HIDDEN SYMMETRIES VIA HIDDEN EXTENSIONS

ERIC CHESEBRO AND JASON DEBLOIS

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces a new approach to finding knots and links with hidden symmetries using "hidden extensions", a class of hidden symmetries defined here. We exhibit a family of tangle complements in the ball whose boundaries have symmetries with hidden extensions, then we further extend these to hidden symmetries of some hyperbolic link complements.

A hidden symmetry of a manifold M is a homeomorphism of finite-degree covers of M that does not descend to an automorphism of M. By deep work of Margulis, hidden symmetries characterize the arithmetic manifolds among all locally symmetric ones: a locally symmetric manifold is arithmetic if and only if it has infinitely many "non-equivalent" hidden symmetries (see [13, Ch. 6]; cf. [9]).

Among hyperbolic knot complements in S^3 only that of the figure-eight is arithmetic [10], and the only other knot complements known to possess hidden symmetries are the two "dodecahedral knots" constructed by Aitchison–Rubinstein [1]. Whether there exist others has been an open question for over two decades [9, Question 1]. Its answer has important consequences for commensurability classes of knot complements, see [11] and [2].

The partial answers that we know are all negative. Aside from the figure-eight, there are no knots with hidden symmetries with at most fifteen crossings [6] and no two-bridge knots with hidden symmetries [11]. Macasieb–Mattman showed that no hyperbolic (-2, 3, n) pretzel knot, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, has hidden symmetries [8]. Hoffman showed the dodecahedral knots are commensurable with no others [7].

Here we offer some positive results with potential relevance to this question. Our first main result exhibits hidden symmetries with the following curious feature.

Definition 0.1. For a manifold M (possibly with boundary) and a submanifold S of M, a *hidden extension* of a self-homeomorphism ϕ of S is a hidden symmetry $\Phi: M_1 \to M_2$ of M, where $p_i: M_i \to M$ are connected, finite-sheeted covers for i = 1, 2, that lifts ϕ on a component of $p_1^{-1}(S)$.

We use a family $\{L_n\}$ of two-component links constructed in previous work [3]. For each n, L_n is assembled from a tangle S in B^3 , n copies of a tangle T in $S^2 \times I$, and the mirror image \overline{S} of S. Figure 1 depicts L_2 , with light gray lines indicating the spheres that divide it into copies of S and T. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \ge 0$, we will also use a tangle $T_n \subset L_{m+n}$: the connected union of S with n copies of T. For instance, L_2 contains a copy of T_1 (which is pictured in Figure 2 below) and of T_2 .

Upon numbering the endpoints of T_n as indicated in Figure 2, order-two even permutations determine *mutations*: mapping classes of $\partial(B^3 - T_n)$ induced by 180-degree rotations of the sphere obtained by filling the punctures.

Theorem 1.8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the mutation of $\partial(B^3 - T_n)$ determined by (13)(24) has a hidden extension over a cover of $B^3 - T_n$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, taking $T_n \subset L_{m+n}$, a hidden extension over a cover of $S^3 - L_{m+n}$.



FIGURE 1. The link L_2

In particular, this gives the first proof that the $S^3 - L_{m+n}$ have hidden symmetries. Its heart is the fact that though (13)(24) does not extend over $S^3 - L_{m+n}$, it is represented by an isometry of the totally geodesic $\partial(B^3 - T_n)$ that is induced by an isometry $\mathbf{m}_1^{(n)}$ of \mathbb{H}^3 in the *commensurator* (see eg. [9, p. 274]) of the group Γ_{m+n} uniformizing $S^3 - L_{m+n}$. Lemma 1.6 asserts the analogous fact for the group Δ_n uniformizing $B^3 - T_n$, which implies the other assertion of Theorem 1.8.

In Section 2 we attack the same problem on the same examples, but from a different direction. The idea in this section is to produce hidden symmetries without prior knowledge of an orbifold cover such as was used in Theorem 1.8. Instead we leverage the decomposition of L_n into tangle complements, producing explicit hidden extensions of the mutation over covers of these and solving a gluing problem to piece them together to produce a hidden symmetry of L_n . One nice byproduct of this approach is an explicit description of the hidden symmetry. We show:

Theorem 2.9. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an 11-sheeted cover $N_n \to B^3 - T_n$ and a hidden extension $\Psi: N_n \to N_n$ of the mutation (13)(24) acting on $S^{(n)} - T_n$. Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Ψ extends to a hidden symmetry of an 11-sheeted cover of $S^3 - L_{m+n}$ that contains N_n .

Given that we are motivated by hidden symmetries of knot complements, the following question is natural:

Question. Is there a knot K in S^3 and a hidden symmetry of $S^3 - K$ that is a hidden extension of a symmetry of some surface in $S^3 - K$?

In fact as the referee has pointed out, one might ask this about the known examples with hidden symmetries. While it seems unlikely that the figure-eight knot complement has hidden extensions, given the classification of incompressible surfaces there (see [12, §4.10]), we have no corresponding conjecture about the dodecahedral knot complements. This would be interesting to know.

Another tantalizing possibility arises from the observation that each tangle T_n also lies in many knots in S^3 which are distinct from the 3 known examples of knots with hidden symmetries. If an analog of Theorem 2.9 could be proved for any such knot it would give a new example whose complement has hidden symmetries. We have ruled out many possibilities using a criterion given in [11, Corollary 2.2]: a knot complement with hidden symmetries has cusp field $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. This condition can be easily checked with with SnapPy [5] and Snap (see [4]). We suspect that there is a reason the T_n cannot lie in knots whose complements have hidden symmetries, and intend to study this further.

We conclude the introduction with two related problems.



FIGURE 2. The tangle $T_1 \subset B^3$ can be decomposed along a sphere $S^{(0)}$ into a tangle $S \subset B^3$ and a tangle $T \subset S^2 \times I$.

Problem 1. Classify tangles in the ball with complements whose boundary has a symmetry with hidden extension.

Problem 2. Given a tangle T in the ball B^3 , and a symmetry ϕ of $\partial B^3 - T$ with a hidden extension across a cover of $B^3 - T$, classify the links L containing T such that the hidden extension of ϕ extends to a cover of $S^3 - L$.

1. EXISTENCE OF HIDDEN EXTENSIONS

The goal of Section 1.1 is to describe the tangle complements $B^3 - T_n$ from both the topological and geometric perspectives, by collecting relevant definitions and results scattered throughout [3] and re-assembling them here in a more helpful order. In this sub-section we merely summarize geometric details, referring the interested reader to [3] for proofs. In Section 1.2 we prove Theorem 1.8.

1.1. The topology and geometry of T_n . The solid lines in Figure 2 describe a two string tangle $T_1 \subset B^3$. ∂B^3 is shown as a dotted line labeled $S^{(1)}$. There is an additional sphere $S^{(0)}$ shown in the figure. If we cut (B^3, T_1) along $S^{(0)}$ we obtain a pair of tangles (B^3, S) and $(S^2 \times I, T)$. Orienting I so that $S^{(0)} = S^2 \times \{0\}$, we let $\partial_- T = T \cap S^{(0)}$ and $\partial_+ T = T \cap S^{(1)}$.

Let $r_T: (S^2 \times I, T) \to (S^2 \times I, T)$ be the reflection homeomorphism visible in Figure 1, and let T_0 be the subtangle of T that lies to the left of the fixed point set of r_T . That is, $T_0 = T \cap S^2 \times [0, 1/2]$. Reparametrizing the underlying interval, we may also regard T_0 as a tangle in $S^2 \times I$.

Proposition 1.1 below, which combines parts of Propositions 2.7, 2.8, and 3.7 of [3], introduces geometric models for the complements of the tangles S, T_0 and T. There and henceforth, we work with the upper half space model for \mathbb{H}^3 and use the standard representation of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ as a \mathbb{Z}_2 extension of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. If $\mathsf{d} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we write $\overline{\mathsf{d}}$ for the matrix whose entries are the complex conjugates of the entries of d . When we apply this operation to each element of a subgroup $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain a subgroup denoted by $\overline{\Gamma}$.

