A Resonance Theorem for a Family of Translation Invariant Differentiation Bases

Giorgi G. Oniani

Department of Mathematics, Akaki Tsereteli State University, 59 Tamar Mepe St., Kutaisi 4600, Georgia E-mail: oniani@atsu.edu.ge

Abstract

A resonance theorem providing existence of functions that are counterexamples for all members of a given family of translation invariant differentiation bases is proved. Applications of the theorem to Zygmund problem on a choice of coordinate axes are given.

1 Definitions and notation

A mapping B defined on \mathbb{R}^n is said to be a *differentiation basis* if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, B(x) is a family of bounded measurable sets with positive measure and containing x, such that there exists a sequence $R_k \in B(x)$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ with lim diam $R_k = 0$.

For $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the numbers

$$\overline{D}_B\left(\int f, x\right) = \lim_{\substack{R \in B(x) \\ \text{diam } R \to 0}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f \text{ and } \underline{D}_B\left(\int f, x\right) = \lim_{\substack{R \in B(x) \\ \text{diam } R \to 0}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematical Subject Classification. 28A15.

Key words and phrases. Differentiation basis, translation invariant basis, integral, rotation.

are called the upper and the lower derivative, respectively, of the integral of f at a point x. If the upper and the lower derivative coincide, then their combined value is called the derivative of $\int f$ at a point x and denoted by $D_B(\int f, x)$. We say that the basis B differentiates $\int f$ (or $\int f$ is differentiable with respect to B) if $\overline{D}_B(\int f, x) = \underline{D}_B(\int f, x) = f(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If this is true for each f in the class of functions X we say that B differentiates X.

The maximal operator M_B and truncated maximal operator M_B^r (r > 0) corresponding to a basis B are defined as follows:

$$M_B(f)(x) = \sup_{R \in B(x)} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f|,$$
$$M_B^r(f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{R \in B(x) \\ \text{diam } R < r}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f|,$$

where $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By \mathbf{I}_n^k $(2 \leq k \leq n)$ we will denote the basis such that $\mathbf{I}_n^k(x)$ $(x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all *n*-dimensional intervals lengthes of which edges take not more then k different values and which contain x. The basis \mathbf{I}_n^n will be denoted by **I**. The differentiation with respect to **I** is called *strong differentiation*.

A basis B is called:

- translation invariant(briefly, TI-basis) if $B(x) = \{x + I : I \in B(0)\}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- homothecy invariant (briefly, HI-basis) if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R \in B(x)$ and a homothecy H with the centre at x we have that $H(R) \in B(x)$;
- formed of sets from the class Δ if $\overline{B} \subset \Delta$.
- convex if it is formed of the class of all convex sets.

Denote by Γ_n the family of all rotations in the space \mathbb{R}^n .

Let B be a basis in \mathbb{R}^n and $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$. The γ -rotated basis B is defined as follows

$$B(\gamma)(x) = \left\{ x + \gamma(I - x) : I \in B(x) \right\} \ (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

For an increasing function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ and a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\Phi(L)(E)([\Phi(L)](E))$ we denote the class of all measurable functions

 $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{f \neq 0\} \subset E$ and $\int_{\{f \neq 0\}} \Phi(|f|) < \infty (\int_{\{f \neq 0\}} \Phi(|f|/h) < 0$

 ∞ for some $h \ge 1$).

A function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition at infinity if there are c > 0 and $\tau > 0$ such that $\Phi(2t) \leq c\Phi(t)$ for every $t > \tau$.

We say that an increasing function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is *non-regular* if $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = \infty.$

The unit cube $(0,1)^n$ will be denoted by \mathbb{G}^n .

Let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be an increasing function. It is easy to check that:

- 1) If Φ satisfies Δ_2 -condition at infinity, then $[\Phi(L)](\mathbb{G}^n) = \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$;
- 2) The class $L \setminus [\Phi(L)](\mathbb{G}^n)$ is non-empty if and only if Φ is non-regular.

The family of all diadic intervals of order $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we will denote by W_m , i.e.,

$$W_m = \left\{ \underset{j=1}{\overset{n}{\times}} \left(\frac{k_j}{2^{m_j}}, \frac{k_j+1}{2^{m_j}} \right) : k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}.$$

By H_m $(m \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$ it will be denoted the family of all possible unions of intervals from W_m .

Below everywhere it will be assumed that the dimension n is greater then 1.

2 Main result

Saks [1] and Busemann and Feller [2] constructed a function $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose integral is not strongly differentiable.

Zygmund [3, p. 99] posed the problem: Is it possible for arbitrary function $f \in L(\mathbb{G}^2)$ to choose a rotation $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ so that $\mathbf{I}(\gamma)$ differentiates $\int f$?

Marstrand [4] gave a negative answer to the problem, namely, constructed a non-negative function $f \in L(\mathbb{G}^2)$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$,

$$\overline{D}_{\mathbf{I}(\gamma)}\left(\int f, x\right) = \infty$$
 almost everywhere on \mathbb{G}^2 .

Developing Marstrand approach below we will prove a resonance theorem providing existence of functions that are counterexamples for all members of a given family of translation invariant bases. Applications of the theorem to Zygmund problem are given also.

The work is a revised version of \S II.1 from the monograph [5].

