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#### Abstract

The slope conjecture proposed by Garoufalidis asserts that the Jones slopes given by the sequence of degrees of the colored Jones polynomials are boundary slopes. We verify the slope conjecture for graph knots, i.e. knots whose Gromov volume vanish.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a knot in the $3-$ sphere $S^{3}$ and $E(K)$ the exterior $S^{3}-\operatorname{int} N(K)$. Denote by $(\mu, \lambda)$ the preferred meridian-longitude pair of $K$. Then any homotopically nontrivial simple closed curves in $\partial E(K)$ represents $p[\mu]+q[\lambda] \in H_{1}(\partial E(K))$ for some relatively prime integers $p$ and $q$. We call $p / q \in \mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}$ a boundary slope of $K$ if there exists a connected, orientable, incompressible and boundary-incompressible surface $F$ such that a component of $\partial F$ represents $p[\mu]+q[\lambda] \in$ $H_{1}(\partial E(K))$. Let us define:

$$
b s(K)=\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\} \mid r \text { is a boundary slope of } K\} .
$$

Following Hatcher [8] $b s(K)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}$ for every knot $K$.
The colored Jones function of $K$ is a sequence of Laurent polynomials $J_{K, n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J_{K, 2}(q)$ is the ordinary Jones polynomial of $K$. Let $\delta_{K}(n)$ be the maximum degree of $J_{K, n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$. We call $x \in \mathbb{R}$ a cluster point of a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ if $x$ is a limit point of a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. We define $j s(K)$ as follows:

$$
j s(K)=\left\{\text { cluster points of the sequence }\left\{\frac{4 \delta_{K}(n)}{n^{2}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right\} .
$$

Since the colored Jones function is $q$-holonomic [4] Theorem 1], Theorem 1 in [3] shows $\delta_{K}(n)$ is a quadratic quasi-polynomial, i.e.

$$
\delta_{K}(n)=c_{2}(n) n^{2}+c_{1}(n) n+c_{0}(n)
$$

for rational valued periodic functions $c_{i}(n)$ with an integral period. By Lemma 1.8 in [3], $j s(K)$ is the finite set of 4 times the rational values of the periodic function $c_{2}(n)$. Using the minimum degree $\delta_{K}^{*}(q)$ of $J_{K, n}(q)$ instead of $\delta_{K}(n)$, we can define:

$$
j s^{*}(K)=\left\{\text { cluster points of the sequence }\left\{\frac{4 \delta_{K}^{*}(n)}{n^{2}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right\} .
$$

As noted in [3, 1.4], $\delta_{K}^{*}(n)=-\delta_{K^{*}}(n)$ and thus $j s^{*}(K)=-j s\left(K^{*}\right)$, where $K^{*}$ is the mirror image of $K$ and $-X:=\left\{-x_{1}, \ldots,-x_{m}\right\}$ if $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. We call an element in $j s(K) \cup j s^{*}(K)$ a Jones slope of $K$.

In [3], Garoufalidis proposed the following conjecture which relates Jones slopes and boundary slopes.

Conjecture 1.1 (Slope conjecture). For any knot K, every Jones slope is a boundary slope, i.e. $j s(K) \cup j s^{*}(K) \subset b s(K)$.

The conjecture was verified for torus knots, some non-alternating knots, the $(-2,3, p)$-pretzel knots [3], adequate knots [2] and a 2-parameter family of 2-fusion knots [1, 5]. Note that the class of adequate knots includes all alternating knots and most Montesinos knots. Recently, the conjecture was verified for iterated cables of adequate knots and for iterated torus knots. [10, 11].

In the present note we give further supporting evidence for the sloe conjecture by proving:
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ satisfy the slope conjecture, then the connected sum $K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$ also satisfies the slope conjecture.

A knot $K$ is called a graph knot if its exterior $E(K)$ is a graph manifold, i.e. there is a family of tori which decomposes $E(K)$ into Seifert fiber spaces. This implies that any graph knot is obtained from unknots by a finite sequence of operations of cabling and connected sum; see 6, Corollary 4.2]. A graph knot can be also characterized as a knot whose Gromov volume vanishes [7, 16, 17].

