

CABLING, CONNECTED SUM OPERATIONS AND THE SLOPE CONJECTURE

KIMIHIKO MOTEGI AND TOSHIE TAKATA

ABSTRACT. The slope conjecture proposed by Garoufalidis asserts that the Jones slopes given by the sequence of degrees of the colored Jones polynomials are boundary slopes. We prove that if K_1 and K_2 satisfy the slope conjecture, then their connected sum $K_1 \sharp K_2$ also satisfies the slope conjecture. Furthermore, if K satisfies the slope conjecture and a certain condition on the degree of the colored Jones polynomial, then its cable also satisfies the slope conjecture. As an application, we verify the slope conjecture for graph knots.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a knot in the 3-sphere S^3 and $E(K)$ the exterior $S^3 - \text{int}N(K)$. Denote by (μ, λ) the preferred meridian-longitude pair of K . Then any homotopically nontrivial simple closed curves in $\partial E(K)$ represents $p[\mu] + q[\lambda] \in H_1(\partial E(K))$ for some relatively prime integers p and q . We call $p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ a *boundary slope* of K if there exists a connected, orientable, incompressible and boundary-incompressible surface F such that a component of ∂F represents $p[\mu] + q[\lambda] \in H_1(\partial E(K))$. Let us define:

$$bs(K) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} \mid r \text{ is a boundary slope of } K \right\}.$$

Following Hatcher [8] $bs(K)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ for every knot K .

The *colored Jones function* of K is a sequence of Laurent polynomials $J_{K,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J_{K,2}(q)$ is the ordinary Jones polynomial of K . Let $\delta_K(n)$ be the maximum degree of $J_{K,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$. We call $x \in \mathbb{R}$ a *cluster point* of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ if x is a limit point of a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. We define $js(K)$ as follows:

$$js(K) = \left\{ \text{cluster points of the sequence } \left\{ \frac{4\delta_K(n)}{n^2} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}.$$

Since the colored Jones function is q -holonomic [4, Theorem 1], Theorem 1 in [3] shows $\delta_K(n)$ is a *quadratic quasi-polynomial*, i.e.

$$\delta_K(n) = c_2(n)n^2 + c_1(n)n + c_0(n)$$

for rational valued periodic functions $c_i(n)$ with an integral period. By Lemma 1.8 in [3], $js(K)$ is the finite set of 4 times the rational values of the periodic function

$c_2(n)$. Using the minimum degree $\delta_K^*(q)$ of $J_{K,n}(q)$ instead of $\delta_K(n)$, we can define:

$$js^*(K) = \left\{ \text{cluster points of the sequence } \left\{ \frac{4\delta_K^*(n)}{n^2} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}.$$

As noted in [3, 1.4], $\delta_K^*(n) = -\delta_{K^*}(n)$ and thus $js^*(K) = -js(K^*)$, where K^* is the mirror image of K and $-X := \{-x_1, \dots, -x_m\}$ if $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. We call an element in $js(K) \cup js^*(K)$ a *Jones slope* of K .

In [3], Garoufalidis proposed the following conjecture which relates Jones slopes and boundary slopes.

Conjecture 1.1 (Slope conjecture). *For any knot K , every Jones slope is a boundary slope, i.e. $js(K) \cup js^*(K) \subset bs(K)$.*

The conjecture was verified for torus knots, some non-alternating knots including the $(-2, 3, 7)$ -pretzel knot [3], adequate knots [2] and a 2-parameter family of 2-fusion knots [1, 5]. Note that the class of adequate knots includes all alternating knots and most Montesinos knots. In the present note we prove the following results which give further supporting evidence for the slope conjecture.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that K_1 and K_2 satisfy the slope conjecture, then the connected sum $K_1 \# K_2$ also satisfies the slope conjecture.*

Recall that for any knot K , $\delta_K(n)$ (resp. $\delta_K^*(n)$) is a quadratic quasi-polynomial $c_2(n)n^2 + c_1(n)n + c_0(n)$ (resp. $c_2^*(n)n^2 + c_1^*(n)n + c_0^*(n)$). If both $c_1(n)$ and $c_1^*(n)$ have period at most 2, then we say that K satisfies *Condition δ* .

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that K satisfies Condition δ and the slope conjecture. Then a (p, q) -cable $C_{p,q}(K)$ of K also satisfies the slope conjecture.*

Very recently Kalfagianni and Tran [10] prove Theorem 1.3 under the assumption that $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$ have period at most 2, $4c_1(n) + 2 \leq 0$ and $4c_1^*(n) - 2 \geq 0$. While there exist knots which may not satisfy Condition δ [3], many knots satisfy the Condition δ . For instance torus knots, some non-alternating knots [3], adequate knots [13] satisfy Condition δ . See also [12, Proposition 2.1] and [10, Theorem 3.10].

A knot K is called a *graph knot* if its exterior $E(K)$ is a graph manifold, i.e. there is a family of tori which decomposes $E(K)$ into Seifert fiber spaces. This implies that any graph knot is obtained from unknots by a finite sequence of operations of cabling and connected sum; see [6, Corollary 4.2]. A graph knot can be also characterized as a knot whose Gromov volume vanishes [7, 15, 16]. As an application of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we establish:

Corollary 1.4. *Every graph knot satisfies the slope conjecture.*

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Effie Kalfagianni and Anh Tran for suggesting an error in an earlier version of the paper.