Let Λ be a non-empty family of translation invariant differentiation bases in \mathbb{R}^n and let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a some function. We will say that Λ has M_{Φ} -property if for every h > 1 and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of positive measure, sets P_B $(B \in \Lambda)$ and an interval Q such that:

- 1) $P_B \subset \{M_B^{(\varepsilon)}(h\chi_E) > 1\} \ (B \in \Lambda);$
- 2) $\{P_B: B \in \Lambda\} \subset H_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$;
- 3) $|P_B| \ge c\Phi(h)|E| \ (B \in \Lambda);$
- 4) $E \subset Q$ and $P_B \subset Q$ $(B \in \Lambda)$;
- 5) diam $Q < \varepsilon$;
- 6) $|E| \ge c(h)|Q|,$

where c > 0, c does not depend on h and ε , $c(h) \in (0,1)$ and c(h) does not depend on ε .

For a non-empty family of differentiation bases Λ by S_{Λ} denote the class of all functions $f \in L(\mathbb{G}^n)$ for which

$$\overline{D}_B\left(\int f, x\right) = \infty$$
 almost everywhere on \mathbb{G}^n

for every $B \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 1. Let Λ be a non-empty family of translation invariant differentiation bases in \mathbb{R}^n and let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a non-regular increasing function. If Λ has M_{Φ} -property, then for every $f \in L \setminus [\Phi(L)](\mathbb{G}^n)$ there exists a measure preserving and invertible mapping $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{x : \omega(x) \neq x\} \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and $|f| \circ \omega \in S_{\Lambda}$. In particular, if Φ additionally satisfies Δ_2 -condition at infinity, then the same conclusion is valid for every $f \in L \setminus \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$.

3 Auxiliary propositions

For $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ denote

$$W_m^* = \left\{ E \in W_m : \ E \subset \mathbb{G}^n \right\}, \quad H_m^* = \left\{ E \in H_m : \ E \subset \mathbb{G}^n \right\}.$$

Lemma 1. Let Λ be a non-empty family of translation invariant differentiation bases in \mathbb{R}^n and $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a some function. If Λ has M_{Φ} -property, then for every h > 1, $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and δ with $0 < \delta < c(h)$ there exist a set $E \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and a family of sets $\{P_B : B \in \Lambda\}$ such that:

1) $\delta/4^n \le |E| \le \delta$,

2)
$$P_B \subset \{M_B^{(\varepsilon)}(h\chi_E) > 1\} \ (B \in \Lambda)$$

- 3) $\{P_B: B \in \Lambda\} \subset H_j^*$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $j \ge m$,
- 4) $|P_B| \ge c\Phi(h)|E| \ (B \in \Lambda),$
- 5) $|P_B \cap Q| = |P_B| |Q| \ (Q \in W_m^*, B \in \Lambda).$

Proof. Let us choose $\eta > 0$ so that

$$\eta < \varepsilon \text{ and } 4 \left(\frac{1}{\delta c \Phi(h)}\right)^{1/n} < \frac{1}{2^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}}.$$
 (1)

Due to the definition of M_{Φ} -property there exist a set $E' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with positive measure, sets P'_B $(B \in \Lambda)$ and an interval I such that:

$$P'_B \subset \left\{ M_B^{(\varepsilon)}(h\chi_{E'}) > 1 \right\} \ (B \in \Lambda), \tag{2}$$

$$\{P'_B: B \in \Lambda\} \subset H^*_j \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$
(3)

$$|P'_B| \ge c\Phi(h)|E'| \quad (B \in \Lambda), \tag{4}$$

$$E' \subset I \text{ and } P'_B \subset I \ (B \in \Lambda),$$
 (5)

$$\operatorname{diam} I < \eta, \tag{6}$$

$$|E'| \ge c(h)|I|. \tag{7}$$

Let \widetilde{I} be the interval concentric with I and such that $|E'| = \delta |\widetilde{I}|$. (7) implies that $\widetilde{I} \supset I$. Put $t = \operatorname{diam} \widetilde{I}/\operatorname{diam} I$. Then by virtue of (4) and (5)

$$\frac{|E'|}{\delta} = |\widetilde{I}| = t^n |I| \ge t^n c \Phi(h) |E'|.$$

Therefore,

$$t \le \left(\frac{1}{\delta c \,\Phi(h)}\right)^{1/n}.\tag{8}$$

Due to translation invariance of bases $B \in \Lambda$ we can assume that \widetilde{I} has the form $(0, a_1) \times \cdots \times (0, a_n)$. By I' denote the smallest among diadic intervals (i.e. among intervals from the family $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^n} W_i$) containing \widetilde{I} . Clearly, $I' \subset 4\widetilde{I}$.

Therefore

$$\frac{\delta}{4^n} |I'| \le |E'| \le \delta |I'| \tag{9}$$

and (see (8), (6) and (1))

diam
$$I' \le 4$$
 diam $\widetilde{I} \le 4 \left(\frac{1}{\delta c \Phi(h)}\right)^{1/n}$ diam $I < \frac{1}{2^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}}$. (10)

Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a *n*-tuple for which $I' \in W_i$. (10) implies that

$$i > m. \tag{11}$$

For each $Q \in W_i^*$, T_Q be the translation mapping I' into Q and put

$$E_Q = T_Q(E') \quad (Q \in W_i^*),$$

$$P_{B,Q} = T_Q(P'_B) \quad (B \in \Lambda, \ Q \in W_i^*),$$

$$E = \bigcup_{Q \in W_i^*} E_Q,$$

$$P_B = \bigcup_{Q \in W_i^*} P_{B,Q} \quad (B \in \Lambda).$$

Obviously,

$$E_Q \subset Q$$
 and $P_{B,Q} \subset Q$ $(B \in \Lambda, Q \in W_i^*).$ (12)

By virtue of (1), (2) and translation invariance of bases $B \in \Lambda$ we have

$$P_{B,Q} \subset \left\{ M_B^{(\eta)}(h\chi_{E_Q}) > 1 \right\} \subset \left\{ M_B^{(\varepsilon)}(h\chi_{E_Q}) > 1 \right\} \ (B \in \Lambda, \ Q \in W_i^*).$$
(13)

Since the intervals from W_i^* are disjoint, then by (3), (4), (9) and (11)–(13) it is easy to conclude that the sets E and P_B ($B \in \Lambda$) satisfy all needed conditions.