For a Kleinian group Γ , we denote the convex core of \mathbb{H}^3/Γ as $C(\Gamma)$. We will use the term *natural map* as in [3] (see below Definition 3.1 there) to refer to the restriction to $C(\Lambda)$ of the orbifold covering map $\mathbb{H}^3/\Lambda \to \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$, for $\Lambda < \Gamma$. Because the limit set of Γ contains that of Λ , the natural map takes $C(\Lambda)$ into $C(\Gamma)$.

The geometric models for $B^3 - S$ and $(S^2 \times I) - T_0$ described in parts (1) and (2) of Proposition 1.1 are hyperbolic 3-manifolds with totally geodesic boundary produced by pairing certain faces of the right-angled ideal octahedron and cuboctahedron, respectively, but they are described in the Proposition as convex cores of the quotients of \mathbb{H}^3 by the groups generated by the face-pairing isometries. The equivalence of these two forms of description is proved in Lemma 2.1 of [3].

ition 1.1. (1) For $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $t = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 2-i \\ i & 1-i \end{pmatrix}$, $\Delta_0 = \langle s, t \rangle$ is a Kleinian group, and there is a homeomorphism $f_S \colon M_S \doteq B^3 - S \to C(\Delta_0)$. Proposition 1.1.

(2) For f, g and h below, $\Gamma_{T_0} = \langle f, g, h \rangle$ is a Kleinian group, and there is a homeomorphism $f_{T_0}: M_{T_0} \doteq (S^2 \times I) - T_0 \rightarrow C(\Gamma_{T_0}).$

$$\mathsf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathsf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -1+i\sqrt{2} & 1-2i\sqrt{2} \\ -2 & 3-i\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathsf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 2i\sqrt{2} & -3-i\sqrt{2} \\ -3+i\sqrt{2} & -3i\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (3) For $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Gamma_T = \langle \Gamma_{T_0}, \mathbf{c}^{-2}\overline{\Gamma}_{T_0}\mathbf{c}^2 \rangle$ is a Kleinian group, and there is a homeomorphism $f_T: M_T \doteq (S^2 \times I) - T \to C(\Gamma_T)$ satisfying: • composing the inclusion $M_{T_0} \to M_T$ with f_T yields f_{T_0} ; and • for r_T as above, $f_T \circ r_T \circ f_T^{-1}$ is induced by $x \mapsto c^{-2}\bar{x}c^2$. The intersection $\Lambda_T \circ \Gamma_T$
- (4) The intersection $\Delta_0 \cap \Gamma_T$ is a Fuchsian group Λ stabilizing the hyperplane $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ of \mathbb{H}^3 . This is the intersection of the convex hulls of the limit sets of Δ_0 and Γ_T , and the natural maps from \mathcal{H}/Λ to $C(\Delta_0)$ and $C(\Gamma_T)$ map to totally geodesic boundary components.
- (5) The image of the natural map $\mathcal{H}/\Lambda \to C(\Gamma_T)$ is the image of $\partial_-M_T \doteq$ $(S^2 \times \{0\}) - T$ under f_T . The same holds with each instance of T here replaced by T_0 .

For the homeomorphism $j: (\partial B^3, \partial S) \rightarrow (S^2 \times \{0\}, \partial_-T)$ such that $(B^3, S) \cup_j (S^2 \times I, T) \cong (B^3, T_1), f_T \circ j \circ f_S^{-1} : \partial C(\Delta_0) \to C(\Gamma_T) fac$ tors through \mathcal{H}/Λ as the composition of a natural map with the inverse of another.

We now turn back to topology and give an inductive definition of the tangles T_n , assembling (B^3, T_n) from a single copy of (B^3, S) and n of $(S^2 \times I, T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, using T_1 as pictured in Figure 2 as the base case. Numbering the points of $(S^{(0)}, \partial T_1)$ and $(S^{(1)}, \partial T_1)$ as shown in the figure, let $(S^2 \times \{1\}, \partial_+ T)$, $(S^2 \times \{0\}, \partial_T)$, and $(\partial B^3, \partial S)$ inherit numberings from their inclusions to these spheres. Note that the resulting numbering of $(S^2 \times \partial I, \partial T)$ is r_T -invariant.

Now for n > 1, assume for $1 \le k < n$ that tangles $T_k \subset B^3$ with labeled endpoints are defined, and, for k > 1, inclusions $(B^3, T_{k-1}) \hookrightarrow (B^3, T_k)$ and $\iota_k \colon (S^2 \times I, T) \to$ (B^3, T_k) , such that:

- $(B^3, T_k) = (B^3 \cup \iota_k(S^2 \times I), T_{k-1} \cup \iota_k(T));$
- ι_k preserves labels on $\partial_+ T$; and
- the included image of B^3 intersects $i_k(S^2 \times I)$ in a sphere $S^{(k)}$, with $(S^{(k)}, S^{(k)} \cap T_k) = (\partial B^3, \partial T_{k-1}) = \iota_k (S^2 \times \{1\}, \partial_+ T).$

Define $T_n \subset B^3$ as the quotient of the disjoint union $(B^3, T_n) \sqcup (S^2 \times I, T)$ by identifying $\iota_{n-1}(x,1)$ to (x,0) for each $x \in S^2$; let the inclusion of (B^3, T_{n-1}) and $\iota_n \colon (S^2 \times I, T) \to (B^3, T_n)$ be induced by the respective inclusions into the disjoint union; and label the endpoints of T_n coherently with $T \cap (S^2 \times \{1\})$ using ι_n . It is clear by construction that the inductive hypothesis applies to (B^3, T_n) .

Having topologically described the T_n , our next order of business is to give geometric models for their complements; that is to describe hyperbolic manifolds with totally geodesic boundary homeomorphic to the $B^3 - T_n$. In parallel with our topological description of T_n , these are assembled from copies of the geometric models described in Proposition 1.1. To this end, we define:

$$\Gamma_T^{(j)} = \mathsf{c}^{-2(j-1)} \Gamma_T \mathsf{c}^{2(j-1)} \qquad \Lambda^{(j)} = \mathsf{c}^{-2j} \Lambda \mathsf{c}^{2j} \qquad F^{(j)} = \mathsf{c}^{-2j} (\mathcal{H}) / \Lambda^{(j)}$$

Note for each j that $C(\Gamma_T^{(j)})$ is isometric to $C(\Gamma_T)$, so it is just a copy of M_T , and $F^{(j)}$ is isometric to \mathcal{H}/Λ . Now with Δ_0 as in Proposition 1.1, for $n \geq 1$ let

$$\Delta_n = \left\langle \Delta_0, \Gamma_T^{(1)}, \dots, \Gamma_T^{(n)} \right\rangle.$$

(In [3], Δ_0 is denoted as Γ_S and Δ_n as $\Gamma_{-}^{(n)}$.) The consequence of Propositions 3.10 and 3.12 of [3] below shows that $C(\Delta_n)$ is a geometric model for $B^3 - T_n$.

Proposition 1.2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a homeomorphism $f_n: B^3 - T_n \to C(\Delta_n)$. Moreover, the natural map $C(\Delta_{n-1}) \to C(\Delta_n)$ is an isometric embedding, and there is another, $\iota_n: C(\Gamma_T) \to C(\Delta_n)$ factoring through an isometry $C(\Gamma_T) \to C(\Gamma_T^{(i)})$, such that for n > 1 the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} B^3 - T_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} C(\Delta_{n-1}) & M_T \xrightarrow{f_T} C(\Gamma_T) \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^3 - T_n \xrightarrow{f_n} C(\Delta_n) & B^3 - T_n \xrightarrow{f_n} C(\Delta_n) \end{array}$$

This also holds for n = 1, taking $f_0 \doteq f_S \colon M_S \to C(\Delta_0)$ at the top left.

The natural map $F^{(j)} \to f_n(S^{(j)} - \partial T_j)$ is an isometry onto a totally geodesic surface in $C(\Delta_n)$ when $0 \le j \le n$; in particular, $F^{(n)}$ is isometric to $\partial C(\Delta_n)$.