K.M. has been partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 26400099, The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan and Joint Research Grant of Institute of Natural Sciences at Nihon University for 2014. T.T. has been partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 25400094, The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

2. THE SLOPE CONJECTURE AND CONNECTED SUM OPERATION

In this section we establish Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.1. *If $p/q \in js(K_1 \# K_2)$, then there exist $p_1/q_1 \in js(K_1)$ and $p_2/q_2 \in js(K_2)$ such that $p/q = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let us put $\delta_{K_i}(n) = \alpha_i(n)n^2 + \beta_i(n)n + \gamma_i(n)$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $\alpha_i(n)$, $\beta_i(n)$ and $\gamma_i(n)$ are periodic functions with integral periods. It is known that

$$J_{K_1 \# K_2, n}(q) = J_{K_1, n}(q) J_{K_2, n}(q)$$

and so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{K_1 \# K_2}(n) &= \delta_{K_1}(n) + \delta_{K_2}(n) \\ &= (\alpha_1(n) + \alpha_2(n))n^2 + (\beta_1(n) + \beta_2(n))n + (\gamma_1(n) + \gamma_2(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_i(n)$, $\beta_i(n)$ and $\gamma_i(n)$ are periodic functions with integral periods, so are $\alpha_1(n) + \alpha_2(n)$, $\beta_1(n) + \beta_2(n)$, and $\gamma_1(n) + \gamma_2(n)$. Therefore, the Jones slope p/q of $K_1 \# K_2$ is an element of the finite set of the rational values of $4(\alpha_1(n) + \alpha_2(n)) = 4\alpha_1(n) + 4\alpha_2(n)$, and hence $p/q = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$ for some Jones slopes $p_1/q_1 \in js(K_1)$, $p_2/q_2 \in js(K_2)$. \square (Lemma 2.1)

Since every composite knot has an essential meridional annulus, in the following we may assume $q_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $p_1/q_1 \in bs(K_1)$ and $p_2/q_2 \in bs(K_2)$, then $p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2 \in bs(K_1 \# K_2)$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let A be an essential annulus in $E(K_1 \# K_2)$ which decomposes $E(K_1 \# K_2)$ into $E(K_1)$ and $E(K_2)$. Let F_i be an essential surface in $E(K_i)$ and m_i the number of boundary components of F_i . (If $p_i \neq 0$, then for homological reason m_i is an even integer.) Then ∂F_i consists of m_i mutually parallel loops each of which has slope p_i/q_i ($q_i > 0$). Note that the core of A is a meridian of K_i and choose F_i so that $A \cap F_i$ consists of $m_i q_i$ spanning arcs in A . See Figure 2.1.

Orient ∂F_i so that they run the same direction on $\partial E(K_i)$ (independent of an orientation induced from F_i) and a component of ∂F_1 and that of ∂F_2 has opposite orientations on A as in Figure 2.1. In general, $m_1 q_1 \neq m_2 q_2$, i.e. the number of components of $A \cap F_1$ does not coincide with that of $A \cap F_2$, so we take $m_2 q_2$ parallel copies of F_1 and $m_1 q_1$ parallel copies of F_2 . Let us denote these (disconnected) surfaces by $m_2 q_2 F_1 \subset E(K_1)$ and $m_1 q_1 F_2 \subset E(K_2)$, respectively.




FIGURE 2.1. Essential surfaces $F_1 \subset E(K_1)$ and $F_2 \subset E(K_2)$ with $m_1 = 2$, $q_1 = 2$, $m_2 = 2$, $q_2 = 1$; $\partial F_1 = \gamma_{1,1} \cup \gamma_{1,2}$, $\partial F_2 = \gamma_{2,1} \cup \gamma_{2,2}$.

We give an orientation on the boundary of $m_2 q_2 F_1$ (resp. $m_1 q_1 F_2$) so that it coincides with that of ∂F_1 (resp. ∂F_2). Since both $A \cap m_2 q_2 F_1$ and $A \cap m_1 q_1 F_2$ consist of $m_1 m_2 q_1 q_2$ spanning arcs in A , we can connect $m_2 q_2 F_1$ and $m_1 q_1 F_2$ along the annulus A to obtain a possibly disconnected surface F' in $E(K_1 \# K_2)$. Note that all the components of $\partial F'$ run the same direction on $\partial E(K_1 \# K_2)$ with respect to the orientation given in the above.

Claim 2.3. *Each component of $F' \cap \partial E(K_1 \# K_2)$ has slope $p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$.*

Proof of Claim 2.3. Let (μ_i, λ_i) and (μ, λ) be preferred meridian-longitude pairs of K_i and $K_1 \# K_2$; we take $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu \subset \partial A$. Orient them so that $\langle \mu_i, \lambda_i \rangle = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \mu, \partial F' \rangle = \langle \mu_1, \partial(m_2 q_2 F_1) \rangle = \langle \mu_2, \partial(m_1 q_1 F_2) \rangle > 0$, where $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ denotes the algebraic intersection number between α and β . Then

$$\langle \mu, \partial F' \rangle = \langle \mu_1, \partial(m_2 q_2 F_1) \rangle = m_2 q_2 \langle \mu_1, \partial F_1 \rangle = m_2 q_2 (m_1 q_1) = m_1 m_2 q_1 q_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial F', \lambda \rangle &= \langle \partial(m_2 q_2 F_1), \lambda_1 \rangle + \langle \partial(m_1 q_1 F_2), \lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= m_2 q_2 \langle \partial F_1, \lambda_1 \rangle + m_1 q_1 \langle \partial F_2, \lambda_2 \rangle \\ &= m_2 q_2 (m_1 p_1) + m_1 q_1 (m_2 p_2) \\ &= m_1 m_2 p_1 q_2 + m_1 m_2 q_1 p_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F' \cap \partial E(K_1 \# K_2)$ represents