Lemma 2. Let f be an increasing function on [a, b] and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist points $h_1 = a < h_2 < \cdots < h_k = b$ such that

$$f(h_{j+1}-) - f(h_j+) \le \varepsilon \ (j = 1, \dots, k-1).$$
 (14)

Proof. Let $h_1 < \cdots < h_j$ are chosen. If $h_j = b$, then the construction is completed. If $h_j < b$, then let us take

$$h_{j+1} = \sup \left\{ h \in (h_j, b] : f(h) - f(h_j +) \le \varepsilon \right\}.$$

After same steps the construction will be completed (in opposite case we will have that $f(b) - f(a) = \infty$). Clearly, the chosen numbers h_1, \ldots, h_k satisfy the condition (14).

Lemma 3. Let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be an increasing function, $f \in L(\mathbb{G}^n)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}, f/k \notin \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ and $\alpha(h) > 0$ (h > 1). Then there exist sets A_j $(j \in \overline{1,m})$ and numbers h_j $(j \in \overline{1,m})$ such that:

1) $A_j \cap A_i = \emptyset \ (i \neq j);$

2)
$$k < h_j \le |f(x)| \ (j \in \overline{1, m}, x \in A_j);$$

3) $0 < |A_j| \le \alpha(\frac{h_j}{k}) \ (j \in \overline{1, m});$

4)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Phi(\frac{h_j}{k}) |A_j| > k.$$

Proof. Since $f/k \notin \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$, then there are numbers a and b such that

$$k < a < b$$
 and $\int_{\{a \le |f| < b\}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) \ge 4k.$ (15)

By virtue of Lemma 2 there are $\lambda_1 = \frac{a}{k} < \lambda_2 \cdots < \lambda_{p+1} = \frac{b}{k}$ with

$$\Phi(\lambda_{q+1}-) - \Phi(\lambda_q+) < 1 \quad (q \in \overline{1,p}).$$
(16)

For $q \in \overline{1, p}$ denote

$$E_q = \{ |f| = k\lambda_q \}$$
 and $E'_q = \{ k\lambda_q < |f| < k\lambda_{q+1} \}.$

Let us choose numbers t_q and τ_q $(q \in \overline{1, p})$ so that

$$\lambda_q < t_q < \tau_q < \lambda_{q+1},$$

$$\int_{E'_q \setminus \{kt_q < |f| < k\tau_q\}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) < \frac{1}{p}.$$
(17)

Put $E_q^* = \{kt_q < |f| < k\tau_q\} \ (q \in \overline{1, p})$. From (16) we have: $\Phi(\tau_q) - \Phi(t_q) < 1$ $(q \in \overline{1, p})$. Therefore for each $q \in \overline{1, p}$ we write

$$\Phi(t_q)|E_q^*| > (\Phi(\tau_q) - 1)|E_q^*| \ge \int_{E_q^*} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) - |E_q^*|.$$

Consequently (see (15) and (17)),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{q=1}^{p} \Phi(\lambda_q) |E_q| + \sum_{q=1}^{p} \Phi(t_q) |E_q^*| \geq \\ \geq \sum_{q=1}^{p} \Phi(\lambda_q) |E_q| + \sum_{q=1}^{p} \left(\int_{E_q'} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) - \frac{1}{p} - |E_q^*| \right) \geq \\ \geq \int_{\{a \leq |f| < b\}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) - 2 \geq 4k - 2 > k \end{split}$$

Denote

$$N_1 = \{q \in \overline{1, p} : |E_q| > 0\}$$
 and $N_2 = \{q \in \overline{1, p} : |E_q^*| > 0\}.$

For each $q \in N_1$, $\{E_{q,1}, \ldots, E_{q,\nu_q}\}$ be a partition of E_q such that $0 < |E_{q,\nu}| \le \alpha(\lambda_q)$ ($\nu \in \overline{1,\nu_q}$) and for each $i \in N_2$, $\{E_{i,1}^*, \ldots, E_{i,\ell_i}\}$ be a partition of E_i^* such that $0 < |E_{i,\ell}^*| \le \alpha(t_i)$ ($\ell \in \overline{1,\ell_i}$).

Put

$$T = \left\{ E_{q,\nu} : q \in N_1, \ \nu \in \overline{1,\nu_q} \right\} \cup \left\{ E_{i,\ell}^* : i \in N_2, \ \ell \in \overline{1,\ell_i} \right\}.$$

Let $m = \sum_{q \in N_1} \nu_q + \sum_{q \in N_2} \ell_i$ and $\sigma : \overline{1, m} \to T$ be a bijection. For $j \in \overline{1, m}$ denote $A_j = \sigma(j)$. Numbers h_j define as follows: $h_j = k\lambda_q$ if $A_j = E_{q,\nu}$ for some q and ν , and $h_j = kt_i$ if $A_j = E_{i,\ell}^*$ for some i and ℓ . It is easy to see that sets A_j and numbers h_j satisfy the needed conditions. **Lemma 4.** Let $\Phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be an increasing function, $f \in L(\mathbb{G}^n)$, $f/h \notin \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ for every $h \ge 1$ and $\alpha(h) > 0$ for every h > 1. Then there exist a sequence of measurable sets (A_k) and sequences of positive numbers (h_k) and (q_k) such that:

- 1) $A_k \cap A_m = \emptyset \ (k \neq m),$
- 2) $q_k < h_k \le |f(x)| \ (k \in \mathbb{N}, \ x \in A_k),$
- 3) $\lim_{k \to \infty} q_k = \infty$,
- 4) $0 < |A_k| \le \alpha(\frac{h_k}{q_k}) \ (k \in \mathbb{N}),$
- 5) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(\frac{h_k}{q_k}) |A_k| = \infty.$

Proof. It is easy to find sequences of numbers (a_m) and (b_m) such that:

$$0 < a_m < b_m < a_{m+1} \ (m \in \mathbb{N}),$$
 (18)

$$\int_{\{a_m < |f| < b_m\}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{m}\right) > m \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(19)

Let N_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ be disjoint infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} and let

$$E_i = \bigcup_{m \in N_i} \left\{ a_m < |f| < b_m \right\} \ (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

From (18) and (19) it follows that the sets E_i are disjoint and $f\chi_{E_i}/h \notin \Phi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \geq 1$.

Let *i* be an arbitrary natural number. Using Lemma 3 for parameters Φ , $f\chi_{E_i}$, *i* and α we can find sets $A_{i,j} \subset E_i$ $(j \in \overline{1, m_i})$ and numbers $h_{i,j}$ $(j \in \overline{1, m_i})$ with the properties;

1) $A_{i,j} \cap A_{i,j'} = \emptyset \ (j \neq j'),$ 2) $i < h_{i,j} \leq |f(x)| \ (j \in \overline{1, m_i}, x \in A_{i,j}),$ 3) $0 < |A_{i,j}| \leq c(\frac{h_{i,j}}{i}) \ (j \in \overline{1, m_i}),$ 4) $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \Phi(\frac{h_{i,j}}{i}) |A_{i,j}| > i.$ Making numeration by an index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of the sequences

$$A_{1,1}, \dots, A_{1,m_1}; A_{2,1}, \dots, A_{2,m_2}; \dots$$

$$h_{1,1}, \dots, h_{1,m_1}; h_{2,1}, \dots, h_{2,m_2}; \dots$$

$$1, \dots, 1; 2, \dots, 2; \dots$$

we receive sequences (A_k) , (h_k) and (q_k) that will satisfy all needed conditions.

Lemma 5. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $A_1 \in H_j^*$, $A_2 \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and $|A_2 \cap Q| = |A_2| |Q|$ for each $Q \in W_j^*$. Then $|A_1 \cap A_2| = |A_1| |A_2|$.

Proof. Let T be the subfamily of W_j^* for which $A_1 = \bigcup_{Q \in T} Q$. Then taking into account the condition of the lemma we have

$$|A_1 \cap A_2| = \sum_{Q \in T} |Q \cap A_2| = \sum_{Q \in T} |Q| |A_2| = |A_1| |A_2|.$$

Lemma 6. Suppose for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are valid conditions: $m_k, j_k \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $m_k \leq j_k \leq m_{k+1}, A_k \in H_{j_k}^*$ and $|A_k \cap Q| = |A_k| |Q|$ for each $Q \in W_{m_k}^*$. Then (A_k) is a sequence of independent sets.

Proof. Let $q \ge 2$ and $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_q$. We must prove the equality

$$\left|\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{q} A_{k_{\nu}}\right| = \prod_{\nu=1}^{q} |A_{k_{\nu}}|.$$
 (20)

For the case q = 2, (20) directly follows from Lemma 5. Let us argue passing from q - 1 to q. Assume that (20) is valid for sets $A_{k_1}, \ldots, A_{k_{q-1}}$. It is easy to see that

$$\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{q-1} A_{k_{\nu}} \in H_{j}^{*}, \text{ where } j = j_{k_{q-1}}.$$

Now taking into account that $m_{k_q} \ge m_{k_{q-1}+1} \ge j_{k_{q-1}}$ and $|A_{k_q} \cap Q| = |A_{k_q}| |Q|$ for each $Q \in W_m^*$, where $m = m_{k_q}$, by virtue of Lemma 5 and induction assumption we write

$$\left|\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{q} A_{k_{\nu}}\right| = \left|\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{q-1} A_{k_{\nu}}\right| |A_{k_{\nu}}| = \prod_{\nu=1}^{q} |A_{k_{\nu}}|.$$

We will need the following well-known result from measure theory (see, e.g., [6, Ch. "Uniform Approximation"] or $[7, \S 2]$).

Theorem A. For every measurable sets $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|A_1| = |A_2| > 0$ there exists a measure preserving and invertible mapping $\omega : A_1 \to A_2$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 4 there are sequences of sets (A_k) and of positive numbers (h_k) and (q_k) such that:

$$A_k \cap A_m = \emptyset \ (k \neq m), \tag{21}$$

$$q_k < h_k \le |f(x)| \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, \ x \in A_k), \tag{22}$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} q_k = \infty, \tag{23}$$

$$0 < |A_k| \le c \left(\frac{h_k}{q_k}\right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{h_k}{q_k}\right) |A_k| = \infty.$$
(24)

