

TRANSLATION OF DOLBEAULT REPRESENTATIONS ON REDUCTIVE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

N. PRUDHON

ABSTRACT. We adapt techniques used in the study of the cubic Dirac operator on homogeneous reductive spaces to the Dolbeault operator on elliptic coadjoint orbits to prove that cohomologically induced representations have an infinitesimal character, that cohomological induction and Zuckerman translation functor commute and give a geometric interpretation of the Zuckerman translation functor in this context.

INTRODUCTION

In their proof of a conjecture of Vogan on Dirac cohomology for semi simple Lie groups Huang and Pandžić [HP02] introduced a differential complex whose differential is given by the commutator with the algebraic Dirac operator. The cohomology of this complex is computed using its commutative analogue given by the symbol map. Later Alekseev and Meinrenken [AM00, AM05] gave an interpretation of their computation in terms of the non commutative Weil algebra and the Chern-Weil homomorphism that eventually leads to an easy proof of the Duflo theorem for quadratic Lie algebras as well as a theorem of Rouviere for symmetric pairs. Here we use these powerful techniques with the Dolbeault operator instead and recover easily some already known results on representation theory. In particular we show that Dolbeault cohomology representations have an infinitesimal character, that the Zuckerman translation functor is well defined in this geometric context and commute with Dolbeault cohomology induction. Moreover we give a simple geometric interpretation of the Zuckerman translation functor for these modules. In fact it appears to be a projection in the fibers of the vector bundles involved. This also includes a proof of a theorem of Casselman and Osborne as well as results of Kostant on \mathfrak{u} -cohomology. Similar proofs of Casselman-Osborne theorem also appear in [HPR05] and an unpublished work of M. Duflo [Duf83].

Let G be a connected real reductive Lie group with complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . By reductive we mean that \mathfrak{g} decomposes as $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + \mathfrak{z}$, where \mathfrak{z} denotes the center of \mathfrak{g} . If K/Z is a maximal compact subgroup of G/Z , where Z is the center of G , then K is the fixed point group of a Cartan involution θ of G . Write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{s}$ for the corresponding Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} ,

Key words and phrases. Dolbeault cohomology; Cohomological induction; Translation functors.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E46; Secondary 22F30.

where \mathfrak{k} is the complexified Lie algebra of K . Let $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, the complexified Lie group of G . We have $\text{Lie}(G^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathfrak{g}$ and we will use the same convention for various subgroups of G . We fix a $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant bilinear form B on \mathfrak{g} that coincides with the Killing form on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

If H is a closed connected reductive θ -subgroup of G and (V, τ) a finite dimensional representation of H , we then have a finite rank complex vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = G \times_H V$ over G/H . We will consider smooth sections of such a vector bundle. This space $\Gamma(V)$ of smooth sections is identified with the spaces $(C^\infty(G) \otimes V)^H$ or $C^\infty(G, V)^H$. If V is a smooth infinite dimensional representation of H , this last space is still well defined, and the tensor product in the first space stands for the projective tensor product. They are again canonically isomorphic and we continue to call them the space of sections of the associated infinite dimensional bundle. The homogeneous spaces we will consider in the sequel are elliptic coadjoint orbits. These spaces may be realized as measurable open G -orbits $Y = G/H$ in the complex flag manifold $Z = G^{\mathbb{C}}/Q$ of the complexified Lie group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. The definition of an open measurable G -orbit is given by Wolf in [Wol69] as well as the following facts. A base point $z_0 \in Y$ may be chosen so that $Q = \text{Stab}_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(z_0)$, and $H = Q \cap \overline{Q} = \text{Stab}_G(z_0)$ contains a fundamental Cartan T subgroup of G . One may also assume that \mathfrak{h} is the centralizer of a compact torus $\mathfrak{t}' \subset \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. So there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{t}'$ such that $\text{ad}\xi_0$ has real eigenvalues, \mathfrak{h} is the centralizer of ξ_0 and \mathfrak{u} is the sum of the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}\xi_0$ corresponding to the positive eigenvalues, and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. It can then be shown that the homogeneous space $Y = G/H$ is isomorphic to a coadjoint elliptic orbit and that all coadjoint elliptic orbit arise in this way.

As an open submanifold of a complex manifold, Y is a complex manifold as well with a G -invariant complex structure. With these assumptions the antiholomorphic tangent space at the origin is \mathfrak{u} . Moreover \mathfrak{u} and $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ are isotropic subspaces in perfect duality for B . So we will identify \mathfrak{u}^* and $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ occasionally. Let us now define a twisted Dolbeault operator. It is a G -invariant differential operator on the bundle $G \times_H \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes E$ where E is a smooth representation of H . Let X_i be a basis of \mathfrak{u} , ξ_i the dual basis. We note $r(X_i)$ the left invariant vector field on G defined by right derivative and $e(\xi_i)$ (resp. $\iota(X_i)$) the exterior product (resp. interior product) on $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^*$. The twisted Dolbeault operator is defined by

$$(1) \quad \overline{\partial}(E) = \sum_i r(X_i) \otimes e(\xi_i) \otimes I_E + \sum_{i < j} 1 \otimes e(\xi_i) e(\xi_j) \iota([X_i, X_j]) \otimes I_E$$

It defines a differential complex on the bundle $G \times_H \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes E$ whose cohomology $H_{\overline{\partial}(E)}$ is known as Dolbeault cohomology. Again when E is any smooth representation of H , the operator in equation (1) is well defined. H. W. Wong proved [Won95, Won99] that the cohomology of the Dolbeault operator is a smooth admissible G -module that is a maximal globalization

of its underlying Harish-Chandra module namely the cohomologically induced Zuckerman module $\mathcal{R}^*(E)$. The main step is to prove that the operator $\bar{\partial}(E)$ has closed range, which is a deep and difficult result. Once this is done the results on the cohomologically induced Zuckerman module $\mathcal{R}^*(E)$ apply. In particular Wong deduce that when E has infinitesimal character then the cohomology space $H_{\bar{\partial}(E)}$ also has an infinitesimal character. One may also probably deduce the compatibility of Dolbeault cohomology with the Zuckerman translation functor from Wong's result. The main goal of this paper is to recover (resp. prove) these results on infinitesimal character and translations without the knowledge that the differential operator $\bar{\partial}(E)$ has the closed range property.