$$\begin{aligned} &(m_1 m_2 p_1 q_2 + m_1 m_2 q_1 p_2)[\mu] + m_1 m_2 q_1 q_2[\lambda] \\ &= m_1 m_2 ((p_1 q_2 + q_1 p_2)[\mu] + q_1 q_2[\lambda]) \in H_1(\partial E(K_1 \# K_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Let k be the greatest common divisor of $p_1q_2 + q_1p_2$ and q_1q_2 . Then $F' \cap \partial E(K_1 \sharp K_2)$ consists of m_1m_2k parallel loops each of which has slope

$$\frac{(p_1q_2 + q_1p_2)/k}{(q_1q_2)/k} = (p_1q_2 + q_1p_2)/q_1q_2 = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2.$$

□(Claim 2.3)

Let F be a connected component of F' . If F is non-orientable, then we take a tubular neighborhood $N(F)$ of F in $E(K_1 \sharp K_2)$ and we replace F by $\partial N(F)$, which is an orientable double cover of F and each component of $\partial N(F)$ has slope $p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$; for simplicity we continue to use the same symbol F to denote $\partial N(F)$. Since $F_i \subset E(K_i)$ is orientable, $F \cap E(K_i)$ consists of parallel copies of F_i for $i = 1, 2$. Note also that for each component of $F \cap E(K_i)$, its boundary component across A in the same direction.

Claim 2.4. *The surface F is essential in $E(K_1 \sharp K_2)$.*

Proof of Claim 2.4. Suppose for a contradiction that F is compressible. Let D be a compressing disk of F . If $A \cap D = \emptyset$, then D is entirely contained in $E(K_i)$ and F_i is compressible, contradicting the assumption. So in the following we assume $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $F \cap E(K_i)$ consists of parallel copies of F_i . Note that $A \cap F$ consists of spanning arcs in A in minimal number of components (Figure 2.2). We may assume that D intersects A transversely and the number of components of $A \cap D$ is minimal. Then $A \cap D$ consists of circles and arcs whose endpoints belong to $A \cap F$. Since A is incompressible, we eliminate the circle components, and thus $A \cap D$ consists of arcs; see Figure 2.2.




FIGURE 2.2. $A \cap F$ and $A \cap D$

Then $A \cap D$ consists of properly embedded arcs in D . Let γ be an outermost arc of $A \cap D$ in D ; γ cuts off an outermost disk Δ . There are two possibilities: (i) $\partial\gamma$ is contained in a single arc τ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(i)), or (ii) γ is an arc connecting two spanning arcs τ_1 and τ_2 of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(ii)).

Suppose that $\partial\gamma$ is contained in a single arc τ of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(i)). Then γ is parallel to τ ; γ and τ cobound a disk $\Delta' \subset A$ (If $A \cap F = \tau$, although there would be a possibility that γ starts from one side of τ and ends in the other side of τ , this cannot happen for homological reason.) Let F_Δ be a unique component




FIGURE 2.3. An outermost arc γ of $A \cap D$ in D and its possible situation in A

of $F \cap E(K_1)$ or $F \cap E(K_2)$ intersecting $\partial\Delta$; F_Δ is a parallel copy of F_1 or F_2 . Then by the incompressibility of F_i in $E(K_i)$ and the irreducibility of $E(K_i)$, the disk $\Delta \cup \Delta'$ is parallel to a disk in $\partial E(K_i)$. Thus we can isotope D so that γ is removed from $A \cap D$. This contradicts the minimality of the number of components of $A \cap D$.

Next assume that γ is an arc connecting two spanning arcs τ_1 and τ_2 of $A \cap F$ (Figure 2.3(ii)). As above we take a unique component F_Δ of $F \cap E(K_1)$ or $F \cap E(K_2)$ intersecting $\partial\Delta$; F_Δ is a parallel copy of F_1 or F_2 . Since F_Δ is boundary-incompressible, τ_1 and τ_2 are contained in a single component of ∂F_Δ and run in opposite directions in A , a contradiction. It follows that F is incompressible in $E(K_1 \sharp K_2)$.

Since K_i is non-trivial and F_i is not a disk for $i = 1, 2$, F is not an annulus. Hence [9, Lemma 1.10] shows that F is boundary-incompressible as well. Thus F is a desired essential surface in $E(K_1 \sharp K_2)$ with boundary slope $p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$.

This completes a proof of Lemma 2.2. \square (Lemma 2.2)

Remark 2.5. In the above construction of the surface F' , we can slide or twist several times $m_1 q_1 F_2$ along the annulus A before connecting with $m_2 q_2 F_1$ without changing its boundary slope, so F' is not unique.

Let us turn to a proof of Theorem 1.2. Before proving the theorem, we note the following general fact.

Claim 2.6. Let K be a knot in S^3 . If $js^*(K) \subset bs(K)$, then $js(K^*) \subset bs(K^*)$.