According to Lemma 1, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ there exist a set E_k and a family of sets $\{P_{B,k}: B \in \Lambda\}$ with the properties:

$$\{P_{B,k}: B \in \Lambda\} \subset H_{j_k}^* \text{ for some } j_k \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ with } j_k \ge m_k,$$
 (25)

$$\frac{|A_k|}{4^n} \le |E_k| \le |A_k|,\tag{26}$$

$$P_{B,k} \subset \left\{ M_B^{(1/k)} \left(\frac{h_k}{q_k} \chi_{E_k} \right) > 1 \right\} = \left\{ M_B^{(1/k)} (h_k \chi_{E_k}) > q_k \right\} \ (B \in \Lambda), \quad (27)$$

$$|P_{B,k}| \ge c\Phi\left(\frac{h_k}{q_k}\right)|A_k| \quad (B \in \Lambda),$$
(28)

$$|P_{B,k} \cap Q| = |P_{B,k}| |Q| \quad (B \in \Lambda, \ Q \in W^*_{m_k}).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

From (24), (26) and (28),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |P_{B,k}| = \infty \quad (B \in \Lambda).$$
(30)

Obviously, we can choose (m_k) so that $m_{k+1} \ge j_k$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Then by (25), (29) and Lemma 6, $(P_{B,k})$ is a sequence of independent sets for every $B \in \Lambda$. Therefore by virtue of (30) and Borel–Cantelli lemma we have

$$\left| \overline{\lim_{k \to \infty}} P_{B,k} \right| = 1 \text{ for every } B \in \Lambda.$$
(31)

Put
$$g = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} h_k \chi_{E_k}$$
. Since $g \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \chi_{E_k}$, then by (21) and (26),
$$\int_{\mathbb{G}^n} g \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k |E_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k |A_k| \leq \int_{\mathbb{G}^n} |f| < \infty.$$

Thus $g \in L(\mathbb{G}^n)$. Let $B \in \Lambda$ and $x \in \overline{\lim_{k \to \infty}} P_{B,k}$. Then by (23) and (27), $\overline{D}_B(\int g, x) = \infty$. Consequently, taking into account (31) we conclude that $g \in S_{\Lambda}$. Obviously, we have also that

$$g\chi_{\{g<\infty\}} \in S_{\Lambda}.\tag{32}$$

Denote

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$$
 and $E'_k = E_k \setminus \bigcup_{j>k} E_j$ $(k \in \mathbb{N}).$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{split} E_k' \cap E_m' &= \varnothing \ (k \neq m), \\ g \chi_{_{\{g < \infty\}}} &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty h_k \chi_{_{E_k'}}. \end{split}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let us choose a measurable set A'_k so that $A'_k \subset A_k$ and $|A'_k| = |E'_k|$. Denote $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A'_k$. Due to Theorem A there exist a measure preserving and invertible mappings $\omega_k : A'_k \to E'_k$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ and $\omega_0 : \mathbb{G}^n \setminus A \to \mathbb{G}^n \setminus E$. A mapping ω define as follows

$$\omega(x) = \begin{cases} \omega_k(x) & (k \in \mathbb{N}, \ x \in A'_k), \\ \omega_0(x) & (x \in \mathbb{G}^n \setminus A), \\ x & (x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{G}^n). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that: 1) ω is measure preserving and invertible; and $2)|f| \circ \omega \geq g$. Now taking into account (32) we conclude the validity of the theorem.

Remark 1. For the case of finite or countable family Λ , Theorem 1 can be strengthened by achieving divergence at every point with respect to each $B \in \Lambda$, i.e. a mapping ω can be chosen so that for every basis $B \in \Lambda$ the equality $\overline{D}_B\left(\int |f| \circ \omega, x\right) = \infty$ would be fulfilled at every $x \in \mathbb{G}^n$.

5 Applications of Theorem 1

5.1. Zygmund problem in general setting may be formulated as follows: Let *B* be a translation invariant basis in \mathbb{R}^n and let $\Delta(B) = \{B(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}$. Is the class $S_{\Delta(B)}$ non-empty?

Below it is found a quite general condition for a basis B(see Corollary 1) fulfillment of which provide the positive answer to the posed question.

For a basis B denote

$$\Phi_B(h) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \overline{\lim_{r \to 0}} \frac{|\{M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1\}|}{|V_r|} \quad (h > 0),$$

where $V_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(x, 0) < r\}$. Note that:

- 1) Φ_B is increasing;
- 2) If B is a convex basis, then by virtue of the estimation $M_B(\chi_{V_r})(x) < cr/\operatorname{dist}(x, V_r)$ $(x \notin V_{2r})$ (see [8, Lemma 1]) we have

$$\Phi_B(h) = \overline{\lim_{r \to 0}} \frac{|\{M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1\}|}{|V_r|};$$

3) If B is translation and homothecy invariant, then

$$\Phi_B(h) = \left| \left\{ M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_V) > 1 \right\} \right|,\$$

where $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(x, 0) < 1\}.$

Let us call sets from a class Δ uniformly measurable in Jordan sense if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:

- 1) $kr^n < \varepsilon;$
- 2) for every $E \in \Delta$, there exists a cover of ∂E consisting of k balls with radius ε .

Remark 2. If Δ is a collection of measurable in Jordan sense and mutually congruent sets, then it is easy to see that the sets from Δ are uniformly measurable in Jordan sense.

Lemma 7. Let Δ be a non-empty family of sets that are uniformly measurable in Jordan sense and let $\inf_{E \in \Delta} |E| > 0$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that

$$\left|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\subset E} Q\right| > (1-\varepsilon)|E| \text{ and } \left|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\cap E\neq\emptyset} Q\right| < (1+\varepsilon)|E|$$

for every $E \in \Delta$ and $m \geq m_0$.