More precisely we obtain the following results. The representation of G on $(C^\infty(G) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes E)^H$ commute with the Dolbeault operator. Hence its derivative also commute with the Dolbeault operator and goes down to a well defined representation of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Its restriction to the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 0.1. *Let E be a smooth representation of H which is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ finite. Let us write $E = \oplus_\mu E(\mu)$ for its decomposition in primary component such that $E(\mu)$ has generalized infinitesimal character χ_μ . Assume that each $E(\mu)$ is a smooth H -module. Then the G -module $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))}$ has a generalized infinitesimal character with Harish Chandra parameter $\mu + \rho(\mathfrak{u})$ and $H_{\bar{\partial}(E)} = \oplus_\mu H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))}$.*

Let $E(\mu)$ be a smooth representation of H with generalized infinitesimal character χ_μ . Let F^ν be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G with highest weight ν . Its restriction to the connected group H has a subrepresentation isomorphic to the representation E^ν . Hence we get a homomorphism of smooth representations of H

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^H: E(\mu) \otimes F^\nu \rightarrow E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu.$$

This projection induces a G -equivariant projection

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^G: C^\infty(G, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes E(\mu))^H \otimes F^\nu \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}^* \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)^H$$

Theorem 0.2. *The map $p_{\mu,\nu}^G$ that goes down to cohomology*

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^G: H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}.$$

Thanks to theorem 0.1 and again the above theorem of Kostant, the representation $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu$ is a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ finite $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ module. We look at its primary component with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\nu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})}$.

Theorem 0.3. *Assume that*

$$(C) \quad \mu + \rho(\mathbf{u}) + \nu \text{ is at least singular as } \mu + \rho(\mathbf{u}).$$

The restriction of $p_{\mu,\nu}^G$ to the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -primary component of $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu$ with Harish-Chandra parameter $\mu + \rho(\mathbf{u}) + \nu$ is an isomorphism onto the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -primary component of $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}$ with Harish-Chandra parameter $\mu + \rho(\mathbf{u}) + \nu$.

Let Ψ be the Zuckerman translation functor. By a theorem of Kostant the smooth representation $E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu$ of the connected group H is seen to have a subrepresentation $E(\mu + \nu)$ with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\nu}$ and such that $E(\mu + \nu) = \Psi_{\mu}^{\mu+\nu}(E(\mu))$. Thanks to theorem 0.1 we deduce that $\Psi_{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})}^{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})+\nu}(H_{\bar{\partial}(E)})$ is the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -primary component of $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu$ with Harish-Chandra parameter $\mu + \rho(\mathbf{u}) + \nu$. Moreover thanks to theorem 0.1 again (along with condition (C) also) the fact that $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu+\nu))}$ is the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ primary component of $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}$ with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})+\nu}$. So theorem 0.3 reads as follows.

Theorem 0.4. *Under condition (C) the map $p_{\mu,\nu}^G \circ \alpha$ induces a G -isomorphism*

$$\Psi_{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})}^{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})+\nu}(H_{\bar{\partial}(E)}) \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}(\Psi_{\mu}^{\mu+\nu}(E))}.$$

This theorem is the geometric analogue of the corresponding theorem on cohomological induction. A precise statement is given in [KV95] as theorem 7.237. The proofs we will explain here apply as well if one replaces the space of sections $C^\infty(G, \wedge^{\bullet \mathbf{u}^*} \otimes E)^H$ by the space $\text{Hom}_H(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet \mathbf{u}}, E)$. The abstract Dolbeault operator still defines on this space a differential complex whose cohomology is precisely the cohomologically induced module $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(E)$. So as we claimed in the abstract we will prove theorem 7.237 in [KV95] as well.

The author want to thank Leticia Barchini, Michel Duflo, Salah Mehdi, Martin Olbrich, Pavle Pandžić and David Vogan for their interest and useful discussions or comments.

1. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Let $\sharp: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the antipode. This is the antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ given by $X^\sharp = -X$ on \mathfrak{h} . Hence for $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$(X_1 \cdots X_n)^\sharp = (-1)^n X_n \cdots X_1.$$

We consider $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a right $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -module and the space $\text{End}(V)$ of continuous linear endomorphisms of the smooth H -module V as the left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -module given by

$$(\forall h \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}), T \in \text{End}(V)) \quad h \cdot T = T \circ h^\sharp.$$

Let J be the left ideal of $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V))$ generated by elements of the form

$$u \cdot h \otimes T - u \otimes h \cdot T \quad (u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), h \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}), T \in \text{End}(V))$$

Then the amalgamated tensor product over $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(V) = (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V)) / J$$

Let q be the quotient map. The action of H on the tensor product leaves J stable, and hence induces an action of H on the quotient. The invariant space for this action is the image of the H -invariants in the tensor product under q so that

$$q: (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V))^H \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(V))^H$$

First note the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 1.1. 1. *The left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ -ideal J is generated by the element of the form*

$$Y \otimes I + 1 \otimes \tau(Y) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{h}).$$

2. *The module J^H of H -invariant elements in J is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V))^H$.*

This implies in particular that the space $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(V))^H$ is indeed an algebra. For $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$ let $r(X)$ be the left invariant vector field on G given by right differentiation

$$r(X)f(g) = \left[\frac{d}{dt} f(g \exp(tX)) \right]_{t=0}.$$

Let us extend r by linearity on \mathfrak{g} and on the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$r(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_k) = r(X_1) \circ r(X_2) \circ \cdots \circ r(X_k), \quad \forall X_i \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

One also has right invariant vector field on G defined as

$$l(X)f(g) = \left[\frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(tX)g) \right]_{t=0}.$$

and left and right invariant derivatives are related by

$$(l(u)f)(g) = r(\text{Ad}(g)u^\sharp)f(g) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular when $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ lies in the center of the enveloping algebra :

$$l(Z) = r(Z^\sharp).$$

Proposition 1.2. [KR00] *The algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(\mathcal{V})$ of G -invariant differential operators on \mathcal{V} is isomorphic to the algebra $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(V))^H$. This isomorphism is induced by the following representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ on $C^\infty(G, V)$:*

