

GLOBAL REGULARITY FOR THE 2+1 DIMENSIONAL EQUIVARIANT EINSTEIN-WAVE MAP SYSTEM

LARS ANDERSSON, NISHANTH GUDAPATI, AND JÉRÉMIE SZEFTTEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the equivariant 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system and show that if the target satisfies the so called Grillakis condition, then global existence holds. In view of the fact that the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field reduce to a 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system with target the hyperbolic plane, which in particular satisfies the Grillakis condition, this work proves global existence for the equivariant class of such spacetimes.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Null coordinates	7
3. The first singularity occurs on the axis	9
4. (t, r) coordinates	13
5. Non-concentration of energy	16
6. Global regularity of the 2 + 1 Einstein-wave map problem	38
7. An improved uniform bound for ϕ	40
8. An improved uniform bound for $\partial\phi$	61
9. Small energy implies global existence	89
References	115

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall prove that global existence holds for the maximal Cauchy development of asymptotically flat initial data for the equivariant 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system assuming that the target (N, h) is a rotationally symmetric 2-manifold with metric satisfying the Grillakis condition, see (1.6) below. The Grillakis condition holds in particular if h has negative sectional curvature. Therefore, our result applies in the important special case obtained by considering the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field which reduces to a 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system with target the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 , see [23] and also [1, 24] and references therein. It follows that global existence holds for an equivariant solution of the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field.

Before discussing the equivariant 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system, we provide some background on the equivariant wave map problem.

1.1. Equivariant critical wave maps. Let $(M, \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})$ be a Lorentzian spacetime and (N, h_{AB}) a Riemannian manifold. The action defined for a map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$

by

$$S_{\text{WM}} := -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial_\nu \Phi^B h_{AB} \circ \Phi \quad (1.1)$$

has Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \Phi^A + {}^{(h)}\Gamma_{BC}^A \circ \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi^B \partial_\nu \Phi^C \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

where, denoting by ∇ the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of \mathbf{g} , $\square_{\mathbf{g}} = \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha$ is the d'Alembertian, and where ${}^{(h)}\Gamma_{BC}^A$ denote the Christoffel symbols of h . The action (1.1) is the Lorentzian analogue of the Dirichlet integral, or harmonic map energy, and if M is static, time independent solutions of (1.2) are simply harmonic maps. In the particular case where the target is a compact Lie group, this system is known in the physics literature as a σ -model, and in the mathematics literature (with general target), it is known as the wave map equation.

Next, we restrict ourselves to the equivariant class. We assume M is a globally hyperbolic 2 + 1-dimensional spacetime with Cauchy surface diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and that N is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension 2 with metric h of the form

$$h = d\rho^2 + g^2(\rho)d\theta^2$$

for an odd function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g'(0) = 1$. Let $e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ denote a semifree circle action on M and N . We assume that the S^1 action on M is generated by a hypersurface orthogonal Killing field ∂_θ , that it has a non-empty fixed point set¹, and that the non-trivial orbits of this action in M are spatial. Then we may write \mathbf{g} in the form²

$$\mathbf{g} = \check{\mathbf{g}} + r^2 d\theta^2 \quad (1.3)$$

where $\check{\mathbf{g}}$ is a metric on the orbit space $\mathcal{Q} = M/\mathbb{S}^1$ and r is the radius function, defined such that $2\pi r(p)$ is the length of the \mathbb{S}^1 orbit through p . We assume that M has Cauchy surface Σ diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , which we may, without loss of generality, assume to be symmetric³.

A map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is equivariant, with rotation number $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if

$$\Phi \circ e^{i\theta} = e^{ik\theta} \circ \Phi.$$

Let the function ϕ be defined by

$$\phi = \rho \circ \Phi \quad (1.4)$$

where $\rho : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the radial coordinate function on N . With the above definitions, the wave maps equation takes the form

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \phi - \frac{k^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi)}{r^2} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The Cauchy problem for equivariant wave maps with base $M = \mathbb{R}^{2+1}$ was studied by Shatah and Tahvildar-Zadeh [32] who proved that for targets satisfying⁴

$$g'(s) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0$$

¹It follows that the fixed point set is a timelike line, see [7] and references therein.

²As an example, consider \mathbb{R}^{2+1} with the metric

$$\mathbf{g} = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$$

In this case, the orbit space is $\mathcal{Q} = \{(t, r), r \geq 0\}$ with metric $\check{\mathbf{g}} = -dt^2 + dr^2$.

³To see this, note that \mathcal{Q} is globally hyperbolic, and hence by [6], there is a Cauchy time function \check{t} on \mathcal{Q} which may be lifted to a symmetric Cauchy time function t on M .

⁴This condition is equivalent to the assumption that the target (N, h) is geodesically convex.

global well-posedness holds for the equivariant wave map problem. It was then shown by Grillakis in [14] that it suffices for the target to satisfy the Grillakis condition⁵

$$sg'(s) + g(s) > 0, \quad \text{for } s > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Let us also mention subsequent developments by Shatah and Struwe in [31], Shatah and Tahvildar-Zadeh in [33], and by Struwe in [35]. Finally, let us mention the work of Christodoulou and Tahvildar-Zadeh for the case of spherically symmetric solutions [10]. Note that in these works, the proof consists of two main steps, a proof of energy non-concentration and a proof of global existence for small energy initial data.

Remark 1.1. (1) In [5], it was established that vacuum Einstein's equations for G_2 -symmetric 3+1 dimensional spacetimes reduce to spherically symmetric wave maps from $U : \mathbb{R}^{2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$. Consequently, the aforementioned work of Christodoulou and Tahvildar-Zadeh [10] was applied in [5] to prove global regularity for large data for these spacetimes. In the context of our problem, we would like to emphasize that the non-zero rotation number prevents a similar reduction to flat-space wave maps. Thus we are forced to consider the coupling with Einstein's equations.

(2) More recent work shows that large data global existence holds for the wave map problem (1.2) with $M = \mathbb{R}^{2+1}$ and target⁶ $N = \mathbb{H}^2$ even in the absence of equivariant symmetry, see [36], [34] and [21].

(3) It is known that for targets which are not geodesically convex, e.g. $N = \mathbb{S}^2$, singularities may form, see [28, 27].

1.2. The equivariant Einstein-wave map problem. Let $R_{\mathbf{g}}$ denote the scalar curvature of the Lorentzian metric \mathbf{g} on M , and let $\kappa > 0$ a constant. Let

$$S_{\text{grav}} := \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_M R_{\mathbf{g}}$$

denote the Einstein-Hilbert action, then the Euler-Lagrange equation for an Einstein-wave map with action

$$S_{\text{grav}} + S_{\text{WM}}$$

consists of (1.2) coupled to the Einstein equation

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa S_{\mu\nu} \quad (1.7)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\mathbf{g}\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor for the metric \mathbf{g} and

$$S_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Phi^A \partial_\nu \Phi^B h_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \Phi^C \partial_\beta \Phi^D \mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta} h_{CD} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad (1.8)$$

is the energy-momentum tensor for the wave map.

Remark 1.2. As emphasized above, the main motivation for considering the Einstein-wave map problem is that the 3+1 dimensional vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field reduces to a 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map system with target the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 , see [23] and also [1, 24] and references therein.

In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the equivariant class and recall some of the notations already introduced in Section 1.1.

⁵For example, the Grillakis condition is satisfied in the important particular case $N = \mathbb{H}^2$, with $g(\rho) = \sinh(\rho)$.

⁶Note that more general targets are considered in [34].

Definition 1.3 (Equivariant 2+1 dimensional Einstein-wave map). *Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime with an S^1 action by isometries $e^{i\theta}$, with hypersurface orthogonal generator ∂_θ which is spacelike away from fixed points. Let the metric h on N be of the form $h = d\rho^2 + g^2(\rho)d\theta^2$. Assume that M has Cauchy surface diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ be an equivariant map, with rotation number $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\Phi \circ e^{i\theta} = e^{ik\theta} \circ \Phi$, and let $\phi = \rho \circ \Phi$.*

An equivariant critical Einstein-wave map spacetime with target N is a triple (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) solving

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa S_{\mu\nu} \quad (1.9a)$$

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\phi - \frac{k^2 f(\phi)}{r^2} = 0 \quad (1.9b)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor for the metric $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$, r is the radius function, and $f(\phi) = g(\phi)g'(\phi)$.

Remark 1.4. (1) *We shall throughout the paper restrict to the case when the generator ∂_θ of the S^1 action on M is hypersurface orthogonal.*
 (2) *See Section 1.1 for the technical conditions on $g(\rho)$ which will be assumed to hold throughout the paper.*
 (3) *In this work we shall assume $k = 1$, however the arguments easily extend to the general case $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

For a Cauchy surface Σ , let T^μ be the future directed unit normal. Denote also by R the induced scalar curvature and K_{ab} the second fundamental form of the embedding, defined by $K(X, Y) = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_X T, Y)$ for vector fields X, Y tangent to Σ . It is well known that the Cauchy data for the Einstein equations (1.9a) must satisfy some compatibility conditions known as the constraint equations⁷

$$R + (K^c_c)^2 - K_{ab}K^{ab} = 2\kappa S_{\mu\nu}T^\mu T^\nu, \quad (1.10a)$$

$$D^c K_{ac} - D_a K^c_c = \kappa S_{a\nu}T^\nu, \quad (1.10b)$$

where D_a is the intrinsic covariant derivative on Σ .

A smooth metric \mathbf{g} satisfying the assumptions in Definition 1.3 can be put in the form

$$\mathbf{g} = -e^{2\alpha(t,r)}dt^2 + e^{2\beta(t,r)}dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2, \quad (1.11)$$

see Section 4.1 for further discussion. For a smooth solution of the 2+1 dimensional equivariant Einstein-wave map system, it must hold that $\alpha(t, r), \beta(t, r)$ are even functions of r . In order to avoid a conical singularity at the axis, it must hold that $\beta(t, 0) = 0$. See eg. [29] for discussion of these points. Further, $\alpha(t, 0)$ is determined only up to a choice of time parametrization. We shall choose a time coordinate such that $\alpha(t, 0) = 0$.

Recall that we are restricting our considerations to the case of rotation number $k = 1$, cf. Remark 1.4. In this case, the map Φ must be odd under reflection. Hence in view of (1.4), $\phi(t, r)$ is odd, as a function of r . Thus, smoothness of \mathbf{g}, Φ , together with a time parametrization such that $\alpha(t, 0) = 0$ gives the leading order asymptotic behavior for α, β, ϕ ,

$$\alpha(t, r) = \alpha_2(t)r^2 + O(r^4), \quad (1.12a)$$

$$\beta(t, r) = \beta_2(t)r^2 + O(r^4), \quad (1.12b)$$

$$\phi(t, r) = \phi_1(t)r + O(r^3). \quad (1.12c)$$

⁷They correspond respectively to $G_{TT} = \kappa S_{TT}$ and $G_{Ta} = \kappa S_{Ta}$.

A calculation shows that with \mathbf{g} of the form (1.11), the second fundamental form K of a Cauchy t -level set Σ is of the form

$$K = K_{rr} dr^2,$$

with $K_{rr} = e^{-\alpha+2\beta} \partial_t \beta$.

Definition 1.5 (Cauchy data set for the 2+1 dimensional equivariant Einstein-wave map system). *A Cauchy data set for the 2+1 dimensional equivariant Einstein-wave map system with target (N, h) is a 5-tuple $(\Sigma, q, K, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ consisting of*

- (1) *a Riemannian 2-manifold (Σ, q) with an isometric action by $e^{i\theta}$ as above and a 2-tensor K of the form $K_{rr} dr^2$ symmetric under the same action,*
- (2) *rotationally symmetric functions $\phi_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\phi_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

such that the constraint equations (1.10) hold.

The proof by Choquet-Bruhat and Geroch [8] of existence and uniqueness of maximal solutions to the Cauchy problem for the vacuum Einstein equations, together with the equivariance of the Cauchy data, is readily generalized to give the following result.

Theorem 1.6 (Maximal Cauchy development for the 2+1 equivariant Einstein-wave map problem). *Let $(\Sigma, q, K, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ be an equivariant Cauchy data set for the 2+1 Einstein-wave map system. Then there is a unique, maximal Cauchy development (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) satisfying the equivariant Einstein-wave-map system (1.9).*

1.3. Asymptotic flatness. Let H_δ^s be the weighted L^2 Sobolev spaces⁸ on \mathbb{R}^2 . A 2-dimensional rotationally symmetric Cauchy data set (Σ, q, K) is asymptotically flat if

$$q = e^{2\beta} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$$

with $\beta = \beta_\infty + \tilde{\beta}$ and $(\tilde{\beta}, K) \in H_\delta^{s+1} \times H_{\delta+1}^s$ for some $\delta \in (-1, 0)$. This is compatible with the setup in [16], specialized to the rotationally symmetric case. Note that the existence of such asymptotically flat solutions to the constraint equations without rotational symmetry is proved in [16] [17] (and used in [18] to prove stability in exponential time of the Minkowski space-time in this setting).

1.4. Global existence conjecture. A major open problem in the field of general relativity is given by the Cosmic Censorship conjectures formulated for large data solutions of the Einstein equations by Penrose in 1969 [25] (republished as [26], see also the discussion in [22]), see for example [2] for a precise statement.

We recall the formulation of one version of these conjectures. The Strong Cosmic Censorship conjecture, which is most relevant for our purposes states that the maximal Cauchy development of generic vacuum Cauchy data is inextendible, while the Weak Cosmic Censorship conjecture states that any singularity in a generic, asymptotically flat, vacuum spacetime is hidden from observers at future null infinity by an event horizon.

These fundamental conjectures are still widely open in general, but have been proved in some cases when assuming certain symmetries, see in particular the seminal proof of Christodoulou of the Cosmic Censorship conjectures for the Einstein equations coupled to a scalar field in spherical symmetry (see [9] and references therein). An intermediate goal toward the general case would be to assume the presence of only one Killing field, and prove global regularity for the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field.

⁸We here use the same conventions as Huneau [16]. In particular on \mathbb{R}^n , $u = o(r^{-\delta-1})$ if $u \in H_\delta^s$ for $s > n/2$.

Conjecture 1.7 (Global existence for the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field). *Maximal Cauchy developments of ‘asymptotically flat’ solutions to the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field are regular and geodesically complete.*

Recall from point 2 of remark 1.1 that large data global existence holds for the corresponding semilinear analog, namely the wave map problem with $M = \mathbb{R}^{2+1}$ and target $N = \mathbb{H}^2$. A proof of Conjecture 1.7 would likely require a local existence result at the critical level which seems currently out of reach⁹ for quasilinear wave equations in dimensions higher than 1+1. As a first step towards Conjecture 1.7, we prove in this paper the special case of equivariant symmetry (see Remark 1.9 below).

1.5. Large data global regularity for the equivariant Einstein-wave map problem. We are now ready to state our main result.

Theorem 1.8 (Global regularity of equivariant Einstein-wave maps). *Let (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) be the maximal Cauchy development of a smooth, asymptotically flat, finite energy Cauchy data set with finite initial energy, for the 2 + 1 equivariant Einstein-wave map problem (1.9) with target (N, h) . Assume that the metric h has the form*

$$h = d\rho^2 + g^2(\rho)d\theta^2$$

for an odd function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g'(0) = 1$. Assume that g satisfies

$$\int_0^s g(s') ds' \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when } s \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.13)$$

and the Grillakis condition (1.6). Then, (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) is smooth, and (M, \mathbf{g}) is asymptotically flat and geodesically complete.

Remark 1.9. *As mentioned in Remark 1.2, an important motivation for studying the Einstein-wave map system arises from the fact that this system with target $N = \mathbb{H}^2$ arises naturally as the reduction of the 3+1 vacuum Einstein equations with a spacelike translational Killing field. In particular, Theorem 1.8 proves Conjecture 1.7 in the special case of equivariant symmetry and should be seen as the analog of the proof of the Cosmic Censorship conjectures in this setting.*

The proof of Theorem 1.8 follows, as in the semilinear analog of free wave maps on Minkowski space, from non-concentration of energy and small energy global existence. The proof of non-concentration of energy and the initial framework of the global existence problem is contained in the PhD Thesis of the second author [15]. Let us emphasize in particular the following

- The proof of non-concentration of energy relies on the vectorfield method. Unlike the semilinear case where one relies on vectorfields of Minkowski, the vectorfields we use here have to be carefully constructed and controlled. In particular, we exhibit a vectorfield¹⁰ T , which is not Killing but leads nevertheless to a conserved current.
- The proof of small energy global existence relies in a fundamental way on the null structure of the equations written in null coordinates. Indeed, derivatives along outgoing null cones of ϕ as well as the metric coefficients

⁹Note for instance that in the absence of symmetry, the resolution of the bounded L^2 -curvature conjecture in [20] for the 3+1 Einstein vacuum equations provides a local existence result which is 1/2 derivative above the scaling.

¹⁰the analog of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ in Minkowski.

behave better, while the null structure allows to integrate by parts derivatives along ingoing null cones such that the new terms generated behave better.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce null coordinates (u, \underline{u}) and a notion of mass. In Section 3, we prove the absence of trapped surfaces and that the first singularity, if it exists, must lie on the axis of symmetry. In Section 4, we introduce (t, r) coordinates. In Section 5, we prove the non-concentration of the energy. In Section 6, we state a result on small energy global existence and use it in conjunction with non-concentration of energy to prove Theorem 1.8. The rest of the paper is then devoted to the proof of small energy global existence. In Section 7 we derive a uniform weighted upper bound for ϕ . In Section 8, we rely on the upper bound of Section 7 to derive a uniform upper bound for $\partial\phi$. Finally, we rely on the upper bound of Section 8 to prove small energy global existence in Section 9.

2. NULL COORDINATES

We assume that all objects are smooth¹¹, unless otherwise stated. In this section we introduce a null coordinate system and a notion of mass in 2+1 dimension. This setup will be used in the next section to prove that the first singularity for the critical, equivariant Einstein-wave map system occurs on the axis of rotation, i.e. the set $\{r = 0\}$ which we denote by Γ .

2.1. Existence of null coordinates. Recall from the discussion in Section 1.1 that the orbit space $(\mathcal{Q}, \check{\mathbf{g}})$ is a 2-dimensional globally hyperbolic Lorentzian space and in particular conformally flat. Hence we may introduce a global null coordinate system with respect to which $\check{\mathbf{g}}$ takes the form

$$\check{\mathbf{g}} = -\Omega^2(u, \underline{u})dud\underline{u},$$

which shows that (M, \mathbf{g}) admits a coordinate system $(u, \underline{u}, \theta)$ such that \mathbf{g} takes the form

$$\mathbf{g} = -\Omega^2dud\underline{u} + r^2(u, \underline{u})d\theta^2,$$

where now $d\theta^2$ is the line element on the \mathbb{S}^1 symmetry orbit. In view of (1.12) we may, by redefining the coordinates u, \underline{u} , without loss of generality assume that the conditions

$$r = 0, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}}r = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_u r = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad u = \underline{u}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

are valid on the axis Γ . Also, the volume element is $\mu_{\mathbf{g}} = \Omega^2 r/2$ and the wave operator on symmetric functions (i.e. $\partial_{\theta}\phi = 0$) is

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\phi = -\frac{2}{\Omega^2 r} \left(\partial_u(r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) + \partial_{\underline{u}}(r\partial_u\phi) \right). \quad (2.2)$$

¹¹Throughout the paper, we use the terms smooth and regular interchangeably.

2.2. The energy-momentum tensor in null coordinates. The non-vanishing components of $S_{\alpha\beta}$ in the coordinate system $(u, \underline{u}, \theta)$ are

$$S_{uu} = \partial_u \phi \partial_u \phi, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$S_{\underline{u}\underline{u}} = \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi, \quad (2.3b)$$

$$S_{u\underline{u}} = \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2}, \quad (2.3c)$$

$$S_{\theta\theta} = \frac{r^2}{2} \left(\frac{4}{\Omega^2} \partial_u \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right). \quad (2.3d)$$

The components $S_{u\theta}, S_{\underline{u}\theta}$ vanish identically. The energy-momentum tensor satisfies the dominant energy condition since

$$S_{uu} \geq 0, \quad S_{\underline{u}\underline{u}} \geq 0, \quad S_{u\underline{u}} \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

2.3. The Einstein equation. The non-vanishing components in the coordinate system $(u, \underline{u}, \theta)$ of the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$G_{uu} = -\Omega^2 r^{-1} \partial_u (\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r), \quad (2.5a)$$

$$G_{\underline{u}\underline{u}} = -\Omega^2 r^{-1} \partial_{\underline{u}} (\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r), \quad (2.5b)$$

$$G_{u\underline{u}} = r^{-1} \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r, \quad (2.5c)$$

$$G_{\theta\theta} = 4r^2 \Omega^{-4} (\partial_u \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega - \Omega \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega). \quad (2.5d)$$

The components $G_{u\theta}, G_{\underline{u}\theta}$ vanish identically.

We can now write the u, \underline{u} components of the Einstein equations $G_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa S_{\alpha\beta}$ in the form

$$\partial_u (\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa S_{uu}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} (\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa S_{\underline{u}\underline{u}}, \quad (2.6b)$$

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = r \kappa S_{u\underline{u}}, \quad (2.6c)$$

$$\Omega^{-2} (\partial_u \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega - \Omega \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) = \frac{1}{4} r^{-2} \Omega^2 \kappa S_{\theta\theta}. \quad (2.6d)$$

Here, the equation (2.6c) is special to 2 + 1 dimensions.

2.4. The mass. Define the quantity

$$m = 1 + 4\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r \partial_{\underline{u}} r. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.1) that $m = 0$ on Γ . We can write m in the form $m = 1 - \mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha r} \partial_{\beta r}$. In view of the form (1.11) for \mathbf{g} , we have

$$m = 1 - e^{-2\beta}. \quad (2.8)$$

Remark 2.1. *The quantity m defined by (2.7) has a form related to the Hawking mass in 3+1 dimensional spherically symmetric gravity. In 3+1 dimensions and spherical symmetry, the Hawking mass m_H is given by*

$$m_H = \frac{r}{2} (1 + 4\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r \partial_{\underline{u}} r).$$

In 2 + 1 dimensions, the quantity m defined in (2.7), when evaluated at infinity, is a function of the mass defined by Asetkar and Varadarajan [3].

Lemma 2.2. *The quantity m admits a limit along any a space like asymptotically flat curve, which does not depend on the particular curve. We denote this limit by m_∞ . We have furthermore*

$$m_\infty \in [0, 1).$$

Proof. See [16] for the proof of this lemma, where m_∞ is called the deficit angle. \square

The mass m satisfies the following equations which are analogous to the ones satisfied by the Hawking mass in the 3 + 1 dimensional case,

$$\partial_u m = 4\kappa\Omega^{-2}r(S_{\underline{u}\underline{u}}\partial_{ur} - S_{uu}\partial_{\underline{u}r}) \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} m = 4\kappa\Omega^{-2}r(S_{u\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}r} - S_{\underline{u}u}\partial_{ur}) \quad (2.9b)$$

3. THE FIRST SINGULARITY OCCURS ON THE AXIS

3.1. Absence of trapped surfaces. Following Dafermos [12], we define the regions

$$\mathcal{R} = \{p \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ such that } \partial_{\underline{u}}r > 0, \quad \partial_{ur} < 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \{p \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ such that } \partial_{\underline{u}}r < 0, \quad \partial_{ur} < 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{p \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ such that } \partial_{\underline{u}}r = 0, \quad \partial_{ur} < 0\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}$ are the non-trapped (or regular), trapped and marginally trapped regions, respectively. Due to work of Ida [19], one expects that in a 2+1 dimensional spacetime satisfying the dominant energy condition, trapped or marginally trapped surfaces occur only in exceptional cases. In fact, as shown by Galloway et al. [13] a 2+1 dimensional spacetime satisfying the dominant energy condition and a mild asymptotic condition, weaker than asymptotic flatness, cannot contain any marginally trapped surfaces. We give below a direct proof that in the case under consideration, there are no trapped or marginally trapped surfaces.

Theorem 3.1 (Absence of trapped surfaces). *We have*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{For } q \in \mathcal{Q},$$

$$0 \leq m(q) < m_\infty < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, the spacetimes under consideration contain no trapped or marginally trapped surfaces, i.e. $\mathcal{T} = \emptyset, \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\check{\Sigma}$ be a Cauchy curve in \mathcal{Q} . Note that each $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ is on such a Cauchy curve. Let s be a coordinate on $\check{\Sigma}$ and let $x(s)$ be the point in $\check{\Sigma}$ with coordinate value s . We may without loss of generality assume $\check{\Sigma}$ has one endpoint $x(0)$ on Γ corresponding to $s = 0$ and an ‘‘asymptotically flat’’ end corresponding to $s \rightarrow \infty$ so that the coordinate s takes values in $[0, \infty)$. As discussed in Section 2.4, we have $m(x(0)) = 0$.

Now $V = \partial_s$ is a vectorfield tangent to $\check{\Sigma}$ and in particular is spatial. Therefore, since V points towards increasing values of s , $V = a\partial_{\underline{u}} - b\partial_u$ for positive functions a, b . It follows from the assumption of asymptotic flatness that $x(s)$ is contained in \mathcal{R} for s large enough. Due to the dominant energy condition, see (2.4), and equations (2.9), we have

$$Vm \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

in the regular region \mathcal{R} . Now consider a point $q \in \check{\Sigma} \cap \partial\mathcal{R}$, where $\partial\mathcal{R}$ denotes the boundary of \mathcal{R} . At such a point, one of the equations $\partial_{ur} = 0$ or $\partial_{\underline{u}r} = 0$ holds, and hence $m(q) = 1$. Due to asymptotic flatness, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} m(x(s)) = m_\infty \in [0, 1)$. Hence due to the monotonicity of m , see (3.2), we get a contradiction from $m(q) = 1$. Therefore $\check{\Sigma} \cap \partial\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. This argument also shows that $\check{\Sigma} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Also, since $m(x(0)) = 0$ with $x(0) = \check{\Sigma} \cap \Gamma$, then we have $0 \leq m < 1$ on $\check{\Sigma}$.

The properties of the mass discussed above, together with the fact that each point of \mathcal{Q} is on a Cauchy curve, and the maximality of \mathcal{Q} , allow us to conclude the proof of the theorem. \square

3.2. First singularities. We now restrict our consideration to the future \mathcal{Q}^+ of $\check{\Sigma}$. Due to Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{Q}^+ = \mathcal{Q} \cap J^+(\check{\Sigma})$. We now introduce some notions following Dafermos [12, 11]. Recall that \mathcal{Q}^+ is conformally isometric to a domain in the 2-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$. As in [11, section 1.1], we shall consider the topological closure $\overline{\mathcal{Q}^+}$ of \mathcal{Q}^+ in the topology of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ and define its boundary $\overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \mathcal{Q}^+$ accordingly.

Definition 3.2. *Let $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+}$. The indecomposable past subset $J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$ is said to be **eventually compactly generated** if there is a compact subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Q}^+$ such that*

$$J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+ \subset D^+(\mathcal{X}) \cup J^-(\mathcal{X}). \quad (3.3)$$

We will say that in this situation \mathcal{X} generates $J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$.

Here, for convenience, we have followed the usage of [11] rather than the standard definition, cf. [4, Section 6.4]. In particular, in the following, we take an indecomposable past subset to be a set of the form $J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$ for some $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+}$.

Definition 3.3. *A point $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \mathcal{Q}^+$ is said to be a **first singularity** if $J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$ is eventually compactly generated and if any eventually compactly generated indecomposable past subset of $J^-(p) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$ is of the form $J^-(q) \cap \mathcal{Q}^+$ for some $q \in \mathcal{Q}^+$.*

We will now state an extension criterion, which is a direct consequence of the well posedness of the characteristic initial value problem, see [11, Prop. 1.1]. To state this we need to introduce for a subset $Y \subset \mathcal{Q}^+ \setminus \Gamma$, the quantity $N(Y)$,

$$N(Y) = \sup_Y \{|\Omega|_1, |\Omega^{-1}|_0, |r|_2, |r^{-1}|_0, |\phi|_1\} \quad (3.4)$$

where $|f|_k = \max(|f|_{C^k(u)}, |f|_{C^k(v)})$.

We can now state the extension criterion

Proposition 3.4 ([11, Property 1.1]). *Let $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$ be a first singularity. Then, for any compact $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Q}^+ \setminus \Gamma$, generating $J^-(p)$, i.e. which satisfies (3.3), we have*

$$N(D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}) = \infty.$$

The following theorem states that the first singularity occurs on the axis.