Our final task in comprehensively describing the T_n is to translate the tangle endpoint labeling to the geometric setting, yielding a labeling of cusps of $F^{(n)}$, or equivalently, of parabolic conjugacy classes in $\Lambda^{(n)}$. We begin below by listing representatives for the parabolic conjugacy classes in Λ as words in Γ_S and Γ_T .

$$\begin{split} p_1 &= s^{-1} = f^{-1} \\ p_2 &= stst^{-2} = fg^{-1}f^{-1}h^{-1}g \\ p_3 &= (tst)s^{-1}(tst)^{-1} = (h^{-1}fg)^{-1}g^{-1}(h^{-1}fg), \\ p_4 &= p_1p_2p_3^{-1} \end{split}$$

A calculation shows that

 $p_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 5 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ $p_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -14 & 25 \\ -9 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$ $p_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 29 & -45 \\ 20 & -31 \end{pmatrix}$

From Lemma 2.4 of [3] we obtain the next proposition.

Proposition 1.3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \leq n$, and $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the parabolic conjugacy class in $\Lambda^{(j)}$ which corresponds to the point labeled k in $S^{(j)}$ is represented by $\mathsf{p}_k^{(j)} = \mathsf{c}^{-2j}\mathsf{p}_k\mathsf{c}^{2j}$. Also $\Lambda^{(j)}$ is generated by any three of the $\mathsf{p}_k^{(j)}$'s.

We finish by giving a geometric model for the mutation with a hidden extension. The result below follows from Proposition 1.3 above and Lemma 5.5 of [3].

Lemma 1.4. Let $\mathbf{m}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. For each $n \ge 0$, $\mathbf{m}_1^{(n)} \doteq \mathsf{c}^{-2n} \mathsf{m}_1 \mathsf{c}^{2n}$ normalizes $\Lambda^{(n)}$ and induces a cycle representation (13)(24) on the the four cusps of $F^{(n)}$, where each cusp is numbered according to its corresponding parabolic isometry $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}$.

1.2. The proof of existence. The key new tool we need to prove Theorem 1.8 is a discrete group containing both Δ_n , with finite index, and also the isometry \mathbf{m}_1 that induces the mutation (13)(24). (The group G_{m+n} of [3, Lemma 6.2] plays this role for the group Γ_{m+n} uniformizing $S^3 - L_{m+n}$, by [3, Prop. 6.3].) We will use this with a standard argument to show there is a hidden extension.

As in Definitions 6.1 of [3], let \mathcal{B}_0 be the open half-ball in the upper half-space model of \mathbb{H}^3 bounded by the Euclidean hemisphere of unit radius centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{B}_k be the Euclidean translate of \mathcal{B}_0 centered at $k(-i\sqrt{2})$, where *i* is the imaginary unit. For complex numbers *z* and *w*, refer by $z\mathcal{H} + w$ to the geodesic plane $(z\mathbb{R} + w) \times (0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.5. For an integer $n \ge 0$, define Q_n to be the polyhedron of \mathbb{H}^3 bounded by $\mathcal{H} + i/2$, $i\mathcal{H}$, $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$, and $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Further define:

- (1) f_0 by first reflecting in $i\mathcal{H}$ and then in $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$;
- (2) \mathbf{b}_0 by first reflecting in $\mathcal{H} + i/2$ and then in $\partial \mathcal{B}_0$; and
- (3) for $k \ge 0$, a_k by reflecting in $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$ and then in $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$.



FIGURE 3. Bounding hyperplanes for Q_2 viewed from above.

As defined, we have

 $\mathsf{f}_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \qquad \mathsf{b}_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \qquad \mathsf{a}_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \qquad \mathsf{a}_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -i\sqrt{2} & 1+i\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -1+i\sqrt{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right).$

In particular, we see that $\langle f_0, a_0 \rangle = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ contains m_1 .

Lemma 1.6. For each integer $n \ge 0$, the orientation-preserving subgroup H_n of the group generated by reflections in the faces of Q_n satisfies:

- (1) H_n is a Kleinian group generated by $\{f_0, b_0, a_0, \ldots, a_n\}$.
- (2) $\Delta_n < H_{2n}$ with finite index.

(3) The projection $c^{-n}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{H}^3/H_n$ factors through an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{H}/\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $\partial C(H_n)$.

Proof. Clearly $f_0 \in H_n$, $b_0 \in H_n$, and $a_i \in H_n$ for $0 \le i \le n$. Let r' denote the reflection across $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{Q}_n \cup r'(\mathcal{Q}_n)$ is a convex polyhedron in \mathbb{H}^3 with one face in each of $\mathcal{H} + i\sqrt{2}$, $i\mathcal{H}$, $i\mathcal{H} + 1$, $\partial\mathcal{B}_k$, and $\partial r'(\mathcal{B}_k)$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. The following facts can be explicitly verified:

- The face in $\mathcal{H} + i\sqrt{2}$ meets those in $i\mathcal{H}$ and $i\mathcal{H} + 1$ at right angles, those in $\partial \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathbf{r}'(\partial \mathcal{B}_0)$ at an angle of $\pi/3$, and no others. The product $\mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{b}_0$ rotates by π about an axis that bisects this face, preserving it.
- The face in $i\mathcal{H}$ meets each of those in $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$ at an angle of $\pi/2$, and none of those in $r'(\partial \mathcal{B}_i)$. The element f_0 takes this face to the one in $i\mathcal{H} + 1$.
- The face in $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$ shares an edge with the face in $r'(\partial \mathcal{B}_{k'})$ if and only if k = k'; in this case at an angle of $2\pi/3$. The element a_k takes the latter to the former. The faces in $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$ and $\partial \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ meet at an angle of $\pi/2$ for k > 0; likewise those in $\partial \mathcal{B}_k$ and $\partial \mathcal{B}_{k+1}$ for k < n; and $\partial \mathcal{B}_k \cap \partial \mathcal{B}_{k'} = \emptyset$ for $k' \notin \{k-1, k, k+1\}$.

Hence, $\{f_0, a_0b_0, a_0, \ldots, a_n\}$ is a face-pairing for $\mathcal{Q}_n \cup r'(\mathcal{Q}_n)$. Poincare's polyhedron theorem implies that this set of isometries generates a discrete group whose fundamental domain is $\mathcal{Q}_n \cup r'(\mathcal{Q}_n)$. By construction, this group is contained in H_n . It is equal to H_n because their fundamental domains have the same volume.

The numbered formulas (8) and (9) above Proposition 6.3 of [3] express the generators of Δ_0 in terms of \mathbf{a}_0 , \mathbf{b}_0 , and \mathbf{f}_0 and they express Γ_{T_0} in terms of \mathbf{a}_0 , \mathbf{a}_1 , and \mathbf{f}_0 . Therefore, $\Delta_0 < H_n$ and $\Gamma_{T_0} < H_n$. It can be verified directly that, for every k, $\mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_k\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a}_{k+1}$ and that \mathbf{c} commutes with \mathbf{f}_0 . It follows that $\mathbf{c}^{-k}\Gamma_{T_0}\mathbf{c}^k < H_n$ for all $k \leq n-1$. Moreover, the second paragraph of the proof of [3, Proposition 6.3] expresses the generators of $\mathbf{c}^{-2}\overline{\Gamma}_{T_0}\mathbf{c}^2$ in terms of \mathbf{a}_1 , \mathbf{a}_2 , and \mathbf{f}_0 . So, if $n \geq 2$, this group is also in H_n . Now, by definition, we have $\Delta_n < H_{2n}$.

The polyhedron P_n of [3, Lemma 6.2] consists of points $(z,t) \in Q_n$ such that the imaginary coordinate of z is at least $-n\sqrt{2}$. Every face of P_n is a face of Q_n except the unique face \mathcal{F} of P_n contained in $\mathcal{H} - n \cdot i\sqrt{2}$. The face \mathcal{F} is orthogonal to $\partial \mathcal{B}_n$, $i\mathcal{H}$, and $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$ and does not meet any other bounding hyperplanes of Q_n . This means that a single face of $P_n \cup r'(P_n)$ contains \mathcal{F} , meeting only the bounding hyperplanes $i\mathcal{H}, i\mathcal{H} + 1, \partial \mathcal{B}_n$, and $r'(\partial \mathcal{B}_n)$. Moreover, these intersections are all orthogonal, so \mathcal{F} projects to the sole totally geodesic boundary component of the orbifold $(P_n \cup r'(P_n))/H_n$.