As an application of Theorem 1.2 and [10] we establish:
Theorem 1.3. Every graph knot satisfies the slope conjecture.
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## 2. The slope conjecture and connected sum operation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2, i.e. the positivity of the slope conjecture is preserved under connected sum.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we describe jones slopes $j s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2.1. If $p / q \in j s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$, then there exist $p_{1} / q_{1} \in j s\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2} / q_{2} \in j s\left(K_{2}\right)$ such that $p / q=p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let us put $\delta_{K_{i}}(n)=\alpha_{i}(n) n^{2}+\beta_{i}(n) n+\gamma_{i}(n)$ for $i=1,2$, where $\alpha_{i}(n), \beta_{i}(n)$ and $\gamma_{i}(n)$ are periodic functions with integral periods. It is known that

$$
J_{K_{1} \sharp K_{2}, n}(q)=J_{K_{1}, n}(q) J_{K_{2}, n}(q)
$$

and so we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{K_{1} \sharp K_{2}}(n)= & \delta_{K_{1}}(n)+\delta_{K_{2}}(n) \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n)\right) n^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}(n)+\beta_{2}(n)\right) n+\left(\gamma_{1}(n)+\gamma_{2}(n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{i}(n), \beta_{i}(n)$ and $\gamma_{i}(n)$ are periodic functions with integral periods, so are $\alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n)$, $\beta_{1}(n)+\beta_{2}(n)$, and $\gamma_{1}(n)+\gamma_{2}(n)$. Therefore, the Jones slope $p / q$ of $K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$ is an element of the finite set of the rational values of $4\left(\alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n)\right)=4 \alpha_{1}(n)+4 \alpha_{2}(n)$, and hence $p / q=p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$ for some Jones slopes $p_{1} / q_{1} \in j s\left(K_{1}\right), p_{2} / q_{2} \in j s\left(K_{2}\right)$.
$\square$ (Lemma 2.1)
Since every composite knot has an essential meridional annulus, in the following we may assume $q_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2$.

Lemma 2.2. If $p_{1} / q_{1} \in b s\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2} / q_{2} \in b s\left(K_{2}\right)$, then $p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2} \in b s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$.
Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $A$ be an essential annulus in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ which decomposes $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ into $E\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $E\left(K_{2}\right)$. Let $F_{i}$ be an essential surface in $E\left(K_{i}\right)$ and $m_{i}$ the number of boundary components of $F_{i}$. (If $p_{i} \neq 0$, then for homological reason $m_{i}$ is an even integer.) Then $\partial F_{i}$ consists of $m_{i}$ mutually parallel loops each of which has slope $p_{i} / q_{i}\left(q_{i}>0\right)$. Note that the core of $A$ is a meridian of $K_{i}$ and choose $F_{i}$ so that $A \cap F_{i}$ consists of $m_{i} q_{i}$ spanning arcs in $A$. See Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1. Essential surfaces $F_{1} \subset E\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $F_{2} \subset E\left(K_{2}\right)$ with $m_{1}=2, q_{1}=$ $2, m_{2}=2, q_{2}=1 ; \partial F_{1}=\gamma_{1,1} \cup \gamma_{1,2}, \partial F_{2}=\gamma_{2,1} \cup \gamma_{2,2}$.

Orient $\partial F_{i}$ so that they run the same direction on $\partial E\left(K_{i}\right)$ (independent of an orientation induced from $F_{i}$ ) and a component of $\partial F_{1}$ and that of $\partial F_{2}$ has opposite orientations on $A$ as in Figure 2.1. In general, $m_{1} q_{1} \neq m_{2} q_{2}$, i.e. the number of components of $A \cap F_{1}$ does not coincide with that of $A \cap F_{2}$, so we take $m_{2} q_{2}$ parallel copies of $F_{1}$ and $m_{1} q_{1}$ parallel copies of $F_{2}$. Let us denote these (disconnected) surfaces by $m_{2} q_{2} F_{1} \subset E\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $m_{1} q_{1} F_{2} \subset E\left(K_{2}\right)$, respectively. We give an orientation on the boundary of $m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}$ (resp. $m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}$ ) so that it coincides with that of
$\partial F_{1}$ (resp. $\partial F_{2}$ ). Since both $A \cap m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}$ and $A \cap m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}$ consist of $m_{1} m_{2} q_{1} q_{2}$ spanning arcs in $A$, we can connect $m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}$ and $m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}$ along the annulus $A$ to obtain a possibly disconnected surface $F^{\prime}$ in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$. Note that all the components of $\partial F^{\prime}$ run the same direction on $\partial E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ with respect to the orientation given in the above.