Proof of Claim 2.6. If $r \in js(K^*)$, then $-r \in -js(K^*) = js^*(K) \subset bs(K)$. Thus $r \in -bs(K) = bs(K^*)$. \square (Claim 2.6)

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume first that $p/q \in js(K_1 \sharp K_2)$. Then as shown in Lemma 2.1, $p/q = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2$ for some Jones slopes $p_1/q_1 \in js(K_1)$ and $p_2/q_2 \in js(K_2)$. Since $p_1/q_1 \in js(K_1) \subset bs(K_1)$ and $p_2/q_2 \in js(K_2) \subset bs(K_2)$ by the initial assumption, Lemma 2.2 shows that $p/q = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2 \in bs(K_1 \sharp K_2)$.

Next assume that $p/q \in js^*(K_1 \# K_2)$. Then $-p/q \in js^*((K_1 \# K_2)^*) = js(K_1^* \# K_2^*)$. Since K_i satisfies the slope conjecture, $js^*(K_i) \subset bs(K_i)$, thus by Claim 2.6 $js(K_i^*) \subset bs(K_i^*)$. Apply the above argument to K_1^* and K_2^* to conclude that $-p/q \in bs(K_1^* \# K_2^*) = bs((K_1 \# K_2)^*)$. Hence $p/q \in bs(K_1 \# K_2)$. This completes a proof of Theorem 1.2. \square (Theorem 1.2)

3. THE SLOPE CONJECTURE AND CABLING OPERATION

Let V be a standardly embedded solid torus in S^3 and k a 0-bridge braid in V which wraps p times in meridional direction and q times in longitudinal direction; k is a (p, q) -torus knot in S^3 . In the following, we assume $q > 1$. Given a nontrivial knot K , take an orientation preserving embedding $f : V \rightarrow S^3$ such that the core of $f(V)$ is K and f sends a preferred longitude of V to that of K . Then the image $f(k)$ is called the (p, q) -cable of K and denoted by $C_{p,q}(K)$. We begin by describing Jones slopes of $C_{p,q}(K)$. Let us write $\delta_K(n) = c_2(n)n^2 + c_1(n)n + c_0(n)$, where $c_i(n)$ is a periodic function with an integral period.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $c_1(n)$ has period at most 2. If $r \in js(C_{p,q}(K))$, then $r = pq$ or aq^2/b for some $a/b \in js(K)$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let us put $J'_{\bullet,n}(t) := J_{\bullet,n}(t^4) \frac{t^{2n} - t^{-2n}}{t^2 - t^{-2}} \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and denote its maximum degree by $\delta'_{\bullet}(n)$. For simplicity, we write $C := C_{p,q}(K)$. From [14] (see also [17, Theorem 1]), we have the following formula:

$$J'_{C,n}(t) = t^{pq(n^2-1)} \sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{k=\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{-4pk(kq+1)} J'_{K,2kq+1}(t), \quad (*)$$

where we use the convention that $J'_{K,2kq+1}(t) = -J'_{K,-2kq-1}(t)$ if $2kq+1 \leq 0$.

Let us compute $\delta'_C(n)$. To do that we begin by computing

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\delta'_K(|2kq+1|) - 4pk(kq+1) \mid -\frac{n-1}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{\delta'_K(|kq+1|) - pk(kq+2) \mid -(n-1) \leq k \leq n-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we transform $2k$ to k in the second equality, in which k is odd (resp. even) if n is even (resp. odd). Note that $\delta'_K(n) = 4\delta_K(n) + 2(n-1) = 4c_2(n)n^2 + (4c_1(n) + 2)n + 4c_0(n) - 2$. For simplicity we write

$$\delta'_K(n) = \alpha(n)n^2 + \beta(n)n + \gamma(n),$$

where $\alpha(n), \beta(n)$ and $\gamma(n)$ are periodic functions $4c_2(n), 4c_1(n) + 2$ and $4c_0(n) - 2$, respectively. Since $c_1(n)$ has period at most 2, the period of $\beta(n)$ is also at most 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta'_K(|kq+1|) - pk(kq+2) \\ &= \alpha(|kq+1|)(kq+1)^2 + \beta(|kq+1|)|kq+1| + \gamma(|kq+1|) - pk(kq+2) \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha'_+(k)k^2 + \beta'_+(k)k + \gamma'_+(k) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ \alpha'_-(k)k^2 + \beta'_-(k)k + \gamma'_-(k) & \text{if } -(n-1) \leq k < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'_\pm(k) &:= q(\alpha(\pm qk \pm 1)q - p) \\ \beta'_\pm(k) &:= 2\alpha(\pm qk \pm 1)q \pm \beta(\pm qk \pm 1)q - 2p = 2\alpha'_\pm(k)/q \pm \beta(\pm qk \pm 1)q \\ \gamma'_\pm(k) &:= \alpha(\pm qk \pm 1) \pm \beta(\pm qk \pm 1) + \gamma(\pm qk \pm 1).\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the period of $\alpha'_\pm(l)$ by $\pi_{\alpha'_\pm}$, and put

$$\begin{aligned}A_\pm &:= \max(\{\alpha'_\pm(k) \mid 1 \leq k \leq \pi_{\alpha'_\pm}\} \cup \{0\}) \\ &= \max(\{q(\alpha(\pm qk \pm 1)q - p) \mid 1 \leq k \leq \pi_{\alpha'_\pm}\} \cup \{0\}).\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.3 below, for large n we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\max\{\alpha'_+(k)k^2 + \beta'_+(k)k + \gamma'_+(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\} &= A_+n^2 + O(n), \\ \max\{\alpha'_-(k)k^2 + \beta'_-(k)k + \gamma'_-(k) \mid -(n-1) \leq k < 0\} &= A_-n^2 + O(n),\end{aligned}$$

where $O(n)$ denotes a term that is at most linear in n . We put $A := \max\{A_+, A_-\}$, which is a nonnegative rational number; $A = \alpha'_+(k_A)$ for some $1 \leq k_A \leq \pi_{\alpha'_+}$ or $A = \alpha'_-(k_A)$ for some $1 \leq k_A \leq \pi_{\alpha'_-}$.