Proof. Denote $t = \inf_{E \in \Delta} |E|$ and $V(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(y, x) < r\}$. By virtue of the lemma condition there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and r > 0 such that

$$4^n k r^n < \varepsilon t, \tag{33}$$

and for every $E \in \Delta$ we can choose points $x_{E,1}, \ldots, x_{E,k}$ for which

$$\partial E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} V(x_{E,j}, r).$$
(34)

Let $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be such that diam Q < r if $Q \in W_{m_0}$. Suppose $m \ge m_0$. For $E \in \Delta$ denote

$$A_E = \Big\{ Q \in W_m : \ Q \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^k V(x_{E,j}, r) \neq \emptyset \Big\}.$$

By choosing of m_0 we have

$$\bigcup_{Q \in A_E} Q \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k V(x_{E,j}, 2r).$$

Consequently, by (33)

$$\left|\bigcup_{Q\in A_E} Q\right| \le 2^n \sum_{j=1}^k \left| V(x_{E,j},r) \right| \le 2^n k 2^n r^n < \varepsilon t.$$
(35)

Note that if $Q \in W_m$, $Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $Q \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) \neq \emptyset$, then $Q \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by (34),

$$\{Q \in W_m : Q \subset E\} \supset \{Q \in W_m : Q \cap E \neq \emptyset\} \setminus A_E$$

and

$$\{Q \in W_m : Q \cap E \neq \emptyset\} \subset \{Q \in W_m : Q \subset E\} \cup A_E.$$

Consequently, taking into account (35), we obtain

$$\left|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\subset E} Q\right| \ge \left|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\cap E\neq\varnothing} Q\right| - \left|\bigcup_{Q\in A_E} Q\right| > |E| - \varepsilon t \ge (1-\varepsilon)|E|$$

and

$$\Big|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\cap E\neq\varnothing}Q\Big| \le \Big|\bigcup_{Q\in W_m, Q\subset E}Q\Big| + \Big|\bigcup_{Q\in A_E}Q\Big| < |E| + \varepsilon t \le (1+\varepsilon)|E|. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 8. Let B be a translation invariant basis in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the family $\Delta(B)$ has M_{Φ_B} -property.

Proof. Let h > 1 and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take t > 1 such that

$$\overline{\lim_{r \to 0}} \frac{|\{M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1\}|}{|V_r|} > \frac{\Phi_B(h)}{2}.$$

Let us consider r > 0 for which

$$2\sqrt{n}r(1+t) < \varepsilon \text{ and } \left| \left\{ M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{v_r}) > 1 \right\} \right| > \frac{\Phi_B(h)}{2} |V_r|.$$
 (36)

It is easy to check that for every $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\delta > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \Gamma_n$,

$$M_B^{(\delta)}(f)(x) = M_{B(\gamma)}^{(\delta)}(f \circ \gamma^{-1})(\gamma(x)).$$

Therefore, we get

$$M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r})(x) = M_{B(\gamma)}^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r})(\gamma(x)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \gamma \in \Gamma_n).$$

Consequently,

$$\left\{ M_{B(\gamma)}^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1 \right\} = \gamma \left(\left\{ M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1 \right\} \right) \ (\gamma \in \Gamma_n).$$
(37)

Since the set $\{M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1\}$ is open, then there exists a set A that is a finite union of cubic intervals such that

$$A \subset \left\{ M_B^{(tr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1 \right\} \text{ and } |A| > \frac{\Phi_B(h)}{2} |V_r|.$$
(38)

Put $A_{\gamma} = \gamma(A)$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma_n$). Since sets A_{γ} are measurable in Jordan sense and mutually congruent, then by Lemma 7 we conclude the existence of $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and sets P_{γ} ($\gamma \in \Gamma_n$) such that

$$P_{\gamma} \subset A_{\gamma} \text{ and } |P_{\gamma}| > \frac{|A_{\gamma}|}{2} \ (\gamma \in \Gamma_n),$$
(39)

$$\left\{P_{\gamma}: \ \gamma \in \Gamma_n\right\} \subset H_m. \tag{40}$$

From (36)–(39) we have that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$,

$$P_{\gamma} \subset \left\{ M_{B(\gamma)}^{(\varepsilon)}(h\chi_{V_{r}}) > 1 \right\},\tag{41}$$

$$|P_{\gamma}| > \frac{\Phi_B(h)}{4} |V_r|, \qquad (42)$$

$$V_r \cup \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} P_\gamma \subset V_{r(1+t)}.$$
(43)

Assuming c = 1/4, $c(h) = \frac{1}{2^n n^{n/2} (1+t)^n}$, $E = V_r$, $P_{B(\gamma)} = P_{\gamma}$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma_n$) and $Q = (-r(1+t), r(1+t))^n$, from (40)–(43) and (36) we conclude that the family $\Lambda = \{B(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}$ has M_{Φ_B} -property.

From Theorem 1 on the basis of Lemma 8 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. Let B be a translation invariant basis in \mathbb{R}^n . If the function Φ_B is non-regular, then for every $f \in L \setminus [\Phi_B(L)](\mathbb{G}^n)$ there exists a measure preserving and invertible mapping $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{x : \omega(x) \neq x\} \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and $|f| \circ \omega \in S_{\Delta(B)}$. In particular, if Φ_B additionally satisfies Δ_2 -condition at infinity, then the same conclusion is valid for every $f \in L \setminus \Phi_B(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$.

Corollary 1. Let B be a translation invariant basis in \mathbb{R}^n . If the function Φ_B is non-regular, then the class $S_{\Delta(B)}$ is non-empty.