Claim 2.3. Each component of $F^{\prime} \cap \partial E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ has slope $p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$.
Proof of Claim 2.3. Let $\left(\mu_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ and $(\mu, \lambda)$ be preferred meridian-longitude pairs of $K_{i}$ and $K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$; we take $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu \subset \partial A$. Orient them so that $\left\langle\mu_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right\rangle=\langle\mu, \lambda\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle\mu, \partial F^{\prime}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle\mu_{1}, \partial\left(m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu_{2}, \partial\left(m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}\right)\right\rangle>0$, where $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ denotes the algebraic intersection number between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Then

$$
\left\langle\mu, \partial F^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu_{1}, \partial\left(m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}\right)\right\rangle=m_{2} q_{2}\left\langle\mu_{1}, \partial F_{1}\right\rangle=m_{2} q_{2}\left(m_{1} q_{1}\right)=m_{1} m_{2} q_{1} q_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial F^{\prime}, \lambda\right\rangle & =\left\langle\partial\left(m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}\right), \lambda_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\partial\left(m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}\right), \lambda_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =m_{2} q_{2}\left\langle\partial F_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right\rangle+m_{1} q_{1}\left\langle\partial F_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =m_{2} q_{2}\left(m_{1} p_{1}\right)+m_{1} q_{1}\left(m_{2} p_{2}\right) \\
& =m_{1} m_{2} p_{1} q_{2}+m_{1} m_{2} q_{1} p_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $F^{\prime} \cap \partial E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ represents

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{1} m_{2} p_{1} q_{2}+m_{1} m_{2} q_{1} p_{2}\right)[\mu]+m_{1} m_{2} q_{1} q_{2}[\lambda] \\
& =m_{1} m_{2}\left(\left(p_{1} q_{2}+q_{1} p_{2}\right)[\mu]+q_{1} q_{2}[\lambda]\right) \in H_{1}\left(\partial E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k$ be the greatest common divisor of $p_{1} q_{2}+q_{1} p_{2}$ and $q_{1} q_{2}$. Then $F^{\prime} \cap \partial E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ consists of $m_{1} m_{2} k$ parallel loops each of which has slope

$$
\frac{\left(p_{1} q_{2}+q_{1} p_{2}\right) / k}{\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right) / k}=\left(p_{1} q_{2}+q_{1} p_{2}\right) / q_{1} q_{2}=p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}
$$

Let $F$ be a connected component of $F^{\prime}$. If $F$ is non-orientable, then we take a tubular neighborhood $N(F)$ of $F$ in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ and we replace $F$ by $\partial N(F)$, which is an orientable double cover of $F$ and each component of $\partial N(F)$ has slope $p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$; for simplicity we continue to use the same symbol $F$ to denote $\partial N(F)$. Since $F_{i} \subset E\left(K_{i}\right)$ is orientable, $F \cap E\left(K_{i}\right)$ consists of parallel copies of $F_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Note also that for each component of $F \cap E\left(K_{i}\right)$, its boundary component across $A$ in the same direction.