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}, T \in \text{End}(V), f \in C^\infty(G, V)) \quad (X \otimes T)f(g) = T(r(X)f(g)).$$

An element in $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V))^H$ is named here an abstract differential operator. If $D \in (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V))^H$, then we define, for any smooth representation E of H , an invariant differential operators on $V \otimes E$ by setting

$$D(E) = \varphi_E(D).$$

Note that when $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ as H -module one has

$$(2) \quad D(E) = D(E_1) \oplus D(E_2).$$

Another by-product of this construction is to provide algebraic operators when a smooth representation (X, π) of G is given. Actually we define D_X by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi \otimes c: & \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V) & \longrightarrow \text{End}(X \otimes V) \\ & D & \longmapsto D_X. \end{array}$$

Here we note $c(X)$ the action of an element $X \in \text{End}(V)$ on V . If X and E are given it is also useful to consider the operator

$$(3) \quad D_X \otimes I_E = \pi \otimes c \otimes I_E(D) \in \text{End}(X \otimes V \otimes E).$$

In the context of Dolbeault operators where $V = \wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}}$, we obtain an algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi_E: (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}}))^H \xrightarrow{q \circ (r \otimes c \otimes I_E)} (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E))^H.$$

The abstract operators we shall consider are

$$(4) \quad \hat{\bar{\partial}} = \sum_i X_i \otimes e(\xi_i),$$

$$(5) \quad v = \sum_{i < j} 1 \otimes e(\xi_i) e(\xi_j) \iota([X_i, X_j]),$$

$$(6) \quad \bar{\partial} = \hat{\bar{\partial}} + v.$$

2. THE INFINITESIMAL CHARACTER

First we note some basic facts of linear algebra. If x is a linear operator on a vector space such that $x^2 = 0$, we note $H_x = \frac{\ker x}{\text{im } x}$ its cohomology. Let \mathcal{A} a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra with grading operator γ . The graded commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$ turns \mathcal{A} into a super Lie algebra. We define d_a as the graded commutator with a , that is

$$d_a(b) = [a, b].$$

This means that if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is an odd element then

$$d_a(b) = [a, b] = ab - \gamma(b)a \quad (b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

If a is even then

$$d_a(b) = [a, b] = ab - ba \quad (b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

In any case d_a is a graded endomorphism of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space \mathcal{A} , and has the same degree as a (the space of endomorphism is also graded). So $a \mapsto d_a$ is an (even) homomorphism of the underlying super Lie algebras. This means for example that if a and b are odd elements of \mathcal{A} , then

$$(7) \quad d_a d_b + d_b d_a = d_{[a, b]} \quad \text{so in particular} \quad d_a^2 = d_{a^2} = 0 \text{ if } a^2 = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The endomorphism d_a is a graded derivation. In particular $\ker d_a$ is a subalgebra of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover $\text{im } d_a \cap \ker d_a$ is a two sided ideal of $\ker d_a$. In particular, when $a^2 = 0$, then H_{d_a} is indeed an algebra.*

Proof. For $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ one has for a given odd a

$$d_a(bc) = abc - \gamma(bc)a = d_a(b)c + \gamma(b)ac - \gamma(b)\gamma(c)a = d_a(b)c + \gamma(b)d_a(c).$$

Assume $b, c \in \ker d_a$. Then it immediately follows that $bc \in \ker d_a$. Now if moreover $b = d_a(b')$ for some $b' \in \ker d_a^2$, then, $bc = d_a(b')c + \gamma(b')d_a(c) = d_a(bc)$ and, using $\gamma(c) \in \ker d_a$ (because γ anticommutes with d_a),

$$cb = cd_a(b') = d_a(\gamma(c)b') - d_a(\gamma(c))b' = d_a(\gamma(c)b').$$

□

Now assume we have a graded representation of \mathcal{A} ,

$$\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(V),$$

on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space V . Then the representation π induces by restriction a representation of the algebra $\ker d_a$

$$\pi: \ker d_a \rightarrow \ker d_{\pi(a)} \subset \text{End}(\ker \pi(a)).$$

Moreover $\pi(\text{im } d_a) \subset \text{im } d_{\pi(a)}$, and

- (1) The ideal $\text{im } d_{\pi(a)}$ sends $\ker \pi(a)$ on $\text{im } \pi(a)$.
- (2) The algebra $\ker d_{\pi(a)}$ leaves $\text{im } \pi(a)$ stable.

This implies that π induces a representation

$$H_{d_a} \rightarrow H_{d_{\pi(a)}} \subset \text{End}(H_{\pi(a)}).$$

The main examples we will consider here are as follows. The space V is the space of smooth sections of the vector bundle $\wedge \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ or $\wedge \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, and when \mathcal{A} is the algebra of abstract

differential operators, the representation of \mathcal{A} will be φ_E . When we will discuss tensoring with finite dimensional representations F of G , the algebra will be $\mathbb{D}_G(\wedge \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ and the operator will be $\Delta_F(\overline{\partial}(E))$ (see definition below, equation (14)). Note that the \mathbb{Z} -graduation on a tensor algebra induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graduation on the exterior algebra.

Summarizing this discussion, we have obtained that φ_E induces a well defined map in cohomology

$$\overline{\varphi}_E: H_{d_{\overline{\partial}}} \rightarrow H_{d_{\overline{\partial}(E)}} \subset \text{End} \left(H_{\overline{\partial}(E)} \right).$$

The algebra $H_{d_{\overline{\partial}}}$ then acts on $H_{\overline{\partial}(E)}$.