We divide into two cases according as $A = 0$ or $A > 0$.

Case 1. $A = 0$.

Then the sum of $(*)$ contributes $O(n)$ to $\delta'_C(n)$. Hence $\delta'_C(n) = pqn^2 + O(n)$.

Case 2. $A > 0$.

First we observe the following fact.

Claim 3.2. *For sufficiently large n , there is a single term in the sum in $(*)$ which has the maximum degree.*

Proof of Claim 3.2. Suppose for a contradiction that the terms at k_1 and k_2 ($k_1 > k_2$) have the maximum degree in the sum $(*)$. Note that $\alpha'_\pm(k_1) = \alpha'_\pm(k_2) = A$. If one of k_1 and k_2 is 0, then the maximum degree of the sum in $(*)$ is zero-order, in particular $A = 0$. So $k_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Case 1. $0 < k_2 < k_1$.

We can assume that $k_1 = m$, $k_2 = m - j$ for an even integer $j \neq 0$ and

$$Am^2 + \beta'_+(m)m + \gamma'_+(m) = A(m-j)^2 + \beta'_+(m-j)(m-j) + \gamma'_+(m-j).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}& Am^2 + (2A/q + \beta(qm+1)q)m + \gamma'_+(m) \\ &= Am^2 + \left(-2jA + 2A/q + \beta(q(m-j)+1)q\right)m \\ &\quad + j^2A - j\beta'_+(m-j) + \gamma'_+(m-j).\end{aligned}$$

Since n is sufficiently large, by Remark 3.4, m is also sufficiently large. So we have

$$2A/q + \beta(qm+1)q = -2jA + 2A/q + \beta(q(m-j)+1)q,$$

and so

$$2jA = (\beta(q(m-j) + 1) - \beta(qm + 1))q.$$

As j is even, $(q(m-j) + 1) - (qm + 1) = -qj$ is even. Since $\beta(l) = 4c_1(l) + 2$ has period ≤ 2 by the assumption, the right hand side is zero. On the other hand, the left hand side is not zero, since $A > 0$ and j is non zero, a contradiction.

Case 2. $k_2 < k_1 < 0$

By a similar proof to *Case 1*, we get a contradiction.

Case 3. $k_2 < 0 < k_1$

We can assume that $k_1 = m$, $k_2 = -m + j$ for an even integer j and

$$Am^2 + \beta'_+(m)m + \gamma'_+(m) = A(-m + j)^2 + \beta'_-(-m + j)(-m + j) + \gamma'_-(-m + j).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Am^2 + (2A/q + \beta(qm + 1)q)m + \gamma'_+(m) \\ &= Am^2 + \left(-2jA - 2A/q + \beta(q(m-j) - 1)q \right)m \\ & \quad + j^2A + j\beta'_-(-m + j) + \gamma'_-(-m + j). \end{aligned}$$

Since n is sufficiently large, m is also sufficiently large (Remark 3.4). Thus we have

$$2A/q + \beta(qm + 1)q = -2jA - 2A/q + \beta(q(m-j) - 1)q,$$

and thus we have

$$2A(j + 2/q) = (\beta(q(m-j) - 1) - \beta(qm + 1))q.$$

As j is even, $(q(m-j) - 1) - (qm + 1) = -qj - 2$ is even. Since $\beta(l)$ has a period ≤ 2 by the assumption, the right hand side is zero. However, since $A > 0$, $q \geq 2$ and j is even, the left hand side is not zero, a contradiction. \square (Claim 3.2)

For sufficiently large n , Claim 3.2 shows:

$$\delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + \max\{\delta'_K(|kq + 1|) - pk(kq + 2) \mid -(n-1) \leq k \leq n-1\}.$$

Let us put $j_A = qk_A + 1$ (resp. $-qk_A - 1$) and $\pi_{\alpha'} = \pi_{\alpha'_+}$ (resp. $\pi_{\alpha'_-}$) if $A = A_+$ (resp. A_-). Then $A = \alpha'_\pm(k_A) = q(\alpha(\pm qk_A \pm 1)q - p) = q(\alpha(j_A)q - p) = \alpha(j_A)q^2 - pq$. Thus $\delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + An^2 + O(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + (\alpha(j_A)q^2 - pq)n^2 + O(n) = \alpha(j_A)q^2n^2 + O(n)$.