Claim 2.4. The surface $F$ is essential in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$.
Proof of Claim 2.4. Suppose for a contradiction that $F$ is compressible. Let $D$ be a compressing disk of $F$. If $A \cap D=\emptyset$, then $D$ is entirely contained in $E\left(K_{i}\right)$ and $F_{i}$ is compressible, contradicting the assumption. So in the following we assume $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $F \cap E\left(K_{i}\right)$ consists of parallel copies of $F_{i}$. Note that $A \cap F$ consists of spanning arcs in $A$ in minimal number of components (Figure 2.2). We may assume that $D$ intersects $A$ transversely and the number of components of $A \cap D$ is minimal. Then $A \cap D$ consists of circles and arcs whose endpoints belong to $A \cap F$.

Since $A$ is incompressible, we eliminate the circle components, and thus $A \cap D$ consists of arcs; see Figure 2.2


Figure 2.2. $A \cap F$ and $A \cap D$
Then $A \cap D$ consists of properly embedded arcs in $D$. Let $\gamma$ be an outermost arc of $A \cap D$ in $D ; \gamma$ cuts off an outermost disk $\Delta$. There are two possibilities: (i) $\partial \gamma$ is contained in a single arc $\tau$ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(i)), or (ii) $\gamma$ is an arc connecting two spanning arcs $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(ii)).

(i)

(ii)

Figure 2.3. An outermost arc $\gamma$ of $A \cap D$ in $D$ and its possible situation in $A$

Suppose that $\partial \gamma$ is contained in a single arc $\tau$ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(i)). Then $\gamma$ is parallel to $\tau$; $\gamma$ and $\tau$ cobound a disk $\Delta^{\prime} \subset A$ (If $A \cap F$ consists of a single arc $\tau$, then although there would be a possibility that $\gamma$ starts from one side of $\tau$ and ends in the other side of $\tau$, this cannot happen for homological reason.) Let $F_{\Delta}$ be a unique component of $F \cap E\left(K_{1}\right)$ or $F \cap E\left(K_{2}\right)$ intersecting $\partial \Delta ; F_{\Delta}$ is a parallel copy of $F_{1}$ or $F_{2}$. Then by the incompressibility of $F_{i}$ in $E\left(K_{i}\right)$ and the irreducibility of $E\left(K_{i}\right)$, the disk $\Delta \cup \Delta^{\prime}$ is parallel to a disk in $\partial E\left(K_{i}\right)$. Thus we can isotope $D$ so that $\gamma$ is removed from $A \cap D$. This contradicts the minimality of the number of components of $A \cap D$.

Next assume that $\gamma$ is an arc connecting two spanning arcs $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(ii)). As above we take a unique component $F_{\Delta}$ of $F \cap E\left(K_{1}\right)$ or $F \cap E\left(K_{2}\right)$ intersecting $\partial \Delta ; F_{\Delta}$ is a parallel copy of $F_{1}$ or $F_{2}$. Since $F_{\Delta}$ is boundary-incompressible, $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are contained in a single component of $\partial F_{\Delta}$ and run in opposite directions in $A$, a contradiction. It follows that $F$ is incompressible in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$.

Since $K_{i}$ is non-trivial and $F_{i}$ is not a disk for $i=1,2, F$ is not an annulus. Hence (9) Lemma 1.10] shows that $F$ is boundary-incompressible as well. Thus $F$ is a desired essential surface in $E\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$ with boundary slope $p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$.

This completes a proof of Lemma 2.2
$\square$ (Lemma 2.2)

Remark 2.5. In the above construction of the surface $F^{\prime}$, we can slide or twist several times $m_{1} q_{1} F_{2}$ along the annulus $A$ before connecting with $m_{2} q_{2} F_{1}$ without changing its boundary slope, so $F^{\prime}$ is not unique.

Let us turn to a proof of Theorem 1.2. Before proving the theorem, we note the following general fact.