Theorem 3.5 (The first singularity occurs on the axis). *Let $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \mathcal{Q}^+$ be a first singularity. Then $p \in \overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$.*

Remark 3.6. *Theorem 3.5 should be compared to [11, Theorem 3.1], which states that a first singularity occurs either on the axis or has a trapped surface in its past.*

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that $p \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$. Let us introduce the notations

$$\nu := \partial_u r, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\lambda := \partial_{\underline{u}} r, \quad (3.6)$$


$$\zeta := r \partial_u \phi, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\vartheta := r \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\varkappa := -\frac{1}{4} \Omega^2 \nu^{-1}. \quad (3.9)$$

In the present, 2+1 dimensional case, m is given by (2.7), which using the above notation takes the form

$$m = 1 + 4\Omega^{-2}\nu\lambda.$$

FIGURE 1. $D^+(\mathcal{X})$

Note that we have by Theorem 3.1 $m < 1$ and also, since $\mathcal{Q}^+ \subset \mathcal{R}$, it holds that $\nu < 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\varkappa > 0$ everywhere in \mathcal{Q}^+ . Further, note that from the definitions $r > 0$ in $\mathcal{Q}^+ \setminus \Gamma$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Q}^+ \setminus \Gamma$. If $p = (u_s, \underline{u}_s)$ denotes first singularity, we may further assume that \mathcal{X} is given by

$$\mathcal{X} = \left(\{u_0\} \times [\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_s] \right) \cup \left([u_0, u_s] \times \{\underline{u}_0\} \right)$$

where $u_0 < u_s$, $\underline{u}_0 < \underline{u}_s$ and $u_s < \underline{u}_0$ to ensure $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Q}^+ \setminus \Gamma$. Note that we have

$$[u_0, u_s] \times [\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_s] = D^+(\mathcal{X}) = J^-(p) \cap D^+(\mathcal{X}).$$

In view of the compactness of \mathcal{X} the following bounds hold on \mathcal{X} ,

$$0 < r_0 \leq r \leq R, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda, \quad 0 > \nu \geq -N, \quad (3.10b)$$

$$|\phi| \leq P, \quad |\vartheta| \leq \Theta, \quad |\zeta| \leq Z,$$

$$0 < \varkappa \leq K, \quad (3.10c)$$

$$|\partial_u \Omega| \leq H, \quad |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| \leq H,$$

$$|\partial_u \nu| \leq H, \quad |\partial_{\underline{u}} \lambda| \leq H,$$

for some positive real numbers $r_0, R, \Lambda, N, P, \Theta, Z, K, H$. Equation (2.6a) yields

$$\partial_u \varkappa = \kappa \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\nu} \right)^2 \nu \varkappa. \quad (3.11)$$

Due to (3.11) and $\nu < 0$, it follows that inequality (3.10c) holds in all of $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$. Since $\nu < 0$, $\lambda > 0$, it follows that inequality (3.10a) holds throughout $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$.

Now consider $p^* = (u^*, \underline{u}^*) \in D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$. The past null curves starting at p^* intersect \mathcal{X} at (u^*, \underline{u}_0) and (u_0, \underline{u}^*) , respectively, see figure 1. Integrating (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |(\phi(u^*, \underline{u}^*))| &\leq |\phi(u^*, \underline{u}_0)| + \left| \int_{\underline{u}_0}^{\underline{u}^*} \frac{\vartheta}{r}(u^*, \underline{u}) d\underline{u} \right| \\ &\leq P + \sqrt{\int_{\underline{u}_0}^{\underline{u}^*} \frac{\vartheta^2}{r \varkappa} d\underline{u}} \sqrt{\int_{\underline{u}_0}^{\underline{u}^*} \frac{\varkappa}{r} d\underline{u}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Equation (2.9b) gives, using the present notation

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}m = \kappa \left(\frac{g^2(\phi)}{r} \lambda + \frac{\vartheta^2}{r\kappa} \right).$$

In view of $\lambda > 0$, this gives, integrating along the same null curve as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\underline{u}_0}^{\underline{u}^*} \frac{\vartheta^2}{r\kappa} d\underline{u} &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa} (m(u^*, \underline{u}^*) - m(u^*, \underline{u}_0)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where we used (3.1). We can now use the inequality (3.13) together with the previous estimates of κ and r and (3.12) to show that ϕ is uniformly bounded in $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$.

We next estimate λ and ν . First, use the relation

$$\kappa(1 - m) = \lambda$$

and the previous estimates for m , κ , to get the inequality $0 < \lambda < K$ on $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$. In order to estimate ν , recall that $\nu < 0$ on \mathcal{Q} by theorem 3.1. Next, note that in view of (2.6c) and (2.4) we have $\partial_{\underline{u}}\nu > 0$ and hence integrating as above gives

$$\nu(u^*, \underline{u}_0) < \nu(u^*, \underline{u}^*) < 0.$$

This means that the inequalities (3.10b) hold on $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$.

From the definition of κ , cf. (3.9), we have

$$\Omega^2 = -4\nu\kappa$$

which in view of the above estimates gives

$$\Omega^2 \leq 4NK \quad \text{on } D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}. \quad (3.14)$$

To estimate the first derivative of ϕ , we write (1.9) in the form

$$\partial_u \vartheta = \frac{1}{2} r^{-1} \nu \vartheta - \frac{1}{2} r^{-1} \lambda \zeta + \kappa \nu \frac{f(\phi)}{r} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \zeta = \frac{1}{2} r^{-1} \lambda \zeta - \frac{1}{2} r^{-1} \nu \vartheta + \kappa \nu \frac{f(\phi)}{r} \quad (3.16)$$

Integrating these relations as above yields uniform bounds for ϑ, ζ in $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$.

Next, observe that (2.6d) takes the form

$$-\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega) = \frac{1}{8} r^{-2} \kappa (4\vartheta \zeta + \Omega^2 g^2(\phi)) \quad (3.17)$$

in the current notation. The right hand side of (3.17) is uniformly bounded on $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$ by the above estimates. Integrating as above along the null curves $\{(u, \underline{u}^*), u_0 \leq u \leq u^*\}$ and $\{(u^*, \underline{u}), \underline{u}_0 < \underline{u} < \underline{u}^*\}$ yields uniform bounds on $\partial_u \log(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$ on $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$, and hence in view of (3.14) also on $\partial_u \Omega$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$. A second integration of $\partial_u \log(\Omega)$ or $\partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega)$ allows us to give a uniform bound on $|\log(\Omega)|$, and hence also on $|\Omega^{-1}|$, in $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$.

Now we have uniform bounds in $D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}$ for the quantities $|r^{-1}|, |\Omega^{-1}|, |\partial_u r|, |\partial_{\underline{u}} r|, |\phi|, |\partial_u \phi|, |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi|, |\partial_u \Omega|, |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega|$. A bound on $|\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r|$ follows in view of these estimates directly from (2.6c). It remains only to estimate $\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = \partial_u \nu$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r = \partial_{\underline{u}} \lambda$. In order to do this, we can use equations (2.6a) and (2.6b) since all occurring terms are bounded by our previous estimates.

This completes the proof that if p is a first singularity in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}^+} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$, we have $N(D^+(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \{p\}) < \infty$ which by Proposition 3.4 gives a contradiction. This shows

that every first singularity occurs in $\bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$, i.e. on the axis, and hence concludes the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

4. (t, r) COORDINATES

4.1. Construction of (t, r) coordinates. Let (M, \mathbf{g}, ϕ) be the maximal Cauchy development of an asymptotically flat Cauchy data set for the equivariant Einstein-wave map problem. Let $\Gamma = \{r = 0\}$ be the axis of rotation in M . If Γ is incomplete to the future, we let p_Γ be the first singularity.

Lemma 4.1. *Let t be the parameter on Γ such that $\dot{\Gamma} = d\Gamma/dt$ satisfies*

$$\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} \dot{\Gamma}^\alpha \dot{\Gamma}^\beta = -1 \text{ for } t < 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t \nearrow 0} \Gamma(t) = p_\Gamma.$$

Extend t to be constant on the maximal orbit $\check{\Sigma}_t$ of ∇r starting at $\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{R}$. Then, (t, r) is a regular coordinate system on $\cup_{t < 0} \check{\Sigma}_t$ and

$$\check{\mathbf{g}} = -e^{2\alpha} dt^2 + e^{2\beta} dr^2$$

for some functions $\alpha = \alpha(t, r)$, $\beta = \beta(t, r)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\alpha = \beta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Proof. Recall that the radius function r is well-defined and smooth on the regular part of M and hence also on \mathcal{Q} . Let ∇r be the gradient field of r on $(\mathcal{Q}, \check{\mathbf{g}})$. We have

$$\nabla r = -2\Omega^{-2}(\partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} + \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}})$$

and

$$\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) = -4\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} r.$$

This means that

$$\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) = 1 - m$$

where m is the mass as defined in Section 2.4. In view of (3.1), we have that $m \in [0, 1)$ in \mathcal{Q} . Thus we have $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) > 0$ on \mathcal{Q} .

Consider a maximal orbit $\check{\Sigma}_t$ of ∇r starting at some point $\Gamma(t) \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{R}$. Since $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) > 0$ on \mathcal{Q} , the radius function r is a parametrization of $\check{\Sigma}$. By Cauchy stability for the ODE

$$\frac{dx}{dr} = \nabla r, \tag{4.1}$$

we have that $\check{\Sigma}_t$ defines a foliation in \mathcal{Q} . This foliation does not cover all of \mathcal{Q} , but the domain of the foliation includes the past domain of influence of the first singularity, cf. figure 2. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ denote the domain of the foliation.


We can now extend the coordinate t from the axis Γ to the domain of the $\check{\Sigma}_t$ foliation. This defines a function t on $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Recall that the $\check{\Sigma}_t$ are orbits of a vector field ∇r on \mathcal{Q} . By uniqueness for (4.1) we have that the function t has non-vanishing gradient. Furthermore, we have by construction that $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla r) = 0$ on the domain of the time foliation. Together with the fact that t has non-vanishing gradient and $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) > 0$ on \mathcal{Q} , we infer

$$\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla r) = 0, \quad \check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla t) < 0, \quad \check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) > 0 \text{ on } \cup_{t < 0} \check{\Sigma}_t.$$

It follows that (t, r) as coordinate functions on the domain of the foliation, and in this coordinate system, we have

$$\check{\mathbf{g}} = -e^{2\alpha} dt^2 + e^{2\beta} dr^2$$

where $\alpha = -\log(-\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla t))/2$ and $\beta(t, r) = -\log(\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r))/2$. Furthermore, in view of our choice for t in Γ and the fact that $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla r) = 0$, we have $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla t, \nabla t) =$

FIGURE 2. The $\check{\Sigma}_t$ foliation

-1 and hence also $\alpha = 0$ on Γ . Further, as discussed in connection with (1.12), smoothness of \mathbf{g} implies $\beta = 0$ on Γ , in particular it holds that $\check{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla r, \nabla r) = 1$ on Γ . This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

The above construction lifts to (M, \mathbf{g}) to give a foliation Σ_t . We denote the domain of this foliation \tilde{M} . On \tilde{M} we have coordinates $(x^\alpha) = (t, r, \theta)$, and the metric \mathbf{g} takes the form

$$\mathbf{g} = -e^{2\alpha} dt^2 + e^{2\beta} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.2. By (2.8) and the fact that m is monotone increasing as a function of r and satisfies $m < 1$ by Lemma 2.2, we have that β is monotone increasing and bounded. See section 5 below.

4.2. Einstein Tensor. The components in the polar coordinates (t, r, θ) of the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} G_{tt} &= e^{2(\alpha-\beta)} \beta_r r^{-1}, \\ G_{tr} &= \beta_t r^{-1}, \\ G_{rr} &= \alpha_r r^{-1}, \\ G_{\theta\theta} &= r^2 (e^{-2\beta} (-\beta_r \alpha_r + \alpha_r^2 + \alpha_{rr}) - e^{-2\alpha} (\beta_t^2 - \beta_t \alpha_t + \beta_{tt})), \\ G_{t\theta} &= 0, \\ G_{r\theta} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Energy-momentum Tensor. Recall that the energy-momentum tensor $S(\Phi)$ for a wave map $\Phi : (M, \mathbf{g}) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is as follows

$$S_{\mu\nu}(\Phi) := \langle \partial_\mu \Phi, \partial_\nu \Phi \rangle_{h(\Phi)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \langle \partial^\sigma \Phi, \partial_\sigma \Phi \rangle_{h(\Phi)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mu, \nu, \sigma = 0, 1, 2$. In the following we will calculate each of the components of the energy-momentum tensor in (t, r, θ) coordinates. Note,

$$\langle \partial^\sigma \Phi, \partial_\sigma \Phi \rangle_{h(\Phi)} = -e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we proceed to calculate $S_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{tt} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\alpha} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right), \\ S_{tr} &= \phi_t \phi_r, \\ S_{rr} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\beta} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 - \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right), \\ S_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 - e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right), \\ S_{t\theta} &= 0, \\ S_{r\theta} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let T and R be the normalization of ∂_t and ∂_r

$$T := e^{-\alpha} \partial_t \text{ and } R := e^{-\beta} \partial_r.$$

We define the energy density $\mathbf{e} := S(T, T)$ and momentum density $\mathbf{m} := S(T, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left((T(\phi))^2 + (R(\phi))^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right) \\ \mathbf{m} &= e^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \phi_t \phi_r \\ &= T(\phi) R(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

We further define

$$\mathbf{e}_0 := (T(\phi))^2 + (R(\phi))^2, \quad \mathbf{f} := \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2}.$$

4.4. Einstein equivariant wave map system of equations. Using the above expressions for $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $S_{\mu\nu}$ we have the system of equations

$$\beta_r = \frac{1}{2} r \kappa e^{2\beta} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right), \quad (4.5a)$$

$$\beta_t = r \kappa \phi_t \phi_r, \quad (4.5b)$$

$$\alpha_r = \frac{1}{2} r \kappa e^{2\beta} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 - \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right), \quad (4.5c)$$

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \phi = \frac{g'(\phi)g(\phi)}{r^2}, \quad (4.5d)$$

where

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \phi = -e^{-2\alpha} (\phi_{tt} + (\beta_t - \alpha_t) \phi_t) + e^{-2\beta} \left(\phi_{rr} + \frac{\phi_r}{r} + (\alpha_r - \beta_r) \phi_r \right).$$

We remark that the full system (1.9) yields some redundant equations. The system (4.5) is a subset containing the equations which are relevant for our purposes.

5. NON-CONCENTRATION OF ENERGY

Let us define the energy on a Cauchy surface Σ_t

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Phi)(t) &:= \int_{\Sigma_t} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \mathbf{e}(t, r) r e^{\beta(t, r)} dr, \end{aligned}$$

the energy in a coordinate ball B_r

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Phi)(t, r) &:= \int_{B_r} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q, \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^r \mathbf{e}(t, r') r' e^{\beta(t, r')} dr' \end{aligned}$$

the energy inside the causal past $J^-(O)$ of O

$$E^O(t) := \int_{\Sigma_t \cap J^-(O)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q.$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1 (Non-concentration of energy). *Let (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) be a smooth, globally hyperbolic, equivariant maximal development of smooth, asymptotically flat, equivariant initial data set $(\Sigma, q, K, \phi_0, \phi_1)$, satisfying the constraint equations (1.10), with finite initial energy, and let (N, h) be a rotationally symmetric, complete, connected Riemannian manifold satisfying the Grillakis condition (1.6) as well as (1.13). Then, the energy of the Einstein-wave map system (1.9) cannot concentrate, i.e., $E^O(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \nearrow 0$, where O is the first (hypothetical) singularity of M and t is as in Section 4.*

5.1. Energy conservation. We start by proving the energy is conserved.

Lemma 5.2. *The energy $E(\phi)(t)$ is conserved.*

Proof. Consider two Cauchy surfaces Σ_s and Σ_τ at $t = s$ and $t = \tau$ respectively, with $-1 \leq \tau \leq s < 0$. We shall now construct a divergence free vector field P_T as follows. Consider the Einstein's equations (4.5a) and (4.5b). They can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_r (e^{-\beta}) &= r \kappa e^\beta \mathbf{e}, \\ -\partial_t (e^{-\beta}) &= r \kappa e^\alpha \mathbf{m}. \end{aligned}$$

From the smoothness of β we have $-\partial_{rt}^2 (e^{-\beta}) = -\partial_{tr}^2 (e^{-\beta})$, which implies

$$-\partial_t (r e^\beta \mathbf{e}) + \partial_r (r e^\alpha \mathbf{m}) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Now define a vectorfield

$$P_T := -e^{-\alpha} \mathbf{e} \partial_t + e^{-\beta} \mathbf{m} \partial_r,$$

then the divergence of P_T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu P_T^\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|}} \partial_\nu \left(\sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|} P_T^\nu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r e^{\beta+\alpha}} \left(-\partial_t (r e^\beta \mathbf{e}) + \partial_r (r e^\alpha \mathbf{m}) \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

from (5.1). Let us now apply Stokes' theorem in the space-time region whose boundary is $\Sigma_s \cup \Sigma_\tau$. Due to asymptotic flatness, the boundary terms at $r = \infty$ do not contribute. We have

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma_s} e^\alpha P_T^t \bar{\mu}_q - \int_{\Sigma_\tau} e^\alpha P_T^t \bar{\mu}_q. \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$E(\phi)(\tau) = E(\phi)(s) \quad (5.4)$$

for any τ, s such that $-1 \leq \tau \leq s < 0$. \square

In the following lemma we shall prove that the metric functions $\beta(t, r)$ and $\alpha(t, r)$ are uniformly bounded during the evolution of the Einstein-wave map system.

Lemma 5.3. *There exist constants $c_\beta^-, c_\beta^+, c_\alpha^-, c_\alpha^+$ depending only on the initial data and the universal constants such that the following uniform bounds on the metric functions $\beta(t, r)$ and $\alpha(t, r)$ hold*

$$\begin{aligned} c_\beta^- &\leq \beta(t, r) \leq c_\beta^+, \\ c_\alpha^- &\leq \alpha(t, r) \leq c_\alpha^+. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we use a generic constant c for the estimates on $\beta(t, r)$ and $\alpha(t, r)$. The Einstein equation (4.5a) for β_r can be rewritten as

$$-(e^{-\beta})_r = \kappa r e^\beta \mathbf{e}.$$

Integrating with respect to r and recalling that $\beta|_\Gamma = 0$, we get

$$1 - e^{-\beta} = \kappa \int_0^r \mathbf{e} r' e^\beta dr' = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} E(\phi)(t, r)$$

so,

$$e^\beta = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} E(\phi)(t, r)\right)^{-1}.$$

Let us introduce the notation $\beta_\infty(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \beta(r, t)$. Then we have

$$e^{\beta_\infty(t)} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} E(\phi)(t)\right)^{-1}.$$

Since $E(\phi)(t, r)$ is a nondecreasing function of r , then so is $\beta(t, r)$

$$1 = e^{\beta(t, 0)} \leq e^{\beta(t, r)} \leq e^{\beta_\infty(t)}.$$

Furthermore, since the energy is conserved $E(\phi)(t) = E(\phi)(-1)$, $\beta_\infty(t) = \beta_\infty(-1)$ is also conserved during the evolution of the Einstein wave map system and hence

$$0 \leq \beta(t, r) \leq \beta_\infty(-1).$$

Similarly let us consider the Einstein's equation (4.5c) for α_r

$$\alpha_r = r \kappa e^{2\beta} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}).$$

Integrating with respect to r and recalling that $\alpha|_\Gamma = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, r) &\leq c \int_0^r (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) r e^\beta dr \\ &\leq c \int_0^r \mathbf{e} r e^\beta dr \\ &\leq c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t, r) &\geq -c \int_0^r \frac{\mathbf{f}}{2} r e^\beta dr \\ &\geq -c \int_0^r \mathbf{e} r e^\beta dr \\ &\geq -c.\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 5.1. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.8) we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} E(\phi)(t) = 1 - e^{-\beta_\infty(t)} < 1.$$

Lemma 5.4. Assume that the target manifold (N, h) satisfies

$$\wp(\phi) := \int_0^\phi g(s) ds \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \phi \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.5)$$

then there exists a constant c dependent only on the initial data and the universal constants such that

$$\phi \in L^\infty \text{ with } \|\phi\|_\infty \leq c$$

for every solution ϕ of the equivariant wave map equation.

Proof. Extending the technique used in Lemma 8.1 in [31] and noting that $\phi|_\Gamma = 0$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\wp(\phi(t, r)) &= \int_0^r \partial_r(\wp(\phi(t, r))) dr \\ &= \int_0^r g(\phi) \partial_r \phi dr \\ &= \int_0^r \left(g(\phi)(r e^{-\beta})^{-1/2} \right) \left(\partial_r \phi (r e^{-\beta})^{1/2} \right) dr.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}|\wp(\phi(t, r))| &\leq \left(\int_0^r (g(\phi))^2 (r e^{-\beta})^{-1} dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^r (\partial_r \phi)^2 r e^{-\beta} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} r e^\beta dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2\beta} (\partial_r \phi)^2 r e^\beta dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c(E_0).\end{aligned}$$

Arguing via contradiction, the result follows. \square

5.2. The vectorfield method. Let X be a space-time vectorfield. The corresponding momentum P_X is given by the contraction of S with X i.e.,

$$P_X^\mu = S^\mu{}_\nu X^\nu. \quad (5.6)$$

We have,

$$\nabla_\nu P_X^\nu = X^\mu \nabla_\nu S^\nu{}_\mu + S^\nu{}_\mu \nabla_\nu X^\mu. \quad (5.7)$$

Since the energy-momentum tensor S is divergence free, the first term in the right hand side of (5.7) drops out, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\nu P_X^\nu &= S^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu X_\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle X \rangle \pi_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu},\end{aligned}$$

where the deformation tensor ${}^{(X)}\pi_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(X)}\pi_{\mu\nu} &:= \nabla_\mu X_\nu + \nabla_\nu X_\mu \\ &= \mathbf{g}_{\sigma\nu} \partial_\mu X^\sigma + \mathbf{g}_{\sigma\mu} \partial_\nu X^\sigma + X^\sigma \partial_\sigma \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Construction of useful identities using suitably chosen multipliers X and Stokes' theorem is central to our method to prove non-concentration of energy of equivariant Einstein-wave maps. In the following let us calculate the divergence of P_X for various choices of X .

Consider $T = e^{-\alpha} \partial_t$. The corresponding momentum P_T is

$$P_T = -e^{-\alpha} \mathbf{e} \partial_t + e^{-\beta} \mathbf{m} \partial_r. \quad (5.8)$$

Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu P_T^\nu &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\alpha} (e^\alpha \beta_t) \phi_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\beta} (e^\alpha \beta_t) \phi_r^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (e^\alpha \beta_t) \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} - \alpha_r e^{-\alpha-2\beta} \phi_t \phi_r \\ &= e^{-\alpha} \left(\beta_t (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) - \alpha_r e^{-\beta} \mathbf{m} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

after using Einstein's equations (4.5b) and (4.5c). Also, recall from (5.2) that

$$0 = \nabla_\nu P_T^\nu = \frac{1}{r e^{\beta+\alpha}} \left(-\partial_t (r e^\beta \mathbf{e}) + \partial_r (r e^\alpha \mathbf{m}) \right). \quad (5.10)$$

For $R = e^{-\beta} \partial_r$ and

$$P_R = -e^{-\alpha} \mathbf{m} \partial_t + e^{-\beta} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) \partial_r, \quad (5.11)$$

the divergence $\nabla_\nu P_R^\nu$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu P_R^\nu &= \frac{1}{2} {}^{(R)}\pi_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -e^{-\beta} \alpha_r \mathbf{e} + \frac{1}{2r} e^{-\beta} (e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 - e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 + \mathbf{f}) + e^{-\alpha} \beta_t \mathbf{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu P_R^\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathbf{g}}} \partial_\nu (\sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} P_R^\nu) \\ &= \frac{1}{r e^{\beta+\alpha}} \left(-\partial_t (r e^\beta \mathbf{m}) + \partial_r ((\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) r e^\alpha) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Similarly for the choice $\mathcal{R}_a := r^a \partial_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{R}_a} &= -e^{\beta-\alpha} r^a \mathbf{m} \partial_t + r^a (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) \partial_r, \\ \nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^\nu &= \frac{1}{2} (r^a (-\alpha_r + \beta_r) + (1+a) r^{a-1}) e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (r^a (-\alpha_r + \beta_r) + (a-1) r^{a-1}) e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (-r^a (\alpha_r + \beta_r) + (1-a) r^{a-1}) \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((1+a) r^{a-1}) e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} ((a-1) r^{a-1}) e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} ((1-a) r^{a-1}) \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where we used Einstein equations (4.5c) and (4.5a) for α_r and β_r respectively. In particular, we have $\mathcal{R}_1 := r \partial_r$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{R}_1} &= -r e^{\beta-\alpha} \mathbf{m} \partial_t + r(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) \partial_r, \\ \nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_1}^\nu &= e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Let $J^-(O)$ be the causal past of the the point O and $I^-(O)$ the chronological past of O . We will need the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t^O &:= \Sigma_t \cap J^-(O) \\ K(t) &:= \cup_{t \leq t' < 0} \Sigma_{t'} \cap J^-(O) \\ C(t) &:= \cup_{t \leq t' < 0} \Sigma_{t'} \cap (J^-(O) \setminus I^-(O)) \\ K(t, s) &:= \cup_{t \leq t' < s} \Sigma_{t'} \cap J^-(O) \\ C(t, s) &:= \cup_{t \leq t' < s} \Sigma_{t'} \cap (J^-(O) \setminus I^-(O)) \end{aligned}$$

for $-1 \leq t < s < 0$. In the following we will try to understand the behaviour of various quantities of the wave map as one approaches O in a limiting sense. For this we will use Stokes' theorem in the region $K(\tau, s)$, $-1 \leq \tau \leq s < 0$ (as shown in figure 3) for divergence of vector fields P_X with suitable choices of the vector field X . The volume 3-form of (M, g) is given by




FIGURE 3. Application of the Stokes' theorem for the divergence of P_X

$$\bar{\mu}_g := r e^{\beta+\alpha} dt \wedge dr \wedge d\theta$$

and the area 2-form of (Σ, q) by

$$\bar{\mu}_q = r e^\beta dr \wedge d\theta.$$

Let us define 1-forms $\tilde{\ell}$, \tilde{n} and \tilde{m} as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\ell} &:= -e^\alpha dt + e^\beta dr, \\ \tilde{n} &:= -e^\alpha dt - e^\beta dr, \\ \tilde{m} &:= r d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

so we have,

$$\bar{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\ell} \wedge \tilde{n} \wedge \tilde{m}).$$

Let us also define the 2-forms $\bar{\mu}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ and $\bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_{\tilde{\ell}} &:= -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{n} \wedge \tilde{m}, \\ \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}} &:= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\ell} \wedge \tilde{m},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_g &= -\tilde{\ell} \wedge \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{\ell}}, \\ \bar{\mu}_g &= -\tilde{n} \wedge \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now apply the Stokes' theorem for the $\bar{\mu}_g$ -divergence of P_X in the region $K(\tau, s)$ to get

$$\int_{K(\tau, s)} \nabla_\nu P_X^\nu \bar{\mu}_g = \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_X^t \bar{\mu}_q - \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} e^\alpha P_X^t \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_X)(\tau, s) \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$\text{Flux}(P_X)(\tau, s) = - \int_{C(\tau, s)} \tilde{n}(P_X) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}}.$$

5.3. Monotonicity of energy.

Lemma 5.5. *We have $E^O(\tau) \geq E^O(s)$ for $-1 \leq \tau < s < 0$.*

Proof. Let us apply Stokes' theorem (5.16) to the vector field P_T . We have

$$0 = - \int_{\Sigma_s^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) \quad (5.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) &= - \int_{C(\tau, s)} \tilde{n}(P_T) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}} \\ &= - \int_{C(\tau, s)} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $\mathbf{e} \geq |\mathbf{m}|$. Hence, we have $\text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) \leq 0$ which implies




FIGURE 4. Monotonicity of Energy inside the past null cone of O

$$E^O(\tau) - E^O(s) \geq 0 \quad \forall \quad -1 \leq \tau \leq s < 0.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let us define

$$E_{\text{conc}}^O := \inf_{\tau \in [-1, 0)} E^O(\tau). \quad (5.18)$$

As a consequence of Lemma 5.5, (5.18) is equivalent to

$$E_{\text{conc}}^O = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} E^O(\tau). \quad (5.19)$$

We say that the energy of equivariant Cauchy problem concentrates if $E_{\text{conc}}^O \neq 0$ and does not concentrate if $E_{\text{conc}}^O = 0$.

