bounded and the second term tends to zero by Step 3. Hence $\mathbf{C}_2^n \rightarrow 0$.

For the term \mathbf{C}_3^n we have,

$$\mathbf{C}_3^n \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-1} \right) |V^n - V|(t) \right)$$

By Holder inequality we have

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\mathbb{E}(|V^n - V|(t)^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$$

where tends to 0 by Step 1. Hence $\mathbf{C}_3^n \rightarrow 0$.

(Step 6) We claim that $\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{D}^n - \mathbf{D}| \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\mathbf{D}^n - \mathbf{D}| &\leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t (\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}) \langle X^n(s^-), dM^n(s) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{D}_1^n} \\ &+ \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X^n(s^-) - X(s^-), dM^n(s) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{D}_2^n} \\ &+ \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \langle X(s^-), d(M^n(s) - M(s)) \rangle \right|}_{\mathbf{D}_3^n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term \mathbf{D}_1^n we use Corollary 4 for $p = 1$ and find

$$\mathbf{D}_1^n \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left((\sup \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}) (\sup \|X^n(s^-)\|) [M^n](t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

Now using the simple inequality $|a - b|^r \leq |a^r - b^r|$ for $r \geq 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $|\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}| \leq |\|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$. Substituting and using the Holder inequality we find

$$\leq C_p (\mathbb{E} \sup \|\|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p\|)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} (\mathbb{E} \sup \|X^n(s^-)\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}[M^n](t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

The second term above is bounded (according to step 4) and the third term is bounded by $(\mathbb{E}[M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p}}$ since $[M^n](t) \leq [M](t)$. The first term, by the same arguments as in Step 5, after choosing a subsequence, converges to zero.

For the term \mathbf{D}_2^n we use Corollary 4 for $p = 1$ and find

$$\mathbf{D}_2^n \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \right) \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s^-) - X(s^-)\| \right) [M^n](t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

By Holder inequality we have

$$\leq C_p \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s^-) - X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}[M^n](t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The first and third terms are bounded and the second term tends to zero by Step 3. Hence $\mathbf{D}_2^n \rightarrow 0$.

For the term \mathbf{D}_3^n we use Corollary 4 for $p = 1$ and find

$$\mathbf{D}_3^n \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-1} \right) [M^n - M](t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

By Holder inequality we have

$$\leq \mathcal{C}_p \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}([M^n - M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where tends to 0 by Step 2. Hence $\mathbf{C}_3^n \rightarrow 0$.

(Step 7) We claim that $\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{E}^n - \mathbf{E}| \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\mathbf{E}^n - \mathbf{E}| &\leq \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t (\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2}) d[M^n]^c(s) \right|}_{\mathbf{E}_1^n} \\ &+ \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} d([M^n]^c(s) - [M]^c(s)) \right|}_{\mathbf{E}_2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term \mathbf{E}_1^n we have

$$\mathbf{E}_1^n \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \right| \right) [M^n]^c(t) \right)$$

Now using the simple inequality $|a - b|^r \leq |a^r - b^r|$ for $r \geq 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$\left| \|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} - \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \right| \leq \left| \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p \right|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.$$

Substituting and using the Holder inequality we find

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - \|X(s^-)\|^p \right| \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}[M^n]^c(t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

The second term above is bounded by $\left(\mathbb{E}[M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$ since $[M^n]^c(t) \leq [M](t)$. The first term, by the same arguments as in Step 5, after choosing a subsequence, converges to zero.

For the term \mathbf{E}_2^n we have

$$\mathbf{E}_2^n \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^{p-2} \right) ([M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t)) \right)$$

By Holder inequality we have

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s^-)\|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}([M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t))^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

The first term is a constant. for the second term we have $0 \leq [M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t) \leq [M](t) - [M^n](t) \leq [M](t)$ and hence $([M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t))^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is dominated by $[M](t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$. on the other hand $\mathbb{E}([M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t)) \leq \mathbb{E}(\|M\|(t)^2 - \|M^n\|(t)^2) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $[M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t)$ and consequently $([M]^c(t) - [M^n]^c(t))^{\frac{p}{2}}$ tends to 0 in probability and therefore by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it's expectation also tends to 0. Hence $\mathbf{E}_2^n \rightarrow 0$.