We claim that $(P_n \cup \mathbf{r}'(P_n))/H_n = C(H_n)$. We first show that $P_n \cup \mathbf{r}'(P_n)$ is contained in the convex hull of the limit set of H_n , which implies that $(P_n \cup \mathbf{r}'(P_n))/H_n$ is contained in the convex core. Inspecting Figures 3 and 4 in [3], one observes that $P_n \cup \mathbf{r}'(P_n)$ is contained in the union $\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{c}^{-k}(\mathcal{P}_2)$, where \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are the regular ideal octahedron and right angled ideal cuboctahedron described in Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 of [3]. Both \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are the convex hulls of their ideal points, and each of these is a parabolic fixed point of Δ_0 or Γ_{T_0} , respectively. (One can show this directly, or appeal to the third-from-last paragraph of the proof of [3, Lemma 2.1].) Since each parabolic fixed point of a Kleinian group lies in its limit set, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{c}^{-k}(\mathcal{P}_2)$ is in the convex hull of the limit set of H_n . As a subset, $P_n \cup \mathbf{r}'(P_n)$ shares this property. On the other hand, the penultimate paragraph of [3, proof of Lemma 2.1] shows that $(P_n \cup r'(P_n))/H_n$ contains $C(H_n)$ and this proves our claim.

By the above, $\mathbf{c}^{-2n}(\mathcal{H})$ projects to $\partial C(H_{2n})$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{H}^3 \to \mathbb{H}^3/H_{2n}$. By Proposition 1.2, the same plane projects to $\partial C(\Delta_n)$ under $\mathbb{H}^3 \to \mathbb{H}^3/\Delta_n$. It follows that the orbifold covering map $\mathbb{H}^3/\Delta_n \to \mathbb{H}^3/H_{2n}$ restricts to one $C(\Delta_n) \to C(H_{2n})$. Since these both have finite volume, the map is finite-to-one, and hence Δ_n has finite index in H_{2n} .

Among all bounding hyperplanes of $\mathcal{Q}_n \cup \mathsf{r}'(\mathcal{Q}_n)$, only $i\mathcal{H}$, $i\mathcal{H} + 1$, $\partial \mathcal{B}_n$, and $\mathsf{r}'(\partial \mathcal{B}_n)$ meet the hyperplane $\mathcal{H} - n \cdot i\sqrt{2}$. Each of these intersections is a right angle. Thus, \mathcal{F} is a quadrilateral and $\{\mathsf{a}_n, \mathsf{f}_0\}$ is an edge pairing for \mathcal{F} . This implies that $\mathcal{F}/\langle \mathsf{a}_n, \mathsf{f}_0 \rangle$ is the boundary of $(P_n \cup \mathsf{r}'(P_n))/H_n$.

We mentioned above that $\mathbf{c}^{-n}\mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{c}^n = \mathbf{a}_n$ and $\mathbf{f}_0\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{f}_0$, so $\langle \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{f}_0 \rangle = \mathbf{c}^{-n}\langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{f}_0 \rangle \mathbf{c}^n$. Therefore, the projection $\mathcal{H} - n \cdot i\sqrt{2} = \mathbf{c}^{-n}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{H}^3/H_n$ factors through an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{H}/\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We will use the following simple fact below, and several more times.

Fact 1.7. If H has finite index in a non-elementary Kleinian group G then the limit sets of G and H are equal, so the natural map $C(H) \to C(G)$ is an orbifold cover.

Theorem 1.8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the mutation of $\partial(B^3 - T_n)$ determined by (13)(24) has a hidden extension over a cover of $B^3 - T_n$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, taking $T_n \subset L_{m+n}$, a hidden extension over a cover of $S^3 - L_{m+n}$.

Proof. As we mentioned in the introduction to this paper, we may view (B^3, T_n) as a subset of (S^3, L_{n+m}) . (For more rigor, compare the definitions at the beginning of this section with [3, Definitions 3.8].) Here it is bounded by the sphere $S^{(n)}$, with the mirror image (B^3, \overline{T}_m) of T_m on the other side. If the mutation (13)(24)extended over $B^3 - T_n$, then $S^3 - L_{n+m}$ would be homeomorphic to its mutant by (13)(24) along $S^{(n)}$. By Mostow-Prasad rigidity, these two links would be isometric, but by Theorem 2 of [3] they are not. (In the notation of that result, $L_{m+n} = L_{(0,...,0)}$ and its mutant is $L_{(0,...,1,...,0)}$ with the sole "1" the (m+1)th entry.) This also implies it does not extend over $S^3 - L_{m+n}$.

However, because $\mathsf{m}_1^{(n)}$ lies in the finite extension H_{2n} of Δ_n it normalizes the normal core Ω_n of Δ_n in H_{2n} and determines a self-isometry $\tilde{\Psi}$ of \mathbb{H}^3/Ω_n . This is a finite cover of \mathbb{H}^3/Δ_n which by Fact 1.7 above restricts to a cover $C(\Omega_n) \to C(\Delta_n)$.

In particular, the boundary of $C(\Omega_n)$ is totally geodesic. One component of $\partial C(\Omega_n)$ is the quotient of $c^{-2n}(\mathcal{H})$ by its stabilizer $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(n)} = \Omega_n \cap \Lambda^{(n)}$ in Ω_n . Since $\mathsf{m}_1^{(n)}$ normalizes both $\Lambda^{(n)}$ and Ω_n , it normalizes $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(n)}$ and determines an isometry of $\mathsf{c}^{-2n}(\mathcal{H})/\tilde{\Lambda}^{(n)}$ lifting the one determined by $\mathsf{m}_1^{(n)}$ on $\partial C(\Delta_n)$.

A completely analogous argument applies to $S^3 - L_{m+n}$, replacing Δ_n by Γ_n from Prop. 3.12 of [3] and H_{2n} by G_n from Prop. 6.3 there.

2. Matching covers

In this section, we build an explicit hidden extension of the mutation (13)(24) of $\partial(B^3-T_n)$. To find an appropriate cover, we use the decomposition of B^3-T_n along the spheres $S^{(j)}$ into one copy of M_S and n copies of M_T and find appropriate covers of these pieces which glue together to give a cover of $B^3 - T_n$ with the necessary properties. Figure 4 is a schematic depiction of how this will be done.



FIGURE 4. Assembling a cover of $(S^2 \times I) - T_2$ that has a hidden extension of the mutation (13)(24).

For convenience, in this section we will supress the homeomorphisms f_S , f_{T_0} , and f_T of Proposition 1.1 and simply make the identifications:

$$M_S = C(\Delta_0) \qquad \qquad M_{T_0} = C(\Gamma_{T_0}) \qquad \qquad M_T = C(\Gamma_T).$$

Further, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ we will identify the *i*th copy $M_T^{(i)}$ of $(S^2 \times I, T)$ in (B^3, T_n) with $C(\Gamma_T^{(i)})$ (compare Proposition 1.2).

To produce the covers \widetilde{M}_S and the $\widetilde{M}_T^{(i)}$ of Figure 4 we will divide the orbifold $O_n = \mathbb{H}^3/H_n$ branched-covered by $B^3 - T_n$ into pieces covered by M_S and the $M_T^{(i)}$, then analyze the corresponding subgroups of H_n . For M_S we use \mathcal{Q}_0 from Definition 1.5: the polyhedron bounded by $\mathcal{H} + i/2$, $i\mathcal{H}$, $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$, and $\partial \mathcal{B}_0$. Our first lemma shows that the orientation-preserving subgroup H_0 of the reflection group generated by \mathcal{Q}_0 contains the group $\Delta_0 = \Gamma_S$ uniformizing M_S . Our second uses H_0 and some elementary number theory to find a cover of M_S with abundant symmetry.