Corollary 5.6. *For a vectorfield X , let*

$$\text{Flux}(P_X)(\tau) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \text{Flux}(P_X)(\tau, s).$$

Then, we have

$$\text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Recall the equation (5.17). For $s \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$0 = -E_{\text{conc}}^O + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau). \quad (5.20)$$

Now by the definition (5.18), as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \rightarrow E_{\text{conc}}^O. \quad (5.21)$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.20) that $\text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. \square

5.4. L^∞ estimate for the Jacobian. The goal of this section is to derive uniform bounds for the Jacobian transformation between (t, r, θ) and $(u, \underline{u}, \theta)$ coordinates. Recall that we defined the 1-forms $\tilde{\ell}$ and \tilde{n} . Their corresponding vectors are null, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\ell} &= e^{-\alpha} \partial_t + e^{-\beta} \partial_r \\ \tilde{n} &= e^{-\alpha} \partial_t - e^{-\beta} \partial_r. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7. *There exists two scalar functions \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} such that*

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\ell}, \quad \partial_u = \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathcal{G}} \tilde{n}, \quad (5.22)$$

with the normalization on Γ

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} satisfy

$$2\partial_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{G}) = e^{\mathcal{F}} r \kappa e^{\beta} (\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}), \quad (5.23a)$$

$$2\partial_u(\mathcal{F}) = -e^{\mathcal{G}} r \kappa e^{\beta} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}). \quad (5.23b)$$

Proof. In view of various normalizations on Γ , note that we have

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} r = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_u r = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{\ell}(r) = 1, \quad \tilde{n}(r) = -1 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Furthermore, ∂_u and $\partial_{\underline{u}}$ are also null, and $\partial_u, \partial_{\underline{u}}, \tilde{\ell}$ and \tilde{n} are all future directed. We infer that there exists two scalar functions \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} such that

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\ell}, \quad \partial_u = \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathcal{G}} \tilde{n},$$

with the normalization on Γ

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Next, we derive equations for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} . We have

$$[\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{n}] = 2e^{-(\beta+\alpha)}(-\alpha_r \partial_t + \beta_t \partial_r).$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{\underline{u}}, \partial_u] &= \frac{e^{(\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G})}}{4} \left([\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{n}] + \tilde{\ell}(\mathcal{G})\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}(\mathcal{F})\tilde{\ell} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{(\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G})}}{2} e^{-(\beta+\alpha)} (-\alpha_r \partial_t + \beta_t \partial_r) + \frac{e^{\mathcal{G}}}{2} \partial_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{G})(e^{-\alpha} \partial_t - e^{-\beta} \partial_r) \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{\mathcal{F}}}{2} \partial_u(\mathcal{F})(e^{-\alpha} \partial_t + e^{-\beta} \partial_r). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\partial_{\underline{u}}, \partial_u] = 0$, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are such that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{G}) - e^{-\mathcal{G}} \partial_u(\mathcal{F}) &= r\kappa e^{\beta}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}), \\ e^{-\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{G}) + e^{-\mathcal{G}} \partial_u(\mathcal{F}) &= r\kappa e^{\beta} \mathbf{m}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_{\underline{u}}(\mathcal{G}) &= e^{\mathcal{F}} r\kappa e^{\beta}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}), \\ 2\partial_u(\mathcal{F}) &= -e^{\mathcal{G}} r\kappa e^{\beta}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let us revisit Stokes' theorem for $\bar{\mu}_g$ -divergence of P_X in $K(\tau, s)$. We have

$$d\underline{u} = -e^{-\mathcal{F}} \tilde{n}, \quad d u = -e^{-\mathcal{G}} \tilde{\ell}.$$

The volume 3-form of (M, g) is

$$\bar{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{2} r \Omega^2 d u \wedge d\underline{u} \wedge d\theta.$$

Let us introduce the 2-forms $\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}$ and $\bar{\mu}_u$ as follows

$$\bar{\mu}_g = d\underline{u} \wedge \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}, \quad \bar{\mu}_g = d u \wedge \bar{\mu}_u$$

so that

$$\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} = -\frac{1}{2} r \Omega^2 (d u \wedge d\theta), \quad \bar{\mu}_u = \frac{1}{2} r \Omega^2 (d\underline{u} \wedge d\theta).$$

Now,

$$\text{Flux}(P_X)(\tau, s) = \int_{C(\tau, s)} d\underline{u}(P_X) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}},$$

for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} d\underline{u}(P_T) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}, \\ &= - \int_{C(\tau, s)} e^{-\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.8. *There exist constants $c_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bar{-}}$, $c_{\mathcal{G}}^{\dagger}$, $c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{-}}$ and $c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}$ depending only on the initial data and the universal constants such that the following uniform bounds hold*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bar{-}} &\leq \mathcal{G} \leq c_{\mathcal{G}}^{\dagger} \\ c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{-}} &\leq \mathcal{F} \leq c_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We integrate (5.23) using the fact that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} = 0$ on Γ . We infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(u, \underline{u}) &= \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{\mathcal{F}} r \kappa e^{\beta} (\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f})(u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}', \\ \mathcal{F}(u, \underline{u}) &= \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{\mathcal{G}} r \kappa e^{\beta} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f})(u', \underline{u}) du'.\end{aligned}$$

Next, note that

$$-\frac{\Omega^2}{2} = \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \partial_{\underline{u}}) = \frac{e^{\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}}}{4} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\ell}) = -\frac{e^{\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}}}{2}$$

and hence

$$\Omega^2 = e^{\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{G}}. \quad (5.26)$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(u, \underline{u}) &= \kappa \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{\beta} e^{-\mathcal{G}} (\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}) r \Omega^2 d\underline{u}', \\ \mathcal{F}(u, \underline{u}) &= \kappa \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{\beta} e^{-\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}) r \Omega^2 du'.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $|\mathbf{e} \pm \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}| \leq \mathbf{e} \pm \mathbf{m}$ and $u \leq \underline{u}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{G}(u, \underline{u})| &\leq c \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{-\mathcal{G}} (\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}) r \Omega^2 d\underline{u}', \\ |\mathcal{F}(u, \underline{u})| &\leq c \int_u^{\underline{u}} e^{-\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) r \Omega^2 du'.\end{aligned}$$

Since $d\underline{u} = -e^{-\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ and $du = -e^{-\mathcal{G}} \tilde{\ell}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{G}(u, \underline{u})| &\leq c \int_u^{\underline{u}} du (P_T) r \Omega^2 d\underline{u}', \\ |\mathcal{F}(u, \underline{u})| &\leq c \int_u^{\underline{u}} d\underline{u} (P_T) r \Omega^2 du'.\end{aligned}$$

After integration in θ , the right-hand sides are bounded by fluxes which in turn are bounded by the energy, and hence

$$|\mathcal{G}| \leq c, \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq c.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let us consider the Jacobian \mathbf{J} of the transition functions between (t, r, θ) and $(\underline{u}, u, \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{J} &:= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\underline{u}} t & \partial_u t & \partial_{\theta} t \\ \partial_{\underline{u}} r & \partial_u r & \partial_{\theta} r \\ \partial_{\underline{u}} \theta & \partial_u \theta & \partial_{\theta} \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathcal{F}-\alpha} & e^{\mathcal{G}-\alpha} & 0 \\ e^{\mathcal{F}-\beta} & -e^{\mathcal{G}-\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

then the inverse Jacobian \mathbf{J}^{-1} is given by

$$\mathbf{J}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\mathcal{F}+\alpha} & e^{-\mathcal{F}+\beta} & 0 \\ e^{-\mathcal{G}+\alpha} & -e^{-\mathcal{G}+\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_t \underline{u} = e^{-\mathcal{F}+\alpha}, \quad \partial_r \underline{u} = e^{-\mathcal{F}+\beta}, \quad \partial_t u = e^{-\mathcal{G}+\alpha}, \quad \partial_r u = -e^{-\mathcal{G}+\beta}, \quad (5.30)$$

and

$$d\underline{u} = e^{(-\mathcal{F}+\alpha)} dt + e^{(-\mathcal{F}+\beta)} dr, \quad du = e^{(-\mathcal{G}+\alpha)} dt - e^{(-\mathcal{G}+\beta)} dr.$$

Corollary 5.9. *There exist constants $c_{\mu\nu}^-, c_{\mu\nu}^+$ and $C_{\mu\nu}^-, C_{\mu\nu}^+$ depending only on the initial data and the universal constants such that all the entries of the Jacobian \mathbf{J} and its inverse \mathbf{J}^{-1} are uniformly bounded*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mu\nu}^- &\leq \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu} \leq c_{\mu\nu}^+ \\ C_{\mu\nu}^- &\leq \mathbf{J}_{\mu\nu}^{-1} \leq C_{\mu\nu}^+ \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 5.3 and 5.8. \square

Corollary 5.10. *There exist constants c_Ω^- and c_Ω^+ depending only on the initial energy and the universal constants such that the following uniform bounds hold on the metric function Ω in null coordinates.*

$$c_\Omega^- \leq \Omega \leq c_\Omega^+. \quad (5.31)$$

Proof. This follows immediately from (5.26) and Lemma 5.8. \square

Corollary 5.11. *Let us introduce the notation*

$$\tau = \frac{u + \underline{u}}{2}, \quad \varrho = \frac{\underline{u} - u}{2}.$$

Then, there exist constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 such that the pointwise bounds

$$\begin{aligned} r &\geq c_1 \varrho, & t &\geq c_3 \tau, \\ r &\leq c_2 \varrho \quad \text{and} \quad t &\leq c_4 \tau \end{aligned}$$

hold in $J^-(O)$ for the scalar functions r, t, ϱ and τ .

Proof. From Corollary 5.9, we have uniform bounds on the Jacobian and its inverse of the transition functions between (t, r, θ) and (τ, ϱ, θ) . As a consequence,

$$|\partial_\varrho r| = |\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \partial_u r| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{\mathcal{F}-\beta} + e^{\mathcal{G}-\beta}| \leq c_1, \quad (5.32a)$$

$$|\partial_r \varrho| = \frac{1}{2} |\partial_r \underline{u} - \partial_r u| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{-\mathcal{G}+\beta} - e^{-\mathcal{F}+\beta}| \leq c_2, \quad (5.32b)$$

$$|\partial_\tau t| = |\partial_{\underline{u}} t + \partial_u t| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{\mathcal{F}-\alpha} + e^{\mathcal{G}-\alpha}| \leq c'_3, \quad (5.32c)$$

$$|\partial_\varrho t| = |\partial_{\underline{u}} t - \partial_u t| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{\mathcal{F}-\alpha} + e^{\mathcal{G}-\alpha}| \leq c'_4, \quad (5.32d)$$

$$|\partial_t \tau| = \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t \underline{u} + \partial_t u| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{-\mathcal{F}+\alpha} + e^{-\mathcal{G}+\alpha}| \leq c_5, \quad (5.32e)$$

$$|\partial_r \tau| = \frac{1}{2} |\partial_r \underline{u} + \partial_r u| = \frac{1}{2} |e^{-\mathcal{F}+\beta} - e^{-\mathcal{G}+\beta}| \leq c_6. \quad (5.32f)$$

The proof follows by applying the fundamental theorem of calculus in the region $J^-(O)$ and noting that at O , $t = \tau = 0$ and $r = \varrho = 0$ on the axis. \square

5.5. Non-concentration away from the axis. In this section we shall use the vector fields method introduced previously to prove that energy does not concentrate. We start with proving that the energy does not concentrate away from the axis using the divergence free vector P_T .

Lemma 5.12 (Non-concentration away from the axis). *We have*

$$E_{ext}^O(\tau) := \int_{B_{r_2(\tau)} \setminus B_{r_1(\tau)}} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0,$$

where $r = r_2(\tau)$ is the radius where the $t = \tau$ slice intersects the $\varrho = |\tau|$ curve i.e. the mantle of the null cone $J^-(O)$ and $r = r_1(\tau)$ is the radius where the $\varrho = \lambda|\tau|$ curve intersects the $t = \tau$ slice, for any real $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Observe that both $r_1(\tau)$ and $r_2(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Consider a tubular region \mathcal{S} with triangular cross section (as shown in the figure 5) in $\varrho > \lambda\tau$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ of the spacetime i.e., the “exterior” part of the interior of the past null cone of O . As shown in the figure 5, let us use the divergence-free




FIGURE 5. Application of Stokes' theorem on the $\bar{\mu}_g$ -divergence free P_T to relate the fluxes through surfaces $\partial\mathcal{S}_1$, $\partial\mathcal{S}_2$ and $\partial\mathcal{S}_3$

vector field P_T and Stokes' theorem in the region \mathcal{S} to relate the fluxes through the three boundary segments $\partial\mathcal{S}_1$, $\partial\mathcal{S}_2$ and $\partial\mathcal{S}_3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_1} d\mathbf{u}(P_T)\bar{\mu}_u + \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_2} d\underline{\mathbf{u}}(P_T)\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} - \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_3} e^\alpha P_T^t \bar{\mu}_q \\ &= - \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_1} e^{-g}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_u - \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_2} e^{-\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_3} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

To analyze the behaviour of the flux terms $\int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_1}$ and $\int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_2}$ in (5.33) close to O , let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{l} &:= e^{\beta+\alpha}\widetilde{l} = e^\beta\partial_t + e^\alpha\partial_r, \\ \widehat{n} &:= e^{\beta+\alpha}\widetilde{n} = e^\beta\partial_t - e^\alpha\partial_r, \\ \mathcal{A}^2 &:= r(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}), \\ \mathcal{B}^2 &:= r(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}).\end{aligned}$$

From (5.12) and (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{re^{\beta+\alpha}} \left(-\partial_t(re^\beta\mathbf{m}) + \partial_r((\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f})re^\alpha) \right) \\ &= -e^{-\beta}\alpha_r\mathbf{e} + \frac{1}{2r}e^{-\beta}(e^{-2\alpha}\phi_t^2 - e^{-2\beta}\phi_r^2 + \mathbf{f}) + e^{-\alpha}\beta_t\mathbf{m}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.34)$$

We have the following identities from (5.10) and (5.34)

$$\partial_t(re^\beta\mathbf{e}) - \partial_r(re^\alpha\mathbf{m}) = 0, \quad (5.35a)$$

$$\partial_t(re^\beta\mathbf{m}) - \partial_r(re^\alpha\mathbf{e}) = L, \quad (5.35b)$$

where

$$L := \frac{re^\alpha\alpha_r}{2} ((T\phi)^2 + (R\phi)^2 - \mathbf{f}) + e^\alpha L_0 - r\beta_t e^\beta \mathbf{m}$$

for

$$L_0 := \frac{1}{2} (-(Tu)^2 + (Ru)^2 + \mathbf{f}) - \frac{2g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi_r}{r}.$$

Furthermore, we can construct the following using the identities in (5.35)

$$\partial_\nu \left(re^{\beta+\alpha}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m})\widetilde{l}^\nu \right) = \partial_\nu(\mathcal{A}^2\widehat{l}^\nu) = -L, \quad (5.36a)$$

$$\partial_\nu \left(re^{\beta+\alpha}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m})\widetilde{n}^\nu \right) = \partial_\nu(\mathcal{B}^2\widehat{n}^\nu) = L. \quad (5.36b)$$

Let us try to express L in terms of \mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{B}^2 after using the Einstein equations

$$\begin{aligned}L &= e^\alpha L_0 + \kappa r^2 e^{2\beta+\alpha} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f})^2 - \kappa r^2 e^{2\beta+\alpha} \mathbf{m}^2 \\ &= e^\alpha L_0 + \kappa r^2 e^{2\beta+\alpha} (\mathbf{e}^2 - 2\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2) \\ &= e^\alpha L_0 + \kappa e^{2\beta+\alpha} (\mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{B}^2 - 2r^2 \mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} + r^2 \mathbf{f}^2).\end{aligned}\quad (5.37)$$

We would like to set up a Grönwall estimate for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} using the identities in (5.36). However, the quantity L as shown in (5.37) has nonlinear terms involving \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{f} . Therefore, in what follows we use Einstein equations to estimate these terms.

Firstly note that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{l}^\mu \partial_\mu e^{2\beta} &= 2e^{2\beta}(e^\beta\beta_t + e^\alpha\beta_r) & \widehat{n}^\mu \partial_\mu e^{2\beta} &= 2e^{2\beta}(e^\beta\beta_t - e^\alpha\beta_r) \\ &= 2e^{2\beta}\kappa r e^{2\beta+\alpha}(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}) & &= 2e^{2\beta}\kappa r e^{2\beta+\alpha}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{e}) \\ &= 2\kappa e^{2\beta} e^{2\beta+\alpha} \mathcal{B}^2, & &= -2\kappa e^{2\beta} e^{2\beta+\alpha} \mathcal{A}^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu \widehat{l}^\mu &= e^\beta\beta_t + e^\alpha\alpha_r & \partial_\mu \widehat{n}^\mu &= e^\beta\beta_t - e^\alpha\alpha_r \\ &= r\kappa e^{2\beta+\alpha}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}) & &= r\kappa e^{2\beta+\alpha}(-\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{f}) \\ &= \kappa e^{2\beta+\alpha}(\mathcal{B}^2 - r\mathbf{f}), & &= \kappa e^{2\beta+\alpha}(-\mathcal{A}^2 + r\mathbf{f}).\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the quantities $\partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{A}^2\widehat{\ell}^\mu)$ and $\partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{B}^2\widehat{\eta}^\mu)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\ell}^\mu\partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{A}^2) &= \partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{A}^2\widehat{\ell}^\mu) - e^{2\beta}\mathcal{A}^2\partial_\mu\widehat{\ell}^\mu \\ &= e^{2\beta}\partial_\mu(\mathcal{A}^2\widehat{\ell}^\mu) + \mathcal{A}^2\widehat{\ell}^\mu\partial_\mu e^{2\beta} - \kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{B}^2 + r\kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathbf{f} \\ &= -e^{2\beta}L + \kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{B}^2 + r\kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathbf{f} \\ &= e^{2\beta}e^\alpha(-L_0 + 2r^2\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} - r^2\mathbf{f}^2 + r\mathcal{A}^2\mathbf{f}) \\ &= e^{2\beta}e^\alpha(-L_0 + \kappa r^2e^{2\beta}(3\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{f})),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\eta}^\mu\partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{B}^2) &= \partial_\mu(e^{2\beta}\mathcal{B}^2\widehat{\eta}^\mu) - e^{2\beta}\mathcal{B}^2\partial_\mu\widehat{\eta}^\mu \\ &= e^{2\beta}\partial_\mu(\mathcal{B}^2\widehat{\eta}^\mu) + \mathcal{B}^2\widehat{\eta}^\mu\partial_\mu e^{2\beta} + \kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{B}^2 - r\kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{B}^2\mathbf{f} \\ &= e^{2\beta}L - \kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{B}^2 - r\kappa e^{2\beta}e^{2\beta+\alpha}\mathcal{B}^2\mathbf{f} \\ &= e^{2\beta}e^\alpha(L_0 + \kappa e^{2\beta}(-2r^2\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} + r^2\mathbf{f}^2 - r\mathcal{B}^2\mathbf{f})) \\ &= e^{2\beta}e^\alpha(L_0 + \kappa r^2e^{2\beta}(-3\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{f})).\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}S_1 &:= 3\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{f} \\ &= (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m})\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{e}_0\mathbf{f} \\ &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $\mathbf{e} \geq |\mathbf{m}|$. Similarly define

$$\begin{aligned}S_2 &:= -3\mathbf{e}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{f} \\ &= -(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m})\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{e}_0\mathbf{f} \\ &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let us now introduce the quantities $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} := e^\beta\mathcal{A}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{B}} := e^\beta\mathcal{B}.$$

In the following we will try to estimate L_0^2 by $\mathbf{e}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2$. We will use the following identities which are valid for any real numbers a, b, c

$$(a + b + c)^2 \leq 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2), \quad \frac{1}{4}(-a^2 + b^2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(a^2 + b^2)^2 - a^2b^2.$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}L_0^2 &\leq 3\left(\frac{1}{4}(-(T\phi)^2 + (R\phi)^2)^2 + 4g'(\phi)^2\phi_r^2\mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{f}^2\right) \\ &= 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_0^2 + 4g'(\phi)^2\phi_r^2\mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2\right) \\ &\leq 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_0^2 + \frac{c}{2}(R\phi)^2\mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2\right) \\ &\leq c\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_0\mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{f}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2\right) \\ &= c(\mathbf{e}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2) \\ &\leq c\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^2}{r^2}\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that both $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\underline{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\beta+\mathcal{F}} \left(-L_0 + \kappa r^2 e^{2\beta} S_1 \right) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta+\mathcal{F}} L_0.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \partial_{\underline{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \geq -c|L_0| \geq -c \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}}{r}$$

that gives us

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \geq -c \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}{r}$$

and similarly,

$$\partial_u \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \leq c \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}{r}.$$

The rest of the proof is comparable to the case of wave maps on the Minkowski background as in [32] and [10]. Consider the region of spacetime $[\underline{u}, 0] \times [u_0, u]$ where $\underline{u}, u \leq 0$ and $u_0 < 0$ will be chosen later. Using the fundamental theorem of




FIGURE 6. Application of the fundamental theorem of calculus for $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ in the region $[\underline{u}, 0] \times [u_0, u]$

calculus,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) &= \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + \int_{u_0}^u \partial_{u'} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u') d u' \\ &\leq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c \int_{u_0}^u \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{u}, u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')} d u',\end{aligned}\tag{5.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{u}, u') &= \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(0, u') - \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \partial_{\underline{u}'} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{u}', u') d \underline{u}' \\ &\leq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(0, u') + c \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u')}{r(\underline{u}', u')} d \underline{u}'.\end{aligned}\tag{5.39}$$

After plugging (5.39) in (5.38) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) &\leq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(0, u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')} d u' + \int_{u_0}^u \frac{1}{r(\underline{u}, u')} \left(\int_{\underline{u}}^0 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u')}{r(\underline{u}', u')} d \underline{u}' \right) d u' \right) \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(0, u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')} d u' \right) + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')r(\underline{u}', u')} d \underline{u}' d u' \right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.40)$$

Now consider the second term in the right hand side of (5.40), firstly recall

$$\begin{aligned}r(\underline{u}, u') &\geq c\rho(\underline{u}, u') = c \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u} - u'), \\ \int_{u_0}^u \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(0, u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')} d u' &\leq \left(\int_{u_0}^u \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2(0, u') d u' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{u_0}^u \frac{1}{(\underline{u} - u')^2} d u' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c |\text{Flux}(P_T)(u_0, u)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\underline{u} - u} - \frac{1}{\underline{u} - u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c |\text{Flux}(P_T)(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\underline{u} - u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.41)$$

We infer

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c \frac{|\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\underline{u} - u)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u')}{r(\underline{u}, u')r(\underline{u}', u')} d \underline{u}' d u' \right). \quad (5.42)$$

Let us define the function

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u) := \sup_{\underline{u} \leq u' \leq 0} \sqrt{u' - u} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(u', u).$$

We have,

$$\sqrt{u' - u'} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(u', u') \leq \sup_{\underline{u} \leq u' \leq 0} \sqrt{u' - u'} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(u', u') = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u').$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{u} - u)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) &\leq \left(\frac{\underline{u} - u}{\underline{u} - u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\underline{u} - u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u') \frac{(\underline{u} - u)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\underline{u} - u')(u' - u')^{3/2}} d \underline{u}' d u' \right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.43)$$

Now consider the function $p(\underline{u})$ defined as follows

$$p(\underline{u}) := \frac{\underline{u} - u}{\underline{u} - u_0}.$$

We have $\underline{u} - u \leq \underline{u} - u_0$ so $p \leq 1$. Also, p is increasing and hence

$$p(\underline{u}) \leq p(0). \quad (5.44)$$

Let us go back to the inequality (5.43) and use (5.44). We infer

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{u} - u)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) &\leq \left(\frac{-u}{-u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\underline{u} - u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_{u_0}^u \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u') \frac{(\underline{u} - u)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\underline{u} - u')} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\underline{u} - u'}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-u'}} \right) d u' \right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.45)$$

Consequently,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u) \leq \left(\frac{-u}{-u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u_0) + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \int_{u_0}^u \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u') \frac{u}{u'(\underline{u} - u')} du'. \quad (5.46)$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u_0) &= \sup_{\underline{u} < \underline{u}' \leq 0} \sqrt{\underline{u}' - u_0} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u_0) \\ &\leq \sup_{\underline{u} < \underline{u}' \leq 0} \sqrt{\underline{u}' - u_0} \sup_{\underline{u} < \underline{u}' \leq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u_0) \\ &\leq c(u_0) \sqrt{-u_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

where we have used the fact that u is regular away from the axis so that $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u_0)$ is finite. So,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u) \leq c(u_0) \sqrt{-u} + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \int_{u_0}^u \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u') \frac{u}{u'(\underline{u} - u')} du'. \quad (5.48)$$

Using Gronwall's lemma to obtain an estimate for $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u)$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u) &\leq \sqrt{-uc}(u_0) + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \int_{u_0}^u \left(\sqrt{-uc}(u_0) + c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\frac{u}{u'(\underline{u} - u')} \right) e^{\int_{u'}^u \frac{u}{u''(\underline{u} - u'')} du''} du'. \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

We have for $u_0 \leq u' \leq u$ and setting $\underline{u} = \lambda' u$ where $\lambda' := \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u'}^u \frac{u}{u''(\underline{u} - u'')} du'' &= \log \frac{u(\lambda' u - u')}{u'(\lambda' u - u)} \\ &\leq \log \frac{1}{1 - \lambda'}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose an u_0 small enough such that

$$c |\text{Flux}(u_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Furthermore, one can choose $u \in (u_0, 0)$ small enough such that

$$c(u_0) \sqrt{-u} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

So we have $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u) < c\epsilon$ for $u_0 < u < 0$ small enough. Then,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}, u) \leq \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{u}, u)}{\sqrt{\underline{u} - u}} \leq \frac{c\epsilon}{\sqrt{\underline{u} - u}}.$$

Now going back to the flux integrals in (5.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_1} e^{-\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_u &\leq c \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\underline{u}', u)^2 d\underline{u}' \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\underline{u}}^0 \frac{1}{(\underline{u}' - u)} d\underline{u}' \\ &= \epsilon \int_{\lambda' u}^0 \frac{1}{(\underline{u}' - u)} d\underline{u}' \\ &= \epsilon \log \frac{1}{1 - \lambda'} \\ &< c\epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S_2} r \Omega^2 e^{-\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) du \wedge d\theta = \text{Flux}(P_T)(u_0, u) < \epsilon \quad (5.51)$$

for u_0, u small enough. Finally, in view of (5.33), (5.50) and (5.51), we conclude that $E_{\text{ext}}^O(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.12. \square

5.6. Local spacetime integral estimates.

Lemma 5.13 (Non-concentration of integrated kinetic energy). *Let the kinetic energy be defined as*

$$\mathbf{e}_{kin} := \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2$$

then the spacetime integral of \mathbf{e}_{kin} does not concentrate in the past null cone of O , i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{K_\tau} \mathbf{e}_{kin} \bar{\mu}_g \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

where $r_2(\tau)$ is the radial function defined as in Lemma 5.12.

Proof. Recall from (5.15) the computation of the vectorfield $P_{\mathcal{R}_1}$ and its divergence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{R}_1} &= -r e^{(1-k)\beta-\alpha} \mathbf{m} \partial_t + r e^{-k\beta} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) \partial_r, \\ \nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_1}^\nu &= e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

for the choice of $k = 0$. Using Stokes' theorem as in (5.16) for $P_{\mathcal{R}_1}$

$$\int_{K(\tau, s)} \nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_1}^\nu \bar{\mu}_g = \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_{\mathcal{R}_1}^t \bar{\mu}_q - \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} e^\alpha P_{\mathcal{R}_1}^t \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_1})(\tau, s)$$

that is

$$\int_{K(\tau, s)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \bar{\mu}_g = - \int_{\Sigma_s^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{m} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{m} \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_1})(\tau, s) \quad (5.52)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_1})(\tau, s) &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} d\underline{u}(P_{\mathcal{R}_1}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} r e^{\beta-\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &\leq c r_2(\tau) \int_{C(\tau, s)} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &= -c r_2(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s). \end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K(\tau, s)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \bar{\mu}_g &\leq \int_{\Sigma_s^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q - c r_2(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) \\ &\leq c r_2(s) \int_{\Sigma_s^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q - c r_2(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $s \rightarrow 0$. We get

$$\frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \bar{\mu}_g \leq \frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q - c \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \bar{\mu}_g &\leq \frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{B_{r_2(\tau)}} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q - c \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \left(\int_{B_{r_1(\tau)}} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{B_{r_2(\tau)} \setminus B_{r_1(\tau)}} r e^\beta \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \right) \\
&\quad - c \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau) \\
&\leq c \lambda E_0 + c E_{\text{ext}}^O(\tau) - c \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose λ small enough so that the first term $< \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, then we can make τ small enough so that $E_{\text{ext}}^O(\tau) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $|\text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ as discussed previously. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.13. \square

In Lemma 5.13 we proved that the spacetime integral of $e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2$ does not concentrate in the past null cone of O . In the following lemma we shall prove that the spacetime integral of rotational potential energy i.e.,

$$\int_{K_\tau} \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \bar{\mu}_g = \int_{K_\tau} \mathbf{f} \bar{\mu}_g$$

does not concentrate.