5.2. It is true the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let B be a translation invariant basis in \mathbb{R}^n . If the function Φ_B is non-regular, then for every Orlicz space $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ with the properties: ψ satisfies Δ_2 -condition at infinity and $\lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{\psi(h)}{\Phi_B(h)} = 0$, the set $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n) \setminus S_{\Delta(B)}$ is of the first category in $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$.

Remark 3. Theorem 3 generalizes the result of B. Lópes Melero [9] which asserts the same for the case when the following weak variant of the function Φ_B is considered

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_B(h) = \overline{\lim_{r \to 0}} \frac{|\{M_B^{(hr)}(h\chi_{V_r}) > 1\}|}{|V_r|}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by E_k denote the set of all functions $f \in \psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ for which there is a set $A = A(f) \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and a rotation $\gamma = \gamma(f) \in \Gamma_n$ with the properties:

- 1) $|A| \ge \frac{1}{k};$
- 2) is $x \in A$, $R \in B(\gamma)(x)$ and diam $R \leq \frac{1}{k}$, then $\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} f \leq k$.

It is easy to see that $\Psi(L) \setminus S_{\Delta(B)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$. Therefore it sufficies to prove that E_k is nowhere dense in $\psi(L)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. First let us prove the closeness of E_k . Suppose $f_j \in E_k$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}), f \in \psi(L)$ and $||f_j - f||_{\psi(L)} \to 0$. Clearly, we can choose subsequence of $(\gamma(f_j))$ which is convergent by the natural metric in Γ_n . Without loss of generality assume that $\gamma(f_j)$ converges and let γ be its limit. By A denote the set $\overline{\lim_{j\to\infty}} A(f_j)$. Obviously, $A \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and $|A| \ge \frac{1}{k}$. Take $x \in A$ and $R \in B(\gamma)(x)$ with diam $R < \frac{1}{k}$. Without loss of generality assume that $x \in A(f_j)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider the set $T \in B(0)$ for which $R = x + \gamma(T)$. Put $R_j = x + \gamma(f_j)(T)$ $(j \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $R_j \in B(\gamma(f_j))(x)$ and diam $R_j \le \frac{1}{k}$. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|R_j|} \int_{R_j} f \le k.$$

Now taking into account that $|(R_j \setminus R) \cup (R \setminus R_j)| \to 0$ and $||f_j - f||_L \le c ||f_j - f||_{\psi(L)} \to 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} f = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{|R_j|} \int_{R_j} f_j \le k.$$

Thus the closeness of E_k is proved. The next step is to prove that $\psi(L) \setminus E_k$ is dense in $\psi(L)$. Take a function $f \in \psi(L)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since ψ satisfies Δ_2 -condition at infinity, then (see e.g. [10, § 4]) there is $g \in L^{\infty}$ with $\|f - g\|_{\psi(L)} < \varepsilon/2$. Let us consider a function $\ell \in S_{\Delta(B)}$ with $\|\ell\|_{\psi(L)} < \varepsilon/2$. Existence of such function is provided by Theorem 2. Obviously, $g + \ell \in S_{\Delta(B)}$. Now from the estimation $\|f - (g + \ell)\|_{\psi(L)} < \varepsilon$ we conclude the density of $S_{\Delta(B)}$ in $\psi(L)$. Consequently, using inclusion $S_{\Delta(B)} \subset \psi(L) \setminus E_k$ we obtain the density of $\psi(L) \setminus E_k$ in $\psi(L)$. Finally, taking into account the closeness of E_k we conclude that E_k is nowhere dense in $\psi(L)$.

5.3. In this section we will apply Theorems 2 and 3 for bases \mathbf{I}_n^k .

Lemma 9. Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n > 0$, h > 1, and let E be the set of all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$x_1 > \delta_1, \dots, x_n > \delta_n,$$

$$x_1 \cdots x_n < h\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n.$$

Then

$$\int_{E} \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_n} \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n > c(\ln h)^n,$$

where c is a positive number depending only on n.

Proof. For n = 1 the assertion is obvious. Let us consider the passing from n - 1 to n.

For $\delta_n < t < h\delta_n$ denote

$$A_{t} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : x_{1} > \delta_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1} > \delta_{n-1}, x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1} < \frac{h\delta_{n}}{t} \delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{n-1} \right\}.$$

By Fubini theorem and the induction assumption we have

$$\int_{E} \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n =$$

$$= \int_{1}^{h\delta_n} \frac{1}{x_n} \left[\int_{A_t} \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \right] dx_n >$$

$$> \int_{\delta_n}^{h\delta_n} c_{n-1} \frac{1}{t} \left(\ln \frac{h\delta_n}{t} \right)^{n-1} dt > c_{n-1} \int_{\delta_n}^{\sqrt{h}\delta_n} \frac{1}{t} (\ln \sqrt{h})^{n-1} dt \ge c_n (\ln h)^n. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 10. For every $k, 1 \le k \le n-1$, and an interval I of type

$$I = \underset{j=1}{\overset{n}{\times}} (a_j, a_j + \delta_j), \quad where \quad \delta_k = \delta_{k+1} \cdots = \delta_n,$$

and h > 1 it is valid the estimation

$$\left|\left\{M_{\mathbf{I}_{n}^{k}}(h\chi_{I})>1\right\}\right|\geq ch(\ln h)^{k}|I|,$$

where c is a positive number depending only on n.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $h > 2^n$ and I is of type

$$I = (0, \delta_1) \times \cdots \times (0, \delta_k) \times (0, \delta_k) \times \cdots \times (0, \delta_k).$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that

$$x_1 > \delta_1, \cdots, x_k > \delta, \quad x_{k+1} > \delta_k, \dots, x_n > \delta_k, \tag{44}$$

$$x_1 \dots x_k \left[\max(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \right]^{n-k} < h|I|.$$
 (45)