Claim 2.6. Let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$. If $j s^{*}(K) \subset b s(K)$, then $j s\left(K^{*}\right) \subset b s\left(K^{*}\right)$.
Proof of Claim 2.6. If $r \in j s\left(K^{*}\right)$, then $-r \in-j s\left(K^{*}\right)=j s^{*}(K) \subset b s(K)$. Thus $r \in-b s(K)=$ $b s\left(K^{*}\right)$.
$\square($ Claim 2.6)
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume first that $p / q \in j s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$. Then as shown in Lemma 2.1, $p / q=p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2}$ for some Jones slopes $p_{1} / q_{1} \in j s\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2} / q_{2} \in j s\left(K_{2}\right)$. Since $p_{1} / q_{1} \in$ $j s\left(K_{1}\right) \subset b s\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2} / q_{2} \in j s\left(K_{2}\right) \subset b s\left(K_{2}\right)$ by the initial assumption, Lemma 2.2 shows that $p / q=p_{1} / q_{1}+p_{2} / q_{2} \in b s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$.

Next assume that $p / q \in j s^{*}\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$. Then $-p / q \in j s\left(\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)^{*}\right)=j s\left(K_{1}^{*} \sharp K_{2}^{*}\right)$. Since $K_{i}$ satisfies the slope conjecture, $j s^{*}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset b s\left(K_{i}\right)$, thus by Claim $2.6 j s\left(K_{i}^{*}\right) \subset b s\left(K_{i}^{*}\right)$. Apply the above argument to $K_{1}^{*}$ and $K_{2}^{*}$ to conclude that $-p / q \in b s\left(K_{1}^{*} \sharp K_{2}^{*}\right)=b s\left(\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)^{*}\right)$. Hence $p / q \in b s\left(K_{1} \sharp K_{2}\right)$. This completes a proof of Theorem 1.2 $\square$ (Theorem 1.2)

## 3. The slope conjecture and cabling operation

Let $V$ be a standardly embedded solid torus in $S^{3}$ and $k$ a 0 -bridge braid in $V$ which wraps $p$ times in meridional direction and $q$ times in longitudinal direction; $k$ is a $(p, q)$-torus knot in $S^{3}$. In the following, we assume $q>1$. Given a nontrivial knot $K$, take an orientation preserving embedding $f: V \rightarrow S^{3}$ such that the core of $f(V)$ is $K$ and $f$ sends a preferred longitude of $V$ to that of $K$. Then the image $f(k)$ is called the $(p, q)-c a b l e$ of $K$ and denoted by $C_{p, q}(K)$. We begin by describing Jones slopes of $C_{p, q}(K)$. Let us write $\delta_{K}(n)=c_{2}(n) n^{2}+c_{1}(n) n+c_{0}(n)$, where $c_{i}(n)$ is a periodic function with an integral period.

In [10, Proposition 3.2] Kalfagianni and Tran describe how jones slopes behave under cabling operation. See also [11. It should be noted here that our normalization of colored Jones functions is slightly different from that in [10, and $a(n), b(n)$ in 10 correspond to $c_{2}(n), c_{1}(n)+\frac{1}{2}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.1 ( $\boxed{10})$. Assume that $\delta_{K}(n)$ has period at most 2 , $c_{1}(n)+\frac{1}{2} \leq 0$ and $4 c_{2}(n) \neq \frac{p}{q}$ for sufficiently large $n$. If $r \in j s\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$, then $r=p q$ or $a q^{2} / b$ for some $a / b \in j s(K)$.

Since the Jones slope $p q$ is the boundary slope of the cabling annulus of $C_{p, q}(K), p q \in$ $b s\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$. The next result was essentially shown by Klaff and Shalen [12, but we give a modified proof here. See also [10, Theorem 2.2].

Lemma 3.2. If $a / b \in b s(K)$, then $a q^{2} / b \in b s\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$.
Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $M_{p, q}=V-\operatorname{int} N(k)$, the standard $(p, q)$-cable space. We denote preferred meridian-longitude pairs of $V$ and $N(k)$ by $\left(\mu_{V}, \lambda_{V}\right)$ and $(\mu, \lambda)$, respectively. Then $H_{1}\left(M_{p, q}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\left[\lambda_{V}\right]$ and $[\mu]$. Let $D$ be a $q$-th punctured meridian disk of $V$ and $A$ an obvious annulus connecting $\partial V$ and $\partial N(k)$. With appropriate orientations we have $[D \cap \partial V]=\left[\mu_{V}\right],[D \cap \partial N(k)]=-q[\mu],[A \cap \partial V]=p\left[\mu_{V}\right]+q\left[\lambda_{V}\right],[A \cap \partial N(k)]=-p q[\mu]-[\lambda]$, and thus $\left[\mu_{V}\right]=q[\mu],[\lambda]=q\left[\lambda_{V}\right]$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{p, q}\right)$.