(Step 8) We claim that $\mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ a.s. We use the following lemma that is proved later.

Lemma 12. For $x, y \in H$ we have

$$\|x + y\|^p - \|x\|^p - p\|x\|^{p-2}\langle x, y \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)(\|x\|^{p-2} + \|x + y\|^{p-2})\|y\|^2$$

Note that the semimartingale $Z(s)$ is cadlag and hence is continuous except at a countable set of points $0 \leq s \leq t$, and these are the only points in which the terms in the sums \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F}^n are nonzero.

By Lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \|X^n(s)\|^p - \|X^n(s^-)\|^p - p\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2}\langle X^n(s^-), \Delta Z^n(s) \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)(\|X^n(s^-)\|^{p-2} + \|X^n(s)\|^{p-2})\|\Delta Z^n(s)\|^2 \\ & \leq p(p-1)(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^n(s)\|^{p-2})\|\Delta Z^n(s)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As in Step 5 we choose a subsequence n_k for which there exists $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$ such that $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X^{n_k}(s) - X(s)\|^p \rightarrow 0$, for $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Hence for $\omega \in \Omega_0$, $\|X^n(s)\| \rightarrow \|X(s)\|$ and in particular $\sup_n \sup_s \|X^n(s)\|^{p-2} < \infty$. Note also that $\|\Delta Z^n(s)\|^2 \leq \|\Delta Z(s)\|^2$ and that $\sum \|\Delta Z(s)\|^2 < \infty$. Hence by (6), for $\omega \in \Omega_0$, \mathbf{F}^n is dominated by an absolutely convergent series. On the other hand since for $\omega \in \Omega_0$, $\|X^n(s)\| \rightarrow \|X(s)\|$ hence the terms of \mathbf{F}^n converge to terms of \mathbf{F} . Hence by the dominated convergence theorem for series, we have $\mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ for $\omega \in \Omega_0$.

□

Proof of lemma 12. Define $f(t) = \|x + ty\|^p$. Then

$$f'(t) = p\|x + ty\|^{p-2}\langle x + ty, y \rangle$$

and

$$f''(t) = p\|x + ty\|^{p-2}\|y\|^2 + p(p-2)\|x + ty\|^{p-4}\langle x + ty, y \rangle^2 \leq p(p-1)\|x + ty\|^{p-2}\|y\|^2$$

By Taylor's remainder theorem we have for some $\tau \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(1) - f(0) - f'(0) = \frac{1}{2}f''(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)\|x + \tau y\|^{p-2}\|y\|^2$$

But $\|x + \tau y\| \leq \max(\|x\|, \|x + y\|)$. Hence

$$f(1) - f(0) - f'(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)(\|x\|^{p-2} + \|x + y\|^{p-2})\|y\|^2$$

which completes the proof.

□

4 Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Lévy Noise and Monotone Nonlinear Drift

In this section we will apply the theory developed in the last section to stochastic evolution equations. The noise term comes from a general Lévy process and has Lipschitz coefficients, but the drift term is a non linear monotone operator. The existence and uniqueness of the mild solutions of these equations in L^2 has been proved in [15]. In this section we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution in L^p for $p \geq 2$ in Theorem 15. We also provide sufficient conditions under which the solutions are exponentially asymptotically stable. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space. Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a measurable space and $N(dt, d\xi)$ a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times E$ with intensity measure $dt\nu(d\xi)$. Our goal is to study the following equation in H ,

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(t, X_t) dt + \int_E k(t, \xi, X_{t-}) \tilde{N}(dt, d\xi), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi) = N(dt, d\xi) - dt\nu(d\xi)$ is the compensated Poisson random measure corresponding to N .