Put

$$J = (0, x_1) \times \dots \times (0, x_k) \times (0, \max(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n))^{n-k}.$$

By (44) and (45), $J \supset I$ and |J| < h|I|. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int\limits_J h\chi_I = \frac{h|I|}{|J|} > 1.$$

Thus $M_{\mathbf{I}_n^k}(h\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle I})(x) > 1$, and therefore

$$\left\{M_{\mathbf{I}_n^k}(h\chi_I)(x) > 1\right\} \supset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \text{ satisfies (44) and (45)}\right\} \equiv E.$$

Let us estimate |E|. Denote

$$T = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^k : y_1 > \delta_1, \dots, y_k > \delta_k, y_1 \cdots y_k < \frac{h}{2^k} \delta_1 \cdots \delta_k \right\},\$$
$$E_y = \left\{ x \in E : (x_1, \dots, x_k) = y \right\} (y \in T).$$

It is easy to see that for every $y \in T$,

$$|E_y|_{n-k} = \left(\left(\frac{R|I|}{y_1 \cdots y_k} \right)^{1/(n-k)} - \delta \right)^{n-k} > \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{R|I|}{y_1 \cdots y_k}.$$

Therefore, using Fubini theorem we have

$$|E| > \left| \left\{ x \in E : (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in T \right\} \right| = \int_T |E_y|_{n-k} \, dy > \frac{h|I|}{2^n} \int_T \frac{1}{y_1 \cdots y_k} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_k.$$

Consequently, by virtue of Lemma 10 we conclude the validity of the needed estimation. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 11. For every k with $2 \le k \le n$ there are valid the estimations

$$c_1 h(\ln h)^{k-1} \le \Phi_{\mathbf{I}_n^k}(h) \le c_2 h(\ln h)^{k-1} \ (h > 1),$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive numbers depending only on n.

Proof. Let r > 0, h > 1 and $Q = \left(-\frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n$. By Lemma 10 we have

$$\left| \left\{ M_{\mathbf{I}_{n}^{k}}(h\chi_{v_{r}}) > 1 \right\} \right| \geq \left| \left\{ M_{\mathbf{I}_{n}^{k}}(h\chi_{Q}) > 1 \right\} \right| \geq ch(\ln h)^{k-1} |Q| \geq c_{1}h(\ln h)^{k-1} |V_{r}|, \quad (46)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ depends only on *n*. On the other hand by virtue of the well-known estimation (see [3, Chapter II, § 3])

$$\left|\left\{M_{\mathbf{I}_n^k}(f) > \lambda\right\}\right| \le c \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \ln \frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} \ (f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \lambda > 0),$$

it follows that

$$\left| \left\{ M_{\mathbf{I}_{n}^{k}}(h\chi_{V_{r}}) > 1 \right\} \right| \le c_{2}h(\ln h)^{k-1}|V_{r}|, \tag{47}$$

where $c_2 > 0$ depends only on n.

From (46) and (47) we conclude the validity of the lemma.

From Theorems 2 and 3 on the basis of Lemma 11 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4. Let $2 \le k \le n$. Then:

- 1) for every function $f \in L \setminus L(\ln^+ L)^{k-1}(\mathbb{G}^n)$, there exists a measure preserving and invertible mapping $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{x : \omega(x) \neq x\} \subset \mathbb{G}^n$ and $|f| \circ \omega \in S_{\Delta(\mathbf{I}_n^k)}$;
- 2) for every Orlicz space $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$ with the properties: ψ satisfies Δ_2 condition at infinity and $\lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{\psi(h)}{h(\ln h)^{k-1}} = 0$, the set $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n) \setminus S_{\Delta(\mathbf{I}_n^k)}$ is
 of the first category in $\psi(L)(\mathbb{G}^n)$.

Remark 4. The first part of Theorem 1 was announced in [11].

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (Project # 31/48).

References

- S. Saks, Remark on the differentiability of the Lebesgue indefinite integral. Fundamenta 22 (1934), 257–261.
- [2] H. Busemann and W. Feller, Zur Differentiation der Lebesgueschen Integrale. (German) Fundamenta 22 (1934), 226–256.
- [3] M. de Guzmán, Differentiation of integrals in Rⁿ. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 481. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [4] J. M. Marstrand, A counter-example in the theory of strong differentiation. Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977), No. 2, 209–211.
- [5] G. G. Oniani, Differentiation of Lebesgue integrals. (Russian) *Tbilisi* Univ. Press, *Tbilisi*, 1998.
- [6] P. R. Halmos, Lectures on ergodic theory. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, no. 3 The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1956.
- [7] V. A. Rokhlin, On the fundamental ideas of measure theory. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 25(67) (1949), No. 1, 107-150
- [8] G. G. Oniani, On the integrability of strong maximal functions corresponding to different frames. *Georgian Math. J.* 6 (1999), No. 2, 149–168.
- [9] B. López-Melero, A negative result in differentiation theory. Studia Math. 72 (1982), No. 2, 173–182.
- [10] L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation. Seminários de Matemática [Seminars in Mathematics], 5. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Departamento de Matemática, Campinas, 1989.
- [11] A. M. Stokolos, Theory of differentiation of integrals on Euclidean spaces by bases of intervals. *Candidat dissertation*, Odessa, 1984.