We follow a similar strategy for M_T , producing a polyhedron \mathcal{P}_{T_0} and a group $H_{T_0} < H_n$, which Lemma 2.3 shows contains the group Γ_{T_0} uniformizing M_{T_0} . We will use the permutation representation of H_{T_0} given by acting on left cosets of Γ_{T_0} to find a cover of M_{T_0} with a hidden extension of (13)(24). Doubling this cover across a boundary component yields the model \widetilde{M}_T for the $\widetilde{M}_T^{(i)}$.

Lemma 2.1. The reflection group H_0 (recall Lemma 1.6) has the following additional properties:

- (1) H_0 is a Kleinian group which contains Δ_0 as a subgroup of index 12.
- (2) $H_0 = \langle \mathsf{a}_0, \mathsf{b}_0, \mathsf{f}_0 | \mathsf{a}_0^3 = \mathsf{b}_0^3 = (\mathsf{b}_0^{-1}\mathsf{a}_0)^2 = (\mathsf{a}_0\mathsf{f}_0)^2 = 1 \rangle.$
- (3) $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Stab}_{H_0}(\mathcal{H}).$

(4) The projection $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{H}^3/H_0$ factors through an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{H}/\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $\partial C(H_0)$.

Proof. As in Lemma 1.6, \mathbf{r}' is the reflection through $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$. From the proof of Lemma 1.6, we know that the collection $\{\mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{f}_0\}$ is a face-pairing for $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cup \mathbf{r}'(\mathcal{Q}_0)$. So the Poincaré polyhedron theorem gives the presentation above. Aside from the fact that $[H_0, \Delta_0] = 12$, the rest of the lemma follows from the special case n = 0 in Lemma 1.6. But, if we compare the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in \mathbb{H}^3 with the volume of P_0 , we see that $[H_0, \Delta_0] = 12$.

Lemma 2.2. There is an index five subgroup $\Omega_0 < \Delta_0$ which is normal in H_0 . Define $\Lambda_0 = \Omega_0 \cap \Lambda$.

- (1) $[\Lambda : \Lambda_0] = 5,$
- (2) $\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_4 \in \Lambda_0$, and
- (3) \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_3 project to generators of Λ/Λ_0 .

Proof. In the ring of Gaussian integers 5 = (1+2i)(1-2i). So, restricting the map $\mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+2i)$ to \mathbb{Z} gives a ring epimorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+2i)$. The quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+2i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ and we obtain a group epimorphism $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})$ which restricts to an epimorphism $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) < H_0 < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$, the restriction to H_0 is also onto and the kernel Ω_0 of this map has index $|\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})| = 60$ in H_0 .

Using the explicit descriptions of **s** and **t** from Section 1, we see that Δ_0 maps onto the parabolic subgroup $\{\binom{1 \ 0}{* \ 1}\}$ of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+2i))$ which has order 5. Hence, $\Delta_0 \cap \Omega_0$ has index five in Δ_0 . Since $[H_0 : \Delta_0] = 12$, it follows that $[H_0 : \Delta_0 \cap \Omega_0] =$ 60. Therefore, Δ_0 contains Ω_0 .

Similarly, the explicit descriptions of the p_j 's from Section 1 show that Λ maps onto this same parabolic subgroup and $[\Lambda : \Lambda_0] = 5$. The final assertion is also immediate from these descriptions.

Lemma 2.3. Let \mathcal{P}_{T_0} be the polyhedron bounded by $\partial \mathcal{B}_0$, $\partial \mathcal{B}_1$, $i\mathcal{H}$ and $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$. The orientation-preserving subgroup H_{T_0} of the group generated by reflections in the sides of \mathcal{P}_{T_0} is a Kleinian group such that

- (1) $H_{T_0} = \langle \mathsf{a}_0, \mathsf{a}_1, \mathsf{f}_0 \, | \, \mathsf{a}_0^3 = \mathsf{a}_1^3 = 1, (\mathsf{a}_0 \mathsf{a}_1^{-1})^2 = (\mathsf{a}_0 \mathsf{f}_0)^2 = (\mathsf{a}_1 \mathsf{f}_0)^2 = 1 \rangle,$
- (2) $\partial C(H_{T_0})$ consists of a pair of totally geodesic surfaces,
- (3) $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and Γ_{T_0} are subgroups of H_{T_0} , and
- (4) $[H_{T_0}:\Gamma_{T_0}] = [\text{Stab}_{H_{T_0}}(\mathcal{H}):\Lambda] = 12.$

Proof. First, recall from just before Lemma 1.6 and in the proof of Lemma 1.6 that Claim (3) has already been established.

Now, for visual intuition, compare \mathcal{P}_{T_0} with the right-angled ideal cuboctahedron \mathcal{P}_2 . The intersection $\mathcal{P}_{T_0} \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ is the portion of \mathcal{P}_{T_0} which lies between \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H} - i\sqrt{2}$. The intersection $\mathcal{P}_{T_0} \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ has two additional faces contained in \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H} - i\sqrt{2}$ and a single ideal vertex at ∞ . These additional faces are either perpendicular to or disjoint from those of \mathcal{P}_{T_0} .

Let \mathcal{F} be the face of $\mathcal{P}_{T_0} \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ contained in $i\mathcal{H}$, \mathcal{A}_0 its face in $\partial \mathcal{B}_0$, and \mathcal{A}_1 the face in $\partial \mathcal{B}_1$. Let \mathbf{r}' denote reflection across $i\mathcal{H} + 1/2$. A fundamental domain for H_{T_0} is the union $\mathcal{P}_{T_0} \cup \mathbf{r}'(\mathcal{P}_{T_0})$. By construction, $\mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{f}_0 \in H_{T_0}$ and these isometries determine a face-pairing for this fundamental domain. In particular, $\mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{r}'(\mathcal{A}_0)) = \mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{r}'(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \mathcal{A}_1$, and $\mathbf{f}_0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{r}'(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, H_{T_0} is generated by $\mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1$, and \mathbf{f}_0 . Upon noting that, for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$, \mathcal{A}_i intersects \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{A}_{1-i} perpendicularly and $r'(A_i)$ at an angle of $2\pi/3$, the above presentation comes from the usual edge-cycle relations.

We claim that $C(H_{T_0})$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \doteq \mathcal{P}_2 \cap (\mathcal{P}_{T_0} \cup r(\mathcal{P}_{T_0}))$ by the facepairing isometries, with totally geodesic boundary. As remarked above, the faces $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \cap (\mathcal{H} - i\sqrt{2})$ of \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} intersect the others perpendicularly, so \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} projects under the quotient map \mathbb{H}^3/H_{T_0} to a suborbifold with totally geodesic boundary. This is contained in $C(H_{T_0})$, as $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \subset \mathcal{P}_2$, which by Corollary 2.3 of [3] is contained in the convex hull of the limit set of Γ_{T_0} which is contained in the convex hull of the limit set of H_{T_0} . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3] gives the reverse inclusion and hence the claim.

That $[H_{T_0}: \Gamma_{T_0}] = 12$ follows from volume considerations. Note that \mathbf{a}_0 and \mathbf{a}_1 each preserve \mathcal{P}_2 and fix the point $(A_0 \cap A_1) \cap (\mathbf{r}(A_0) \cap \mathbf{r}(A_1))$; thus the group they generate has these properties as well. Since \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} contains a neighborhood in \mathcal{P}_2 of its ideal vertex ∞ , $\langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle$ acts freely on the set ideal vertices of \mathcal{P}_2 . It is not hard to show directly that this action is transitive. Since \mathcal{P}_2 has twelve ideal vertices, its volume is twelve times that of \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} . Since these are fundamental domains for M_{T_0} and $C(H_{T_0})$ the associated cover has degree twelve.

Recall from above that the faces $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{T_0} \cap (\mathcal{H} - i\sqrt{2})$ of \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} project to $\partial C(H_{T_0})$. The face-pairings of \mathcal{Q}_{T_0} induce edge-pairings on these faces, and one checks directly that each face has its edges identified to each other. It follows that $\partial C(H_{T_0})$ has two components. Since ∂M_{T_0} also has two components, each component of ∂M_{T_0} covers twelve-to-one. Since ∂M_{T_0} has a component isometric to $F^{(0)}$, $[\text{Stab}_{H_{T_0}}(\mathcal{H}) : \Lambda] = 12$.