Remark 2.2. The operator $\overline{\partial}(E)$ is continuous in the smooth topology. Thus its kernel is closed and inherits the structure of a Fréchet space with a smooth action of G on it. Thus the left representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on it is well defined. It restricts to $l(Z)$ for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. If such a Z is seen as an invariant differential operator acting by $r(Z) \otimes I_{\wedge^\bullet \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes E}$, remember that

$$l(Z) = r(Z^\sharp) \otimes I_{\wedge^\bullet \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes E}.$$

As any element in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes I$ commutes with abstract odd differential operators and has even degree, it lies in the kernel of $d_{\overline{\partial}}$. We have proved the following

Proposition 2.3. *The restriction to $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the (left) action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\ker \overline{\partial}(E)$ goes down to a well defined action l on $H_{\overline{\partial}(E)}$. Moreover if $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, then the action of $l(Z)$ on $H_{\overline{\partial}(E)}$ only depends on the class of Z^\sharp in the cohomology space $H_{d_{\overline{\partial}}}$. More precisely this action is given, for $[f] \in H_{\overline{\partial}(E)}$ by*

$$l(Z)[f] = [r \otimes I_{\wedge \overline{\mathcal{U}} \otimes E}(Z^\sharp)f].$$

We now need to compute this representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of Harish-Chandra parameters. If the operator $\overline{\partial}$ is replaced by the cubic Dirac operator D the representation $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{d_D}$ has been computed in the proof of the Vogan conjecture as given by Huang and Pandžić [HP06] [HP02]. In their proof they determine a homomorphism

$$\zeta_D: \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$$

in the case $H = K$ and Kostant [Kos03] extends it to the general case. Let

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \overline{\mathcal{U}})$$

be the derivative of the representation of H on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \overline{\mathcal{U}})$. The key point in the proof of Huang and Pandžić is to get a Hodge decomposition

$$(8) \quad \ker d_D \simeq \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})) \oplus \text{im } d_D.$$

So $H_{d_D} \simeq \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})) \simeq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$, and the definition of the map ζ_D is precisely that $\zeta_D(Z)$ is the unique representative of the class of Z in the quotient. In the next section we will prove the following theorem which states the analogous result for the Dolbeault operator.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $D = \bar{\partial}$ be the abstract Dolbeault operator. Then the decomposition in equation (8) is still true.*

In particular we again have $H_{d_{\bar{\partial}}} \simeq \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})) \simeq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ and we can still define a map

$$\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}: \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Lemma 2.5. *The map $\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}$ commutes with the sharp maps \sharp of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} ; in other words*

$$\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z)^{\sharp} = \zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z^{\sharp}), \quad (Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

This lemma will be clear from the identification of the map $\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}$ given in the proof of theorem 2.4 below. In particular $r \otimes c \otimes I_E(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z^{\sharp})) = 1 \otimes I_{\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}}} \otimes \sigma(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z^{\sharp})^{\sharp}) = 1 \otimes I_{\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}}} \otimes \sigma(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z))$ on $C^{\infty}(G, \wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E)^H$. Summarizing one gets

$$l(Z)[f] = [r \otimes I_{\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E}(Z^{\sharp})f] = [r \otimes c \otimes I_E(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z^{\sharp}))f] = [1 \otimes I_{\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}}} \otimes \sigma(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z))f].$$

Theorem 2.6 (theorem 0.1). *Let E be a smooth representation of H which is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ -finite. If $E = \oplus_{\mu} E(\mu)$ is a decomposition of E in primary $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules with respective generalized infinitesimal character χ_{μ} , then as a $(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}), G)$ -module*

$$H_{\bar{\partial}(E)} = \oplus_{\mu} H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))}.$$

and the representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))}$ is given by the generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})}$.

Proof. In the case of the Dirac operator, the morphism ζ_D fits into the following commutative diagram

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_D} & \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{W_G} & \longrightarrow & S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{h}})^{W_H} \end{array}$$

Here the vertical arrows are the Harish-Chandra isomorphism and the bottom map is restriction. For the Dolbeault operator one needs to know what happens to this diagram. This is exactly where the $\rho(\mathfrak{u})$ -shift appears. The argument is given along the proof of theorem 2.4 in the next section. \square

Assume in this theorem that E has a generalized infinitesimal character with Harish-Chandra parameters μ . Thanks to the commutative diagram (9), one has that $\sigma(\zeta_{\bar{\partial}}(Z))$, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on E by the infinitesimal character $\chi_{\lambda+\rho_u}$. We deduce a geometric analogue of the Vogan conjecture in the context of Dolbeault cohomology.

Corollary 2.7. *Assume $E = E^\lambda$ is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of H with highest weight λ . Then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $H_{\bar{\partial}}(E^\lambda)$ by the infinitesimal character $\chi_{\lambda+\rho(\mathfrak{g})}$.*

2.1. Proof of theorem 2.4. The strategy of the proof follows closely that of Huang and Pandzić for the Dirac operator [HP02, HP06]. However in this case the operator $\bar{\partial}$ is a differential complex on $C^\infty(G) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \bar{u}$, not only the H -invariant part. We will write $\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}$ for this extended complex. So $d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}}$ is seen as an endomorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u})$. Note that the inclusion of the H -invariants in the whole complex induces a map $H_{d_{\bar{\partial}}} \rightarrow H_{d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}}}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u})$ has a natural filtration induced by the filtration on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the trivial filtration on $\text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u}) \simeq \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. The associated graded algebra is $S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. The differential $d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}}$ preserves this filtration (shifting the degree by 1) so it goes down to a differential $\text{Gr}(d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}})$ on the associated graded space by setting $\text{Gr}(d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}})(\text{Gr}a) = \text{Gr}(d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}}(a))$. The next lemma identifies this differential. This computation has been carried out by Huang, Pandzić and Renard in [HPR06], remark 2.3. Full details can be found in [HPR05, section 3]. For reader convenience we recall here the steps we need from this computation.