Lemma 5.14 (Non-concentration of integrated rotational potential energy). *Let (N, h) be the target manifold satisfying the Grillakis condition (1.6). Then the spacetime integral of rotational potential energy does not concentrate i.e.,*

$$\int_{K_\tau} \mathbf{f} \bar{\mu}_g \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.53)$$

Proof. Recall from (5.14) the computation of the vectorfield $P_{\mathcal{R}_a}$ and its divergence

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{R}_a} &= -e^{\beta-\alpha} r^a \mathbf{m} \partial_t + r^a (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f}) \partial_r, \\
\nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^\nu &= \frac{1}{2} ((1+a)r^{a-1}) e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} ((a-1)r^{a-1}) e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} ((1-a)r^{a-1}) \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now us construct the vector P_ζ^ν such that

$$P_\zeta^\nu := \zeta \phi^\nu \phi - \zeta^\nu \frac{\phi^2}{2},$$

where $\zeta := \frac{1-a}{2} r^{a-1}$ for $a \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then the divergence is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\nu P_\zeta^\nu &= \nabla_\nu (\zeta \phi^\nu \phi) - \nabla_\nu \left(\zeta^\nu \frac{\phi^2}{2} \right) \\
&= \zeta (\square \phi) \phi + \zeta \phi^\nu \phi_\nu + \phi^\nu \zeta_\nu \phi - (\square \zeta) \frac{\phi^2}{2} - \zeta^\nu \phi \phi_\nu \\
&= \zeta \frac{g(\phi) g'(\phi) \phi}{r^2} + \zeta \phi^\nu \phi_\nu - (\square \zeta) \frac{\phi^2}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\square \zeta &= e^{-2\beta} \left(\zeta_{rr} + \frac{\zeta_r}{r} + (\alpha_r - \beta_r) \zeta_r \right) \\
&= e^{-2\beta} r^{a-3} \frac{(1-a)^2}{2} \left(1 - a + r^2 \kappa e^{2\beta} \mathbf{f} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define a vector P_{tot}^ν such that

$$P_{\text{tot}}^\nu := P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^\nu + P_\zeta^\nu.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu P_{\text{tot}}^\nu &= \nabla_\nu P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^\nu + \nabla_\nu P_\zeta^\nu \\ &= \zeta \frac{g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi}{r^2} + ar^{a-1}e^{-2\alpha}\phi_t^2 + \zeta \mathbf{f} - e^{-2\beta} \frac{(1-a)^2}{4} r^{a-1} \left(1-a+r^2\kappa e^{2\beta}\mathbf{f}\right) \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} \\ &= \zeta \left[\frac{1}{r^2} B_1 + B_2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

where

$$B_1 = g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi + g^2(\phi) - e^{-2\beta} \frac{(1-a)^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{1-a}{2} \kappa g^2(\phi)\phi^2 \quad (5.55a)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{2a}{1-a} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \quad (5.55b)$$

Applying Stokes' theorem on $K(\tau, s)$,

$$\int_{K(\tau, s)} \nabla_\nu P_{\text{tot}}^\nu \bar{\mu}_g = \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_{\text{tot}}^t \bar{\mu}_q - \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} e^\alpha P_{\text{tot}}^t \bar{\mu}_q + \text{Flux}(P_{\text{tot}})(\tau, s). \quad (5.56)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_{\text{tot}}^t \bar{\mu}_q &= \int_{\Sigma_s^O} (-\mathbf{m} r^a e^\beta + e^\alpha \zeta \phi^t \phi) \bar{\mu}_q \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_s^O} \mathbf{e} r^a e^\beta + |e^{-\alpha} \phi_t| |\zeta \phi| \bar{\mu}_q, \end{aligned}$$

and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_{\text{tot}}^t \bar{\mu}_q &\leq cr_2^a(s) \int_{\Sigma_s^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \frac{1-a}{2} \left(\int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Sigma_s^O} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq cr_2^a(s). \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

Similarly, the second term in (5.56) can be estimated as

$$- \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} e^\alpha P_{\text{tot}}^t \bar{\mu}_q \leq c \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q + c \left(\int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.58)$$

The flux of P_{tot} though the null surface $C(\tau, s)$ can be decomposed as

$$\text{Flux}(P_{\text{tot}})(t, s) = \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_a})(t, s) + \text{Flux}(P_\zeta)(t, s). \quad (5.59)$$

It follows from our previous estimates that exists a real constant c dependent only on the initial energy E_0 such that

$$\frac{1}{c} \phi^2 \leq g^2(\phi) \leq c \phi^2 \quad (5.60)$$

(see for example [32, Eq. (2.11)]). Let us consider the terms in the right side of (5.59) individually. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_a})(\tau, s) &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} d\underline{u}(P_{\mathcal{R}_a})\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} e^{\beta-\mathcal{F}} r^a (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{f}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &\leq -cr_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}(P_\zeta)(\tau, s) &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} d\underline{u}(P_\zeta)\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} \left(\phi \zeta e^{-\mathcal{F}} (-T(\phi) + R(\phi)) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta e^{-(\beta+\mathcal{F})} (1-a)r^{-1}\phi^2 \right) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &= \int_{C(\tau, s)} \left(\phi \zeta e^{-\mathcal{F}} (-T(\phi) + R(\phi)) + e^{-(\beta+\mathcal{F})} \frac{(1-a)^2}{4} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} r^a \right) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &\leq \int_{C(\tau, s)} \left(\phi \zeta e^{-\mathcal{F}} (-T(\phi) + R(\phi)) + c \frac{(1-a)^2}{4} \mathbf{f} r^a e^{-\mathcal{F}} \right) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \\ &\leq \int_{C(\tau, s)} \left(\phi \zeta e^{-\mathcal{F}} (-T(\phi) + R(\phi)) + c \frac{(1-a)^2}{2} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) r^a e^{-\mathcal{F}} \right) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (5.63) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Flux}(P_\zeta)(\tau, s) &\leq cr_2^a(\tau) \left(\int_{C(\tau, s)} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \right) \\ &\leq -cr_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s). \end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Flux}(P_{\text{tot}})(t, s) \leq -cr_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s). \tag{5.63}$$

Using (5.60) and proceeding as in [33], we can use the Grillakis condition (1.6) to conclude that for a sufficiently close to 1 there is a small constant $c_a > 0$ such that

$$\frac{B_1}{r^2} + B_2 \geq c_a \left(e^{-2\beta} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} + e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 \right)$$

Now, if we go back to Stokes' theorem (5.56) and use the estimates (5.57), (5.58) and (5.63), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &c_a \left[\int_{K(\tau, s)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 r^{a-1} d\bar{\mu}_g + \int_{K(\tau, s)} e^{-2\beta} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \right] \\ &\leq cr_2^a(s) + c \int_{\Sigma_\tau^0} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q + c \left(\int_{\Sigma_\tau^0} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - cr_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau, s). \end{aligned}$$

As $s \rightarrow 0$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & c_a \left[\int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g + \int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\beta} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \right] \\ & \leq c \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q + c \left(\int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c r_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (5.64)$$

In (5.64), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} r_2^{-a}(\tau) \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q &= r_2^{-a}(\tau) \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{B_{r_2}(\tau) \setminus B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q \right) \\ &\leq r_2^{-a}(\tau) \left(r_1^a(\tau) \int_{B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + r_2^a(\tau) \int_{B_{r_2}(\tau) \setminus B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \right) \\ &\leq \lambda^a E_0 + E_{\text{ext}}^O(\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_2^{-a}(\tau) \left(\int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= r_2^{-a}(\tau) \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{B_{r_2}(\tau) \setminus B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^{2a} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\left(\frac{r_1(\tau)}{r_2(\tau)} \right)^{2a} \int_{B_{r_1}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q + \int_{B_{r_2}(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\lambda^{2a} E_0 + E_{\text{ext}}^O(\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

Hence, in view of (5.65), (5.66), Corollary 5.6 and Lemma 5.12, we can choose λ and τ in (5.64) small enough so that

$$\frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \frac{\phi^2}{r^2} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g < \epsilon$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. In view of (5.60),

$$\mathbf{f} \leq \frac{c\phi^2}{r^2}.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K_\tau} \mathbf{f} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.14. \square

The remaining term in the energy is $e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2$. We control it below.

Corollary 5.15. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.14, the spacetime integral of radial potential energy in the past null cone of O does not concentrate*

$$\frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K_\tau} e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.67)$$

Proof. Let us again apply Stokes' theorem for the $\bar{\mu}_g$ -divergence of $P_{\mathcal{R}_a}$

$$\int_{K(\tau,s)} \nabla_\mu P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^\mu \bar{\mu}_g = \int_{\Sigma_s^O} e^\alpha P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^t \bar{\mu}_g - \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} e^\alpha P_{\mathcal{R}_a}^t \bar{\mu}_g + \text{Flux}(P_{\mathcal{R}_a})(\tau, s)$$

therefore, as $s \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \leq c \int_{K(\tau)} \left(e^{-2\alpha} \phi_t^2 + \frac{g^2(\phi)}{r^2} \right) r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g + \int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} r^a \bar{\mu}_q - r_2^a(\tau) \text{Flux}(P_T)(\tau).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} e^{-2\beta} \phi_r^2 r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g < \epsilon$$

for τ small enough. This concludes the proof of the corollary. \square

5.7. Proof of non-concentration of energy. We are now in position to conclude the proof of Theorem 5.1. If we collect the terms from Lemmas 5.13, 5.14 and Corollary 5.15, we get

$$\frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g \rightarrow 0$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. But then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_g &\geq c \frac{1}{r_2^a(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} r^{a-1} \bar{\mu}_q dt \\ &\geq c \frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q dt \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.68}$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. We claim that there exists a sequence $\{\tau_i\}_i$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\tau_i}^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.69}$$

as $\{\tau_i\}_i \rightarrow 0$. Let us prove the claim by contradiction. Suppose there exists no sequence such that (5.69) holds true. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\tau^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q > \epsilon$$

for all $\tau \in (-1, 0)$. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|\tau|} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q dt > \epsilon.$$

This implies,

$$\frac{1}{r_2(\tau)} \int_{K(\tau)} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q dt > \epsilon \tag{5.70}$$

for all $\tau \in [-1, 0)$. This contradicts (5.68). Hence, there exists a $\{\tau_i\}_i$ such that

$$E^O(\tau_i) = \int_{\Sigma_{\tau_i}^O} \mathbf{e} \bar{\mu}_q \rightarrow 0. \tag{5.71}$$

But $E^O(\tau)$ is monotonic with respect to τ , therefore

$$E^O(\tau) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $\tau \rightarrow 0$ i.e., $E_{\text{conc}}^O = 0$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. GLOBAL REGULARITY OF THE 2 + 1 EINSTEIN-WAVE MAP PROBLEM

We now proceed to the proof of our main theorem, i.e. Theorem 1.8. Let (M, \mathbf{g}, ϕ) be the maximal Cauchy development of an asymptotically flat and regular Cauchy data set for the 2 + 1 equivariant Einstein-wave map problem (1.9) with target (N, h) . Assume that the metric h has the form

$$h = d\rho^2 + g^2(\rho)d\theta^2$$

for an odd function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g'(0) = 1$, such that g satisfies (1.13) and the Grillakis condition (1.6).

Our goal is to prove that (M, \mathbf{g}, ϕ) is regular. Assume by contradiction that this does not hold. Then, there exists a first singularity which in view of Theorem 3.5 occurs on the axis of symmetry Γ . Let us denote by O this first singularity which corresponds to $u = \underline{u} = 0$ and $t = r = 0$ in the (u, \underline{u}) and (t, r) coordinates systems constructed respectively in Section 2 and Section 4.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ small to be chosen later. In view of Theorem 5.1, there exists a time $t_0 < 0$ such that

$$E^O(t_0) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (6.1)$$

Lemma 6.1. *Recall that $\tau = (\underline{u} + u)/2$. There exists $\tau_0 < 0$ such that in the space-time region*

$$\{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\},$$

we have

$$\int_{2\tau_0 - \underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \left((\partial_u \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) (u', \underline{u}) du' \lesssim \varepsilon$$

and

$$\int_{\max(u, 2\tau_0 - u)}^0 \left((\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) (u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}' \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We choose $\tau_0 < 0$ small enough such that

$$\{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\} \subset \{t_0 \leq t \leq 0\} \cap J^-(O)$$

which is possible since on the one hand $J^-(O) = \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}$, and on the other hand t and τ are comparable in view of Corollary 5.11. In particular, together with (6.1), Stokes' theorem, and the fact that the vectorfield P_T is divergence free, we infer

$$\left| \int_{2\tau_0 - \underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} e^{-\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\max(u, 2\tau_0 - u)}^0 \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} e^{-\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}) \bar{\mu}_u \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

where we are relying on notations and computations introduced in Section 5.4. In view of the definition of $\bar{\mu}_{\underline{u}}$ and $\bar{\mu}_u$, and the rotation invariance, we infer

$$\int_{2\tau_0 - \underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} e^{-\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{m}) r \Omega^2 du \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\int_{\max(u, 2\tau_0 - u)}^0 e^{-\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{m}) r \Omega^2 d\underline{u} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

In view of the definition of \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{m} and the identity (5.26), we deduce

$$\int_{2\tau_0-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} e^{\mathcal{G}} \left(e^{-2\mathcal{G}} (\partial_u \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r du \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\int_{\max(u, 2\tau_0-u)}^0 e^{\mathcal{F}} \left(e^{-2\mathcal{F}} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r d\underline{u} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Together with the estimates of Lemma 5.8 for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , we obtain

$$\int_{2\tau_0-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \left((\partial_u \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r du \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

$$\int_{\max(u, 2\tau_0-u)}^0 \left((\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r d\underline{u} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

For any $a > 0$, performing the scaling transformation

$$r \rightarrow ar, \quad \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi,$$

as well as rescaling the coordinates u and \underline{u} accordingly

$$u \rightarrow au, \quad \underline{u} \rightarrow a\underline{u}$$

leads to another solution of the the Einstein-wave map problem. Using this rescaling with $a = |\tau_0|^{-1}$ implies in view of Lemma 6.1

$$\int_{-2-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \left((\partial_u \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r(u', \underline{u}) du' \leq \varepsilon, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\int_{\max(u, -2-u)}^0 \left((\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2 + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right) r(u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}' \leq \varepsilon. \quad (6.3)$$

over the space-time region $\{-1 \leq \tau \leq 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}$.

Theorem 6.2 (Small energy implies regularity). *Let (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) be a solution of the 2+1 equivariant Einstein-wave map problem (1.9) which is regular in the space-time region*

$$\{-1 \leq \tau < 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}.$$

Assume furthermore the smallness condition (6.2) (6.3) on the energy flux. Then, (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) is regular on the closure of $\{-1 \leq \tau < 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}$. In particular, there is no singularity at O .

In view of Theorem 6.2, we infer that O can not be a first singularity of (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) , hence contradicting our assumption. Thus, global regularity holds for (M, \mathbf{g}, Φ) . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.2. In Section 7 we derive a uniform weighted upper bound for ϕ . In Section 8, we rely on the upper bound of Section 7 to derive a uniform upper bound for $\partial\phi$. Finally, we rely on the upper bound of Section 8 to conclude the proof of Theorem 6.2.

7. AN IMPROVED UNIFORM BOUND FOR ϕ

From now on, we will only work in the (u, \underline{u}) coordinate system. Recall from (2.1) our choice of normalization on Γ for the (u, \underline{u}) coordinates system

$$r = 0, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}}r = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_u r = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \Omega = 1 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Also, recall that τ and ϱ are defined by

$$\tau = \frac{u + \underline{u}}{2}, \quad \varrho = \frac{u - \underline{u}}{2}.$$

We restrict our attention to the space-time region

$$\{-1 \leq \tau < 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}$$

where our solution is regular, and we where intend to derive estimates which are uniform up to the origin O . We assume throughout the rest of the paper the smallness condition (6.2) (6.3) on the energy flux. Finally, recall from Section 2.2 and Section 2.3 that the 2+1 dimensional equivariant Einstein-wave map system is given in the (u, \underline{u}) coordinates by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_u(\Omega^{-2}\partial_{\underline{u}}r) = -\Omega^{-2}r\kappa(\partial_u\phi)^2, \\ \partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega^{-2}\partial_{\underline{u}}r) = -\Omega^{-2}r\kappa(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi)^2, \\ \partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}r = r\kappa\frac{\Omega^2}{4}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}, \\ \Omega^{-2}(\partial_u\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega - \Omega\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega) = \frac{1}{8}\Omega^2\kappa\left(\frac{4}{\Omega^2}\partial_u\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}\right) \\ \frac{2}{r\Omega^2}(-\partial_u(r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) - \partial_{\underline{u}}(r\partial_u\phi)) = \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \end{array} \right.$$

where $f(\phi) = g(\phi)g'(\phi)$. Since g is odd with $g'(0) = 1$, note that there exists a smooth function ζ such that

$$f(\phi) = \phi + \phi^3\zeta(\phi).$$

7.1. Preliminary estimates. We start with simple consequences of the smallness condition (6.2) (6.3) on the energy flux.

Lemma 7.1. *We have*

$$|\phi| \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. The proof is in the same spirit as Lemma 5.4. Let

$$\wp(\phi) := \int_0^\phi g(s) ds.$$

Then, since ϕ vanishes on Γ , we have for $\underline{u} < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(\phi(u, \underline{u})) &= \int_{\underline{u}}^u \partial_u(\wp(\phi(u', \underline{u}))) du' \\ &= \int_{\underline{u}}^u g(\phi)\partial_u\phi(u', \underline{u}) du'. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (6.2), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |\wp(\phi(u, \underline{u}))| &\leq \left(\int_{\underline{u}}^u \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} r(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{u}}^u (\partial_u\phi)^2 r(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\wp(0) = 0$, $\wp'(0) = 0$ and $\wp''(0) = 1$, we have in the neighborhood of 0 the following Taylor expansion

$$\wp(\phi) = \frac{\phi^2}{2} + O(\phi^3)$$

and hence, in view of the estimate for $\wp(\phi)$ above and the smallness of ε , there exists $\underline{\phi}$ such that

$$\wp(\underline{\phi}) = \wp(\phi), \quad |\underline{\phi}| \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Next, note that $g(\rho) > 0$ for all $\rho > 0$ in view of the Grillakis condition (1.6) and the fact that $g(0) = 0$. Since $\wp' = g$, this implies that \wp is strictly increasing. In particular, \wp is one-to-one and hence

$$\underline{\phi} = \phi.$$

We infer from the above estimate for $\underline{\phi}$ that

$$|\phi| \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.2. *We have*

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad |r - \varrho| \lesssim \varepsilon \varrho, \quad |\Omega - 1| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Integrating from the axis of symmetry Γ the following equation

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = r \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4 r^2}$$

and in view of the smallness condition (6.2) (6.3) on the energy flux, and the initialization on Γ , we deduce

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, in view of the definition of ϱ and the initialization on Γ , we have

$$r - \varrho = \partial_u(r - \varrho) = \partial_{\underline{u}}(r - \varrho) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

which together with the smallness condition (6.2) (6.3) on the energy flux and the fact that

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \varrho = 0,$$

yields

$$|r - \varrho| \lesssim \varepsilon \varrho.$$

Finally, the control of ∂_u together with the integration from the axis of symmetry Γ of the equation

$$\partial_u(\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa (\partial_u \phi)^2,$$

the initialization on Γ and the smallness condition (6.2) on the energy flux yields

$$|\Omega - 1| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

7.2. Reduction to a semilinear wave equation.

Lemma 7.3. *Let ϕ a function depending only on u and \underline{u} . Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\phi) = \frac{2}{r\Omega^2} (-\partial_u(r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) - \partial_{\underline{u}}(r\partial_u\phi)).$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_u r}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r} \partial_u \phi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{r} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi \right). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 7.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{\phi}{\varrho^2} \\ &= -\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) + \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2 \varrho^2} \phi + \frac{\phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\ &\quad + (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of the previous lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi \right) = \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) \\ &= -\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) + \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi + \Omega^2 \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^2 \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} &= \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} + (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&= \frac{\phi}{r^2} + \frac{\phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&= \frac{\phi}{\varrho^2} + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2 \varrho^2} \phi + \frac{\phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) - \frac{\phi}{\varrho^2} \\
&= -\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) + \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u \phi + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2 \varrho^2} \phi + \frac{\phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the corollary. \square

Corollary 7.5. *We have*

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \right) \phi = \frac{F}{\varrho^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \partial_u \phi) + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)}{r} \partial_u \phi + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} \phi + \frac{\varrho^2 \phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \varrho^2 (\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\partial_u = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\tau - \partial_\varrho) \text{ and } \partial_{\underline{u}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\tau + \partial_\varrho)$$

and hence

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\tau^2 - \partial_\varrho^2) \text{ and } \frac{1}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} - \partial_u) = \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho.$$

Thus, we have

$$-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} - \partial_u) - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} = -\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2}.$$

In view of the previous corollary, this concludes the proof of this corollary. \square

7.3. Set up of the bootstrap procedure. Let the space-time domain

$$I_0 := \{\underline{u} \leq 0, u \leq -1, \tau \geq -1\}.$$

Let

$$-1 \leq \underline{u}_b < 0$$

and the space-time domain


$$Q_{\underline{u}_b} := \{-1 \leq \underline{u} < \underline{u}_b, -1 \leq u < 0\},$$

see Figure 7. Let

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

We make the following bootstrap assumption on $Q_{\underline{u}_b}$:

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq C. \quad (7.1)$$

FIGURE 7. The bootstrap region $Q_{\underline{u}_b}$

The goal of this section will be to prove that we can improve this bootstrap assumption.

Proposition 7.6. *Assume that*

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, there exists a universal constant \underline{C} and a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that for any $-1 \leq \underline{u}_b < 0$, we have

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq \underline{C}(C_0 + \varepsilon C).$$

Remark 7.7. *The constant \underline{C} in Proposition 7.6 depends on δ such that $0 < \delta < 1/2$. In particular, it degenerates as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ or $\delta \rightarrow 1/2$. We will use the improved estimate of Proposition 7.6 at two places in the proof of Theorem 6.2*

- *In Lemma 7.22, where we apply Proposition 7.6 with any fixed δ such that*

$$\frac{1}{6} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

- *In Proposition 8.13, where we apply Proposition 7.6 with any fixed δ such that*

$$\frac{1}{3} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, we could replace δ for instance with $5/12$ in the statement of Proposition 7.6. To make the proof easier to follow, we choose to do it with a general δ , but do not mention the dependence of various constants on δ since one should think of a δ fixed once and for all, e.g. $5/12$.

Remark 7.8. *The constant C_0 appearing in Proposition 7.6 denotes the supremum of the (finitely many) norms on I_0 of the solution¹² appearing in the proof of Proposition 7.6 below. These norms are not controlled by the energy, and could be in particular arbitrary large compared to ε^{-1} . It is thus crucial that the constant*

¹²Recall from the assumptions of Theorem 6.2 that the solution (\mathbf{g}, Φ) is regular in the space-time region

$$\{-1 \leq \tau < 0\} \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 0\}$$

and hence on the compact region I_0 . Thus, C_0 is a finite constant.

in front of C in the statement of Proposition 7.6, i.e. $\underline{C}\varepsilon$, does not depend on C_0 . This will allow us to improve on our bootstrap assumption (7.1) in Corollary 7.21 by choosing ε sufficiently small compared to the universal constant \underline{C} .

Remark 7.9. In order to prove Proposition 7.6, we will first obtain an improved bound for ϕ using a representation formula for the wave equation (see Lemma 7.18). Then, we infer an improved bound for $\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$ using Lemma 7.19. Note that we can not infer a improved bound for $\partial_u\phi$ in this way (see Remark 7.20). This explains why we only have a bootstrap assumption for $\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$ in Proposition 7.6, while the terms $\partial_u\phi$ will have to be integrated by parts (see Remark 7.13).

Remark 7.10. The non-concentration of energy is used in two crucial places in the proof of Theorem 6.2. One chooses $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough

- In Corollary 7.21 to improve the bootstrap assumption (7.1) thanks to Proposition 7.6.
- In Proposition 8.13 in order to exploit the estimate of Corollary 8.12.

7.4. First consequences of the bootstrap assumptions.

Lemma 7.11. *We have*

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{-\delta} |\phi| \lesssim C.$$

and

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{-2\delta} \left(\frac{|r - \varrho|}{\varrho} + \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + |\Omega - 1| \right) \lesssim C^2.$$

Proof. We start with ϕ . We have

$$\phi(u, \underline{u}) = \int_u^{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

and hence using the bootstrap assumption (7.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(u, \underline{u})| &\leq \int_u^{\underline{u}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(u, \sigma)| d\sigma \\ &\leq C \int_u^{\underline{u}} r(u, \sigma)^{\delta-1} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim C \int_u^{\underline{u}} (\sigma - u)^{\delta-1} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim C(\underline{u} - u)^\delta \\ &\lesssim Cr(u, \underline{u})^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\delta > 0$.

Next, recall that

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = r\kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r + \frac{1}{2} \right| &\leq \int_u^{\underline{u}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r|(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{\phi^2}{r}(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} r(u, \sigma)^{2\delta-1} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} (\sigma - u)^{2\delta-1} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 (\underline{u} - u)^{2\delta} \\
&\lesssim C^2 r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\delta > 0$ and the previous bound on ϕ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| &\leq \int_u^{\underline{u}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r|(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the bound for $r - \varrho$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|r - \varrho| &\leq \int_u^{\underline{u}} \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right|(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta}(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} (\sigma - u)^{2\delta} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 (\underline{u} - u)^{2\delta+1} \\
&\lesssim C^2 r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider Ω . We have

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| &\leq \int_u^{\underline{u}} |\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r)|(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \int_u^{\underline{u}} r (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 \int_u^{\underline{u}} r(u, \sigma)^{2\delta-1} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C^2 r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Omega^{-2} - 1| &\lesssim |\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \partial_{\underline{u}} r| \\
&\lesssim \left| \Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\
&\lesssim C^2 r(u, \underline{u})^{2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

7.5. An improved uniform bound for ϕ . Here we derive an improved uniform bound for $r^{-\delta}\phi$ relying on an explicit representation formula for the flat wave equation. Our approach is inspired by [10] (see also [32] for a similar approach).

Lemma 7.12. *We have*

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2}\right)\phi = \partial_u\left(\frac{F_1}{\varrho}\right) + \frac{F_2}{\varrho^2},$$

where

$$F_1 = \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_u r - 1)}{r}\phi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 = & -\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_u r + 1))}{r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varrho + r)(\varrho - r) + \frac{\varrho^2}{2}(2\partial_u r + 1) + \varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)\partial_u r}{r^2}\phi \\ & -\frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2\phi}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.13. *Since we have no control over $\partial_u\phi$ (see Remark 7.9), we need to integrate the terms involving $\partial_u\phi$ by parts. This results in the term $\partial_u(F_1/\varrho)$ in the statement of Lemma 7.12. The fact that this integration by parts is possible is a consequence of the following two observations*

- *We are able to estimate F_2 (see Lemma 7.14), which itself is a consequence of the null structure of the problem.*
- *We are able to control the u derivative of the kernel K of the representation formula for the wave equation of Lemma 7.15. To achieve this, the crucial estimate is the one of Lemma 7.16.*

Proof. Recall that

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2}\right)\phi = \frac{F}{\varrho^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F = & -\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)}{r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\ & + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite F as

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\phi\right) - \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\right)\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&\quad + \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r}\phi\right) - \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r}\right)\phi + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&= \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r}\phi\right) - \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{(r-\varrho)^2-\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)}{2r^2}\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)(\varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}r+r)-2\varrho^2r\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&= \partial_u\left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r+\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1))}{r}\phi\right) - \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r+\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1))}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varrho+3r)(\varrho-r)+\frac{\varrho^2}{2}(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)+\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)(\varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}r+r)}{r^2}\phi - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\phi}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\frac{F}{\varrho^2} = \partial_u\left(\frac{F_1}{\varrho}\right) + \frac{F_2}{\varrho^2},$$

where

$$F_1 = \frac{\varrho-r+\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r}\phi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r+\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1))}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varrho+r)(\varrho-r)+\frac{\varrho^2}{2}(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)+\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2}\phi \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\phi}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.14. *We have*

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_i}} r^{-\delta}(|F_1|+|F_2|) \lesssim C\varepsilon.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.1, Lemma 7.2, the bootstrap assumption (7.1) and Lemma 7.11, as well as the definition of F_1 and F_2 . \square

Lemma 7.15. For (τ, ϱ) such that $\tau + \varrho \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \frac{F_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}}} du' - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2-\varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} K(\mu) \left(\frac{1}{4}F_1(\sigma, \lambda) + F_2(\sigma, \lambda) \right) d\lambda d\mu \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} \lambda \partial_{u\mu} K'(\mu) F_1(\sigma, \lambda) d\lambda d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_0 denotes the solution to the homogeneous equation with the same initial conditions as ϕ at $\tau = -1$, μ is given by

$$\mu = \frac{(\tau - \sigma)^2 - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda},$$

λ^* is given by

$$\lambda^* = \sqrt{(1 + \tau)^2 + (\mu^2 - 1)\varrho^2} - \mu\varrho,$$

and K is given by

$$K(\mu) = \int_{\max(-\mu, -1)}^1 \frac{xdx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{\mu+x}}.$$

Proof. We recall the representation formula derived in [32] for the solution ϕ of

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \right) \phi = h.$$

ϕ is given by (see [33] p. 960/961)

$$\phi(\tau, \varrho) = \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) h(\sigma, \lambda) d\lambda d\sigma,$$

where

$$R_{\tau, \varrho} = \{(\sigma, \lambda) / -1 \leq \sigma \leq \tau, \max(0, \varrho - \tau + \sigma) \leq \lambda \leq \varrho + \tau - \sigma\},$$

see Figure 9, ϕ_0 denotes the solution to the homogeneous equation with the same initial conditions as ϕ at $\tau = -1$, μ is given by



$$\mu = \frac{(\tau - \sigma)^2 - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda},$$

and K is given by

$$K(\mu) = \int_{\max(-\mu, -1)}^1 \frac{xdx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{\mu+x}}.$$

In our case, note that this formula is well defined since for (τ, ϱ) such that $\tau + \varrho \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq \tau < 0$, $R_{\tau, \varrho}$ is included in the region where the solution is assumed to be smooth. Also, we have

$$h = \partial_u \left(\frac{F_1}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{F_2}{\varrho^2}.$$

FIGURE 8. The level sets of μ FIGURE 9. The spacetime region $R_{\tau, \varrho}$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \partial_u \left(\frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda d\sigma + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} d\lambda d\sigma \\
&= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \partial_u \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \right) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma \\
&\quad + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} d\lambda d\sigma \\
&= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu K'(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the boundary term. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} f \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &= \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} f \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right) d\underline{u}' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\tau - \varrho} f(\sigma, 0) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} f(-1, \lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu = -1 \text{ on } u = \tau - \varrho.$$

Hence, we have¹³

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) &= \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} \frac{F_1 \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}}} d\underline{u}' \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda} \mu &= \frac{\varrho^2 - \lambda^2 - (\tau - \sigma)^2}{2\varrho\lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{-2\mu\lambda\varrho - 2\lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda^2} \\ &= -\frac{\mu\varrho + \lambda}{\varrho\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We decompose and perform a change of variable

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{\varrho\lambda}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} d\mu + \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda) \sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &= \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} \frac{F_1 \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}}} d\underline{u}' - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda) \sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

¹³Here, we have dropped the boundary term on $\lambda = 0$ as it vanishes. Indeed, we have

$$\left| \sqrt{\lambda} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right| \leq C_{\tau, \varrho} \sqrt{\lambda} |K(\mu)| \leq C_{\tau, \varrho} \sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

where the constant $C_{\tau, \varrho}$ may blow up as (τ, ϱ) tends to the origin but is finite away from it, and where we used in particular the fact that F_1/λ is bounded in view of the asymptotic for $\rho - \tau$, $2\partial_{\underline{u}'} r - 1$ and ϕ as $\varrho \rightarrow 0$, the fact that $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ with $\sigma < \tau - \varrho$, and the fact that K is bounded for $\mu \geq 2$ which is immediate from the definition of K .