Lemma 2.4. There is a homomorphism $\phi: H_{T_0} \to S_{12}$ determined by

$$\phi(\mathbf{a}_0) = (1 \ 5 \ 9)(2 \ 6 \ 10)(3 \ 7 \ 11)(4 \ 8 \ 12)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{a}_1) = (1 \ 8 \ 10)(2 \ 7 \ 9)(3 \ 6 \ 12)(4 \ 5 \ 11)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{f}_0) = (1 \ 5 \ 11 \ 10 \ 3)(2 \ 7 \ 6 \ 8 \ 12)$$

It has the following properties.

- (1) $|\phi(H_{T_0})| = 660$,
- (2) $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0}) = \langle \phi(\mathsf{h}), \phi(\mathsf{f}) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5, and$
- (3) $\phi(\Lambda) = \langle \phi(\mathsf{f}) \rangle = \phi(\Gamma_{T_0}) \cap \phi(\mathsf{m}_1 \Gamma_{T_0} \mathsf{m}_1^{-1})$ is the largest subgroup of $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0})$ normalized by $\phi(\mathsf{m}_1)$.

Remark 2.5. The homomorphism ϕ above is the permutation representation of H_{T_0} given by its action on the left cosets of Γ_{T_0} . This fact is not needed in the proof below or the rest of the paper.

Proof. That ϕ is a homomorphism follows from the presentation for H_{T_0} given in Lemma 2.3. Our expressions for a_0, a_1, f_0, f, g, h , and m_1 as matrices make it easy to verify the equalities

$$\begin{split} f &= a_0 f_0 a_0^{-1} & g &= \left(a_0^{-1} a_1\right) f_0^{-1} \left(a_0^{-1} a_1\right)^{-1} \\ h &= a_1 a_0 f_0^{-1} a_1 & m_1 &= \left(f_0 a_0^{-1}\right)^2 f_0^{-1}. \end{split}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathsf{f}) &= (2\ 7\ 5\ 9\ 3)(4\ 6\ 11\ 10\ 12) & \phi(\mathsf{g}) &= (2\ 9\ 12\ 7\ 4)(3\ 11\ 6\ 8\ 5) \\ \phi(\mathsf{h}) &= (2\ 12\ 7\ 8\ 6\ 3\ 4\ 11\ 10\ 9\ 5) & \phi(\mathsf{m}_1) &= (1\ 8)(2\ 12)(3\ 4)(5\ 11)(6\ 9)(7\ 10). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0}) = \langle \phi(\mathsf{h}), \phi(\mathsf{f}) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$, because $\phi(\mathsf{g}) = \phi(\mathsf{f}\mathsf{h}^{-1})$ and $\phi(\mathsf{f}\mathsf{h}\mathsf{f}^{-1}) = \phi(\mathsf{h}^4)$.

Under the action of H_{T_0} on \mathbb{Z}_{12} given by ϕ , Γ_{T_0} is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Stab}_{H_{T_0}}(1)$. We claim that, in fact, these groups are equal. Let

$$C = \{1, 5, 9\} \qquad D = \{8, 2, 11\} \\ E = \{3, 6, 12\} \qquad F = \{4, 7, 10\}$$

and observe that $\phi(\mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1)$ preserves the triples C, D, E, and F. This gives a homomorphism $\psi: \langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle \to S_4$ with

$$\psi(\mathsf{a}_0) = (D \ E \ F) \qquad \qquad \psi(\mathsf{a}_1) = (C \ D \ F).$$

Since these two elements generate A_4 we have that the image of ψ is the order 12 group A_4 . The group $\langle \mathsf{a}_0, \mathsf{a}_1 \rangle$ also acts by isometry on the polyhedron \mathcal{P}_2 and acts freely and transitively on its set of ideal vertices. Hence $|\langle \mathsf{a}_0, \mathsf{a}_1 \rangle| = 12$ and $\psi: \langle \mathsf{a}_0, \mathsf{a}_1 \rangle \to A_4$ is an isomorphism.

Since $|\langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle| = 12$ and Γ_{T_0} is torsion-free, the elements of $\langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle$ make up a complete set of representatives for the left cosets of Γ_{T_0} . If $\mathbf{k} \in \operatorname{Stab}_{H_{T_0}}(1)$ then $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{an}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \langle \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \Gamma_{T_0}$. Then

$$\phi(\mathsf{a}) \cdot 1 = \phi(\mathsf{a})\phi(\mathsf{n}) \cdot 1 = \phi(\mathsf{k}) \cdot 1 = 1$$

and so we must also have $\psi(\mathbf{a}) \cdot C = C$. If we list the elements of A_4 , we see that the only possibilities for \mathbf{a} are the identity or $\mathbf{a}_0^{\pm 1}$. Since $\mathbf{a}_0^{\pm 1}$ do not fix 1, we must have $\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma_{T_0}$ as claimed.

We know now that ker $\phi < \Gamma_{T_0}$, which implies that the images of distinct left cosets of Γ_{T_0} in H_{T_0} have empty intersection in $\phi(H_{T_0})$. Therefore, $|\phi(H_{T_0})| = 55 \cdot 12 = 660$.

Our formulas for the \mathbf{p}_j 's in terms of \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} , and \mathbf{h} give $\phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \phi(\mathbf{f}^{-1})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \mathrm{id}$, so $\phi(\Lambda) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{f}^{-1}) \rangle$. Since \mathbf{m}_1 normalizes Λ , $\phi(\mathbf{m}_1)$ normalizes $\phi(\Lambda)$; and indeed we have that $\phi(\mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{f} \mathbf{m}_1^{-1}) = \phi(\mathbf{f}^{-1})$. On the other hand, $\phi(\mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_1^{-1})$ does not stabilize 1 while $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0})$ does, so $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0}) \cap \phi(\mathbf{m}_1 \Gamma_{T_0} \mathbf{m}_1^{-1})$ is properly contained in $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0})$. This intersection contains $\phi(\Lambda)$, so since $|\phi(\Gamma_{T_0})| = 55$ the intersection equals $\phi(\Lambda)$. It moreover follows that $\phi(\Lambda)$ is the largest subgroup of $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0})$ normalized by $\phi(\mathbf{m}_1)$.

Define $\Omega_{T_0} = \phi^{-1}(\phi\Lambda)$, and let $p_{T_0} \colon \mathbb{H}^3/\Omega_{T_0} \to \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_{T_0}$ be the corresponding cover. If we let $\theta \colon H_0 \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+2i))$ be the map used in the proof of Lemma 2.2 and identify $\theta(\Lambda)$ and $\phi(\Lambda)$ with the isomorphism $\phi f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we see that $\theta = \phi$. In particular, $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap \ker \phi$.

Lemma 2.6. The preimage of $p_{T_0}^{-1}(F^{(0)})$ has three components. One is the inclusioninduced image of $F^{(0)}$ in $\mathbb{H}^3/\Omega_{T_0}$, which projects isometrically to $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_{T_0}$. The other two are respectively isometric to $g(\mathcal{H})/(g\Lambda_0g^{-1})$ and $g^{-1}(\mathcal{H})/(g^{-1}\Lambda_0g)$, each of which projects five-to-one into $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_{T_0}$.

Proof. Lemma 2.4 implies that $[\Gamma_{T_0} : \Omega_{T_0}] = 11$. Fact 1.7 implies that p_{T_0} restricts to a covering map $C(\Omega_{T_0}) \to M_{T_0}$. By definition of Ω_{T_0} , Λ is a subgroup of Ω_{T_0} and corresponds to a component of $\partial C(\Omega_{T_0})$ that covers $\partial_-M_{T_0}$ one-to-one.

and corresponds to a component of $\partial C(\Omega_{T_0})$ that covers $\partial_- M_{T_0}$ one-to-one. To understand the entire preimage $p_{T_0}^{-1}(\partial_- M_{T_0})$ we pass to the cover $\widetilde{N} \to M_{T_0}$ corresponding to ker ϕ . Let $f = \phi(\mathbf{f})$ and $h = \phi(\mathbf{h})$, so the deck group of the cover

12

is $\phi(\Gamma_{T_0}) = \langle f, h \rangle$. Λ_0 is the stabilizer of \mathcal{H} in ker ϕ , so $\widetilde{S} = \mathcal{H}/\Lambda_0$ is a boundary component of \widetilde{N} . Since $[\Lambda : \Lambda_0] = 5$, \widetilde{S} is a 5-fold cover of $\partial_- M_{T_0}$.

Locate a base point $x \in \partial_- M_{T_0}$ so that elements of $\Lambda = \pi_1(\partial_- M_{T_0}, x)$ are represented by loops in $\partial_- M_{T_0}$, and let $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}$ be in the preimage of x. As usual, our choice of the point \tilde{x} gives an action of $\pi_1(M_{T_0}, x)$ on \tilde{N} . By Lemma 2.4, $f \in \phi(\Lambda)$, so $f^k.\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}$ for every k. Moreover, for each fixed j, $(h^j f^k).\tilde{x}$ and $(h^j f^{k'}).\tilde{x} = (h^j f^k).(f^{k'-k}.\tilde{x})$ occupy the same component of $\partial \tilde{N}$ for any k and k'. This means that $\partial \tilde{N}$ has eleven components covering $\partial_- M_{T_0}$. Each component is of the form $h^j(\tilde{S})$ for some $j \in \{0, \ldots, 10\}$ and contains the orbit of \tilde{x} under the left coset $h^j \langle f \rangle$. Recall from the proof of 2.4 that $fh = h^4 f$. Therefore, $\langle f \rangle$ acts on the components of the preimage of $\partial_- M_{T_0}$ in $\partial \tilde{N}$. Its three orbits are

 $\{\widetilde{S}\} \quad \{h(\widetilde{S}), h^4(\widetilde{S}), h^5(\widetilde{S}), h^9(\widetilde{S}), h^3(\widetilde{S})\} \quad \{h^2(\widetilde{S}), h^8(\widetilde{S}), h^{10}(\widetilde{S}), h^7(\widetilde{S}), h^6(\widetilde{S})\}.$

Because $C(\Omega_{T_0})$ is the quotient of \widetilde{N} by the action of $\langle f \rangle$, any component of the latter two orbits projects injectively to $p^{-1}(\partial_- M_{T_0})$. From the proof of Lemma 2.4, we know that $\phi(\mathbf{g}) = fh^{-1} = h^7 f$ and hence $\phi(\mathbf{g}^{-1}) = hf^{-1}$. Since $h(\widetilde{S})$ and $h^7(\widetilde{S})$ lie in different $\langle f \rangle$ -orbits the lemma's final claim follows.

Let \widetilde{M}_T be the double of $C(\Omega_{T_0})$ across $p_{T_0}^{-1}((S^2 \times \{1\}) - T_0)$ and let $R_T: \widetilde{M}_T \to \widetilde{M}_T$ be the doubling involution. It is straightforward to show that there is an 11-fold cover $p_T: \widetilde{M}_T \to M_T$ that restricts on $C(\Omega_{T_0})$ to p_{T_0} such that $p_T \circ R_T = r_T \circ p_T$. Let $\partial_- \widetilde{M}_T = p_T^{-1}(\partial_- M_T)$.

Corollary 2.7. The mutation of ∂_-M_T determined by (13)(24) has a hidden extension $\Psi: \widetilde{M}_T \to \widetilde{M}_T$ that preserves each component of $p_T^{-1}(\partial_-M_T)$ and commutes with R_T .

Proof. Since $\phi(\mathsf{m}_1)$ normalizes $\langle \phi(\mathsf{f}) \rangle$ in S_{12} , m_1 normalizes Ω_{T_0} . So m_1 induces a self-isometry Ψ_0 of $\mathbb{H}^3/\Omega_{T_0}$ which, by Fact 1.7, preserves M_{T_0} . We claim that Ψ_0 preserves $p_{T_0}^{-1}((S^2 \times \{j\}) - T_0)$ for $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

From Lemma 2.3, we know that $\partial C(H_{T_0})$ has two components. So, under the branched cover $M_{T_0} \to C(H_{T_0})$, the images of the two components of ∂M_{T_0} are distinct. Recall that Proposition 1.1(5) implies $\partial_- M_{T_0} = \mathcal{H}/\Lambda$. Since each component of $p_{T_0}^{-1}(\partial_- M_{T_0})$ is the quotient of a Γ_{T_0} -translate of \mathcal{H} by its stabilizer in Ω_{T_0} , this means that the H_{T_0} - and Γ_{T_0} -orbits of \mathcal{H} are identical. Since $\mathfrak{m}_1 \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) < H_{T_0}$, the Γ_{T_0} -orbit of \mathcal{H} is perserved by \mathfrak{m}_1 . This proves the claim.

Now, using the claim, we obtain an isometry Ψ of M_T that commutes with R_T and agrees with Ψ_0 on $C(\Omega_{T_0})$. In the last part of the proof of Proposition 6.6 from [3], we show that \mathbf{m}_1 does not normalize Γ_T . Hence, Ψ is a hidden symmetry of M_T . Since \mathbf{m}_1 normalizes Λ , Ψ_0 restricts to a lift of (13)(24) on the component of $p_{T_0}^{-1}(\partial_-M_{T_0})$ which is the image of \mathcal{H} . Therefore, Ψ_0 is a hidden extension of this mutation over $C(\Omega_{T_0})$ and Ψ is a hidden extension over \widetilde{M}_T .

Corollary 2.8. There is a covering space $p_S: \widetilde{M}_S \to M_S$ with degree 11 and an isometry $J: \partial \widetilde{M}_S \to \partial_- \widetilde{M}_T$, which lifts the map $j: \partial M_S \to \partial_- M_T$, such that $J^{-1}\Psi J$ extends across \widetilde{M}_S .

Proof. Let \widetilde{M}_S be the disjoint union $M_S \sqcup \widetilde{N}_a \sqcup \widetilde{N}_b$, where \widetilde{N}_a and \widetilde{N}_b are copies of $C(\Omega_0)$. Define J component-wise as follows.

ERIC CHESEBRO AND JASON DEBLOIS

- Recall the isometric embedding $\iota_{-}^{(0)}: F^{(0)} \to \partial M_S$ and let $\iota_{+}: F^{(0)} \to \partial \widetilde{M}_T$ be the isometric embedding given by Lemma 2.6. Define $J|_{\partial M_S}$ as $\iota_{+} \circ (\iota_{-}^{(0)})^{-1}$.
- Let $\iota_a \colon \mathcal{H}/\Lambda_0 \to \partial \widetilde{N}_a$ be the isometric embedding guaranteed by Lemma 2.2 and let $\iota_g \colon \mathcal{H}/\Lambda_0 \to \partial \widetilde{M}_{T_0}$ be the composition of the isometric embedding $g(\mathcal{H})/g\Lambda_0 g^{-1} \to \partial_- \widetilde{M}_{T_0}$ given by Lemma 2.6 with the natural isometry $\mathcal{H}/\Lambda_0 \to g(\mathcal{H})/g\Lambda_0 g^{-1}$. Define $J|_{\partial \widetilde{N}_a}$ as $\iota_g \circ \iota_a^{-1}$.
- Define $J|_{\partial \widetilde{N}_b}$ as $\iota_{g^{-1}} \circ \iota_b^{-1}$ in analogy with the case above.

To see that J lifts j, notice first that Proposition 1.2 implies that $j = \iota_{+}^{(0)}(\iota_{-}^{(0)})^{-1}$. Now, for $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$, the covering map $\mathbb{H}^3/\Omega_0 \to \mathbb{H}^3/\Delta_0$ sends $\Omega_0(x)$ to $\Gamma_0(x)$ and $\mathcal{H}/\Lambda_0 \to F^{(0)}$ sends $\Lambda_0(x)$ to $\Lambda(x)$. So ι_a and ι_b lift ι_0 . Similarly, ι_g and $\iota_{g^{-1}}$ lift $\iota_{+}^{(0)}$, since $\iota_{+}^{(0)}$ factors as the natural composition $F^{(0)} \to \mathbf{x}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbf{x}\Lambda\mathbf{x}^{-1} \to \partial_-M_T$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_T$.

Lemma 5.8 of [3] implies that \mathbf{m}_1 normalizes Δ_0 . So, the restriction of $J^{-1}\Psi J$ to ∂M_S extends over M_S . A calculation shows that $\mathbf{gm}_1\mathbf{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, so $\mathbf{gm}_1\mathbf{g}^{-1}$ preserves \mathcal{H} and \mathbf{m}_1 preserves $\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$. For $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $J^{-1}\Psi J|_{\partial \tilde{N}_a}$ takes $\Omega_0(x)$ to $\Omega_0(\mathbf{g}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{g}(x))$. This map extends over \tilde{N}_a since $\mathbf{g}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_1\mathbf{g}(x) \in H_0$ and, by Lemma 2.2, Ω_0 is normal in H_0 .

Since Ψ takes $\partial_{-}M_{T}$ to itself and preserves the components covered by \mathcal{H} and $\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, it also preserves the component covered by $\mathbf{g}(\mathcal{H})$. For $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $J^{-1}\Psi J|_{\partial \widetilde{N}_{b}}$ takes $\Omega_{0}(x)$ to $\Omega_{0}(\mathbf{gm}_{1}\mathbf{g}^{-1}(x))$. As before, this map extends over \widetilde{N}_{b} because Ω_{0} is normal in H_{0} .

Theorem 2.9. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an 11-sheeted cover $N_n \to B^3 - T_n$ and a hidden extension $\Psi: N_n \to N_n$ of the mutation (13)(24) acting on $S^{(n)} - T_n$. Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Ψ extends to a hidden symmetry of an 11-sheeted cover of $S^3 - L_{m+n}$ that contains N_n .

Proof. Let $\partial_+ \widetilde{M}_T^{(j)} = \partial \widetilde{M}_T^{(j)} - \partial_- \widetilde{M}_T^{(j)}$ and compose $R_T \colon \partial_+ \widetilde{M}_T \to \partial_- \widetilde{M}_T$ with marking maps to obtain isomorphisms $R_T \colon \partial_+ \widetilde{M}_T^{(j)} \to \partial_- \widetilde{M}_T^{(j+1)}$. Define N_n to be the adjunction space

$$\widetilde{M}_S \cup_J \widetilde{M}_T^{(1)} \cup_{R_T} \cdots \cup_{R_T} \widetilde{M}_T^{(n)}.$$

Because J lifts j and R_T lifts r_T , the covering maps p_S and p_T determine covering spaces $p_n: N_n \to B^3 - T_n$, which restrict to p_S and p_T on the factors of the adjunction space.

The hidden extension Ψ is given on each of the $\widetilde{M}_T^{(i)}$ by the eponymous symmetry from Corollary 2.7 and on \widetilde{M}_S by the extension of $J^{-1}\Psi J$ described in Corollary 2.8. Corollary 2.7 implies that $\Psi: N_n \to N_n$ does not descend to $B^3 - T_n$.

Let $\overline{p}_n \colon \overline{N}_m \to \overline{B^3 - T_m}$ be the mirror image of the cover p_n and $\overline{\Psi} \colon \overline{N}_m \to \overline{N}_m$ the mirror image of Ψ . Using the mirror map $\partial N_n \to \partial N_m$ to glue, we form an adjunction space $\widetilde{M}_{m+n} = N_n \cup \overline{N}_m$. The covering maps p_n and \overline{p}_n determine a covering space $\widetilde{M}_{m+n} \to S^3 - L_{m+n}$ and Ψ and $\overline{\Psi}$ determine an isometry $\widetilde{M}_{m+n} \to \widetilde{M}_{m+n}$. As defined, the covering space and isometry restrict to p_n and Ψ on $N_n \subset \widetilde{M}_{m+n}$.

14

Remark 2.10. The referee has asked whether the covers of $B^3 - T_n$ and $S^3 - L_{m+n}$ described in Theorem 2.9 have minimal degree among those admitting hidden extensions of (13)(24). We suspect this is so but cannot quite prove it. Below we prove a related but weaker assertion. Suppose $\Phi: M_1 \to M_2$ is a hidden symmetry of M_{T_0} that restricts to a lift of (13)(24) on a component S_1 of $p_1^{-1}(\partial_-M_T)$, where $p_1: M_1 \to M_{T_0}$ and $p_2: M_2 \to M_{T_0}$ are finite-degree connected covers of M_{T_0} . If Φ is induced by some $n \in H_{T_0}$ from Lemma 2.3 then the p_i have degree at least 11.

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be the finite-index subgroups of Γ_{T_0} respectively corresponding to the M_i . There exist finite-index subgroups Λ_i of Λ , and $g_i \in \Gamma_{T_0} - \Gamma_i$ so that S_1 is represented in Γ_1 by $g_1\Lambda_1g_1^{-1}$ and $S_2 = \Phi(S_1)$ by $g_2\Lambda_2g_2^{-1}$. For each *i*, the restriction of p_i to S_i has $p_{i*}(g_i\lambda g_i^{-1}) = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_i$. That $\Phi: S_1 \to S_2$ lifts (13)(24) translates at the level of induced maps to

$$\mathsf{m}_1\lambda\mathsf{m}_1^{-1} = g_2^{-1}\Phi_*(g_1\lambda g_1^{-1})g_2 = g_2^{-1}\mathsf{n}g_1\lambda g_1^{-1}\mathsf{n}^{-1}g_2$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$, since $\mathbf{m}_1 \colon \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is the induced map of (13)(24). But the centralizer of Λ_1 in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is trivial, so we have $\mathbf{n} = g_2 \mathbf{m}_1 g_1^{-1}$. Lemma 2.4(3) now implies that Γ_1 has index at least 11 in Γ_{T_0} .

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the referee for asking interesting questions, and for suggesting changes that have improved the quality of this paper.

References

- I. R. Aitchison and J. H. Rubinstein. Combinatorial cubings, cusps, and the dodecahedral knots. In *Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990)*, volume 1 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 17–26. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] Michel Boileau, Steven Boyer, Radu Cebanu, and Genevieve S. Walsh. Knot commensurability and the Berge conjecture. *Geom. Topol.*, 16(2):625–664, 2012.
- [3] Eric Chesebro and Jason DeBlois. Algebraic invariants, mutation, and commensurability of link complements. *Pacific J. Math.*, 267(2):341–398, 2014.
- [4] David Coulson, Oliver A. Goodman, Craig D. Hodgson, and Walter D. Neumann. Computing arithmetic invariants of 3-manifolds. *Experiment. Math.*, 9(1):127–152, 2000.
- [5] Marc Culler, Nathan M. Dunfield, Matthias Goerner, and Jeffrey R. Weeks. SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. Available at http://snappy.computop.org.
- [6] Nathan Dunfield. Private communication.
- [7] Neil Hoffman. On knot complements that decompose into regular ideal dodecahedra. Preprint. arXiv:1209.1004, September 2012. To appear, *Geom. Dedicata*.
- [8] Melissa L. Macasieb and Thomas W. Mattman. Commensurability classes of (-2, 3, n) pretzel knot complements. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 8(3):1833–1853, 2008.
- Walter D. Neumann and Alan W. Reid. Arithmetic of hyperbolic manifolds. In Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990), volume 1 of Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 273–310. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [10] Alan W. Reid. Arithmeticity of knot complements. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 43(1):171–184, 1991.
- [11] Alan W. Reid and Genevieve S. Walsh. Commensurability classes of 2-bridge knot complements. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 8(2):1031–1057, 2008.
- [12] W. P. Thurston. The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. mimeographed lecture notes, 1979.
- [13] Robert J. Zimmer. Ergodic theory and semisimple groups, volume 81 of Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Montana $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ Eric.Chesebro@mso.umt.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH *E-mail address*: jdeblois@pitt.edu