Let $S$ be an oriented surface in $M_{p, q}$ representing the nontrivial homology class $(a q-b p)[D]+$ $b[A] \in H_{2}\left(M_{p, q}, \partial M_{p, q}\right)$. We can construct $S$ by the "double-curve sum" of ( $a q-b p$ ) parallel copies of $D$ and $b$ parallel copies of $A$ (i.e. cut and paste along their intersection arcs to get an embedded surface representing the desired homology class); see Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1. Double-curve sum
Then it is easy to see that each component of $S \cap \partial V$ has slope $a / b$, and that of $S \cap \partial N(k)$ has slope $a q^{2} / b$. If $S$ is compressible, then after compression, we take a connected component $S_{0}$ of $S$ which represents nontrivial homology class in $H_{2}\left(M_{p, q}, \partial M_{p, q}\right)$. Since $S_{0}$ represents a nontrivial homology class, it is not a boundary-parallel annulus. Thus the incompressible surface $S_{0}$ is also boundary-incompressible [9, Lemma 1.10], i.e. $S_{0}$ is essential in $M_{p, q}$. Following [9, Proposition 1.11], we may assume (up to isotopy) that $S_{0}$ is horizontal (i.e. transverse to all Seifert fibers of $M_{p, q}$ ) or vertical (i.e. consists of Seifert fibers of $M_{p, q}$ ). If $S_{0}$ is vertical, then since $S_{0}$ is homologically nontrivial, $S_{0}=A$. If $S_{0}$ is horizontal, then $S_{0} \cap \partial V$ and $S_{0} \cap \partial N(k)$ are not empty. In particular, each component of $S_{0} \cap \partial V$ has slope $a / b$, and that of $S_{0} \cap \partial N(k)$ has slope $a q^{2} / b$. Let $m_{0}$ be the number of components of $\partial S_{0}$ on $\partial V$.

Now recall that $C_{p, q}(K)=f(k)$, where $f: V \rightarrow S^{3}$ is the orientation preserving embedding such that the core of $f(V)$ is $K$. Then $E\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)=E(K) \cup f\left(M_{p, q}\right)$ in which $T=\partial E(K)=\partial f(V)$ is an essential torus. Since $a / b \in b s(K)$, we have an essential surface $S_{1} \subset E(K)$ which has $m_{1}$ boundary components each of which has slope $a / b$. Let us take $m_{1}$ parallel copies of $f\left(S_{0}\right)$ and $m_{0}$ parallel copies of $S_{1}$. Connecting them, we obtain a possibly disconnected surface $F^{\prime}$ in $E\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$. Let $F$ be a connected component of $F^{\prime}$. If $F$ is non-orientable, then as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we replace $F$ by $\partial N(F)$, where $N(F)$ is a tubular neighborhood of $F$ in $E\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$. In the latter case, we continue to use the same symbol $F$ to denote $\partial N(F)$. Since $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ are orientable, $F \cap E(K)$ consists of parallel copies of $S_{1}$, and $F \cap f\left(M_{p, q}\right)$ consists of parallel copies
of $f\left(S_{0}\right)$. Applying the proof of Claim 2.4. where we use the essentiality of $T$ instead of that of $A$, we see that $F$ is an essential surface in $E\left(C_{p, q}(K)\right)$ with boundary slope $a q^{2} / b$. $\square$ (Lemma (3.2)

## 4. The Slope conjecture for graph knots

Recall that for any knot $K, \delta_{K}(n)$ (resp. $\delta_{K}^{*}(n)$ ) is a quadratic quasi-polynomial $c_{2}(n) n^{2}+$ $c_{1}(n) n+c_{0}(n)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.c_{2}^{*}(n) n^{2}+c_{1}^{*}(n) n+c_{0}^{*}(n)\right)$.