Let $\partial_u \in \text{End}(S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp)$ be the Koszul differential along u :

$$\partial_u(u \otimes \omega) = \sum_i X_i u \otimes \iota(X_i) \omega.$$

Lemma 2.8. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp \\ \downarrow d_{\bar{\partial}_{\text{full}}} & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \partial_u \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} & S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp \end{array}$$

Proof. The algebra $\text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{u})$ is the algebra generated by the creation operators $e(\xi_i)$ and annihilation operators $\iota(X_i)$. These operators satisfy the relations :

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} e(\xi_i)e(\xi_j) + e(\xi_j)e(\xi_i) &= 0, & \iota(X_i)\iota(X_j) + \iota(X_j)\iota(X_i) &= 0 \\ e(\xi_i)\iota(X_j) + \iota(X_j)e(\xi_i) &= \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

So any element of $\text{End}(\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}})$ is in a unique way a sum of elements of the form

$$w_{IJ} = e(\xi_{i_1}) \circ \cdots \circ e(\xi_{i_k}) \circ \iota(X_{j_1}) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(X_{j_l}) \quad (i_1 < \cdots < i_k, j_1 < \cdots < j_l).$$

The identification of $\text{End}(\wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}})$ with $\wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ sends an element of this form to

$$s(w_{IJ}) = \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \wedge X_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j_l} \in \wedge \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{u} \simeq \wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp.$$

So for $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, one has

$$\text{Gr}(d_{\bar{\partial}})(\text{Gr}(u \otimes w_{IJ})) = \sum_i X_i \text{Gr}(u) \otimes s([e(\xi_i), w_{IJ}])$$

where $[e(\xi_i), w_{IJ}]$ is the graded commutator, and thanks to relation (10)

$$s([e(\xi_i), w_{IJ}]) = \iota(X_i)s(w_{IJ})$$

□

Lemma 2.9. *The inclusion of $S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}}$ in $S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ induces an isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \simeq H_{1 \otimes \partial_u}$. The inclusion of $S(\mathfrak{h})^H \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ in $(S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^\perp)^H$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.*

This lemma is proved as lemma 3.5 in [HPR05]. The first part of this lemma is a well known fact on Koszul cohomology. The second part actually follows from the fact that \mathfrak{h} is the centralizer of an element ξ_0 (defined in the introduction) such that $\text{ad} \xi_0$ has positive eigenvalues on \mathfrak{u} and negative eigenvalues on $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}$.

Now any element of $S(\mathfrak{h})^H \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ is the image of an element in $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}))$. This is true because for $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ one has $\text{Gr}(\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u)) = \text{Gr}(u \otimes 1 \otimes 1)$. Note that $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}))$ is contained in $\ker d_{\bar{\partial}}$. Moreover $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})) \cap \text{im} d_{\bar{\partial}} = 0$ because it is true on the right side of the diagram by lemma 2.9.

Let $a \in \ker d_{\bar{\partial}}$. We want to show that there exists $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $b \in \text{im} d_{\bar{\partial}}$ such that $a = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) + b$. We proceed by induction. This is obvious if a has order 0. Assume this is true for any operator of order less than $p-1$, and let $a \in \mathcal{U}_p \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}})$ such that $\text{Gr}(a)$ has non vanishing cohomology class. Then $\text{Gr}(a) = s + 1 \otimes \partial_{\mathfrak{u}}(\text{Gr}(b))$ for $s \in S(\mathfrak{h})^H \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ and $b \in \mathcal{U}_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}})$. Let $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\text{Gr}(\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u)) = s$. Then

$$d_{\bar{\partial}}(a - \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) - d_{\bar{\partial}}(b)) = 0.$$

Moreover $a - \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) - d_{\bar{\partial}}(b)$ has degree $p-1$. By assumption, there exist $u' \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$ and b' such that

$$a - \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) - d_{\bar{\partial}}(b) = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u') + d_{\bar{\partial}}(b')$$

So that

$$a - \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(u + u') = d_{\overline{\partial}}(b + b')$$

So we have proved the theorem 2.4.

Now we identify the map $\zeta_{\overline{\partial}}$. Recall that thanks to the PBW theorem

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{u}}\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathbf{u}).$$

and let p be the projection on the first component. It is a well known fact that if $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ then $p(z) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$. Now if $a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then

$$\mathrm{Gr}(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = \mathrm{Gr}(p(a) \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = \mathrm{Gr}(\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(p(a))).$$

It follows that if $a \in \ker d_{\overline{\partial}}$ then $a = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(p(a))$ in $H_{d_{\overline{\partial}}}$.

If X is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with infinitesimal character χ_{μ} then the algebra $H_{d_{\overline{\partial}}}$ has a representation in $X \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \overline{\mathbf{u}}$ and for $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ the action of z is given by multiplication by $\chi_{\mu}(z)$. So $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(p(z))$ acts by the same scalar. We have proved

Lemma 2.10. *One has*

$$(12) \quad (\forall z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})) \quad \zeta_{\overline{\partial}}(z) = p(z)$$

Remark 2.11 (Casselman-Osborne theorem). *We have proved that the action of z and $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(p(z))$ on $H_{\overline{\partial}_{X, \text{full}}}$ are equal.*

Let us consider the degree 0 of $H(\mathbf{u}, X)$ when $X = F^{\lambda}$ is an irreducible finite dimensional G -module with highest weight $\lambda = \mu - \rho(\mathfrak{g})$. We have $H^0(\mathbf{u}, X) = E^{\lambda}$ and this implies that for $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(z)$ acts by the scalar $\chi_{\lambda + \rho(\mathfrak{h})} = \chi_{\mu - \rho(\mathfrak{u})}$. By a standard density argument it follows that the map $S(\mathfrak{t})^{W_G} \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{t})^{W_H}$ defined by prescribing the diagram (9) to be commutative is given by $\mu \mapsto \tilde{\mu} = \mu + \rho(\mathfrak{u})$. So we have identified the map $\zeta_{\overline{\partial}}$ in terms of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. We have proved theorem 2.6.

Remark 2.12. *This also gives a proof of the following weak version of a theorem of Kostant which computes $H_{\overline{\partial}_{F^{\lambda}, \text{full}}}$. Let $W_1 = \{w \in W_G, (\forall \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})) \quad w^{-1}\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t})\}$. If E^{ν} is an irreducible H -module with highest weight ν such that $\mathrm{Hom}_H(E^{\nu}, H_{\overline{\partial}_{F^{\lambda}, \text{full}}}) \neq 0$ then there exists $w \in W_1$ such that*

$$\nu = w(\lambda + \rho(\mathfrak{g})) - \rho(\mathfrak{g}).$$

3. THE ZUCKERMAN TRANSLATION FUNCTOR

3.1. Tensoring with finite dimensional representation. Let (F, π) (resp. (V, τ)) be a finite dimensional (resp. smooth) representation of G (resp. H).