We will use the notion of stochastic integration with respect to compensated Poisson random measure. For the definition and properties see [14] and [1].

Definition 1. $f : H \rightarrow H$ is called *demicontinuous* if whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$, strongly in H then $f(x_n) \rightharpoonup f(x)$ weakly in H .

We assume the following,

Hypothesis 1. (a) $f(t, x, \omega) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is measurable, \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, demicontinuous with respect to x and there exists a constant M such that

$$\langle f(t, x, \omega) - f(t, y, \omega), x - y \rangle \leq M \|x - y\|^2,$$

(b) $k(t, \xi, x, \omega) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times E \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is predictable and there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_E \|k(t, \xi, x) - k(t, \xi, y)\|^2 \nu(d\xi) \leq C \|x - y\|^2,$$

(c) There exists a constant D such that

$$\|f(t, x, \omega)\|^2 + \int_E \|k(t, \xi, x)\|^2 \nu(d\xi) \leq D(1 + \|x\|^2),$$

(d) There exists a constant F such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \|k(t, \xi, x) - k(t, \xi, y)\|^p \nu(d\xi) &\leq F \|x - y\|^p, \\ \int_E \|k(t, \xi, x)\|^p \nu(d\xi) &\leq F(1 + \|x\|^p), \end{aligned}$$

(e) $X_0(\omega)$ is \mathcal{F}_0 measurable and $\mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^p < \infty$.

Definition 2. By a *mild solution* of equation (7) with initial condition X_0 we mean an adapted càdlàg process X_t that satisfies

$$X_t = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \int_E S_{t-s} k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi). \quad (8)$$

We will need an estimate for the L^p norm of stochastic integrals with respect to compensated Poisson random measures. For this reason we state and prove the following theorem which is a Bichteler-Jacod inequality for Poisson integrals in infinite dimensions. This theorem is essentially the Lemma 4 of [11] with an extension to $1 \leq p \leq 2$. We provide a new proof for this theorem based on the Burkholder-Davies -Gundy inequality.

Theorem 13 (An L^p bound for Stochastic Integrals with Respect to Compensated Poisson Random Measures). *Let $p \geq 1$. There exists a real constant denoted by \mathfrak{C}_p such that if $k(t, \xi, \omega)$ is an H -valued predictable process for which the right hand side of (9) is finite then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \int_E k(s, \xi, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi) \right|^p \leq \mathfrak{C}_p \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds \right) \quad (9)$$

Proof. Assume that $2^n \leq p < 2^{n+1}$. We prove by induction on n .

Basis of induction: $n = 0$. In this case we have $1 \leq p < 2$ and the statement follows from Theorem 8.23 of [14]. In fact, in this case we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \int_E k(s, \xi, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi) \right|^p \leq \mathfrak{C}_p \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds$$

Induction Step: Now assume $n \geq 1$ and we have proved the statement for $n - 1$. Hence $p \geq 2$. Applying Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality we find

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \int_E k(s, \xi, \omega) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi) \right|^p \leq K_p \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E \|k(s, \xi, \omega)\|^2 N(ds, d\xi) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

Subtracting a compensator from the right hand side we get

$$\leq K_p 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \right)$$

Note that $2^{n-1} \leq \frac{p}{2} < 2^n$ hence we can apply the induction hypothesis to the first term on the right hand side and find

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K_p 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathfrak{C}_{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds \right) \right) \\ &+ K_p 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By the interpolation inequality for a suitable θ such that $\theta + \frac{1-\theta}{p} = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)| \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\theta} \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}}$$

raising to power p we have

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)| \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{\theta p} \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^{1-\theta}$$

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\leq \theta \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)| \nu(d\xi) ds \right)^p + (1-\theta) \left(\int_0^T \int_E |k(s, \xi, \omega)|^p \nu(d\xi) ds \right)$$

taking expectations and substituting in (10) the statement is proved. \square

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space and assume f satisfies Hypothesis 1-(a) and there exists a constant D such that $\|f(t, x, \omega)\|^2 \leq D(1 + \|x\|^2)$ and assume $V(t, \omega)$ is an adapted process with càdlàg trajectories and $X_0(\omega)$ is \mathcal{F}_0 measurable.

We will need the following theorem,

Theorem 14 (Zangeneh, [?] and [17]). *With assumptions made above, the equation*

$$X_t = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(s, X_s, \omega) ds + V(t, \omega)$$

has a unique measurable adapted càdlàg solution $X_t(\omega)$. Furthermore

$$\|X(t)\| \leq \|X_0\| + \|V(t)\| + \int_0^t e^{(\alpha+M)(t-s)} \|f(s, S_s X_0 + V(s))\| ds,$$

The main theorem of this section,

Theorem 15 (Existence of the Solution in L^p). *Let $p \geq 2$. Then under assumptions of Hypothesis 1, equation (7) has a unique square integrable càdlàg mild solution $X(t)$ such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X(s)\|^p < \infty$.*

Lemma 16. *It suffices to prove theorem 15 for the case that $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_t &= e^{-\alpha t} S_t, & \tilde{f}(t, x, \omega) &= e^{-\alpha t} f(t, e^{\alpha t} x, \omega), \\ \tilde{k}(t, \xi, x, \omega) &= e^{-\alpha t} k(t, \xi, e^{\alpha t} x, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that \tilde{S}_t is a contraction semigroup. It is easy to see that X_t is a mild solution of equation (7) if and only if $\tilde{X}_t = e^{-\alpha t} X_t$ is a mild solution of equation with coefficients $\tilde{S}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{k}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 15. Existence and uniqueness of the mild solution in L^2 has been proved in [15], Theorem 4. Uniqueness in L^2 implies the uniqueness in L^p for $p \geq 2$. It remains to prove the existence in L^p .

Existence. It suffices to prove the existence of a solution on a finite interval $[0, T]$. Then one can show easily that these solutions are consistent and give a global solution. We define adapted càdlàg processes X_t^n recursively as follows. Let $X_t^0 = S_t X_0$. Assume X_t^{n-1} is defined. Theorem 14 implies that there exists an adapted càdlàg solution X_t^n of

$$X_t^n = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(s, X_s^n) ds + V_t^n, \quad (11)$$

where

$$V_t^n = \int_0^t \int_E S_{t-s} k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1}) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi).$$

It is proved in [15] that $\{X^n\}$ converge to some adapted càdlàg process X_t in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^n - X_t\|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and that X_t is the mild solution of equation (7).

We wish to show that $\{X^n\}$ converge to X_t in L^p with the supremum norm. This is done by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 17.

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^n\|^p < \infty.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on n . By Theorem 14 we have the following estimate,

$$\|X_t^n\| \leq \|X_0\| + \|V_t^n\| + \int_0^t e^{M(t-s)} \|f(s, S_s X_0 + V_s^n)\| ds.$$

Hence,

$$\|X_t^n\|^p \leq 3^p \|X_0\|^p + 3^p \|V_t^n\|^p + 3^p \left(\int_0^t e^{M(t-s)} \|f(s, S_s X_0 + V_s^n)\| ds \right)^p$$

Taking supremum and using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^n\|^p &\leq 3^p \|X_0\|^p + 3^p \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|V_t^n\|^p \\ &\quad + 3^p e^{|M|T} T^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\underbrace{\int_0^T \|f(s, S_s X_0 + V_s^n)\|^2 ds}_G \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Using Hypothesis 1-(c) and Holder's inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}
G &\leq D^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|S_s X_0 + V_s^n\|^2) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq D^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(T + 2T\|X_0\|^2 + 2 \int_0^T \|V_s^n\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq D^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(3^{\frac{p}{2}} T^{\frac{p}{2}} + 2^{\frac{p}{2}} 3^{\frac{p}{2}} T^{\frac{p}{2}} \|X_0\|^p + 2^{\frac{p}{2}} 3^{\frac{p}{2}} T^{\frac{p}{2}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|V_s^n\|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove the Lemma it suffices to prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|V_t^n\|^p < \infty.$$