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \frac{F_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}}} du' - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2-\varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma \\
&\quad - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu K'(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

In the space-time integral, we perform the change of variable $(\sigma, \lambda) \rightarrow (\mu, \lambda)$ which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \frac{F_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}}} du' - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2-\varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda + \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{1}{|\partial_\sigma \mu|} d\lambda d\mu \\
&\quad - \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu K'(\mu) \frac{F_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{1}{|\partial_\sigma \mu|} d\lambda d\mu + \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K(\mu) \frac{F_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{|\partial_\sigma \mu|} d\lambda d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where λ^* is given by

$$\lambda^* = \sqrt{(1+\tau)^2 + (\mu^2 - 1)\varrho^2} - \mu\varrho.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\sigma \mu &= \frac{\sigma - \tau}{\varrho\lambda} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}}{\varrho\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \frac{F_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}}} du' - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2-\varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda \\
&\quad + \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} K(\mu) \left(\frac{1}{4}F_1(\sigma, \lambda) + F_2(\sigma, \lambda)\right) d\lambda d\mu \\
&\quad - \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda}\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} \lambda \partial_u \mu K'(\mu) F_1(\sigma, \lambda) d\lambda d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.16. *We have*

$$|\lambda \partial_u \mu| \lesssim |\mu - 1|, \quad \forall -1 \leq \mu < +\infty.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_u \mu &= \frac{\lambda((\sigma - \tau) + \lambda) + \frac{1}{2}((\tau - \sigma)^2 - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2)}{2\varrho\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{\lambda(\sigma - \tau) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\tau - \sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varrho^2}{2\varrho\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda + \sigma - \tau)^2 - \varrho^2}{4\varrho\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda - \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})^2 - \varrho^2}{4\varrho\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^2 - 2\lambda\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu} + \varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu - \varrho^2}{4\varrho\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{\lambda + \varrho\mu - \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}}{2\varrho\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\lambda\partial_u \mu = \frac{\lambda + \varrho\mu - \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}}{2\varrho}.$$

We consider two cases. If $\mu \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda\partial_u \mu &= \frac{\lambda^2 + \varrho^2\mu^2 + 2\lambda\varrho\mu - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2 - 2\varrho\lambda\mu}{2\varrho(\lambda + \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})} \\
&= \frac{\varrho^2(\mu^2 - 1)}{2\varrho(\lambda + \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})} \\
&= \frac{\varrho(\mu + 1)(\mu - 1)}{2(\lambda + \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\varrho \geq 0$, we have

$$\lambda + \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu} \geq \varrho(1 + \mu).$$

We infer

$$|\lambda\partial_u \mu| \leq \frac{|\mu - 1|}{2}.$$

If $-1 \leq \mu < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda\partial_u \mu &= \frac{\lambda^2 - \varrho^2\mu^2 + 2\varrho\mu\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu} - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2 - 2\varrho\lambda\mu}{2\varrho(\lambda - \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})} \\
&= \frac{-\varrho(\mu^2 + 1) + 2\mu(\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu} - \lambda)}{2(\lambda - \varrho\mu + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-1 \leq \mu < 0$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda\partial_u \mu| &= \frac{|-\varrho(\mu^2 + 1) + 2|\mu|(\lambda - \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 - 2|\mu|\varrho\lambda})|}{2(\lambda + |\mu|\varrho + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 - 2|\mu|\varrho\lambda})} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\varrho + \lambda + \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2}}{\lambda + \varrho} \\
&\lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with the case $\mu \geq 0$, we obtain for all $\mu \geq -1$

$$|\lambda \partial_u \mu| \lesssim |\mu - 1|.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.17. *For $(\tau, \varrho) \in Q_{\underline{u}_i}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} + (\varepsilon C + C_0) \varrho^\delta \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon C + C_0) \sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1| |K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, \varrho) &= \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) + \frac{K(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} \frac{F_1\left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau + \varrho + u'}{2}}} du' - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda) \sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu \varrho + \lambda} K(\mu) d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} K(\mu) \frac{F_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} K(\mu) \left(\frac{1}{4} F_1(\sigma, \lambda) + F_2(\sigma, \lambda) \right) d\lambda d\mu \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} \lambda \partial_u \mu K'(\mu) F_1(\sigma, \lambda) d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following properties for K (see for example [10] p. 1061):

$$K(-1) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} |K| \lesssim 1, \quad K \in L^1(-1, +\infty).$$

Also, we have

$$\{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2} \leq \lambda \leq \tau + \varrho + 1\} \cap \{\sigma = -1\} = \{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0\} \cap \{\sigma = -1\}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim |\phi_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \frac{|K(-1)|}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_0^\varrho \frac{|F_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\lambda \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{|F_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} |K(\mu)| d\mu \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} |K| \right) \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{|F_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) (\tau + \varrho + 1 - \sqrt{(\tau + 1)^2 - \varrho^2}) \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |K(\mu)| (|F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |F_2(\sigma, \lambda)|) d\lambda d\mu \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |\lambda \partial_u \mu| |K'(\mu)| |F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| d\lambda d\mu \\
&\lesssim |\phi_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |F_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{|F_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{|F_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \frac{\varrho + \tau + 1}{\tau + \varrho + 1 + \sqrt{(\tau + 1)^2 - \varrho^2}} \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |K(\mu)| (|F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |F_2(\sigma, \lambda)|) d\lambda d\mu \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |\lambda \partial_u \mu| |K'(\mu)| |F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| d\lambda d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming enough regularity on the initial data, we have

$$\sup_{\varrho > 0} \varrho^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{|F_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq C_0$$

where the constant C_0 only depend on initial data. Hence, together with the previous lemma, we deduce¹⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} + \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |F_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |K(\mu)| (|F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |F_2(\sigma, \lambda)|) d\lambda d\mu \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |\mu - 1| |K'(\mu)| |F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| d\lambda d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall that we have

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{-\delta} (|F_1| + |F_2|) \lesssim C\varepsilon.$$

Since we have

$$R_{\tau, \varrho} \subset Q_{\underline{u}_b} \cup I_0 \text{ for any } (\tau, \varrho) \in Q_{\underline{u}_b},$$

and

$$\sup_{I_0} r^{-\delta} (|F_1| + |F_2|) \lesssim C_0,$$

¹⁴Observe that $v_1(\tau, \varrho, \theta) = \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) \cos(\theta)$ and $v_2(\tau, \varrho, \theta) = \phi_0(\tau, \varrho) \sin(\theta)$ both satisfy the standard wave equation on \mathbb{R}^{2+1} which allows to infer estimates for ϕ_0 from corresponding estimates for the standard wave equation.

we infer

$$\sup_{(\tau, \varrho) \in Q_{\underline{u}_b}} \sup_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} r^{-\delta} (|F_1| + |F_2|) \lesssim C\varepsilon + C_0.$$

Hence, we deduce for $(\tau, \varrho) \in Q_{\underline{u}_b}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0\sqrt{\varrho} + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\varrho^\delta \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.18. *For $(\tau, \varrho) \in Q_{\underline{u}_b}$, we have*

$$|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim C_0\sqrt{\varrho} + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\varrho^\delta$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0\sqrt{\varrho} + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\varrho^\delta \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the integral in the right-hand side. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the two integral on the right-hand side starting with the first one. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \\ &\lesssim \sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} (|K(\mu)| + |K'(\mu)|) \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda d\mu \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\tau - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \varrho^2}}^{\tau - |\lambda - \varrho|} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\varrho\lambda} d\sigma d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} (|K(\mu)| + |K'(\mu)|) < +\infty,$$

which is a consequence of the estimates for K and K' on p. 1061 [10],

$$\{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0\} = \{|\lambda - \varrho| \leq \tau - \sigma \leq \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2}\},$$

and

$$\partial_\sigma \mu = -\frac{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}}{\varrho\lambda}.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} (\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \varrho^2} - |\lambda - \varrho|) \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\varrho\lambda} d\lambda \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \varrho^2} + |\lambda - \varrho|} d\lambda \\
& \lesssim \varrho^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 1} + |\lambda - 1|} d\lambda \\
& \lesssim \varrho^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last inequality the fact that $0 < \delta < 1/2$.

Next, we estimate the second integral on the right-hand side. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \\
& \lesssim \left(\int_0^{+\infty} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\mu \right) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\varrho + \lambda} d\lambda \\
& \lesssim \varrho^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \lambda} d\lambda \\
& \lesssim \varrho^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\mu < +\infty$$

which is a consequence of the estimates for K and K' on p. 1061 [10]. We deduce

$$\int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \lesssim \varrho^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (7.2)$$

which yields

$$|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim C_0\sqrt{\varrho} + (\varepsilon C + C_0)\varrho^\delta.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

7.6. Proof of Proposition 7.6.

Lemma 7.19. *Let*

$$\Theta = r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \text{ and } \Xi = r\partial_u\phi.$$

We have

$$\partial_u \left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_u \phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

and

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{r}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_u r \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Proof. From

$$\partial_u(r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) + \partial_{\underline{u}}(r\partial_u\phi) = -\frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{2r},$$

we infer

$$\partial_u\Theta = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_u r}{r}\Theta - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r},$$

and

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\Xi = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r}\Xi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_u r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r}.$$

We rewrite the system as

$$\partial_u\left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

and

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\left(\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{r}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_u r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now in position to prove Proposition 7.6. Recall that

$$\partial_u\left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

We integrate between (u, \underline{u}) and $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$, where $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$ is on $\tau = -1$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Theta(u, \underline{u})}{\sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}} &= \frac{\Theta(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}} - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_u\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\Theta(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}} - \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi(\sigma, \underline{u}) \right]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\partial_u r\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi(u, \underline{u}) &= \sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u}) - \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi(\sigma, \underline{u}) \right]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \\ &\quad + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2}{8\sqrt{r}}\kappa\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\partial_u r\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\sigma, \underline{u})d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}|\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim \sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}|\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})| + \frac{|\phi(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})|}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}} + \frac{|\phi(u, \underline{u})|}{\sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}} \\ &\quad + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})|}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
r(u, \underline{u})^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \sqrt{r(-\underline{u}-2, \underline{u})} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(-\underline{u}-2, \underline{u})| + r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \frac{|\phi(-\underline{u}-2, \underline{u})|}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u}-2, \underline{u})}} \\
&\quad + r(u, \underline{u})^{-\delta} |\phi(u, \underline{u})| + r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})|}{r(\sigma, \underline{u})^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C_0 r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + r(u, \underline{u})^{-\delta} |\phi(u, \underline{u})| + r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})|}{r(\sigma, \underline{u})^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C_0 + r(u, \underline{u})^{-\delta} |\phi(u, \underline{u})| + r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\phi(\sigma, \underline{u})|}{r(\sigma, \underline{u})^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

where C_0 only depends on initial data.

Using the improved uniform bound for ϕ of lemma 7.18, we infer on $Q_{\underline{u}_b}$

$$\begin{aligned}
r(u, \underline{u})^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim C_0 + r(u, \underline{u})^{-\delta} (C_0 \sqrt{\varrho(u, \underline{u})} + (\varepsilon C + C_0) \varrho(u, \underline{u})^\delta) \\
&\quad + r(u, \underline{u})^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{C_0 \sqrt{\varrho(\sigma, \underline{u})} + (\varepsilon C + C_0) \varrho(\sigma, \underline{u})^\delta}{r(\sigma, \underline{u})^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim C_0 + \varepsilon C
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $r \sim \varrho$ and $\delta < 1/2$. Finally, we have obtained the existence of a universal constant $0 < \underline{C} < +\infty$ such that we have on $Q_{\underline{u}_b}$

$$r(u, \underline{u})^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(u, \underline{u})| \leq \underline{C} (C_0 + \varepsilon C).$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 7.6.

Remark 7.20. Lemma 7.19 is used as follows. The equation for Θ is always integrated from the initial data, while the equation for Ξ is always integrated from the axis of symmetry Γ . We have the following three cases

- If $|\phi| \leq Cr^\delta$ with $\delta < 1/2$, we deduce $|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \lesssim Cr^{\delta-1}$, but no estimate for $\partial_u \phi$. We used this case above for the proof of Proposition 7.6.
- If $|\phi| \leq Cr^\delta$ with $\delta > 1/2$, then we deduce $|\partial_u \phi| \lesssim Cr^{\delta-1}$, and only $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi \lesssim C\sqrt{r}$. This case will be used in the proof Lemma 8.14.
- If $|\phi| \leq C\sqrt{r}$, then we have the log loss estimate $|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \lesssim C|\log(r)|\sqrt{r}$, and no estimate for $\partial_u \phi$. Due to the log loss for $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$, this case is never used.

7.7. A more refined bound for ϕ .

Corollary 7.21. For any

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2},$$

there exists a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that we have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$

$$r^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + r^{-\delta} |\phi| \leq C_0$$

and

$$r^{-2\delta} \left(\frac{|r - \varrho|}{\varrho} + \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + |\Omega - 1| \right) \leq C_0.$$

Proof. Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small in Proposition 7.6 yields

$$\sup_{Q_{\underline{u}_b}} r^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq \underline{C} C_0 \tag{7.3}$$

which is an improvement of the bootstrap assumption (7.1). This implies that (7.3) holds for all $-1 \leq \underline{u}_b < 0$. Hence, as

$$\{\tau \geq -1, \underline{u} \leq 0\} = \overline{\cup_{-1 \leq \underline{u}_b < 0} Q_{\underline{u}_b}} \cup I_0,$$

we have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$

$$r^{1-\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq C_0$$

for a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 . Arguing as in Lemma 7.11, we infer

$$r^{-\delta} |\phi| \leq C_0$$

and

$$r^{-2\delta} \left(\frac{|r - \varrho|}{\varrho} + \left| \partial_{ur} + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}r} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + |\Omega - 1| \right) \leq C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the corollary. \square

In this section, we would like to prove the following refined bounds.

Lemma 7.22. *There exists a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that we have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi| \leq C_0$$

and

$$r^{-1} \left(\frac{|r - \varrho|}{\varrho} + \left| \partial_{ur} + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}r} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \leq C_0.$$

Remark 7.23. *The above improvement can not be true for $r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$ due to a log loss when integrating the improved estimate for ϕ using the equation for Θ (see Remark 7.20). In turn, this improvement can also not be true for $\Omega - 1$.*

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} + \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |F_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| \\ &+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |K(\mu)| (|F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |F_2(\sigma, \lambda)|) d\lambda d\mu \\ &+ \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} |\mu - 1| |K'(\mu)| |F_1(\sigma, \lambda)| d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have in view of the definition of F_1 and F_2 together with the estimates of Corollary 7.21

$$r^{-3\delta} (|F_1| + |F_2|) \lesssim C_0,$$

where we choose from now on δ such that

$$\frac{1}{6} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} + C_0 \varrho^{3\delta} \\ &+ C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu - 1| |K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^{2\varrho} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda + \int_{2\varrho}^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda \\
&\lesssim \varrho^{2\delta} \int_0^{2\varrho} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda + \int_{2\varrho}^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(\varrho-\lambda)^2 + 2\varrho\lambda(1+\mu)}} d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \geq -1$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda \\
&\lesssim \varrho^{2\delta} \int_0^{2\varrho} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda + \int_{2\varrho}^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\lambda} d\lambda \\
&\lesssim \varrho^{2\delta} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda + \left[\lambda^{3\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{2\varrho}^{\lambda^*} \\
&\lesssim 1 + \varrho^{2\delta} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} d\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

where used the fact that we chose $\delta > 1/6$.

We have obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} \\
&+ C_0 \varrho^{2\delta} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu-1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that we chose δ such that $\delta > 1/6$. Recall estimate (7.2):

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda^*} \frac{\lambda^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\varrho\lambda\mu}} (|K(\mu)| + |\mu-1||K'(\mu)|) d\lambda d\mu \lesssim \varrho^\delta.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho} + C_0 \varrho^{3\delta} \\
&\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{\varrho},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that we chose δ such that $\delta > 1/6$. This concludes the improvement for ϕ .

Then, one obtains the improvements¹⁵ for $\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1/2$, $\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1/2$ and $r - \varrho$ as in the proof of Lemma 7.11. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

8. AN IMPROVED UNIFORM BOUND FOR $\partial\phi$

Here, we differentiate equation for ϕ once and we obtain a uniform bound for $\partial\phi$ and ϕ/r again relying on an explicit representation formula for the flat wave equation by adapting the approach in [32].

¹⁵Note that the proof of these improvements only relies on the equation $\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r = \kappa \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 / (4r)$. Therefore, the proof requires the improved estimate for ϕ . The important point is that it does not require the corresponding improvement for $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$ which does not hold due to a log loss (see Remark 7.23).

8.1. Upper bounds for higher order derivatives. We start by deriving an upper bound for $\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega$.

Lemma 8.1. *There exists a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that we have for any $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$r^{1-2\delta}|\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega| \lesssim C_0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\Omega^{-2}(\partial_u\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega - \Omega\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega) = \frac{1}{8}\Omega^2\kappa\left(\frac{4}{\Omega^2}\partial_u\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}\right).$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u(\partial_{\underline{u}}\log(\Omega)) &= \partial_u\left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega}{\Omega}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega}{\Omega} - \frac{\partial_u\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega}{\Omega^2} \\ &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_u\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2}{8}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, recall that

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\Omega^2}\left(-4\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r}\partial_u\phi\right)$$

and

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\phi = \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.$$

We infer

$$\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi = -\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}.$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u(\partial_{\underline{u}}\log(\Omega)) &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_u(\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) + \frac{\kappa}{2}\phi\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2}{8}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \\ &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_u(\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) + \frac{\kappa}{2}\phi\left(-\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}\right) - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2}{8}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \\ &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_u(\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) - \frac{\kappa}{8}\partial_u\left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r}\phi^2\right) + \frac{\kappa\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{8r}\phi^2 - \frac{\kappa\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{8r^2}\phi^2 - \frac{\kappa\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r}\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2\phi f(\phi)}{8r^2} - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2}{8}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \\ &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_u(\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) - \frac{\kappa}{8}\partial_u\left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r}\phi^2\right) + \frac{\kappa^2\Omega^2}{32r^2}g(\phi)^2\phi^2 - \frac{\kappa\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{8r^2}\phi^2 - \frac{\kappa\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r}\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2\phi f(\phi)}{8r^2} - \frac{\kappa\Omega^2}{8}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate between (u, \underline{u}) and $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$, where $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$ is on $\tau = -1$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega)(\sigma, \underline{u})]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u &= -\frac{\kappa}{2} [\phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(\sigma, \underline{u})]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u - \frac{\kappa}{8} \left[\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r} \phi^2(\sigma, \underline{u}) \right]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\kappa^2 \Omega^2}{32r^2} g(\phi)^2 \phi^2(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\kappa \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{8r^2} \phi^2(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\kappa \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{4r} \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\kappa \Omega^2 \phi f(\phi)}{8r^2}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma - \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\kappa \Omega^2}{8} \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega)(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim C_0 + |\phi| \left(|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + \frac{|\partial_{\underline{u}} r|}{r} |\phi| \right) (u, \underline{u}) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\partial_{\underline{u}} r|}{r} |\phi| \left(|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + \frac{|\partial_{\underline{u}} r|}{r} |\phi| \right) (\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 |\phi|}{r^2}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\ &\lesssim C_0 + r^{2\delta-1} C_0 \\ &\lesssim r^{2\delta-1} C_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $0 < \delta < 1/2$, the upper bounds on ϕ , $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$ of Corollary 7.21, the uniform bounds for $\partial_{\underline{u}} r$, $\partial_{\underline{u}} r$ and Ω , and the fact that $\varrho \sim r$. In view of the fact that $|\Omega| \sim 1$, we finally obtain

$$r^{1-2\delta} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| \lesssim C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Next, we derive an upper bound for $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r$.

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that we have for any $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim r^{2\delta-1} C_0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r = \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4r}.$$

We deduce

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r = \kappa \frac{\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) g(\phi)^2}{2r} + \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{2r} - \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{4r^2}.$$

We integrate between (u, \underline{u}) and $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$, where $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$ is on $\tau = -1$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r(\sigma, \underline{u})]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u &= \kappa \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) g(\phi)^2}{2r}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma + \kappa \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{2r}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \kappa \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{4r^2}(\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim C_0 + \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \left(\frac{|\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| |\phi|^2}{r} + \frac{|\phi| |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi|}{r} + \frac{|\phi|^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}} r|}{r^2} \right) (\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\ &\lesssim C_0 + r^{2\delta-1} C_0 \\ &\lesssim r^{2\delta-1} C_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $0 < \delta < 1/2$, the upper bounds on ϕ , $\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$ of Corollary 7.21, the uniform bounds for $\partial_{\underline{u}}r$ and Ω , the upper bounds on $\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega$ of Lemma 8.1, the fact that $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r$ is bounded on $\tau = -1$ by C_0 since C_0 controls in particular two derivatives of the solution on I_0 , and the fact that $\varrho \sim r$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Next, we derive an upper bound for $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi$.

Lemma 8.3. *There exists a constant C_0 only depending on the values of the solution in I_0 such that we have for any $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi| \lesssim r^{\delta-2}C_0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\Theta = r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$$

satisfies

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Differentiating with respect to \underline{u} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}}\right) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\partial_{\underline{u}}r)^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3\Omega^2 f(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{8r^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi = -\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}.$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}}\right) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\left(-\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{2r} - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{2r} - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\partial_{\underline{u}}r)^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3\Omega^2 f(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{8r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r + \frac{1}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\partial_{\underline{u}}r)^2\right)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\ &= \partial_{\underline{u}}\left[-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi + \frac{1}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\partial_{\underline{u}}r)^2\phi\right] + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi - \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4r^{\frac{5}{2}}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r(\partial_{\underline{u}}r)^2\phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}r\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}r = \kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4r},$$

and

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r = \kappa\frac{\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)g(\phi)^2}{2r} + \kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)g'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{2r} - \kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r^2}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}} \right) &= \partial_u \left[-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r \phi + \frac{1}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\partial_{\underline{u}} r)^2 \phi \right] \\
&+ \frac{\kappa \Omega (\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) g(\phi)^2}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi + \frac{\kappa \Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi - \frac{3\kappa \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{8r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \phi \\
&- \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r \phi + \frac{3}{4r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r (\partial_{\underline{u}} r)^2 \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&- \frac{\Omega (\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) f(\phi)}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r^{\frac{5}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate between (u, \underline{u}) and $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$, where $(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})$ is on $\tau = -1$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}} \right) (\sigma, \underline{u}) \right]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u &= \left[-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r \phi + \frac{1}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\partial_{\underline{u}} r)^2 \phi (\sigma, \underline{u}) \right]_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \\
&+ \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \left\{ \frac{\kappa \Omega (\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) g(\phi)^2}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi + \frac{\kappa \Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi - \frac{3\kappa \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{8r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \phi \right. \\
&- \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r \phi + \frac{3}{4r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r (\partial_{\underline{u}} r)^2 \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\Omega^2 f'(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\left. - \frac{\Omega (\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) f(\phi)}{2r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi) \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right\} (\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}} \right) (u, \underline{u}) \right| &\lesssim \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}} + \left(\frac{|\phi| |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r|}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{(\partial_{\underline{u}} r)^2 |\phi|}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) (u, \underline{u}) \\
&+ \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| |\phi| + |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r| |\phi| + |\phi| + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| |\phi|}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{-\underline{u}-2}^u \frac{|\phi|}{r^{\frac{5}{2}}} (\sigma, \underline{u}) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u})}} + r^{\delta - \frac{3}{2}} C_0 \\
&\lesssim r^{\delta - \frac{3}{2}} C_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $0 < \delta < 1/2$, the upper bounds on ϕ , $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$ of Corollary 7.21, the uniform bounds for $\partial_{\underline{u}} r$, $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r$ and Ω , the upper bounds on $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$ of Lemma 8.1, the upper bounds on $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r$ of Lemma 8.2, the fact that $\varrho \sim r$ and the fact that $r(-\underline{u} - 2, \underline{u}) \geq r$. Since

$$\Theta = r \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi,$$

we infer

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{r}} \right) = \sqrt{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$$

and hence

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi| \lesssim r^{\delta - 2} C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

8.2. A wave equation satisfied by v .

Lemma 8.4. *Let*

$$v = D\phi = \left(\partial_\varrho + \frac{1}{\varrho} \right) \phi.$$

We have

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho \right) v = \partial_u \left(\frac{B_1}{\varrho} v + \frac{B_2}{\varrho^2} \right) + \frac{B_3}{\varrho^2} v + \frac{B_4}{\varrho^3},$$

where

$$B_1 = \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r},$$

$$B_2 = \frac{2\varrho^2(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) - 2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r}{r} \phi - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2},$$

$$B_3 = \frac{\varrho - r}{r} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\varrho}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}}r + \frac{\varrho}{r} \right) + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \left(-1 + \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_r u + \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_\varrho r \right) + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{2r} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}r \right) \\ + \frac{\varrho(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}r \right) + \frac{\varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^2},$$

and

$$B_4 = \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_{ur} - \frac{2\varrho^2 + 3\varrho r}{r^2} \partial_\varrho r - \frac{\varrho}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}}r \right) \frac{\varrho - r}{r} + \left(-\frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r} + 1 \right) \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \right. \\ \left. + \left(-3 + \frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r} \right) \frac{\varrho(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \right) \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{r} + \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^3} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2\varrho^3 \partial_{ur} \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3 \phi^2 \zeta(\phi) \partial_\varrho r}{r^3} \right] \phi \\ + \left[\frac{\varrho(3\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r)}{r} \frac{\varrho^2(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} \right. \\ \left. + \left(-\frac{5\varrho^2(\varrho - r)}{2r} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{2r} - \frac{2\varrho^3(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} \right) \frac{\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} \right] \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \left[-\frac{\varrho^2(\varrho - r)}{r} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r} \right] \partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi \\ + \left[\left(-\frac{2\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r} + 1 \right) \frac{\varrho^2 f(\phi)}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2 f'(\phi) \varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{r^2} \right] (\Omega^2 - 1) \\ + \left[-\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r} \frac{\varrho \Omega^2}{4r^2} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\Omega^2}{4r^3} \right] f(\phi) + (2f(\phi) - \kappa g(\phi)^2 \phi) \frac{\varrho^3 \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega}{r^2}.$$

Remark 8.5. *Again, we need to integrate by parts the terms involving derivatives with respect to u due to a better behavior with respect to \underline{u} derivatives (see for example the estimates for $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r$, $\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi$ of section 8.1 which do not have a corresponding u counterpart). This results in the term $\partial_u(B_1 v/\varrho + B_2 \varrho^2)$ in the statement of Lemma 8.4. As emphasized in Remark 7.13, the fact that this integration by parts is possible is a consequence on the one hand of the null structure of the problem, and on the other hand of the nice behavior of the kernel of the representation formula for the wave equation with respect to u derivatives.*

Remark 8.6. *The crucial point of the decomposition of the right-hand side of the wave equation for v in the statement of Lemma 8.4 is the fact that both B_1 and B_3 include neither $\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi$ nor $\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega$ in their definition, and hence will satisfy better estimates than B_2 and B_4 (see Lemma 8.7 and Remark 8.8).*

Proof. We have

$$D \circ \left[-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \right] = \left[-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho \right] \circ D.$$

Recall that

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho - \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \right) \phi = \frac{F}{\varrho^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \partial_u\phi) + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\ &\quad + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho \right) v = \frac{\partial_\varrho F}{\varrho^2} - \frac{F}{\varrho^3}.$$