Proposition 3.1. *The map $\alpha: C^\infty(G, V)^H \otimes F \rightarrow C^\infty(G, V \otimes F)^H$ given by*

$$\alpha(f \otimes w)(g) = f(g) \otimes \pi(g)^{-1}w \in V \otimes F$$

is a smooth G -module isomorphism.

Proof. The map α is clearly equivariant and the inverse β is given as follows. Let (w_i) be a basis of F . For any $g \in G$, the family $(\pi(g)w_i)$ is still a basis of G . If $f \in C^\infty(G, V \otimes F)^H$, then there exist functions f_i from G to V such that for all $g \in G$, $f(g) = \sum_i \pi(g)^{-1}w_i \otimes f_i(g)$. One checks easily that the functions f_i are H -invariant. We then set $\beta(f) = \sum f_i \otimes w_i$. The map β is well defined, equivariant and does not depend on the basis w_i . \square

We now relate the different differential operators on the bundles in consideration. Recall that the algebraic operator $D_F \otimes I_E$ has been defined in equation (3).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $D \in (\mathfrak{h}^\perp \otimes \text{End}(V))^H$ be an abstract operator of order 1 with vanishing order 0 part. One has*

$$\alpha \circ (D(E) \otimes I_F) = \left(D(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (D_F \otimes I_E) \right) \circ \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, $f \in C^\infty(G, V \otimes E)$ We have

$$\begin{aligned} (r(X) \otimes 1)\alpha(f \otimes w)(g) &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} f(g \exp(tX)) \otimes \pi(\exp(-tX)g^{-1})w \right]_{t=0} \\ &= r(X)f(g) \otimes g^{-1}w - f(g) \otimes \pi(X)\pi(g)^{-1}w \\ (13) \quad &= \alpha(r(X)f \otimes w)(g) - (1 \otimes (I_{\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{u}} \otimes I_E \otimes \pi(X)))\alpha(f \otimes w)(g) \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows. \square

In our case, this formula reads

$$\alpha \circ (\bar{\partial}(E) \otimes I_F) \circ \beta = \bar{\partial}(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (\hat{\bar{\partial}}_F \otimes I_E) = \hat{\bar{\partial}}(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (\bar{\partial}_F \otimes I_E).$$

We will need later an algebraic version of proposition 3.2 that does not make use of the maps α and β in the definition of the operator $\alpha(D(E) \otimes I)\beta$. Actually, using the embedding $F \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G, F|_H)^H$ (given by $w \mapsto (g \mapsto \rho_w(g) = g^{-1}w)$) one sees that $C^\infty(G, V \otimes E)^H \otimes F$ is a subspace of the space of sections of the fibre bundle on G/H obtained by restriction to the diagonal of $G/H \times G/H$ of the bundle $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$. So one expects that the Leibniz rule used in the preceding proposition has a formulation in terms of the coproduct in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let Δ be the coproduct in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and (F, π) a finite dimensional representation of G as before. We define $\Delta_F = (I \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta$. Hence

$$(14) \quad \Delta_F: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(F).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The linear map*

$$\Delta_F \otimes I: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V \otimes E) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(V \otimes E \otimes F)$$

induces an algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta_F: \mathbb{D}_G(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_G(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

Proof. We have to prove that the map $\Delta_F \otimes 1$ sends the ideal $J_{V \otimes E}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})} \text{End}(V \otimes E)$ to the ideal $J_{V \otimes E \otimes F}$ in the definition of the corresponding quotient. By lemma 1.1 it is enough to consider the elements $Y \otimes I_{V \otimes E} + 1 \otimes Y$ for $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_F \otimes I(Y \otimes I_{V \otimes E} + 1 \otimes (c \otimes \sigma(Y))) \\ &= Y \otimes I_{V \otimes E \otimes F} + 1 \otimes \pi(Y) \otimes I_{V \otimes E} + 1 \otimes (c \otimes \sigma(Y)) \\ &= Y \otimes I_{V \otimes E \otimes F} + 1 \otimes (\pi \otimes c \otimes \sigma(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\Delta_F(Y \otimes I_{V \otimes E} + 1 \otimes Y) \in J_{V \otimes E \otimes F}$. □

Proposition 3.4. *One has $\Delta_F(D(E)) = \alpha(D(E) \otimes I)\beta$*

Proof. Let us compute $\Delta_F \otimes I(X \otimes I_{V \otimes E \otimes F})$ on $\alpha(f \otimes w)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_F \otimes I(X \otimes I_{V \otimes E \otimes F})\alpha(f \otimes w) \\ &= (\Delta_F(X) \otimes I)\alpha(f \otimes w) \\ &= (r(X) \otimes I_{V \otimes E \otimes F} + 1 \otimes I_{V \otimes E} \otimes \pi(X))\alpha(f \otimes w) \\ &= -(1 \otimes I_{V \otimes E} \otimes \pi(X))\alpha(f \otimes w) + \alpha((r(X)f \otimes w) \\ &\quad + (1 \otimes I_{V \otimes E} \otimes \pi(X))\alpha(f \otimes w)) \\ &= \alpha((r(X))f \otimes w) \end{aligned}$$

by the computation (13). The proposition follows. □

Summarising this discussion we have obtained the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let D be an abstract differential operator of order 1 with vanishing order 0 part. Then for any finite dimensional representation (F, π) of G and smooth representation E of H the operators $\Delta_F(D(E))$, $D(E \otimes F)$ and $D_F \otimes I_E$ are related by the following formula :*

$$\Delta_F(D(E)) = D(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (D_F \otimes I_E).$$

As before we then have

$$\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E)) = \bar{\partial}(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (\hat{\bar{\partial}}_F \otimes I_E) = \hat{\bar{\partial}}(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes (\bar{\partial}_F \otimes I_E)$$

3.2. Proof of theorem 0.2. We now prove theorem 0.2. Barchini proposed it as exercise (b) of lecture 2 in [Bar00] but it is perhaps not as obvious as expected. The isomorphism α satisfies

$$\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E)) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ (\bar{\partial}(E) \otimes I_F).$$

In particular, it induces an isomorphism at the level of cohomology.

Proposition 3.6. *The linear map α induces a G -module isomorphism*

$$\alpha: H_{\bar{\partial}(E)} \otimes F \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))},$$

whose inverse is induced by $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$.

On the other side, thanks to equation (2), the map $p_{\mu,\nu}^H$ induces

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^G: H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes F^\nu)} \longrightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}(E((\mu) \otimes E^\nu))}$$

But a priori $H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))}$ and $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes F^\nu)}$ may be different.

The restriction to H of the representation F^ν contains a finite dimensional irreducible representation E^ν with highest weight ν . So as an H -module, one has $F^\nu = E^\nu \oplus E'$. Let us consider the bundle $\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\nu$. We decompose it as follows

$$(15) \quad \wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{F}^\nu = (\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{E}^\nu) \oplus (\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{E}').$$

Note that $\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu + \nu) \subset \wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathcal{E}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{E}^\nu$ and that ν is not a weight of E' .

Proposition 3.7. *In the decomposition (15) the operator $1 \otimes \hat{\bar{\partial}}_{F^\nu} \otimes I_{E(\mu)}$ has the form*

$$1 \otimes \hat{\bar{\partial}}_{F^\nu} \otimes I_{E(\mu)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words the range of $1 \otimes \hat{\bar{\partial}}_{F^\nu} \otimes I_{E(\mu)}$ is contained in $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')$.

Proof. The range of $\hat{\bar{\partial}}_{F^\nu}$ is contained in $\wedge \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{u}} F^\nu$. But $F^\nu / \bar{\mathbf{u}} F^\nu$ is a highest weight module for H with highest weight ν . So $E^\nu \subset F^\nu / \bar{\mathbf{u}} F^\nu$ and $E' \supset \bar{\mathbf{u}} F^\nu$. \square

Thanks to this proposition, we see that

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^G \circ \Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))) = \bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu) \circ p_{\mu,\nu}^G.$$

so that $p_{\mu,\nu}^G$ goes down to a G -map on cohomology. Together with proposition 3.6, this implies theorem 0.2.

Remark 3.8. This is a fundamental difference with the Dirac operator. In this case we only have $1 \otimes D_{F^\nu} \otimes I_{E^\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ so $p_{\mu,\nu}^H$ does not induces a map from $\ker(\Delta_{F^\nu}(D(E(\mu))))$ to $\ker(D(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu))$.

Also note that the subspace $(C^\infty(G) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')^H$ is stable by the operator $\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))$. In particular the inclusion $\iota: E' \rightarrow F^\nu$ yields a long exact sequence in cohomology, together with an inclusion of G -maps

$$(16) \quad \frac{H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))}}{\iota \left(H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))|_{\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}} \right)} \longrightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}$$

whose range is the kernel of the (G -equivariant) connecting map

$$(17) \quad H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)} \longrightarrow H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))|_{\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}}^{+1}$$

We are now going to prove that, under some equiregularity condition, the left hand side of equation (16) contains to the full $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ primary component of $H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))}$ with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\rho(\mathbf{u})+\nu}$ and the connecting map (17) vanishes.

3.3. Proof of theorem 0.3. As explained in the preceding section the algebra $H_{d_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))}$ acts on the space $H_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))$. Thus it makes sense to consider the class of $Z \otimes I_{\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E \otimes F}$ in this algebra, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, and the action of $Z \otimes I_{\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E \otimes F}$ on $H_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E)) \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}(E)} \otimes F$ only depends on its class in $H_{d_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))}$. Nevertheless the algebra $H_{d_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))}$ is not the image of $H_{d_{\bar{\partial}}} by $\bar{\varphi}_{E \otimes F}$ so that the characters appearing in this action of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ may not be computed using the arguments of the previous section. So we have to compute $H_{d_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))}$ and describe the homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow H_{d_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E))} \rightarrow \text{End} \left(H_{\Delta_F}(\bar{\partial}(E)) \right)$ explicitly. Actually we will need to compute$

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{d_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))|_{\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}} \rightarrow \text{End} \left(H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))|_{\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}} \right)$$

also. Here is the main technical result we need. We will prove it in the next paragraph.

Lemma 3.9. *Let E be a smooth representation of H with finite length and F a finite dimensional representation of G . Let E' is a (\mathfrak{g}, H) -submodule of $E \otimes F$ so that $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E')$ is stable by the operator $\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))$. Then the action of $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))|_{\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}} is given by the action of $p(z)$ on$*

$$H(\mathbf{u}, E') \subset H(\mathbf{u}, F) \otimes E \subset \wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathbf{u}} \otimes E \otimes F.$$

Let F^ν is an irreducible finite dimensional representation of G with highest weight ν . If E is a smooth representation of H we note $E(\mu)$ its $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ primary component generalized

infinitesimal character χ_μ . The $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu$ splits into a direct sum

$$H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu = \oplus_{\nu'} H_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})+\nu'} ,$$

where ν' is a weight of F^ν , and $H_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})+\nu'}$ is the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ primary component with generalized infinitesimal characters $\chi_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})+\nu'}$.

Assume that

$$(C) \quad \mu + \rho(\mathfrak{u}) + \nu \text{ is at least singular as } \mu + \rho(\mathfrak{u}) .$$

Under this condition, thanks to lemma (3.9) (applied to $E = E(\mu)$ and $F = F^\nu$) and [KV95, Proposition 7.166] the map ι in equation (16) is injective and the connecting map (17) vanishes. So we have an isomorphism of G -representation

$$(19) \quad \frac{H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))}}{H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))}|_{\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E(\mu) \otimes E')}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}$$

and the projection on the component $H_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})+\nu}$ in $H_{\Delta_{F^\nu}(\bar{\partial}(E(\mu)))} \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu$ factors through the left side of this isomorphism. It follows that

Theorem 3.10 (Theorem 0.3). *Under condition (C) the map $p_{\mu,\nu}^G \circ \alpha$ induces is a surjective map in cohomology*

$$p_{\mu,\nu}^G \circ \alpha: H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu))} \otimes F^\nu \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}(E(\mu) \otimes E^\nu)}$$

that induces an isomorphism onto the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ primary components with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{\mu+\rho(\mathfrak{u})+\nu}$ on both sides.

3.4. Proof of lemma 3.9. In terms of enveloping and symmetric Lie algebras the principal symbol map is

$$\mathrm{Gr}_E: \mathbb{D}_G(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F) \rightarrow \left(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp \otimes \mathrm{End}(E) \right)^H$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_G^p(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F)$ be the space of invariant differential operators with order less than p .

Proposition 3.11. *Let $d = d_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))}$ denotes the graded commutator with $\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))$, and $\partial = \partial_{\mathfrak{u}}$ the Koszul differential along \mathfrak{u} on the algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ as before.*

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}_G^p(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gr}_{E \otimes F}^p} & \left(\mathcal{S}_p(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp \otimes \mathrm{End}(E \otimes F) \right)^H \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow \partial \otimes I_{E \otimes F} \\ \mathbb{D}_G^{p+1}(\wedge^\bullet \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gr}_{E \otimes F}^{p+1}} & \left(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{h}^\perp \otimes \mathrm{End}(E \otimes F) \right)^H \end{array}$$

The proof is formally identical to that of lemma 2.8. In fact, only the principal symbol of $\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))$, namely $\hat{\bar{\partial}}(E \otimes F)$, contributes to ∂ .

According to this proposition the snake lemma now provides as usual a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$H_d^0 \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}} H_{\partial}^0 \xrightarrow{S} H_d^0 \rightarrow H_d^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_{\partial}^{p-1} \xrightarrow{S} H_d^{p-1} \rightarrow H_d^p \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_{E \otimes F}^p} H_{\partial}^p \xrightarrow{S} H_d^p \rightarrow \cdots$$

Moreover thanks to the decomposition

$$\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E)) = \hat{\bar{\partial}}(E \otimes F) + 1 \otimes \bar{\partial}_{F, \text{full}} \otimes I_E$$

one sees that in the associated spectral sequence, the operator d_1 is given for $[\sigma_P] = [\text{Gr}(P)] \in H_{\partial} = E_1$ by

$$d_1[\sigma_P] = [\text{Gr}(d_{1 \otimes \bar{\partial}_{F \otimes I_E}} P)].$$

Now the cohomology spaces H_{∂} are given by the following lemma whose proof is similar to that of lemma 2.9.

Lemma 3.12. *One has*

$$H_{\partial} = (S(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes \text{End}(E \otimes F))^H \subset (S(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes \text{End}(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F))^H$$

In particular, considering the action of the element ξ_0 in the center of \mathfrak{h} one sees that H_{∂} is finite dimensional, so the spectral sequence converges to $H_{d_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))}}$. Moreover if $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, then by [KV95, Lemma 4.123] we may write $Z = p(Z) + uX$ with $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $X \in \mathfrak{u}$. It follows that the images of $\text{Gr}(Z - p(Z)) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{u} \otimes 1$ so $\text{Gr}(Z - p(Z)) = d_0\omega_0$ with $\omega_0 \in (S(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{u} \otimes 1) \oplus (S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes (\oplus_{k>0} \wedge^k \mathfrak{u}))$. Proceeding by induction one constructs a sequence ω_r of operators with decreasing order such that $Z - p(Z) - \sum_{k<r} \omega_k = d_r(\omega_r)$. It follows that $Z = p(Z)$ in $H_{d_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))}}$. Hence if $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ its representative in $H_{d_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))}}$ is given by $c \otimes \sigma \otimes \pi(p(Z)) \in \text{End}_H(\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F)$. We then have in $H_{d_{\Delta_F(\bar{\partial}(E))}}$

$$\begin{aligned} l(Z) &= r(Z)^{\sharp} \otimes I_{\wedge^{\bullet} \bar{\mathfrak{u}} \otimes E \otimes F} \\ &= 1 \otimes c \otimes \sigma \otimes \pi(p(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [AM00] A. Alekseev and E. Meinrenken. The non-commutative Weil algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 139(1):135–172, 2000.
- [AM05] Anton Alekseev and Eckhard Meinrenken. Lie theory and the Chern-Weil homomorphism. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(2):303–338, 2005.
- [Bar00] Leticia Barchini. Unitary representations attached to elliptic orbits. A geometric approach. In *Representation theory of Lie groups (Park City, UT, 1998)*, volume 8 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 149–176. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [Duf83] Michel Duflo. Opérateurs différentiels invariants et homologie des algèbres de lie, *appendice à un cours sur les opérateurs différentiels invariants. Private communication*, pages 1–22, 1983.

- [HP02] Jing-Song Huang and Pavle Pandžić. Dirac cohomology, unitary representations and a proof of a conjecture of Vogan. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(1):185–202, 2002.
- [HP06] Jing-Song Huang and Pavle Pandžić. *Dirac operators in representation theory*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [HPR05] Jing-Song Huang, Pavle Pandžić, and David Renard. Dirac operators and Lie algebra cohomology. *arXiv:0503.582*, 2005.
- [HPR06] Jing-Song Huang, Pavle Pandžić, and David Renard. Dirac operators and Lie algebra cohomology. *Represent. Theory*, 10:299–313 (electronic), 2006.
- [Kos03] Bertram Kostant. Dirac cohomology for the cubic Dirac operator. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, volume 210 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 69–93. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [KR00] A. Korányi and H. M. Reimann. Equivariant first order differential operators on boundaries of symmetric spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 139(2):371–390, 2000.
- [KV95] Anthony W. Knap and David A. Vogan, Jr. *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*, volume 45 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [Wol69] Joseph A. Wolf. The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold. I. Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:1121–1237, 1969.
- [Won95] Hon-Wai Wong. Dolbeault cohomological realization of Zuckerman modules associated with finite rank representations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 129(2):428–454, 1995.
- [Won99] Hon-Wai Wong. Cohomological induction in various categories and the maximal globalization conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 96(1):1–27, 1999.

UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UMR 7502 - CNRS, FRANCE
E-mail address: nicolas.prudhon@univ-lorraine.fr