Applying Burkholder type inequality (Theorem 5), we find

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|V_t\|^p \leq K_p \mathbb{E}([\tilde{M}]_T^{\frac{p}{2}}),$$

where $\tilde{M}_t = \int_0^t \int_E k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1}) \tilde{N}(ds, du)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|V_t\|^p &\leq K_p \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E \|k(s, \xi, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 N(ds, du) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E \|k(s, \xi, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 \nu(du) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E \|k(s, \xi, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 \tilde{N}(ds, du) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By Hypothesis 1 (c) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p D^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T \int_E \|k(s, \xi, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 \tilde{N}(ds, du) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{p}{2} \geq 1$, we can apply Theorem 13 to second term and find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p D^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p \mathfrak{C}_p \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{p}{2}} K_p \mathfrak{C}_p \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (1 + \|X_s^{n-1}\|^p) ds
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Combining (??) and (12) we find by Hypothesis 1 (c) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\left(D \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathfrak{C}_{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\left(D \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + D \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds \right) \right) \right) \\
&\leq C_1 \left(\left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + C_2 \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds \right) \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = 2^{\frac{p}{2}} D(1 + \mathfrak{C}_{\frac{p}{2}})$ and $C_2 = 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathfrak{C}_{\frac{p}{2}} D$, now by Holder inequality we find,

$$\leq C_3 \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds \right) \tag{14}$$

which is finite by induction. The basis of induction follows directly from Hypothesis 1-(e). \square

Lemma 18. For $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^p \leq C_0 C_1^n \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{15}$$

where C_0 and C_1 are constants that are introduced below.

Proof. We prove by induction on n . Assume that the statement is proved for $n - 1$. We have,

$$X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n = \int_0^t S_{t-s} (f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n)) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s, \tag{16}$$

where

$$M_t = \int_0^t \int_E (k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^n) - k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1})) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi).$$

Applying Theorem 6, for $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^p \leq \\
& \underbrace{p \int_0^t \|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^{p-2} \langle X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n, f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n) \rangle ds}_{A_t^n} \\
& + p \underbrace{\int_0^t \|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^{p-2} \langle X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n, dM_s \rangle}_{B_t^n} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \underbrace{\int_0^t \|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^{p-2} d[M]_s^c}_{C_t^n} + \int_0^t \int_E D_s^n N(ds, d\xi) \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_s^n &= \|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n + k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^n) - k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1})\|^p - \|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^p \\
&\quad - p \|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^{p-2} \langle X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n, k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^n) - k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for a càdlàg function the set of discontinuity points is countable, hence when integrating with respect to Lebesgue measure, they can be neglected. Therefore from now on, we neglect the left limits in integrals with respect to Lebesgue measure. So, for the term A_t , the semimonotonicity assumption on f implies

$$A_t^n \leq M \int_0^t \|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^p ds \quad (18)$$

We also have

$$[M]_t^c = 0$$

and hence

$$C_t^n = 0$$

For the term D_s^n we have by Lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_s^n &\leq \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \left(\|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^{p-2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^n) - k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1})\|^{p-2} \right) \|k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^n) - k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^{n-1})\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Hypothesis 1-(b) and (d),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \int_E D_s^n \nu(d\xi) &\leq \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \left(C \mathbb{E} (\|X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n\|^{p-2} \|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + F \mathbb{E} (\|X_{s-}^n - X_{s-}^{n-1}\|^p) \right) \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking expectations on both sides of (17) and substituting (18) and (??) and (19) and noting that B_t is a martingale we find,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^p &\leq pM \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^p ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^{p-2} \|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)F \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Holder's inequality to the second integral in the right hand side we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq pM \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^p ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)C \left(\frac{p-2}{p} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^d ds + \frac{2}{p} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)F \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds \\ &\leq \beta \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^p ds + \gamma \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^p ds\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = pM + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p-2)C$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(2C + pF)$.

Define $h^n(t) = \mathbb{E}\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^p$. We have

$$h^n(t) \leq \beta \int_0^t h^n(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^t h^{n-1}(s) ds$$

By Lemma 17 we know that $h^n(t)$ is uniformly bounded with respect to t , hence we can use Gronwall's inequality and find

$$h^n(t) \leq \gamma e^{\beta t} \int_0^t h^{n-1}(s) ds$$

We have $h^0(t) \leq C_0$ where $C_0 = 2^p \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|X_t^1\|^p + \|X_t^0\|^p) < \infty$ and it follows inductively that,

$$h^n(t) \leq C_0 C_1^n \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

where $C_1 = \gamma e^{\beta T}$. □

Back to the proof of Theorem 15, since the right hand side of (15) is a convergent series, $\{X^n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ and hence converges to a process $Y_t(\omega)$. But as is proved in [15], $\{X^n\}$ converges to a process X_t in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ which is a solution of equation (7). Hence $Y_t = X_t$. □

Theorem 19 (Exponential Stability in the p th Moment). *Let X_t and Y_t be mild solutions of (7) with initial conditions X_0 and Y_0 . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t - Y_t\|^p \leq e^{\gamma t} \mathbb{E}\|X_0 - Y_0\|^p$$

for $\gamma = p\alpha + pM + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)C + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)((2^{p-2}+1)C + 2^{p-2}F)$. In particular, if $\gamma < 0$ then all mild solutions are exponentially stable in the L^p norm.

Proof. First we consider the case that $\alpha = 0$. Subtract X_t and Y_t ,

$$X_t - Y_t = S_t(X_0 - Y_0) + \int_0^t S_{t-s}(f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s))ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s}dM_s,$$

where

$$M_t = \int_E (k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-}))d\tilde{N}.$$

Applying Itô type inequality (Theorem 2), for $\alpha = 0$, to $X_t - Y_t$ and rewriting it with respect to random Poisson measure, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t - Y_t\|^p &\leq \|X_0 - Y_0\|^p \\ &+ p \underbrace{\int_0^t \|X_s - Y_s\|^{p-2} \langle X_{s-} - Y_{s-}, (f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)) \rangle ds}_{\mathbf{A}_t} \\ &+ p \underbrace{\int_0^t \|X_s - Y_s\|^{p-2} \langle X_{s-} - Y_{s-}, dM_s \rangle}_{\mathbf{B}_t} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \underbrace{\int_0^t \|X_s - Y_s\|^{p-2} d[M]_s}_{\mathbf{C}_t} + \int_0^t \int_E \mathbf{D}_s N(ds, d\xi) \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_s &= \|X_{s-} - Y_{s-} + k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-})\|^p - \|X_{s-} - Y_{s-}\|^p \\ &- p\|X_{s-} - Y_{s-}\|^{p-2} \langle X_{s-} - Y_{s-}, k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hypothesis 1 (a) for term \mathbf{A}_t we find

$$\mathbb{E}\mathbf{A}_t \leq M \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^p ds \quad (21)$$

Using Hypothesis 1 (b) for term \mathbf{C}_t we find

$$\mathbb{E}\mathbf{C}_t \leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^p ds \quad (22)$$

For term \mathbf{D}_s we have by Lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_s &\leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \left(\|X_{s-} - Y_{s-}\|^{p-2} + \|X_{s-} - Y_{s-} + k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-})\|^{p-2} \right) \\ &\quad \|k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-})\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \left((2^{p-2} + 1) \|X_{s-} - Y_{s-}\|^{p-2} + 2^{p-2} \|k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-})\|^{p-2} \right) \\ &\quad \|k(s, \xi, X_{s-}) - k(s, \xi, Y_{s-})\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using Hypothesis 1 (b) and (d), we find

$$\mathbb{E} \int_E \mathbf{D}_s \nu(d\xi) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)((2^{p-2} + 1)C + 2^{p-2}F) \mathbb{E} \|X_{s-} - Y_{s-}\|^p \quad (23)$$