Combining Cases 1 and 2, we obtain:

$$\delta'_C(n) = \begin{cases} pqn^2 + O(n) & \text{if } A = 0, \\ \alpha(j_A)q^2n^2 + O(n) & \text{if } A > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$4\delta_C(n) = \begin{cases} pqn^2 + O(n) & \text{if } A = 0, \\ \alpha(j_A)q^2n^2 + O(n) & \text{if } A > 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $js(K) = \{4c_2(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\alpha(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $js(C) \subset \{\alpha(j_A)q^2, pq\}$, if $r \in js(C)$, then $r = pq$ or aq^2/b , where $a/b = \alpha(j_A) \in js(K)$. This complete a proof of Lemma 3.1. \square (Lemma 3.1)

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f(k) = \alpha(k)k^2 + \beta(k)k + \gamma(k)$ be a quadratic quasi polynomial and π_α the period of $\alpha(k)$. We put $A := \max(\{\alpha(j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \pi_\alpha\} \cup \{0\})$. Then, for sufficiently large n ,*

$$\max\{f(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\} = An^2 + O(n).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let π be the period of $f(k)$, i.e. the common period of $\alpha(k)$, $\beta(k)$ and $\gamma(k)$. Then $f(k) = \alpha(k)k^2 + \beta(k)k + \gamma(k)$ is a usual polynomial $f_j(k) = \alpha(j)k^2 + \beta(j)k + \gamma(j)$ when $k \equiv j \pmod{\pi}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \pi$. See Figure 3.1. Note that A coincides with $\max(\{\alpha(j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \pi\} \cup \{0\})$.

We set:

$$\begin{aligned} M_j(n) &:= \max\{f(k) \mid k \equiv j \pmod{\pi}, 0 \leq k \leq n\} \\ &= \max\{f_j(k) = \alpha(j)k^2 + \beta(j)k + \gamma(j) \mid k \equiv j \pmod{\pi}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha(j) > 0$, then we see that $M_j(n) = \alpha(j)n^2 + O(n)$ for sufficiently large n . If $\alpha(j) = 0$, it is clear that $M_j(n) = O(n)$. If $\alpha(j) < 0$, then $M_j(n) = f(c)$ for some constant c independent of n , and so $M_j(n) = O(n)$. Moreover, if $0 \leq b < a$, then $bn^2 + O(n) < an^2 + O(n)$ for large n . Hence we obtain:

$$\max\{f(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq \pi} M_j(n) = An^2 + O(n)$$

for sufficiently large n . □(Lemma 3.3)




FIGURE 3.1. $f_j(x) = \alpha(j)x^2 + \beta(j)x + \gamma(j)$ and $\pi = 3$.

Remark 3.4. *In the proof of Lemma 3.3, $\max\{f(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ is not necessarily attained by $k = n$. In fact, $\max\{f(k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ is attained by $k = n - i$ for some integer $i \geq 0$ such that $n - \pi + 1 \leq n - i \leq n$, and $\alpha(n - i) = A$.*

Since the Jones slope pq is the boundary slope of the cabling annulus of $C_{p,q}(K)$, $pq \in bs(C_{p,q}(K))$. The next result was essentially shown by Klaff and Shalen [11], but we give a modified proof here for completeness.

Lemma 3.5. *If $a/b \in bs(K)$, then $aq^2/b \in bs(C_{p,q}(K))$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $M_{p,q} = V - \text{int}N(k)$, the standard (p, q) -cable space. We denote preferred meridian-longitude pairs of $N(k)$ and V by (μ, λ) and (μ_V, λ_V) , respectively. Then $H_1(M_{p,q}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $[\mu]$ and $[\lambda_V]$. Let D be a q -th punctured meridian disk of V and A an obvious annulus connecting ∂V and $\partial N(k)$. With suitable orientations we have $[D \cap \partial N(k)] = q[\mu]$, $[D \cap \partial V] = [\mu_V]$, $[A \cap \partial N(k)] = pq[\mu] + [\lambda]$, $[A \cap \partial V] = p[\mu_V] + q[\lambda_V]$, and thus $[\mu_V] = q[\mu]$, $[\lambda] = q[\lambda_V]$ in $H_1(M_{p,q})$.

Let S be an oriented surface in $M_{p,q}$ representing the nontrivial homology class $(aq - bp)[D] + b[A] \in H_2(M_{p,q}, \partial M_{p,q})$. We can construct S by the “double-curve sum” of $(aq - bp)$ parallel copies of D and b parallel copies of A (i.e. cut and paste along their intersection arcs to get an embedded surface representing the desired homology class); see Figure 3.2.




FIGURE 3.2. Double-curve sum

Then it is easy to see that each component of $S \cap \partial V$ has slope a/b , and that of $S \cap \partial N(k)$ has slope aq^2/b . If S is compressible, then after compression, we take a connected component S_0 of S which represents nontrivial homology class in $H_2(M_{p,q}, \partial M_{p,q})$. Since S_0 represents a nontrivial homology class, it is not a boundary-parallel annulus. Thus the incompressible surface S_0 is also boundary-incompressible [9, Lemma 1.10], i.e. S_0 is essential in $M_{p,q}$. Following [9, Proposition 1.11], we may assume (up to isotopy) that S_0 is horizontal, i.e. transverse to all Seifert fibers of $M_{p,q}$. This implies $S_0 \cap \partial V$ and $S_0 \cap \partial N(k)$ are not empty. In particular, each component of $S_0 \cap \partial V$ has slope a/b , and that of $S_0 \cap \partial N(k)$ has slope aq^2/b . Let m_0 be the number of components of ∂S_0 on ∂V .