Next, we compute $\partial_\varrho F$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\varrho F &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_\varrho\phi - \partial_u\partial_\varrho\phi) - \frac{r(2\varrho-r-\varrho\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \partial_u\phi) \\
&+ \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{r(2\varrho(2\partial_u r + 1) + 2\varrho^2\partial_u\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&+ \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r}\partial_u\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{r(2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) + 2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_u\phi \\
&+ \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{r^2(2\varrho - 2r\partial_\varrho r) - 2(\varrho^2 - r^2)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}\phi \\
&+ \frac{r^2(2\varrho\phi^3\zeta(\phi) + \varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))\partial_\varrho\phi) - 2\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4} \\
&+ \frac{r^2(2\varrho(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^2\Omega\partial_\varrho(\Omega)f(\phi) + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)\partial_\varrho\phi) - 2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4} \\
&= \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{2\varrho^2(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r}\partial_\varrho\phi - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2} \right) \\
&- \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \right) \partial_\varrho\phi - \partial_u \left(\frac{2\varrho^2}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \right) (\partial_\varrho r - 1) - \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \right) \partial_\varrho\phi \\
&+ \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho^2 f(\phi)}{r^2} \right) (\Omega^2 - 1) - \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_\varrho\phi - \frac{r(2\varrho-r-\varrho\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_\varrho\phi \\
&+ \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{2r\varrho(2\partial_u r + 1) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&+ \frac{r(2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) - 2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_u r) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \partial_\varrho\phi) + \frac{2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\partial_u\phi \\
&+ \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2}\partial_\varrho\phi + \frac{r^2(2\varrho - 2r\partial_\varrho r) - 2(\varrho^2 - r^2)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}\phi \\
&+ \frac{r^2(2\varrho\phi^3\zeta(\phi) + \varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))\partial_\varrho\phi) - 2\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4} \\
&+ \frac{r^2(2\varrho(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^2\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega)f(\phi) + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)\partial_\varrho\phi) - 2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the term $\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\partial_u\phi/r$ as¹⁶

$$\frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\partial_u\phi = \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\phi \right) - \frac{\varrho^2\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\phi + \frac{(\varrho r + \partial_u r\varrho^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi}{r^2}.$$

Recall that

$$\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r = \kappa\frac{\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)g(\phi)^2}{2r} + \kappa\frac{\Omega^2g(\phi)g'(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{2r} - \kappa\frac{\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r^2}.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\partial_u\phi &= \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r}\phi \right) - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)g(\phi)^2\phi}{2r^2} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{2r^2} \\
&+ \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{4r^3} + \frac{(\varrho r + \partial_u r\varrho^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁶This term needs to be integrated by parts as it would otherwise lead to a dangerous term of the type $\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 rv$.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\varrho F &= \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{2r\varrho^2(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r^2} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r}{r}\phi \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{r(-\varrho + \frac{1}{2}r - \varrho\partial_{ur}) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_{ur}}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) - 2\frac{r(-\varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \varrho^2\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi) - \varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi\partial_{ur}}{r^2}(\partial_\varrho r - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{r(-\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) + 2\varrho^2\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}r) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{ur}}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(-\varrho f(\phi) + \varrho^2 f'(\phi) \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - v + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2 f(\phi)r\partial_{ur}}{r^4}(\Omega^2 - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r}(\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi - \partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_u\phi) - \frac{r(2\varrho-r-\varrho\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur}+1)}{r}(\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi - \partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_u\phi) + \frac{2r\varrho(2\partial_{ur}+1) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{ur}+1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{r(2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1) - 2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{ur}) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - v + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)g(\phi)^2\phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^3} + \frac{2(\varrho r + \partial_{ur}\varrho^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r\phi}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{r^2(2\varrho-2r\partial_\varrho r) - 2(\varrho^2-r^2)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(2\varrho\phi^3\zeta(\phi) + \varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi)) \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4} \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(2\varrho(\Omega^2-1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^2\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega)f(\phi) + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)f'(\phi) \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)f(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}r = r\kappa\frac{\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{4r^2}.$$

Also, recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-4\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{1}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \partial_u\phi) - \frac{\phi}{\varrho^2} \\
&= -\frac{\varrho-r}{r\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \partial_u\phi) + \frac{2\partial_{ur}+1}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1}{r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2\varrho^2}\phi + \frac{\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&\quad + (\Omega^2-1)\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$-\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi = -\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\Omega^2f(\phi)}{4r^2}.$$

This allows us to rewrite $\partial_\rho F$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho F &= \partial_u \left(\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{2r\varrho^2(\partial_\rho r - 1)}{r^2} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r} \phi \right) - \frac{r(-\varrho + \frac{1}{2}r - \varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}r) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{r \left(-\varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \varrho^2 \left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2} \right) \right) - \varrho^2 \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2} (\partial_\rho r - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{r \left(-\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) + 2\varrho^2 \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r} \right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(-\varrho f(\phi) + \varrho^2 f'(\phi) \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - v + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2 f(\phi)r\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^4} (\Omega^2 - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{r(2\varrho - r - \varrho\partial_\rho r) - \varrho(\varrho-r)\partial_\rho r}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{r} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2r\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)\partial_\rho r}{r^2} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{r \left(2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1) - \varrho^2 \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r} \right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_\rho r}{r^2} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - v + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega(\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega)g(\phi)^2\phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2 g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^3} + \frac{2(\varrho r + \partial_{\underline{u}}r\varrho^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r\phi}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{r^2(2\varrho - 2r\partial_\rho r) - 2(\varrho^2 - r^2)r\partial_\rho r}{r^4} \phi \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(2\varrho\phi^3\zeta(\phi) + \varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi)) \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)r\partial_\rho r}{r^4} \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2 \left(2\varrho(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^2\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega)f(\phi) + \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi) \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \right) - 2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)r\partial_\rho r}{r^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\partial_\rho F = \partial_u(A_1 v + A_2) + A_3 v + A_4,$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r},$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)\phi}{r} + \frac{2r\varrho^2(\partial_\rho r - 1)}{r^2} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\phi}{r} - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2} + \frac{2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r} \phi,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 = & -\frac{r(-\varrho + \frac{1}{2}r - \varrho\partial_u r) - \varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_u r}{r^2} - 2\frac{r\varrho^2\frac{\partial_u r}{2r}}{r^2}(\partial_\varrho r - 1) \\
& -\frac{r\left(-\varrho(2\partial_u r - 1) + 2\varrho^2\kappa\frac{\Omega^2}{4}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}\right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)\partial_u r}{r^2} \\
& -\frac{r^2\varrho^2 f'(\phi)}{r^4}(\Omega^2 - 1) + \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\frac{\partial_u r}{2r} - \frac{r(2\varrho - r - \varrho\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \\
& -\frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\frac{\partial_u r}{2r} \\
& -\frac{r\left(2\varrho(2\partial_u r - 1) - \varrho^2\kappa\frac{\Omega^2}{2}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}\right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \\
& +\frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2\varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^4} + \frac{r^2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)}{r^4},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 = & \frac{r(-\varrho + \frac{1}{2}r - \varrho\partial_u r) - \varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_u r}{r^2}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} \\
& -2\frac{r\left(-\varrho\partial_u\phi + \varrho^2\left(-\frac{\partial_u r}{2r}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} - \frac{\partial_u r + \partial_u r}{2r}\partial_u\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}\right)\right) - \varrho^2\partial_u\phi\partial_u r}{r^2}(\partial_\varrho r - 1) \\
& +\frac{r\left(-\varrho(2\partial_u r - 1) + 2\varrho^2\kappa\frac{\Omega^2}{4}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}\right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)\partial_u r}{r^2}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} \\
& +\frac{r^2\left(-\varrho f(\phi) + \varrho^2 f'(\phi)\left(\partial_u\phi + \frac{\phi}{\varrho}\right)\right) - 2\varrho^2 f(\phi)r\partial_u r}{r^4}(\Omega^2 - 1) \\
& -\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\left(\partial_u^2\phi + \frac{\partial_u r}{2r}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} + \frac{\partial_u r + \partial_u r}{2r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}\right) \\
& +\frac{r(2\varrho - r - \varrho\partial_\varrho r) - \varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} \\
& +\frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)}{r}\left(\partial_u^2\phi + \frac{\partial_u r}{2r}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} + \frac{\partial_u r + \partial_u r}{2r}\partial_u\phi + \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2r\varrho(2\partial_u r + 1) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r + 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\partial_u\phi \\
& +\frac{r\left(2\varrho(2\partial_u r - 1) - \varrho^2\kappa\frac{\Omega^2}{2}\frac{g(\phi)^2}{r}\right) - \varrho^2(2\partial_u r - 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2}\left(\partial_u\phi + \frac{\phi}{\varrho}\right) \\
& -\frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega(\partial_u\Omega)g(\phi)^2\phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2 g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi\partial_u\phi}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2\phi\partial_u r}{2r^3} + \frac{2(\varrho r + \partial_u r\varrho^2)\partial_u^2 r\phi}{r^2} \\
& -\frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2}\frac{\phi}{\varrho} + \frac{r^2(2\varrho - 2r\partial_\varrho r) - 2(\varrho^2 - r^2)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}\phi \\
& +\frac{r^2\left(2\varrho\phi^3\zeta(\phi) - \varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))\frac{\phi}{\varrho}\right) - 2\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4} \\
& +\frac{r^2\left(2\varrho(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^2\Omega\partial_u(\Omega)f(\phi) - \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)\frac{\phi}{\varrho}\right) - 2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)r\partial_\varrho r}{r^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also rewrite F . We have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \left(v - \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - v + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2} \phi + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \\ &= A_5v + A_6, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_5 = -\frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_6 &= \frac{\varrho(\varrho-r)}{r} \frac{\phi}{\varrho} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{\phi}{\varrho} \right) + \frac{\varrho^2-r^2}{r^2} \phi \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho^2\phi^3\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} + \varrho^2(\Omega^2-1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_{\varrho}F}{\varrho^2} - \frac{F}{\varrho^3} &= \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}(A_1v + A_2) + A_3v + A_4}{\varrho^2} - \frac{A_5v + A_6}{\varrho^3} \\ &= \partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{A_1v + A_2}{\varrho^2} \right) - \frac{A_1v + A_2}{\varrho^3} + \frac{A_3v + A_4}{\varrho^2} - \frac{A_5v + A_6}{\varrho^3} \\ &= \partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{B_1}{\varrho} v + \frac{B_2}{\varrho^2} \right) + \frac{B_3}{\varrho^2} v + \frac{B_4}{\varrho^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{A_1}{\varrho} \\ &= \frac{\varrho-r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1)}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= A_2 \\ &= \frac{2\varrho^2(\partial_{\varrho}r-1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\varrho-r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1) - 2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r}{r} \phi - \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2-1)f(\phi)}{r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &= -\frac{A_1}{\varrho} + A_3 - \frac{A_5}{\varrho} \\
&= \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{2r} + \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2}(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2f'(\phi)}{r^2}(\Omega^2 - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{2r^2}\partial_{\underline{u}}r - \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(1 - \partial_{\varrho}r)}{r} + \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{2r^2}\partial_{\underline{u}}r \\
&\quad - \frac{2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} + \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)}{r^2} \\
&= \frac{\varrho - r}{r} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\varrho}{2r}\partial_{\underline{u}}r + \frac{\varrho}{r} \right) + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} \left(-1 + \frac{\varrho}{r}\partial_{r\underline{u}} + \frac{\varrho}{r}\partial_{\varrho}r \right) + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r + 1)}{2r} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}r \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1)}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}r \right) + \frac{\varrho^2(3\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= -A_2 + \varrho A_4 - A_6 \\
&= \frac{\varrho - r}{r} \phi - \frac{2\varrho^2(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)}{r} \phi + \frac{\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^2 \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r}{r} \phi \\
&\quad + \left(-\frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1)}{2r} - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r^2} \right) \phi \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\varrho^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{r} + \frac{\varrho^2 \partial_{\underline{u}} r \phi}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^3(\partial_{\underline{u}} r + \partial_{\underline{u}} r)\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^3 \Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^3} + \frac{\varrho^3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r^2} \right) (\partial_\varrho r - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)}{r} \phi + \frac{\kappa \varrho^2 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} \phi - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r^2} \phi \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{-\varrho^2 f(\phi) + \varrho^2 f'(\phi)(\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \phi)}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3 f(\phi)\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{r^3} \right) (\Omega^2 - 1) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r} \left(\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r} \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r + \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r} \varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{\varrho \Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\varrho - r - \varrho(\partial_\varrho r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \right) \phi \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1)}{r} \left(\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r} \phi + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r + \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r} \varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{\varrho \Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1)}{r} - \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \right) \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\kappa \varrho^2 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)\partial_\varrho r}{r^2} \right) (\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \phi) \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega (\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) g(\phi)^2 \phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} r}{2r^3} + \frac{2\varrho(\varrho r + \partial_{\underline{u}} r \varrho^2) \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r \phi}{r^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} \phi + \frac{\varrho(2\varrho - 2r\partial_\varrho r)}{r^2} \phi - \frac{2\varrho(\varrho^2 - r^2)\partial_\varrho r}{r^3} \phi \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho^2(\phi^2 \zeta(\phi) + \phi^3 \zeta'(\phi)) \phi}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3 \phi^3 \zeta(\phi) \partial_\varrho r}{r^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi) + 2\varrho^3 \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega) f(\phi) - \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1)f'(\phi)\phi}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3(\Omega^2 - 1)f(\phi)\partial_\varrho r}{r^3} \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho - r}{r} \phi - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r + 1)}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1)}{r} (\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \phi) - \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} \phi \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho^2 \phi^3 \zeta(\phi)}{r^2} - \varrho^2(\Omega^2 - 1) \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite B_4 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 = & \left[\frac{\varrho - r}{r} + \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} - \frac{2\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r}{r} - \frac{\varrho - r + \varrho(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{2r} - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_{ur}}{r^2} \right. \\
& - \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} + \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{ur}}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho - r - \varrho(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1)}{r} \\
& - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2} + \frac{2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2} \\
& + \frac{\kappa\varrho^3\Omega^2g(\phi)^2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^3} + \frac{2\varrho(\varrho r + \partial_{ur}\varrho^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}^2r}{r^2} \\
& - \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho(2\varrho - 2r\partial_{\varrho}r)}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho(\varrho^2 - r^2)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^3} - \frac{\varrho - r}{r} - \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\varrho^2 - r^2}{r^2} \\
& + 2\frac{\varrho^2\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r^2}(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1) - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r}\frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r} - \frac{\varrho^2(\phi^2\zeta(\phi) + \phi^3\zeta'(\phi))}{r^2} \\
& \left. - \frac{2\varrho^3\phi^2\zeta(\phi)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^3} - \frac{\varrho^2\phi^2\zeta(\phi)}{r^2} \right] \phi \\
& + \left[-\frac{2\varrho^2(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1)}{r} + 2\left(\frac{\varrho^2}{r} + \frac{\varrho^3(\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r)}{2r^2} + \frac{\varrho^3\partial_{ur}}{r^2}\right)(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1) \right. \\
& - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\frac{\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\varrho + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r}\frac{\partial_{ur} + \partial_{\underline{u}}r}{2r}\varrho + \frac{2\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r} - \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{ur} + 1)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2} \\
& + \left(\frac{2\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r} - \frac{\kappa\varrho^2\Omega^2g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^2}\right)\varrho - \frac{\kappa\varrho^3\Omega^2g(\phi)g'(\phi)\phi}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r} \\
& \left. - \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}}r - 1)}{r}\varrho\right]\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \left[-\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\varrho + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r}\varrho \right]\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\phi \\
& + \left[\frac{\varrho^2f(\phi)}{r^2} + \frac{-\varrho^2f(\phi) + \varrho^2f'(\phi)(\varrho\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \phi)}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3f(\phi)\partial_{ur}}{r^3} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2\varrho^2f(\phi) - \varrho^2f'(\phi)\phi}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3f(\phi)\partial_{\varrho}r}{r^3} - \varrho^2\frac{f(\phi)}{r^2} \right](\Omega^2 - 1) \\
& + \left[2\frac{\varrho^3\Omega^2}{4r^3}(\partial_{\varrho}r - 1) - \frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r}\frac{\varrho\Omega^2}{4r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2(2\partial_{ur} + 1)}{r}\frac{\varrho\Omega^2}{4r^2} \right] f(\phi) + (2f(\phi) - \kappa g(\phi)^2\phi)\frac{\varrho^3\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega}{r^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 = & \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varrho}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}r} - \frac{2\varrho^2 + 3\varrho r}{r^2} \partial_{\varrho r} - \frac{\varrho}{2r} \partial_{\underline{u}r} \right) \frac{\varrho - r}{r} + \left(-\frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{r} + 1 \right) \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}r} - 1)}{r} \right. \\
& + \left(-3 + \frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{r} \right) \frac{\varrho(\partial_{\varrho r} - 1)}{r} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{2r} \right) \frac{\varrho(2\partial_{\underline{u}r} + 1)}{r} + \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2 \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{2r^3} \\
& \left. + \frac{2\varrho^3 \partial_{\underline{u}r} \partial_{\underline{u}r}^2}{r^2} - \frac{\varrho^2(2\phi^2 \zeta(\phi) + \phi^3 \zeta'(\phi))}{r^2} - \frac{2\varrho^3 \phi^2 \zeta(\phi) \partial_{\varrho r}}{r^3} \right] \phi \\
& + \left[\frac{\varrho(3\partial_{\underline{u}r} + \partial_{\underline{u}r})}{r} \frac{\varrho^2(\partial_{\varrho r} - 1)}{r} \right. \\
& + \left(-\frac{5\varrho^2(\varrho - r)}{2r} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{\underline{u}r} + 1)}{2r} - \frac{2\varrho^3(\partial_{\varrho r} - 1)}{r} \right) \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}r} + \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{r} \\
& \left. - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi) g'(\phi) \phi}{r^2} - \frac{\kappa \varrho^3 \Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{2r^2} \right] \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \left[-\frac{\varrho^2(\varrho - r)}{r} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{\underline{u}r} + 1)}{r} \right] \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi \\
& + \left[\left(-\frac{2\varrho \partial_{\underline{u}r}}{r} + 1 \right) \frac{\varrho^2 f(\phi)}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2 f'(\phi) \varrho \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi}{r^2} \right] (\Omega^2 - 1) \\
& + \left[-\frac{\varrho(\varrho - r)}{r} \frac{\varrho \Omega^2}{4r^2} + \frac{\varrho^3(2\partial_{\underline{u}r} - 1)\Omega^2}{4r^3} \right] f(\phi) + (2f(\phi) - \kappa g(\phi)^2 \phi) \frac{\varrho^3 \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Next, we derive upper bounds for B_1 , B_2 , B_3 and B_4 .

Lemma 8.7. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|B_1| \lesssim \varepsilon \text{ and } |B_1| \lesssim C_0 r, \quad |B_2| \lesssim C_0 r^{3\delta}, \quad |B_3| \lesssim C_0 r, \text{ and } |B_4| \lesssim C_0 r^{3\delta},$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Remark 8.8. B_1 and B_3 behave better¹⁷ than B_2 and B_4 . This is due to the fact that both B_1 and B_3 include neither $\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi$ nor $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$ in their definition, so that we can estimate them using Lemma 7.22 which has a $1/2 - \delta$ gain with respect to Corollary 7.21.

Proof. In view of the definition of B_1 and the Lemma 7.2, we have

$$|B_1| \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Also, in view of Lemma 7.22, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1| & \lesssim \frac{|\varrho - r|}{r} + |2\partial_{\underline{u}r} - 1| \\
& \lesssim C_0 r.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of B_2 , Corollary 7.21 and Lemma 8.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2| & \lesssim \varrho |\partial_{\varrho r} - 1| |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + \frac{|\varrho - r|}{r} |\phi| + |2\partial_{\underline{u}r} - 1| |\phi| + |\Omega^2 - 1| |f(\phi)| + \varrho |\partial_{\underline{u}r}^2| |\phi| \\
& \lesssim C_0 r^{3\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁷The estimates for B_1 and B_3 correspond to the case $\delta = 1/2$, while we have $\delta < 1/2$ for B_2 and B_4 .

In view of the definition of B_3 and Lemma 7.22, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_3| &\lesssim \frac{|\varrho - r|}{r} + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + |\phi|^2 \\ &\lesssim C_0 r. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the definition of B_4 , Corollary 7.21, Lemma 8.1, Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 8.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_4| &\lesssim \left(\frac{|\varrho - r|}{r} + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \varrho |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r| + |\phi|^2 + |\Omega^2 - 1| + \varrho |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| \right) \\ &\quad \times (|\phi| + \varrho |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| + \varrho^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \phi|) \\ &\lesssim C_0 r^{3\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

8.3. An upper bound for v .

Lemma 8.9. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} &v(\tau, \varrho) \\ &= v_0(\tau, \varrho) \\ &+ \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \left(\frac{B_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}}} v\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\underline{u}' \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} J(\mu) \left(B_1(-1, \lambda) v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\ &- \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &- \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu J'(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where v_0 denotes the solution to the homogeneous equation with the same initial conditions as v at $\tau = -1$, μ is given by

$$\mu = \frac{(\tau - \sigma)^2 - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda},$$

$R_{\tau, \varrho}$ is the space-time region given by

$$R_{\tau, \varrho} = \{(\sigma, \lambda) / -1 \leq \sigma \leq \tau, \max(0, \varrho - \tau + \sigma) \leq \lambda \leq \varrho + \tau - \sigma\},$$

and J is given by

$$J(\mu) = \int_{\max(-\mu, -1)}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{\mu+x}}.$$

Proof. We recall the representation formula derived in [10] for the solution v of

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho \right) v = h.$$

v is given by (see [10] p. 1060)

$$v(\tau, \varrho) = v_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) h(\sigma, \lambda) d\lambda d\sigma,$$

where

$$R_{\tau, \varrho} = \{(\sigma, \lambda) / -1 \leq \sigma \leq \tau, \max(0, \varrho - \tau + \sigma) \leq \lambda \leq \varrho + \tau - \sigma\},$$

v_0 denotes the solution to the homogeneous equation

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_\varrho\right) v_0 = 0$$

with the same initial conditions as v at $\tau = -1$, μ is given as before by

$$\mu = \frac{(\tau - \sigma)^2 - \varrho^2 - \lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda},$$

and J is given by

$$J(\mu) = \int_{\max(-\mu, -1)}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{\mu+x}}.$$

In our case, we have

$$h = \partial_u \left(\frac{B_1}{\varrho} v + \frac{B_2}{\varrho^2} \right) + \frac{B_3}{\varrho^2} v + \frac{B_4}{\varrho^3}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau, \varrho) &= v_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \partial_u \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &= v_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &\quad - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \partial_u \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \right) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &= v_0(\tau, \varrho) + \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &\quad + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu J'(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the boundary term. Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} f \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &= \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} f \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right) d\underline{u}' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\tau - \varrho} f(\sigma, 0) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} f(-1, \lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu = -1 \text{ on } u = \tau - \varrho.$$

Hence, we have¹⁸

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\ &= \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau - \varrho}^{\tau + \varrho} \left(\frac{B_1 \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}}} v \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 \left(\frac{\tau - \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right)}{\left(\frac{-\tau + \varrho + \underline{u}'}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\underline{u}' \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\partial_\lambda \mu = -\frac{\mu \varrho + \lambda}{\varrho \lambda}.$$

We decompose and perform a change of variable

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{\varrho \lambda}{\mu \varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\ & \quad + \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda \\ &= \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} J(\mu) \left(B_1(-1, \lambda) v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu \varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau + \varrho + 1} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

¹⁸Here, we have dropped the boundary term on $\lambda = 0$ as it vanishes. Indeed, we have

$$\left| \sqrt{\lambda} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \right| \leq C_{\tau, \varrho} \sqrt{\lambda} (1 + |v(\sigma, \lambda)|) |J(\mu)| \leq C_{\tau, \varrho} \sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

where the constant $C_{\tau, \varrho}$ may blow up as (τ, ϱ) tends to the origin but is finite away from it, and where we used in particular the fact that B_1/λ and B_2/λ^2 are bounded, the fact that $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ with $\sigma < \tau - \varrho$, and the fact that J is bounded for $\mu \geq 2$.

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) \mathbf{g}(\partial_u, \nu_R) \\
= & \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \left(\frac{B_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}}} v\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\underline{u}' \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} J(\mu) \left(B_1(-1, \lambda) v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\
& - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& v(\tau, \varrho) \\
= & v_0(\tau, \varrho) \\
+ & \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \left(\frac{B_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}}} v\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{-\tau+\varrho+\underline{u}'}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\underline{u}' \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} J(\mu) \left(B_1(-1, \lambda) v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\
& - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda \\
& + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
& - \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu J'(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
& + \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 8.10. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}
|v(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim & C_0 + C_0 r^{3\delta-1} + \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| \\
& + C_0 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} (J(\mu) + |\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)|) \left(\frac{|v(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
& v(\tau, \varrho) \\
&= v_0(\tau, \varrho) \\
&+ \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\tau-\varrho}^{\tau+\varrho} \left(\frac{B_1\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}}} v\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2\left(\frac{\tau-\varrho+u'}{2}, \frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{-\tau+\varrho+u'}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\underline{u}' \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varrho} \int_0^{+\infty} J(\mu) \left(B_1(-1, \lambda)v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} d\mu \\
&- \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}}^{\tau+\varrho+1} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(-1, \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} v(-1, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(-1, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
&- \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \partial_u \mu J'(\mu) \left(\frac{B_1(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_2(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{B_3(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^2} v(\sigma, \lambda) + \frac{B_4(\sigma, \lambda)}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $J(\mu) \geq 0$ for all $\mu \geq -1$ and that

$$\{\sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2} \leq \lambda \leq \tau + \varrho + 1\} \cap \{\sigma = -1\} = \{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0\} \cap \{\sigma = -1\},$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(\tau, \varrho)| \\
&\lesssim |v_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \frac{J(-1)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_0^{\varrho} \left(\frac{|B_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) d\lambda \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) \int_0^2 J(\mu) d\mu \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) \right) \int_2^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} J(\mu) d\mu \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} J(\mu) \right) \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) (\tau + \varrho + 1 - \sqrt{(\tau+1)^2 - \varrho^2}) \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{|B_1(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_u \mu| |J'(\mu)| \left(\frac{|B_1(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{|B_3(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_4(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following properties for J (see for example [10] p. 1061):

$$J(-1) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \sup_{-1 \leq \mu \leq 0} J(\mu) \lesssim 1, \quad J \in L^1(0, 2).$$

Also, we have

$$\sup_{2 \leq \mu < +\infty} \sqrt{\mu} J(\mu) \lesssim 1$$

and hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_2^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\mu\varrho + \lambda} J(\mu) d\mu &\lesssim \int_2^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\mu}(\mu\varrho + \lambda)} d\mu \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{s}(s + \lambda)} ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{s}} ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v(\tau, \varrho)| \\
&\lesssim |v_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} \left(|B_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)|}{\lambda} \right) \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) \\
&+ \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) \\
&+ \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) \frac{\tau + \varrho + 1}{\tau + \varrho + 1 + \sqrt{(\tau + 1)^2 - \varrho^2}} \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{|B_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |B_3(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\sigma, \lambda)| + |B_4(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_u \mu| |J'(\mu)| \left(\frac{|B_1(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming enough regularity on the initial data, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\varrho \geq 0} |v_0(\tau, \varrho)| + \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&+ \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{|B_1(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda} |v(-1, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(-1, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} \right) \\
&\leq C_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on initial data. Hence, together with Lemma 7.16, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v(\tau, \varrho)| \\
&\lesssim C_0 + \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} \left(|B_1(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)|}{\lambda} \right) \\
&+ \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} (J(\mu) + |\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)|) \left(\frac{|B_1(\sigma, \lambda)| + |B_3(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^2} |v(\sigma, \lambda)| + \frac{|B_2(\sigma, \lambda)| + |B_4(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 8.7, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |v(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim C_0 + C_0 r^{3\delta-1} + \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| \\ &\quad + C_0 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} (J(\mu) + |\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)|) \left(\frac{|v(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 . This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 8.11. *We have for all $\mu \geq -1$*

$$|\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)| \lesssim J(\mu).$$

Proof. For $-1 \leq \mu < 1$, we have (see for example [10] p. 1087)

$$J'(\mu) = \frac{1}{4(1+\mu)} \int_{-\mu}^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-x} dx}{(1+x)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\mu+x}}.$$

We see in particular that $J'(\mu) \geq 0$ for $-1 \leq \mu < 1$, and hence

$$J(\mu) \geq J(-1) \text{ on } -1 \leq \mu < 1.$$

Since we have

$$J(-1) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}},$$

we deduce

$$J(\mu) \gtrsim 1 \text{ on } -1 \leq \mu < 1.$$

Also, we have for all $\mu \geq -1$ (see for example [10] p. 1061)

$$|\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)| \lesssim 1.$$

We infer

$$|\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)| \lesssim J(\mu) \text{ on } -1 \leq \mu < 1.$$

Next, we consider the case $\mu \geq 1$. Then, we have

$$J'(\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} (\mu+x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu - 1| |J'(\mu)| &\lesssim \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|\mu - 1| dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} (\mu+x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{\mu+x}} \\ &\lesssim J(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 8.12. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|v(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim C_0 + C_0 r^{3\delta-1} + \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + C_0 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{|v(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

The following proposition is the core of this section.

Proposition 8.13. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|v| \leq C_0,$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. We choose δ such that

$$\frac{1}{3} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let

$$\varpi = \varrho^{3\delta-1}.$$

Then, ϖ satisfies

$$\left(-\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}\partial_\varrho\right)\varpi = (3\delta - 1)^2\varrho^{3\delta-3},$$

and hence

$$\varpi = \varpi_0(\tau, \varrho) + (3\delta - 1)^2 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} d\lambda d\sigma,$$

where ϖ_0 denotes the solution to the homogeneous wave equation with the same initial conditions as ϖ . This yields

$$\left| \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} d\lambda d\sigma \right| \lesssim \varrho^{3\delta-1} + |\varpi_0(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim 1,$$

where we used the fact that $\delta > 1/3$ and $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$.

Recall that

$$|v(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim C_0 + C_0 r^{3\delta-1} + \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + C_0 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \left(\frac{|v(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^{3\delta}}{\lambda^3} \right) d\lambda d\sigma.$$

We infer

$$|v(\tau, \varrho)| \lesssim C_0 + \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho} |v(\tau - \varrho + \lambda, \lambda)| + C_0 \int_{R_{\tau, \varrho}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \frac{|v(\sigma, \lambda)|}{\lambda} d\lambda d\sigma,$$

where we used again the fact that $\delta > 1/3$ and $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$.

We introduce the notation

$$\beta(\underline{u}) = \sup_{\underline{u} \leq \underline{u}' \leq 0} |v(\underline{u}, \underline{u}')|.$$

We obtain

$$|v(\underline{u}, \underline{u})| \lesssim C_0 + \varepsilon \beta(\underline{u}) + C_0 \int_{-2}^{\underline{u}} \Phi(\underline{u}, \underline{u}', \underline{u}') \beta(\underline{u}') d\underline{u}',$$

where Φ is given by

$$\Phi(\underline{u}, \underline{u}, \underline{u}') = \int_{\underline{u}'}^{\underline{u}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \frac{1}{\lambda} d\underline{u}'.$$

Next, we compute Φ . We have

$$\Phi(\underline{u}, \underline{u}, \underline{u}') = \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} J(\mu) \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\partial_{\underline{u}} \mu} d\mu$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\underline{u}}\mu &= \frac{\lambda(-(\tau-\sigma)-\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}((\tau-\sigma)^2-\varrho^2-\lambda^2)}{2\varrho\lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{\varrho^2-(\tau-\sigma+\lambda)^2}{4\varrho\lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{\varrho^2-(\tau-u')^2}{4\varrho\lambda^2},\end{aligned}$$

we infer

$$\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') = \frac{4\sqrt{\varrho}}{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} J(\mu)\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}d\mu.$$

Also, we have

$$\sigma = u' + \lambda$$

and hence

$$\mu = \frac{(\tau-u'-\lambda)^2-\varrho^2-\lambda^2}{2\varrho\lambda} = \frac{(\tau-u')^2-2\lambda(\tau-u')-\varrho^2}{2\varrho\lambda},$$

which yields

$$\lambda = \frac{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2}{2(\varrho\mu+\tau-u')}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\varrho}\sqrt{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2} \int_{-1}^{+\infty} \frac{J(\mu)}{(\varrho\mu+\tau-u')^{\frac{3}{2}}}d\mu.$$

Using the formula for $J(\mu)$ and Fubini, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') &= \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\varrho}\sqrt{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{-x}^{+\infty} \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{\mu+x}(\varrho\mu+\tau-u')^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\varrho}\sqrt{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d\mu'}{\sqrt{\mu'}(\varrho\mu'-\varrho x+\tau-u')^{\frac{3}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d\mu'}{\sqrt{\mu'}(\varrho\mu'-\varrho x+\tau-u')^{\frac{3}{2}}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}(-\varrho x+\tau-u')} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d\mu''}{\sqrt{\mu''}(\mu''+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}(-\varrho x+\tau-u')}.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') \lesssim \sqrt{(\tau-u')^2-\varrho^2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x+\tau-u')}.$$

We have for $x \geq 0$

$$-\varrho x + \tau - u' \leq \varrho x + \tau - u'$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')} &= \int_{-1}^0 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')} + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')} \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(\varrho x + \tau - u')} + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')} \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') &\lesssim \sqrt{(\tau - u')^2 - \varrho^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{(\tau - u')^2 - \varrho^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x}(-\varrho x + \tau - u')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u, \underline{u}, u') &\lesssim \sqrt{(\tau - u')^2 - \varrho^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}(\varrho y - \varrho + \tau - u')} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\sqrt{(\tau - u')^2 - \varrho^2}}{\sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{-\varrho + \tau - u'}} \int_0^{\frac{\varrho}{-\varrho + \tau - u'}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}(z+1)} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{-\varrho + \tau - u'}{\varrho}} \int_0^{\frac{\varrho}{-\varrho + \tau - u'}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}} \\
&\lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Coming back to v , we obtain

$$|v(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim C_0 + \varepsilon \beta(u) + C_0 \int_{-2}^u \beta(u') du'.$$

Taking the supremum in \underline{u} for $u \leq \underline{u} \leq 0$ yields

$$\beta(u) \lesssim C_0 + \varepsilon \beta(u) + C_0 \int_{-2}^u \beta(u') du'.$$

For ε small enough, this implies

$$\beta(u) \lesssim C_0 + C_0 \int_{-2}^u \beta(u') du'.$$

Using Gronwall's Lemma, we infer

$$\beta(u) \lesssim C_0,$$

and hence

$$|v| \lesssim C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

8.4. Consequences of Proposition 8.13.

Lemma 8.14. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|\phi| \leq rC_0 \text{ and } |\partial_u \phi| + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq C_0$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. We first derive a refined upper bound for ϕ . Recall that

$$v = \left(\partial_\varrho + \frac{1}{\varrho} \right) \phi.$$

This yields

$$\partial_\varrho(\varrho\phi) = \varrho\partial_\varrho\phi + \phi = \varrho v$$

and hence

$$\varrho\phi(\tau, \varrho) = \int_0^\varrho \lambda v(\tau, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho|\phi(\tau, \varrho)| &\lesssim \int_0^\varrho \lambda |v(\tau, \lambda)| d\lambda \\ &\lesssim C_0 \int_0^\varrho \lambda d\lambda \\ &\lesssim C_0 \varrho^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|\phi| \lesssim C_0 \varrho.$$

The above upper bound for ϕ together with the upper bound for v and the definition of v implies

$$|\partial_\varrho\phi| \leq |v| + \frac{1}{\varrho}|\phi| \lesssim C_0.$$

Next, we derive an upper bound for $\partial_u\phi$. Recall that $\Xi = r\partial_u\phi$ satisfies

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\Xi}{\sqrt{r}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_u r \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi - \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

We integrate between (u, \underline{u}) and (u, u) . We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Xi(u, \underline{u})}{\sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}} &= \frac{\Xi(u, u)}{\sqrt{r(u, u)}} - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_u r \partial_{\underline{u}}\phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\Xi(u, u)}{\sqrt{r(u, u)}} - \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_u r \phi(u, \sigma) \right]_u^{\underline{u}} + \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_u r \phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_u r \phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(u, \sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of Ξ and since (u, u) is on the axis of symmetry, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})} \partial_u \phi(u, \underline{u}) &= - \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \partial_u r \phi(u, \sigma) \right]_u^{\underline{u}} + \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \kappa \frac{f(\phi)^2}{r} \phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \partial_{\underline{u}} r \partial_u r \phi(u, \sigma) d\sigma - \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{\Omega^2 f(\phi)}{4r^{\frac{3}{2}}}(u, \sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the fact that (u, u) is on the axis of symmetry, we deduce

$$\sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})} |\partial_u \phi(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim \frac{|\phi(u, \underline{u})|}{\sqrt{r}} + \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{|\phi(u, \sigma)|}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\sigma.$$

Using the above upper bound for ϕ , we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})} |\partial_u \phi(u, \underline{u})| &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})} + C_0 \int_u^{\underline{u}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim C_0 \sqrt{r(u, \underline{u})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|\partial_u \phi(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim C_0.$$

Together with the upper bound for $\partial_\varrho \phi$, we also obtain

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi| \leq |\partial_\varrho \phi| + |\partial_u \phi| \lesssim C_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 8.15. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$\frac{|\varrho - r|}{\varrho} + \left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| + |\Omega - 1| \leq C_0 \varrho^2,$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. Integrating

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = r \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4 r^2}$$

from the axis along u or \underline{u} together with the upper bound on ϕ of Lemma 8.14 yields

$$\left| \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq C_0 \varrho^2.$$

Together with

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2$$

which we integrate from the axis along u yields in view of Lemma 8.14

$$\left| \Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq C_0 \varrho^2$$

and hence

$$|\Omega - 1| \leq C_0 \varrho^2.$$

Also, we have

$$\partial_u(r - \varrho) = \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2},$$

and integrating from the axis where $r = \varrho$, and using the estimate proved above for $\partial_u r$, we infer

$$|\varrho - r| \leq C_0 \varrho^3.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 8.16. *We have for all (τ, ϱ) with $\tau \geq -1$ and $\underline{u} \leq 0$*

$$|\partial_u^2 r| + |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r| + |\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r| + |\partial_u \Omega| + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| \leq C_0 \varrho,$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Proof. First, note that

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r = r \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4 r^2}$$

together with Lemma 8.14 immediately yields

$$|\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r| \leq C_0 \varrho.$$

Next, recall that Ω satisfies

$$\Omega^{-2}(\partial_u \Omega \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega - \Omega \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega) = \frac{1}{8} \Omega^2 \kappa \left(\frac{4}{\Omega^2} \partial_u \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2} \right)$$

and hence

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_u \phi \partial_{\underline{u}} \phi - \frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{8 r^2}.$$

Integrating from the axis along u or \underline{u} yields in view of Lemma 8.14

$$|\partial_u \Omega| + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega| \leq C_0 \varrho,$$

where we also used the fact that $\partial_u \Omega$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$ vanish on the axis as a consequence of Lemma 8.15.

Finally, in view of

$$\partial_{\underline{u}}(\Omega^{-2} \partial_{\underline{u}} r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa (\partial_{\underline{u}} \phi)^2$$

and

$$\partial_u(\Omega^{-2} \partial_u r) = -\Omega^{-2} r \kappa (\partial_u \phi)^2,$$

and using Lemma 8.14 and the above bounds for $\partial_u \Omega$ and $\partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega$, we infer

$$|\partial_u^2 r| + |\partial_{\underline{u}}^2 r| \leq C_0 \varrho.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

9. SMALL ENERGY IMPLIES GLOBAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we conclude the proof of Theorem 6.2.

9.1. A wave equation for ϕ/ϱ . We first derive a wave equation for ϕ/ϱ .

Lemma 9.1. *We introduce*

$$w = \frac{\phi}{\varrho}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) &= -\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) + \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u w \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho - r}{r^2 \varrho} + \frac{\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} \right) w \\ &\quad + \Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}} w &= \frac{\square_{\mathbf{g}} \phi}{\varrho} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}^\alpha \phi \mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho^2} + \phi \left(-\frac{\square_{\mathbf{g}} \varrho}{\varrho^2} + 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}^\alpha \varrho \mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{f(\phi)}{r^2 \varrho} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho} \left(\mathbf{D}^\alpha w + \frac{w}{\varrho} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \varrho \right) + w \left(-\frac{\square_{\mathbf{g}} \varrho}{\varrho} + 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}^\alpha \varrho \mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{w}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w) - 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho} \left(\mathbf{D}^\alpha w + \frac{w}{\varrho} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \varrho \right) + w \left(-\frac{\square_{\mathbf{g}} \varrho}{\varrho} + 2 \frac{\mathbf{D}^\alpha \varrho \mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho}{\varrho^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\varrho} \mathbf{D}_\alpha \varrho \mathbf{D}^\alpha w + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\square_{\mathbf{g}} \varrho}{\varrho} \right) w + \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w) \\ &= \frac{2}{\varrho \Omega^2} (-\partial_{\underline{u}} w + \partial_u w) + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\Omega^2 r \varrho} (\partial_u r - \partial_{\underline{u}} r) \right) w + \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 7.3, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} -4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) &= -\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) + \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u w \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho - r}{r^2 \varrho} + \frac{\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} \right) w \\ &\quad + \Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 9.2. *In this paper, we first obtain improved uniform bounds for ϕ and then use the wave equation for w to obtain regularity, following the approach of [32] and [10] for the 2+1 wave map problem. Note that we can not use a more direct approach based on Strichartz estimates for the wave equation for w as in [30] for the 2+1 wave map problem. Indeed, this approach does not allow to deal with the following terms in the right-hand side of the equation for w*

$$\frac{\varrho - r}{r\varrho} \partial_{\varrho} w, \frac{2\partial_u r + 1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} w, \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1}{r} \partial_u w, \frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} w, \frac{\varrho - r}{r^2 \varrho} w, \frac{\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} w, \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} w.$$

9.2. Embeddings for radial functions on \mathbb{R}^4 . Note that we have

$$-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{3}{\varrho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) = -\partial_{\tau}^2 w + \partial_{\varrho}^2 w + \frac{3}{\varrho} \partial_{\varrho} w.$$

Thus, the left-hand side of the wave equation for w in Lemma 9.1 is the 4-dimensional radial wave operator. Therefore, we introduce the following spaces on backward null cones centered in the axis Γ

$$L_{\underline{u}}^p := \left\{ \psi / \int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \psi(u)^p \varrho^3 du \right\}, \quad 1 \leq p < +\infty, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0,$$

and

$$H_{\underline{u}}^{\ell} := \left\{ \psi / \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \|\partial_u^{\alpha} \psi\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right\}, \quad \ell \geq 0, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0.$$

We have the following Hardy estimates

$$\int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\psi(u)}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 \varrho^3 du + \int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\psi(u)}{\varrho} \right)^2 \varrho^3 du \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}^2, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \quad (9.1)$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(\frac{\psi(u)}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2 \varrho^3 du \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^2}^2, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \quad (9.2)$$

as well as the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

$$\|\psi\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^p} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}^{\frac{4-p}{2}} \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}^{2-\frac{4}{p}}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq 4, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \quad (9.3)$$

and

$$\|\psi\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^p} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^2}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \quad 2 \leq p < +\infty, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0. \quad (9.4)$$

Finally, we will also use the following weighted estimates

$$\sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho |\psi| \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \quad (9.5)$$

$$\sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \sqrt{\varrho} |\psi| \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \quad (9.6)$$

as well as the following non sharp Sobolev embedding

$$\sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\psi| \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^3}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0 \quad (9.7)$$

and the following consequence of (9.1) (9.2) (9.3)

$$\left\| \frac{\psi}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^2}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0. \quad (9.8)$$

Remark 9.3. Note that the constants in the above estimates (9.1)-(9.8) are uniform in \underline{u} for $-1/2 \leq \underline{u} < 0$.

9.3. Proof of Theorem 6.2. We are now ready to prove Theorem 6.2. Recall that

$$w = \frac{\phi}{\varrho}$$

satisfies the following wave equation

$$-4\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\underline{u}}w + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}w - \partial_{\underline{u}}w) = h, \quad (9.9)$$

where h is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h = & -\frac{\varrho-r}{r\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}w - \partial_{\underline{u}}w) + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}}r+1}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}w + \frac{2\partial_{\underline{u}}r-1}{r}\partial_{\underline{u}}w \\ & + \left(\frac{\Omega^2-1}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho-r}{r^2\varrho} + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r+\frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} - \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}}r-\frac{1}{2}}{r\varrho} \right) w + \Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we rewrite h as

$$\begin{aligned} h = & \left(-\frac{\varrho-r}{r\varrho^2} - \frac{\partial_{\varrho}r-1}{r\varrho} \right) \varrho \partial_{\varrho}w + \frac{\partial_{\tau}r}{r} \partial_{\tau}w \\ & + \left(\frac{\Omega^2-1}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho-r}{r^2\varrho} - \frac{\partial_{\varrho}r-1}{r\varrho} \right) w + \Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

To define our energies, it will be convenient to differentiate with respect to the cartesian frame of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^4$ based on the coordinates (τ, ϱ) , i.e.

$$(\tau, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^4, \quad \varrho = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^4)^2},$$

as the partial derivatives with respect to such a frame commute with the wave operator $(1+4)\square$ of \mathbb{R}^{1+4} unlike ∂_{ϱ} . We also denote $\partial_{\tau,x}$ a generic partial derivative in this cartesian coordinates system.

For $\ell \geq 0$, we introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\ell}(\underline{u}) := & \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial_{\varrho}r-1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\Omega^2-1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right. \\ & + \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial_{\tau}r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}}r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha} (\Omega^2-1) \right| \right) (u, \underline{u}), \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) := \max_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} D_j(\underline{u}),$$

and for $\ell \geq 1$

$$E_{\ell}(\underline{u}) := \max_{|\alpha|=\ell-1} \|\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha}w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}, \quad E_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) := \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} E_j(\underline{u}).$$

Lemma 9.4. Let $-1/2 \leq \underline{u} < 0$. Then, we have the following estimates for h

$$\|h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \lesssim C_0 E_1(\underline{u}) + C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}}w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0$$

and if $\ell \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| = \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \\ & \lesssim C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \\ & \quad + \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

Lemma 9.5. *Let $-1/2 \leq \underline{u} < 0$. Then, we have the following estimates for w*

$$\|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \lesssim C_0 + C_0 E_1(\underline{u}),$$

and for $\ell \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| = \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} & \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \\ & \quad + \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

We postpone the proof of Lemma 9.4 to section 9.4 and the proof of Lemma 9.5 to section 9.5. Next, let

$$\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u}) := \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} D_\ell(\underline{u}'), \quad \tilde{E}_\ell(\underline{u}) := \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} E_\ell(\underline{u}'),$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) := \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} D_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}'), \quad \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) := \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} E_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}').$$

Lemma 9.4 and Lemma 9.5 immediately imply the following corollary.

Corollary 9.6. *Let $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0$. Then, we have the following estimates for h*

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \|h(\cdot, \underline{u}')\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}^2} \lesssim C_0 \tilde{E}_1(\underline{u}) + C_0,$$

and if $\ell \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| = \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u}')\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}^2} & \lesssim \left(C_0 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right) \\ & \quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 . Furthermore, we also have

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u}')\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}^2} \lesssim C_0 \tilde{E}_1(\underline{u}) + C_0$$

and if $\ell \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| = \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u}')\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}^2} \\ & \lesssim \left(C_0 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right) \left(\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we derive an estimate for \tilde{D}_ℓ .

Lemma 9.7. *Let $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0$ and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the following estimate*

$$\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u}) \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right),$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 .

We postpone the proof of Lemma 9.7 to section 9.6. In view of Lemma 8.15, we have

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0} D_0(\underline{u}) \leq C_0.$$

By iteration, we infer from Lemma 9.7 that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u}) \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right).$$

Together with Corollary 9.6, we obtain

$$\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u}')\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}} \lesssim \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^{(\ell+1)!} \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right).$$

Now, recall that we have

$$-4\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} w + \frac{3}{\rho} (\partial_{\underline{u}} w - \partial_u w) = h.$$

We rewrite this as

$${}^{(1+4)}\square w = h$$

where ${}^{(1+4)}\square$ is the D'Alembertian on the $1+4$ dimensional Minkowski spacetime. Commuting with $\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha$, we infer

$${}^{(1+4)}\square \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w = \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h.$$

The energy estimates for the wave equation (9.9) yields

$$E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \lesssim E_{\ell+1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\underline{u}', \cdot)\|_{L_{\underline{u}'}} d\underline{u}', \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0.$$

Together with the above estimate for $\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h$, we infer

$$E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \lesssim E_{\ell+1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}')\right)^{(\ell+1)!} \left(1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}')\right) d\underline{u}'.$$

Using Gronwall Lemma together with the fact that the solution is smooth on $\{\underline{u} = -\frac{1}{2}\}$ ¹⁹, and together with the above estimate for $\tilde{D}_\ell(\underline{u})$, we deduce by iteration for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0} (D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})) \leq C_\ell < +\infty.$$

We have thus obtained the regularity of (M, \mathbf{g}, ϕ) at the origin $(u, \underline{u}) = (0, 0)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.2.

¹⁹Note that the region $\{\underline{u} = -\frac{1}{2}\}$ is compact and does not contain the potential singularity $(0, 0)$.

9.4. **Proof of Lemma 9.4.** Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \left(-\frac{\varrho-r}{r\varrho^2} - \frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{r\varrho} \right) \varrho \partial_\varrho w + \frac{\partial_\tau r}{r} \partial_\tau w \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} + \frac{\varrho-r}{r^2\varrho} - \frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{r\varrho} \right) w + \Omega^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite it schematically as

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \left(\frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{\varrho^2}, \frac{\varrho}{r} \frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3}, \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3}, \frac{\varrho}{r} \frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) (\varrho \partial_\varrho w, w) + \frac{\varrho}{r} \frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \partial_\tau w \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \Omega^2 w^3 \zeta(\varrho w). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\frac{\varrho}{r} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho}} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right)^j, \quad \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho}\right)^2} = \sum_{j \geq 0} (j+1) \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right)^j \quad (9.11)$$

where the convergence follows from the estimate $|r - \varrho| \lesssim \varepsilon \varrho$ proved in Lemma 7.2. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta \left(\frac{\varrho}{r}, \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \right) \right| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (j + |\beta| + 1) \varepsilon^j \right) \prod_{\sum_j \beta_j = \beta} \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\beta_j} \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \prod_{\sum_j \beta_j = \beta} \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\beta_j} \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim D_{|\beta|}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq |\beta|-1}^{|\beta|}(\underline{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (9.12)$$

where we used the definition of $D_j(\underline{u})$ with $j = |\beta| - 1$ and $j = |\beta|$. Choosing $|\alpha| = \ell$ and together with the definition of $D_\ell(\underline{u})$, Leibniz formula and the commutator identity $[\partial_{x^i}, \varrho \partial_\varrho] = \partial_{x^i}$, we immediately deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h| &\lesssim \left(\frac{|\partial_\tau w|}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{|\varrho \partial_\varrho w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{|w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) D_\ell(\underline{u}) \\ &\quad + \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{|\varrho \partial_\varrho \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w| + \varrho |\partial_\tau \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w| + |\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ &\quad + C_0 |\varrho \partial_\varrho \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| + C_0 \varrho |\partial_\tau \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| \\ &\quad + \frac{C_0}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) + \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{|\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))|}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\quad + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))|, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the estimates of Lemma 8.15 as well as the fact that in view of Lemma 8.14, w satisfies the following a priori bound

$$|w| \leq C_0$$

where the constant C_0 only depends on the values of the solution in I_0 . We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} &\lesssim \left(C_0 + \left\| \frac{\partial_u w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right) D_\ell(\underline{u}) \\
&+ \sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \left(C_0 + \left\| \frac{\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right. \\
&+ \left. \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&+ C_0 \|\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \\
&+ C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}. \tag{9.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Note from its definition that ζ is an even function so that there exists a smooth function $\tilde{\zeta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\zeta(s) = \tilde{\zeta}(s^2).$$

Hence, we have

$$w^3 \zeta(\rho w) = w^3 \tilde{\zeta}(\rho^2 w^2)$$

where we may now exploit the fact that ϱ^2 - unlike ϱ - is smooth in the cartesian coordinates system (τ, x) . This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))| &\lesssim C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x} w| |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha-1} w| 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 2\}} + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w|^2 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 4\}} \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-3} w|^{|\alpha|-1} \right) |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w| 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 5\}}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $|w| \leq C_0$ and $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$. We infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} &\lesssim C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4} \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha-1} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4} 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 2\}} \\
&+ C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4}^2 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 4\}} \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-3} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^\infty}^{|\alpha|-1} \right) \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 5\}} \\
&\lesssim C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\alpha-1} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 2\}} \\
&+ C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}^2 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 4\}} \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-3} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^3}^{|\alpha|-1} \right) \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \alpha-2} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} 1_{\{|\alpha| \geq 5\}} \\
&\lesssim C_0 E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(E_{\leq \ell}^2(\underline{u}) + E_{\leq \ell}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used in particular the Sobolev embeddings (9.3) (9.7) and the fact that $|\alpha| = \ell$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))| &\lesssim C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w| + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x} w| |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\beta-1} w| 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 2\}} \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w|^{|\beta|-1} \right) |\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w| 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 4\}}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\rho w))}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} &\lesssim C_0 \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4} \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^{\beta-1} w}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^4} 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 2\}} \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^\infty}^{|\beta|-1} \right) \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 4\}} \\
&\lesssim C_0 \left\| \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w \right\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} \left\| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\beta-1} w \right\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^2} 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 2\}} \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \|\partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^3}^{|\beta|-1} \right) \left\| \partial_{\tau,x}^{\leq \beta-2} w \right\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} 1_{\{|\beta| \geq 4\}} \\
&\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used in particular the Sobolev embeddings (9.3)–(9.7), the Hardy estimates (9.1)–(9.8), and the fact that $|\beta| \leq \ell - 1$. Together with (9.13) and the Hardy estimates (9.1)–(9.2), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} &\lesssim C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left(C_0 + E_{\leq 2}(\underline{u}) + \|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} \right) D_\ell(\underline{u}) \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(E_{\leq \ell+1}(\underline{u}) + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + 1 \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&\quad + C_0 E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(E_{\leq \ell}^2(\underline{u}) + E_{\leq \ell}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \\
&\lesssim C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&\quad + \left(C_0 + E_{\leq 2}(\underline{u}) + \|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1} + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate for $\ell \geq 2$.

It remains to consider the cases $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$. We start with the case $\ell = 0$. We have in view of Lemma 8.15

$$\begin{aligned}
|h| &\lesssim C_0 |\partial_u w| + C_0 |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| + C_0 \left| \frac{w}{\rho} \right| + C_0 \\
&\lesssim C_0 |\partial_u w| + C_0 |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| + \frac{C_0}{\rho} + C_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the bound $|w| \leq C_0$. Hence, we infer

$$\|h(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \lesssim C_0 E_1(\underline{u}) + C_0 \|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0$$

which is the desired estimate for $\ell = 0$.

Finally, we consider the case $\ell = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{\tau,x} h| &\lesssim \left| -\frac{\rho-r}{r\rho^2} - \frac{\partial_\rho r - 1}{r\rho} \right| |\partial_{\tau,x}(\rho \partial_\rho w)| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x} \left(-\frac{\rho-r}{r\rho^2} - \frac{\partial_\rho r - 1}{r\rho} \right) \right| |\rho \partial_\rho w| \\
&\quad + \left| \frac{\partial_\tau r}{r} \right| |\partial_{\tau,x} \partial_\tau w| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x} \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{r} \right) \right| |\partial_\tau w| + \left| \frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} + \frac{\rho-r}{r^2 \rho} - \frac{\partial_\rho r - 1}{r\rho} \right| |\partial_{\tau,x} w| \\
&\quad + \left| \partial_{\tau,x} \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{r^2} + \frac{\rho-r}{r^2 \rho} - \frac{\partial_\rho r - 1}{r\rho} \right) \right| |w| \\
&\quad + \left| \Omega^2 \frac{\rho^2}{r^2} \right| |\partial_{\tau,x}(w^3 \zeta(\rho w))| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x} \left(\Omega^2 \frac{\rho^2}{r^2} \right) \right| |w^3 \zeta(\rho w)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 8.14, Lemma 8.15 and Lemma 8.16, we infer

$$|\partial_{\tau,x}h| \lesssim C_0 + \frac{C_0}{\varrho} + C_0|\partial_{\tau,x}w| + C_0|\partial_u\partial_{\tau,x}w| + C_0|\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}w|.$$

This yields

$$\|\partial_{\tau,x}h(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\tau,\tau}} \lesssim C_0E_2(\tau) + C_0\|\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L^2_{\underline{u}}} + C_0E_1(\tau) + C_0.$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 9.4.

9.5. Proof of Lemma 9.5. Recall that we have

$$-4\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}w + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}w - \partial_uw) = h.$$

We rewrite this as

$${}^{(1+4)}\square w = h$$

where ${}^{(1+4)}\square$ is the D'Alembertian on the 1 + 4 dimensional Minkowski spacetime which is given in the $(u, \underline{u}, \omega)$ coordinates system, with $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^3$, as

$${}^{(1+4)}\square = -4\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}} + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}} - \partial_u) + \frac{1}{\varrho^2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^3 . We differentiate and obtain

$${}^{(1+4)}\square(\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) = \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h.$$

Also, note that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \Omega_{i,j}^2$$

where $\Omega_{i,j} = x^i\partial_{x^j} - x^j\partial_{x^i}$ denote the angular momentum operators of \mathbb{R}^4 . Since w is radial, we have, we have $\Omega_{i,j}w = 0$ and hence

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}(\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} [\Omega_{i,j}, [\Omega_{i,j}, \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha]]w.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$[\Omega_{i,j}, \partial_\tau] = 0, \quad [\Omega_{i,j}, \partial_{x^l}] = -\delta_{i,l}\partial_{x^j} + \delta_{j,l}\partial_{x^i},$$

and hence

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^3}(\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} n_{\gamma,\alpha} \partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w$$

where $n_{\gamma,\alpha}$ are integers. This yields

$$-4\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}(\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) + \frac{3}{\varrho}(\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w - \partial_u\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) + \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} n_{\gamma,\alpha} \partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w = \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h.$$

We infer

$$\partial_u(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w) = -\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\varrho}\partial_u\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\varrho}} \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} n_{\gamma,\alpha} \partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w - \frac{1}{4}\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h.$$

Integrating from $u = -1$ where w is controlled by C_0 , we infer

$$\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}|\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| \lesssim C_0 + \int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho}|\partial_u\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w|du' + \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}|\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w|du' + \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h|du'. \quad (9.14)$$

Next, recall from the proof of Lemma 9.4 that

$$|h| \lesssim C_0 |\partial_u w| + C_0 |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| + \frac{C_0}{\varrho} + C_0,$$

$$|\partial_{\tau,x} h| \lesssim C_0 + \frac{C_0}{\varrho} + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x} w| + C_0 |\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x} w| + C_0 |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x} w|,$$

and for $|\alpha| = \ell \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h| &\lesssim \left(\frac{|\partial_\tau w|}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{|\varrho \partial_\varrho w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{|w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) D_\ell(\underline{u}) \\ &+ \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{|\varrho \partial_\varrho \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w| + \varrho |\partial_\tau \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w| + |\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w|}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ &+ C_0 |\varrho \partial_\varrho \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| + C_0 \varrho |\partial_\tau \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| \\ &+ \frac{C_0 D_\ell(\underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{|\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))|}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) + C_0 |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz, we deduce

$$\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |h| du' \lesssim C_0 \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| du' + C_0 \|\partial_u w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0,$$

$$\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\tau,x} h| du' \lesssim C_0 \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x} w| du' + C_0 \|\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0,$$

and for $|\alpha| = \ell \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha h| du' &\lesssim \left(C_0 + \left\| \frac{\partial_u w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right) D_\ell(\underline{u}) \\ &+ \sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta w(\cdot, \underline{u})}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\beta (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))}{\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \\ &+ C_0 \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' + C_0 \|\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} + C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \\ &+ C_0 \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (w^3 \zeta(\varrho w))(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Coming back to (9.14) and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 9.4, we infer

$$\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| \lesssim C_0 + C_0 \int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u w| du' + C_0 \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| du'$$

and for $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| &\lesssim C_0 + \int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' + \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w| du' + C_0 \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' \\ &+ C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \\ &+ \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Gronwall Lemma, we deduce

$$\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} w| \lesssim C_0 + C_0 \int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u w| du'$$

and for $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' + \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w| du' + C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \\ &+ \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u})\right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we square and integrate, and we use

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^u \left(\int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' \right)^2 du &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \left(\int_{-1}^u \frac{du'}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \left(\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{5}{2}} (\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w)^2 du' \right) du \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{5}{2}} (\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w)^2 du' \right) du \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{5}{2}} (\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w)^2 \left(\int_{u'}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} du \right) du' \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^u \left(\int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w| du' \right)^2 du &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \left(\int_{-1}^u \frac{du'}{\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \left(\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w)^2 du' \right) du \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w)^2 du' \right) du \\ &\lesssim \int_{-1}^u \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w)^2 \left(\int_{u'}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} du \right) du' \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w}{\varrho} \right\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w\|_{H_{\underline{u}}^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\|\partial_{\underline{u}} w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L_{\underline{u}}^2} \lesssim C_0 + C_0 E_1(\underline{u})$$

and for $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w(\cdot, \underline{u})\|_{L^2_{\underline{u}}} &\lesssim \left(\int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{-1}^u \sqrt{\varrho} |\partial_u \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha w| du' \right)^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \left(\int_{-1}^{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{-1}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} |\partial_{\tau,x}^\gamma w| du' \right)^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&+ \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(1 + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&+ \left(C_0 + E_{\leq \ell}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^{\ell-1}(\underline{u}) \right) \left(D_\ell(\underline{u}) + E_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 9.5.

9.6. Proof of Lemma 9.7. First, note that Lemma 8.15 and Lemma 8.16 immediately imply

$$\tilde{D}_{\leq 1}(\underline{u}) \lesssim C_0$$

which implies the desired estimate for $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$. Thus, from now on, we focus on the case $\ell \geq 2$.

Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\ell(\underline{u}) &= \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right. \\
&+ \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \right) + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \\
&\left. + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha \left(\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tau,x}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1) \right| \right) (u, \underline{u}), \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \underline{u} < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

Here, it will be more convenient to use the (u, \underline{u}) coordinates system, and hence, we will use the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\ell(\underline{u}) &\lesssim \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\Omega^2 - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right. \\
&+ \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \right) + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \\
&\left. + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1) \right| \right) (u, \underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, it will be convenient to estimate $\log(\Omega)$ instead of $\Omega^2 - 1$. Using

$$\Omega^2 - 1 = e^{2 \log(\Omega)} - 1 = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^j \log(\Omega)^j}{j!}$$

where the convergence follows from the bound $|\log(\Omega)| \lesssim \varepsilon$ which is a consequence of Lemma 7.2, we deduce

$$D_\ell(\underline{u}) \lesssim \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right. \\ \left. + \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1) \right| \right) (u, \underline{u}) + D_{\leq \ell-1}^\ell(\underline{u}) \quad (9.15)$$

From now on, we focus on estimating the right-hand side of (9.15) for $\ell \geq 2$.

We start by estimating the following quantities which we will often encounter in the sequel. We have

$$\max_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \sqrt{\varrho} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{\varrho}{r} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \right) \\ \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell \quad (9.16)$$

where we used the definition of $\tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u})$, $\tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})$ and $\tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})$, the estimate (9.12) for ϱ/r and ϱ^2/r^2 , and the estimates (9.6) (9.7). Also, we have

$$\max_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \sqrt{\varrho} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta (\partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w)) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}^2(\underline{u}) \quad (9.17)$$

where we used the definition of $\tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})$ and $\tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})$, and the estimates (9.5) (9.6) (9.7).

Next, we estimate $\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \log(\Omega)$ in sup norm for $\ell \geq 2$. To this end, recall that we have

$$\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}.$$

First notice that we have in view of (9.16) and (9.17) the following estimate

$$\max_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \sqrt{\varrho} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \\ \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.$$

Commuting the equation for $\log(\Omega)$ with $\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta$, integrating in u from $u = -1$ where $\partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \log(\Omega)$ is controlled by C_0 , and using the fact that $\sqrt{\varrho}^{-1}$ is integrable in u , we infer

$$\max_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \log(\Omega) \right| \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\ + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.$$

Hence, the only missing derivative is $\partial_u^\ell \log(\Omega)$ which is contained for exemple in $\partial_u \partial_\tau^{\ell-1} \log(\Omega)$. This yields

$$\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \log(\Omega) \right| \lesssim \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_u \partial_\tau^{\ell-1} \log(\Omega) \right| + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell \\ + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}).$$

Next, commuting the equation for $\log(\Omega)$ with $\partial_\tau^{\ell-1}$, integrating in \underline{u} from (u, u) which is on the axis so that $\partial_u \partial_\tau^{\ell-1} \log(\Omega)$ vanishes, and using the fact that $\sqrt{\varrho}^{-1}$ is integrable in \underline{u} , we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\partial_u \partial_\tau^{\ell-1} \log(\Omega)| &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\ &\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have finally obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \log(\Omega)| &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\ &\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Next, relying on

$$\Omega^2 - 1 = e^{2 \log(\Omega)} - 1 = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^j \log(\Omega)^j}{j!}$$

where the convergence follows from the bound $|\log(\Omega)| \lesssim \varepsilon$ which is a consequence of Lemma 7.2, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1)| &\lesssim \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \log(\Omega)| + \left(\max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} |\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1)| \right)^\ell \\ &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\ &\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell. \end{aligned} \tag{9.18}$$

Next, we estimate derivatives of r in sup norm. First we notice that we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) + \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho^2}{r} \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \right) \\ &\lesssim C_0 \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \varrho \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho - r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\ &\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})\right)^\ell \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of $\tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u})$, $\tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u})$ and $\tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})$, the expansion (9.11) for ϱ/r , the estimates (9.5) (9.7), and the estimate (9.18). Since we have on the

other hand²⁰

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \left| \varrho \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} |\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha (\varrho-r)| + \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \left| [\varrho, \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha] \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=\ell-1} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(\partial_{u,r} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \sum_{|\beta|=\ell-1} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\beta|=\ell-1} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \tilde{D}_{\ell-1}(\underline{u}),
\end{aligned}$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho^2 \Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{r \cdot 4 \varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) + \varrho \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho \Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{r \cdot 4 \varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \right) \\
&\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (9.16), this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho \Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{r \cdot 4 \varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho^2 \Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{r \cdot 4 \varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \right) \\
&\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell. \quad (9.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Commuting the equation for r with $\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha$, and integrating in u from $u = -1$ where $\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha (\partial_{\underline{u}} r - 1/2)$ is controlled by C_0 , we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the only missing derivative of order $\ell + 1$ for r is $\partial_{\underline{u}}^{\ell+1} r$ which is contained for example in $\partial_u \partial_\tau^\ell r$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{u,r} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_u \partial_\tau^\ell r \right| + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, commuting the equation for r with ∂_τ^ℓ , and integrating in \underline{u} from (u, u) which is on the axis so that $\partial_u \partial_\tau^\ell r$ vanishes, we infer

$$\sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_u \partial_\tau^\ell r \right| \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell.$$

²⁰Here, we use in particular

$$\partial_u (r - \varrho) = \partial_{u,r} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}} (r - \varrho) = \partial_{\underline{u},r} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus we have finally obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{\underline{u}, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{\underline{u}, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \quad (9.20) \\ & \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the other terms in the RHS of (9.15). For a regular scalar function f , we have the following Taylor expansions

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, \underline{u}) &= f(u, u) + 2\rho \int_0^1 \partial_{\underline{u}} f(u, u + 2\sigma\rho) d\sigma, \\ f(u, \underline{u}) &= f(\underline{u}, \underline{u}) - 2\rho \int_0^1 \partial_u f(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\rho, \underline{u}) d\sigma, \\ f(u, \underline{u}) &= f(u, u) + 2\rho \int_0^1 \partial_{\underline{u}} f(u + 2\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) d\sigma \\ &\quad - 4\rho^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} f(u + 2\sigma\rho - 2s\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) ds d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\underline{u} - u = 2\rho$. In particular, since (u, u) , $(\underline{u}, \underline{u})$ and $(u + 2\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho)$ are on the axis, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(u, \underline{u})}{\rho} &= 2 \int_0^1 \partial_{\underline{u}} f(u, u + 2\sigma\rho) d\sigma \text{ if } f = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ \frac{f(u, \underline{u})}{\rho} &= -2 \int_0^1 \partial_u f(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\rho, \underline{u}) d\sigma \text{ if } f = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ \frac{f(u, \underline{u})}{\rho^2} &= -4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} f(u + 2\sigma\rho - 2s\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) ds d\sigma \text{ if } f = \partial_{\underline{u}} f = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In view of²¹

$$\rho = r = 0, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}} r = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_u r = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \Omega = 1, \quad r - \rho = \partial_u(r - \rho) = \partial_{\underline{u}}(r - \rho) = \partial_u \Omega = \partial_{\underline{u}} \Omega = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r - \rho}{\rho} &= 2 \int_0^1 \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) (u, u + 2\sigma\rho) d\sigma, \\ \frac{\rho - r}{\rho^3} &= \frac{4}{\rho} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r(u + 2\sigma\rho - 2s\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) ds d\sigma, \\ \frac{\partial_\rho r - 1}{\rho^2} &= -\frac{\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2}}{\rho^2} + \frac{\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2}}{\rho^2} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \partial_{\underline{u}} \partial_u r(u, u + 2\sigma\rho) d\sigma - \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^1 \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\rho, \underline{u}) d\sigma, \\ \frac{\partial_\tau r}{\rho} &= -4\rho \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \partial_\tau \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} r(u + 2\sigma\rho - 2s\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) ds d\sigma, \\ \frac{\log(\Omega)}{\rho^2} &= -4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}} \log(\Omega)(u + 2\sigma\rho - 2s\sigma\rho, u + 2\sigma\rho) ds d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

²¹Recall that we have

$$\partial_u(r - \rho) = \partial_u r + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}}(r - \rho) = \partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next, recall that r satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\underline{u}}\partial_u r &= r\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\phi)^2}{4r^2} \\ &= \frac{\varrho}{r}\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Together with the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho(u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) &= s\sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}), \\ \varrho(u, u + 2\sigma\varrho) &= \sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}), \\ \varrho(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u}) &= \sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}),\end{aligned}$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\varrho - r}{\varrho^3} &= 4\int_0^1\int_0^1 s\sigma^2\left[\frac{\varrho}{r}\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}\right](u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho)dsd\sigma, \\ \frac{\partial_{\varrho}r - 1}{\varrho^2} &= -2\int_0^1\sigma\left[\frac{\varrho}{r}\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}\right](u, u + 2\sigma\varrho)d\sigma - 2\int_0^1\sigma\left[\frac{\varrho}{r}\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}\right](\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u})d\sigma, \\ \frac{\partial_{\tau}r}{\varrho} &= -4\varrho^2\int_0^1\int_0^1 s\sigma^2\left[\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\varrho}{r}\kappa\frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}\right)\right](u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho)dsd\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Also, recall that Ω satisfies

$$\Omega^{-2}(\partial_u\Omega\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega - \Omega\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega) = \frac{1}{8}\Omega^2\kappa\left(\frac{4}{\Omega^2}\partial_u\phi\partial_{\underline{u}}\phi + \frac{g(\phi)^2}{r^2}\right)$$

and hence

$$\partial_u\partial_{\underline{u}}\log(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_u(\varrho w)\partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8}\frac{\varrho^2}{r^2}\frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}.$$

We infer

$$\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} = -4\int_0^1\int_0^1\sigma\left[-\frac{1}{2}\partial_u(\varrho w)\partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8}\frac{\varrho^2}{r^2}\frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2}\right](u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho)dsd\sigma.$$

Next, we commute with $\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^{\alpha}$. Note that we have for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^{\alpha}\left(f(u, u + 2\sigma\varrho)\right) &= \left[\sigma^{\alpha_2}(\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1}\partial_{\underline{u}}^{\alpha_2}f\right](u, u + 2\sigma\varrho), \\ \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^{\alpha}\left(f(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u})\right) &= \left[\sigma^{\alpha_1}\partial_u^{\alpha_1}(\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1 - \sigma)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2}f\right](\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{u, \underline{u}}^{\alpha}\left(f(u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho)\right) \\ &= \left[\left((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}}\right)^{\alpha_1}(\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2}f\right](u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{r-\varrho}{\varrho} \right) &= 2 \int_0^1 \left[\sigma^{\alpha_2} (\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\underline{u}}^{\alpha_2} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] (u, u+2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma, \\
\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) &= 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s\sigma^2 \left[((1-\sigma(1-s))\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{4\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u+2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u+2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma, \\
\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -2 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\sigma^{\alpha_2} (\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\underline{u}}^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{4\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u, u+2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\sigma^{\alpha_1} \partial_u^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1-\sigma)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{4\varrho^2} \right) \right] (\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u}) d\sigma, \\
\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) &= -4 \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\beta(\varrho^2) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s\sigma^2 \left[((1-\sigma(1-s))\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{4\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u+2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u+2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma, \\
\partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[((1-\sigma(1-s))\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2 \varrho^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{8 r^2 \varrho^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad (u+2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u+2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the first identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{r-\varrho}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \int_0^1 \left| \left[\sigma^{\alpha_2} (\partial_u + (1-\sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\underline{u}}^{\alpha_2} \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \right| (u, u+2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, in view of (9.20), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{r-\varrho}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^{\ell-1} \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}) \\
&\quad + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell. \quad (9.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho(u+2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u+2\sigma\varrho) &= s\sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}), \\
\varrho(u, u+2\sigma\varrho) &= \sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}), \\
\varrho(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u}) &= \sigma\varrho(u, \underline{u}),
\end{aligned}$$

which allows us to rewrite the second and the third identities above as

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho - r}{\varrho^3} \right) &= 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma, \\ \varrho \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_{\varrho} r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -2 \int_0^1 \left[\varrho \sigma^{\alpha_2} (\partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\underline{u}}^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u, u + 2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^1 \left[\varrho \sigma^{\alpha_1} \partial_u^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1 - \sigma) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u}) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho \left(\left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho - r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_{\varrho} r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right) (u, \underline{u}) \\ &\lesssim \max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u} - 1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \Omega^2 \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

which together with (9.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \left(\varrho \left(\left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho - r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_{\varrho} r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right) (u, \underline{u}) \\ &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell - 1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell + 1}(\underline{u})). \end{aligned} \quad (9.22)$$

Next, recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) &= -4 \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\beta (\varrho^2) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \sigma^2 \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \varrho^{\frac{5}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \sigma^2 \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\beta|=1} \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \sigma^2 \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \sigma^2 \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
&+ \sum_{|\beta|=1} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
&+ \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right|
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
&+ \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \Omega^2 \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \\
&+ \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell - 1} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \Omega^2 \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, we infer in view of the estimates (9.16)–(9.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| &\lesssim \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
&+ C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell - 1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell + 1}(\underline{u})).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, relying on the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} f \right] (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} (-s^2 \partial_s + s\sigma \partial_\sigma) \left[\left\{ ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} f \right\} (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[(-s^2 \partial_s + s\sigma \partial_\sigma) ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} f \right] (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} (-s^2 \partial_s + s\sigma \partial_\sigma) \left[\left\{ ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} f \right\} (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) \right] \\
& - \frac{s}{2} \left\{ \left[-s \partial_s + \sigma \partial_\sigma, ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \right] f \right\} (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) ds d\sigma \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma (-s^2 \partial_s + s\sigma \partial_\sigma) \left\{ \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) \right\} ds d\sigma \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma s \left\{ \left[-s \partial_s + \sigma \partial_\sigma, ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \right] \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} (u + 2\sigma \varrho - 2s\sigma \varrho, u + 2\sigma \varrho) ds d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, we integrate by parts the first term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[(\partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u, u + 2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma \\
& + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s\sigma \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \left[(s \partial_u)^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (\underline{u} - 2s\varrho, \underline{u}) ds \\
& - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s\sigma \left[((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma s \left\{ \left[-s \partial_s + \sigma \partial_\sigma, ((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \right] \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\varrho (\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u, u + 2\sigma\varrho) d\sigma \\
& + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[\varrho ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\varrho (s\partial_u)^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (\underline{u} - 2s\varrho, \underline{u}) ds \\
& - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[\varrho ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\{ \varrho \left[-s\partial_s + \sigma\partial_\sigma, ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \right] \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \varrho \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
& \lesssim \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \Omega^2 \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \\
& \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}))
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (9.19) in the last inequality. Since on the other hand we have obtained previously

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| & \lesssim \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\varrho \partial_\tau ((1 - \sigma(1 - s))\partial_u + (1 - \sigma)\partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. (\sigma\partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s)\partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\varrho}{r} \kappa \frac{\Omega^2 g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \right| \\
& + C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})),
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$\max_{|\alpha|=\ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_{\tau r}}{\varrho} \right) \right| \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})). \quad (9.23)$$

Next, recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[\left((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}} \right)^{\alpha_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that $\alpha_2 \geq 1$. Then, relying on the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho \left[(\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u) f \right] (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{2} \partial_\sigma \left[f(u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) \right] \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma^2 \partial_\sigma \left\{ \left[\left((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}} \right)^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) \right\} ds d\sigma \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma^2 \left\{ \left[\partial_\sigma, \left((1 - \sigma(1 - s)) \partial_u + (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}} \right)^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1 - s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \right] \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and hence we integrate by parts the first term

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -2 \int_0^1 \left[(s \partial_u)^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad (\underline{u} - 2s\varrho, \underline{u}) ds + 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[((1-\sigma(1-s)) \partial_u + (1-\sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma^2 \left\{ \left[\partial_\sigma, ((1-\sigma(1-s)) \partial_u + (1-\sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \right] \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} (s \partial_u)^{\alpha_1} (\partial_{\underline{u}} + (1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] (\underline{u} - 2s\varrho, \underline{u}) ds \\
&\quad + 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} ((1-\sigma(1-s)) \partial_u + (1-\sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\partial_\sigma, ((1-\sigma(1-s)) \partial_u + (1-\sigma) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (\sigma \partial_{\underline{u}} + \sigma(1-s) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2-1} \right] \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad (u + 2\sigma\varrho - 2s\sigma\varrho, u + 2\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \\
&\lesssim \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha (\partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w)) \right| \\
&\quad + \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell-1} \sup_{-2 \leq \underline{u}' \leq \underline{u}} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \Omega^2 \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

which in view of (9.16) and (9.17) implies

$$\max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} \varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left| \partial_{u, \underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| (u, \underline{u}) \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})).$$

The case where $\alpha_2 = 0$ and hence $\alpha_1 \geq 1$ is treated similarly by using the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) &= -4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \left[(\sigma \partial_u + \sigma(1-s) \partial_{\underline{u}})^{\alpha_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. ((1-\sigma(1-s)) \partial_{\underline{u}} + (1-\sigma) \partial_u)^{\alpha_2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_u(\varrho w) \partial_{\underline{u}}(\varrho w) - \frac{\Omega^2}{8} \frac{\varrho^2}{r^2} \frac{g(\varrho w)^2}{\varrho^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad (\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho + 2s\sigma\varrho) ds d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by reversing the role of u and \underline{u} , and by relying on the following identity to integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho \left[(\sigma \partial_u + \sigma(1-s) \partial_{\underline{u}}) f \right] (\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho + 2s\sigma\varrho) \\ &= -\frac{\sigma}{2} \partial_\sigma \left[f(\underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho, \underline{u} - 2\sigma\varrho + 2s\sigma\varrho) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (9.18) (9.20) (9.21) (9.22) (9.23), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \sup_{-1 \leq u \leq \underline{u}} &\left(\varrho^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho^3} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\varrho r - 1}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\log(\Omega)}{\varrho^2} \right) \right| \right) \right. \\ &+ \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_\tau r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\varrho-r}{\varrho} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_{\underline{u}} r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha \left(\partial_u r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \partial_{u,\underline{u}}^\alpha (\Omega^2 - 1) \right| \right) (u, \underline{u}) \\ &\lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u})). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (9.15), this yields

$$D_\ell(\underline{u}) \lesssim C_0 \left(1 + \tilde{D}_{\leq \ell-1}(\underline{u}) + \tilde{E}_{\leq \ell}(\underline{u}) \right)^\ell (1 + \tilde{E}_{\ell+1}(\underline{u}))$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 9.7.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to an anonymous referee, who has done an outstanding and constructive refereeing job, for pointing out an error in an earlier version and for remarks which helped us substantially improve the exposition. L.A. and N.G. thank the Erwin Schödinger Institute, Vienna, for hospitality and support during part of this work. The majority of the work of N.G was supported by the International Max Planck Research School graduate scholarship of Max Planck Society at Albert Einstein Institute, Golm and the DFG Postdoctoral Fellowship GU1513/1-1 at Yale University. N.G is also grateful to Vincent Moncrief for numerous insightful discussions, in particular the experiences he shared from his earlier work on Gowdy spacetimes were influential in some of the approaches adopted for this problem. Further, the authors thank the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, where part of this work was carried out, for hospitality and support. The work carried out during the semester programme on Mathematical General Relativity at MSRI during the fall of 2013 was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0932078000. The third author is supported by the project ERC 291214 BLOWDISOL.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Andersson. The global existence problem in general relativity. *ArXiv General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology e-prints*, Nov. 1999.
- [2] L. Andersson. The global existence problem in general relativity. In *The Einstein equations and the large scale behavior of gravitational fields*, pages 71–120. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [3] A. Ashtekar and M. Varadarajan. Striking property of the gravitational Hamiltonian. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 50(8):4944–4956, 1994.
- [4] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, and K. L. Easley. *Global Lorentzian geometry*, volume 202 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, second edition, 1996.
- [5] B. K. Berger, P. Chruściel, and V. Moncrief. On “asymptotically flat” space-times with G-2 invariant Cauchy surfaces. *Ann. Phys.*, 237:322–354, 1995.
- [6] A. N. Bernal and M. Sánchez. Further Results on the Smoothability of Cauchy Hypersurfaces and Cauchy Time Functions. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 77:183–197, Aug. 2006.
- [7] J. Carot. Some developments on axial symmetry. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 17:2675–2690, July 2000.
- [8] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and R. Geroch. Global aspects of the Cauchy problem in general relativity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 14:329–335, 1969.
- [9] D. Christodoulou. The instability of naked singularities in the gravitational collapse of a scalar field. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(1):183–217, 1999.
- [10] D. Christodoulou and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh. On the regularity of spherically symmetric wave maps. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 46(7):1041–1091, 1993.
- [11] M. Dafermos. On naked singularities and the collapse of self-gravitating Higgs fields. *ArXiv General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology e-prints*, Mar. 2004.
- [12] M. Dafermos. Spherically symmetric spacetimes with a trapped surface. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 22:2221–2232, June 2005.
- [13] G. J. Galloway, K. Schleich, and D. M. Witt. Nonexistence of Marginally Trapped Surfaces and Geons in $2 + 1$ Gravity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 310:285–298, Mar. 2012.
- [14] M. Grillakis. Classical solutions for the equivariant wave maps in $1+2$ dimensions. *Preprint*, 1991.
- [15] N. Gudapati. On the Cauchy Problem for Energy Critical Self-Gravitating Wave Maps. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2013.
- [16] C. Huneau. Constraint equations for $3 + 1$ vacuum Einstein equations with a translational space-like Killing field in the asymptotically flat case. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2013.
- [17] C. Huneau. Constraint equations for $3 + 1$ vacuum Einstein equations with a translational space-like Killing field in the asymptotically flat case II. *ArXiv e-prints*, Oct. 2014.
- [18] C. Huneau. Stability in exponential time of Minkowski Space-time with a translation space-like Killing field. *ArXiv e-prints*, Oct. 2014.
- [19] D. Ida. No Black-Hole Theorem in Three-Dimensional Gravity. *Physical Review Letters*, 85:3758, Oct. 2000.
- [20] S. Klainerman, I. Rodnianski, and J. Szeftel. The Bounded L^2 Curvature Conjecture. *ArXiv e-prints*, Apr. 2012.
- [21] J. Krieger and W. Schlag. *Concentration compactness for critical wave maps*. EMS Monographs in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012.
- [22] A. Królak. Editor’s note. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 34(7):1135–1139, 2002.
- [23] V. Moncrief. Reduction of Einstein’s equations for cosmological spacetimes with spacelike $U(1)$ -isometry groups. In *Physique quantique et géométrie (Paris, 1986)*, volume 32 of *Travaux en Cours*, pages 105–117. Hermann, Paris, 1988.
- [24] V. Moncrief. Reflections on the $U(1)$ problem in general relativity. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 14(2):397–418, 2013.
- [25] R. Penrose. Gravitational Collapse: the Role of General Relativity. *Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie*, 1:252, 1969.
- [26] R. Penrose. golden oldie: Gravitational collapse: The role of general relativity. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 34(7):1141–1165, 2002.
- [27] P. Raphaël and I. Rodnianski. Stable blow up dynamics for the critical co-rotational wave maps and equivariant Yang-Mills problems. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, pages 1–122, 2012.

- [28] I. Rodnianski and J. Sterbenz. On the formation of singularities in the critical $O(3)$ σ -model. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):187–242, 2010.
- [29] M. Ruiz, M. Alcubierre, and D. Núñez. Regularization of spherical and axisymmetric evolution codes in numerical relativity. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 40:159–182, Jan. 2008.
- [30] J. Shatah and M. Struwe. Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 138(3):503–518, 1993.
- [31] J. Shatah and M. Struwe. *Geometric wave equations*, volume 2 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [32] J. Shatah and A. Tahvildar-Zadeh. Regularity of harmonic maps from the Minkowski space into rotationally symmetric manifolds. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(8):947–971, 1992.
- [33] J. Shatah and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh. On the Cauchy problem for equivariant wave maps. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 47(5):719–754, 1994.
- [34] J. Sterbenz and D. Tataru. Regularity of wave-maps in dimension $2+1$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 298(1):231–264, 2010.
- [35] M. Struwe. Equivariant wave maps in two space dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(7):815–823, 2003. Dedicated to the memory of Jürgen K. Moser.
- [36] T. Tao. Global regularity of wave maps i–vii. *ArXiv e-prints*.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR GRAVITATIONAL PHYSICS (ALBERT EINSTEIN INSTITUTE), GERMANY

E-mail address: `lars.andersson@aei.mpg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, USA

E-mail address: `nishanth.gudapati@yale.edu`

LABORATOIRE JACQUES-LOUIS LIONS, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, FRANCE

E-mail address: `jeremie.szeftel@upmc.fr`