Definition 4.1. We say that $K$ satisfies Condition $\delta$ if
(1) $\delta_{K}(n)$ and $\delta_{K}^{*}(n)$ have period at most 2 ,
(2) $c_{1}(n)+\frac{1}{2} \leq 0$ and $c_{1}^{*}(n)-\frac{1}{2} \geq 0$, and
(3) $4 c_{2}(n), 4 c_{2}^{*}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the maximal set of knots each of which satisfies the slope conjecture and Condition $\delta$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under connected sum and cabling.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let us take $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$. By Theorem 1.2, $K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$ satisfies the slope conjecture. Then it remains to see:

Claim 4.3. $K_{1} \sharp K_{2}$ satisfies Condition $\delta$.
Proof of Claim 4.3. Let us write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{K_{1}}(n)=\alpha_{1}(n) n^{2}+\beta_{1}(n) n+\gamma_{1}(n), \\
& \delta_{K_{2}}(n)=\alpha_{2}(n) n^{2}+\beta_{2}(n) n+\gamma_{2}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have:

$$
\delta_{K_{1} \sharp K_{2}}(n)=\left(\alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n)\right) n^{2}+\left(\beta_{1}(n)+\beta_{2}(n)\right) n+\left(\gamma_{1}(n)+\gamma_{2}(n)\right) .
$$

Since the common period of $\alpha_{i}(n), \beta_{i}(n)$ and $\gamma_{i}(n)$ is at most $2, \alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n), \beta_{1}(n)+\beta_{2}(n)$ and $\gamma_{1}(n)+\gamma_{2}(n)$ have period at most 2 , and hence $\delta_{K_{1} \sharp K_{2}}(n)$ has also period $\leq 2$. Since $\beta_{1}(n)+\frac{1}{2} \leq 0$ and $\beta_{2}(n)+\frac{1}{2} \leq 0,\left(\beta_{1}(n)+\beta_{2}(n)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \leq 0$, which shows $(2)$. It is obvious that $4\left(\alpha_{1}(n)+\alpha_{2}(n)\right)$ is an integer. It is easy to check the remaining conditions in a similar fashion.

Claim 4.4. Let us take $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and its cable $C(K)$. Then $C(K) \in \mathcal{K}$.
Proof of Claim 4.4. This was shown in [10. Combining Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Claim 2.6 we see that $C(K)$ satisfies the slope conjecture [10, Theorem 3.4]. Proposition 3.2 in [10, together with mirroring technique, shows that $C(K)$ satisfies Condition $\delta$ as well.
$\square$ (Claim 4.4)
This establishes Proposition 4.2 $\square$ (Proposition 4.2)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $K$ be a graph knot. If $K$ is the trivial knot, $K$ obviously satisfies the slope conjecture $\left(j s(K) \cup j s^{*}(K)=b s(K)=\{0\}\right)$. If $K$ is nontrivial, then $K$ is obtained from torus knots by a finite sequence of operations of cabling and connected sum; see [6, Corollary 4.2].

Garoufalidis [3, 4.8] proves the slope conjecture for torus knots. Actually he computes their colored Jones functions of $T_{p, q}(p, q>0)$ explicitly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{T_{p, q}}(n)=\frac{p q}{4} n^{2}-\frac{1}{2} n-\frac{p q-2}{4}-\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right) \frac{(p-2)(q-2)}{8} \\
& \delta_{T_{p, q}}^{*}(n)=\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} n-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is easy to see that $T_{p, q}$ satisfies Condition $\delta$. Since $\delta_{T_{-p, q}}(n)=-\delta_{T_{p, q}}^{*}(n)$ and $\delta_{T_{-p, q}}^{*}(n)=$ $-\delta_{T_{p, q}}(n)$, any nontrivial torus knot satisfies Condition $\delta$. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that the set of nontrivial graph knots is contained in $\mathcal{K}$. Thus any graph knot satisfies the slope conjecture.
$\square$ (Theorem 1.3)
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