Now recall that $C_{p,q}(K) = f(k)$, where $f : V \rightarrow S^3$ is the orientation preserving embedding such that the core of $f(V)$ is K . Then $E(C_{p,q}(K)) = E(K) \cup f(M_{p,q})$ in which $T = \partial E(K) = \partial f(V)$ is an essential torus. Since $a/b \in bs(K)$, we have an essential surface $S_1 \subset E(K)$ which has m_1 boundary components each of which has slope a/b . Let us take m_1 parallel copies of $f(S_0)$ and m_0 parallel copies of S_1 . Connecting them, we obtain a possibly disconnected surface F' in $E(C_{p,q}(K))$. Let F be a connected component of F' . If F is non-orientable, then as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we replace F by $\partial N(F)$, where $N(F)$ is a tubular neighborhood of F in $E(C_{p,q}(K))$. In the latter case, we continue to use the same symbol F to

denote $\partial N(F)$. Since S_0 and S_1 are orientable, $F \cap E(K)$ consists of parallel copies of S_1 , and $F \cap f(M_{p,q})$ consists of parallel copies of $f(S_0)$. Applying the proof of Claim 2.4, where we use the essentiality of T instead of that of A , we see that F is an essential surface in $E(C_{p,q}(K))$ with boundary slope aq^2/b . \square (Lemma 3.5)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We write:

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(n) = \alpha_{\mathcal{K}}(n)n^2 + \beta_{\mathcal{K}}(n)n + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(n),$$

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n) = \alpha_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n)n^2 + \beta_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n)n + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n),$$

where \mathcal{K} denotes K or its mirror image K^* . Then since K satisfies Condition δ , i.e. $\beta_K(n)$ and $\beta_K^*(n)$ have period at most 2. Suppose that $r \in js(C_{p,q}(K))$. Then by Lemma 3.1 $r = aq^2/b$ or pq , where $a/b \in js(K)$. If $r = aq^2/b$, then Lemma 3.5 shows that $r \in bs(C_{p,q}(K))$. If $r = pq$, then each boundary component of the cabling annulus has slope pq , and thus $r \in bs(C_{p,q}(K))$. Hence we have $js(C_{p,q}(K)) \subset bs(C_{p,q}(K))$.

Next assume that $r \in js^*(C_{p,q}(K))$. Then $-r \in js((C_{p,q}(K))^*) = js(C_{-p,q}(K^*))$. Since K satisfies the slope conjecture, $js^*(K) \subset bs(K)$. Hence by Claim 2.6 $js(K^*) \subset bs(K^*)$. Furthermore, since $\beta_K^*(n)$ has period ≤ 2 by the assumption, $\beta_{K^*}(n) = -\beta_K^*(n)$ has also period ≤ 2 . Now we apply the above argument to K^* and its $(-p, q)$ -cable $C_{-p,q}(K^*)$ to conclude that $-r \in bs(C_{-p,q}(K^*)) = bs((C_{p,q}(K))^*)$. This then implies $r \in bs(C_{p,q}(K))$, and completes a proof.

\square (Theorem 1.3)

4. THE SLOPE CONJECTURE FOR GRAPH KNOTS

In this section we apply Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to prove Corollary 1.4 which verifies the slope conjecture for graph knots.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let K be a graph knot. Then K is obtained from unknots by a finite sequence of operations of cabling and connected sum; see [6, Corollary 4.2]. Note that the trivial knot O obviously satisfies both Condition δ and the slope conjecture ($js(O) \cup js^*(O) = bs(O) = \{0\}$). Furthermore, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4 below show that Condition δ is preserved under cabling and connected sum operations. Hence we can apply Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to unknots successively to verify that K satisfies the slope conjecture. This completes a proof. \square (Corollary 1.4)

Lemma 4.1. *If K satisfies Condition δ , then its cable $C_{p,q}(K)$ also satisfies Condition δ .*

Proof. For a given knot \mathcal{K} we write:

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(n) = \alpha_{\mathcal{K}}(n)n^2 + \beta_{\mathcal{K}}(n)n + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(n),$$

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n) = \alpha_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n)n^2 + \beta_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n)n + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}}^*(n).$$

We put $C = C_{p,q}(K)$ for simplicity; the mirror image C^* of C is $C_{-p,q}(K^*)$. Since K satisfies Condition δ , both $\beta_K(n)$ and $\beta_K^*(n)$ have period at most 2. In the

following we use the symbols $\delta'_C(n)$, $\alpha'_\pm(k)$, $\beta'_\pm(k)$, $\gamma'_\pm(k)$, $\beta(\pm qk \pm 1)$ and A used in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Claim 4.2. $\beta_C(n)$ has period ≤ 2 .

Proof of Claim 4.2. Since $\delta'_C(n) = 4\delta_C(n) + 2(n-1)$, $\beta'_C(n) = 4\beta_C(n) + 2$; see the proof of Lemma 3.1. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\beta'_C(n)$ has period ≤ 2 . From the proof of Lemma 3.1, if $A > 0$, then

$$\delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + Ak^2 + (2A/q \pm \beta(\pm qk \pm 1)q)k + \gamma'_\pm(k),$$

where $k = n - i$ or $-n + i$ for some integer $i \geq 1$ such that $n - \pi \leq n - i \leq n - 1$ or $-n + 1 \leq -n + i \leq -n + \pi$, where π is the common period of $\alpha_K(l)$, $\beta_K(l)$ and $\gamma_K(l)$. Since $\beta(l) = 4\beta_K(l) + 2$ has period ≤ 2 by the assumption, $\beta'_C(n)$ has period ≤ 2 .