Taking expectations on both sides of (20) and noting that \mathbf{B}_t is a martingale and substituting (21), (22) and (23) we find

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t - Y_t\|^p \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0 - Y_0\|^p + \gamma \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|X_s - Y_s\|^p ds$$

where $\gamma = pM + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)C + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)((2^{p-2} + 1)C + 2^{p-2}F)$. Now applying Gronwall's inequality the statement follows. Hence the proof for the case $\alpha = 0$ is complete. Now for the general case, apply the change of variables used in Lemma 16. \square

Remark. The results of this section remain valid by adding a Wiener noise term to equation (7). i.e. for the equation

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(t, X_t) dt + g(t, X_{t-}) dW_t + \int_E k(t, \xi, X_{t-}) \tilde{N}(dt, d\xi), \quad (24)$$

where W_t is a cylindrical Wiener process on a Hilbert space K , independent of N and $g(t, x, \omega) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow L_{HS}(K, H)$ (Space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from K to H) is Lipschitz and has linear growth. The proofs are straightforward generalizations of the proofs of this section.

References

- [1] Albeverio, S., Mandrekar, V., and Rüdiger, B. Existence of Mild Solutions for Stochastic Differential Equations and Semilinear Equations with Non-Gaussian Lévy Noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 119:835-863, 2009.
- [2] Brzeźniak, Zdzisław, Erika Hausenblas, and Jiahui Zhu. "Maximal inequality of stochastic convolution driven by compensated Poisson random measures in Banach spaces." arXiv preprint arXiv:1005.1600 (2010).

- [3] Curtain, R. F., and Pritchard, A. J. *Infinite dimensional linear systems theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [4] Hamedani, H. D., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2001. Stopped Doob Inequality for p -th Moment, $0 < p < \infty$, Stochastic Convolution Integrals. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 19(5):771-798.
- [5] Ichikawa, A. (1986). Some inequalities for martingales and stochastic convolutions. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 4(3), 329-339.
- [6] Jahanipur, R., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2000. Stability of Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications* 3:593-614.
- [7] Kotelenez, P. 1982. A submartingale type inequality with applications to stochastic evolution equations. *Stochastics* 8:139-151.
- [8] Kotelenez, P. 1984. A Stopped Doob Inequality for Stochastic Convolution Integrals and Stochastic Evolution Equations. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2(3):245-265
- [9] Krylov, N. V., and Rozovskii, B. L. 1981. Stochastic Evolution Equations. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics* 16:1233-1277.
- [10] Marinelli, C., Prevot, C., and Rockner, M. (2008). Regular dependence on initial data for stochastic evolution equations with multiplicative Poisson noise, 126.
- [11] Marinelli, Carlo, and Michael Röckner. "Well-posedness and asymptotic behavior for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative Poisson noise." *Electron. J. Probab* 15.49 (2010): 1528-1555.
- [12] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 1983.
- [13] Pardoux, É. 1975. Equations aux dérivés partielles stochastiques non linéaires monotones: Etude de solutions fortes de type Ito. PHD Thesis.
- [14] Peszat, S., and Zabczyk, J. 2007. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations With Lévy Noise*, Cambridge University Press.
- [15] Salavati, Zangeneh, Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Lévy Noise and Monotone Nonlinearity, arXiv:1304.2122v1.
- [16] Tubaro, Luciano. "An estimate of Burkholder type for stochastic processes defined by the stochastic integral." *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2.2 (1984): 187-192.
- [17] Zangeneh, B. Z. 1990. *Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations*, Ph.D Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. Canada.

- [18] Zangeneh, B. Z. 1995. Semilinear stochastic evolution equations with monotone nonlinearities. *Stochastics Stochastics Reports* 53:129-174.