Suppose that $A = 0$, i.e. $\alpha'_\pm(k) \leq 0$ for any integer $1 \leq k \leq \pi_{\alpha'_\pm}$. If $\alpha'_\pm(k) < 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \pi_{\alpha'_\pm}$, then the sum in (*) contributes a zero-order term to $\delta'_C(n)$; see the proof of Lemma 3.3. If $\alpha'_\pm(k_0) = 0$ for some $1 \leq k_0 \leq \pi_{\alpha'_\pm}$, then it is sufficient to consider k satisfying $\alpha'_\pm(k) = 0$. We can assume $\beta(1) \geq \beta(2)$. If $\beta(1) > 0$, then for sufficiently large n we have:

$$\delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + Bk + \gamma'_\pm(k), \text{ or } \delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + \gamma'_\pm(k),$$

where $k = n - i$, $B = \beta(1)q = \beta'_+(n - i)$ or $k = -n + i$, $B = -\beta(1)q = \beta'_-(-n + i)$ for some integer $i \geq 1$ such that $n - \pi \leq n - i \leq n - 1$ or $-n + 1 \leq -n + i \leq -n + \pi$ for the common period π of $\alpha(l)$, $\beta(l)$ and $\gamma(l)$. See Remark 3.4. The latter case may happen when there is a cancellation between one-order terms. If $\beta(1) \leq 0$,

$$\delta'_C(n) = pq(n^2 - 1) + Bk + \gamma'_\pm(k),$$

where $k = i$, $B = \beta(qi + 1)q \leq 0$ or $k = -i$, $B = -\beta(iq - 1)q \geq 0$ for some integer $0 \leq i \leq \pi - 1$. In any case $\beta'_C(n)$ has period ≤ 2 as desired. \square (Claim 4.2)

Claim 4.3. $\beta_C^*(n)$ has period ≤ 2 .

Proof of Claim 4.3. Assume that $\beta_K^*(n)$ has period ≤ 2 . Then since $\delta_{K^*}(n) = -\delta_K^*(n)$, we see that $\beta_{K^*}(n) = -\beta_K^*(n)$ has also period ≤ 2 . Apply the argument in the proof of Claim 4.2 to K^* and C^* to conclude that $\beta_{C^*}(n)$ has period ≤ 2 . Hence $\beta_C^*(n) = -\beta_{C^*}(n)$ has period ≤ 2 . \square (Claim 4.3)

It follows from Claims 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain the desired result. \square (Lemma 4.1)

Lemma 4.4. Let K_1 and K_2 be knots with Condition δ . Then their connected sum $K_1 \sharp K_2$ satisfies Condition δ .

Proof. Let us write

$$\delta_{K_1}(n) = \alpha_1(n)n^2 + \beta_1(n)n + \gamma_1(n),$$

$$\delta_{K_2}(n) = \alpha_2(n)n^2 + \beta_2(n)n + \gamma_2(n).$$

Then we have:

$$\delta_{K_1 \# K_2}(n) = (\alpha_1(n) + \alpha_2(n))n^2 + (\beta_1(n) + \beta_2(n))n + (\gamma_1(n) + \gamma_2(n)).$$

Since $\beta_1(n)$ and $\beta_2(n)$ have period ≤ 2 , $\beta_1(n) + \beta_2(n)$ has also period ≤ 2 .

Applying the same argument to $\delta_{K_1}^*(n)$, $\delta_{K_2}^*(n)$ and $\delta_{K_1 \# K_2}^*(n)$, we see that $\beta_1^*(n) + \beta_2^*(n)$ has also period ≤ 2 . \square (Lemma 4.4)

REFERENCES

- [1] N.M. Dunfield and S. Garoufalidis; Incompressibility criteria for spun-normal surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364** (2012), 6109–6137.
- [2] D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni and J. Purcell; Slopes and colored Jones polynomials of adequate knots, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **139** (2011), 1889–1896.
- [3] S. Garoufalidis; The Jones slopes of a knot, Quantum Topology **2** (2011), 43–69.
- [4] S. Garoufalidis and T.T. Le; The colored Jones function is q -holonomic, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 1253–1293.
- [5] S. Garoufalidis and R. van der Veen; Quadratic integer programming and the slope conjecture, arXiv:1405.5088.
- [6] C.McA. Gordon; Dehn surgery and satellite knots, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **275** (1983), 687–708.
- [7] M. Gromov; Volume and bounded cohomology, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **56** (1983), 213–307.
- [8] A.E. Hatcher; On the boundary curves of incompressible surfaces, Pacific J. Math. **99** (1982), 373–377.
- [9] A.E. Hatcher; Notes on basic 3-manifold topology (2000). Freely available at <http://www.math.cornell.edu/hatcher>
- [10] E. Kalfagianni and A. T. Tran; Knot cabling and the degree of the colored Jones polynomial, arXiv:1501.01574.
- [11] B. Klaff and P.B. Shalen; The diameter of the set of boundary slopes of a knot, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 1095–1112.
- [12] T.T. Le; The colored Jones polynomial and the A -polynomial of knots, Adv. Math. **207** (2006), 782–804.
- [13] W.B.R. Lickorish; An introduction to knot theory, Graduate Texts Mathematics **175**, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1997).
- [14] H. Morton; The coloured Jones function and Alexander polynomial for torus knots, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **117** (1995), 129–135.
- [15] T. Soma; The Gromov invariant of links, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 445–454.
- [16] W.P. Thurston; The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, Lecture notes, Princeton University, 1979.
- [17] R. van der Veen; A cabling formula for the colored Jones polynomial, arXiv:0807.2679.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIHON UNIVERSITY, 3-25-40 SAKURAJOSUI, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 156-8550, JAPAN

E-mail address: motegi@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744 MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

E-mail address: ttakata@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp